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ABSTRACT

An investigation has been undertaken to study, in a well-defined laboratory situation, the influence of chemistry on turbulence structure in compressible flows. The principal effort has consisted of the design of experiments for demonstrating the effect of exothermic energy release on turbulent flow structure, the development of sensitive instrumentation for the accurate measurement of both time-average and fluctuating scalar quantities (temperature and concentration), the development of techniques for reducing the raw data derived from the sensors and their associated electronics to forms suitable for correlation and analysis, and the completion of several experiments under "self-mixing" conditions without injection and under wake conditions with and without injection. Calculations of the effectiveness parameter for the NO$_2$ reacting system have shown that the recombining NO$_2$ system (to form N$_2$O$_4$) will exhibit a sufficiently large effectiveness parameter under laboratory conditions so that the turbulence in a wake flow should be significantly modified. Appropriate experiments for demonstrating this effect were designed. An improved fiber optic probe was developed for use in the heated reacting gases (T = 800°K, P = 10 atm). Experiments were completed with air, N$_2$, and reacting NO$_2$. Both total rms and spectral data were obtained. In the case of heated fully developed turbulent tube flow, the temperature and concentration intensities were found to be as great as an order of magnitude higher in the nonequilibrium reacting gas than in nonreacting air. The wake measurements were made under three separate conditions: no injection, injection with no temperature or concentration difference between the bulk flow stream and the injectant stream, and injection with a significant temperature and concentration difference between the bulk flow stream and the injectant stream. The results show that the turbulent temperature intensities were approximately one hundred and fifty percent greater in the reacting wake than in the nonreacting wake with an injection flow rate on the order of ten percent of the body intercepted flow rate. The same level of turbulent temperature increase was measured regardless of whether recombination (exothermic) or dissociation (endothermic) reactions were promoted in the wake.
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NOMENCLATURE

\( a \) = proportionality constant relating the light intensity incident on the end of the photomultiplier tube to the resulting voltage output of the tube (intensity/volts)

\( a_\xi \) = frozen speed of sound (ft/sec)

\( b_i \) = product of extinction coefficient and transmitted light path length \( \equiv \kappa_i (\lambda, T) I \) (gm moles/liter)-1

\( B \) = proportionality factor relating the effectiveness parameter to the characteristic energy and time ratios and the turbulence Mach number (1)

\( BS \) = designated turboblower setting as indicated by the dial on the Varidrive

\( BW \) = bandwidth of wave analyzer (cycles/second or Hertz)

\( C \) = conversion factor relating voltage output of thermocouple to sensed temperature (volts/f)

\( C \) = coefficient of discharge of orifice plate

\( C \) = total molar concentration of the gas system (moles/cm²)

\( C_i \) = molar concentration of species \( i \) (gm moles/liter)

\( c_i \) = fluctuating concentration of species \( i \) (gm moles/liter)

\( C_p \) = isobaric specific heat (Btu/lb mol °R)

\( d \) = diameter of orifice in orifice plate (ft)

\( d_p \) = probe diameter (inches)

\( D \) = test section inside diameter (ft)

\( D \) = diameter of injection cylinder

\( D \) = averaged binary diffusion coefficient for \( \text{NO}_2\cdot\text{O}_2 \) and \( \text{NO}_2\cdot\text{NO} \) systems (cm²/sec)

\( e \) = fluctuating voltage (volts)
$e_{S+N}$ = fluctuating voltage with signal and noise information (volts)

$e_N$ = fluctuating voltage with only noise information (volts)

$E$ = voltage applied to heater at location of test probes (volts)

$E$ = instantaneous voltage from the sample beam (volts)

$E_0$ = instantaneous voltage from the reference beam (volts)

$f$ = Fanning friction factor

$f$ = frequency (cycles/second or Hertz)

$f_D$ = frequency based on test section diameter and centerline axial flow velocity (Hz)

$f_{TS}$ = frequency based on test section length (5 ft) and centerline axial flow velocity (Hz)

$s$ = local acceleration due to gravity (ft/sec$^2$)

$g_c$ = gravitational constant = 32.17 lb$_m$ ft/lb$_f$ sec$^2$

$G$ = mass flow rate (lb$_m$/sec ft$^2$)

$G$ = gain of amplifier(s)

$h$ = vertical displacement of liquid level in manometer (inches H$_2$O)

$h$ = elevation (kft)

$H$ = specific enthalpy (Btu/lb$_m$)

$\Delta H_R$ = molar heat of reaction (cal/mole)

$\Delta H_R$ = specific heat of reaction (Btu/lb or cal/gm)

$I$ = current through heater at location of test probes (amps)

$I$ = light intensity transmitted through the gap of absorbing gas (intensity)
\( l_0 \) = incident light intensity (intensity)

\( k \) = thermal conductivity (Btu/ft·hr·F)

\( k_D \) = forward specific reaction rate constant used by Rosser and Wise (26) (cm\(^3\)/mole·sec)

\( k_F \) = forward specific reaction rate constant [(cm\(^3\)/gm mole)\(^n\)-1 sec\(^{-1}\) where \( n \) = order of reaction \( \neq 1 \)]

\( k_R \) = reverse specific reaction rate constant [(cm\(^3\)/gm mole)\(^n\)-1 sec\(^{-1}\) where \( n \) = order of reaction \( \neq 1 \)]

\( k_1 \) = one-dimensional wave number (cm\(^{-1}\))

\( k_{1D} \) = one-dimensional wave number based on test section diameter (cm\(^{-1}\))

\( k_{1TS} \) = one-dimensional wave number based on test section length (5 ft) (cm\(^{-1}\))

\( K \) = flow coefficient for an orifice plate defined by the equation

\[
K = \frac{C}{\sqrt{1 + \beta^4}}
\]

\( K \) = distance from pressure port to upper level of manometer fluid (in.)

\( l \) = characteristic turbulent scale (cm)

\( \ell \) = gap width of optical probe (cm)

\( \ell_{TS} \) = length of center test section = 5 feet

\( L \) = length of heater or distance between static pressure ports; distance in axial direction (ft)

\( m \) = mass velocity (lb\(_m\)/sec)

\( M \) = molecular weight (gm/gm mole)

\( \phi \) = mesh (distance between square openings of rods or wires in grid or screen) (cm)
$N^\text{Da}_{11\text{NO}_2}^{(t)}$ = Damköhler number of the second type for NO$_2$ defined by

$$N^\text{Da}_{11\text{NO}_2}^{(t)} = \frac{k_D \left( \bar{C}_{\text{NO}_2} \right)_c}{\bar{D} \, k_1 \, D}$$

$N_{\text{Le}_\xi}$ = frozen Lewis number defined by $N_{\text{Le}_\xi} = \frac{C_p \rho D_{12}}{k_\xi}$

$N_{\text{Ma}}$ = turbulence Mach number

$$N_{\text{Ma}} = \frac{u}{a_\xi}$$

$N_{\text{Pe}}^{(t)}$ = Peclet number defined by $N_{\text{Pe}}^{(t)} = \frac{U'}{\bar{D} \, k_1 \, D}$

$N_{\text{Pr}}$ = Prandtl number defined by $N_{\text{Pr}} = \frac{C_p \mu}{k}$

$N_{\text{Re}}$ = Reynolds number defined by $N_{\text{Re}} = \frac{D \, C}{\mu}$

$N_{Sc}$ = Schmidt number defined by $N_{Sc} = \frac{\mu \, e \, D}{k} = \frac{N_{Pr} \, N_{Le}}{N_{Le}}$

$P$ = total system pressure (atm)

$\Delta P$ = pressure drop in system or across flow meter (lb/ft$^2$)

$P_R$ = power introduced into (or extracted from) the turbulent flow due to the presence of chemical reaction (cal/sec)

$P_T$ = power passing through the turbulent flow due to the inertial forces themselves (cal/sec)

$q$ = heat flux (positive if direction of transport is from wall to fluid) (Btu/hr)

$Q$ = heat flux density $= q/A$ (Btu/hr·ft$^2$)

$r$ = radial distance from the centerline of the tube to a point of interest (ft)


\( r_0 \) = tube radius (feet)

\( R \) = gas constant equal to 10.731 \( \text{ft}^3 \text{lb} / \text{in.}^2 \text{lb mole} \)

\( R = 1.987 \text{ cal/mole} \cdot \text{K} = 82.06 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ atm/mole} \cdot \text{K} \)

\( (R_{TC})_f \) = resistances to heat transfer by convection through gas film around spherical bead of thermocouple (f) or by conduction through the bead itself (c) (hr °F/ BTU)

\( t \) = time (min)

\( T \) = temperature (°F)

\( U \) = local longitudinal velocity at some location within the test section (ft/sec)

\( u \) = turbulent (or fluctuating) velocity (cm/sec)

\( U \) = mass average velocity defined by \( U \equiv m/p_b \pi r_0^2 \) (ft/sec)

\( x \) = distance between two locations of temperature measurement (in.)

\( x \) = distance from injection cylinder to location of measuring sites; i.e., thermocouple, pitot tube, and optical probe tips

\( x_{NO_2} \) = mole fraction of NO_2

\( y \) = distance from the pipe wall to a point of measurement (ft)

\( y_L \) = mass fraction of species i

\( Y \) = an expansion factor related empirically to the discharge or flow coefficient for a gas to that for a liquid at the same Reynolds number

\( z \) = longitudinal position in test section (ft)

**Greek Symbols:**

\( \beta \) = ratio of orifice diameter to pipe diameter

\( \epsilon \) = wall roughness (rms inches)

\( \epsilon_{NO_2} (\lambda, T) \) = extinction coefficient for NO_2 at wavelength, \( \lambda \), and temperature, \( T[(\text{mole/liter})^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}] \)

xvi
\( \Lambda \) = ratio of output signal to input signal of sensor divided by permanent signal attenuation due to its finite time constant

\( \eta \) = effectiveness parameter (see Equation 2)

\( \lambda \) = wavelength of light (angstroms)

\( \mu \) = viscosity (lb m/ft sec)

\( \xi \) = degree of advancement of a chemical reaction, for the \( \text{NO}_2 \) decomposition (Reference 31)

\[
\xi = \frac{1 - x_{\text{NO}_2}}{1 + \frac{x_{\text{NO}_2}}{2}} \text{(km moles)}
\]

\( \pi \) = 3.1416

\( \rho \) = mass density (lb m/ft^3)

\( \tau \) = shear stress (lb f/ft^2)

\( (T_{1C})_f \) = characteristic response time of thermocouple due to convective heat transfer through the gas film about the thermocouple bead (sec)

\( (T_{1C})_c \) = characteristic response time of thermocouple due to conductive heat transfer through its junction bead (sec)

\( \tau_e \) = characteristic turbulent eddy lifetime (sec)

\( \tau_c \) = characteristic energy addition or extraction rate due to exothermic or endothermic reaction (sec)

\( \theta_t^r(f) \) = rms fluctuating temperature at frequency \( f \) (F/cps)

\[
\theta_t^r(f) = \left[ \theta_t^2(f) \right]^{1/2}
\]

\( \theta_c^r(f) \) = rms fluctuating concentration at frequency \( f \) [(moles/liter)/cps]

\( \theta_t^t \) = total rms fluctuating temperature (F)
\( \Theta_u \) = total rms fluctuating velocity (ft/sec)
\( \Theta_C \) = total rms fluctuating concentration (moles/liter)

**Superscripts:**

* = root mean square value of a fluctuating quantity

**Subscripts:**

A = refers to the cross sectional area of the test section wall or to the upper static pressure port

b = refers to properties of the bulk gas

B = refers to the lower static pressure port

c = refers to a value corrected for instrument error or to test section centerline

e = chemical equilibrium state

f = refers to properties evaluated at the film temperature defined by \( T_f = (T_w + T_b)/2 \)

f' = refers to properties evaluated at a film temperature corresponding to \( H_f = (H_w + H_b)/2 \)

F = designates frictional pressure drop

G = refers to the gas used to pressurize instrument lines

h = refers to the period of time during which the heater at the probe location was on

i = refers to insulation or to the inside pipe dimensions

L = refers to longitudinal measurements along the pipe wall

M = refers to the manometer or manometer fluid
ow = refers to measurements made at the outer pipe wall
op = orifice plate (flange taps, squared edged orifice)
pt = pitot tube (or total head - static pressure)
sp = static pressure (at wall along tube length)
T = refers to the total quantity of total time interval
w = refers to measurements made at the inside wall of the test section
1, 2 = denotes different radial positions in the test section insulation; or 1 = upstream position, 2 = downstream position
\xi = refers to a property evaluated at (locally) frozen conditions
\infty = refers to bulk gas conditions before entering the test section or bulk gas conditions upstream of the injection cylinder. Refers to bulk gas properties in the test section or injection line calculated from orifice plate data
i = refers to injection line or to properties in the injection cylinder
\infty i = refers to bulk gas properties downstream of the injection cylinder
x/D = refers to bulk gas properties downstream of the injection cylinder
i\infty = refers to gas properties in the injection line
Bl = refers to (injection) body intercepted properties

Overlines:
---
\bar{} = time average
SECTION I

INTRODUCTION

1.1 BACKGROUND

Radar backscattering measurements can be used to infer the size and weight characteristics of re-entry bodies from the structure of their turbulent wakes generated as they re-enter the earth's atmosphere. The structure of these wakes can be characterized by such properties as: electron density fluctuation intensity and scale, growth of turbulent electron wake with downstream distance, altitude for the transition from a laminar to turbulent wake, and the transition location relative to the body. If any of these properties can be significantly altered by the injection of modest amounts of appropriate additives, then a new tool would be available to the re-entry system designer whereby small bodies could be made to look, from a radar backscatter signature standpoint, either larger or smaller than they actually are.

That there could be additives which could cause such changes in turbulent re-entry wakes is suggested by some recent theoretical results (References 1 and 2) which indicate that significant changes in turbulent structure would be expected for compressible flows in which exothermic or endothermic chemical reactions occur fast enough and with large enough chemical reaction heat effects. Such a nonlinear alteration of the turbulence by chemical reactions is the converse of the more usual situation in which the turbulence significantly affects the chemistry (Reference 3). Interactions between the transport process and fluid state with the turbulent structure of the flow have been previously predicted (Reference 4). No direct experimental determination has been made of the effect on turbulent structure of chemical reactions which deposit or absorb sufficient energy in the flow at a fast enough rate. An appropriate flow system and instrumentation has now been developed so that such a determination can now be made under well-defined conditions for the range of variables of interest. The following paragraph outlines the scope of the program undertaken to obtain the answers needed to evaluate the feasibility of this concept for significantly enhancing re-entry system penetration capability.

1.2 PROGRAM OBJECTIVES

The overall long-range objectives of this program are to: (1) determine which chemical property-flow parameters are sensitive measures of the effect of chemical reaction on turbulence structure, (2) determine, by experimental means, how various turbulent structure properties of a flow depend on those parameters, (3) determine which materials have the desired physico-chemical characteristics to be appropriate candidates for a re-entry application, (4) determine the change in turbulent structure achieved in a turbulent wake as a function of the injection flow rate and state of the most promising candidate materials, and (5) evaluate by
pertinent flow calculations, the effectiveness of this approach for modifying the turbulence of re-entry wakes.

The first year’s effort has now been defined in scope so as to include some work in areas (1), (2), and (3), with completion of work in these areas, as well as in areas (4) and (5) planned for the following year.

The main thrust of the first year’s program is to carry out some selected experiments in an appropriately modified flow system with suitable instrumentation and with both the chemistry and flow conditions well defined. The subtask breakdown is as follows:

**TASK 7.4**

**EXOTHERMIC ADDITIVES FOR WAKE MODIFICATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtask</th>
<th>Scope</th>
<th>Approximate Percent of Total Task</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.4.1 Experiment Design</td>
<td>Analysis of existing theoretical studies, modification of chemical models for systems of interest, determination of experimental conditions required in order to measure effects.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4.2 Test Apparatus Design</td>
<td>Development of design for: modified test section of the flow system, injection and pressure control system, modified instrumentation, and modified turboblower seal and temperature control system.</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4.3 Fabrication, Assembly, and Calibration</td>
<td>Preparation of flow system and instrumentation for experimental measurements.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4.4 Experimental Test</td>
<td>Measurements made with both nonreacting and nonequilibrium reacting gases.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.4.5 Data Reduction and Analysis</td>
<td>Procedures developed for reducing the raw data to forms suitable for analysis, and determination of correlations of derived results.</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For convenience in reporting on the progress achieved on each of these subtasks, Section II treats Subtask 7.4.1 by itself, while Section III deals with the combination of Subtasks 7.4.2 and 7.4.3, and Section IV covers Subtasks 7.4.4 and 7.4.5.
SECTION II
EXPERIMENT DESIGN

2.1 MAGNITUDE OF EFFECTIVENESS PARAMETER FOR VARIOUS EXOTHERMICALLY REACTING SYSTEMS

It has been shown (Reference 2) that the effectiveness parameter, \( \eta \), defined as the ratio of the power added to the turbulent field by the exothermic energy release of species reacting in the flow to the total power passing through the turbulence is a direct measure of the influence of chemical reactions on turbulent structure. If this power ratio is greater than 0.1, the scale, intensity, and (turbulent) diffusivity for momentum transport are all significantly affected by the presence of the exothermic reaction in the flow. Both intensity and diffusivity, according to the theoretical model, can be increased by approximately an order of magnitude if \( \eta \) is on the order of one. Two principal problems exist: is \( \eta \) the appropriate measure of the influence of exothermic energy release on turbulent structure, and how do the various measures of turbulent structure vary with \( \eta \)? In order to make an application of this interaction for re-entry system design, it is also necessary to determine which chemical species will exhibit a \( \eta \) value on the order of one.

