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ABSTRACT

A test was conducted in the Propulsion Wind Tunnel, Transonic (16T), of the Propulsion Wind Tunnel Facility to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of a full-scale F-101 ejection seat occupied by an anthropomorphic dummy at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8. Angle of attack was varied from -45 to +90 deg, and angle of yaw was varied from 0 to +45 deg, depending on the angle of attack. Reynolds number effects on the model aerodynamic characteristics at Mach numbers of 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 were within the precision of the data through the Reynolds number range investigated. For the moment reference center selected, the model was longitudinally stable through the angle-of-attack range from -45 to approximately +20 deg at Mach number 0.2 and to approximately +10 deg at Mach number 0.8. The model was directionally and laterally unstable near zero angle of yaw at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 0.8.
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NOMENCLATURE

A  Model frontal projected area, $8.25 \text{ ft}^2$

A1 Model reference area based on half-scale model, $6.92 \text{ ft}^2$

CA Axial-force coefficient, positive downstream, $F_A/q_{\infty A}$

CA1 Axial-force coefficient relative to model axis system for the half-scale model, positive downstream, $[C_A \cos \theta - C_N \sin \theta](A/A_1)$

Cq Rolling-moment coefficient, positive clockwise looking upstream, $M_g/q_{\infty A}l$

Cm Pitching-moment coefficient, positive nose up, $M_m/q_{\infty A}l$

Cm1 Pitching-moment coefficient relative to the moment reference center for the half-scale model, positive nose up, $[lC_m - X_T C_N + Z_T C_A](A/A_1 l_1)$

CN Normal-force coefficient, positive up, $F_N/q_{\infty A}$

CN1 Normal-force coefficient relative to model axis system for the half-scale model, positive up, $[C_N \cos \theta - C_A \sin \theta](A/A_1)$

Cn Yawing-moment coefficient, positive nose right, $M_n/q_{\infty A}l$

CY Side-force coefficient, positive to the right looking upstream, $F_Y/q_{\infty A}$

FA Model axial force, positive downstream, lbf

FN Model normal force, positive up, lbf

FY Model side force, positive to the right looking upstream, lbf

l Model reference length, $\sqrt{4A/\pi}$, 3.241 ft
\( \ell_1 \)  
Model reference length based on half-scale model, 4.0 ft

\( M_g \)  
Model rolling moment, positive clockwise looking upstream, ft-lbf

\( M_m \)  
Model pitching moment, positive nose up, ft-lbf

\( M_n \)  
Model yawing moment, positive nose right, ft-lbf

\( M_\infty \)  
Free-stream Mach number

\( q_\infty \)  
Free-stream dynamic pressure, psf

\( Re,\ell \)  
Free-stream Reynolds number per foot, 1/ft

\( V_\infty \)  
Free-stream velocity, ft/sec

\( X_T \)  
Location of half-scale moment reference center relative to the full-scale moment reference center as measured in the full-scale model axis system, positive direction forward of full-scale moment reference center, -0.3612 ft

\( Z_T \)  
Location of half-scale moment reference center relative to the full-scale moment reference center as measured in the full-scale model axis system, positive direction below the full-scale moment reference center, -0.0025 ft

\( \alpha \)  
Model angle of attack, positive nose up, deg

\( \alpha_1 \)  
Model angle of attack relative to model axis system for the half-scale model, positive nose up, \( \alpha + \theta \), deg

\( \theta \)  
Rotation of half-scale model axis system relative to the full-scale model axis system, positive nose up, 9.25 deg

\( \psi \)  
Model yaw angle, positive nose right, deg
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SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

The objective of this test program was to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of a full-scale, F-101 ejection seat occupied by an anthropomorphic dummy at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 0.8. Angle of attack was varied from -45 to +90 deg; and angle of yaw was varied from 0 to +45 deg, depending on the angle of attack.

