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ON SOME DISTRIBUTION PROBLEMS CONCERNING THE
CHARACTERISTIC ROOTS OF $S_1S_2^{-1}$ UNDER VIOLATIONS

by

K.C.S. Pillai and Sudjana

1. **Introduction.** The distribution of the characteristic roots of $S_1S_2^{-1}$ under certain violations was obtained by Pillai [12]. In the present paper Pillai's distribution has been used to derive the following: 1) the density function of $T$, a constant times Hotelling's $T_0^2$, 2) the moments of $T$, 3) the moment generating function of $T$, 4) the m.g.f. of Pillai's trace, 5) the distribution of Wilks' criterion and 6) the density function of the largest root in two forms. These results are useful in studying the exact robustness of at least two multivariate hypotheses, namely, a) MANOVA under violation of the assumption of a common covariance matrix and b) equality of covariance matrices of two $p$-variate normal populations when the normality assumption is disturbed. The exact robustness comparisons will be reported later.

2. **The density function of $T$.** In this section we will derive the density function of $T = \lambda \text{tr} S_1S_2^{-1}$ where $S_1$ (p x p) is distributed $W(p, n_1, \Sigma_1, \Omega)$ i.e. non-central Wishart distribution on $n_1$ d.f. with non-centrality $\Omega$ and covariance matrix $\Sigma_1$ and $S_2$ (p x p) independently distributed central Wishart $W(p, n_2, \Sigma_2, \Omega)$ where $n_1, n_2 \geq p$ and $\lambda$ is a positive real number.
In the case of \( n_1 < p \) we have only \( n_1 \) non-zero roots of \( S_{-1/2} \) and the density function of \( T \) can be obtained from that for \( n_1 \geq p \) if in the latter case the substitutions \((n_1, n_2, p) \rightarrow (p, n_1+n_2-p, n_2)\) are made.

Let us write
\[
A_1 = \frac{1}{2} S_{-1} S_{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad A_2 = \frac{1}{2} S_{-1} S_{-1},
\]
then applying (29) of James [6] we get the joint density of \( A_1 \) and \( A_2 \) as:

\[
C_1(p, n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2} |n_2|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |A_2|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_2-p-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1-p-1)}
\]

\[
\prod \text{tr} Z e^{-\frac{1}{2} |Z|^2} \exp(-\text{tr}(I-W)A_1) dZ
\]

where \( C_1(p, n_2) = \frac{1}{2} p(p-1) \frac{1}{2} p(p+1) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2} n_2\right) \).

\[
\text{and } Z \rightarrow X_0 + i Y \text{ with } X_0 \text{ p.d. symmetric matrix and } Y \text{ a non-singular real symmetric matrix such that } (I-W) \text{ is non-singular. Note that the roots are invariant under the above transformations and also under the following transformation } B_1 = (I-W)^{1/2} A_1 (I-W)^{-1/2} \text{ and } B_2 = (I-W)^{1/2} A_2 (I-W)^{-1/2}. \]

Using these, we obtain the joint density of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) as follows:

\[
(2.1) \quad C_1(p, n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2} |n_2|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |B_1|^2} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_1-p-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} (n_2-p-1)}
\]

\[
\prod \text{tr} Z e^{-\frac{1}{2} |Z|^2} \exp(-\text{tr}(I-W)A_1) dZ \cdot \exp(-\text{tr}(I-W)B_1) dZ
\]

Now the Laplace transform of \( T = \lambda \text{ tr } S_{-1/2} = \lambda \text{ tr } B_1 B_2^{-1} \) is given by

\[E(\exp(-t \lambda \text{ tr } B_1 B_2^{-1})).\]
\[
\exp(-t \lambda \text{tr } B_2 B_2^{-1}) \text{ and integrate } B_2 \text{ out, we get the Laplace transform of } T \text{ in the form:}
\]

\[
C_2(p, n_1, n_2, \lambda) e^{-t \lambda |\Lambda|^{1/2} n_2 \lambda^{-1/2} n_1 \lambda^{-1/2} n_2 - \frac{1}{2}p n_1} \cdot \int_{B_2 > 0} \int_{\text{Re}(Z) = X_0} \text{tr } Z \cdot e^{-|Z|} \cdot \frac{1}{|I - W|} \cdot \frac{1}{B_2} \cdot \frac{1}{2(n_1 + n_2 - p - 1)} \cdot \left| I + (t \lambda)^{-1} B_2 \right|^{-2 n_1} \exp(-\text{tr}(I - W) \frac{1}{2} \Lambda(I - W) \frac{1}{2} B_2) dB_2 dZ,
\]

where

\[
C_2(p, n_1, n_2, \lambda) = 2^{p(p-1)} \gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 \lambda^{-1} n_1 \lambda^{-1} n_2 \right) [2(2\pi i)^{2p-1} \lambda^{2n_1} \gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right)]^2.
\]

