UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD835581

NEW LIMITATION CHANGE

TO

Approved for public release, distribution unlimited

FROM

Distribution authorized to U.S. Gov't. agencies and their contractors; Critical Technology; 11 AUG 1959. Other requests shall be referred to Space and Missile Systems Organization, Los Angeles, CA.

AUTHORITY

SAMSO ltr, 28 Feb 1972

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

REPORT NO. 7B 2124-1
DATE 11 August 1959
NO. OF PAGES 65

CONVAIR | ASTRONAUTICS

CONVAIR DIVISION OF GENERAL DYNAMICS CORPORATION

AD 835581

UNCLASSIFIED

CONVAIR - ARMA BENCH TEST COMPATIBILITY PROGRAM

REPORT NO. 7B 2124-1

CONVAIR-ASTRONAUTICS
SEP 30 1959
LIBRARY

This document is subject to special export controls and each transmittal to foreign governments or foreign nationals may be made only with prior approval of: Hq.SAMSO, LA., Ca. 90045 Attn: SMSD

PREPARED BY W. S. Curry D. E. Carson
W. S. Curry D. E. Carson

CHECKED BY E. Godensohwager
E. Godensohwager

CHECKED BY H. E. Shaw
H. E. Shaw

APPROVED BY for W. G. Hardy
Test Lab Group Mgr.

APPROVED BY R. S. Campbell
Chief of Test Labs

Classification Changed To:
UNCLASSIFIED
Authorized By: DD 254 MSCG Date 5-14-65 W3107A
Reclassified By: Richard J. Cook Dept. 130-1 Date 1-65

REVISIONS

NO.	DATE	BY	CHANGE	PAGES AFFECTED
jn				

UNCLASSIFIED

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

CONFIDENTIAL

FORM NO. A-700-2

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PARAGRAPH	TOPIC	PAGE NUMBER
1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	SECTION I - SUMMARY OF ARMA AIG AUTOPILOT COMPATIBILITY TESTS	1
2.1	OBJECTIVES	1
2.2	CONCLUSIONS	2
2.3	TEST SPECIMENS	2
2.4	TEST PROCEDURES	2
2.4.1.	INITIAL INSTALLATION	2
2.4.2	INITIAL TESTING	3
2.4.3	DETAILED TESTING	4
2.5	TEST RESULTS	5
2.5.1	INITIAL TEST RESULTS	5
2.5.2	DETAILED TEST RESULTS	6
2.6	REFERENCES	13
3.0	SECTION II - ELECTRICAL SYSTEM COMPATIBILITY TEST RESULTS	14
3.1	INTRODUCTION	14
3.2.0	OBJECTIVES	14
3.2.1	LOAD ANALYSIS	14
3.2.2	HARMONIC ANALYSIS	14
3.2.3	TRANSFER CHARACTERISTICS	14
3.3.0	CONCLUSIONS	14
3.3.1	LOAD ANALYSIS	14
3.3.2	HARMONIC ANALYSIS	14
3.3.3	TRANSFER CHARACTERISTICS	14
3.4	RECOMMENDATIONS	14
3.5	DESCRIPTION OF SPECIMENS	14
3.6	TEST PROCEDURE	16
3.6.1	GENERAL PROCEDURE	16
3.6.2	SPECIFIC PROCEDURE	18
3.7	TEST RESULTS	18
3.7.1	LOAD ANALYSIS	19
3.7.2	HARMONIC ANALYSIS	19
3.7.3	POWER TRANSFER	20
4.0	SECTION III - ILLUSTRATIONS	22
4.1	LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS	22
4.2	FIGURES 1 THROUGH 43	23-65 (INCL.)

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

1.0 INTRODUCTION:

With reference to STL Document GM437-335 Engineering Bench Compatibility Test Plan and Arma Document DAG 4562 Laboratory Compatibility Program, STL requested that engineering bench compatibility tests between Convair Autopilot and Arma Inertial Guidance System Components be conducted. Accordingly, the Servo-Mechanisms Group requested that these tests be performed in the Systems Test Laboratory, as specified in Convair Report AZM-27-073, dated 27 October 1958.

The purpose of the bench tests was to determine any system incompatibilities as early in the D/AIG program as possible. Primarily, these tests were made to determine the nature and cause of any interface problems that might exist between the available Convair and Arma equipment. Overall missile system compatibility is to be determined during the 3CD Tests.

The bench tests were divided into two categories; measurements to determine that interface signal requirements were met, and measurements to determine if the system component power requirements were compatible with the airborne power supply.

This report is therefore divided in two sections. The first section contains Guidance-Autopilot signal compatibility information, while the second section contains the data on the compatibility of the guidance system with the airborne power supply. The first part of this report is based primarily on information supplied by Arma, and on information contained in reports issued by the Servo-Mechanisms Group as listed under reference .

2.0 SECTION I: Summary of Arma/AIG Autopilot Compatibility Tests.

2.1 OBJECTIVES:

Determine -

2.1.1 Signal compatibility between Convair AIG Autopilot and Arma MGS.

2.1.2 Operational characteristics of the guidance system on the airborne power supply.

2.1.3 Operational characteristics of the guidance system during power changeover.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

[redacted]

FORM NO. A-792-2

2.2 CONCLUSIONS:

2.2.1 No serious incompatibilities were detected between the Convair Autopilot system and the Arma MGS during these tests.

2.2.2 The guidance system performed correctly on both ground and airborne power supplies over a wide range of power system load conditions.

2.2.3 The operational status of the AIG Autopilot was recovered within a fraction of a second after power changeover, while the Arma equipment was fully operational within five seconds in all tests run.

2.3 TEST SPECIMENS:

2.3.1 Convair D/AIG Autopilot Components
Gyro Package
Servo Amplifier Package
Digital Programmer Package

2.3.2 Convair special test equipment
Control Maneuvers Servo Table
Package Control Units

2.3.3 Convair Power Supply Components
Inet-Palmer ground power unit
Airborne Inverter power unit

For a more detailed description of these units, refer to the Electrical Section of this report.

2.3.4 Arma Lot II Missile Guidance System
Computer
Inertial Platform
Analog Signal Converter
Digital Signal Converter
Control Central

2.3.5 Arma Special Test Equipment
ET-1 MGS Test Racks
Computer Test Kit
Simulated Break Away Panel

2.4 TEST PROCEDURES:

2.4.1 Initial Installation

A walled in, limited access area at Columns J, K-1, Bldg. 5 was created for equipment security, 25 January 1959. The Arma Test hardware was then installed and connected. Power lines, control boxes and outlets were installed, furnishing as necessary:

2.4 TEST PROCEDURES: (Continued)

2.4.1 Initial Installation (Continued)

440 volts, 60 cps, 3 phase

117 volts, 400 cps, 3 phase

117 volts, 60 cps, 1 phase

28 volts, DC

Forced air, dry ice equipment coolers were designed and built for Arma equipment, as were a few minor pieces of special test equipment. The required test instruments were obtained and the area was checked out and operational by 9 February 1959. See Figures 1 through 5.

2.4.2 Initial Arma/AIG Gyro Canister Compatibility Tests -

2.4.2.1 On 9 February 1959 the first prototype AIG gyro canister was tested for compatibility with the Arma MGS. The object of this test was to determine if any discrepancies existed when pitch and yaw steering signals were supplied to the gyro canister.