The power ratio,

\[
\eta = \frac{P_R}{P_T}
\]  

has been predicted to depend on the chemical and flow parameters in the following way (Reference 2),

\[
\eta = B \left( \frac{\gamma / (\gamma - 1)}{u^2} \right) \left( \frac{T_e}{T_c} \right)^{\frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1}}
\]

where the proportionality factor, \( B \), is not far from unity and has a relatively narrow dynamic range. We have used Equation (2) to make estimates of \( \eta \) for a hypothetical re-entry flight case and for situations characteristic of the flow conditions achievable in the recirculating flow system described in Section III. The results of these calculations are as follows:

**CASE I RE-ENTRY FLIGHT OF CONE**

\[ h \sim 100 \text{ Kft} \]
\[ U_{\text{BODY}} \sim 20 \text{ Kft/sec} \]
\[ L \sim D_{\text{BODY}} = 1 \text{ ft} \]
\[ T_{\text{WAKE}} \sim 2000^\circ \text{K} \]
\[ u \sim 0.3 (\overline{u}_c - \overline{u}_\infty) \]
For Oxygen Atom Recombination:
\[
\tau_c = \left( k_{F \cdot O \cdot M} \right)^{-1}; \quad 0 + 0 + M \rightarrow 0_2 + M
\]
\[
\eta \sim 0.01
\]

For Hydrocarbon Oxidation:
\[
\left( \frac{\tau_{OXYGEN}}{\tau_{HYDROCARBON}} \right) \sim 10^4
\]
\[
\frac{\Delta H_{HYDROCARBON}}{\Delta H_{ROXYGEN}} \sim 3
\]
\[
\eta \sim 300
\]

CASE 2 GRID, SPHERE, OR CYLINDER WAKE IN RECIRCULATING FLOW SYSTEM

For Nitric Oxide Oxidation (Grid or Sphere Wake):
\[
2 \text{ NO} + \frac{1}{2} \text{ O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{ NO}_2
\]
\[
u \sim 0.3 \overline{U}_\infty
\]
\[
\overline{U}_\infty = 50 \text{ ft/sec}
\]
\[
\ell = 1 \text{ inch}
\]
\[
u \sim 15 \text{ ft/sec}
\]
\[
K_{Ma} = \frac{u}{a_\xi} \sim 0.01
\]
\[
\tau_e \sim \frac{\ell}{u} \sim 0.006 \text{ sec}
\]
\[
\frac{1}{\tau_c} = - \frac{1}{C_{NO}} \frac{d C_{NO}}{dt} = k_{F \cdot NO} C_{NO} C_{O_2}
\]
\[
\tau_{c,1 \text{ atm, } 800^0 \text{K}} \sim 6 \text{ sec}; \quad \tau_{c,10 \text{ atm, } 800^0 \text{K}} \sim 0.06 \text{ sec}
\]
\[
\left( u \right)^2 \sim 0.005 \text{ cal/gm}
\]
\[
\left[ y_1 \Delta H_R / 2 \right] \sim 150 \text{ cal/gm} (y_1 \sim 1)
\]
\[
\eta_{10 \text{ atm}} \sim (0.2) \left( \frac{150}{0.005} \right) \left( \frac{0.006}{0.06} \right) (0.01) \approx 10^{-5}
\]
For Nitrogen Dioxide Recombination (Cylinder Wake):

\[ \frac{k_a}{k_d} \]

\[ 2 \text{NO}_2 \rightarrow \text{N}_2 \text{O}_4 \]

\[ \beta = 0.2 \]

For Conditions of Experiment IN-2a (see Paragraph 4.4):

\[ y_{\text{NO}_2} = 0.73 \]

\[ \Delta H_R \approx 150 \text{cal/gm NO}_2 \]

\[ u \approx 0.3 (\bar{U}_\infty - \bar{U}_c) \]

\[ u \approx 5 \text{ft/sec}, \quad u^2 = 5.55 \times 10^{-4} \text{cal/gm} \]

\[ L = 0.25 \text{in.} \]

\[ \tau_e = 0.0042 \text{sec} \]

\[ a_x (5.5 \text{atm, 386} \text{K}) = 880 \text{ft/sec} \]

\[ N_{\text{Ma}} = 0.00568 \]

\[ \tau_c^{-1} = k_a \left( \overline{C}_{\text{NO}_2} \right)_e \]

\[ k_a \ (a \ 386 \text{K}) = 5.88 \times 10^8 \left( \text{ft/gm mole sec} \right) \ (6) \]

\[ \left( \overline{C}_{\text{NO}_2} \right)_e = 0.159 \text{gm moles/L} \]

\[ \tau_c = 1.07 \times 10^{-8} \text{sec} \]

\[ \eta = (0.2) \left| \begin{array}{c} (0.73) \ (150/2) \ \left( 4.2 \times 10^{-2} \right) \ \left( 0.568 \times 10^{-2} \right)^4 \end{array} \right| \]

\[ \eta = 8.1 \]
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These calculations show that in the re-entry case considered the three-body oxygen recombination reaction is too slow to lead to an appreciable effect, but that the much faster and more energetic two-body hydrocarbon oxidation reaction is capable of yielding \( \tau \) values much greater than one. In addition, the two-body recombination of NO, is shown to be a suitable material for use in laboratory experiments providing the pressure, velocity, and scale are kept large.

The exothermic heats of reaction of several systems are compared in the following tabulation:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>( -\Delta H_R ) (cal/gm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( O + O + M )</td>
<td>3,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( HC + O_2 )</td>
<td>11,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIBORANE ( (B_2H_6) + O_2 )</td>
<td>17,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HYDRAZINE ( (N_2H_4) + O_2 )</td>
<td>4,600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( H_2 + O_2 )</td>
<td>28,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDMH + ( O_2 )</td>
<td>7,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNT + ( O_2 ) *</td>
<td>3,600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* \( \Delta H_{\text{EXPLOSION}} = 1100 \text{ cal/gm} \)

Selection of a system for practical application will be based primarily on consideration of the value of \( \tau \) for the situation of interest, since the specific exothermic heat of reaction cannot exceed, for most systems, several tens of thousands of calories/gram (\( \tau \) is greatest for the largest \(-\Delta H_R\) and the smallest \( \tau_c \)).

2.2 FLOW CONFIGURATION AND INSTRUMENTATION

Since the nitrogen dioxide recombination reaction exhibits a high enough \( \tau \) to significantly affect the usual measures of turbulent structure, a series of experiments were designed for the use of this reacting system in the recirculating flow facility described in Section III. In Figure 1 the experiments designed for either flow behind a biplanar grid (injection of NO\(_2\) through small holes in the rods of the grid) or a sphere (injection at the forward and rear stagnation points - injectant brought into sphere...
Figure 1. Experiment design for NO₂ recombination in grid or sphere wake-test section schematic.
through its side supporting tubes) located at the entrance to the test section. The flow configuration suitable for wake injection studies is shown in Figure 2. The instrumentation shown schematically in Figures 1 and 2 is described in detail in Section III.

Experiments were carried out as a function of pressure in order to find the pressure closest to 1 atmosphere at which significant effects can be measured. The initial experiments were conducted at 10 atmospheres total system pressure in order to ensure the achievement of maximum effect on the turbulent flow structure.

The temperature of the heated NO₂ which was injected into the much cooler bulk flow of the stoichiometric N₂O₄ - NO₂ mixture was determined by the properties of the N₂O₄ - NO₂ system. A summary of these properties is given in Figure 3. By referring to the variation of the equilibrium thermal conductivity with temperature at a given pressure and a comparison of the equilibrium value with the frozen (or nonreacting) value, the temperature at which NO₂ should be injected is found to be approximately 450 to 500⁰K. The temperature of the bulk N₂O₄ - NO₂ system entering the test section should be controlled to as low a temperature as possible without incurring condensation.

No catalytic reactions are expected for the NO₂ system in contact with the platinum of the thermocouple junctions at temperatures up to 1200⁰F based on the data of Brown and Mason (Reference 27). Our experimental measurements generally were confined to temperatures <1200⁰F. No complications in the kinetics of the NO₂ decomposition and the NO oxidation reactions would be expected because the reaction conditions were always selected so that the degree of advancement, $\xi$, was $\sim 0.5$ gm moles (or $\sim 50\%$ decomposition). Ashmore and co-workers (References 28 and 29) have shown that NO₃ radical complications occur only for $\leq 10\%$ decomposition of the NO₂. This is also supported by Bodman, et al. (Reference 30) from heat transfer data.
FIGURE 2. INJECTION FLOW SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED INSTRUMENTATION
FIGURE 3. SELECTED THERMODYNAMIC, TRANSPORT, AND KINETIC PROPERTIES OF THE
$N_2O_4-NO_2-NO-O_2$ SYSTEM
3.1 THE THERMODYNAMIC AND TRANSPORT PROPERTIES OF THE DISSOCIATING NO₂ SYSTEM

The thermodynamic and transport properties of both the frozen and equilibrium reacting N₂O₄-NO₂ system used in this investigation are those calculated by Svehla and Brokaw (References 1 and 8). The properties were tabulated for temperatures from 300 to 1280 K in 20 K increments and for pressures from 0.01 to 100 atmospheres. In the pressure range of 1 to 11 atm, all of the properties were tabulated at increments of 1 atm. These results are in good agreement with those obtained by Fan and Mason (Reference 7) for the same system at 1 atm total pressure. In formulating the Damkohler number (second type) it is necessary to have values for the binary ordinary molecular diffusion coefficients. These are obtained from Brokaw and Svehla (Reference 8) and Bodman (Reference 9).

Values of \( \bar{\xi}_e \), the equilibrium degree of advancement (related to the fraction dissociated), for both the N₂O₄ and NO₂ decompositions are shown in Figure 3. Also in this figure, the equilibrium and frozen thermal conductivities are shown for the two dissociating systems as a function of temperature for 1 and 10 atm total pressure.

3.2 FLOW SYSTEM - PIPING AND GASKETS

A schematic layout of the recirculating test loop is shown in Figure 4. All metallic parts were constructed of 316 stainless steel. The key overall dimensions of the loop, including the nominal pipe sizes are shown in Figure 5. All main piping connections were made with flanges and "flexitallic" gaskets (layered chevron construction of blue asbestos and stainless steel) rather than screwed connections. The number of flanged joints was kept to a minimum in order to reduce the leakage control problem. Pipe wall and flange thicknesses were selected according to standard ASME 300 psig design in order to account for the elevated temperatures needed at the maximum expected test pressure of 150 psig. Expansion joints were required at the several locations shown in Figure 4. The piping system was thoroughly cleaned before NO₂ was brought into contact with it.

As is shown in the top part of Figure 4, a plenum section (containing screens, straightening vanes, and a contoured contraction) precedes the three portions of the test section and was designed to properly prepare the flow for its passage into the test section. The injection system used in the wake measurements consisted of a bypass flow line connected to the section immediately preceding the entrance plenum as shown in Figure 2. (Details of the injection system are given in Paragraph 3.8.)
FIGURE 4. RECIRCULATING FLOW SYSTEM
FIGURE 5. OVERALL DIMENSIONS AND NOMINAL PIPE SIZES OF THE RECIRCULATING FLOW SYSTEM
3.3 TURBOBLOWER WITH MECHANICAL SHAFT SEAL

The prime mover for the system is a 3 horsepower, 4 bearing, overhung turbo-blower* rated at 100 ICfm for 1 psi with gas of specific gravity equal to 1.588 at 800°F and 175 psia (an approximation to the upper operating limit anticipated with the NO₂ system at the blower). The blower has 4 inch flanged inlet and outlet connections and is also provided with a 1 inch bypass line valved so as to provide flow rate control down to very low flow rates. Primary control of the flow rate is accomplished with a "Varidrive" variable speed motor system directly coupled to the blower shaft.

The seal between the hot reacting gases in the blower shell (at pressures to 10 atm) and atmospheric air is accomplished with a mechanical seal (Sealol #605 double bellows seal) cooled externally with water and attached to the rotating shaft of the blower. The gas seal occurred at the interface between a polished graphite ring rotating against a polished Stellite surface fixed to the blower shell. Great difficulty was encountered in effecting an adequate seal until various design modifications were made to the seal housing, the mounting plates, the static gaskets, and the bellows supports. Also, it was found that the alignment in all directions of the seal and shaft relative to the blower shell was an extremely critical factor in achieving the seal. Now that the required modifications have been made, the blower is capable of running for extended periods of time with its shell heated to a temperature as high as 725°F without any significant leakage at the seal. What small NO₂ leakage does occur at the seal is not a hazard as the gas readily dissolves in the seal cooling water flow and passes to the drain.

A view of the turboblower is shown in the foreground of Figure 6. This figure shows the system before addition of the heating elements, instrumentation, cooling lines, guard heaters, etc. The lower portion of the test section and the exit plenum are visible in the background. A two level platform system was built in order to provide access to the various parts of the vertical sections. A view of the system after the insulation and cooling lines had been installed is shown in Figure 7.

3.4 HEATING AND ASSOCIATED CONTROLS

The heating is accomplished with ~ 30 kw of ac power supplied to nichrome wire embedded in semicylindrical annular elements cast from alumina. The heating elements are each 1 foot long, conformed closely to the outside dimensions of the pipe, and are capable of dissipating ~ 1 kw of electrical power. The general distribution around the piping system is shown in Figure 4. The five 1-foot long heating elements in the center test section are controlled by proportional-mode type controllers so as to produce a desired back wall temperature (kept constant along the test section length) (see Appendix I, Table 1). The other ten heating elements in the entrance

* Manufactured by the Spencer Turbine Co., New Haven, Connecticut
and exit sections are controlled manually with autotransformers. Both on/off controllers and a large autotransformer are used to control the preheater elements. The "fine" or trim heater located at the entrance to the top plenum is controlled by a Leeds & Northrup controller containing proportional, reset and rate modes of control. Control of the bulk gas temperature entering the top plenum is better than ±1°F. A portion of the electrical power control panel in the early stages of assembly of the system is shown in Figure 6.

Several of the cast alumina heating elements used on the test section (nominal 2 inch double extra heavy pipe honed out to 1.953 inches on the ID) are provided with holes to provide access for the probe holders and thermocouples spot welded to the back wall of the pipe. Numerous Chromel-Alumel thermocouples are located throughout the system to monitor the temperature variation with time at various critical locations.

3.5 NUCLEATE BOILING HEAT EXCHANGER

To cool the gas leaving the exit plenum down to a temperature compatible with the turboblower, a 2 inch tube in a 12 inch shell heat exchanger connected in parallel with a ball valve controlled 4 inch bypass line is installed upstream of the turboblower inlet. Boiling water, with the steam pressure controlled to 25 psig, has been found adequate for the various experiments so far completed. The liquid level in the shell is automatically controlled so as to maintain a level above the top of the center 2 inch pipe. The shell was designed so as to withstand pressures as high as 200 psig, thus permitting a much larger cooling capacity than has been found necessary.

All portions of the piping system are insulated with a 4 inch thickness of magnesia insulation. An approximately 2 inch thick blanket of magnesia insulation is wrapped around the turboblower shell as well.

3.6 PROBE HOLDERS AND ASSOCIATED SEALS

In Figure 8 the location of the heating elements, instrumentation probes, static pressure taps, and the trip plate are shown for the pipe flow experiments discussed in Section 4. Also, a number of the important dimensions are shown in this figure. Injection experiments were carried out with the heaters removed from the center test section.