Now letting \( D_2 = \Lambda^{-1} (I - W) \frac{1}{2} B_2 (I - W) \frac{1}{2} \Lambda \), the above expression becomes:

\[
C_2(p, n_1, n_2, \lambda) e^{-t \lambda |\Lambda|^{1/2} n_2 \lambda^{-1/2} n_1 \lambda^{-1/2} n_2 - \frac{1}{2}p n_1} \cdot \int_{B_2 > 0} \int_{\text{Re}(Z) = X_0} \text{tr } Z \cdot e^{-|Z|} \cdot \frac{1}{|I - W|} \cdot \frac{1}{D_2} \cdot \frac{1}{2(n_1 + n_2 - p - 1)} \cdot \left| I + (t \lambda)^{-1} B_2 \right|^{-2 n_1} dD_2 dZ.
\]

Further, let us write

\[
\left| I + (t \lambda)^{-1} (I - W) \Lambda^{-1} D_2 \right|^{-2 n_1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2n_1} \lambda^{-1} D_2 \left| C_\kappa (\lambda^{-1} (I - W) \Lambda^{-1} D_2) \right| (-t)^{-k} \frac{2n_1}{k!}.
\]

in (2.2) and integrate term by term with respect to \( t \) for sufficiently large values of \( \text{Re}(t) \) and then integrate out \( D_2 \) making use of (12) of Constantine [1] to obtain the density of \( T \) in the form:
\[ C_2(p, n_1, n_2, \lambda) = \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) \right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \frac{1}{2^{n_1 + n_2 - 1}} \left| \lambda \right| \frac{1}{\lambda} \frac{1}{T^{2n_1 - 1}} \]

\[
\int_{\text{Re } z = 0} \text{tr } z \left( \frac{1}{z} \right)^{\frac{-1}{2}} \frac{1}{2^{n_1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) \right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \frac{(-T)^k}{k! \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2^{n_1 + k}} \right)} C_k[\lambda], \lambda, -1, (I-W) \right] d\lambda
\]

which is convergent for \( |T/\lambda \lambda_1| < 1 \), where \( \lambda_1 \) is the minimum root of \( \lambda \) (see [8]). Now \((\lambda \lambda)^{-1}\) being symmetric, can be diagonalized by an orthogonal transformation \( H \in O(p) \) and perform the integration over \( O(p) \), then finally apply (17) of Constantine [2] to get the density function of \( T \) as:

\[
(2.3) \quad f(T) = \left[ \frac{1}{p} \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) \right) / \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_2) \right) \right] \left| \lambda \lambda_1 \right| \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{1}{T^{2n_1 - 1}} \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) \right)^{\frac{\kappa}{2}} \frac{(-T)^k}{k! \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2^{n_1 + k}} \right)} C_k[\lambda], \lambda, -1, (I-W) \right] d\lambda
\]

Formula (2.3) will give special cases as follows:

a). For \( \Omega = 0 \) which implies \( L'(0) = (\frac{1}{2} n_1 - 1) \), we have the result of Khatri [8] formula (9).

b). For \( \lambda = I \) and \( \lambda = 1 \) we have Theorem 4 of Constantine [2].

3. The moments of \( T \). In order to obtain the moments of \( T \), we note that

\[
T^k = (\lambda \text{ tr } B_1 B_2^{-1})^k = \lambda^k \sum_k C_k(B_1 B_2^{-1}), \text{ where } B_1 \text{ and } B_2 \text{ are as in Section 2}
\]

and we shall use the joint density of \( B_1 \) and \( B_2 \) in (2.1). The \( k \)th moment of \( T \) is \( E(T^k) \). Multiplying (2.1) by \( \lambda^k \sum_k C_k(B_1 B_2^{-1}) \) and integrating out first \( B_2 \) using (8) of Khatri [7] and then \( B_1 \) using (12) of Constantine [1] we have:
\[ E(T^k) = C_1(p,n_2) \lambda^k e^{-tr \Omega} \]

\[ - \int_{\text{Re } Z=X_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |Z-I-W| - \frac{1}{2}n_1 \sum_k \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2 \kappa) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1 \kappa) C_\lambda [A(I-W)^{-1}]dZ. \]

Now transform \( \Lambda + H \Lambda H^* \) where \( H \in O(p) \) and integrate over \( O(p) \), then the right hand side in the above expression becomes

\[ C_1(p,n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |Z-I-W| - \frac{1}{2}n_1 \sum_k \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1 \kappa) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2 \kappa) C_\lambda (I) \]

\[ - \int_{\text{Re } Z=X_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |Z-I-W| - \frac{1}{2}n_1 \sum_k \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1 \kappa) C_\lambda (I-W)^{-1}) dZ. \]

