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STEAP-TL
SHOCK CURVATURE AND GRADIENTS AT THE TIP OF POINTED AXISYMMETRIC BODIES IN NONEQUILIBRIUM FLOW

ABSTRACT

The shock curvature and flow variable gradients at the tip of a pointed body caused by nonequilibrium effects are considered. Coordinates introduced by Chester are used since they offer a convenient way of treating the boundary conditions. The desired functions are obtained by solving numerically a system of linear ordinary differential equations. These equations have a singularity; the nature of the singularity is found analytically, and its numerical treatment is discussed. The specific nonequilibrium effect considered is vibrational relaxation in a pure diatomic gas. Representative results are given for flow of N₂ over a cone for a comprehensive range of Mach number and cone angle. There is a point analogous to the Crocco point. These results compare favorably with those obtained by South and Newman using an approximate method. Another check is made by comparison with characteristic calculations extrapolated to the origin.
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LIST OF SYMBOLS

b, f, g, j — functions defined in equation (j.4)
c'pa — specific heat at const. pres. (translat. and rotat. modes)
c'va — specific heat at const. vol. (translat., and rotat. modes)
h't — total enthalpy per unit mass h't = h_t'/[c'pa T_m ']
p' — pressure p = p'/p_∞'
q' — speed of flow q = q'/q_∞

s' — arc length along streamline s = s'/[τ_b q_∞']
u' — velocity component in x' direction u = u'/q_∞
v' — velocity component in y' direction v = v'/q_∞
x' — coordinate parallel to axis of symmetry x = x'/[τ_b q_∞']
y' — coordinate normal to axis of symmetry y = y'/[τ_b q_∞']

A, B, C, F, G — functions defined in equation (3.5)
c' — constant occurring in expression for τ c = c'/T_m'
D — function defined in equation (2.13)
E' — vibrational energy per unit mass E = E'/[c'pa T_m ']
E*(T') — equilib. vibrat. energy per unit mass at temp. T'

K_b — body curvature at tip
K_w — shock wave curvature at tip

M — local Mach number
LIST OF SYMBOLS (Contd)

\( \Gamma \) - \((\partial p/\partial \eta)\eta = 0 \)
\( \mathcal{R} \) - \((\partial \mathcal{R}/\partial \eta)\eta = 0 \)
\( T' \) - temperature \( T = T'/T_\infty \)
\( U \) - \((\partial u/\partial \eta)\eta = 0 \)
\( V \) - \((\partial v/\partial \eta)\eta = 0 \)
\( Z \) - \( \Theta_v'/T_\infty \)
\( \alpha \) - angle between \( x' \) axis and tangent to shock wave
\( \gamma \) - \( c'/c' \)
\( \varepsilon \) - \( \rho/\rho_\infty \) for ideal gas flow, \( \gamma \) for nonequilibrium flow
\( \zeta \) - Chester variable \( \zeta = 2\psi'/[\rho_\infty q_\infty y']/2 \)
\( \eta \) - Chester variable \( \eta = y \)
\( \varnothing \) - angle between velocity vector and \( x' \) axis
\( \Theta_v' \) - characteristic vibrational temperature of the gas
\( \rho' \) - density \( \rho = \rho'/\rho_\infty' \)
\( \tau' \) - relaxation time \( \tau = \tau'/\tau_b' \)
\( \psi' \) - stream function
\( \Phi \) - function defined in equation (2.6)
\( \Omega \) - \((\partial \mathcal{E}/\partial \eta)\eta = 0 \)
LIST OF SYMBOLS (Contd)

Subscripts

\( \infty \) — free stream conditions

\( b \) — body

\( w \) — shock wave

\( h \) — refers to homogeneous differential equations and their solution

\( n \) — refers to particular solution to nonhomogeneous differential equations

Superscript

' — denotes quantity with dimensions
1. INTRODUCTION

When nonequilibrium effects are considered in the fluid dynamic equations, the classical similar solutions describing supersonic flow over wedges and cones no longer exist. To gain some insight into nonequilibrium effects one can seek solutions valid in restricted regions of a flow, e.g., the vicinity of the tip of a wedge or cone. The first approximation to the flow here is the frozen (similar) solution. The next approximation brings into evidence the nonequilibrium effects, such as the curvature of the shock wave. The purpose of this work is to find this approximation for the case of flow over a cone and pointed ogives in general.