SECTION II
APPARATUS

2.1 TEST FACILITY

Tunnel 16T is a continuous-flow, closed-circuit wind tunnel capable of operating within a Mach number range from 0.2 to 1.6. The test section is 16 by 16 ft in cross section and 40 ft in length. The tunnel can be operated within a stagnation pressure range from 120 to 4,000 psfa depending on the Mach number. Stagnation temperature can be varied from approximately 80 to a maximum of 160°F, and the specific humidity of the air is controlled by removing tunnel air and supplying conditioned makeup air from an atmospheric dryer. A more complete description of the Tunnel 16T and its operating characteristics is contained in Ref. 1.

2.2 TEST ARTICLE

The test article was a full-scale F-101 ejection seat occupied by a 95-percentile anthropomorphic dummy dressed in flight boots and helmet and wearing a backpack BA-18 parachute. The seat is a catapult-powered, open-type upward ejection seat; and for this test program, the back of the seat was modified for force-balance attachment to a sting support system. A flange-face, model-to-sting attachment provided the capability to pitch the test article through an angle-of-attack range from -45 to +90 deg. Yaw angle capability was achieved by a combination of sting pitch and roll angle. A sketch showing the sting support system and the location of the test article in the wind tunnel test section is shown in Fig. 1 (Appendix). Also shown in Fig. 1 are the two flange-face attachments used during this test program to provide a model pitch capability from -45 to +90 deg. Wind tunnel installation photographs of the test article are shown in Fig. 2, and the major dimensions of the ejection seat are shown in Fig. 3.

2.3 INSTRUMENTATION

A six-component, strain-gage balance was used to measure model forces and moments. The balance data were recorded on the facility automatic digital data system, and the balance outputs were continuously recorded on a direct-writing oscillograph for monitoring model dynamics.
SECTION III
PROCEDURE

3.1 TEST CONDITIONS

The model was tested at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 through an angle-of-attack range from -45 to +90 deg. Yaw angle was varied from 0 to +45 deg depending on the angle of attack. The data for Mach number 0.2 were obtained at simulated sea-level test conditions. The data for Mach numbers 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 were obtained at a nominal free-stream dynamic pressure of 160 psf. The effect of Reynolds number on model aerodynamic characteristics was also investigated at Mach numbers of 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8.

3.2 DATA REDUCTION

The balance force and moment data were corrected for weight tares and reduced to coefficient form in the body axis system as shown in Fig. 4. The moment coefficients were referred to the moment reference center also shown in Fig. 4. The force and moment coefficients were based on a reference area equal to the model projected frontal area and a reference length equal to the diameter of a circle whose area is equal to the model projected frontal area.

3.3 PRECISION OF MEASUREMENTS

The uncertainty of free-stream Mach number in Tunnel 16T, as estimated from tunnel calibrations, is ±0.003 at subsonic Mach numbers. The uncertainties of the tunnel parameters were combined with the uncertainties of the balance measurements to estimate the precision of the model parameters as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>±0.1 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\psi$</td>
<td>±0.1 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_A$</td>
<td>±0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_N$</td>
<td>±0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_Y$</td>
<td>±0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_L$</td>
<td>±0.007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_m$</td>
<td>±0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_n$</td>
<td>±0.008</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SECTION IV
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1 REYNOLDS NUMBER EFFECT

Reynolds number effects were investigated at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8. The data presented in Figs. 5 and 6 show that Reynolds number effects were
within the precision of the data over the range of Reynolds numbers investigated at each Mach number.