Replacing \( W \) by \( \frac{1}{\Omega^2} Z^{-1} \Omega^2 \) and noting that \( C_\lambda (I-W)^{-1}) = C_\lambda (I + \Omega(Z-\Omega)^{-1}) \)

and rearranging the necessary variables, we obtain

\[ E(T^k) = C_1(p,n_2) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1 \kappa) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2 \kappa) \frac{C_\lambda (\lambda)}{C_\lambda (I)} \]

\[ - \int_{\text{Re } U>0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |U| - \frac{1}{2}n_1 \sum_k \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1 \kappa) C_\lambda (I-nU)^{-1}) dU. \]

where \( U = Z - \Omega \). Now using (17) of Constantine [2] we have the \( k^{\text{th}} \) moment of \( T \)

\[ (3.1) \quad E(T^k) = \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2 \kappa)^{-1} \sum_k \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2 \kappa) C_\lambda (\lambda) L_\kappa^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} (\lambda)/C_\lambda (I). \]

Finally using the fact that \( \Gamma_p(t,-\kappa) = ((-1)^k \Gamma_p(t))/(-t+\frac{1}{2}(p+1)) \), we get \( k^{\text{th}} \) moment of \( T \) as
(3.2) \[ E(T^k) = (-1)^k \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\lambda \Lambda)}{(2(p+1-n_2))_{\kappa}} \frac{L_{\kappa}}{C_{\kappa}(I)} (-\Omega) \]

which exists only for \( n_2 > 2k+p-1 \).

Formula (3.2) will give special cases for special values of \( \Omega \) and \( \Lambda \).

If we let \( \Omega = 0 \), (3.2) gives

\[ E(T^k) = (-1)^k \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(2n_1)^{\kappa}}{(2p+1-n_2)_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\lambda \Lambda)}{(2(p+1-n_2))_{\kappa}} \]

which is the result of Khatri [8]; except that his formula contains an error in the denominator. His denominator is \( \frac{1}{2}(p-n_2-1) \) and the right one is \( \frac{1}{2}(p-n_2+1) \). Substitution of \( \Lambda = I \), \( \lambda = 1 \) in (3.2) will give the result of Constantino [2] formula (38).

4. **Moment generating function of \( T \).** Pillai [12] has obtained the joint density function of the roots \( r_1, \ldots, r_p \) of \( S_i S^{-1} \) which has the form:

(4.1) \[ C(p,n_1,n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega} |\Lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}} |R|^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Pi_{i<j} (r_i-r_j) \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\delta}}{\kappa^{\delta}} \frac{C_{\kappa}(\lambda \Lambda)}{(2n_1)_{\kappa}} \frac{C_{\kappa}(I)}{C_{\kappa}(I)_{k!}} n! \frac{\delta_1 \ldots \delta_p}{\delta} C_{\delta}(\Lambda^{-1}) C_{\delta}(R) \]

where \( g_{\kappa,\nu} \) are constants (Constantine [2], Pillai and Sugiyama [14]),

\( R = \text{diag} (r_1, \ldots, r_p) \) with \( 0 < r_1 < \ldots < r_p < \infty \), \( \delta_1 + \ldots + \delta_p = k + n \),

\( \delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_p) \) and

(4.2) \[ C(p,n_1,n_2) = \pi^p \frac{1}{2^p} \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)) / \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}p) \].
To obtain the m.g.f. of \( T = \lambda \text{tr} S S^{-1} \) we shall take the expected value of \( \exp (t \lambda \text{tr} R) \) with respect to (4.1), since under the transformations employed by Pillai [12] to get (4.1), \( \text{tr} S S^{-1} = \text{tr} R \). Now transform back \( R + H R H' \), where \( H \in O(p) \) and the latter matrix \( R \) is symmetric, then perform the necessary integrations (i.e. use (44) of Constantino [1], and integrate out \( R > 0 \), we get:

\[
E(\exp(t \lambda \text{tr} R)) = C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-|A|} - \frac{1}{2^{n_1}}
\]

\[
\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_k(\Omega)}{(2n_1)_\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \delta} \frac{(-1)^n g^\delta_{\kappa, \nu} (\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2))^{\delta}}{n!} \]

\[
\cdot \int_{R>0} \text{tr}(t^\lambda R) \frac{1}{2(2n_1-p-1)} \cdot C_\delta(A^{-1} R) \, dR ,
\]

where \( C_1(p, n_1, n_2) = \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2))/[\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1) \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2)] \).