2.4.2.2 In the first test pitch steering signals from the pitch gimbal synchro of the Arma Inertial Platform were supplied to the pitch guidance input of the torquer amplifier in the gyro canister. Signals ranging from +5.0 to -5.0 volts in 1 volt increments were used as inputs. The parameters recorded on a Sanborn recorder were as follows: Input Signal, Pitch Gyro Output, Pitch Amplifier Output and Pitch Torque Current. At each voltage input level, the pitch guidance was disabled through an electronic switch in the gyro canister and the gyro was electrically nulled. The nulling loop was then opened and the pitch guidance was enabled, allowing the signal to torque the gyro. The resulting ramp outputs and torquing current were recorded at that time.

2.4.2.3 The second test was to apply yaw steering signals from the computer to the yaw guidance input of the torquer amplifier in the gyro canister. The inputs ranged from +3 volts to -3 volts in 0.5 volt increments. The parameters recorded on the Sanborn recorder were as follows: Input Signal, Yaw Gyro Output, Yaw Signal Amplifier Output, and Yaw Torque Current. As in the case of the pitch channel, the yaw guidance was disabled through the electronic switch, and the gyro was electrically nulled.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.4 TEST PROCEDURES: (Continued)

2.4.2.3 (Continued)

The nulling loop was then opened and the yaw guidance was enabled, allowing the signal to torque the gyro.

2.4.2.4 In phase and quadrature components of Arma pitch and yaw steering signals were measured.

2.4.2.5 Arma-Convair guidance interface impedances were investigated.

2.4.2.6 The efficiencies of the torquer amplifier guidance enable switches were evaluated by noting their attenuation of the guidance input in the disable mode.

2.4.3.0 After these initial checks, the detailed testing program was undertaken, and completed by 8 May 1959. The following areas were investigated:

2.4.3.1 Pitch and Yaw Steering

a) Open Autopilot gyro loop
b) Closed Autopilot gyro loop
c) Closed Autopilot gyro loop about a flight maneuvers servo table.

2.4.3.2 The pitch and yaw steering tests were conducted to determine the compatibility of the Arma system with the autopilot gyro canister as well as to compare gyro torquing rates measured three separate ways; open and closed gyro loop, and closed loop through the maneuvers table.

Autopilot gyro package servo loop parameters were also investigated.

2.4.3.3 Pitch gyro drift due to pitch steering null signal was investigated.

2.4.3.4 Yaw gyro drift due to yaw steering null signal was investigated.

2.4.3.5 Effect of yaw steering signal ripple on gyro amplifier output was measured.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.4 TEST PROCEDURES: (Continued)

2.4.3.6 A normal Lot B 1-G problem yaw steering signal was fed from the Arma Computer to the Autopilot while the computer cutoff signals were sent to the programmer. The tests were run on ground power and repeated using the airborne power supply. The autopilot was operated closed loop using an external nulling amplifier.

2.4.3.7 Simulated Lot C 1-G Test - closed loop. Lot C is a more advanced form of the Arma MGS. A simulated Lot C yaw steering profile was generated by manually setting the yaw steering signal levels in the proper time sequence with the Arma computer test kit. The tests conducted with the simulated Lot C sequence profile were the same as for the normal 1-G profile with the exception that cut-off signals were not available.

2.4.3.8 Sensitivity of discrete signal circuits to over and under supply voltages was measured.

2.4.3.9 The effect of switching on and off the simulated loads on the guidance system power supply was investigated. Arma MGS operation at the specification limits of power supply amplitude and frequency was measured.

2.4.3.10 Effects of power changeover, from ground to airborne, on the guidance system was measured.

2.5 TEST RESULTS:

2.5.1.0 Initial Arma/AIG Gyro Canister Compatibility Tests.

2.5.1.1 The results of the pitch steering tests may be seen in Figure 6 where input voltage is plotted versus Autopilot gyro output, amplified gyro output, and torque current. This data appeared to be consistent with what was expected.

2.5.1.2 Figure 7 shows the results of the yaw steering tests. The null voltage input was 60mv total with negligible phase sensitive component. The recorded gyro drift at this time was approximately 0.005 volts/second or 25 degrees/hour using the nominal gyro sensitivity of 0.7 volts/degree.

2.5.1.3 The in-phase and quadrature components of Arma MGS yaw and pitch output steering signals were measured and recorded by Arma at this time also. Their results are shown in Figures 8, 9, 10 and 11.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.1.4 The tests of Figures 6 and 7 were made by adjusting the Arma outputs to the desired torquer amplifier input voltage levels. This cancelled any loading effects on the Arma equipment which may have existed. However, Arma supplied the following information on its pitch and yaw source impedances:

Pitch	370 ± 20%	+ j250±20% ohms
Yaw	6000 ohms	

These impedances drive the pitch and yaw guidance input circuits of the gyro torquer amplifiers, each of which offers a load of 100,000 ohms with guidance disabled and about 190,000 ohms with guidance enabled ensuring a good impedance match with Arma.

2.5.1.5 The enable - disable guidance switches appeared to function efficiently. With 3 volts RMS steering signal applied to the guidance input, and with the switch in the disable condition, .00417 volts per second appeared at the amplifier output. Using a sensitivity of 4.5 volts/degree this is equivalent to a drift rate of 3.34 degrees/hour.

2.5.2 Results of Main Testing Program -

2.5.2.1 Pitch and yaw steering

The pitch steering tests were similar to those run in the initial checks, with signals ranging from null to ±4 volts used as inputs. All testing was done on both ground and airborne power. With the Autopilot gyro loop open, the ramp output from the signal amplifier was recorded at each voltage level after initially nulling the gyro and disabling the guidance input. Simultaneously, the nulling loop was opened and the guidance was enabled allowing the gyro to slew to its stop.

For the second test, the gyro nulling loop was left closed, and the guidance was enabled, while the gyro displacement was measured at the nulling amplifier output for each steering input level. The third test was to close the loop about the control maneuvers servo table and monitor the table rate at each input level. Sample recordings of these tests can be seen in Figures 12 and 13. With the servo loop closed, the rate gyro output was recorded to determine whether any inconsistencies existed in table rate.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.2.1 Pitch and yaw steering (Continued)

The yaw steering tests were run in a similar manner, with signals ranging from null to ±3 volts used as inputs. The open loop tests were performed as before by applying the yaw steering signals to the guidance input after which the nulling loop was opened and the guidance enabled allowing the gyro to slew to its stop. The ramp output from the gyro signal amplifier was recorded as shown in Figure 14. The same test was repeated with the nulling loop closed and the output of the nulling amplifier recorded, also shown in Figure 14.

2.5.2.2 Autopilot Gyro Package Torquing Rates and Parameters -

Figure 16 is a block diagram of a floated rate integrating gyro and its associated circuitry. The blocks inside the dotted lines represent the components of a floated rate gyro, while those outside represent needed circuitry for open or closed loop operation. Open loop torquing is accomplished with S1 and S2 open, and with the signal applied to the guidance input. Closed servo table loop torquing is accomplished with S1 closed and S2 open. Closed gyro loop torquing is accomplished with switch S1 open and switch S2 closed.

The gyro open loop torquing rate may be defined by

$$\frac{s\theta_o}{E_i} = \frac{\dot{\theta}_o}{E_i} = \frac{K_t \; S_t}{C} \quad \frac{\text{deg/sec}}{\text{volt}}$$

Where K_t = torquer amplifier gain in volts/volt
S_t = torque generator transfer function in volts/deg.
C = viscous damping torque of the gyro in dynes - cm/deg/sec

The open loop torquing rate is calculated from the voltage ramp output of the signal amplifier using the displacement sensitivity which is calibrated to ±5.5%.

