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ABSTRACT

The structures of solution treated and cold worked M316 austenitic steel have been investigated using magnetic measurements, optical and transmission electron microscopy and electron, X-ray and neutron diffraction. The results show that the steel is resistant to both ε- and α'-martensite formation during ambient temperature rolling to deformations of up to 50% and this is attributed to its relatively high stacking fault energy. The banded structures observed in the cold worked steel have been positively identified by electron diffraction and high resolution dark field techniques as deformation twins.
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ILLUSTRATIONS

1. X-ray diffraction patterns (MoKα) of solution treated and cold worked M316 steel.

2. Neutron diffraction spectra of solution treated and cold worked M316 steel.

3. 5% cold worked M316 steel. Transmission electron micrographs.
   (a). Bright field (BF) micrograph.
   (b). Selected area electron diffraction pattern (SADP).
   (c), (d), (e) and (f). High resolution dark field micrographs (HRDF).

4. 20% cold worked M316 steel. Transmission electron micrographs.
   (a). BF micrograph
   (b). SADP
   (c). SADP
   (d), (e), (f), (g), (h) and (i). HRDF micrographs.

5. 50% cold worked M316 steel. Transmission electron micrographs.
   (a). BF micrograph
   (b). SADP
   (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (h). HRDF micrographs.


7. Optical micrographs of the solution treated and cold worked M316 steel.

8. Superimposed computer-simulated electron diffraction patterns for the fcc γ/twinning and fcc γ/hcp ε relationships in the [111]γ ((a) and (b)) and [114]γ ((c) and (d)) matrix orientations.
1. **INTRODUCTION**

M316 austenitic steel (specified composition (wt.%) - 0.03-0.06 C, 0.60 max. Si, 1.5-2.0 Mn, 16.5-17.5 Cr, 13-14 Ni, 2.00-2.75 Mo, balance essentially Fe) is the reference fuel element cladding material for the U.K. Prototype Fast Reactor (PFR). M316 and some of the other fast reactor core component materials, such as Type 321 (En58B) austenitic steel, are used in the solution treated and 20% cold worked condition. The possibility that transformation of the austenite to martensitic phases may occur during the cold deformation and influence the irradiation induced void swelling and dimensional stability of the alloys has prompted extensive investigations of the constitution and the deformation induced structures in these materials.

The effects of deformation on the transformation of austenite to martensite in a number of commercial austenitic steels, including some of the AISI 300 series, have been studied by Llewellyn and Murray. They established that the extent of the transformation of the austenite to the ferromagnetic, body-centred-cubic (b.c.c.) $\alpha'$-martensite during ambient temperature deformation decreased with increasing amounts of Ni, Mn and Cu, the Ni having the most powerful effect. Thus, the Type 316 (compositional ranges investigated (wt.%) - 0.04-0.06 C, 0.23-0.32 Si, 1.70-1.92 Mn, 17.02-18.40 Cr, 11.2-11.6 Ni, 2.65-2.86 Mo, 0.019-0.036 N) and other austenitic steels containing 17-18 wt.% Cr and $\geq$ 11.5 wt.% Ni were completely resistant to $\alpha'$-martensite formation during rolling by up to 70% reductions at ambient temperature. Although, the $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$-martensite transformation could not be induced simply by quenching to $-196^\circ$C, $\gamma$ 60% $\alpha'$ was, however, formed in the Type 316 steel during tensile straining at this temperature. Llewellyn and Murray concluded from X-ray diffraction analyses that small amounts of the non-magnetic, hexagonal-close-packed (hcp) $\epsilon$-martensite were formed in the Type 316 steel on deforming in the range 21-51% at room temperature.

Mazza observed bands in a Type 316 steel (analysis (wt.%) - 0.05 C, 0.52 Si, 1.29 Mn, 16.4 Cr, 11.1 Ni, 2.46 Mo) by TEM following $\geq$ 12% tensile deformation at room temperature; these bands were identified by electron diffraction as deformation twins on (111) planes in (112) directions. Furthermore, he found that the region near the fracture of the tensile specimen was strongly magnetic and X-ray diffraction analysis indicated that this was due to $\alpha'$-martensite formation. Again, the $\alpha'$ could not be induced merely by quenching to $-196^\circ$C and there was no evidence of
formation.

