

AD-A020 009

BRIEFING ON PERFORMANCE AND PROFICIENCY TESTING  
OF ORGANIZATIONAL TRACK VEHICLE MECHANICS AND  
MAINTENANCE SERGEANTS

John P. Smith

Human Resources Research Organization

Prepared for:

Office, Chief of Research and Development (Army)

13 October 1961

DISTRIBUTED BY:

**NTIS**

National Technical Information Service  
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

035115

~~FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY~~

MOBILITY IV & V

111

DIV. 2

OCT 61

# HUMRRO

B.S.

DA02009

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

DDC  
RECEIVED  
JAN 30 1976  
RECEIVED

A

**U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit**  
**Fort Knox, Kentucky**

*Under the Technical Supervision of*

**The George Washington University**  
**HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH OFFICE**  
**operating under contract with**  
**THE DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

Reproduced by  
**NATIONAL TECHNICAL**  
**INFORMATION SERVICE**  
US Department of Commerce  
Springfield, VA. 22151

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS OF THIS FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER	2. AUTHOR(S)	3. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) BRIEFING ON PERFORMANCE AND PROFICIENCY TESTING OF ORGANIZATIONAL TRACK VEHICLE MECHANICS AND MAINTENANCE SERGEANTS		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED Briefing
7. AUTHOR(S) John P. Smith		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Human Resources Research Organization (HumRRO) 300 North Washington Street Alexandria, Virginia 22314		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(S) DA 44-188-ARO-2 ✓
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of the Chief of Research and Development Department of the Army Washington, D.C. 20301		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT PROJECT TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE October 1961
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 10
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this report) Unclassified
		16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
14. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Cleared for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES Briefing for U.S. Continental Army Command. Research performed by HumRRO Division No. 2 (now Central Division, Louisville Office, Kentucky) under MOBILITY IV--The Performance of Organizational Maintenance by Track Vehicle (Continued)		
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) armor maintenance organizational maintenance proficiency testing track vehicle mechanics		
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) The performance of organizational track vehicle mechanics (TVMs) in four types of tasks--testing, troubleshooting, adjusting, and inspecting--was tested by an eight-hour test of 30 individual exercises. Army organizational maintenance Sergeants and Senior Track Vehicle Mechanics with less than one year, one-five years, and over five years on the job were also tested to (Continued)		

Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)



Unclassified

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

19. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES (cont.)

Mechanics and MOBILITY V--The Proficiency of Armor Organizational Maintenance Sergeants and Senior Track Vehicle Mechanics.

20. ABSTRACT (Cont.)

determine how proficiency changes with experience. Results of tests indicate that mechanics trained in the T/M course were superior to the on-the-job trained men. Findings also indicate very little gain in proficiency with increased years of experience on the job.

Unclassified

2 SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit is established under the command of the Commanding General, United States Continental Army Command. The Human Resources Research Office, the George Washington University, operating under contract with the Department of the Army, employs the Director of Research and other civilian staff members who are assigned to the Unit with the approval of Headquarters, United States Continental Army Command. The Human Resources Research Office provides the Unit with technical supervision in the planning and analysis of the research projects.

Conclusions stated herein do not necessarily represent the official opinion or policy of Headquarters, United States Continental Army Command, or the Department of the Army.

ACCESSION BY	
DTIC	✓
DDC	
A	

①

Briefing on Performance and Proficiency Testing of  
Organizational Track Vehicle Mechanics and  
Maintenance Sergeants

by

John P. Smith

13 October 1961

Approved for public release;  
distribution unlimited

Briefing for the U.S. Continental Army Command

DDC  
RECEIVED  
JAN 30 1976  
*[Signature]*

U.S. Army Armor Human Research Unit  
HUMAN RESOURCES RESEARCH ORGANIZATION

## CONARC BRIEFING ON TASK MOBILITY

As was pointed out in Col. Michaelson's introductory remarks, Task MOBILITY has been in the HumPRO work program as a Conarc requirement. This implies reservations, here at Conarc, about the proficiency of organizational maintenance personnel. You will see that these reservations are well founded.