Each of the probes used to obtain the radial profiles (TC, PT, and OP) is installed in a probe holder assembly (see Figures 9 and 14). The outer cylindrical housing of this assembly is sealed with a small "Flexitallie" gasket to the test section wall. A portion of the assembly consists of a barrel statically sealed to the probe with a compression fitting. The barrel is in turn sealed, with two Viton A o-rings in tandem, to the cylindrical housing. The o-rings provide a sliding seal. The cross section of the cylindrical housing is significantly reduced in the immediate vicinity of the test section wall. At that point, a guard heater is installed to minimize the loss of heat from the test section wall by conduction to the ambient
FIGURE 8. TEST SECTION DETAILS AND DIMENSIONS
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surroundings and to assist in maintaining a uniform temperature on the inside of the test section wall at the probe entry point. The temperature of the cylindrical housing near its flanged end at the test section wall is monitored with a thermocouple. The electrical power to the electrical resistance-type guard heater is manually adjusted so that this temperature is comparable to that measured at the outside wall of the test section. Farther back from the guard heater a small cooling coil is fitted to the outside of the cylindrical housing in order to maintain the temperature in the vicinity of the o-rings at a level which would ensure their proper functioning as seals (< 400°F). Each probe assembly is fitted with a calibrated drive system and displacement gauge sensitive to translations of 0.001 inch. The entire ensemble has made it possible to obtain reasonable radial profile data under quite hostile conditions.

3.7 INSTRUMENTATION

3.7.1 PRESSURE AND PRESSURE DIFFERENCE MEASUREMENTS

Since the vapor pressure of the N₂O₄-NO₂ system is such that at 10 atm total pressure the boiling point of liquid N₂O₄-NO₂ is on the order of 80°C, care must be taken to ensure that liquid droplets do not obstruct the pressure lines connected to the system. This has been accomplished by connecting each pressure line to a drip pot assembly (Reference 10) at a point close to the flow system itself and by guard heating to over 100°C the interconnecting pressure line between the system and the drip pot as well as the drip pot itself. The pressure line connecting the drip pot to the pressure sensor is, in each case, of considerable length. At the start of each experiment these lines are filled with dry N₂ to provide a buffer between the sensor and the drip pot. In no experiment has NO₂ vapor been detected at the sensor location. In some cases, erratic pressure difference measurements were thought to be caused by the hold-up of liquid N₂O₄-NO₂ in the pressure lines, possibly at some point between the drip pot and the sensor. When erratic results are being obtained, the simple expedient of purging with N₂ under pressure is used to clear the lines. This usually restores the system to its normal condition.

Total system pressure measurements are made with precision stainless steel bourdon tube gauges. All pressure difference measurements are made with the specially designed nulling-type micromanometer shown in Figure 10. Water is used as the displacement fluid. Displacements are measured to within 0.001 inch. Total displacements of up to 8 inches can be measured with this device. Both fine and coarse translations of the sloping glass capillary tube can be made. Accurate measurement of small pressure differences are required for the determination of mass flow rates with the aid of the orifice plate installed downstream of the blower outlet, for the determination of the static pressure drop down the test section (static pressure holes in the test section wall are 0.0135 inch in diameter), and for the determination of the local velocity in the test section with the aid of the total head tube and appropriately located static pressure tap (see Figure 8).
FIGURE 10. PRECISION MICROMANOMETER FOR USE WITH HIGH PRESSURE REACTING GASES
The orifice plate is of standard square edge design (orifice flange with orifice diameter of 2.15 inches – flange installed in a nominal 3 inch schedule 40 pipe). The sensing end of the total head tube is constructed of standard stainless steel hypodermic tubing (outside diameter ≠ 0.050 inch or 0.065 inch), internal diameter ≠ 0.034 inch or 0.040 inch, respectively).

3.7.2 MEAN TEMPERATURE AND HEAT FLUX MEASUREMENTS

The time-average radial temperature profiles are measured with a butt welded exposed junction of Chromel-Alumel thermocouple wire. The wires are sealed in a stainless steel support tube filled with magnesia and swaged to effect a pressure tight seal around each of the wires. The fast response thermocouple probe (see Paragraph 3.7.3) is also used for time-average profile measurements. It consists of an exposed junction of Platinum/Platinum-10 percent Rhodium. In both cases the junction is in the shape of a sphere several times larger than the supporting wire diameter. The sizes for the Chromel-Alumel couple are: sheath outside diameter = 0.062 inch, wire diameter = 0.011 inch and junction diameter = 0.020 inch. All temperatures are measured with a precision potentiometer (Leeds and Northrop Model K-3) (see Appendix I, Table II). The overall accuracy of the time-average temperature measurements is estimated to be within 0.1 °F.

Wall heat fluxes are determined by measuring the electrical power dissipated in a 1 foot long heating element (consisting of two semicylindrical halves) located just upstream of the probe insertion position in the center test section (see Figure 8). Longitudinal losses in the test section wall are accounted for with the aid of axial back wall temperature measurements via spot-welded thermocouples (leads from the junction were "guard heated" by being aligned with and attached to the back wall for several inches away from the junction). Losses through the 4 inch thickness of magnesia insulation are accounted for by measuring the temperature at two different depths in the insulation. Inside wall temperatures at the steady state are determined by calculation knowing the net heat flux into the test section wall, the back wall temperature, the wall thickness, and the dependence on temperature of the thermal conductivity of the wall material. An indication of how the total power consumed by one heating element varies with time during the course of an experiment is shown in Figure 11. Note that approximately 4 hours are required for the heat flux to settle down to a reasonably steady value. Considering the uncertainty associated with the corrections, we estimate that the heat fluxes are known to within 10 percent (at best).

3.7.3 THE FAST RESPONSE THERMOCOUPLE PROBE AND ASSOCIATED ELECTRONICS

The sensor selected for use in measuring the fluctuating temperature and its dependence on frequency in the high temperature reacting NO2 system is a fast response thermocouple based on the design of Sesonske and coworkers (References 11 and 12). The form being used in this investigation is shown in Figure 12. A close-up photograph of the larger, fast-response, thermocouple probe is shown in Figure 13. Its installation in the probe drive
FIGURE 11. VARIATION WITH TIME OF THE TOTAL ELECTRICAL POWER REQUIRED BY ONE HEATING ELEMENT ON THE TEST SECTION (1 FOOT LENGTH)
FIGURE 12. SENSING END - FAST RESPONSE THERMOCOUPLE PROBE
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FIGURE 13. FAST RESPONSE THERMOCOUPLE JUNCTION CONFIGURATION
mechanism is shown in Figure 14. In order to obtain the best possible frequency response and spatial resolution, measurements were made of the maximum current carrying capacity of small-diameter, thermocouple wires in still air. The results, shown in Figure 15, indicate that the 0.0003-inch Pt/Pt90 Rh lead wire combination can carry \(\sim 100\) milliamps without burn-out. This level exceeds the transient surges of current measured at the input of the thermocouple amplifier (used to greatly increase the fluctuating signal for data processing purposes) which arise from electrical noise interference from the switching of high power equipment in neighboring laboratories.

The fluctuating dc signal from the thermocouple probe is amplified by a low noise amplifier modified so as to have a gain of \(\sim 12,200:1\) (References 13 and 14) (see Appendix I, Table II). The output of the amplifier is independent of frequency in the range 100 Hz to 1 kHz (see Figure 16). The narrow band noise was measured over the frequency range 10 Hz to 1 kHz and was found to be on the order of 0.01 to 0.05 microvolt. Input signal levels with the corrosion resistant Platinum/Platinum-10 percent Rhodium thermocouple are on the order of 20 to \(>100\) microvolts. The data from the probe/amplifier system are processed according to the methods outlined by Rust (Reference 15). The electronic instruments used to carry out the data processing are shown in Figure 17. The connections between the instruments is shown in Figure 18. A bandwidth calibration for the wave analyzer used in obtaining the spectral information is shown in Figure 19 (determined by the method of Reference 16). A true RMS meter is used to obtain the wide band, or total, RMS fluctuating temperature (Appendix I, Table III).

The characteristic time response of thermocouple junctions with the configuration shown in Figure 12 has been estimated by Rodriguez-Ramirez (Reference 11, pp. 84-93).* We have recalculated the time constant (which accounts for the gas film resistance and lead conduction effects) for the larger junction-lead configuration used by Rodrigues-Ramirez (0.0025-inch junction and 0.0005-inch leads) for both his and our flow conditions. We have also calculated the same time constant for the smaller junction-lead configuration (0.0006-inch junction and 0.0003-inch leads) which we now use for our turbulent temperature measurements. The results are as follows:

*An error was made in the calculation of the gas film resistance time constant for the 0.0025-inch junction in an \(N_{Re} = 20,000\) (based on 1-inch tube diameter) air flow.
FIGURE 15. MAXIMUM CURRENT CARRYING CAPACITY OF SMALL DIAMETER WIRES IN STILL AIR
FIGURE 17. INSTRUMENTATION FOR THE OPTICAL PROBE SYSTEM AND FOR THE FAST RESPONSE THERMOCOUPLE PROBE
FIGURE 18. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF THE ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS USED FOR THE TIME AVERAGE AND FLUCTUATING TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS
FIGURE 19. BANDWIDTH CALIBRATION OF THE WAVE ANALYZER
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thermocouple</th>
<th>Flow</th>
<th>( \frac{\tau_{TC}}{f} )</th>
<th>( \frac{\tau_{TC}}{c} )</th>
<th>( \frac{R_{TC}}{f} )</th>
<th>( \frac{R_{TC}}{c} )</th>
<th>Permanent Signal Attenuation (percent)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 0.0025-inch Junction Bead, 0.0005-inch Leads (chromel-alumel)(l1)</td>
<td>( N_{Re} = 20,000 ) (1-inch pipe)</td>
<td>7.7 msec</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>679</td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 0.0025-inch Junction Bead, 0.0005-inch Leads (Pt/Pt_{90} Rh_{10})</td>
<td>( N_{Re} = 313,700 ) (2-inch pipe) ( U_{\infty} = 45 ) ft/sec</td>
<td>0.62 msec</td>
<td>22.9</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 0.0006-inch Junction Bead, 0.0003-inch Leads (Pt/Pt_{90} Rh_{10})</td>
<td>( N_{Re} = 313,700 ) (2-inch pipe) ( U_{\infty} = 45 ) ft/sec</td>
<td>34 ( \mu )sec</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The effect of the finite time constant on the frequency attenuation of the sensed signal, \( A \), given by

\[
A = \left[ 1 + 4\pi^2 f^2 \left( \frac{T_{TC}}{f} \right)^2 \right]^{-1/2}
\]  

is shown in Figure 20 for each of these thermocouples. Thus, the 0.0006-inch bead thermocouple has good frequency response out to 10,000 Hz. Measurements of the transient amplified signal from this thermocouple are shown in Figure 21. The separation between peaks on these traces suggests that a \( \left( \frac{T_{TC}}{f} \right) \) of \( \sim 10 \) to 20 \( \mu \text{sec} \) is actually characteristic of this probe. This estimate compares favorably with the calculated value. Most of the turbulent temperature measurements reported in Section IV were obtained with this thermocouple.

Estimates were made of the free stream velocity decay upstream of a sphere, cylinder, and flat plate (disc) in subsonic flow. For the thermocouple configuration shown in Figure 12, the influence of the 0.111-inch probe support body on the velocity of the fluid at the location of the junction tip is small but significant (velocity decreased \( \sim 10 \) percent). Spectral data should be shifted to higher frequencies by a corresponding amount.

3.7.4 THE OPTICAL PROBE SYSTEM FOR MEASUREMENT OF LOCAL \( \text{NO}_2 \) CONCENTRATIONS

Lambert-Beers absorption law,

\[
\frac{I}{I_0} = \exp \left( -\epsilon_{\text{NO}_2} \lambda c_{\text{NO}_2} \right)
\]

is the basis for the design of a light absorption system capable of measuring the local \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentration in the high temperature flow system in which the total gas pressure could reach values as high as 150 psig.

An optical probe system used for making local transmittance measurements in dye and water flows at \( \sim 25^\circ \text{C} \) and 1 atm has been described by Brodkey and coworkers (References 17 and 18). The system and probe design developed for use in this investigation are significantly different than that used by Brodkey and coworkers. It is a dual beam (sample and reference), chopped system with high signal-to-noise ratio characteristics and good stability.

The optical system used in this investigation is shown in Figures 22 and 23. Radiant energy emitted by the tungsten iodine light source (A) is

\[ \epsilon_{\text{NO}_2} = \epsilon_{\text{O}_2} \approx 0 \text{ for } 3000 < \lambda < 5000 \text{ angstroms.} \]
FIGURE 21. OUTPUT SIGNAL OF FAST RESPONSE THERMOCOUPLE-
PREAMPLIFIER SYSTEM (0.0006" BEAD, 0.0003" LEADS-
DURING IN-2 EXPERIMENTS)
divided into two separate channels, reference and sample, by the cubic beamsplitter (B). After traversing the two optical paths, the energy is brought to a common focus on the face of the photomultiplier tube (I). In order for the PMT to receive both reference and sample signals alternately, a reflective chopper is inserted in the system near the PMT. The chopper alternates passing the sample signal and reflecting the reference signal for equal amounts of time.

The sample channel which contains the optical test probe for the concentration measurements absorbs a significantly larger amount of radiation than the reference channel. Consequently, it requires a greater amount of input radiation if a balance between the two channels is to be obtained at the PMT. The beamsplitter transmits more energy than it reflects; therefore, it is positioned so that the reference channel receives the reflected radiation and the sample channel receives the transmitted radiation.

The optical test probe consists of glass fiber bundles, plastic fibers, tapered light pipes, and plastic fiber bundles used as input and output optical leads. The overall configuration of the optical probe itself is shown in Figure 24. The probe tip region is shown in Figure 25. The gap between the optical fiber bundles is ~0.010 inch (the exact gap was different for each probe made). The optical fiber bundle diameter is 0.025 inch. The bundle pair is contained in a 0.25 inch OD 316 stainless steel tube ~12 inches long. The transition from the stiff fiber bundles containing the high temperature glass to the flexible fiber lines used to connect the probe to the optics is made with the plastic fibers and tapered light pipes in the rectangular housing shown in Figure 24. Ceramic cement is used to fix the gap width at the sensing end (Figure 25). It was found necessary to thermally condition the probe sensing end at high temperature to obtain stable performance characteristics. Measurements of the effect of thermal conditioning on the transmittance of light through the probe and a direct measurement of the probe tip gap width change with temperature are shown in Figures 26 and 27.

In addition to the apparatus discussed above, the sample channel also contains two lens assemblies, (J) and (N). Lens assembly (N) focuses the radiation from the source at the end of the input optical lead, and lens assembly (J) focuses the radiation emerging from the output fiber lead on the face of the PMT. The lens assemblies (J) and (N) have focal lengths of 2.3 inches and 1.3 inches, respectively.

The reference channel is similar to the sample channel, except for the addition of two iris diaphragms and the lack of an optical probe. The iris diaphragms are used to balance the two signals by controlling the amount of light passing through the reference channel.
FIGURE 24. OVERALL CONFIGURATION OF THE FIBER OPTICS PROBE
(\(4"\) IN OUTSIDE DIAMETER \(\times\) \(12"\) LONG)
FIGURE 26. THERMAL CONDITIONING CHARACTERISTICS OF AN OPTICAL FIBER PROBE (AIR TESTS)
FIGURE 27. CHANGE IN OPTICAL PROBE TIP WIDTH WITH TEMPERATURE

GAS TEMPERATURE OF THERMOCOUPLE PROBE AT T/C = 0.1 (°F)

OPTICAL PROBE TIP CAP (INCHES)
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To enhance the performance of the electronic system, an even level of illumination was maintained at the surface of the PMT by forming nearly identical images at the PMT face. Since the image of the sample channel was formed with a fiber bundle end as the object, a fiber bundle (E) has been used in the reference channel. The fiber bundle has the same effective 1/8-inch diameter as the input-output leads of the optical probe and has a length of 6 feet—approximately the same as the path length of the probe with leads (K) and (M) attached.

The lens assembly (C) focuses the energy of the source onto the end of the fiber bundle. The assembly consists of two single convex lenses with an effective focal length of 2.7 inches.

In addition to the two channels, a light emitting diode (LED) is used in combination with a field effect transistor and chopper for synchronous demodulation. An optical filter, located in front of the photomultiplier, eliminates extraneous LED radiation from reaching it. The filter also shapes the spectral composition of the light striking the photomultiplier tube.

The spectral characteristics of the photomultiplier tube and the filter are shown in Figure 28. The associated electronics layout is shown in Figure 29 (see Appendix I, Table III). With the system so arranged, the signal-to-noise ratio of the entire system was on the order of 250:1 which is quite adequate for measurement of both the time-average and fluctuating concentrations. The electronic instruments used with the optical probe are shown assembled in Figure 19.** Monitored outputs are shown in Figure 30.