The corresponding problem for wedge flow (Sedney, 1961) is resolved by explicit solution of algebraic equations. For cone flow, differential equations with two-point boundary conditions (at shock and body surface) must be solved. The coefficients of these equations, which involve the frozen conical flow solution, give rise to a singular point, at least in all choices of coordinates investigated.

There is a close analogy between the problem of approximating the nonequilibrium flow in the neighborhood of the tip of a wedge or cone and that of approximating the equilibrium or frozen flow near the tip of a pointed-ogive body. The latter problem was solved by Crocco (1937) for two dimensional flow and by Shen and Lin (1951) for axisymmetric flow. In order to treat the singularity for the axisymmetric case, and especially its effects on higher approximations, Kogan (1956) formulated the problem in terms of Crocco's stream function. This refinement, however, is not included in the values of shock curvature hitherto published, Shen and Lin (1951), and Bianco et al, (1960).

In the method of solution adopted here for determining shock curvature and gradients caused by nonequilibrium effects, these same quantities for frozen flow over ogival bodies are obtained as a by-product. The specific source of the nonequilibrium effects considered here is vibrational relaxation of a diatomic gas; however, the present
method can be employed for dissociation, ionization, etc. The model of an vibrationally excited gas serves as well as any other example to illustrate the technique and effects.

A distinctive feature of this analysis is the choice of coordinates. Specifically the coordinates used are those introduced by Chester (1956) in his study of hypersonic flow over blunt bodies. The chief advantage of this choice is the ease of handling the boundary conditions on the shock; for here the unknown shock curve is mapped into one of the coordinate lines. Also the singular point at the tip is spread out into a line interval along the second coordinate axis. The latter, but not the former, advantage is also gained with polar coordinates. The differential equations now have an integrable, inverse one-half power-type of singularity at the origin. This could be handled in the standard way -- using expansions to match the numerical solution; instead the entire problem is treated numerically, the authors believe this procedure to be more efficient. The singularity is treated by a "detailed approach to the body" which involves decreasing the step size in the Runge-Kutta numerical integration procedure.

The motivation for this work arose in the computation of nonequilibrium flows over cones, Sedney and Gerber (1963), by the method of characteristics. One is forced to initiate that calculation by assuming a finite frozen flow region. The effect of this error can be relatively large and propagate two or three lengths of the original frozen region downstream even though the grid size is twenty to thirty times less than this length. The behavior near the tip can be studied by the laborious process of choosing successively smaller frozen flow regions.

The results of the present paper can be used as a check on those from the characteristic method. Alternatively, they can be used as input to start the characteristic calculation. With the improved approximation to the flow near the tip, a larger grid size is possible and a saving on computation time realized.
2. FLOW EQUATIONS

The axisymmetric flows to be considered are steady, inviscid, and isoenergetic. The equations for conservation of mass, momentum, and energy are, in non-dimensional form,

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial x} (\rho u) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} (\rho v) = 0 \tag{2.1}
\]

\[
\gamma M_\infty^2 \left[ u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right] = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} \tag{2.2}
\]

\[
\gamma M_\infty^2 \left[ u \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right] = -\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} \tag{2.3}
\]

\[
\frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_\infty^2 (u^2 + v^2) + T + E = h_t \tag{2.4}
\]

See Figure 1 for notation (where primes indicate dimensional quantities); \( \rho \) is density, \( p \) is pressure, \( T \) is temperature, \( E \) is vibrational energy per unit mass, \( \gamma \) is the ratio of frozen specific heats, and \( h_t \) is the constant total enthalpy. Variables are made dimensionless as follows:

lengths by \( \tau_b' q_\infty' \) where \( \tau_b' \) is the relaxation time evaluated at the frozen conditions on the body tip and \( q_\infty' \) is free stream velocity; velocities, pressure, density, and temperature by their free stream values; vibrational energy by \( c'_{pa} T_\infty' \), the frozen enthalpy in the free stream. The model chosen for the diatomic gas with vibrational relaxation is discussed in Sedney (1961). The perfect gas law is assumed

\[
p = \rho T \tag{2.5}
\]

and the rate equation is

\[
u \frac{\partial E}{\partial x} + v \frac{\partial E}{\partial y} = \frac{[E^* (T) - E] / \tau}{\tau} \equiv \phi \tag{2.6}
\]
Figure 1. Cross-sectional view of flow field in the physical plane. 
($q' =$ flow speed; $u', v'$ = velocity components in coordinate directions.)