Blenkinsop and Nutting\(^{(7)}\) have reported that both deformation twins and \(\varepsilon\)-martensite were observed by TEM in a Type 316L austenitic steels (analysis (wt.%) - 0.03 C, 0.57 Si, 1.56 Mn, 16.7 Cr, 13.08 Ni, 2.43 Mo) after 

\(\geq 10\%\) deformation at room temperature. Furthermore, Garr et al.\(^{(8)}\) have claimed that \(\varepsilon\)-martensite was present in a 25% cold rolled Type 316 steel (analysis (wt.%) - 0.06 C, 17.3 Cr, 13.6 Ni, 2.3 Mo, 0.05 N). Partial reversion of the \(\varepsilon\)-martensite to austenite was detected after subsequent ageing at 480\(^{\circ}\)C for 24h; this latter observation is somewhat dubious in view of the fact that complete reversion of \(\varepsilon\) to \(\gamma\) is reported to occur within the range 150\(^{\circ}\)-400\(^{\circ}\)C, even after short annealing times\(^{(4)}\). \(\alpha'\)-martensite was not detected by Blenkinsop and Nutting\(^{(7)}\) nor Garr et al.\(^{(8)}\) in their respective steels.

Larba1estier\(^{(9)}\) studied the effects of cryogenic cycling on the magnetic properties of a series of austenitic steels, including Type 316LN (analysis (wt.%) - 0.05 C, 0.38 Si, 1.49 Mn, 16.77 Cr, 12.43 Ni, 2.4 Mo, 0.18 N, 0.02 P and 0.03 S). Repeated cycling from room temperature to -196\(^{\circ}\)C failed to induce \(\alpha'\)-martensite formation in this steel; it was claimed that the presence of \(\alpha'\), as well as \(\varepsilon\)-martensite transformation, was confirmed by optical metallographic observations, but it is doubtful if this conclusion is justified in view of the difficulties in positively identifying these phases by optical microscopy. It was also reported that repeated quenching to -269\(^{\circ}\)C failed to induce the \(\alpha'\)-martensite transformation in this steel.

There is thus general agreement that Type 316 austenitic steels are resistant to \(\alpha'\)-martensite formation during ambient temperature deformation; Mazza's\(^{(6)}\) detection of \(\alpha'\) may well be due to the slightly lower nickel content (11.1 wt.%) of his Type 316 steel. It is furthermore established that quenching to liquid nitrogen temperature (or even to -269\(^{\circ}\)C, according to Larba1estier's\(^{(9)}\) findings) does not promote transformation of \(\gamma\) to \(\alpha'\) but the transformation can be induced by deformation at -196\(^{\circ}\)C. However, it is evident that there is some conflict with respect to the formation of \(\varepsilon\)-martensite and/or deformation twins in Type 316 steel during ambient temperature deformation.
Structural examinations of M316 austenitic steel in the solution treated and cold rolled conditions have been carried out using a combination of magnetic measurements, optical and transmission electron microscopy and electron, X-ray and neutron diffraction in an attempt to resolve some of these uncertainties.

2. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

2.1 Materials and Thermomechanical Treatments

The cast (Ingot No. 8/22230) analysis of the M316 steel in wt.% was as follows: 0.05 C, 0.35 Si, 1.77 Mn, 17.0 Cr, 13.5 Ni, 2.36 Mo, 0.028 N, 0.01 P, 0.008 S. The steel was originally supplied in the form of 0.125 in. (3.175 mm) thick wrapper plates which were rolled at ambient temperature, with intermediate solution treatment at 1050°C, to a standard thickness of 0.032 in. (0.81 mm); the rolling and annealing schedules were adjusted such that strips with reductions in the range 0 to 50% were produced.