Since there may be people here who have not served in Armor organizations, at least for a number of years, it may be well to recall to mind who "organizational" maintenance personnel are, and what they do.

"Organizational" means Company and Battalion maintenance sections made up of Track Vehicle Mechanics (TVMs), MOS 632.2 and 632.6, and maintenance sergeants, MOS 632.6 or higher. These sections are organic to tactical organizations, and maintain all tactical vehicles. The Battalion section has some equipment that the Company section does not have, notably a welder, a wrecker, and some special purpose equipment for which they have storage and transportation facilities lacking in the Company. The Battalion also stocks some spare parts. Skill-wise, however, there is no difference between the personnel of the two maintenance sections. There is no provision for sorting out men according to skill, experience, or training, and assigning the superior ones to either section. In practice, the Company TVMs may work in the Battalion section or vice versa, according to local SOP and problems which may arise.

The work of organizational TVMs consists of the following types of tasks, as set forth in Technical Manuals and TM Maintenance Allocation Charts: servicing, repairing, replacing, removing and

installing, testing, trouble shooting, adjusting and inspecting.  
We tested in the last 4 of these areas and I will explain why.

(SLIDE 1)

Servicing, generally speaking, refers to 1st echelon or crew functions such as lubrication and cleaning. The scheduled Quarterly-service, or Q-check performed mainly by the Battalion maintenance section consists mostly of small repairs plus certain required tests such as the dye test performed by Ordnance on oil cooler fans.

"Repairing," in organizational maintenance, does not mean what it sounds like. It refers either to a very simple action, such as taping hatch padding, or it means replacing something, such as a defective track block or generator. The vehicle is repaired by replacing defective components or assemblies; the assembly itself is sent elsewhere for repair or disposal. Organizational mechanics are not authorized to repair any assembly that must be taken apart; they may only remove and replace.

"Replacing," and "removing and installing" are self-evident. Generally speaking, these four categories of tasks account for most of the man-hours or make up the routine work of the maintenance section. The point I wish to make is not simply that routine tasks are routine, but that they all follow from somebody's decision that they must be done. While servicing is done on a calendar or mileage schedule, the remaining actions occur on demand. They are done when someone decides they must be done, and this means that testing, trouble shooting, adjusting and inspecting are necessary pre-conditions for the efficient and economic conduct of the more routine tasks.

Not only are these four categories of tasks basic to the more

SLIDE 1

THE ORGANIZATIONAL TRACK VEHICLE MECHANIC DOES:

SERVICING

REPAIRING

REPLACING

REMOVING AND INSTALLING

and

TESTING

TROUBLE SHOOTING

ADJUSTING

INSPECTING

routines work, they also are very important in their own right. Testing enters into evaluation of the vehicle's state of readiness, into decisions to turn it in to Ordnance or keep it in service, and is also frequently a part of trouble shooting.

Trouble shooting is done when the engine or one of its sub-systems is disabled by a malfunction whose nature is not apparent, or when the vehicle's usefulness is impaired, as by a failure of the light system. Adjusting is necessary for efficiency of the power plant, as in carburetor adjustment or ignition timing; for safety as in brake and steering linkage; and may also have a preventive function as in correct gear band adjustment. Inspection contributes to determination of work required, evaluation of work done, to safety, prevention of damage, and estimation of readiness.

You recognize the importance of these four types of task.

There are two more reasons why we chose to test proficiency in these last four areas of work. First, they are complex tasks of an individual nature to which training should make a major contribution. Secondly, proficiency at these tasks is very highly related to the costs of maintenance, as I will document in a few minutes.