Figure 2. Flow region in the $\zeta, \eta$ plane.
where \( \tau \) is the relaxation time referred to \( \tau_b' \), and \( E^*(T) \) is the local equilibrium value of \( E \), given by

\[
E^*(T) = \frac{(2Z/7)}{(e^{Z/T} - 1)}
\]

with \( Z = \Omega_v''/T_b', \Omega_v'' = \) characteristic vibrational temperature. The variation of \( \tau \) with \( T \) and \( p \) is approximated by

\[
\tau = (p_b/p) \exp \left[ (c/T)^{1/3} - (c/T_b)^{1/3} \right]
\]

and the subscript \( b \) refers to conditions on the body at the tip. The constant \( c \) is evaluated for various temperature ranges by fitting experimental data; e.g., those of Blackman (1956) or Millikan and White (1963).

The independent variables \( x, y \) are transformed to \( \zeta, \eta \) by

\[
\zeta = 2\psi(x, y)/y^2, \quad \eta = y
\]

where \( \psi \) is the dimensionless stream function

\[
\frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} = -\gamma p v, \quad \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial y} = \gamma p u
\]

Thus \( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} = -\frac{2\gamma p}{\eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \) and \( \frac{\partial}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} + \frac{2(\gamma p - \zeta)}{\eta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \), and Equations (2.1), (2.2), (2.3), and (2.6) become, respectively,

\[
2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} \left( \frac{u}{v} \right) - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \frac{\zeta}{\rho v} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} \left( \frac{\eta}{\rho v} \right) = 0
\]

(2.8)

\[
\left( \frac{2}{\gamma M_\infty^2} \right) \frac{\partial \zeta}{\partial \zeta} = \eta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \eta} - 2 \zeta \frac{\partial u}{\partial \zeta}
\]

(2.9)
\[
\left( \frac{\gamma M_\infty^2}{2} \right) \left[ \eta \frac{\partial}{\partial \eta} (u^2 + v^2) - 2 \zeta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta} (u^2 + v^2) \right] = -\frac{1}{\rho} \left( \eta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \eta} - 2 \zeta \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial \zeta} \right) \quad (2.10)
\]

\[
v \left( \eta \frac{\partial E}{\partial \eta} - 2 \zeta \frac{\partial E}{\partial \zeta} \right) = \frac{\eta}{\tau} \left[ E^*(T) - E \right] \quad (2.11)
\]

Note that if vibrational energy in the free stream is negligible \( (E_\infty = 0) \)

\[
h_t = 1 + (1/2)(\gamma - 1) M_\infty^2
\]

This would be the case if \( T_\infty \ll T \), and equilibrium existed in the free stream. If the free stream is out of equilibrium \( E_\infty \) will, in general, not be negligible. This would occur if a cone were placed in the test section of a shock tunnel since the free stream is generally frozen in such a facility. In any case, behind the shock, \( E \) takes its free stream value.

The variables \( \zeta \) and \( \eta \) were employed by Chester in the study of hypersonic flow past blunt bodies. In the present problem the shock wave is attached at the pointed tip of the body, but the flow region is mapped into the same strip of the \( \zeta, \eta \) plane as for the case of a detached shock. This strip is shown in Figure 2 where it is seen that the tip transforms into the line segment \( \eta = 0, 0 < \zeta < 1 \). This stretching is necessary to examine the singularity at the tip. It is also accomplished by using polar coordinates (when properly plotted on rectangular axes); however, the shock curve is unknown then. The same strip is obtained if a body-oriented coordinate system is used and the normal coordinate is normalized by the total distance between the body and shock.

If the body is a cone and the flow is either frozen or in equilibrium so that the flow is conical, then the flow variables are constant along the lines \( \zeta = \text{constant} \). This is shown using the fact
that $\psi$ is homogeneous of degree two in the variables $x$ and $y$, a result which follows from the definition of $\psi$ and the fact that $\rho u$ and $\rho v$ are homogeneous of degree zero. The streamlines are always the hyperbola, $\zeta n^2 = \text{constant}$.