Let us now apply (12) of Constantino [1]. Upon simplification we finally obtain the m.g.f. of \( T \) as:

\[
E(\exp(t \lambda \text{tr} R)) = \left[\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2))/\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2)\right] e^{-|A|} - \frac{1}{2^{n_1}}
\]

\[
\cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{C_k(\Omega)}{(2n_1)_\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu, \delta} \frac{(-1)^n g^\delta_{\kappa, \nu} (\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2))^{\delta}}{n!} \frac{1}{2(2n_1-p-1)} \cdot C_\delta((-t^\lambda)^{-1} A^{-1})
\]

Again, as before we can put special values of \( \Omega \) and \( A \) to get special cases. Making a substitution \( \Omega = 0 \) in (4.3) and noting that \( g_{0, \nu}^\delta = 1 \), \( \delta = \nu \) we have:

\[
E(\exp(t \lambda \text{tr} R)) = \left[\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2))/\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_2)\right] e^{-|A|} - \frac{1}{2^{n_1}}
\]

\[
\cdot |t^\lambda|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)} \cdot \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}n_1, \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2); (t^\lambda)^{-1} A^{-1}) ,
\]

7
while letting \( A = I \) and \( \lambda = 1 \) and \( v = 0 \) which implies \( \kappa = \delta \) we obtain:

\[
E(\exp(t \operatorname{tr} R)) = \left[ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) / \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \right]^{(-t)} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} e^{-\operatorname{tr} \Omega} \cdot \sum \frac{1}{F_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) e^{-t^{-1} \Omega}}
\]

or in an alternative form:

\[
E(\exp(t \operatorname{tr} R)) = \left[ \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) / \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \right]^{(-t)} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \left| I + \lambda R \right|^{-1} \frac{1}{2^n} e^{-\operatorname{tr} \Omega} \cdot \sum \frac{1}{F_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) e^{-t^{-1} \Omega}}
\]

5. Moment generating function of \( V(p) \). To obtain the m.g.f. of Pillai's criterion \( V(p) \) which is defined by \( V(p) = \operatorname{tr}\left([\lambda R](I+\lambda R)^{-1}\right) \) where

\( R = \text{diag}(r_1, \ldots, r_p) \), \( r_1, \ldots, r_p \) being the roots of \( S_{12}^{-1} \), we start from

the following joint density of roots of \( S_{12}^{-1} \) (Pillai [12]):

\[
C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\|A|\|} \left| I + \lambda R \right|^2 \frac{1}{2^p(p-1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} \sum_{i>j} r_i r_j \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} F_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \left( I - \lambda^{-1}(I-W)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \left( I + \lambda R \right)^{-1} dZ
\]

where

\[
C_1(p, n_1, n_2) = \pi \left( \frac{1}{2^p p(p+1)} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) / \left[ (2\pi)^{n}\right] \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}n_2\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{2}p\right) \right)
\]

Let \( L = \lambda R(I+\lambda R)^{-1} \), then the above density gives the joint density of

roots \( \xi_1, \ldots, \xi_p \) of \( L \) as:

\[
C_1(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\|A|\|} \left| I + \lambda R \right|^2 \frac{1}{2^p(p+1)} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} \sum_{i>j} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{1}{2^n} F_0\left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)\right) \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \left( I - \lambda^{-1}(I-W)^{-1} \right)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2^n} \left( I + \lambda R \right)^{-1} dZ
\]
where \( 0 < \ell_1 < \ell_2 < \ldots < \ell_p < 1 \).

The expected value of \( \exp(t \mathbf{V}(p)) \) with respect to this density is

\[
C_1(p,n_1,n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} \prod_{i>j} (\ell_i - \ell_j)
\]

\[
= \int_{L>0} t \text{tr} L \frac{1}{|L|^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1) \right) \frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1) \prod_{i>j} (\ell_i - \ell_j)
\]

\[
= \int_{\text{Re } Z>0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} f_{10} \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2) ; \frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1) \frac{1}{2} (I-W)^{2 \Lambda^{-1}} (I-W) L dL dZ.
\]

Now use (31) of James [6] and rearrange the order of the integration to get

\[
E(\exp(t \mathbf{V}(p))) = \frac{1}{2^p (p-1)} \frac{1}{2^p (p+1)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \left| \lambda \Lambda \right|^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1}
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{1}{2^p (p-1)} \frac{1}{2^p (p+1)} \frac{1}{|L|^2} \left( \frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1) \right) \frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1) \prod_{i>j} (\ell_i - \ell_j)
\]

\[
= \int_{S>0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} F_{10} \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2) ; \frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1) \frac{1}{2} (I-W)^{2 \Lambda^{-1}} (I-W) S dS dZ.
\]

After integrating out \( L \) using (47) of James [6] we get:

\[
E(\exp(t \mathbf{V}(p))) = C_2(p,n_1,n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} \prod_{i>j} (\ell_i - \ell_j)
\]

\[
= \int_{S>0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1} F_{10} \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2) ; \frac{1}{2}(n_2-p-1) \prod_{i>j} (\ell_i - \ell_j) \frac{1}{2} (I-W)^{2 \Lambda^{-1}} (I-W) S dS dZ.
\]

where
\[ C_2(p, n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{2^p(p-1)} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} (2n_1) \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (2n_1 + n_2) \right\} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{p}} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) \right) \] 