Closing the loop about a servo table on which the gyro package is mounted, the torquing rate becomes

$$\frac{s\theta_o}{E_i} = \frac{\dot{\theta}_o}{E_i} = \frac{K_t \; S_t}{H}$$

where H is the gyro angular momentum.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.2.2 (Continued)

It can be seen that the torquing rates obtained using these two methods of measurement could differ considerably especially if the damping should vary some percentage over a specified temperature range. Figure 17 does show, however, a fairly good correspondance between open and closed servo loop torquing rates.

The third method tried was to close the loop about the gyro itself, torque the gyro electrically with the Arma steering signals, and record the output of the nulling amplifier for each input level. Since the input summing resistors at the input to the torquer amplifier are in the ratio of 1.21 to 1, the voltage E_n should be 1.21 X E_i at any input level. This is verified in Figure 17.

The predominate time constant of the Autopilot gyro nulling loop was computed to be 0.5 second. This seems to agree with the time constant recorded in the simulated Lot C 1-G Test shown in Figure 8. In this test the gyro loop was closed and the nulling amplifier output was recorded, showing a nulling loop time constant of about 0.5 seconds. If necessary, the loop gain could probably be increased sufficiently to lower the time constant, as the loop possesses an ample gain margin.

Summarizing, the gyro nulling loop had a computed natural frequency of 3.5 cps, a damping ratio of 5.62, and a time constant of 0.5 seconds. All these parameters are a function of loop gain which can be controlled by adjusting the gain of the nulling amplifier.

2.5.2.3 The pitch steering signal was nulled by pinning the inertial platform pitch gimbal with a zero pin.

The effect of the Arma pitch steering null signal on the apparent Autopilot gyro drift was then recorded as shown in Figure 18. This null drift was recorded for six minutes. The drift in degrees per hour was calculated using the pitch displacement sensitivity which was calibrated to 3.5 volts/degree. This calculated drift is shown as follows:

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 U.S.C., Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULT : (Continued)

2.5.2.3 (Continued)

Drift at the end of six minutes	0.9 volts
Drift per hour	9 volts/hour
Drift in degrees/hour	$\frac{9 \text{ volts/hour}}{3.5 \text{ volts/deg.}} = 2.57$ deg/hr.

2.5.2.4 The Arma yaw steering signal was nulled by use of the computer test kit in the "zero subtract" position. The effect of the yaw steering null signal on the apparent Autopilot gyro drift is shown in Figure 19. The gyro drift was recorded for three minutes in each direction by reversing the Arma computer reference voltages' phase. In either direction the drift appeared to be low, 2.27 deg/hr. to 3.46 deg/hr., both within tolerance. The difference between these two drift rates is 1.19 deg/hr. which is the true gyro drift rate caused by the imperfect null signal.

2.5.2.5 The 2 cps ripple on the yaw output of the Arma computer did appear at the output of the nulling amplifier as a 0.1 volt peak-peak signal. The amplitude of the 2 cps ripple is dependent on the steering input signal level. As shown in Figures 14 and 15, the input signal level for 1.1 volts peak-peak was about 3 volts RMS. To determine the effect of the 2 cps ripple on the entire Autopilot system including the sustainer and vernier engines, the resulting 2 cps ripple was recorded at the output of the yaw signal amplifier. See Figure 20. With a 3 volts RMS input signal, the peak-peak voltage appearing at the output of the signal amplifier was 0.2 volts. The sustainer and vernier engine deflection for a peak-peak input of 0.2 volts can be calculated as follows: the end to end sustainer pitch and yaw displacement gain is 1.85 deg/deg. The yaw vernier displacement gain is 15.3 deg/deg. A one degree displacement input produces 3.5 volts signal amplifier out. It follows that the sustainer displacement sensitivity is

$$\frac{1.85 \text{ deg/deg.}}{3.5 \text{ volts/deg.}} = 0.53 \text{ deg/volt}$$

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.2.5 Therefore a 0.2 volt peak-peak signal amplifier output produces a sustainer deflection of

$$0.53 \times 0.2 = 0.106 \text{ degrees peak-peak at 2 cps.}$$

The vernier displacement sensitivity is

$$\frac{15.3}{3.5} = 4.37 \text{ deg/volt.}$$

Thus a 0.2 volt peak-peak signal amplifier output produces a vernier deflection of

$$4.37 \times 0.2 = 0.874 \text{ degrees peak-peak at 2 cps.}$$

From the above calculations, it appears that these small engine deflections will not be detrimental to the autopilot control system. The calculated sustainer deflection should be within the dead zone of the engine servo system.

The third test performed with yaw steering input signals was to close the loop about the control maneuvers servo table. This test is shown in Figure 15 where the rate gyro output shows a 0.04 volt peak to peak 2 cps oscillation. This is equivalent to 0.2 deg/sec. peak-peak modulation upon the table rate of 1.24 deg/sec.

2.5.2.6 The normal Lot B 1-G yaw steering profile using airborne power is shown in Figure 21. This test was done with the Autopilot gyro nulling loop closed and the output of the nulling amplifier recorded. No unusual transients appeared on the recording and the output appeared normal. Figure 10 shows another recording taken on airborne power.

2.5.2.7 The simulated Lot C 1-G test is shown in Figure 22. In this test the gyro loop was closed and the nulling amplifier output was recorded.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.2.8 On 12 March 1959, the Arma MGS and Convair Autopilot were run as a system. One G problems were run with the computer cut-off signals going to the programmer. The DC power supply was adjusted for nominal, under and over voltage levels. The performance of the MGS-Autopilot discretes circuitry was normal under all conditions.

2.5.2.9 The entire simulated load was switched on and off to simulate an extreme airborne power profile. No significant variations appeared in the recorded outputs of either Arma or Convair guidance components. The Arma MGS operation was normal at the specification limits of power supply amplitude and frequency.

2.5.2.10 Power Transfer Tests were made to determine the effect on the operational status of the MGS and Autopilot components. Phase switch-over times were unequal, and transients lasted as long as thirty milliseconds. However, total separation from the ground supply was always achieved before the airborne inverter was switched in.

The servo package recovered operational status within several milliseconds and therefore posed no problems.

Changeover tests were performed with the programmer at zero ready to receive a launch command. At power changeover the programmer power supply voltage decreased which resulted in programmer logic commanding a satisfactory reset to zero as the voltages returned to normal values. No undesirable voltage transients were noted in critical programmer outputs.

The effects of power changeover on the gyro package are shown in Figures 23, 24 and 25. There appear to be no problem areas except for a 0.3 volt transient spike lasting for 0.1 second appearing at the output of the signal amplifier. This should have a negligible effect on system operation.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 USC, Sections 793 and 794. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.2.10 (Continued)

The first area of investigation on the Arma equipment was the effect on platform alignment. As the system power was switched from ground to airborne, the steering resolver and pendulum outputs were recorded, as in Figures 26, 27 and 28. Any change in resolver or pendulum outputs would indicate gimbal motion. There was no change in pendulum output as a result of power changeover. A 2.5 millivolt change in roll resolver output was recorded indicating a twenty second error. As the resolver output is directly proportional to line voltage, a major factor in the resolver output change is the difference between the voltage levels of the ground and airborne supplies. The voltage difference was about 1% at the time of this measurement.