On physical grounds one would expect that the flow at the tip, $n = 0$, should be frozen; this is easily proved from Equations (2.8) - (2.11). Setting $n = 0$, all terms containing $\partial / \partial n$ vanish, $\partial E / \partial \zeta = 0$, and the remaining equations give

$$
\frac{du}{d\zeta} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{pv}{\rho^2} \right) / D
$$

$$
\frac{dv}{d\zeta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{p(\rho u - \zeta)}{\rho^3} \right] / D
$$

$$
\frac{dp}{d\zeta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{M^2 \zeta v}{\rho} \right) / D
$$

$$
\frac{dE}{d\zeta} = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\gamma M^2 \rho v \zeta}{\rho^2} \right) / D
$$

$$
D = \frac{p(\rho u - \zeta)^2}{\nu p^3} + \frac{v}{\rho} \left( \rho - \frac{M^2 \zeta^2}{\rho} \right)
$$

Since $\partial E / \partial \zeta = 0$ along $n = 0$, $E$ is then constant there; i.e., the flow is frozen at the tip. The constant will be the free stream value of $E$.

The Equations (2.12) are those for conical frozen (Taylor-Maccoll) flow in the coordinates $\zeta$, $n$; their numerical solution is a necessary step in the determination of the gradients since the frozen flow variables appear as coefficients in the gradient equations. This solution is
obtained by integrating from the shock, \( \zeta = 1 \), to the body, \( \zeta = 0 \), given \( M_\infty \), \( \gamma \), and \( \beta \), where \( \tan \beta \) is the shock wave slope. The initial conditions at the shock are the standard frozen shock relations:

\[
u_w = 1 - \frac{2(M_\infty^2 \sin^2 \beta - 1)}{(\gamma + 1)M_\infty^2}
\]

(2.14)

and so forth. The half-angle of the body tip is determined from

\[\tan \theta_b = (v/u)_{\zeta} = 0\]

(2.15)

One can integrate several cases and then interpolate to find a desired half-angle or set up an iteration procedure to yield this result.

3. GRADIENT EQUATIONS

The equations for the gradients of the flow variables are obtained by differentiating Equations (2.8) - (2.11) with respect to \( n \), then setting \( n \) equal to zero. This requires the assumption* that \( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \zeta \partial n} = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial n \partial \zeta} \).

The following notation is introduced:

\[U = (\partial u/\partial n)_n = 0, \quad V = (\partial v/\partial n)_n = 0, \quad \Omega = (\partial \Omega/\partial n)_n = 0\]

\[R = (\partial r/\partial n)_n = 0, \quad P = (\partial p/\partial n)_n = 0\]

(3.1)

* The set of mathematical conditions insuring the interchangeability of second derivatives is different from that insuring the validity of an expansion of the form \( f(n, \zeta) + (\partial f/\partial n) \zeta + 0(n^2) \). Neither set can be verified a priori.
Hereafter, it is understood that all quantities are evaluated at $n = 0$. The differential equations for the gradient functions are (where $\dot{\cdot} = \frac{d}{d\zeta}$)

\[
\dot{\Omega} = \frac{(\Omega - \phi/v)/(2\zeta)}{2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta} 
\]

\[
\dot{\Theta} = (1/D) \left[ \frac{vG/\rho + b(C - \varepsilon\Omega)/\zeta}{(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta)} \right] 
\]

\[
\dot{V} = (1/D) \left[ \frac{-gG/\rho + f(C - \varepsilon\Omega)/\zeta}{(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta)} \right] 
\]

\[
\dot{R} = - \left[ \frac{M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta B + (g^2 + \nu^2)(A - \varepsilon F)/v + j(C - \varepsilon\Omega)/\zeta}{(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta)} \right] 
\]

where the denominator $D$ is the same as in Equation (2.13). The coefficients $g$, $b$, $f$, and $j$ are known functions of $\zeta$:

\[
g = u - \zeta/\rho 
\]

\[
b = \frac{[pg/v - (\gamma - 1) M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta]/(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 \rho)}{2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta} 
\]

\[
f = \frac{[\zeta M_{\infty}^2 (\gamma g - u) + p]/(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 \rho)}{(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta)} 
\]

\[
j = \frac{[(\gamma - 1) \nu^2 + g (\gamma g - u)]/(2\gamma v)}{(2\gamma M_{\infty}^2 v \zeta)} 
\]

where $u$, $v$, $\rho$, and $p$ have been obtained from the solution to Equations (2.12); $\phi$ is given in Equation (2.6). The symbol $\varepsilon$ is equal to zero for frozen flow (no vibrational relaxation), and one for nonequilibrium flow.