Now expand \( F_1 \) in terms of the series of zonal polynomials and use the relation:

\[ \frac{C_{\kappa}(I + A)}{C_{\kappa}(1)} = \sum_{d=0}^{k} \sum_{\delta} a_{\kappa, \delta} C_{\delta}(A)/C_{\delta}(I) \] 

where \( a_{\kappa, \delta} \) are constants (Constantine [2], Pillai and Jouris [13]), and then integrate out \( S \) to obtain:

\[ \text{E}(\exp(t V(p))) = \frac{1}{2^p(p-1)} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} (2n_1) \right) \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (2n_1 + n_2) \right\} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^{p}} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2) \right) - \frac{1}{2n_1} \text{tr} \, n \]

\[ \int_{\text{Re } Z > 0} e^{-\frac{1}{2n_1} Z} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} n_1)^k C_{\kappa}(1)}{C_{\kappa}(I)} \sum_{d=0}^{k} \sum_{\delta} a_{\kappa, \delta} t^k d \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)_{\delta} \frac{1}{\delta} (I - W)^{1/2} (I - W)^{1/2} \frac{1}{C_{\delta}(1)} \frac{1}{C_{\delta}(I)} dZ \]

Finally, applying (5.1) and transforming \( A \rightarrow H^A H' \) and integrating over \( 0(p) \) and using (17) of Constantine [2] we obtain the m.g.f. of \( V(p) \) as follows:

\[ \text{E}(\exp(t V(p))) = e^{-\text{tr} \, n} \frac{1}{\lambda_\Lambda I} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} n_1)^k C_{\kappa}(1)}{C_{\kappa}(I)} \sum_{d=0}^{k} \sum_{\delta} a_{\kappa, \delta} t^k d \frac{1}{2} (n_1 + n_2)_{\delta} \frac{1}{\delta} (I - W)^{1/2} (I - W)^{1/2} \frac{1}{C_{\delta}(1)} \frac{1}{C_{\delta}(I)} \frac{1}{C_{\delta}(1)} \frac{1}{C_{\delta}(I)} \]

For \( \Omega = 0 \), (5.2) gives the result of Khatri [8] with a correction of his expression given by Pillai [11]. Another special case which can be derived
from (5.2) is formula (3.5) of Pillai [11] if in (5.2) we let \( \Lambda = I \), \( \lambda = 1 \) and we use (Constantine [2])

\[
(5.3) \quad \frac{1}{v} \left( \frac{n_1-p-1}{2} \right) \mu(I) = \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 \right) v C_v(I) \sum_{s=0}^{n} \frac{(-1)^s a_{v, s} C_v(s)}{\left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 \right) s C_v(s)}
\]

and finally we let \( \delta = v = s \).

6. The density function of \( W(p) \). In terms of the characteristic roots \( r_i (i = 1, 2, \ldots, p) \) of \( S_i S_i^{-1} \), the Wilks' criterion \( W(p) \) is defined by

\[
W(p) = |I + \lambda R|^{-1}
\]

However, in the derivation of the density of \( W(p) \) we consider \( W(p) = |I - L| \), i.e. we let \( L = \lambda R(I + \lambda R)^{-1} \) in the formula (3.7) Theorem 2 of Pillai [12]. The joint density of characteristic roots \( k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p \) of \( L \), then, is

\[
(6.1) \quad C(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\mu \mu(I-L)^{-1}} \frac{1}{2(n_1-p-1)} \frac{1}{2(n_2-p-1)}
\]

\[
\cdot \prod_{i>j} (k_1-k_j) \sum_{k=0}^{n_1+n_2} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} \frac{C_k(L)}{k!} \sum_{\lambda} \sum_{\delta} \frac{a_{k, \delta} C_\delta(-\lambda^{-1} \Lambda^{-1}) L^2}{\left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 \right) \delta C_\delta(I) C_\delta(I)}
\]

where \( C(p, n_1, n_2) \) is as in (4.2).

To obtain the density function of \( W(p) \), first we find the \( k^{th} \) moment of it and then use the results on inverse Mellin transform [3,4,5]. The \( k^{th} \) moment of \( W(p) \) with respect to (6.1) is
\[ E(W(p)) \sim C(p,n_1,n_2) e^{-\text{tr} \Omega - \frac{1}{2}n_1} \times \]
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2(n_1+n_2)_\kappa} \frac{1}{2(n_1)_\kappa} \frac{1}{2(n_2)_\kappa} \frac{1}{\kappa!} \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} r(x+b_i) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{p} r(x+a_i) \]
\[ \sum_{d=0}^{k} \frac{a_{k,d} \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) L_\delta}{(2n_1)_\delta C_\delta(I) C_\delta(I)} \]

Now transform \( L \to H L H' \), where \( H \in O(p) \) and \( L \) is a symmetric matrix.