Measurements of the extinction coefficient \(c_{NO_2}(A, T)\) were made with a pair of 0.25 inch quartz rods in place of the optical fiber probe. The measurements were made with NO\(_2\) contained in a static reactor consisting of a 1 foot long section of the same pipe material used in the test section. In Figure 31, the optical housing system is shown with the light-tight cover plates removed. Liquid \(N_2O_4 - NO_2\) was vaporized into the static reactor to the desired pressure. Temperature was controlled with resistance heaters around the outside of the reactor. The distance between the polished and optically flat ends of the quartz rods was measured to 0.001 inch with a precision displacement gauge. Measurements of transmittance with this system were commenced only after sufficient time had been allowed to elapse (Figure 3) so that chemical equilibrium would be expected to prevail throughout the gas in the static reactor. The 4360 angstrom results*** obtained

*The filtered output of the synchronous demodulator is directly proportional to \(E_0 - E\), the time-average voltage difference corresponding to the reference and sample beams, respectively (see Figure 30).

**Neutral density filters were used in the transmittance calibration of the system.

***Obtained using a narrow band (±2 μ) Bausch and Lomb interference filter.
FIGURE 28. CHARACTERISTIC SPECTRAL CURVES OF THE PHOTOMULTIPLIER TUBE AND THE FILTER
FIGURE 29. SCHEMATIC DIAGRAM OF ELECTRONIC SYSTEM USED FOR THE TIME AVERAGE AND FLUCTUATING CONCENTRATION MEASUREMENTS
**FIGURE 30.** COMPARISON OF AMPLIFIED PMT AND FET OUTPUTS FOR THE CASE OF NO ABSORPTION OF THE SAMPLE BEAM ($E = E_0$) AND MAXIMUM ABSORPTION OF THE SAMPLE BEAM ($E = 0$) (U)
CHopping FREQUENCY = 23.3 Hz
PEAK WIDTH 21.5 MILLISECONDS
The characteristics of the spatial resolution capabilities of the optical fiber probes used in this investigation and those used by Brodkey and coworkers are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Probe</th>
<th>Optical Fiber Bundle Diameter (mils)</th>
<th>Gap Width (mils)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Lee and Brodkey</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference 17)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Nye and Brodkey</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Reference 18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. This Investigation</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe No. 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probe No. 5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The overall gain of the electronics system used to measure the fluctuating output of the photomultiplier tube was unaffected by frequency in the range of 20 Hz to 15 kHz. Boundary layer effects are expected to be negligible according to the calculations and measurements of Nye (Reference 18). The influence of the finite absorption "cell" size on the spectral measurements can be estimated according to the methods developed by Uberoi and Kovasznay (Reference 33). The influence of the support probe body on the local velocity in the region of the probe tip was estimated from potential flow theory. An approximately 15 percent decrease in free-stream velocity would be expected at the probe tip region because of the size of the probe body and its relatively close proximity to this region. Thus, spectral data obtained with this probe should be shifted to higher frequencies by ~15 percent.

3.8 INJECTION SYSTEM

Details of the injection cylinder construction are shown in Figures 34 and 35. The location of the injection cylinder in the test section in relation to the instrumentation probes for the wake injection experiments with the probe sensing tips at ~10 injection cylinder diameters downstream from the injection cylinder (\(\chi/D \sim 10\)) is shown in Figure 36. Two views of the center test section region are shown in Figures 37 and 38. The preamplifier for the fast response thermocouple was directly coupled to the TC probe.
FIGURE 32. NO₂ TRANSMITTANCE DATA AS A FUNCTION OF THE LIGHT PATH LENGTH - MEASUREMENTS MADE UNDER EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS IN THE STATIC REACTOR

\[ \frac{I}{I_0} \]

\[ \lambda = 436 \text{ m}\mu \]
**TABLE I. EXTINCTION COEFFICIENTS OF NO₂-EQUILIBRIUM CONDITIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Number</th>
<th>Reactor Temperature T (°K)</th>
<th>Total Pressure P (atm)</th>
<th>Molar Concentration of NO₂ ( c_{NO₂} ) (moles/liter)</th>
<th>Extinction Coefficients ( ε_{NO₂} ) (liters/mole cm)</th>
<th>Deviation ( % )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>-3.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>+5.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2e</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.027</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>+12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>+7.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.144</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>783</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>+7.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>922</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average % Deviation 8.36

\( * \) = Extinction coefficient measured at a wavelength of 4360 angstroms.

\( * \) = Value of extinction coefficient taken from curve drawn through the data given in Figure 2, p. 18, Ref. 19 (at a wavelength of 3660 angstroms).

\( * \) Percent Deviation = \( \frac{(ε_{NO₂} - \bar{ε}_{NO₂})}{\bar{ε}_{NO₂}} \times 100 \).

Average % Deviation = \( \frac{1}{n} \sum \frac{(ε_{NO₂} - \bar{ε}_{NO₂})}{\bar{ε}_{NO₂}} \times 100 \).
EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED WITH STATIC SYSTEM AND NARROW BAND (±2 mµ) INTERFERENCE FILTER

EXPERIMENTAL DATA OBTAINED WITH FLOW SYSTEM AT ISOTHERMAL CONDITIONS AND WITH WIDE BAND INTERFERENCE FILTER SHOWN IN FIGURE 28

FIGURE 33. EXTINCTION COEFFICIENTS OF NO₂ - DATA FROM QUARTZ ROD SYSTEM AND OPTICAL FIBER PROBE
(INJECTION PORT AREA/TOTAL OUTSIDE SURFACE AREA OF CYLINDER) ≈ 1/2

FIGURE 34. INJECTION CYLINDER DETAILS
FIGURE 36. TEST SECTION PROBES AND INJECTION LINE ATTACHMENT CONFIGURATION
and moved on rollers in a tray with radial translation of the probe itself. This close coupling arrangement reduced electrical noise pick-up problems.

3.9 FLOW SYSTEM OPERATION

3.9.1 START-UP

A brief summary of the most important steps involved in the start-up of the flow system is as follows:

1. Checkout of all instruments for proper functioning.

2. Evacuation of the system (with a jet ejector) to a pressure of less than 0.1 atm.

3. All electrical resistance heaters turned on (temperature of piping system in preheat sections kept <1400°F.)

4. Guard heaters on probe holders turned on.

5. Cooling water to cooling coils on probe holders turned on.

6. Pressure lines between the flow system and the micromanometer and the Bourdon gauges filled with dry nitrogen to a pressure slightly exceeding the desired pressure for the experiment.

7. Liquid N\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4}-NO\textsubscript{2} vaporized into system at the rate of ~1 psi increase in total system pressure per minute.

8. Cooling water to the mechanical seal housing of the turboblower turned on when total NO\textsubscript{2} gas pressure in the system reaches 5 psig.

9. Turboblower turned on.

10. Temperature controllers adjusted to give the desired temperatures in the bulk gas and at the back wall of the test section.

11. Heat flux and wall temperatures monitored until a steady state is reached.


From 4 to 6 hours were usually required for the completion of steps (2) through (11).
3.9.2 SHUT-DOWN

A brief summary of the most important steps involved in the shut-down of the flow system is as follows:

1. All electrical power to the heaters shut off except for that to the probe holder guard heaters and to the drip pots.
2. Vent hot gaseous NO\textsubscript{2} until total system pressure drops to 1 atm.
3. Stop the turboblower.
4. Drain the water from the mechanical seal housing; neutralize any residual acid with an aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution.
5. Vent valve closed; system evacuated with the jet ejector (driven with water under pressure).
6. Purging of the system continued for ~12 hours with room air entering the system through the micromanometer pressure lines; drip pot and probe holder guard heaters left on until the end of this purging.

Steps (1) through (5) usually take less than 1 hour to complete.
SECTION IV
DATA REDUCTION PROCEDURES AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

4.1 DATA REDUCTION

All the equations required for reducing the data to forms considered in subsequent sections are given either in Appendix II or in the Nomenclature. In the discussion of the following sections, nonreacting air or nitrogen experiments are designated by a number with a prefix A-, while reacting NO2 experiments are designated by a number with a prefix N-. Injection experiments are designated by the prefix I-.

4.2 ERRORS AND CORRECTIONS

4.2.1 RADIATION CORRECTION TO GAS TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

Estimates were made of the effect of radiation from the heated wall of the test section to the thermocouple junction (spherical in shape) of the temperature probes. For the case of an NO2 experiment in which the measured temperatures were $T_M = 1190$°F and $T_C = 930$°F, the true gas temperature at the centerline, taking into account the net radiant heat flux [in the manner described in Reference 21)] between the walls and the junction bead, was estimated to be $\sim 9.3$°F less than 930°F (or $\sim 1$ percent error). Since this represents less than a 4 percent error in $\Delta T$, no attempt was made to correct the radial temperature profiles for radiation. For a smaller $\Delta T$ case with $T_M = 950$°F and $T_C = 885$°F, the true gas temperature was estimated to be $\sim 0.6$°F less than that which would be actually measured. No attempt was made to correct for the specular absorption of the radiant energy as it passed through the NO2 laden gas because such correction (Reference 9) was also thought to be significantly less than the error of the other measurements.

4.2.2 CHANGE IN OPTICAL PROBE GAP WIDTH WITH TEMPERATURE

Even after thermal conditioning, the gap width between the optically flat and polished ends of the glass fiber bundles would be expected to change with temperature due to the thermal expansion characteristics of the ceramic cement, glass, and outer stainless steel tube. An estimate was made of the net gap width change expected in heating the probe tip from 77°F to 852°F (bulk temperature for Experiment N-14b) taking into account the actual geometry of the sensing end ($l = 0.0095$ inch for Optical Probe No. 3 used in Experiment N-14b) and the known thermal expansion coefficients of the materials involved. The result showed that the gap width change over this temperature interval would be less than 10 percent of the initial gap width as measured under a microscope at ambient temperature. Such a change in $l$ would lead to a less than 10 percent change in the measured $C_{NO2}$ (i.e., the $C_{NO2}$ values obtained by using the $l$ based on an ambient temperature measurement should actually be decreased by up to 10 percent in order to take into account the actual gap width existing at the time when the transmittance
measurements were made in the high temperature flow. Since direct measurement of the gap width change with temperature was somewhat uncertain (gap width measured to within ± 15 percent of its actual value), the gap width measured at ambient temperature was used in reducing the observed transmittance data to local time-average molar concentrations of NO₂.

4.3 RESULTS FOR UNIFORM TURBULENT PIPE FLOW

4.3.1 CONCENTRATION PROFILES - TIME-AVERAGE DATA

As noted in Paragraph 3.7.4, the optical probe system was modified to accommodate 1/4-inch diameter quartz rods for use in measuring the extinction coefficients, \( c_{NO_2}(\lambda, T) \), in the static reactor. This same assembly was used to make the measurement of \( c_{NO_2}(r) \) shown in Figure 39. The solid line drawn through the data points is shown in order to help define the trend of the data. For comparison, the dashed line shows how the equilibrium concentration profile would vary with radial position for the estimates of \( T(r) \) obtained from previous experiments characterized by similar operating conditions. The reference equilibrium concentrations shown at the center of the tube (\( r/r_0 = 0 \)) indicate that the nonequilibrium concentration profile was the equivalent of -6°F (in terms of equilibrium concentration differences) away from the equilibrium profile derived from the estimated temperature profile. Uncertainties in knowing the gap width, \( L \), at the pressures and temperatures of the experiments, problems with rod breakage caused by slight misalignments (at high temperature conditions) between the probe holders on opposite sides of the test section, and difficulties in translating the entire optical assembly for each radial traverse strongly encouraged us to develop the fiber optics probe for use at temperatures to 1000°F (if necessary) and moderate pressures (< 11 atm).

The radial concentration profile data shown in Figure 40 were obtained with the fiber optics probe (\( L = 0.0095 \) inch). The raw data were obtained two different ways (refer to Figure 29) - the values obtained from the electronic counter are expected to be more accurate. There is some uncertainty as to the exact radial position of the gap during this particular experiment. It is expected that the measured profile data should be shifted

**Direct measurements of the gap width were made with a traveling microscope (translational measurement accuracy of instrument = 0.0001 inch) sighted (through a quartz window) on the probe tip with the probe itself inserted into the test section at its usual location. The gap increased ~20 percent as the temperature of the gas flowing over the tip was increased from 77°F to 852°F (see Figure 27).

**In the case of the results presented in this and subsequent sections, all radial profile measurements were made at essentially one longitudinal position. Profile measurements were made at an L/D of 43 from the trip plate at the beginning of the entrance section (d/D of 1.50 inch/1.95 inch)(see Figure 8). The flow was always directed vertically downward in the test section. In order to minimize the report length, most of the extensive profile measurements and property data used in the calculations was not tabulated.
FIGURE 40. CONCENTRATION PROFILE OBTAINED FROM FIBER OPTICS PROBE
to the right ~0.2 inch. In any event the results show that across the majority of the flow conduit, the gas is nearly twice as concentrated in NO₂ as would be predicted had equilibrium conditions prevailed (the dashed line is based on the measured temperature profile and the pressure which existed in the flow system at the time of the concentration profile measurement).

As indicated in Paragraph 4.2.2, approximate corrections for the change in gap width between the ends of the fiber bundles as the probe end was heated up to the test conditions for Experiment N-14b suggest that the actual nonequilibrium concentrations could be on the order of 20 percent less than the observed values shown in Figure 40.

These measurements demonstrate that the basic design of the optical probe is suitable for use in making time-average concentration measurements at the highest temperatures and concentrations which would be expected in this program. The performance of the probe has shown that a calibration of both gap width change and signal attenuation (caused by twisting of the fiber ends - especially important at the higher temperatures) should be made in heated air before and after each NO₂ experiment to ensure that the raw data can be corrected with confidence. These corrections are not nearly as important to the measurement of the fluctuating concentration data (see Paragraph 4.5) as they are for the determination of the absolute value of the concentration. An additional extinction coefficient calibration is now appropriate since we are using a relatively broad band filter with the optical probe instead of the narrow band interference filter which was used with the 1/4 inch in diameter quartz rod system.

4.3.2 TURBULENT TEMPERATURE RESULTS - NONREACTING AND NONEQUILIBRIUM REACTING SYSTEMS

Total rms temperature intensity data for the nonreacting air system (Experiments A-37 and A-38) measured with the system described in Paragraph 3.7.3 is given in Figures 41 and 42. The usual minimum at the center of the pipe, maximum values near the walls, and an intensity level of less than 10 percent is consistent with the limited number of published results (References 11 and 22) for air under conditions of fully developed turbulent pipe flow with heat transfer.

The very surprising results obtained for the nonequilibrium reacting NO₂ system (key pertinent experimental conditions are given on the figures themselves) are shown in Figures 43 and 44. In the case of these experiments, the variation of intensity with radial position is the same as in the case of the nonreacting system results, but the presence of the nonequilibrium chemical reaction has caused a more than order of magnitude increase in the intensity level. A mixing model for the interpretation of these results has not yet been developed. The large change in level cannot be primarily due to the difference in thermal properties between the two systems, since the NPr and NLe parameters are so similar. (Also, the difference in mass density between A-37 and N-15 is no more than ~3.) The values reported in Figures 41, 42, 43 and 44 were obtained by either directly
FIGURE 41. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT A-37)
FIGURE 42. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (AIR DATA)
FIGURE 43. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT N-15)
FIGURE 44. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT N-14b)
reading the dial of the true rms voltmeter or by recording the dc output of the rms voltmeter on a strip chart recorder and then estimating the mean of the rms output. More accurate time averaging (as indicated in Figure 20—use of the voltage to frequency converter and counter in tandem) of the total rms output would be expected to yield more accurate profile results. The data of Figures 41, 42, 43 and 44 are compared together in Figure 45.

The scalar mixing spectrum for temperature obtained with air at high temperature in the recirculating flow system is shown in Figure 46. The extended slope in the inertial subrange (the essentially straight line region) is probably due to the coexistence of both longitudinal and radial (or transverse) mixing at the sensor location. Longitudinal mixing effects would be expected to arise from the 1 foot long heaters situated along the test section, each pulsing on and off in a random fashion at a frequency between once per second to once per 5 minutes depending on the cooling and heating loads imposed on the system. Thus the energy contributed to the spectrum from longitudinal mixing effects will be characterized by low frequencies and will thus tend to extend the inertial subrange over a greater frequency range than the usual one to one and one half decades of frequency (or one dimensional wave number).* This extension probably accounts for the slope of the spectrum in the inertial subrange not equaling the expected -5/3 (Reference 23). For reference, the characteristic frequencies based on both the test section diameter (-characteristic for transverse mixing), $f_D$, and the test section length, $f_{TS}$, are given on Figure 46. The conditions of the experiment are also given there for reference purposes.