The coefficients $A$, $B$, $C$, $F$, and $G$ are linear functions of $U$, $V$, $R$, and $\Omega$:

\[
A = - M_{\infty}^2 \left[ \gamma/2 + (\gamma - 1)(u \dot{\phi} + p \dot{\phi}) \right] U - (\gamma - 1) M_{\infty}^2 (v \dot{\phi} + \rho \dot{\phi}) V 
\]

\[
+ (\gamma - 1)(p \dot{\phi}/\rho^2) R 
\]

(3.5)
\[ B = \left( \frac{\dot{v}}{v} \right) U + \left[ \dot{u} + \frac{(\xi \rho)}{(\rho^2 v)} - 2\dot{v}g/v^2 \right] V \]
\[ + \frac{(\xi \rho^2)}{(\rho v + 2\rho)} R \]

\[ C = M_0^2 \left( u - 2\gamma \xi \dot{u} + \gamma_{\xi / \rho} \right) U + M_0^2 \left( v - 2\gamma \xi \dot{v} \right) V \]
\[ + \frac{(1/\rho^2)}{(\rho + 2\xi \dot{\rho})} R \]

\[ F = \dot{\rho} \Omega + \frac{(1/\xi)}{(\rho / 2)} (\Omega - \phi / v) \]

\[ G = \rho B + \xi A / \rho - \epsilon \left[ \frac{\dot{\rho}}{\rho} (\rho + 1) (\Omega - \phi / v) \right] \]

Equation (3.2) can be solved separately, using the initial condition, \( \Omega(\xi = 1) = 0 \) (since \( E \) does not change along \( \xi = 1 \)); then \( \Omega \) can be treated as a known function of \( \xi \) in Equations (3.3). Equations (3.3) are linear equations in \( U, V, \) and \( R, \) homogeneous when \( \epsilon = 0 \) and non-homogeneous when \( \epsilon = 1. \)

The pressure gradient function \( P_b \) is obtained by differentiating Equations (2.10) with respect to \( \eta \) and taking \( \eta = \xi = 0: \)

\[ P_b = -\left( \gamma M_0^2 \right) \rho_b (uU + vV)_b \]  

(3.6)

Initial conditions are applied at the shock wave and \( \xi = 1; \) conditions there will be designated by the subscript \( w. \) For any function \( f, \)

\[ \frac{\partial f}{\partial \eta} \bigg|_{\xi = 1} = (\csc \beta) \frac{df}{d\sigma} = (\csc \beta)(df/d\beta) K_w \]

where \( \sigma \) is arc length along the shock wave and \( K_w \equiv d\beta / d\sigma \) is the curvature of the shock. The initial conditions are

\[ U_w = (du/d\beta) K_w \csc \beta, \quad V_w = (dv/d\beta) K_w \csc \beta \]

(3.7)

\[ R_w = (d\sigma / d\beta) K_w \csc \beta, \]
where \( \frac{du}{d\beta}, \frac{dv}{d\beta}, \) and \( \frac{dp}{d\beta} \) are obtained by differentiating the shock relations; e.g., Equation (2.14).

The solution to Equations (3.3) can be written

\[
U = K_w U_h + U_n, \quad V = K_w V_h + V_n, \quad R = K_w R_h + R_n \quad (3.8)
\]

where \( U_h, V_h, \) and \( R_h \) are the solutions to the homogeneous equations, satisfying the initial conditions, Equation (3.7), with \( K_w = 1; U_n, V_n, \) and \( R_n \) are particular solutions to the non-homogeneous equations satisfying the initial conditions \( (U_n)_w = (V_n)_w = (R_n)_w = 0. \)