Platform azimuth alignment was monitored optically using a Watts autocollimator to sight on the platform porro prism. The angular offset of the platform in azimuth due to power transfer was less than five seconds for repeated runs.

The recovery of azimuth alignment from an offset was normally observed to be accomplished within two or three seconds as a result of the ET-1 Equipment Gyro Torquing Amplifiers. At no time did recovery exceed seven seconds. On the basis of these figures, Arma states that the platform will have fully recovered from any offset due to power transfer prior to launch.

The power transfer transient was reflected in the platform and computer power supplies. There was no significant increase in ripple or noise on the DC voltages after transfer. Observed control and power supply voltages of the Arma equipment are tabulated in Figure 30 to give qualitative definition to the supply variations after changeover.

The Arma MGS servo amplifier output showed a ripple at the difference frequency of the two supplies. See Figure 29. Maximum ripple was about 1 volt peak to peak. Total voltage was within specifications. Servo response was normal judging by the recovery time from a step input. It is presumed that this "hum" pickup will not be present in the missile once the umbilical is detached.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 USC Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

2.5 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

2.5.2.10 (Continued)

Computer operation was normal on airborne power when reset after transfer. Figure 30 offers a qualitative indication of the transfer effects on the computer operating voltages.

2.6 REFERENCES:

Report ES-59-SM-322 dated 18 March 1959, Results of Initial Arma/AIG Gyro Canister Compatibility Tests.

Report ES-59-SM-384 dated 25 May 1959, Summary of Arma/AIG Autopilot Compatibility Tests.

Engineering Work Book No. 7404.

3.0 SECTION II: Electrical System Compatibility Test Results.

3.1.0 INTRODUCTION:

The purpose of this phase of testing was to evaluate the electrical compatibility of the D/AIG power system with the Arma MDZ - AIG Autopilot guidance system.

3.2.0 OBJECTIVES:

3.2.1 Obtain a load analysis for the D/AIG Missile Power System.

3.2.2 Obtain a harmonic analysis of the airborne power system.

3.2.3 Determine power system characteristics prior to transfer, during transfer, and after transfer.

3.3.0 CONCLUSIONS:

3.3.1 The nominal load on the D/AIG Missile Power System was 1620 watts. The Arma Inertial Guidance System constituted three-fourths of the nominal load.

3.3.2 The harmonic analysis of the airborne inverter indicates that the voltage harmonic content from the second to twentieth harmonic was approximately 0.033 of the fundamental.

3.3.3 Recordings taken of power system transfer indicate that the position transfer time (power interruption) exceeds the maximum specified of 15 milliseconds.

Arma total current transients at transfer are not excessive in magnitude and are generally less than 2.5 milliseconds in duration.

3.4.0 RECOMMENDATION:

It is recommended that further testing of the changeover switch be conducted on the systems level.

3.5.0 DESCRIPTION OF SPECIMENS:

There were four major electrical components used in this test.

3.5.1 Power Changeover Switch -

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

3.5.1 Power Changeover Switch - (Continued)

Kinetics Corp. M-160-2

Serial No. 002 CVAC 27-06106-3

3.5.2 Airborne A.C. Power-

Inverter - Bendix, Red Bank Division 32B77-5-B

Serial Number R-14

Output: 115/200 volts AC 400 cycles,

3000VA, 3 Phase, 0.8 pf.

Input: 28 volts DC 185 amps.

3.5.3 Ground A.C. Power

Variable Frequency Motor - Generator

Inet-Palmer Division of Leach Corp.

Model A1264 Serial Number 3673-1

Convair Specification No. 7-06205

Input		Output
Volts:	390/510	120/208
Freq:	58/62 cyc.	380/420 cyc.
Phase:	3	3
Amps:	30.5	41.6
P.F.:	0.8	0.95 lead to 0.75 lag
KVA:		15

3.5.4 Ground-Airborne D.C. Power

Stavolt D.C. Power Supply

3.5.4 Ground-Airborne D.C. Power - (Continued)

Mc Colpin - Christie Corp. 431-400K4

Serial Number K-458

Output: 400 amps 25-29 volts DC

Input: 440v 3 Phase 60Cyc. 30 Amps.

3.5.5 D. C. Power to Arma Ground Equipment -

Rectifier, Metallic

Christie Electric Corp. MH32-100 K4

Serial Number K-1804

Output: 100 amp. 24-32v DC

Input: 460 volts 60 cyc. 3 Phase

3.6.0 TEST PROCEDURE:

3.6.1 General Procedure -

3.6.1.1 The electrical power system used followed the schematic Drawing (SK 545-7-159) furnished by the Electrical Design Group, Dept, 545-7. Additions and changes were incorporated to facilitate instrumentation and system grounding. Basically, the system conforms to the power system diagram given in Figure 31. The solid lines indicate flow of power whereas, the dashed lines indicate measurement or instrumentation coverage.

The system was so arranged as to provide Arma ground control equipment with AC ground power at all times.

The electrical wiring was of the three-phase, four wire, configuration. Two No. 0 cables were connected between each package load and inverter to simulate missile grounding. The common neutral (fourth wire) between airborne and ground AC power supplies was separated during airborne compatibility testing.

3.6.1.1 (Continued)

The test inverter and changeover switch had been used in several other tests by Systems and Components Test Laboratories.

3.6.1.2 The system loads were operated in the ready mode during electrical power tests. The airborne loads consisted of the following:

3.6.1.2.1 Arma MGS

a, Platform Package

b, Computer Package

c, Control Package

d, Analogue Signal Converter

e, Digital Signal Converter

3.6.1.2.2 AEC Autopilot:

a, Phase A transducer (simulated)

b, Gyro Package

c, Programmer Package

d, Servo Amplifier Package

e, Programmer and Servo Amplifier Monitoring Panels

3.6.1.2.3 Simulated Loads:

a, Propellant Utilization

b, Instrumentation (Model 3)

These simulated loads were lumped together and consisted of a transformer-rectifier resistive load and an inductive load.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 USC, Sections 793 and 794. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

3.6.2 Specific Procedure:

The load analysis and harmonic analysis measurements were taken at the analyser. Both analyses were performed on airborne inverter power with the external ground wire disconnected.

3.6.2.1 The load analysis was performed using a voltmeter, ammeter and wattmeter with associated current transformers. Pictures of the phase voltage and current waveforms were taken with a Type 2614 Dumont attachment and camera and a Type 53/54C Tektronix Dual-Trace Plug-In Unit in a Type 545 Tektronic Oscilloscope.

3.6.2.2 The harmonic analysis was performed using a Model 300A Hewlett-Packard Harmonic Analyser. The half band width selectivity was set at 145 cycles. Frequencies outside this bandwidth were attenuated by a minimum of 40db. The voltage harmonics were measured directly across the line and the current harmonics were measured across a 50 millivolt, 10 ampere shunt in the line.

3.6.2.3 Power changeovers (transfers) were performed with over, nominal, and under voltage outputs from the inverter. Recordings were obtained on a Type 5-119 CEC oscillograph. Type 7-326 Galvanometers were used for the AC traces. These galvanometers have a frequency response flat to 3000 cycles. A Weston, Model 461 current transformer and CEC Linear Amplifier, Type 1-112-C were used to isolate and amplify the instrumentation signal of each line current. This instrumentation circuit gave erroneous indications of the line currents during transfer and was therefore revised for subsequent testing. The revised circuitry utilized a 7.5 ampere, 50 millivolt shunt in each line and a Type 7-319 Galvanometer. This galvanometer has a frequency response flat to 300 cycles.