Temperature spectrum data for two different NO$_2$ experiments are given in Figures 47, 48, and 49. The presentation of the data is in a form quite similar to that used in Figure 46 except that the NO$_2$ data obtained at frequencies greater than $f_D$ (or $k_D$) which appear to lie in the inertial subrange exhibit, in each case, a slope very close to the characteristic -5/3. The data of Experiment N-15 are shown in the one-dimensional wave number form in Figure 48. The apparent diffusive cutoff at higher frequencies on the order of 10 kHz may be due more to a start in the fall-off in frequency response of the probe [estimated to be better than 10 kHz in Reference 11] than because of the start of a diffusive subrange. In Figure 49, the region exhibiting a -1 slope may be representative of a viscous-convective subrange. In this experiment (N-14b), there was very little turning on and off of the temperature controllers during the period of the turbulence measurements as compared with the other experiments (N-15 and A-37). This may help to explain why the N-14b spectrum results do not exhibit the extended inertial subrange to very low frequencies (the frequency response of the electronics instrumentation is good down to only 20 Hz). In any event, the spectra do not exhibit any unusual characteristics that cannot be ascribed to the nature of the experiment and/or equipment.

---

* Terms are defined in the Nomenclature; some pertinent relations are given in Appendix II.
FIGURE 45. TOTAL RMS INTENSITIES AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION
(AIR AND NO₂ RESULTS - TURBULENT PIPE FLOW)
FIGURE 47. SCALAR MIXING SPECTRUM - TEMPERATURE (EXPERIMENT N-15)
Figure 48. Scalar Mixing Spectrum - Temperature (Experiment N-15)

- $N_{Le_c} = 0.908$
- $T_c = 888^\circ F$
- $T_v = 960^\circ F$
- $\Theta_c^{(10 \text{ CPS})} = 26 \text{ MV}$
- $\Theta_t = 1.55 \text{ V}$
- $e_M^{(10 \text{ CPS})} = 2.7 \text{ MV}$
- $N_{Re_b} = 18,000$
- $N_{Pr_b} = 0.763$
- $r/r_o = 0$

**Slope** = $-5/3$
FIGURE 49. SCALAR MIXING SPECTRUM - TEMPERATURE (EXPERIMENT N-14b)
4.3.3 TURBULENT CONCENTRATION RESULTS

The total rms intensity data and the spectral data for the NO₂ experiment, N-14b, are tabulated in Tables II and III. The format of these tables has been arranged so that the steps employed in the reduction of the raw data to the results of interest are evident. The intensities have been calculated assuming that the equilibrium time-average concentration difference based on the measured temperature difference \(T_W - T_C\) is a reasonable measure of the actual nonequilibrium time-average concentration difference \(\left(\overline{C_{NO_2}}_C - \overline{C_{NO_2}}_W\right)\) *.

The intensity results are shown in Figure 50. Consistent with the unusually large temperature intensity data given in Figures 43 and 44 for the NO₂ reacting system, the concentration intensity values are also large. The data in Figure 50 are plotted with a greatly expanded ordinate. Considering the accuracy of the measurement (limitations from boundary layer buildup on the ends of the fiber bundles - expected to be a small effect (Reference 18), slight change in flow conditions during the course of the experiment, etc.), the results given in Figure 50 should be interpreted as indicating that the intensity is essentially constant across the tubular test section (within ±2 percent).

Lee (Reference 24) has made some interesting predictions, based on a statistical turbulence theory treatment, of how the turbulent concentration mixing spectra of an isotropic flow (one reactant; irreversible second order reaction) depends on the turbulence Peclet number, \(N_{Pc}\), and the turbulence Damköhler number (of the second type), \(N_{D_{K}}\). We have calculated these parameters for the conditions representative of Experiment N-14b and find for,

\[ \Theta_u' \sim 0.10 \overline{U}_c \]  

[From Reference 25, pp.521-522]

with

\[ \overline{U}_c \sim 40 \text{ ft/sec} \]

\[ \overline{D} \sim 0.2 \text{ cm}^2/\text{sec} \]

\[ k_D \sim 1.3 \text{ cm}^{-1} \]

then

\[ N_{Pe}^{(t)} \sim 490 \]

* A good measure of \(\overline{C_{NO_2}}_W\) was not experimentally obtained.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$r/r_0$</th>
<th>$\left[ (e'<em>{S+N})</em>{NO_2} \right]$</th>
<th>$\left[ (e'<em>{S})</em>{NO_2} \right]$</th>
<th>$\left[ (e'<em>{S})</em>{Air} - (e'<em>{S})</em>{NO_2} \right]$</th>
<th>$b_{NO_2}^{-1}$</th>
<th>$E$</th>
<th>$\sum \frac{c_{NO_2}}{e_c}$</th>
<th>$\sum \frac{c_{NO_2}}{e_w}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>+1.00</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.156</td>
<td>17.814</td>
<td>0.1493</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.156</td>
<td>17.814</td>
<td>0.1469</td>
<td>1.182</td>
<td>11.51</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>17.845</td>
<td>0.1466</td>
<td>1.180</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>0.466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.6</td>
<td>3.27</td>
<td>3.093</td>
<td>17.877</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>1.162</td>
<td>11.72</td>
<td>0.475</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>17.845</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>1.151</td>
<td>11.81</td>
<td>0.478</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+0.2</td>
<td>3.30</td>
<td>3.125</td>
<td>17.845</td>
<td>0.1464</td>
<td>1.127</td>
<td>12.05</td>
<td>0.488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.156</td>
<td>17.814</td>
<td>0.1464</td>
<td>1.120</td>
<td>12.11</td>
<td>0.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.2</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.156</td>
<td>17.814</td>
<td>0.1464</td>
<td>1.109</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>0.495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.156</td>
<td>17.814</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>1.091</td>
<td>12.44</td>
<td>0.503</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>3.156</td>
<td>17.814</td>
<td>0.1465</td>
<td>1.097</td>
<td>12.37</td>
<td>0.501</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$(e'_{N}) = 1.96$ millivolts. 
$(e'_{S+N})_{Air} = 21$ millivolts. 
$(e'_{S})_{Air} = 20.97$ millivolts. 

$t_o = 3.22$ volts  
$c_2 = 5.5$ 
$c_1 = 28.6$ 

$(\overline{c_{NO_2}})_{e_c} = 0.0884$ moles/liter. 
$(\overline{c_{NO_2}})_{e_w} = 0.0637$ moles/liter.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$t$ (cps)</th>
<th>$(e'<em>{S+N}) f</em>{Air}$</th>
<th>$(e'<em>{S+N}) f</em>{NO_2}$</th>
<th>$(e'<em>{N}) f</em>{Air}$</th>
<th>$(e'<em>{N}) f</em>{NO_2}$</th>
<th>$\frac{\gamma^2}{C_{NO_2}} (f)$</th>
<th>$\frac{\gamma^2}{C_{NO_2}} (f)/\frac{\gamma^2}{C_{NO_2}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.677</td>
<td>0.1580</td>
<td>0.0152</td>
<td>67.68</td>
<td>15.72</td>
<td>8.523</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.675</td>
<td>0.1575</td>
<td>0.0118</td>
<td>67.49</td>
<td>15.69</td>
<td>8.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td>0.1570</td>
<td>0.0107</td>
<td>67.19</td>
<td>15.66</td>
<td>8.383</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.670</td>
<td>0.1565</td>
<td>0.0100</td>
<td>66.99</td>
<td>15.61</td>
<td>8.334</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.667</td>
<td>0.1560</td>
<td>0.0095</td>
<td>66.69</td>
<td>15.57</td>
<td>8.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>0.665</td>
<td>0.1555</td>
<td>0.0089</td>
<td>66.49</td>
<td>15.52</td>
<td>8.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td>0.1550</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>66.19</td>
<td>15.47</td>
<td>8.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.660</td>
<td>0.1545</td>
<td>0.0077</td>
<td>65.99</td>
<td>15.43</td>
<td>8.070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.1540</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>65.80</td>
<td>15.40</td>
<td>8.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>0.1530</td>
<td>0.0072</td>
<td>64.99</td>
<td>15.28</td>
<td>7.801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>0.647</td>
<td>0.1510</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>64.69</td>
<td>15.08</td>
<td>7.770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>0.646</td>
<td>0.1487</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>64.59</td>
<td>14.78</td>
<td>7.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.1457</td>
<td>0.0066</td>
<td>64.30</td>
<td>14.49</td>
<td>7.833</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>0.638</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.0065</td>
<td>63.80</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>7.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800</td>
<td>0.629</td>
<td>0.135</td>
<td>0.0062</td>
<td>62.90</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>7.710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.130</td>
<td>0.0060</td>
<td>62.10</td>
<td>12.99</td>
<td>7.614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,500</td>
<td>0.592</td>
<td>0.120</td>
<td>0.0058</td>
<td>59.19</td>
<td>11.93</td>
<td>7.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>0.564</td>
<td>0.0960</td>
<td>0.0053</td>
<td>56.39</td>
<td>9.585</td>
<td>6.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.0857</td>
<td>0.0045</td>
<td>49.99</td>
<td>8.358</td>
<td>5.419</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.0753</td>
<td>0.0046</td>
<td>43.20</td>
<td>7.517</td>
<td>4.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6,000</td>
<td>0.315</td>
<td>0.0612</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>31.50</td>
<td>6.012</td>
<td>2.051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,000</td>
<td>0.245</td>
<td>0.0490</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
<td>24.49</td>
<td>4.894</td>
<td>1.213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>0.210</td>
<td>0.0375</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>3.744</td>
<td>0.9395</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$BW = 5.52$ cps.

$b_{NO_2} @ r/r_o = -0.2$ (Profile C-4) = $6.83$ liters/mole.

$(c = 283.04$ liters/mole cm; $t = 0.02413$ cm).

$E = 1.109$ volts = 1109 millivolts.

$\frac{\gamma^2}{C} (at r/r_o = -0.2) = 23.52 \times 10^{-3}$ (moles/liter).
FIGURE 50. TOTAL RMS CONCENTRATION PROFILE AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION
N_{Da_{II/NO_2}}(t) = 8.9

so that the ratio $N_{Da_{II/NO_2}}(t)/N(t) \approx 0.02$ and thus, according to Lee's analysis, the NO\textsubscript{2} dissociation is a "slow" reaction. On the other hand, calculations show that the NO\textsubscript{2} recombination reaction (which is used in the injection measurements) is "fast."

In Figure 51, the concentration spectrum for Experiment N-14b is shown for the frequency range 10 to $10^4$ Hz (data were obtained out to 15 kHz). The solid line drawn through the data is shown in order to assist in discerning its variation with frequency. The results indicate that what might be considered an inertial subrange begins only at frequencies much greater than $f_D$. Most of the energy of the concentration spectrum is distributed uniformly across 2-1/2 decades of frequency with fall-off occurring only at comparatively high frequencies. Additional experiments should be carried out with probes with significantly different gap widths, $l$, in order to determine if the shape of the concentration spectrum is more probe geometry-limited or phenomena-limited.

4.4 RESULTS FOR WAKE FLOW WITH INJECTION

4.4.1 EXPERIMENTAL CONDITIONS

The flow system was arranged as shown in Figure 2. Initially, a number of exploratory measurements were made with air with the sensors (for U, T, t, $C_{NO_2}$, and $C_{NO_2}$) located at various distances downstream from the location of the injection cylinder (Experiments Ia, Ib, Ic, and Id). The injection cylinder was moved relative to the location of the sensors (fixed with respect to the test section entrance) in order to vary $x/D$. Then the air, $N_2$, and recombining - dissociating NO\textsubscript{2} experiments were carried out (Experiments Ia-1, -2, and -3 and experiments IN-1 and -2). Three categories of experiments were carried out with both $N_2$ and the NO\textsubscript{2} reacting system. These were: (1) bulk flow past the cylinder - no injection, (2) bulk flow past the cylinder with injection - bulk flow and injectant flow at the same temperature, and (3) bulk flow past the cylinder with injection - injectant flow at a temperature significantly higher than that of the bulk. The experiments were carried out at two different total system pressures, 1.5 and 5.4 atmospheres. The turboblower speed was maintained at its maximum level for each experiment in order that the largest possible $Re$ would be achieved in the test section for each gas type and total pressure condition. When the injection experiments were in progress, the flow rate control valve on the injection line was maintained at a maximum open position. Under these conditions, the mass flow rate in the injection line, $\dot{m}_1$, was usually ~ 10 percent of the injection cylinder body-intercepted flow rate, $\dot{m}_{BI}$. The characteristic operating conditions for each experiment with the injection cylinder in the test section are given in Table IV.
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\[ \frac{\langle e_C^2(t) \rangle}{\sigma_C^2} \] (SEC) \( 10^{-7} \)

N-14b

\( \bar{T}_c = 843^\circ F \)
\( \bar{T}_w = 935^\circ F \)
\( P = 9.1 \) ATM
\( \bar{U}_c = 32.9 \) FT/SEC
\( N_{Re_b} = 68,000 \)
\( N_{Pr_b} = 0.714 \)
\( N_{Le_b} = 0.998 \)
\( \rho_i / \rho_o = -0.2 \)

FREQUENCY (CPS)

FIGURE 51. CONCENTRATION SPECTRUM
(EXPERIMENT N-14b)
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>Ta (°C)</th>
<th>No Cylinder</th>
<th>No Inj.</th>
<th>Injection</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
<th>Air</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BS 5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P (MPa)</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>x/D</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA-2a</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA-2b</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA-2c</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>System</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
<th>NO₂</th>
<th>N₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA-1a</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA-1b</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA-1c</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*TABLE IV: OPERATING CONDITIONS FOR INJECTION EXPERIMENTS*
4.4.2 TIME-AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

Various time-average temperature measurements made during the course of each experiment with the different gaseous systems are recorded in Table V. Representative radial time-average temperature profiles for selected air and NO2 experiments (injection into bulk flow - injectant flow heated to a temperature significantly higher than that of the bulk flow) are shown in Figures 52, 53, and 54. There appears to be no gross differences between the radial variation of T for the air and NO2 systems at the conditions noted on the figures. These measurements were made with the fast response thermocouple probe system described in Paragraph 3.7.3.

4.4.3 TIME-AVERAGE VELOCITY MEASUREMENTS

The bulk flow rate, \( \dot{m}_\infty \), was usually determined with the aid of the orifice plate located upstream of the preheat section. The injection line flow rate, \( \dot{m}_i \), was also measured with the aid of an orifice plate (see Figure 2). The data obtained for each of the experiments are given in Table VI. Reynolds numbers were based on fluid properties for the system at thermochimical equilibrium. The velocities in the test section were typically in the 40 to 50 ft/sec range. High Reynolds numbers were obtained with a fixed turboblower speed by using increased pressures.

Representative radial velocity profile data are given in Figures 55 to 60. In Figure 55, the profile is shown for the case without injection. In Figures 56 to 59 data obtained at various downstream positions from the injection cylinder are compared with the data for no cylinder present in the test section. Data in Figure 60 show the dependence of the radial profiles on x/D. For the range 10 < x/D < 20, the radial profiles have a similar shape.