The stability of austenitic steels with respect to α'-martensite formation is indicated by the $M_s$, (the temperature at and below which spontaneous transformation of $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$ occurs on quenching) and the $M_d$, (the temperature above which the transformation cannot be induced by deformation irrespective of the strain) temperatures. These temperatures have been related to composition by a number of empirical formulae, each applicable to specific austenitic steel compositional ranges(3). Thus, the $M_s$, temperatures estimated by substituting the elemental compositions of the M316 steel in the formulae given by Eichelman and Hull(10) and Holmes et al(11) suggest that the $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$-martensite transformation will not be induced in this steel even by quenching to liquid helium temperature. However, the equations derived by Angel(12) and Williams et al(13) give $M_d$, (30/50) (the temperature at which 50% $\alpha'$ is formed after a true tensile strain of 30%) and $M_d$, (45/10) (the temperature at which 10% $\alpha'$ is formed after a true compressive strain of 45%) temperatures of -49°C and -131°C respectively for the M316 steel, thereby implying that $\alpha'$-martensite formation should only be produced during deformation at sub-ambient temperatures.
2.2 Experimental Techniques and Results

The experimental techniques employed have been detailed previously(3). The magnetic measurements confirmed that no α'-martensite was produced in the samples deformed by up to 50% by rolling at ambient temperature. Furthermore, the presence of peaks due to the α'- and ε-martensite phases could not be detected in the X-ray and neutron diffraction spectra of the cold worked samples (Figs. 1 and 2 respectively).

However, TEM showed banded structures in the cold rolled specimens (Figs. 3(a), 4(a) and 5(a)) which were absent in the solution treated sample (Fig. 6). The morphologies of these banded structures are similar to those of the ε-martensite and/or deformation twins observed previously in the cold rolled Type 321 (En88B) austenitic steel(3). The deformation bands were also visible by optical microscopy, particularly after 50% deformation (Fig. 7).

From the electron diffraction work of Kestenbach(14) and using a computer programme(15) designed to plot superimposed electron diffraction patterns for known orientation relationships(16), it was possible to isolate specific fcc matrix orientations in which the ε-martensite bands and deformation twins could be positively distinguished. Two such orientations are (i) a <110>, in which the extra twin reflections constitute a <110> pattern whereas the extra ε reflections constitute a \([2 \overline{2} 0 3]_\varepsilon\) pattern and (ii) a <114>, in which the extra twin reflections constitute a <110> pattern whereas the ε reflections constitute a \([\bar{2} \overline{2} 0 3]_\varepsilon\) pattern which completely overlaps with the <114>\_\varepsilon pattern. Computer-simulated electron diffraction (CSD) patterns for specific variants of these two matrix orientations (\([\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_\varepsilon\) and \([\overline{1} 1 \bar{4}]_\varepsilon\)) with their respective twin and/or ε patterns are shown in Fig. 8; they were constructed using the following orientation relationships:

fcc \_\varepsilon/twinning

\[
\begin{align*}
(1\overline{1})_\varepsilon & \parallel (1\overline{1})_T \\
[\overline{1} 0 \overline{1}]_\varepsilon & \parallel [1 0 \overline{1}]_T \\
[\overline{0} 1 \overline{1}]_\varepsilon & \parallel [0 \overline{1} 1]_T
\end{align*}
\]
fcc \gamma/hcp \epsilon

\begin{align*}
(111)_\gamma & \parallel (0001)_\epsilon \ OR (00.1)_\epsilon \\
[101]_\gamma & \parallel [1210]_\epsilon \ OR [12.0]_\epsilon \\
[011]_\gamma & \parallel [2110]_\epsilon \ OR [21.0]_\epsilon
\end{align*}