3.7.0 <u>TEST RESULTS</u>:

3.7.1 The load analysis data are given in Figure 22. The power factor was calculated from measured values of voltage, amperes, and watts. All values with the exception of watts, given in the total rows of the table are calculated on the basis of 115 volts. The system line voltages and inverter direct current and voltage are given at the right.

The loads were not steady because of heaters turning off and on in the Autopilot packages. Therefore two load analyses were run.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

FORM NO. A-702-2

3.7 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

3.7.1.1 A nominal value of system loading was 1620 watts. In comparing the loads of Run 1; Arma MGS comprised 73.5%, AIG Autopilot was 10.6% and the simulated load was 15.9% of the total load. The AIG Autopilot load had the greatest variance in phase current and power factor.

3.7.1.2 The waveforms of voltage and current are given in Figure 33. These pictures show the distortion in the waveforms and the phase angle between the phase voltage and current. The photograph on the right shows the waveforms with total system load on the airborne inverter. The photograph on the left shows the waveforms with the simulated load dropped from the total system load. The system load without the simulated load is given in the load analysis table.

3.7.1.3 The simulated load was used for nominal and extreme variations in loading on the power supply for power ystem compatibility testing.

3.7.2 The harmonic analysis data are given in Figure 34. The values of harmonic voltages given are from direct measurements. The values of harmonic currents given are calculated from the voltage drop measured across a 10 ampere, 50 millivolt shunt in each line. Here again the load on the system was not steady and two sets of data were taken.

3.7.2.1 The middle portion of the analysis table gives the harmonics in percent of the fundamental. The seventh and eleventh harmonics are the most prominent voltages, whereas the second, third, fourth, fifth, seventh, eleventh and fifteenth harmonics are the most prominent currents.

3.7.2.2 The harmonic content of the voltage is given at the bottom of the table. Interface requirements of AZM-27-075 call out that the RMS sum of the voltage harmonics from the second through the tenth shall be equal to or less than 4% of the fundamental. Also, the RMS sum of the harmonics from the second through the fortieth shall be equal to or less than 0.05 of the fundamental. The voltage harmonic content of the tested inverter clearly meets the first part of the specification. The harmonic content was only measured to the twentieth therefore a categorical statement about its harmonic content to the fortieth is impossible. Nevertheless, if the harmonic content to the twentieth is doubled the calculated R.M.S. content is 0.0495 of the fundamental. It is unlikely that the harmonic content from the twentieth through the fourtieth will be as great as the content from the second through the twentieth. Therefore it is assured that the harmonic content of the inverter meets the second part of the specification.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794 The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

3.7 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

3.7.3 Power transfer recordings are given in Figures 35 through 43. The changeover switch used in this test was not of the type that will be on the D/AIG Series. A proper changeover switch was not procured for this test. The essential difference between the two switches consists of the number of switching circuits. The switch used in the test had three sets of three AC load switching circuits. A set consists of either three Phase A, Phase B or Phase C switches. The changeover switch that will be used on the D/AIG Series has three sets of five AC load switching circuits.

The changeover switch used did not have the required number of switching circuits. Therefore, the packages of each subsystem; Arma MGS, AIG Autopilot and Simulated were connected to a single switching circuit. The effect this had on the test is probably negligible. However, the exact time of transfer for each package would be different. Also, the transfer time sequence would cause a change in the time and magnitude of the total system transfer transient.

3.7.3.1 With the system loads as in the load analysis test, several power transfers were run. Typical recordings of these changeovers are given in Figures 35 and 36. These recordings are of total system load currents and voltages. These recordings show inconsistent transfer times. This characteristic was discussed with the Electrical Design Group. Upon investigation it was learned that the electrical system wiring was improper. Each set of load switching circuits had been connected together in order to instrument the total load current at transfer. Referring to the system diagram, Figure 31, the proper circuitry is to have the distribution coincide with the changeover switch. The proper changes were incorporated. The above mentioned recordings, Figures 35 and 36 are included here to show what the total system or each package saw for power transfer testing. When the changeover circuitry was properly revised, the Autopilot packages were no longer available for test.

3.7.3.2 In order to determine the electrical characteristics at transfer for the Arma MGS equipment, further power transfer testing was conducted. The AIG Autopilot load was lumped into the simulated load. Figure 37 is a recording of Arma voltage and current at transfer. It clearly shows the presence of high frequency transients. High frequency current transients are also apparent at the transfer command time. At this time the motor driven changeover switch begins to move.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

3.7 TEST RESULTS: (Continued)

3.7.3.3 The apparent DC displacement of the currents at break and make of transfer are spurious. To check on these spurious displacements, a step function was applied to the recorder circuit and it responded approximately at two cycles, damped exponentially. The recorder current instrumentation circuit which caused the spurious displacement and its revision are discussed in Paragraph 3.6.2.3.

3.7.3.4 Figures 38 through 43 are records of Area MGS electrical characteristics at transfer using the revised current instrumentation circuitry. The response of the Type 7-319 Galvanometer is down approximately seven percent at 400 cycles. These records show progressively power transfers from external to internal and internal to external, with over, nominal, and under voltage on the inverter. The position transfer time is more clearly defined than was indicated by the high frequency response records. The position transfer time is definitely outside the maximum specified time of 15 milliseconds. Phase A current transfer time approaches 30 milliseconds.

3.7.3.5 These records also serve to indicate the current switching transients. The transients are somewhat variable because of their dependence upon the time displacement of the voltage cycle at switching and the mode or power factor of the load.

It may be observed that the voltages are small in magnitude before the completed operation of switching at make and break. A possible cause of this may be the construction of the switching contacts. The switches are of the sliding pin-socket type and their area of contact, or contact resistance will change with the time-position of the sliding contact.

3.8.0 BIBLIOGRAPHY:

Test data from which this report was prepared are recorded in Engineering Test Laboratories Data Notebook No. 7134.