From these four areas we made up an 8-hour test of 30 individual performance exercises. Each was taken from a Technical Manual or from U.S. Army Armor School instructional material. Exercises were suggested by Armor School instructors and by Ordnance Corps Maintenance Technicians (OCMTs) employed at Fort Knox. The OCMTs reviewed all exercises and approved them prior to administration

of the test. After the Fort Knox men were tested, the Armor School reviewed the test and concurred in 29 of the exercises as given. An additional item of special equipment was added to the remaining exercise on their recommendation.

(SLIDE 2)

This slide shows the number of exercises of the different types. Note that there is a category of "spark plugs." These could have been distributed among the other categories, but seemed to make more sense when kept together. Incidentally, all spark plug exercises were given in one testing period.

I wish to emphasize that these exercises were actually performed on five common tactical vehicles--tanks, personnel carriers, and trucks. We did not question mechanics about their knowledge; rather they were given maintenance tasks to perform to the best of their ability. These photographs show testing in progress.

(PHOTOGRAPHS 1-4)

In this first photograph the problem was ignition timing and magneto synchronization. All tools and equipment necessary for this task were at hand. Sergeant Craig, the tester, has a sheet listing each step or operation in this task. After giving the subject his directions all the tester did was mark his scoring sheet as each step was completed. Note that there are two manuals on the table behind Sgt. Craig.

Valve adjustment is the task in the second photograph. The man bent over behind the power plant is the vehicle's driver. He assisted the subject by carrying out specific directions, but did

SLIDE 2

EXERCISES INCLUDED IN MECHANIC TEST:

Type	Number
TROUBLE SHOOTING	
Air-Fuel	3
Electrical	8
ADJUSTING	
With Special Equipment	4
Other	2
TESTING	
Electrical	4
Pressures	4
Specific Gravity	1
SPARK PLUGS	3
INSPECTING	1
<hr/>	
TOTAL TEST	30

nothing unless the mechanic told him to. Vehicle drivers were used in this way at all test stations where it was appropriate.

In the 3rd photo the subject is attempting to identify a starter system malfunction on the M59 armored personnel carrier. Note the size and complexity of the testing device, the Low Voltage Circuit Tester.

In the fourth photograph M/Sgt. Ellis is observing a man trying to diagnose the ailment of this  $\frac{1}{4}$ -ton truck. The man is using a pressure gage. He never got close to the malfunction, which was a defective carburetor. Again, note the presence of driver. The testers, of course, were track vehicle mechanics trained to score their particular stations.

You no doubt noticed that men of several different grades are being tested. I will now describe the subjects.

We wanted to test working mechanics and motor sergeants. In addition to MOS, the support requirement specified that those taken for testing should actually be employed in organizational maintenance. In several of our sub-groups we actually tested every man on the post who fit the training-experience requirements. We tested men both at Fort Knox and in the 3rd Armored Division in Germany--about half the subjects came from each place, 482 mechanics and motor sergeants in all.

We wanted to learn how proficiency changed with experience, so we selected men of less than one year on the job, another group of one to five years on the job, and a third group of over five years maintenance experience. To get a sufficient number of motor sergeants we had to take them as they came, from one-half year to

24½ years of maintenance experience with the average at 11 (10.96) years. We also wanted to determine the relationship between training and proficiency. Somewhat over half of the TVMs were selected to have had a basic TVM course, somewhat less than half had not had this course. Again, the motor sergeants were taken as they came. 61% had taken a TVM course, 39% had not. We also wanted to determine the relationship between general intelligence and proficiency. We recorded the G.T. scores from the Form 20 as our estimate of general intelligence. The following slide gives the subjects tested.

(SLIDE 3)

Referring to the first category of the slide, the 50 Fort Knox subjects were in the last three days of the TVM course when tested. The 34 men of the 3rd Armored Division were "apprentice mechanics," who were learning on the job. They were of course performing maintenance in their units. There were no unusual characteristics about the other remaining groups.