With the solutions \( U_h, U_n, \ldots, R_n \) determined it remains to find \( K_w \) for the complete solution, Equation (3.8). This is found by applying the terminal condition, Equation (2.15) to the gradient functions. From Equation (2.15) one obtains

\[
V_b = U_b \tan \theta_b + u_b \sec^2 \theta_b \left( \frac{d\theta_b}{d\eta} \right)_\eta = 0 \quad (3.9)
\]

also

\[
\left( \frac{d\theta_b}{d\eta} \right)_\eta = 0 = K_b \csc \theta_b \quad (3.10)
\]

where \( K_b \equiv \frac{d\theta_b}{ds} \) denotes the curvature of the body at the tip, and \( s \) the arc length along the body. Combining Equations (3.8), (3.9) and (3.10) gives the shock curvature in terms of the body curvature

\[
K_w = \left[ \frac{u \sec^2 \theta \csc \theta}{V_h - U_h \tan \theta} \right]_b \quad K_b - \left[ \frac{V_n - U_n \tan \theta}{V_h - U_h \tan \theta} \right]_b \quad (3.11)
\]

Finally, the gradients on the body are given by

\[
\left( \frac{dp}{ds} \right)_b = P_b \sin \theta_b, \quad \left( \frac{dE}{ds} \right)_b = \Omega_b \sin \theta_b \quad (3.12)
\]
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dT}{ds}_b &= (\rho P - pR)_b (\sin \theta_b) / \rho_b^2, \\
\frac{dq}{ds}_b &= (uU + vV)_b (\sin \theta_b) / a_b,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( P \) is given by Equation (3.6).

Equation (3.11) shows that the shock wave curvature at the tip of a pointed body of revolution is the sum of two terms: i) the curvature for a curved body in frozen flow, and ii) the curvature for nonequilibrium flow over a cone.

Previous calculations, Shen and Lin (1951), and Bianco et al. (1960), have been made for \( \eta/K \) in ideal gas flows (\( \varepsilon = 0 \) in Equations (3.3)).

These and calculations from the present work indicate that \( K_b/K_w \) becomes zero for every axisymmetric body at some \( M_\infty \) which produces a partially subsonic, partially supersonic conical flow at the tip (\( \eta = 0 \)). This implies that for each \( \theta_b \), there is an \( M_\infty \) for which, according to Equation (3.11),

\[
(V_h - U_h \tan \theta)_b = 0.
\]

This is the "Crocco point" in axisymmetric flow (see Ferri (1954)).

4. SOLUTION IN THE VICINITY OF THE SINGULAR POINT

The equations for the gradient functions (3.2) and (3.3) have a regular singular point at \( \zeta = 0 \). Following Ince (1926) the first step in examining the nature of the solutions in the neighborhood of the singular point is to set up the indicial equation and find its roots. When this is done for Equations (3.2) and (3.3) it is found that the
roots are: 0, 0, 1/2, 1/2. The presence of the two double roots indicate that logarithmic terms must be included. Thus, each variable has the form

$$P_1 + (\log \zeta) P_2 + \zeta^{1/2} P_3 + (\zeta^{1/2} \log \zeta) P_4$$

(4.1)

where the $P_i$ denotes power series with unknown coefficients. Recurrence relations obtained by substituting these forms into the differential equations determine the coefficients of the power series in terms of the arbitrary constants.

Since a numerical solution to Equations (3.2) and (3.3) is obtained, a lengthy discussion of the singularity is not needed. Suffice it to say that the constant terms in the series $P_2$ and $P_4$ are zero for each variable. Thus, each gradient function has the form

$$\text{constant} + (\zeta^{1/2} \times \text{constant})$$

(4.2)

in the neighborhood of $\zeta = 0$.

5. NUMERICAL SOLUTION

Equations (2.12) are first solved separately; then Equations (3.2) and (3.3) are solved. The Runge-Kutta-Gill method is used to integrate the equations numerically.

With high speed computers it is possible to study the solution empirically by carrying out a "detailed approach" to the body; that is, to systematically decrease the interval size in the Runge-Kutta-Gill procedure as $\zeta$ approaches zero, ending the calculation at an extremely small but finite value of $\zeta (\sim 10^{-12})$. This is the method used in the present work. While admittedly not elegant, this procedure does produce answers in reasonably short times, and a posteriori tests indicate that the values obtained are correct. Thus, unique limits for the gradient
functions are found as the integration interval and limiting $\zeta$ approach zero; also, the calculated gradient functions exhibit the $\zeta^{1/2}$ variation near zero, Equation (4.2), which was demonstrated in Section 4. In addition, the calculated solution to Equation (3.2) agrees with the known value ($\Omega = \Phi/v$) obtained from Taylor-Maccoll flow.