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

4.0 SECTION III - ILLUSTRATIONS:

4.1 LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS -

FIGURE NO.	TITLE	PAGE NUMBER
1	CONVAIR - ARMA COMPATIBILITY BENCH TEST AREA	23
2	ARMA EQUIPMENT GROUP	24
3	ARMA TEST GROUP	25
4	ELECTRICAL TEST GROUP	26
5	AIRBORNE POWER GROUP	27
6	INITIAL PITCH STEERING TESTS	28
7	INITIAL YAW STEERING TESTS	29
8	ARMA PITCH RESOLVER OUTPUT COMPONENTS	30
9	ARMA YAW COMPUTER OUTPUT COMPONENTS	31
10	ARMA PITCH RESOLVER OUTPUT PERCENTAGE COMPONENTS	32
11	ARMA YAW COMPUTER OUTPUT PERCENTAGE COMPONENTS	33
12	PITCH CLOSED LOOP TORQUING TEST	34
13	PITCH GYRO AMPLIFIER TEST	35
14	YAW GYRO AMPLIFIER TEST	36
15	YAW CLOSED LOOP TORQUING TEST	37
16	BLOCK DIAGRAM OF RATE GYRO	38
17	TABLE OF GYRO PACKAGE TEST RESULTS	39
18	PITCH GYRO DRIFT RATE TEST	40
19	YAW GYRO DRIFT RATE TEST	41
20	YAW SIGNALS ON AIRBORNE POWER	42
21	LOT B 1-G YAW STEERING PROFILE	43
22	LOT C1-G YAW STEERING PROFILE	44
23	YAW CLOSED LOOP POWER CHANGEOVER TESTS	45
24	YAW OPEN LOOP POWER CHANGEOVER TEST	46
25	YAW GUIDANCE ENABLED CHANGEOVER TEST	47
26	ARMA INERTIAL PLATFORM RESOLVER OUTPUTS	48
27	ARMA INERTIAL PLATFORM PENDULUM OUTPUTS	49
28	ARMA INERTIAL PLATFORM PENDULUM OUTPUTS	50
29	ARMA SERVO AMPLIFIER OUTPUTS	51
30	COMPUTER AND CONTROL VOLTAGES	52
31	POWER SYSTEM DIAGRAM	53
32	LOAD ANALYSIS TABLE	54
33	PHASE VOLTAGE AND CURRENT WAVEFORMS	55
34	HARMONIC ANALYSIS TABLE	56
35	TOTAL SYSTEM POWER TRANSFER	57
36	TOTAL SYSTEM POWER TRANSFER	58
37	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (HIGH FREQUENCY CURRENT TRANSIENTS)	59
38	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (EXTERNAL-INTERNAL, OVER VOLTAGE)	60
39	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (INTERNAL-EXTERNAL, OVER VOLTAGE)	61
40	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (EXTERNAL-INTERNAL, NOMINAL VOLTAGE)	62
41	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (INTERNAL-EXTERNAL, NOMINAL VOLTAGE)	63
42	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (EXTERNAL-INTERNAL, UNDER VOLTAGE)	64
43	ARMA POWER TRANSFER (INTERNAL-EXTERNAL, UNDER VOLTAGE)	65

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 USC Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



CONVAIR-ARMA COMPATIBILITY BENCH TEST AREA

FIGURE 1

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the espionage laws, Title 18 USC Sections 793 and 794. Its transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



ARMA EQUIPMENT GROUP

FIGURE 2



FIGURE 3



ELECTRICAL TEST GROUP

FIG. NO. 4



AIRBORNE POWER GROUP

FIGURE 6


RECORDED INPUT SIGNAL VOLTS
O PITCH OUTPUT (VOLTS/SEC)
Δ PITCH GYRO TORQUE CURRENT (MA)
□ PITCH GYRO OUTPUT (VOLTS/SEC)
PITCH OUTPUT (V/S)
PITCH GYRO TORQUE CURRENT (MA)
PITCH GYRO OUTPUT (V/S)
X1
X10
X1
PITCH STEERING
NULLING LOOP OPEN
FIGURE NO. 6
28 JULY 1959
WSC



FIGURE NO 7
28 JULY 1959
WSC



FIGURE NO. 7
28 JULY, 1959
WSC



FIGURE NO. 9
28 JULY, 1959
WSC



FIGURE NO. 10
28 JULY, 1959
WSC



FIGURE NO. 11
28 JULY, 1959
WSC



FIGURE 12

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

FORM NO. A-702-2



FIGURE 13

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794 The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 14

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 15

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE NO. 16

PREPARED BY J. S. Curry DATE 7/28/59 CHECKED BY DATE REVISED BY DATE

FORM NO. A706-1

	Torquer Rate Calibration		Steering Input Tests						
	Input Voltage	Table Rate Deg/Sec	Steering Input Volts	Table Rate Deg/Sec	Closed Gyro Loop		Open Gyro Loop		% Change Between Closed Servo Loop Torquing and Open Loop Torquing
					Nulling Amp Output Volts	Null Volts 1.2	Sig.Amp. Out Volts/Sec	Calculated Torquing Rate Volts/Sec=Deg Volts/Deg Sec	
PITCH									
	+4.0	1.842	+4.0	1.870					
	+3.0	1.384	+3.0	1.434	-3.6	3.0	5.05	1.440	0.43%
	+2.0	0.921	+2.0	0.937	-2.4	2.0	3.375	0.965	3.0%
	+1.0	0.463	+1.0	0.458	-1.2	1.0	1.67	0.477	4.15%
	-1.0	0.451	-1.0	0.476	+1.2	1.0	1.64	0.470	1.27%
	-2.0	0.916	-2.0	0.927	+2.4	2.0	3.350	0.957	3.24%
	-3.0	1.362	-3.0	1.384	+3.6	3.0	5.0	1.430	3.32%
	-4.0	1.80	-4.0	1.822	+4.85	4.05	6.7	1.910	4.82%
YAW									
	+3.0	1.285	+3.0	1.12	3.8	3.15	4.0	1.145	2.16%
	+2.0	0.854	+2.0	0.820	2.3	1.92	3.0	0.86	4.88%
	+1.0	0.428	+1.0	0.410	1.2	1.0	1.44	0.412	0.5%
	+0.5	0.216	+0.5	0.205	0.6	0.5	0.72	0.205	0%
	-0.5	0.215	-0.5	0.210	0.63	0.525	0.73	0.208	0.96%
	-1.0	0.430	-1.0	0.411	1.2	1.0	1.5	0.428	4.14%
	-2.0	0.865	-2.0	0.832	2.3	1.92	2.95	0.842	1.32%
	-3.0	1.310	-3.0	1.19	3.5	2.92	4.25	1.210	1.68%

FIGURE 17

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

FORM NO A-702-2

~~CONFIDENTIAL~~



FIGURE 18

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

THIS
PAGE
IS
MISSING
IN
ORIGINAL
DOCUMENT



FIGURE 20

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 21

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 22

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 23

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 24

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 25

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

ARMA INERTIAL PLATFORM

Azimuth Resolver | Pitch Resolver | Roll Resolver



Paper Speed 1 mm/sec.
Reference Phase T_1 to N
Dated 7 May 1959

Sensitivity

Azimuth	4 mv/mm
Pitch	0.5 v/mm
Roll	5 mv/mm

FIGURE 26

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

ARMA INERTIAL PLATFORM

Pitch Pendulum Roll Pendulum



Pendulum Gradient	20 sec/mv
Sensitivity	1 mv/mm
Paper Speed	1 mm/sec.
Reference Phase	A to B
Earth Rotation Component about Y axis	8 degrees/hour
PPI Torquing Amplifier Off	

FIGURE 27

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, title 18, USC Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

ARMA INERTIAL PLATFORM

Pitch Pendulum Roll Pendulum



Pendulum Gradient	20 sec/mv
Sensitivity	1 mv/mm
Paper Speed	1 mm/sec
Reference Phase	A to B

FIGURE 28

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.