#### Results

The next slide reports average performance by type of exercise. Results are reported separately for the 413 TVMs and the 69 motor sergeants.

(SLIDE 4)

You can see that performance is low in the areas of trouble shooting, adjusting tasks requiring special equipment, and electrical testing tasks.

I will now show you one of the consequences of errors of diagnosis in trouble shooting, a partial list of needless

SLIDE 3

MAINTENANCE PERSONNEL TESTED

<u>Fort Knox</u>	<u>3rd Armored Division</u>	<u>Total</u>
<u>0-1 Year Experience</u>		
50 TVM trained	33 TVM trained 34 OJT	83 <u>34</u> 117
<u>1-5 Years Experience</u>		
43 TVM trained 32 OJT	35 TVM trained 35 OJT	78 <u>67</u> 145
<u>Over 5 Years Experience</u>		
41 TVM trained 36 OJT	35 TVM trained 39 OJT	76 <u>75</u> 151
<u>Avg. 11 Years Experience</u>		
30 Motor Sergeants	39 Motor Sergeants	69
<hr/> <hr/>		
232	250	<u><u>482</u></u>

SLIDE 4

PERCENT OF SUCCESS BY TYPE OF EXERCISE

<u>Type of Exercise</u>	<u>413 TVMs</u>	<u>69 Mtr. Sgts.</u>
TROUBLE SHOOTING		
Air-Fuel	13.7%	20.3%
Electrical	13.2	12.5
ADJUSTING		
With Special Equipment	12.7	17.0
Other	54.6	68.1
TESTING		
Electrical	17.9	24.5
Pressures	43.5	54.7
Specific Gravity	44.3	49.3
SPARK PLUGS	61.1	67.1
<hr/>		
Average Exclusive of Inspecting	26.4	31.4
<hr/>		
INSPECTING (Scored Separately)	40.8	53.6

recommendations for replacement of parts.

(SLIDE 5)

We recorded almost 1150 such errors concerning 109 different assemblies or parts of the 5 vehicles in the test. Four hundred eighty-two men made 1150 errors, but the "lion's share" of these errors were made by the more experienced men including the motor sergeants. Among these more experienced men, those having had a TVM course were the more frequent offenders, not because the on-job trained are the better mechanics but because they more often refused to make a diagnosis. The figure you see there, \$100,484.76, represents only the new price cost of the parts on this slide. It does not include labor or administrative costs. Apparently, these men believe in what is known as "DX" or direct exchange trouble shooting. It should be pointed out that whoever makes the diagnosis is usually the final authority. The TVM is seldom double-checked on his diagnosis.

Earlier I mentioned that we wanted to find out if the TVM course trained mechanics were superior to the on-job trained men. They are; the difference is statistically reliable for total test performance.

(SLIDE 6)

Having determined that TVM trained mechanics are superior, we checked on intelligence as shown by G.T. scores. Those selected for TVM training are, on the average, of superior mental ability. Mental ability is significantly related to proficiency test performance (as shown by the coefficient of correlation of .47). Because of this relationship we conclude that the better proficiency

SLIDE 5

PARTIAL LIST OF ERRORS OF DIAGNOSIS

<u>Replacement Erroneously Recommended</u>	<u>Frequency</u>
spark plug sets	210
generator	149
carburetor	115
regulator	10
magneto	191
starter (assembly or components)	90
distributor	10
engine	2

Needless Expenditure    \$100,484.76

SLIDE 6

SCHOOL vs. ON-JOB TRAINING

<u>Number of Mechanics</u>	<u>Type of Training</u>	<u>Average success, 29 Exercises</u>	<u>Average on Inspection, 7 Exercise</u>
237	School	30.0%	42.0%
176	On-Job	21.5	39.2

test scores of the TVM trained mechanics are due to their higher mental ability, as well as to their training.

One of the findings that surprised us very much is that there is very little gain in proficiency with increased years of experience on the job.