4.2 MODEL AERODYNAMIC CHARACTERISTICS

The variation of the model force and moment coefficients with angle of attack and yaw are presented in Figs. 7 and 8, respectively. The data presented in Fig. 7 in the angle-of-attack range from -5 to +40 deg were obtained from tests with each of the two flange-face attachment arrangements (see Fig. 1) used during this test program. The longitudinal, static stability characteristics of the model can be interpreted from the slopes of the pitching-moment and normal-force coefficient with angle of attack. For the moment reference center selected, the data presented in Fig. 7 indicate that the model was longitudinally stable in the angle-of-attack range from -45 to approximately +20 deg at \( M_a = 0.2 \) and to approximately +10 deg at \( M_a = 0.8 \). However, the model trim angle was approximately -35 deg in the Mach number range from 0.2 to 0.8. The directional, static stability characteristics can be obtained in a similar manner from the yawing-moment and side-force coefficient data presented in Fig. 8. These data indicate that, for zero angle of attack, the model was directionally unstable at angles of yaw less than approximately 30 deg at \( M_a = 0.2 \) and approximately 15 deg at \( M_a = 0.8 \). For angles of yaw greater than 30 deg at \( M_a = 0.2 \) and 15 deg at \( M_a = 0.8 \), the model exhibited neutral, directional stability. In general, directional instability increased at the positive angles of attack and decreased at the negative angles of attack. The lateral stability characteristics can be interpreted from the slope of the rolling-moment coefficient with angle of yaw. These data, as shown in Fig. 8, indicate that, for zero angle of attack, the model was laterally unstable in the Mach number range from 0.2 to 0.8. Lateral instability generally increased at the positive angles of attack and decreased at the negative angles of attack.

4.3 DATA COMPARISON WITH HALF-SCALE MODEL

A data comparison between the full-scale F-101 ejection seat model and a similar half-scale model at \( M_a = 0.6 \) is shown in Fig. 9. A model installation photograph of the half-scale model is shown in Fig. 10, and the results of the half-scale model test program are documented in Ref. 2. To compare the data, the axial-force and normal-force coefficients for the full-scale model were rotated to the body axis system of the half-scale model, and the pitching-moment coefficient for the full-scale model was transferred to the moment reference center of the half-scale model. As shown in Fig. 9, the normal-force coefficients for the full- and half-scale models agree reasonably well through the angle-of-attack range from -40 to +100 deg. The axial-force and pitching-moment coefficients agree reasonably well through the angle-of-attack range from 0 to +100 and from -40 to 0 deg, respectively. The discontinuity of the axial-force coefficient for the half-scale model at zero angle-of-attack was caused by model-to-sting installation effects; two model-to-sting installation arrangements were required to obtain data through the angle-of-attack range from -40 to +100 deg. It is probable that the disagreement shown for the axial-force and pitching-moment coefficients are predominately the result of model-to-sting installation effects.
SECTION V
CONCLUDING REMARKS

Tests were conducted in Tunnel 16T to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of a full-scale, F-101 ejection seat occupied by an anthropomorphic dummy at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 0.8. Angle of attack was varied from -45 to +90 deg, and angle of yaw was varied from 0 to 45 deg, depending on the angle of attack. A data comparison between the full-scale, F-101 ejection seat model and a similar half-scale model was made at Mach number 0.6. The major results obtained are as follow:

1. Reynolds number effects on the aerodynamic characteristics of the full-scale model at Mach numbers of 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 were within the precision of the data through the range of Reynolds numbers investigated.

2. For the moment reference center selected, the model was longitudinally stable through the angle-of-attack range from -45 to approximately +20 deg at Mach number 0.2 and to approximately +10 deg at Mach number 0.8. The model was directionally and laterally unstable near zero angle of yaw at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 0.8.

3. The normal-force coefficients for the full- and half-scale models agree reasonably well through the angle-of-attack range from -40 to +100 deg. The axial-force and pitching-moment coefficients agree reasonably well through the angle-of-attack range from 0 to +100 deg and from -40 to 0 deg, respectively.
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A test was conducted in the Propulsion Wind Tunnel, Transonic (16T) of the Propulsion Wind Tunnel Facility to determine the aerodynamic characteristics of a full-scale F-101 ejection seat occupied by an anthropomorphic dummy at free-stream Mach numbers of 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8. Angle of attack was varied from -45 to +90 deg, and angle of yaw was varied from 0 to +45 deg, depending on the angle of attack. Reynolds number effects on the model aerodynamic characteristics at Mach numbers of 0.4, 0.6, and 0.8 were within the precision of the data through the Reynolds number range investigated. For the moment reference center selected, the model was longitudinally stable through the angle-of-attack range from -45 to approximately +20 deg at Mach number 0.2 and to approximately +10 deg at Mach number 0.8. The model was directionally and laterally unstable near zero angle of yaw at Mach numbers from 0.2 to 0.8.
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