FIGURE 29

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

COMPUTER POWER SUPPLY VOLTAGES

NOMINAL VOLTAGE	OUTPUT - DC VOLTAGE	GROUND P.S. AC VOLTAGE	OUTPUT - DC VOLTAGE	AIRBORNE P.S. AC VOLTAGE
-50	-49.0	0.3	-49.0	0.7
-16.5	-15.8	0.2	-15.8	0.19
-10	-10.1	0.16	-10.4	0.28
+3.3	+ 3.1	0.12	+ 3.1	1.[illegible]
+4	+ 3.9	0.19	+ 3.8	0.3
+38	+39.5	0.3	+39	0.36
+28	+28	0.27	+28	0.3

LINE VOLTAGE	GROUND POWER SUPPLY	AIRBORNE POWER SUPPLY
Phase T_1 - N	115	114.8
Phase T_2 - N	117	116.3
Phase T_3 - N	116.6	116.2

CONTROL DC VOLTAGES

NOMINAL	OUTPUT - GND PS DC VOLTS	OUTPUT - GND PS AC VOLTS	OUTPUT APS DC VOLTS	OUTPUT APS AC VOLTS
Gyro Magnet Supply 4.5VDC 8V[illegible]	[illegible].0	8.7	4.2	8.8
Gyro Torquer Voltage 3.5VDC, 3.5VDC	3.8	0.16	3.7	0.16
Preamplifier Voltage -22.5VDC	-22	0.16	-22	0.16
Accelerometer Supply -22.5V	-22	5MV	-22	5MV
Amplifier Output Supply 35V Unfiltered	23	11.4	23	11.2

LINE VOLTAGE	GROUND POWER SUPPLY	AIRBORNE POWER SUPPLY
Phase T_1 - N	116.3	114.9
Phase T_2 - N	117.4	116.2
Phase T_3 - N	117.4	116.1

FIGURE 30

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18 USC Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

POWER SYSTEM DIAGRAM



FIGURE 31

RUN NO. 1		PHASE A				PHASE B			
		V	I	W	P.F.	V	I	W	P.F.
INVERTER	BEFORE	115.6	5.8	600	0.896	116.3	4.84	500	0.889
	AFTER	113.9	5.75	590	0.90	115.5	4.7	500	0.92
ARMA		114.5	3.8	420	0.966	115.6	3.6	400	0.96
AUTO PILOT		115.0	1.115	90	0.703	115.9	0.813	37.5	0.399
SIMULATED		114.5	0.84	82.5	0.86	115.4	0.735	72.5	0.855
TOTAL:		115	5.51	592.5	0.92	115	4.75	510.0	0.894
RUN NO. 2									
INVERTER	BEFORE	114.0	5.92	615	0.911	115.1	4.55	470	0.897
	AFTER	113.4	5.90	610	0.910	114.6	4.50	465	0.903
ARMA		113.1	3.72	404	0.96	114.4	3.55	374	0.92
AUTO PILOT		113.4	1.33	123.5	0.818	115.0	0.195	15.5	0.692
SIMULATED		115.4	0.83	81	0.845	114.4	0.735	71.5	0.851
TOTAL:		115	5.74	608.5	0.924	115	4.44	461.0	0.904
SYSTEM LOAD WITH SIMULATED LOAD DUMPED									
INVERTER		114.5	4.8	511	0.93	115.0	3.86	420	0.945

COMPATIBILITY LOAD ANALYSIS

	PHASE C				TOTAL 3 PHASE WATTS					
P.F.	V	I	W	P.F.	WATTS	VT_1-T_2	VT_3-T_3	VT_3-T_1	Vdc	Idc
0.889	115.9	5.72	555	0.837	1655	200.4	200.7	200.4	27.9	116
0.92	114.6	5.65	540	0.836	1630					
0.96	115.1	3.8	390	0.892	1210					
0.399	115.0	1.375	47.5	0.302	175					
0.855	114.9	1.175	106	0.785	261					
0.894	115	6.05	543.5	0.784	1646					
0.897	114.6	5.82	550	0.825	1635	200.8	201.4	200.9	27.8	126
0.903	114.0	5.8	545	0.825	1620	195.8	196.4	196	27.8	114
0.92	113.8	3.85	380	0.870	1158					
0.692	114.2	0.632	40	0.554	179					
0.851	113.8	1.163	104.5	0.790	257					
0.904	115	5.5	524.5	0.825	1594					
0.945	115	4.4	440	0.87	1371	198	199	198.9	27.9	100

NOTES:

1. Measured Voltages are $\pm\frac{1}{2}\%$
2. Measured Currents are $\pm 2\%$
3. Measured Watts are $\pm 2\%$

FIGURE 32

B

TOTAL SYSTEM LOAD

WAVE FORMS

Ø A

Ø B

Ø C



SIMULATED LOAD OFF

FIGURE 33

This document contains information affecting the national defense of the United States within the meaning of the Espionage Laws, Title 18, USC, Sections 793 and 794. The transmission or the revelation of its contents in any manner to an unauthorized person is prohibited by law.

PHASE HARMONIC

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11
	400	800	1200	1600	2000	2400	2800	3200	3600	4000	4400
		RUN NO. 1 INVERTER VOLTAGE - R.M.S. VALUES									
A	110	0.34	0.38	0.20	0.50	0.16	2.50	0.27	0.48	0.35	2.50
B	107	0.30	0.60	0.23	0.63	0.06	2.0	0.24	0.54	0.30	2.0
C	109	0.26	0.22	0.20	0.60	0.0	1.9	0.35	0.04	0.36	3.0
		RUN NO. 2 INVERTER VOLTAGE									
A	104	0.28	0.37		0.58		2.15		0.30		2.7
B	105	0.25	0.50		0.67		1.85		0.33		2.39
C	104	0.24	0.22		0.72		1.75		0.10		2.80
		RUN NO. 1 INVERTER CURRENT - R.M.S. VALUES									
A	5.70	0.14	0.33	0.072	0.054	0.024	0.16	0.01	0.044	0.038	0.088
B	4.34	0.12	0.26	0.072	0.04	0.004	0.136	0.012	0.03	0.008	0.18
C	4.72	0.114	0.21	0.046	0.08	0.024	0.096	0.008	0.028	0.024	0.23
		RUN NO. 2 INVERTER CURRENT									
A	5.40	0.136	0.30		0.072		0.068		0.036		0.116
B	4.00	0.12	0.48		0.03		0.124		0.016		0.208
C	5.20	0.108	0.20		0.078		0.116		0.024		0.23
		RUN NO. 1 INVERTER VOLTAGE - % of FUNDAMENTAL (R.M.S.)									
A	100	0.31	0.346	0.182	0.455	0.145	2.27	0.246	0.437	0.318	2.27
B	100	0.28	0.56	0.215	0.589	0.056	1.87	0.224	0.505	0.28	1.87
C	100	0.238	0.202	0.184	0.55	0	1.745	0.321	0.037	0.33	2.75
		RUN NO. 2 INVERTER VOLTAGE									
A	100	0.269	0.356		0.558		2.065		0.288		2.6
B	100	0.248	0.276		0.638		1.905		0.314		2.28
C	100	0.230	0.212		0.692		1.68		0.096		2.69
		RUN NO. 1 INVERTER CURRENT - % of FUNDAMENTAL (R.M.S.)									
A	100	2.46	5.8	1.26	0.948	0.421	2.81	0.175	0.773	0.676	1.54
B	100	2.76	6.0	1.66	0.92	0.092	3.13	0.276	0.69	0.184	4.14
C	100	2.42	4.45	1.03	1.69	0.508	2.03	0.169	0.593	0.508	4.87
		RUN NO. 2 INVERTER CURRENT									
A	100	2.52	5.56		1.33		1.26	0.666		2.15	
B	100	3.00	12.0		0.75		3.1	0.4		5.2	
C	100	2.57	5.76		1.86		2.76	0.571		5.48	

HARMONIC CONTENT - VOLTAGE R.M.S.