(SLIDE 7)

There are TVM course trained and on-job trained men in each group. The average amount of experience in each group was about 4 months for the first group, just under two years for the second, just under 10 years for the third, and just under 11 years for the motor sergeants.

When this study was planned there was no comprehension on our part of the proficiency levels we would find. Our purpose actually was to pin-point skill and knowledge deficiencies of the mechanics so that corrective action could be taken, and it was only after we had done this that we computed the average results and realized the gravity of the situation. One positive note we can sound is that we did succeed in isolating skill and knowledge deficiencies which promise excellent guidance for both on-job and TVM course training revision. These were common deficiencies or errors. Some were made by as many as 90% of the failing men on some exercises.

(SLIDE 8)

Here is what these personnel did not know.

Insufficient vehicle knowledge was shown in these ways:  
Inability or refusal to attempt an exercise on a particular vehicle, failure to note a missing fuel filter, recommendation of

SLIDE 7

EXPERIENCE AND PROFICIENCY

<u>Number of Mechanics</u>	<u>Years Experience</u>	<u>Average Success, 29 Exercises</u>	<u>Average on Inspection, 1 Exercise</u>
117	Less than 1 year	24.8%	30.2%
145	1-5 years	26.5	40.5
151	Over 5 years	27.5	46.8
 <u>Number of Maintenance Sergeants</u>			
69	11 yrs. average	31.4	53.6

SLIDE 8

AREAS OF SKILL DEFICIENCIES

Knowledge of Vehicles

Sub-systems

Sub-system symptoms

Knowledge of Publications

Utilization

Location of Information

Wiring Diagram Interpretation

Use of Special Equipment

Trouble Shooting

Equipment

Procedures

Double Checking

replacement of carburetors on a fuel injection engine, and straying off one electrical system on to another. Regarding sub-system symptoms, men were very commonly unable to tell an air-fuel from an ignition malfunction--which is why there were so many needless recommendations for spark plugs and magnetos.

On publications, an outstanding fault was failure to use them at all. The compression test, which most men did improperly, is accurately outlined in the TM. Men also generally ignored the TM table of contents and index, thus wasting considerable amounts of time.

Wiring circuit diagram interpretation, although a tremendous stumbling block now may be less of a problem in the future. The -20 series of the multiple manual concept, with their trouble shooting schematic drawings and directions, apparently will make wiring diagrams unnecessary. At least the new TMs, such as TM 9-2320-216-20 (M151  $\frac{1}{4}$ -ton truck) have no wiring diagrams at all. Perhaps in another few years instruction on wiring diagrams will no longer be needed.

Regarding use of special equipment, a major problem is sheer failure to use it. Many men do not know how to use complex testing devices. One Senior mechanic of 17 years experience burned up some wiring on one of our  $\frac{1}{4}$ -ton test vehicles, "trouble shooting" it with a screwdriver. Failure to use TMs is related to failure to use special equipment, for the TM tells when it is necessary although it often does not show how to use it. (This applies to the older vehicles and TMs.)

We observed a great many errors in trouble shooting. Men

did not know how to set up or adjust the Low Voltage Circuit Tester, didn't know how to get good contacts at check points, attempted to make a ground contact on a painted or greasy surface, and reversed their leads, to name the more common ones.

Men do not know their trouble shooting procedures. Notably, this includes failure to make operational checks, such as testing generator output with the engine revved up. Many of the generators needlessly condemned resulted from this, for nothing can be determined about the generator while the engine is idling. There also were other errors that may be grouped under the heading of lack of knowledge of systematic procedures.

Double checking of a diagnosis in trouble shooting was extremely rare. The mechanics could have checked their diagnosis of "bad mags" simply by revving up the engine and performing an rpm "drop check," if they had known—or thought—to do so.

This concludes the presentation of data. Col. Spires has some comments to make and then we will attempt to answer any questions you wish to ask.