Integrating out \( H \) using (44) of Constantine [1] and then \( L \) using Theorem 3 of Constantine [1], we obtain the \( h \)th moment of \( W(p) \) in the form:

\[ (6.2) \quad E(W(p))^{h} = \left[ \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2) \right) / \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2}n_2 \right) \right] e^{-\text{tr} \Omega - \frac{1}{2}n_1} \times \]
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{1}{2(n_1+n_2)_\kappa} \frac{1}{2(n_1)_\kappa} \frac{1}{2(n_2)_\kappa} \frac{1}{\kappa!} \]
\[ \sum_{i=1}^{p} r(x+b_i) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{p} r(x+a_i) \]
\[ \sum_{d=0}^{k} \frac{a_{k,d} \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \left( -\frac{1}{\lambda} \right) L_\delta}{(2n_1)_\delta C_\delta(I) C_\delta(I)} \]

where \( r = \frac{1}{2}n_2 + h - \frac{1}{2}(p-1) \), \( b_i = \frac{1}{2}(i-1) \) and \( a_i = \frac{1}{2}n_1+k_{p-1}+b_i \).

Finally, on (6.2) we apply results on inverse Mellin transform [3,4,5] to obtain the density of \( W(p) \) of the form:
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(6.3) \( f(W(p)) = \left[ \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) \right] \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1 |A|} \)

\[ \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 + n_2 \right)}{k!} \frac{1}{c_1} C_k(I) (W(p)) \frac{1}{2} (n_2 - p - 1) \]

\[ \cdot (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{(W(p))^p} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(r+b_i)}{\Gamma(r-a_i)} dr \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{d=0}^{k} \sum_{\delta} \frac{a_k}{\lambda_{\delta}^{-1}} \frac{C_{\delta}(\frac{1}{2} n_1 - 1)}{C_{\delta}(I) C_{\delta}(I)} \]

The density function (6.3) can be expressed in terms of Meijer's G-function since the integral in (6.3) is expressible in terms of that function [10]. The density function of \( W(p) \) is therefore:

(6.4) \( f(W(p)) = \left[ \frac{1}{2}(n_1 + n_2) \right] \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 \right) \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} n_2 \right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1 |A|} \)

\[ \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\kappa} \frac{\left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 + n_2 \right)}{k!} \frac{1}{c_1} C_k(I) (W(p)) \frac{1}{2} (n_2 - p - 1) \]

\[ \cdot (2\pi i)^{-1} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{(W(p))^p} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\Gamma(r+b_i)}{\Gamma(r-a_i)} dr \]

\[ \cdot \sum_{d=0}^{k} \sum_{\delta} \frac{a_k}{\lambda_{\delta}^{-1}} \frac{C_{\delta}(\frac{1}{2} n_1 - 1)}{C_{\delta}(I) C_{\delta}(I)} \]

As in the previous sections, formula (6.4) will give special cases as follows:

a) If we let \( \Omega = 0, \quad L_{\delta}^{\gamma}(0) \neq 0 \), where \( \gamma = \frac{1}{2}(n_1 - p - 1) \), and after making use of (5.1) we obtain formula (4.7) of Pillai, Al-Ani and Jouris [15] for testing the hypothesis \( H_0: \gamma A = I \), \( \lambda > 0 \) being given.
b). For $\lambda = 1$ and $\Lambda = I$, and after making use of (5.3) we let

$$\kappa = \delta = \sigma$$

($\sigma$ being the partition of $s$ in the expansion of $L_0$),

then we have formula (5.2) of [15]. Note that in this case

$\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_p$ are the roots of determinantal equation

$$|S_1 - \xi_1S_2 + \xi_2| = 0,$$

and $W(p)$ is the Wilks' criterion for MANOVA.

7. The density function of the largest root. In this section we derive two expressions for the density function of the largest root $r_p$ of $S_1S_2^{-1}$. In obtaining this density we start from the joint density of the roots $r_1, \ldots, r_p$ which is given in Pillai [12] by the formula:

$$C_1(p,n_1,n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2n_1}} \left| R \right|^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1-p-1)} \prod_{i>j} (r_i-r_j)$$

$$\cdot \int_{\text{Re} Z > 0} e^{-\frac{1}{2n_1}} \int_{0(p)} \text{tr} Z \cdot Z^{-\frac{1}{2n_1}} 2^{-p} |I + HRH' (I-W) \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} (I-W) \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)| \, \text{dH} \, dZ,$$

where $R$, $Z$ and $W$ are as in the previous sections, and

$$C_1(p,n_1,n_2) = 2^{\frac{1}{2}p(p-1)} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2) \right) / [(2\pi)^{p(1+1)}],$$