6. RESULTS

In the case of cones (where $K_b = 0$) the shock curvature $K_w$ reduces to the second term of the expression in Equation (3.11). This quantity was calculated for a wide range of Mach numbers and cone angles for nitrogen at 300°K. Results are shown in Figure 3 (solid curves). Each curve has a vertical asymptote occurring at a cone angle for which the Taylor-Maccoll flow is supersonic at the shock wave, but subsonic at the body; $K_w$ changes sign here and then decreases in absolute value with increasing $\theta_b$. The qualitative behavior of $K_w$ is similar to that of shock curvature at a wedge tip, shown also in Figure 3. Figure 4 shows the variation of the pressure gradient at the tip of a cone; the "Crocco point" asymptotes appear here also.

From results of Millikan and White (1963) some representative values of $r_b q_{\infty}$, the normalizing quantity for distances, are: $r_b q_{\infty} = 5.2$, 0.26, and 0.034 cm for $\theta_b = 30^\circ$, $40^\circ$, and $50^\circ$, respectively, at $M_\infty = 10$, $p_{\infty} = 1$ atmosphere; for other $p_{\infty}$, the given $r_b q_{\infty}$ is to be divided by the number of atmospheres.

In Figure 5 the gradients of pressure, temperature, and density at the tip of a cone are given as functions of cone angle for $M_\infty = 10$, $T_{\infty} = 300^\circ$K in nitrogen. The density gradient is unusual in that it is non-monotonic and changes sign; this change in sign takes place when the flow on the cone surface is subsonic. A similar type variation is found for density gradient at the tip of a wedge and is indicated in this figure; here the change in sign occurs for supersonic flow at the
Figure 3. Shock wave curvature at the tip of pointed body vs. body half-angle.

\[ T_{\infty} = 300^\circ K, N_2 \]

\[ \theta_b (\text{DEG.}) \]
Figure 4. Pressure gradient along body at tip of cone vs. cone half-angle.
Figure 5. Flow variable gradients at tip of pointed body vs. body half-angle
N₂ gas, Tₜ₀ = 300°K, Mₙ = 10.
wedge surface. Similar behavior for the density gradient in plane flow was found for the case of dissociating air, using the gas model and gradient formulae of Spurk, et al. (1966).

As mentioned in Section 1, the present work has application in the calculation of axisymmetric nonequilibrium flows. In previous work, Sedney and Gerber (1963), an initial region of frozen flow was assumed in order to start a nonequilibrium flow computation by the method of characteristics. This led to results illustrated in Figure 6 for pressure variation on the body in a typical case of flow over a cone. The results are clearly in error near the tip; however, a curve drawn through the points further downstream can be faired back easily to the correct pressure at the tip, as evidenced by the solid curve. This furnishes an indication that the results downstream are correct. The gradient calculations, indicated by the dashed lines, give further assurance of the validity of the characteristic computations downstream by demonstrating that the solid curves can be extended back to the tip pressure with the correct slope. Gradient values can also be useful in initiating nonequilibrium characteristic flow computations.

The present results can be compared with those obtained by an application of Dorodnitsyn's integral method, South and Newman (1965). This latter procedure is an approximate method which yields algebraic equations for the curvature and gradients at the tip of a cone. Figure 7 shows the comparison for two Mach numbers. The agreement, in general, is good; it is found to improve as the angle interval $\beta - \theta_b$ decreases.

7. CONCLUSION

A method is given for determining the shock curvature and flow variable gradients at the tip of a pointed body of revolution. The use of variables introduced by Chester simplifies setting up the calculations.

\* A characteristic calculation of one example with an initially negative density gradient was performed. The gradient changed sign at a distance of $3x$ (initial frozen length) and thereafter was positive. All other flow variables were monotonic.
Figure 6. Application of pressure gradient calculations to check characteristic calculations.
Figure 7. Comparison of present calculations of shock wave curvature with integral method calculations of South and Newman.
as compared to use of polar coordinates. Although the specific source of departure from equilibrium considered here is vibrational excitation, the same technique can be applied to a dissociating gas, with the same qualitative results expected.

It is shown that the shock curvature at the tip of a pointed body of revolution is the sum of two terms: i) the curvature for a curved body in frozen flow and ii) the curvature for nonequilibrium flow over a cone. Also, the Crocco point for nonequilibrium flow occurs at the same Mach number and cone angle combination as for frozen flow.
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