4.4.4 TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

A comparative summary of the total rms temperature data obtained with the probe system described in Paragraph 3.7.3 is given in Table VII, (see also Table V). Most of the scalar transport data were obtained at an x/D = 10.4. The turbulent temperature fluctuations with injection, but with \( T_i \approx T_\infty \), were relatively small and always comparable to the no-injection case. With injection and \( T_i \geq T_\infty \), the turbulent temperature fluctuations were much greater regardless of the chemical nature of the bulk flow stream. However, in the case of the reacting NO2 system results, the turbulent temperature intensities were found to be between 2.5 and 3.0 times greater than the intensities measured in the nonreacting N2 experiments under otherwise comparable conditions. Thus, the presence of a nonequilibrium reaction in the wake flow with injection has increased the turbulent temperature intensities (based on local driving conditions) by \( \sim 150 \) percent. In these series of measurements it was not possible to study the influence on the intensities of varying \( \eta \) by varying the operating conditions (\( T_\infty \), \( P \), \( U_\infty \), \( T_i \), and injection cylinder diameter). Enough data were obtained so that the relative effect of reaction on the turbulent intensities could be determined.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>IA-2e* No Inj.</th>
<th>IA-2c* No Inj.</th>
<th>IA-2b* Injection ΔT=0</th>
<th>IA-1b* Injection ΔT=0</th>
<th>IA-2h* Injection ΔT=207°F</th>
<th>IA-1a* Injection ΔT=207°F</th>
<th>IA-1b* Injection ΔT=133°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>Air-1 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀w (°F)</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>123.1</td>
<td>136.3</td>
<td>125.3</td>
<td>136.8</td>
<td>81.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀c (°F)</td>
<td>137.5</td>
<td>139.4</td>
<td>135.25</td>
<td>136.5</td>
<td>134.3</td>
<td>135.3</td>
<td>76.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀m₁c (°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135.25</td>
<td>130.5</td>
<td>140.1</td>
<td>138.8</td>
<td>77.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁ (°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135.75</td>
<td>137.8</td>
<td>341.0</td>
<td>341.8</td>
<td>210.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔT (°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>206.7</td>
<td>206.5</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ’ / ΔT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ’ / ΔT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0082</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e’ₜₛₙ (100 Hz)(mv)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8.48</td>
<td>6.15</td>
<td>2.42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>IA-3c** No Inj.</th>
<th>IA-2c** No Inj.</th>
<th>IA-3b** Injection ΔT=0</th>
<th>IA-2b** Injection ΔT=0</th>
<th>IA-3a** Injection ΔT=183°F</th>
<th>IA-2a** Injection ΔT=169°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>NO₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀w (°F)</td>
<td>214.8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>215.6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>220</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀c (°F)</td>
<td>229.1</td>
<td>205.5</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>205.5</td>
<td>225.0</td>
<td>229</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₀m₁c (°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>227.4</td>
<td>205.5</td>
<td>228.3</td>
<td>235.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T₁ (°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>228.0</td>
<td>206.0</td>
<td>408.0</td>
<td>398</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔT (°F)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>183.0</td>
<td>169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ’ / ΔT</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.8037</td>
<td>0.950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Θ’ / ΔT</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e’ₜₛₙ (100 Hz)(mv)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 0.0003" Wire Thermometrics Thermocouple  ** 0.0005" Wire HTL Thermocouple
**FIGURE 52. RADIAL TEMPERATURE PROFILE (EXPERIMENT Id-2; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)**

- AIR
- BS = 5.5
- $T_0 = 208^\circ F$
- $P = 1$ ATM
- $U_\infty = 42.6$ FT/SEC
- $U_c = 37.6$ FT/SEC

- $x/D = 10.4$
- $m_\infty = .006$ LBm/SEC
- $m_{BI} = .011$ LBm/SEC
- $m_1 = .0012$ LBm/SEC
- $N_{Re_\infty} = 41,600$
- $N_{Pr_\infty} = 0.708$
FIGURE 53. RADIAL TEMPERATURE PROFILE (EXPERIMENT Id-2; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)
FIGURE 54. RADIAL TEMPERATURE PROFILE (EXPERIMENT IN-2a; INJECTION WITH FINITE ΔT)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>( \overline{U}_c ) (Ft/Sec)</th>
<th>( U_m ) (Ft/Sec)</th>
<th>( m^* ) (Lb/sec)</th>
<th>( C^* ) (Lb(_m^*)/Sec ( \text{Ft}^2 ))</th>
<th>( N_{Re} )</th>
<th>( C_m ) (Lb(_m^*)/Sec ( \text{Ft}^2 ))</th>
<th>( N_{Re_m} )</th>
<th>( m_{inj} ) (Lb(_m^*)/Sec)</th>
<th>( C_{inj} ) (Lb(_m^*)/Sec ( \text{Ft}^2 ))</th>
<th>( N_{Re_{inj}} )</th>
<th>( N_{Re_i} )</th>
<th>( m_{inj} / m_{inj} ) ( \text{BI} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ia No Cylinder</td>
<td>Air - 1 ATM</td>
<td>52.2</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>2.03</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib-1 No Injection</td>
<td>AIR - 1 ATM</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>8,281</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ib-2 Injection ( \Delta T=0 )</td>
<td>AIR - 1 ATM</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>8,281</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ic-1 No Injection</td>
<td>AIR - 1 ATM</td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>8,281</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ic-2 Injection ( \Delta T=0 )</td>
<td>AIR - 1 ATM</td>
<td>40.4</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>0.063</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>25,500</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>40,100</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>3.04</td>
<td>5,100</td>
<td>8,281</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run Number</td>
<td>Id-1 No Inj.</td>
<td>Id-2 Injection ( \Delta T = 131^\circ F )</td>
<td>IA-1a No Inj.</td>
<td>IA-1b Injection ( \Delta T = 133^\circ F )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>Air - 1 ATM</td>
<td>Air - 1 ATM</td>
<td>Air - 1 ATM</td>
<td>Air - 1 ATM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \bar{U}_c ) (Ft/Sec)</td>
<td>39.6</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_\infty ) (Ft/Sec)</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m^* ) (Lb_m/Sec)</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td>0.066</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C^* ) (Lb_m/Sec Ft(^2))</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{Re} ) (Lb_m/Sec Ft(^2))</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>26,500</td>
<td>26,600</td>
<td>26,600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{Re_\infty} )</td>
<td>41,600</td>
<td>41,600</td>
<td>41,800</td>
<td>41,800</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{BI} ) (Lb_m/Sec)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_{BI} ) (Lb_m/Sec Ft(^2))</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.15</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td>3.18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{Re_{\infty BI}} )</td>
<td>5,330</td>
<td>5,330</td>
<td>5,360</td>
<td>5,360</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{i=0} ) (Lb_m/Sec)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0012</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_{i=0} ) (Lb_m/Sec Ft(^2))</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.240</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.220</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{Re_i=0} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,410</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,310</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( N_{Re_i} )</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,994</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>6,390</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( m_{i=0}/m_{\infty BI} )</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run Number</td>
<td>System</td>
<td>IA-2e No Inj.</td>
<td>IN-1c No Inj.</td>
<td>IA-2b Injection ΔT=0</td>
<td>IN-1b Injection ΔT=0</td>
<td>IA-2h Injection ΔT=207°F</td>
<td>IN-1a Injection ΔT=207°F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>NO₂-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>N₂-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>NO₂-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>N₂-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>NO₂-1.5 ATM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_c (Ft/Sec)</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>39.3</td>
<td>41.4</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>40.5</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_(m) (Ft/Sec)</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>37.7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m^* (Lb_m/Sec)</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.088</td>
<td>0.209</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G^* (Lb_m/Sec Ft²)</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_Re</td>
<td>34,100</td>
<td>74,900</td>
<td>34,100</td>
<td>96,700</td>
<td>37,000</td>
<td>74,900</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G_m (Lb_m/Sec Ft²)</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td>4.24</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.59</td>
<td>8.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_Re_m</td>
<td>51,200</td>
<td>133,000</td>
<td>51,200</td>
<td>149,900</td>
<td>55,600</td>
<td>133,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_(m) = BI (Lb_m/Sec)</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G_(m) = BI (Lb_m/Sec Ft²)</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_Re = BI</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>6,570</td>
<td>19,200</td>
<td>7,130</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_(m) = (Lb_m/Sec)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0020</td>
<td>0.0048</td>
<td>0.0017</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G_(m) = (Lb_m/Sec Ft²)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.397</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.341</td>
<td>0.662</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_Re = m</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2,390</td>
<td>7,040</td>
<td>1,680</td>
<td>4,090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N_Re</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12,600</td>
<td>37,400</td>
<td>8,530</td>
<td>21,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m_(m)/m_(m) = BI</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run Number</td>
<td>IA-3c†</td>
<td>IN-2c†</td>
<td>IA-3b</td>
<td>IN-2b</td>
<td>IA-3a</td>
<td>IN-2a†</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>No Inj.</td>
<td>No Inj.</td>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>Injection</td>
<td>Injection</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N₂-5.4 ATM</td>
<td>NO₂-5.4 ATM</td>
<td>N₂-5.4 ATM</td>
<td>NO₂-5.4 ATM</td>
<td>N₂-5.4 ATM</td>
<td>NO₂-5.4 ATM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_c (Ft/Sec)</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U_m (Ft/Sec)</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>47.3</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>46.9</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m^*) (Lb/sec)</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.295</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td>0.291</td>
<td>0.526</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(C^\star) (Lb/sec Ft²)</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>5.67</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{Re})</td>
<td>102,400</td>
<td>210,400</td>
<td>102,400</td>
<td>210,400</td>
<td>100,100</td>
<td>210,400</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G_m) (Lb/sec Ft²)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>14.3</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{Re_m})</td>
<td>154,900</td>
<td>313,700</td>
<td>154,900</td>
<td>313,700</td>
<td>153,000</td>
<td>313,700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_{BI}) (Lb/sec)</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G_{BI}) (Lb/sec Ft²)</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{Re_{BI}})</td>
<td>19,800</td>
<td>40,200</td>
<td>19,800</td>
<td>40,200</td>
<td>19,600</td>
<td>40,200</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_{i_m}) (Lb/sec)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(G_{i_m}) (Lb/sec Ft²)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.130</td>
<td>15,400</td>
<td>5,460</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{Re_i})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38,100</td>
<td>77,600</td>
<td>27,400</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N_{Re_i})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(m_{i_m}/m_{BI})</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† No direct measurements made - bulk gas properties assumed to be the same for all 3 cases.
FIGURE 55. RADIAL VELOCITY PROFILE IN 2 INCH DIAMETER PIPE (EXPERIMENT Ia)
Figure 56. Effect of cylinder and gas injection through cylinder on gas velocity in 2 inch pipe (x/D = 20)
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Figure 57. Effect of Cylinder and Gas Injection Through Cylinder on Gas Velocity in 2 Inch Pipe (x/D = 15)
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FIGURE 58. EFFECT OF CYLINDER AND INJECTION OF HOT GAS THROUGH CYLINDER ON GAS VELOCITY IN 2 INCH PIPE (x/D* = 10.40)
FIGURE 59. EFFECT OF CYLINDER AND INJECTION OF HEATED GAS THROUGH CYLINDER ON GAS VELOCITY IN 2 INCH PIPE ($\chi/D = 10.4$)
FIGURE 60. EFFECT OF CYLINDER LOCATION ON VELOCITY PROFILE
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>System</th>
<th>(\overline{T}_1 - \overline{T}_m) x/D (°F)</th>
<th>(\overline{T}_c - \overline{T}_m) x/D (°F)</th>
<th>(\theta^t_t_c) (°F)</th>
<th>(\overline{T}_c) (°F)</th>
<th>(\theta^t_t_c) (°F)</th>
<th>(\overline{T}_1 - \overline{T}_m) [(\theta^t_t_c)] x/D (°F)</th>
<th>(\overline{T}_c - \overline{T}_m) x/D (°F)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA-1b</td>
<td>Air</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.0039</td>
<td>0.208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P = 1.0 ATM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA-2h</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.0083</td>
<td>0.143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN-1a</td>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>206</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>0.355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P = 1.5 ATM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IA-3a</td>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.0044</td>
<td>0.0769</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IN-2a</td>
<td>NO(_2)</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.0056</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>P = 5.4 ATM</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The variation in total rms temperature with the difference in temperature of the injectant and bulk flow streams is shown in Figure 61, both the N2 and the NO2 experiments. Total rms temperature intensity data obtained as a function of radial position in the test section are shown in Figures 62 to 64 for the air and nitrogen injection experiments. In Figure 65, the effect of injection without and with a large \( (T_i - T_c) \) is shown in comparison with the no injection results. Data for the NO2 system with injection are shown in Figure 66. The N2 and NO2 injection data are compared in Figure 67. The data of Figure 66 are shown in Figure 68 with the turbulent temperature intensities based on the local driving force for temperature mixing, \( T_c - T_m \), instead of the boundary (or initial) condition, \( T_i - T_c \), used in the previous figures.

4.4.5 TURBULENT TEMPERATURE SPECTRA

Turbulent temperature spectra were measured for air, N2, and NO2 with, and without, injection. Most of the data were obtained with a fast response thermocouple probe whose junction tip diameter was 0.6 mil with leads of 0.3 mil diameter. As noted in Paragraph 3.7.3, for the flow conditions encountered in these experiments, such a sensing end configuration has a characteristic time response of 34 \( \mu \)sec and thus has good frequency response out to 10,000 Hz.

In Figures 69 and 70, turbulent temperature spectra are shown for air and N2 experiments with injection and a significant \( (T_i - T_c) \). A line with slope of \(-5/3\) is shown with each spectrum. The inflection in the near cylinder wake spectrum of Figure 69 with the slope change of \(-5/3\) to \(-1\) is similar to that found for nonreacting and reacting near sphere wakes by Gibson, (Reference 32), though more spectra: data are needed before such an inflection can be claimed to exist for the cylinder wake under the conditions of Experiment IA-1b. Results obtained with injection into a nonreacting wake, but with \( (T_i - T_c) \sim 0 \) are shown in Figure 71. In this case, the fall-off with increasing frequency is greater than the previous cases with \( (T_i - T_c) \) large. The slope of the spectrum in the low frequency region (at \( \sim 100 \text{ Hz} \)) is less than \(-5/3\) and in the higher frequency region (at \( \sim 1000 \text{ Hz} \)) is significantly greater than \(-5/3\). The spectrum obtained without injection but with otherwise similar conditions is shown in Figure 72.

In all of these cases (data of Figures 69 through 72), the gas was nonreacting and the total system pressure was in the 1.0 to 1.5 atm range. The effect of total system pressure on the turbulent temperature spectrum for nonreacting wake flow with injection and finite \( (T_i - T_c) \) can be seen by comparing the results presented in Figure 73 (data obtained from probe whose \( T \approx 0.62 \text{ msec} \); data uncorrected for frequency attenuation with those in Figure 70. The raw data obtained for the experiment whose spectral results are shown in Figure 73, i.e., Experiment IA-3a are given in Figure 74 in order to show the extent of the noise correction. A comparison of the frequency dependence of the rms fluctuating temperature for the cases of no injection, injection with \( (T_i - T_c) \sim 0 \), and injection with \( (T_i - T_c) \)
FIGURE 61. CHANGE IN TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE FLUCTUATION WITH \((\bar{T}_1 - T_\infty)\)
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FIGURE 62. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURES INTENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT IA-1a)
FIGURE 63. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT IA-2b; INJECTION WITH FINITE ΔT)
FIGURE 64. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT IA-3a; INJECTION WITH FINITE ΔT)
FIGURE 65. COMPARISON OF TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITIES FOR THE CASES OF NO INJECTION, INJECTION WITH $\Delta T = 0$ AND INJECTION WITH FINITE $\Delta T$ FOR NITROGEN AT 1.5 ATM.

-104-
FIGURE 66. TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITY AS A FUNCTION OF RADIAL POSITION (EXPERIMENT IN-1a, INJECTION WITH FINITE ΔT)
FIGURE 67. COMPARISON OF TOTAL RMS TEMPERATURE INTENSITY RESULTS - NONREACTING AND REACTING SYSTEMS
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FIGURE 68. TOTAL RMS INTENSITY - TEMPERATURE: - (EXPERIMENT IN-1a; INJECTION WITH FINITE ΔT)
FIGURE 69. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IA-1b; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)
FIGURE 70. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IA-2h; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)
FIGURE 71. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IA-2b; INJECTION WITH ΔΤ = 0)
FIGURE 72. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IA-2e; NO INJECTION)
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FIGURE 73. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IA-3a; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)
FIGURE 74. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM - MEASURED VOLTAGE LEVELS OF TOTAL SIGNAL AND NOISE SIGNAL (EXPERIMENT IA-3a)
finite and large all measured with the same sensor is given in Figure 75. Turbulent temperature spectral data for the reacting \( \text{NO}_2 \) system with injection and large \( (T_i - T_\infty) \) are shown in Figures 76 and 77. At the lower pressure (1.5 atm), the inflection in slope observed in the case of the nonreacting wake (Experiment IA-1b - Figure 69) was also found for the reacting wake (same sensor) (Figure 76). No such inflection was found in the data obtained at the higher pressure. Tape recording, digitizing, and computer processing of the turbulent spectral data (Reference 32) will be used in the future in order to better define the slopes and inflection points. In Figures 78 and 79, spectral data obtained with the same sensors at the same total system pressures and turboblower speeds are compared.

4.4.6 TIME-AVERAGE AND FLUCTUATING TURBULENT CONCENTRATION MEASUREMENTS

Measurements of the local \( \text{NO}_2 \) concentration were made in the wake flow with the optical probe system described in Paragraph 3.7.4, except that in the case of the wake flow experiments the gap width of the optical probe, \( L \), was approximately twice as large as that used in the pipe flow experiments \((\sim 0.033 \text{ inch versus } \sim 0.014 \text{ inch})\). Results obtained from the two series of \( \text{NO}_2 \) wake experiments are given in Table VIII. Where appropriate, the equilibrium concentrations are also tabulated. These were obtained from the temperature and total pressure measurements and the properties compiled by Brokaw and Svehla (References 6 and 8). The data obtained from the IN-1 series should be considered of doubtful validity because of difficulties encountered with electrical noise and electronic component (transistor) stability of the optical probe electronics system during the course of this series of measurements. These difficulties were not present during the IN-2 experiments because appropriate component and operating procedure modifications were made prior to the acquisition of data. The equilibrium concentrations given at the bottom of Table VIII show that in the case of the IN-1a experiment, the concentration of \( \text{NO}_2 \) in the injectant stream was greater than that in the wake. The opposite was true in the case of Experiment IN-2a, although the difference in this case between \( (\text{C}_{\text{NO}_2})_e \) and \( (\text{C}_{\text{NO}_2})_{ef} \) is somewhat less than in Experiment IN-1a. The turbulent concentration intensity data show that even with relatively small differences in equilibrium concentrations of the two mixing streams, this intensity is significantly increased with injection of the reacting fluid into a reacting wake.