Now use lemma 2 of Khatri [8] by taking $g(F) = r_p$ and also apply formula (44) of Constantine [1], then the second integral in the above expression becomes:

$$\left[ \pi^{\frac{1}{2}p} / \Gamma_p \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] |1 + (I-W) \frac{1}{2} A^{-1} (I-W) \frac{1}{2} r_p|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)}$$

$$\cdot F_0 \left( \frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2); r_p^{-1} |1 + (I-W) \frac{1}{2} A(I-W) \frac{1}{2} r_p|^{-1}, r_p |I - R| \right).$$
Let $h_i = r_i / r_p$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, p-1$ and $H = \text{diag}(h_1, \ldots, h_{p-1})$, then the joint density of $r_p, h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{p-1}$, where $0 < r_p < \infty$, $0 < h_1 < h_2 < \ldots < h_{p-1} < 1$ is given by:

\[
C_2(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}r_p \Omega} \frac{1}{2^n_1 \frac{1}{2p^n_1-1}} \frac{1}{2(n_1-p-1)} |I_{p-1}-H| \prod_{i>j} (h_i-h_j) \cdot \int_{\text{Re } Z>0} \cdot \frac{\text{tr } Z}{|Z|^{\frac{1}{2}n_1 + 2|I + (I-W)^\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(I-W)^\frac{1}{2} r_p|}} - \frac{1}{2(n_1+n_2)} \cdot \Phi \left( \frac{1}{2(n_1+n_2)}; (I+(I-W)^\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(I-W)^\frac{1}{2} r_p)^{-1}, I_{p-1}-H \right) \, dZ,
\]

where $I_{p-1}$ is the identity matrix of order $p-1$ and $I$ is that of order $p$ and

\[
C_2(p, n_1, n_2) = \frac{1}{2^n_1 \frac{1}{2p^n_1-1}} \frac{1}{2(n_1-p-1)} |I_{p-1}-H| \prod_{i>j} (h_i-h_j) \cdot \Phi \left( \frac{1}{2(n_1+n_2)}; (I+(I-W)^\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(I-W)^\frac{1}{2} r_p)^{-1}, I_{p-1}-H \right) \, dZ
\]

Expand $C_3$ in terms of zonal polynomials and for integration with respect to $H$ apply lemma 3 of Khatri [8], then we obtain the density function of the largest root $r_p$ of the form:

\[
(7.1) \quad C_3(p, n_1, n_2) e^{-\frac{1}{2}r_p \Omega} \frac{1}{2^n_1 \frac{1}{2p^n_1-1}} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2))^k}{k!} \frac{1}{2(n_1+p+1, \ldots, n_2)p}$

\[
\int_{\text{Re } Z>0} \cdot \frac{\text{tr } Z}{|Z|^{\frac{1}{2}n_1 + 2|I + (I-W)^\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(I-W)^\frac{1}{2} r_p|}} - \frac{1}{2(n_1+n_2)} \cdot C_k \left[ (I + (I-W)^\frac{1}{2}A^{-1}(I-W)^\frac{1}{2} r_p)^{-1} \right] \, dZ
\]
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where
\[ C_3(p,n_1,n_2) = C_2(p,n_1,n_2) \frac{1}{p-1} (\frac{1}{2}n_1-1) \Gamma_{p-1}(\frac{1}{2}n_1-1) \Gamma_{p-1}(\frac{1}{2}p-1)/\pi^{(p-1)/2} \]

Now, the integral in (7.1) can be written as:
\[
\int_{\text{Re } Z > 0} \frac{\operatorname{tr} Z}{e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |(1-W)r_p^{-1}|} - \frac{1}{2} n_1 |I-(1-W)|^{-}\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (n_1+n_2) - 1} C_\kappa \left( \frac{1}{2} r_p^{-1} (I-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) dZ.
\]

Applying (12) of Constantine [1], the above integral becomes:
\[
\int_{\text{Re } Z > 0} \frac{|r_p^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} (n_1+n_2)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2), \kappa)} \frac{\operatorname{tr} Z}{e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |(1-W)r_p^{-1}|} - \frac{1}{2} n_1 |I-(1-W)|^{-}\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (n_1+n_2) - 1} C_\kappa \left( \frac{1}{2} r_p^{-1} (I-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) dZ
\]
\[
\int_{S > 0} \exp[-\operatorname{tr}(S(I+(1-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} r_p^{\frac{1}{2}} (I-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}}))] |S|^\frac{1}{2} (n_1+n_2-p-1) C_\kappa(S) dS dZ.
\]

Let \( B = (I-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} S(I-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \) and compute its Jacobian, which is \( J(S;B) = |(I-W)|^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} \).