RUN NO. 1	$\left[\sum_{2}^{10} V^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\left[\sum_{11}^{20} V^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$	$\left[\sum_{2}^{20} V^2\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$
A	0.024 V fundamental	0.025 V Fund.	0.035V Fund.
B	0.022 V Fund.	0.023 V Fund.	0.031V Fund.
C	0.021 V Fund.	0.030 V Fund.	0.035V Fund.

COMPATIBILITY HARMONIC ANALYSIS

HARMONIC 11 4400	12 4800	13 5200	14 5600	15 6000	16 6400	17 6800	18 7200	19 7600	20 8000	R.M.S. SUM	METERED TOTAL VALUES before/after
2.50	0.09	0.68	0.20	0.22	0.13	0.50	0.20	0.48	0.23	110.07	115.6/112.9
2.0	0.2	0.53	0.28	0.18	0.11	0.50	0.28	0.78	0.24	107.05	116.9/114.2
3.0	0.14	1.00	0.04	0.12	0.09	0.60	0.07	0.40	0.05	109.07	116.2/113.4
2.7										104.06	113.4/112.5
2.39										105.05	117.6/113.8
2.80										104.06	114/113.2
0.088	0.02	0.088	0.01	0.01	0.006	0.006	0.004	0.028	0.002	5.70	6.12/5.9
0.18	0.02	0.092	0.016	0.016	0.006	0.044	0.006	0.040	0.004	4.37	4.55/4.5
0.23	0	0.064	0.004	0.008	0.004	0.024	0.004	0.018	0.002	4.74	6.0/5.8
0.116										5.41	5.9/-
0.208										4.04	4.5/-
0.23										5.21	5.3/-
2.27	0.082	0.619	0.182	0.20	0.118	0.455	0.182	0.436	0.209		105/102.5
1.87	0.187	0.495	0.261	0.168	0.103	0.467	0.262	0.73	0.224		109/106.7
2.75	0.128	0.92	0.037	0.110	0.083	0.55	0.064	0.367	0.046		106.6/104
2.6											109/108
2.28											109/103.2
2.69											109.5/108.9
1.54	0.351	1.54	0.175	0.175	0.105	0.105	0.07	0.492	.0351		107.2/103.5
4.14	0.46	2.12	0.368	0.368	0.138	1.01	0.138	0.92	0.092		100/103.5
4.87	0	1.36	0.085	0.169	0.085	0.59	0.085	0.381	0.042		127/123
											109/-
											112/-
											138/-

NOTES:

1. Harmonic readings are ±5%

FIGURE 34


EXTERNAL
ØA VOLTS
115.5 V
ØB VOLTS
ØC VOLTS
ØA AMPS
5.6 A
ØB AMPS
ØC AMPS
INVERTER ØA VOLTS
116.2 V
100 MILLISECONDS
$V_{T_1-T_2}$
$V_{T_2-T_3}$
$V_{T_3-T_1}$
ARMA-AUTOPILOT D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER AMPS

FIG



B FIGURE 35



D

7-2-59 [illegible]


100 MILLISECONDS
TRANSFER SIGNAL (EXTERNAL)
TRANSFER SIGNAL (INTERNAL)
INVERTER

EXTERNAL

ØA VOLTS 115.5 V

ØB VOLTS

ØC VOLTS

ØA AMPS 5.75A

ØB AMPS 4.1 A

ØC AMPS 5.5A

INVERTER ØA VOLTS 113.6 V

$V_{T_1-T_2}$

$V_{T_2-T_3}$

$V_{T_3-T_1}$



FIGURE 3



FIGURE 36

112.2 V

112.2 V



7-2-59 [illegible]


AR
ARM
ARM
TRANSFER (EXTERNAL)
TRANSFER (INTER


EXTERNAL
ΦA VOLTS 115.3 V
ΦB VOLTS
ΦC VOLTS
ARMA ΦA AMPS
ARMA ΦB AMPS
ARMA ΦC AMPS
INVERTER ΦA VOLTS 113.4 V
100 MILLISECONDS
$V_{T_1-T_2}$
$V_{T_3-T_1}$
$V_{T_2-T_3}$
TRANSFER (INTERNAL)
ARMA D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. AMPS
B



FIGURE 37



7-6-59 A.E. Cann


E



A


ØA VOLTS 115.9 V
ØB VOLTS
ØC VOLTS
ARMA ØA AMPS 3.45A
ARMA ØB AMPS 3.29A
ARMA ØC AMPS 3.66A
INVERTER ØA VOLTS 118 V
100 MILLISECONDS
$V_{T_1-T_2}$
$V_{T_2-T_3}$
$V_{T_3-T_1}$
ARMA D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. AMPS

FIGURE 38



FIGURE 5a


117V
117V


TRANSFER (INTERNAL)


INTERNAL
ØA VOLTS
117V
ØB VOLTS
ØC VOLTS
ARMA ØA AMPS
3.54A
ARMA ØB AMPS
3.29A
ARMA ØC AMPS
3.66A
INVERTER ØA VOLTS
117 V
$V_{T_2-T_2}$
$V_{T_2-T_3}$
$V_{T_3-T_1}$
TRANSFER (EXTERNAL)
ARMA D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. AMPS



FIGURE 38



FIGURE 39


TRANSFER (EXTERNAL)
TRANSFER (INTERNAL)
ARMA 3


EXTERNAL
ØA VOLTS 116.8V
ØB VOLTS
ØC VOLTS
ARMA ØA AMPS 3.54 A
ARMA ØB AMPS 3.29 A
ARMA ØC AMPS 3.66 A
INVERTER ØA VOLTS 116 V
100 MILLISECONDS
$V_{T_1-T_2}$
$V_{T_3-T_5}$
$V_{T_5-T_1}$
ARMA D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. AMPS

B

FIGURE 40



C

FIGURE 40



D

7-6-59 D.E. Carson


TRANSFE
A


ØA VOLTS 114.9V
ØB VOLTS
ØC VOLTS
ARMA ØA AMPS 3.54A
ARMA ØB AMPS 3.29A
ARMA ØC AMPS 3.66A
INVERTER ØA AMPS 114.9V
TRANSFER (EXTERNAL)
TRANSFER (INTERNAL)
ARMA D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. AMPS
B



FIGURE 41


EXTERNAL
115.8 V
115.8 V




TRANSDER (EXTERN)


ØA VOLTS 115.7 V
ØB VOLTS
ØC VOLTS
ARMA ØA AMPS
ARMA ØB AMPS 3.29 A
ARMA ØC AMPS 3.66 A
INVERTER ØA VOLTS
$V_{T_1-T_2}$
$V_{T_2-T_3}$
$V_{T_3-T_1}$
ARMA D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. VOLTS
INVERTER D.C. AM



FIGURE 42


INTERNAL
112.4V
112.4 V
7-6-59

E



A

ØA VOLTS 112.4 V

ØB VOLTS

ØC VOLTS

ARMA ØA AMPS 3.59 A

ARMA ØB AMPS 3.29 A

ARMA ØC AMPS 3.66 A

INVERTER ØA VOLTS 112.4 V

100 MILLISECONDS

$V_{T_1-T_2}$

$V_{T_2-T_3}$

$V_{T_3-T_1}$

TRANSFER (EXTERNAL)

INVERTER (INTERNAL)

ARMA D.C. VOLTS

INVERTER D.C. VOLTS

INVERTER D.C. CURRENT

B



C

FIGURE 48


EXTERNAL
115.7 V
113.2 V


5.7 V
3.2 V
E