A representative time-average radial concentration profile is shown in Figure 80 and the corresponding total rms intensity profile is shown in Figure 81. Radial profile measurements were not extended across the full width of the flow duct in order to protect the fragile optical probe glass tips from contacting the far wall (the exact location of the probe tip during the course of the experiment is not known). The extinction coefficients used in the reduction of the data were those derived from the isothermal flow measurements reported in Figure 33.

The turbulent concentration spectrum for Experiment IN-2a (injection with significant \( T_i - T_\infty \)) is shown in Figure 82. The fall-off in intensity with
NO INJECTION (IA-3c)

INJECT ($T_1 = 228^\circ F$) (IA-3b)
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NITROGEN

$BS = 5.5$

$P = 5.4 \text{ ATM}$

$T = 227^\circ F$

FIGURE 75. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRA - COMPARISON OF RESULTS FOR THREE CASES: NO INJECTION, INJECTION WITH $\Delta T = 0$, AND INJECTION WITH FINITE $\Delta T$
TC (BEAD = 0.0006", LEADS = 0.0003")

\[
\frac{\Theta(t)^2}{\Theta_i^2} (\text{SEC})
\]

FIGURE 76. TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IN-1a; INJECTION WITH FINITE \( \Delta t \))
Figure 77. Temperature mixing spectrum (experiment IN-2a; injection with finite $\Delta t$)

- $\theta_c^+ (f) = 10^{-2}$
- $\theta_c^2 (f) = 10^{-4}$
- $T_m = 229^\circ F$
- $T_i = 398^\circ F$
- $P = 5.4$ atm
- $U_\infty = 45$ ft/sec
- $r/r_o = 0$
- $x/D = 10.4$
- $m_\infty = .526$ lb/sec
- $m_{BI} = .086$ lb/sec
- $m_i = .012$ lb/sec
- $e'_{S+N} (100$ Hz$) = 5.5$ MV
- $e'_{N} (100$ Hz$) = .610$ MV
- $e'_{S+N} = 53$ MV
- $e'_{N} = 22$ MV

TC (READ = 0.0025", LEADS = 0.0005")
FIGURE 78. COMPARISON OF TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRA FOR N$_2$, AIR, AND NO$_2$ AT $BS = 5.5$ and $P = 1.5$ ATM WITH INJECTION AT A FINITE $\Delta t$
FIGURE 79. COMPARISON OF TEMPERATURE MIXING SPECTRA FOR N₂ AND NO₂ AT BS = 5.5 AND P = 5.5 ATM WITH INJECTION AND FINITE AT
TABLE VIII. CONCENTRATION MEASUREMENTS FOR INJECTION EXPERIMENTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run Number</th>
<th>IN-1c No Inj.</th>
<th>IN-1b Injection ΔT=0</th>
<th>IN-1a Injection ΔT=207°F</th>
<th>IN-2c No Inj.</th>
<th>IN-2b Injection ΔT=0</th>
<th>IN-2a Injection ΔT=169°F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>System</td>
<td>NO2-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>NO2-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>NO2-1.5 ATM</td>
<td>NO2-6 ATM</td>
<td>NO2-6 ATM</td>
<td>NO2-6 ATM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{NO2}^c$ (Moles/Liter)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_c$ (Moles/Liter)</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_1$ (Moles/Liter)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.035</td>
<td>0.040</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.159</td>
<td>0.149</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\left( \Delta C_{NO2} \right)^e_{1-c}$ (Moles/Liter)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.005</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>-0.010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta'$ (Moles/Liter)</td>
<td>1.48x10^{-5}</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.64x10^{-5}</td>
<td>4.99x10^{-3}</td>
<td>4.99x10^{-3}</td>
<td>5.72x10^{-3}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[ \frac{\theta'_{C_{NO2}^c}}{\left[ \left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_1 - \left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_c \right]} \]

\[ \frac{\theta'_{C_{NO2}^c}}{\left[ \left( C_{NO2} \right)^{\infty} - \left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_c \right]} \]

*At 0.2" from near wall

IN-1a 1.5 ATM

$\Delta T = 207^\circ F$

$T_m = 139^\circ F = 332^\circ K$

$T_i = 342^\circ F = 444^\circ K$

$\left( x_{NO2} \right)^e_c = 0.643$

$\left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_c = 0.035$

IN-2a 6.0 ATM

$\Delta T = 169^\circ F$

$T_m = 235^\circ C = 386^\circ K$

$T_i = 398^\circ F = 476^\circ K$

$\left( x_{NO2} \right)^e_c = 0.837$

$\left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_c = 0.159$

$\left( C_{NO2} \right)^e_c = 0.149$
FIGURE 80. TIME AVERAGE CONCENTRATION PROFILE (EXPERIMENT IN-2a; INJECTION WITH FINITE ΔT)
FIGURE 81. TOTAL RMS CONCENTRATION INTENSITY (EXPERIMENT IN-2a; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)
FIGURE 82. CONCENTRATION SPECTRUM (EXPERIMENT IN-2a; INJECTION WITH FINITE AT)
Increasing frequency tends to follow the usual \(-5/3\) trend. Above 10,000 Hz, the fall-off in frequency response of the probe is observed. In general, the shape of the concentration spectrum for the reacting system seems to exhibit no unusual features compared to the nonreacting temperature spectra. The low frequency range merits closer study (Reference 32). Correction for the finite probe measurement volume can be made in the manner developed by Uberoi and Kovasznay (Reference 33).
SECTION V

CONCLUSIONS AND AREAS FOR FURTHER INVESTIGATION

An investigation has been undertaken to study, in a well-defined laboratory situation, the influence of chemistry on turbulence structure in compressible flows. The principal effort has consisted of the design of experiments for demonstrating the effect of exothermic energy release on turbulent flow structure, the development of sensitive instrumentation for the accurate measurement of both time-average and fluctuating scalar quantities (temperature and concentration), the development of techniques for reducing the raw data derived from the sensors and their associated electronics to forms suitable for correlation and analysis, and the completion of several experiments under "self-mixing" conditions without injection and under wake conditions with and without injection.

Calculations of the effectiveness parameter for the NO$_2$ reacting system have shown that the recombining NO$_2$ system (to form N$_2$O$_4$) will exhibit a sufficiently large effectiveness parameter under laboratory conditions so that the turbulence in a grid, sphere, or cylinder wake would be significantly modified. The properties of the reacting NO$_2$ system were reviewed. Those conditions of temperature and pressure, as well as test section and body sizes required for the experiments were specified. Some pertinent characteristics of the recirculating flow system temperature measurement instrumentation were determined.

An improved fiber optic probe was developed for use in the heated reacting gases (T to 8000K, P to 10 atm). Signal-to-noise ratios of $>250:1$ have now been obtained with this system. This performance is more than adequate for both the time-average and the fluctuating measurements. Time-average and fluctuating concentration measurements were made in a 2-inch diameter test section with reacting NO$_2$ at 850°F and 9 atm. It was found necessary to thermally condition the probe in order to obtain a stable performance.

Several experiments were performed with both high temperature air and NO$_2$ under fully developed turbulent pipe flow conditions in order to determine the operating characteristics of the fast response thermocouple probe system. Both total rms and spectral data were obtained. In the case of heated fully developed turbulent tube flow ("self-mixing" case), the temperature intensities were found to be an order of magnitude higher (more endothermic reaction in the film near the heated wall, more exothermic reaction in the bulk flow region where the probe tips were situated) in the nonequilibrium reacting gas than in nonreacting air. The related spectral data exhibited the usual $-5/3$ slope in the inertial subrange. Both longitudinal and transverse mixing influenced the shape of the spectra at low wave numbers. The temperature intensities in the nonequilibrium reacting flow were about as large as the measured concentration intensities.

A number of measurements were made in the near wake of a cylinder ($x/D \sim 10$) with both nonreacting air and N$_2$ and with reacting NO$_2$. The wake
measurements were made under three separate conditions: no injection, injection with no temperature or concentration difference between the bulk flow stream and the injectant stream, and injection with a significant temperature and concentration difference between the bulk flow stream and the injectant stream. Time-average and fluctuating temperatures and concentrations, time-average velocities, and turbulent temperature and concentration spectra were measured. The results show that the turbulent temperature intensities were approximately one hundred and fifty percent greater in the reacting wake than in the nonreacting wake with an injection flow rate on the order of ten percent of the body intercepted flow rate. The effectiveness parameter, $\eta$, for the reacting wake was $\sim 8$. The same level of turbulent temperature increase was measured regardless of whether recombination (exothermic) or dissociation (endothermic) reactions were promoted in the wake.

The instrumentation and flow system required for these experiments have been developed and used to obtain measurements at essentially one value of the effectiveness parameter. These measurements have shown that the turbulent scalar intensities can be significantly increased by reactions, regardless of their direction, in both "self-mixing" and wake (with injection) flow environments.

The primary emphasis of future work in this area should include measurement of turbulent velocities at the same time that the turbulent temperatures and concentrations are being measured, and extension of the range of experimental variables to include measurements over a wide range of the effectiveness parameter, relative flow rates (injectant versus bulk stream), downstream position, and reaction conditions (exothermic versus endothermic, two-body versus three-body reactions). Wake shear flow measurements should be compared with measurements in an isotropic field (biplanar grid wake). Correlation of the results should then be sought in order to determine the utility of this phenomenon for re-entry systems applications.
# APPENDIX I

## TABLE I

### SPECIFICATIONS OF TEMPERATURE CONTROLLERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Controller - Indicator (Test Section)</th>
<th>Barber-Coleman Company Model 293C (Capacitrol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Requirements: 110-130 VAC, 50-60 Hz, ~20 watts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of Control: ± 1/2% of Control Set Point (Range 0 - 1600°F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale Divisions: 20°F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Controller (Preheat Section)</th>
<th>Barber-Coleman Company Model 151P (Amplitrol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Requirements: 120 VAC, 60 Hz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy of Dial Setting: 1% of scale range (Range = 100 - 1600°F)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity: 75 μvolts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scale Divisions: 20°F</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Temperature Controller - Recorder (Gas Temperature Adjustment)</th>
<th>Leeds &amp; Northrup Company Series 60 Controller - Speedomax I Recorder - FDG1 Regulator Controller:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Requirements: 120 VAC, 50-60 Hz, 30 VA *Proportional, Rate and Reset Capabilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recorder:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Power Requirements: 120 VAC, 50-60 Hz, 30 VA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Round Chart, 1 revolution in 24 hours, 0-1800°F using a Chromel Alumel Thermocouple</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automatic reference junction compensation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy: ± 0.3% of range (Range = 0 - 1800°F)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead Band: 0.2% of span</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulator:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fincor Model FDG-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Requirements: 200-240 VAC, 60 Hz Single phase 10 KVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Output Power: 198/216 VAC at 20 amps, 9 KVA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TABLE II

SPECIFICATIONS OF INSTRUMENTS USED FOR TIME AVERAGE TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS
AND AMPLIFICATION OF FLUCTUATING THERMOCOUPLE OUTPUT

Power Supply
Tektronix, Inc.
Model 125
Power Requirements: 105-125 VAC, 40-50 Hz, ~110 watts
Output Voltages:  +135 V DC at 0-20 ma ± 3%
               - 90 V DC at 0-20 ma ± 3%
               - 6 V DC at 0.7-4 amp ± 5%
Voltage Stability: ± 5 mV/hr at constant temperature

Preamplifier
Tektronix, Inc.
Model 122 (modified by conversion of first two stages to nuvistors)
Power Requirements: Supplied by Tektronix Model 125 Power Supply
Input Impedance: ~10 megohms
Output Impedance: 1000 ohms
Gain: 1,230 or 12,600
Noise Level: 0.054 to 0.021 μV from 200 Hz to 10 KHz with gain of 12,600
Frequency Response: -0.3 db from 10 Hz to 1 KHz
                     -0.3 db to -0.9 db from 1 KHz to 17 KHz

Potentiometer
Leeds & Northrup Company
Model 7553-5
Type K-3
Measuring Ranges: 0 to 1.6110 volts (high range)
                   0 to 0.16110 volts (medium range)
                   0 to 0.016110 volts (low range)
Limits or Error: ± 0.1% of reading + 20 mV (high range)
               ± 0.15% of reading + 2 mV (medium range)
               ± 0.15% of reading + 0.5 mV (low range)
Standard Cell
Eppley Laboratory, Inc.
Catalog Number 100
Internal Resistance: Less than 500 ohms
Voltage: 1.01923 volts at 23°C

Galvanometer
Leeds & Northrup Company
Model 2430-C
Sensitivity: 0.0033 μV/mm
Internal Resistance: 24 ohms
Period: 2.85 seconds
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#### TABLE III

**SPECIFICATIONS OF ELECTRONIC AND ELECTRICAL COMPONENTS OF OPTICAL PROBE SYSTEM AND ASSOCIATED MEASUREMENT INSTRUMENTATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Power Supply, Lamp</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hewlett-Packard Company - Harrison Division</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPB Series, Model 6282A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power Requirements:</strong> 105-125 VAC, Single Phase 50-60 Hz, 3.5 A, 200 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Output:</strong> 0-10 VDC at 0-10 A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Load Regulation - Constant Current:</strong> &lt;0.05% + 1 mA for a zero to maximum change in output voltage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Line Regulation - Constant Current:</strong> &lt;0.05% + 1 mA for any line voltage change within the rated input</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ripple and Noise - Constant Current:</strong> &lt;5 mA rms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temperature Coefficient - Constant Current:</strong> &lt;0.02% + 5 mA/°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stability - Constant Current:</strong> &lt;0.10% + 25 mA total drift for 8 hours after a 30-minute warm up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Lamp</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Electric</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalog Number 1962</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Design Volts:</strong> 8.5, 62 W, 80 CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Construction:</strong> Quartz envelope</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rated Lifetime:</strong> 50 hours at 62 W, 80 CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 hours at 46 W, 45 CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2500 hours at 30 W, 25 CP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Motor, Chopper</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Globe Industries</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Part Number: 31A512-2.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Power Requirements:</strong> 115 VAC, single phase 60 Hz, 20 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Speed:</strong> 1405 RPM synchronous</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Torque:</strong> 1.0 inch-ounces</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Motor Life:</strong> 500 hours</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Photomultiplier Tube**

Radio Corporation of America

**Model RCA-8645**

**Power Requirements:**
- Maximum Supply Voltage 1800
- Average Anode Current 0.5 mA

**Spectral Response:**
- Covers the range from 2900 to 8000 angstroms
- Maximum response occurs at about 4200 angstroms
- Type S-20 Spectral Response Curve

**Anode Dark Current:** $6 \times 10^{-10}$ Amperes

**Integral Magnetic Shield and Voltage Divider Network**

**Output Impedance:** 1 Megohm

---

**Power Supply Photomultiplier Tube**

John Fluke, Manufacturing Company, Inc.

**Model 412B**

**Power Requirements:**
- 115 VAC ± 10%, Single Phase 50-60 Hz, 300 VA

**Output:**
- 0 to ± 2100 VDC and 0 to 30 mA

**Load Regulation:** 0.001% to 5 mV for full load change

**Line Regulation:** 0.001% to 2 mV for 10% line change

**Ripple and Noise:** < 500 \( \mu \)V rms, < 1 mV peak to peak

**Temperature Coefficient:** < 20 ppm/°C from +10°C to +40°C

**Stability:** ± 0.003% per hour, ± 0.02% per day after warmup of 30 minutes

**Calibration Accuracy:** ± 0.25% to 100 mV with vernier at zero

**Resetability:** ± 0.05% to 50 mV

---

**Power Supply, Low Voltage**

Philbrick Researches, Inc.