The selected area electron diffraction (SAD) and high resolution dark field (HRDF) evidence illustrated in Figs. 3, 4 and 5 confirms that the banded structures are deformation twins and not \epsilon-martensite bands; the twins form on \{111\}_\gamma planes in \{112\}_\gamma directions consistent with Mazza's(6) findings and the twinning planes and directions normally exhibited by fcc metals and alloys(17). After 5% deformation, the twins in the bright field (BF) micrograph (Fig. 3(a)) give rise to a \{110\}_T SAD pattern in a \{110\}_\gamma matrix zone (Fig. 3(b)) which agrees with the CSD patterns in Fig. 8(a); the matrix and twin reflections yield the HRDF micrographs shown in Figs. 3(c), (d) and 3(e), (f) respectively. After 20% deformation, the twins in the BF micrograph (Fig. 4(a)) produce an apparent single SAD pattern (Fig. 4(c)) which, in fact, consists of two patterns, the \{114\}_\gamma and the \{110\}_T which comply with the CSD patterns in Fig. 8(c); the twin reflections give the HRDF micrographs in Figs. 4(d), (e) and (f) whereas the overlapping matrix and twin reflections result in the HRDF micrographs shown in Figs. 4(g), (h) and (i). Fig. 4(b) illustrates a \{114\}_\gamma matrix pattern obtained from the twin-free area (b) in Fig. 4(a). Finally, after 50% deformation, the twins in Fig. 5(a) again produce a \{110\}_T pattern in a \{114\}_\gamma matrix zone (Fig. 5(b)) and the twin reflections yield the HRDF micrographs in Figs. 5(c), (d) and (e) whereas the overlapping matrix and twin reflections give the HRDF micrographs in Figs. 5(f), (g) and (h).

3. DISCUSSION

3.1 \alpha'- and \epsilon-Martensite Formation

The observed resistance of the M316 austenitic steel to \alpha'-martensite transformation during ambient temperature deformation is consistent with the results of most of the previous work on similar 316 type steel compositions(5)(7)(8) and, in accord with Llewellyn and Murray's(5) findings, may be attributed to its relatively high nickel content of 13.5 wt.%. Furthermore, the absence of \epsilon-martensite formation in the M316 steel agrees with Mazza's(6) observations but conflicts with Llewellyn and Murray's X-ray diffraction data(5), Blenkinsop and Nutting's TEM results(7) and the reported presence of this phase by Garr et al(8) in Type 316 steels. However, in view of
the difficulties inherent in the detection of ε-martensite by X-ray diffraction\(^3\) and in conclusively distinguishing between ε-martensite and deformation twins by electron diffraction, the previous reports of the formation of ε-martensite in 316 type steels deformed at ambient temperature must be treated with some scepticism.

3.2 Deformation Twinning and ε-Martensite

The deformation stacking faults on close packed \{111\} planes in f.c.c. crystals are of two fundamental types, intrinsic and extrinsic; the former comprises one violation and the latter two violations in the atom stacking sequence. If the normal fcc stacking sequence is represented as ABCABCABC and the respective symbols \(\Delta\) and \(\nabla\) are used to denote normal stacking (AB,BC and CA) and reverse stacking or a violation (AC,CB and BA)\(^7\), then these two faults can be illustrated thus:

Normal fcc: \[
\begin{array}{c}
A B C A B C A B C A \equiv \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \\
\end{array}
\]

Intrinsic fault: \[
\begin{array}{c}
A B C A C B A C A B C A \equiv \Delta \Delta \Delta \nabla \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \\
\text{fcc} | \text{hcp} | \text{fcc} | \text{hcp} | \text{fcc}
\end{array}
\]

(One violation)

Extrinsic fault: \[
\begin{array}{c}
A B C A C B C A B C A B C A \equiv \Delta \Delta \Delta \nabla \nabla \Delta \Delta \Delta \\
\text{fcc} | \text{hcp} | \text{fcc} | \text{hcp} | \text{fcc}
\end{array}
\]

(Two violations)

It is noted that intrinsic faults on alternate close packed \{111\}_fcc planes produce the hcp structure (CACA) whereas twinning is produced by intrinsic faults on every close packed plane (or extrinsic faults on alternate close packed planes since these can be considered essentially as two intrinsic faults on adjacent planes\(^18,19\)). Therefore, a thicker hcp region and a thicker twin may be represented thus:

hcp: \[
\begin{array}{c}
A B C A C A C A C A B C A \equiv \Delta \Delta \nabla \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \Delta \\
\text{fcc} | \text{hcp} | \text{fcc} | \text{hcp} | \text{fcc}
\end{array}
\]

twin: \[
\begin{array}{c}
A B C A B A C B A C B A C A \equiv \Delta \Delta \Delta \nabla \Delta \nabla \nabla \Delta \Delta \Delta \\
\text{fcc} | \text{twin} | \text{fcc} | \text{twin} | \text{fcc}
\end{array}
\]