**Model PR-300**

**Power Requirements:**
- 115 VAC ± 10%, Single Phase 50-400 Hz, 250 mA, ~30 W

**Output:** ± 15 VDC, 0-300 mA

**Load Regulation:** < 250 \( \mu \)volts over 0-300 mV DC change

**Line Regulation:** < 250 \( \mu \)volts at 115 V ± 10%

**Ripple and Noise:** < 250 \( \mu \)volts peak to peak

**Temperature Stability:** 0.1% (15 mV) from -25°C to +85°C
Preamplifier

Power Requirements: ±15 VDC
Input Impedance: ~100 k Ohms
Output Impedance: ~500 Ohms
Gain - Voltage: ~0.7
Gain - Current: 60 db

AC Amplifier

Power Requirements: ±15 VDC
Input Impedance: ~10,000 Ohms
Output Impedance: ~2,000 Ohms
Gain: ~8.0
Frequency Response: Flat to 40 KHz

Light Emitting Diode, Infrared (LED)

General Electric
LED-10
Total Beam Output @ 100 mA dc = 0.1 mw
Wavelength @ 100 mA dc = 0.9 microns

Field-Effect Transistor, P-Channel Diffused Silicon Photosensitive (FET)

Siliconix, Inc.
Type P-102
Input Sensitivity @ λ = 0.9 microns = 1.2 μa/mw/cm²
(Gate Current per unit radiant power density)
Spectral Response (10% Points): 0.4 to 1.1 microns
Output Amplified (gain: ~500 to 1000)

Reference Amplifier (Digital Switching Device)

Power Requirements: ±15 VDC
Output Voltage: Square wave of peak amplitude ~5 V

Synchronous Demodulator

Power Requirements: ±15 VDC
Input Impedance: ~130 K Ohms
Output Impedance: ~200 Ohms
Filter
- Power Requirements: ± 15 VAC
- Input Impedance: ~ 50 K ohms
- Output Impedance: ~ 200 ohms
- Gain - Voltage: 10
- Frequency Response: Filters ripple above 0.1 Hz

Voltage Divider
- Electronic Instrument Company, Inc.
- Model 1100 RTMA Resistance Boxes - 2 Utilized
- Resistance Range: 15 ohms to 10 megohms
- Accuracy: ± 10%
- Power Dissipation: 1 watt at any setting
- Input Resistance: 10,150 ohms ± 10%
- Output Resistance: 150 ohms ± 10%

Recorder, Strip Chart
- Leeds & Northrup Company
- Speedomax H Continuously Adjustable AZAR
- Power Requirements: 120 VAC, 60 Hz
- Span: Calibrated steps of 2, 5, 10, 25, 50 or 100 mV
  Uncalibrated - continuous dial adjusted attenuation
- Span - Limits of Error: ± 0.3% of span for calibrated ranges
  ± 0.5% of span for continuously adjusted setting
- Zero Adjustment: Suppression or elevation from 0 to 40 millivolts + or -
- Dead Band: 0.1% of span
- Char: Speed: 1 inch/minute

Converter, Voltage to Frequency
- Vidar Corporation
- Model 240-01
- Power Requirements: 115 VAC ± 10%, Single Phase 50-60 Hz ~ 8W
- Range: ± 100 mV to ± 1000 V full scale in five steps
Polarity: Indicated by front panel lights
Accuracy: \( \pm 0.05\% \) of 1 volt range for all ranges
Linearity: Better than \( \pm 0.025\% \) of full scale from straight line
Drift: Less than \( \pm 0.1\% \) of full scale per week after one hour warmup
Temperature Coefficient: Less than \( \pm 0.01\% \) of full scale per \( ^\circ \text{C} \)
Regulation - Line Voltage: Less than \( \pm 0.02\% \) of full scale for \( \pm 10\% \) change
Input Impedance: 100 k ohms \( \pm 0.1\% \) on 100 mV range
Output Impedance: 1 meg ohm \( \pm 0.1\% \) on all other ranges
Output Frequency: 100 KHz full scale
Output Voltage: \( \sim 1.5 \text{ V peak to peak} \)

Counter, Electronic
Hewlett Packard Company
Model 5245L
Power Requirements: 115 VAC \( \pm 10\% \), Single Phase 50-60 Hz, 95W
Frequency Measurements: From 0 to 50 M Hz (dc input)
From 25 Hz to 50 M Hz (ac input)
Accuracy \( \pm 1 \) count \( \pm \) time base accuracy
Input: Maximum Sensitivity - 100 mV rms
Impedance - 1 megohm
Gate Time: 1 microsecond to 10 seconds in decade steps

Oscilloscope, Dual Beam
Tektronix, Inc.
Model 502
Power Requirements: 105 to 125 VAC, 50-60 Hz, \( \sim 300 \) watts
Input Impedance: 1 megohm paralleled by 47 \( \mu \text{F} \)
Vertical Deflection Factors: 200 \( \mu \text{V/cm} \) to 20 V/cm in sixteen calibrated steps
Horizontal Sweep Rates: 1 \( \mu \text{sec/cm} \) to 5 sec/cm in twenty-one calibrated steps

Voltmeter, Electronic, True Root Mean Square
Ballantine Laboratories, Inc.
Model 320
Power Supply: 105-125 VAC, Single Phase, 50-420 Hz, 65 W
Voltage Range: 100 µV to 320 V rms in 13 ranges, of 10 db steps

Frequency Range: 5 to 500,000 Hz

Input Impedance: 10 megohms

Accuracy: Sine Waves and non-sinusoidal waves
- 5% of meter reading from 5 to 15 Hz
- 3% of meter reading from 15 to 150,000 Hz
- 5% of meter reading from 150,000 to 500,000 Hz

Output Features:
- Main Amplifier Output: Maximum voltage gain of 90 db ± 1 db balance to ground, decreasing in 10 db steps to -30 db
- Monitor Output: maximum voltage gain of 84 db ± 1 db single ended, in phase with the input, decreasing in 10 db steps to -36 db
- Mean Square Output: negative dc output voltage of 0.2 V corresponding to full scale meter deflection

Voltmeter, Electronic, True Root Mean Square

Hewlett Packard Company

Model 3400A

Power Supply: 115 or 230V ± 10%, 50 to 100 Hz, ~ 7W

Voltage Ranges: 1 mV to 300V in 12 ranges

Frequency Range: 10 Hz to 10 MHz

Input Impedance: From 0.001V to 0.3V range: 10 megohms shunted by 40 pF. From 1.0V to 300V range: 10 megohms shunted by 15 pF.

Accuracy:
- ± 1% of full scale 50 Hz to 1 MHz
- ± 2% of full scale 1 MHz to 2 MHz
- ± 3% of full scale 2 MHz to 3 MHz
- ± 5% of full scale 10 Hz to 50 Hz and 3 MHz to 10 MHz

Crest Factor: 10 to 1

Output Features:
- 1V DC open circuit at full scale deflection proportional to pointer deflection
Oscilloscope
Tektronix, Inc.
Model 545 with 53/54 K plug-in Unit
Power Requirements: 105 to 125 VAC, 50-60 Hz, ~ 545 watts
Input Impedance: 1 megohm, 20 μF
Vertical Deflection Factors: 0.05 V/cm to 20 V/cm in nine calibrated steps
Horizontal Sweep Rates: 0.1 μsec/cm to 5 sec/cm in twenty-four calibrated steps

Tape Recorder, FM Record/Reproduce System
Consolidated Electrodynamics Corporation
Model VR-3300
Power Requirements: 115 VAC ± 10%, 48-65 Hz, single phase, 450 watts
Transport Data: Tape Speeds: 60, 30, 15, 7-1/2, 3-3/4, 1-7/8 ips
Tape Speed Accuracy: ± 0.25%
Flutter: Maximum cumulative flutter up to 300 cps - 0.3% peak-to-peak; up to 10 Kc - 0.55% peak-to-peak at 60 ips

Record/Reproduce Data: Input Level: 1 volt rms to produce full scale modulation
Input Sensitivity: 0.5 to 10 volts rms
Input Impedance: 10 K ohms minimum
AC and DC Linearity: ± 1.5% of full scale
Drift: Less than 2% of full scale in 8 hours
Frequency Response: 0-20 KHz ± 0.5 db at 60 ips
Signal to Noise Ratio: 43 db at 60 ips
Harmonic Distortion: 1.5% at 60 ips
Spectrum Analyzer
Hewlett-Packard Company
Model 302A
Power Supply: 115 VAC ± 10%, Single Phase 50 to 1600 Hz, ~3 W or 18 to 28 VDC
Voltage Range: 30 µV to 300 V in 15 ranges, steps of 10 db
Frequency Range: 20 to 50,000 Hz
Input Impedance: 100,000 ohms on 4 most sensitive ranges
1 megohm on remainder
Dial Accuracy: ± (1% + 5 Hz)
Voltage Accuracy: ± 5% of full scale value
Selectivity: ± 3-1/2 Hz bandwidth at least 3 db down
± 25 Hz bandwidth at least 50 db down
± 70 Hz bandwidth at least 80 db down
Output Features: Restored Frequency Output: Output voltage proportional to meter reading. Output level control provided. Maximum of 1 volt across 600 ohms at output terminals for full scale meter deflection. Output impedance ~600 ohms.
Oscillator Output: 1 volt across 600 ohms at output terminal (mode selector in BFO). Output level control. Output impedance ~600 ohms.
Recorder Output: 1 ma dc into 1500 ohms or less at full scale meter indication

Sweep Drive - Spectrum Analyzer
Hewlett-Packard Company
Model 297A
Power: 115 VAC ± 10%, Single Phase 50-60 Hz, 12 W
Sweep Range: 64 revolutions
Sweep Speed: 170 Hz/sec or 17 Hz/sec with 302A Wave Analyzer
Sweep Output: 0-15 VDC proportional to change in shaft position.
Full output may be obtained with either 2.1 revolutions or with 50 revolutions of the output shaft.
Vacuum Thermocouple, Insulated
American Thermo-Electric Company
Catalog Number 22
Heater Resistance: 1100 ohms
Output: 5 mV/1.2 ma

Recorder, X-Y
F. L. Moseley Company
Model 2D
Power Requirements: 115 volts, Single Phase, 60 Hz, 185 VA
Recording Speed: 20 inches per second maximum pen speed each axis
DC Voltage Ranges: Sixteen calibrated ranges for each axis;
0.5 millivolts to 50 volts/inch
AC Voltage Range: Eight calibrated ranges for each axis;
0.1 to 20 volts/inch
Accuracy: Better than 0.2% of full scale, 0.1% resetability on DC ranges
AC Accuracy 20 Hz to 20 KHz 0.5%  Linearity 0.1%
20 KHz to 50 KHz 1.0%  0.3%
50 KHz to 100 KHz 2.0%  0.5%
100 KHz to 200 KHz 10.0%  1.0%
Input Resistance: 200,000 ohms/V up to 1 V/inch
2 megohms on all higher dc ranges and all ac ranges
APPENDIX II

EQUATIONS FOR DATA REDUCTION

Momentum Transport (time average understood):

\[ m = 2 \pi \int_0^r r U \rho \, dr \]  
\[ G = \frac{m}{\pi r_0^2} \]  
\[ m* = \frac{\pi d^2}{4} \left\{ \frac{C \sqrt{1}}{1 - \beta^4} \right\} \left[ 2 \, \frac{C}{g_c} \, \rho_1 \, (\Delta P)_{op} \right]^{1/2} \]  
\[ U = \left[ 2 \, C \, \frac{(\Delta P)_{pt}}{P} \right]^{1/2} \]  
\[ (\Delta P)_{sp} = \left[ h(\rho_M - \rho_g) - K_A \, \rho_A + K_B \, \rho_B \right] \frac{K}{g_c} \]  
\[ \frac{(\Delta P)_F}{L} = \frac{(\Delta P)_{sp}}{L} \left[ \frac{m^2}{\left( \frac{C}{g_c} \right)^2} \left[ \frac{1}{\rho_{b_1}} - \frac{1}{\rho_{b_2}} \right] + \frac{K}{g_c} \bar{p}_b \right] \]  
\[ \rho_b = \frac{\int_0^r 2 \pi r \rho \, dr}{\pi r_0^2} \]  
\[ \bar{p}_b = \frac{\int_2^L \rho_b \, dz}{L} \]  
\[ (\Delta P)_{op \ or \ pt} = \left[ h \, (\rho_M - \rho_g) \right] \frac{K}{g_c} \]  

*Note: \( \Delta P \equiv P_1 - P_2 \).
\[ f = \frac{D}{4L} \left( \frac{\Delta P}{L} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{\Delta P}{L} \right) \right] \]  
\[ \tau_w = \frac{D}{4L} \left( \frac{\Delta P}{L} \right) \]  

Concentration Calculations (\( c_i \) = fluctuating concentration):

\[ \frac{1}{I_0} = \exp \left( -\varepsilon_1 L c_i \right) \quad c_i = \bar{c}_i + c_i \quad I \equiv a \bar{E} \]  

Time-Average Concentration:

For \( c_i \) small,

\[ \bar{c}_i = -\frac{1}{b_1} \ln \frac{E}{E_0} \]  

For \( c_i \) large,

\[ \bar{c}_i = -\frac{1}{b_1} \ln \frac{E}{E_0} \left[ 1 + \frac{b_1}{2} \frac{c_i}{\bar{c}_i} \right] \]  

RMS Concentration at Frequency, \( f \) (\( e/\bar{E} \) small):

\[ \Theta_{NO_2}^2(f) = \frac{\left[ e'_S \right]_{Air} - \left[ e'_S \right]_{NO_2}}{G_2 \left( b_{NO_2} \frac{E}{G_1} \right) \left( \frac{\bar{E}}{\bar{E}_0} \right)} \]  

\[ \left( e'_S \right)_{f_{Air}^2} = \left[ \left( e'_S \right)_{Air}^2 \right] - \left( e'_N \right)_{Air}^2 \]  

\[ \left( e'_S \right)_{f_{NO_2}^2} = \left[ \left( e'_S \right)_{NO_2}^2 \right] - \left( e'_N \right)_{NO_2}^2 \]  

\( G_1 = \) gain of preamp, ac amp, synchronous demodulator and filter

\( G_2 = \) Gain of preamp and ac amp = \( f(\bar{E}_0) \)
For $E_0 = -3.216$ volts, $G_1 \approx (5.2)(5.5) = 28.6, G_2 \approx 5.5$

$E_0 = -2.710$ volts, $G_1 \approx (5.5)(5.5) = 30.3, G_2 \approx 5.5$

$E_0 = -1.737$ volts, $G_1 \approx (5.65)(5.4) = 30.5, G_2 \approx 5.4$

$E_0 = -1.540$ volts, $G_1 \approx (5.7)(5.3) = 30.2, G_2 \approx 5.3$

Total RMS Concentration ($e/E$ small):

$$\theta'_{\text{NO}_2}^{\text{CN}} = \frac{(e_\text{Air}^{i} - (e^{i}_\text{NO}_2))}{G_2 (b_{\text{NO}_2} E/G_1)}$$

(18)

Fluctuating Temperature:

$$\theta'_t(f) = \left[ \left( \frac{\theta'_t(f) + \frac{\theta'_t(f)}{2}}{\theta'_t(f) + \frac{\theta'_t(f)}{2}} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

$$\theta'_t(f) = \frac{(e_{S+N}^{i} - \frac{e_{N}^{i}}{2})}{CG(BW)^{1/2}} = \left[ \left( \frac{\theta'_t(f)}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

(19)

$$\theta'_t(f) = \frac{(e_{S+N}^{i} - \frac{e_{N}^{i}}{2})}{CG} = \left[ \left( \frac{\theta'_t(f)}{2} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

(20)

$G = 12,600$

$C = 5.34 \times 10^{-6}$ volts/°F (Pt/Pt-10% Rh at ~ 800°F)

$BW = 5.52$ Hz

$$k_1 = \frac{2\pi f}{u}$$

(21)

$$\theta'_t(k_1) = \frac{u}{2\pi} \theta'_t(f)$$

(22)

$$k_{1D} = \frac{2\pi}{D}; k_{1TS} = \frac{2\pi}{l_{TS}}$$

(23)

$$f_{D} = \frac{u}{D}; f_{TS} = \frac{u}{l_{TS}}$$

(24)
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An investigation has been undertaken to study, in a well defined laboratory situation, the influence of chemistry on turbulence structure in compressible flows. The principal effort has consisted of the design of experiments for demonstrating the effect of exothermic energy release on turbulent flow structure, the development of sensitive instrumentation for the accurate measurement of both time-averaged and fluctuating scalar quantities (temperature and concentration), the development of techniques for reducing the raw data derived from the sensors and their associated electronics to forms suitable for correlation and analysis, and the completion of several experiments under "self-mixing" conditions without injection and under wake conditions with and without injection.

Calculations of the effectiveness parameter for the NO2 reacting system have shown that the recombining NO2 system (to form NO) will exhibit a sufficiently large effectiveness parameter under laboratory conditions so that the turbulence in a wake flow should be significantly modified. Appropriate experiments for demonstrating this effect were designed. An improved fiber optic probe was developed for use in the heated reacting gas flames. Experiments were completed with air, N2 and reacting NO2. Both total rms and spectral data were obtained. In the case of heated fully developed turbulent tube flow, the temperature and concentration intensities were found to be as great as an order of magnitude higher in the nonequilibrium reacting gas than in nonreacting air. The wall measurements were made under three separate conditions: no injection, injection with no temperature or concentration difference between the bulk flow stream and the injectant stream, and injection with a significant temperature and concentration difference between the bulk flow stream and the injectant stream. The results show that the turbulent temperature intensities were approximately one hundred and fifty percent greater in the reacting wake than in the nonreacting wake with an injection flow rate on the order of ten percent of the body's interrupted flow rate. The same level of turbulent temperature increase was measured regardless of whether recombination (exothermic) or dissociation (endothermic) reactions were promoted in the wake.
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