It follows that the stability of the fcc structure with respect to the formation of the hcp ε-martensite should be related to the stacking fault energy (\(\gamma_{SFE}\)) of the alloy.
The majority of $\gamma_{\text{SFE}}$ determinations have been made either by the measurement of the radii of extended dislocation nodes using strong beam, bright field TEM\(^{(20)}\) or, more recently, by the application of the weak beam, dark field imaging techniques first employed by Cockayne et al\(^{(21)}\)(\(^{22}\))(\(^{23}\)). The $\gamma_{\text{SFE}}$ of austenitic steels and alloys have been measured using the former technique notably by Dulieu and Nutting\(^{(24)}\) and others whilst the latter method has been used by Rhodes and Thompson\(^{(25)}\) and Bampton, Jones and Loretto\(^{(26)}\). The techniques and data have been reviewed on several occasions\(^{(25)}\)(\(^{27}\))(\(^{28}\))(\(^{29}\)) and Schramm and Reed\(^{(29)}\) and Rhodes and Thompson\(^{(25)}\) have attempted to correlate the $\gamma_{\text{SFE}}$ values with the austenitic steel and alloy compositions. It is generally agreed that $\gamma_{\text{SFE}}$ decreases with increasing Cr (if $\leq 18$ wt.\%), N, Co and Si and increases with increasing Cr (if $\geq 18$ wt.\%), Ni, C, Mo, Nb and Cu; however, there is some dispute as to the effect of Mn.

Using linear regression analysis, Schramm and Reed\(^{(29)}\) derived four equations relating the $\gamma_{\text{SFE}}$ values, obtained mainly by the strong beam, bright field dislocation node technique, to composition and these have been combined to produce the following relationship:

$$\gamma_{\text{SFE}} \text{ (mJm}^{-2}) = 25.7 + (2\text{Ni}) - (0.9\text{Cr}) + (4\text{OC}) - (77\text{N}) - (13\text{Si}) - (1.2\text{Mn}) \ldots \ldots (1)$$

where Ni, Cr, etc. are in wt.\%.

This work was subsequently criticised by Rhodes and Thompson\(^{(24)}\) on the grounds that the strong beam, bright field dislocation node technique can only be used confidently for $\gamma_{\text{SFE}} \leq 30 \text{ mJm}^{-2}$ and that the linear relationship is not obeyed for $\gamma_{\text{SFE}} \geq 50 \text{ mJm}^{-2}$. These authors proposed the following relationships between $\gamma_{\text{SFE}}$ and composition; equations (2) and (3) relate to pure Fe-Ni-Cr ternary alloys containing $\leq 18\%$ Cr and $> 18\%$ Cr respectively and equation (4) refers to commercial austenitic alloys containing $\geq 18\%$ Cr and significant Mn and Si contents:-

$$\gamma_{\text{SFE}} = 17.0 + (2.29\text{Ni}) - (0.9\text{Cr}) \ldots \ldots (2)$$
$$\gamma_{\text{SFE}} = -26.6 + (0.73\text{Ni}) + (2.26\text{Cr}) \ldots \ldots (3)$$
$$\gamma_{\text{SFE}} = 1.2 + (1.4\text{Ni}) + (0.6\text{Cr}) + (17.7\text{Mn}) - (44.7\text{Si}) \ldots \ldots (4)$$
where Ni, Cr, etc. are again in wt.%. Equations (1) and (4) are in fairly good agreement for \( \gamma_{SFE} \leq 50 \text{ mJm}^{-2} \) but equation (1) tends to overestimate the \( \gamma_{SFE} \) at higher values.

The majority of the observations on martensitic transformations in a wide range of pure Fe-Ni-Cr alloys and commercial austenitic steels (Fe : 10 - 25% Cr : 5 - 25% Ni + C, N, Mn, Mo, Si) have been made following quenching to or deforming at -196°C. An attempt\(^4\) at correlating the room temperature \( \gamma_{SFE} \) values derived from equations (1) and (4) with the -196°C phase data has shown that the reported presence of \( \varepsilon \)-martensite occurs at -196°C only if the \( \gamma_{SFE} \) is below a value of 43 ± 5 mJm\(^{-2}\) at room temperature. If it is assumed that the linear temperature dependence of \( \gamma_{SFE} \) (0.05 - 0.08 mJm\(^{-2}\) °K\(^{-1}\)) obtained from Lecroisey's work\(^{30}(31)(32)\) in the range -196°C to room temperature for austenitic alloys containing 18% Cr : 12% Ni, 16% Cr : 13% Ni and 18% Cr : 14% Ni, is applicable to the wider range of compositions considered above, then this \( \gamma_{SFE} \) reduces to 25 - 32 mJm\(^{-2}\) at -196°C. Furthermore, the analysis of the more limited data on martensitic transformations in austenitic steels following quenching to or deformation at ambient temperature suggests a room temperature \( \gamma_{SFE} \) of 35 ± 5 mJm\(^{-2}\) below which \( \varepsilon \)-martensite is reported to form\(^4\). It therefore appears, providing the formation of \( \varepsilon \)-martensite is solely dependent on \( \gamma_{SFE} \) and bearing in mind the errors involved in estimating this parameter, that at a given temperature, there is a critical \( \gamma_{SFE} \) below which transformation of \( \gamma \) to \( \varepsilon \)-martensite occurs.

Since the room temperature \( \gamma_{SFE} \) for the M316 steels derived from equations (1) and (4) are 52 ± 5 and 46 ± 5 mJm\(^{-2}\) respectively, it follows that \( \varepsilon \)-martensite should not form in this steel during quenching to or deformation at ambient temperature; this conclusion is in agreement with the present experimental observations. Furthermore, if the transformation sequence is \( \gamma \rightarrow \text{SF} \rightarrow \varepsilon \rightarrow \alpha'(4) \), the the formation of \( \alpha' \)-martensite may also be dependent on \( \gamma_{SFE} \), since it relies on the initial formation of stacking faults and \( \varepsilon \)-martensite. This, of course,
is not true of situations where the $\alpha'$-martensite forms directly from the parent austenite \((4)\).

Although there is relatively little difference between stacking fault and twin formation in terms of violations in the normal stacking sequence of the atoms in the \{111\} planes of the fcc lattice, the observation of extensive twinning in the M316 steel deformed at ambient temperature implies that there are fundamental differences in the respective dislocation mechanisms and that twinning can occur even at high $\gamma_{SFE}$. The dislocation reactions leading to twinning in fcc structures have not been unambiguously established but the many models proposed have been reviewed by Venables \((19)\) and Westlake \((33)\). Additional work is obviously required on this aspect but this is outside the scope of the present investigation.

4. CONCLUSIONS

4.1 M316 austenitic steel is resistant to both $\varepsilon$- and $\alpha'$-martensite transformation during ambient temperature rolling to deformations of up to 50%; this is attributed primarily to the relatively high stacking fault energy of the steel.

4.2 The banded structures observed in the cold worked steel by transmission electron microscopy have been identified as deformation twins using electron diffraction and high resolution dark field techniques.
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X-ray diffraction patterns (MoKa) of solution treated and cold worked M316 steel
Neutron diffraction spectra of solution treated and cold worked M316 steel
5% cold worked M316 steel. Transmission electron micrographs. (a) Bright field (BF) micrograph; (b) Selected area electron diffraction pattern (SADP); (c), (d), (e) and (f) High resolution dark field micrographs (HRDF)
20% cold worked M316 steel. Transmission electron micrographs. (a) BF micrograph; (b) SADP; (c) SADP; (d), (e) (f), (g), (h) and (i) HRDF micrographs.
50% cold worked M316 steel. Transmission electron micrographs. (a) BF micrograph; (b) SADP; (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (h) HRDF micrographs.
Solution treated M316 steel. Transmission electron micrograph
Optical micrographs of the solution treated and cold worked M316 steel
Superimposed computer-simulated electron diffraction patterns for the fcc γ/twinning and fcc γ/hcp ε relationships in the [101]γ ((a) and (b)) and [114]γ ((c) and (d)) matrix orientations.

- γ - Austenite
- T - Twinning
- ε - Martensite