After making a substitution and necessary rearrangement, the above expression now can be written as,
\[
(7.2) \int_{B > 0} \frac{|r_p^{-1}| - \frac{1}{2} (n_1+n_2)}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2), \kappa)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} (-1)^n \Gamma_{n-\nu}^{\delta} \operatorname{tr} Z e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1 |(1-W)r_p^{-1}|} - \frac{1}{2} n_1 |I-(1-W)|^{-}\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} (n_1+n_2) - 1} C_\kappa \left( \frac{1}{2} r_p^{-1} (I-W)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \right) dB dZ.
\]
where $g_{k,v}^p$ are constants (see Khatri and Pillai [9]) and $\sum \delta_i = k + n$, 
$\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_p)$. The second integral in (7.2) equals

$$
\int_{B>0} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^a}{a!} C_a(I + r^{-1} A) C_a(B) \frac{\text{tr } B}{C_a(I)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |B|^{2(n_1 + n_2 - p - 1)}} \ C_a((I - W)B) \ dB
$$

where now $(I + r^{-1} A)$ is a diagonal matrix. Transform $B \rightarrow H B H'$ where $H \in O(p)$, then the volume element

$$(7.4) \quad dB = \prod_{i > j} (b_i - b_j) \prod_{i=1}^{p} \ dB_i (dH),$$

where $b_i, i = 1, \ldots, p$, are the roots of $B$. Making substitution in (7.3) and integrating over $H$, (7.3) now becomes:

$$
\int_{B>0} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^a}{a!} C_a(I + r^{-1} A) C_a(B) \frac{\text{tr } B}{C_a(I)} e^{-\frac{1}{2} |B|^{2(n_1 + n_2 - p - 1)}} \ C_6(B) \prod_{i > j} (b_i - b_j) \frac{C_6(I - W)}{C_6(I)} \prod_{i=1}^{p} \ dB_i ,
$$

where now $B$ is a diagonal matrix $B = \text{diag}(b_1, \ldots, b_p)$. 
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Now let us transform the diagonal matrix $B$ back to the symmetric matrix $B$ and use (7.4) then integrate out $H$. Then we have

$$
\int_{B>0} \exp[-\text{tr}(I+r^{-1}A)B)] e^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2-p-1)}
$$

$$
\cdot \frac{C_\delta(B)}{C_\delta(I)} \frac{C_\delta(I-W)}{C_\delta(I)} dB
$$

$$
= \frac{C_\delta(I-W)}{C_\delta(I)} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^t} \sum_{\mu} g_{\delta,\tau}^\mu
$$

$$
\int_{B>0} \exp[-\text{tr}(I+r^{-1}A)B)] |B|^\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2-p-1) \quad C_\mu(B) dB,
$$

where $g_{\delta,\tau}^\mu$ are constants and $\Sigma \mu_1 = \Sigma \delta_1 + t$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_p)$.

Applying (12) of Constantino [1] to the above integral, we see that (7.2) becomes:

$$
\frac{|I+r^{-1}A|^{-\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)}}{\Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2),\kappa)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\nu} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{\delta} g_{\delta,\nu} \int_{\Re Z>0} \text{tr } Z e^{-\frac{1}{2}n_1C_\delta(I-W)dz}
$$

$$
\cdot [C_\delta(I)]^{-1} \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^t} \sum_{\mu} g_{\delta,\tau}^\mu \Gamma_p(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2),\mu)C_\mu[(I+r^{-1}A)^{-1}]
$$

Replacing $W$ by $\frac{1}{2}Z^{-1} \frac{1}{2}$ and making use of (17) of Constantine [2] and combining the result with (7.1), we obtain the density function of the largest root $r_p$ of $S_1S_2^{-1}$ as:
\[ C(p, n_1, n_2) = e^{-\operatorname{tr} \Omega - \frac{1}{2} n_1 |I + r_{-p,1}^{-1}|} \]

\[ = \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{n_1} \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 + n_2 \right) 
\times \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 + n_2 \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} n_1 + n_2 \right) \] 

where

\[ C(p, n_1, n_2) = \frac{\Gamma \left( \frac{n_1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \right)}{\Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n_1}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \right) \Gamma \left( \frac{1}{2} + \frac{n_1 + n_2}{2} \right)} \]

Note that in obtaining (7.5) and (7.6), the relations \( \Gamma \left( a, \kappa \right) = \Gamma(a) \Gamma(\kappa) \) and \( C_{\kappa_{-1}} / C_{\kappa_{-1}} = \left( \frac{1}{2} (p - 1) \right) / \left( \frac{1}{2} p \right) \) have been used.

The density in (7.5) will give (16) of Khatri [8] if we let \( \Omega = 0 \) and \( \kappa = \delta = \mu \).

Alternately, starting with (7.2) and directly integrating the last integral there using (12) of Constantine [1], we get

\[ \int_{\operatorname{Re} Z > 0} e^{-\frac{1}{2} n_1} C_{\delta} \left( (I-W) \left( r_{-p,1}^{-1} \right) \right) dZ \]

Diagonalizing \( r_{-p,1}^{-1} \) by an orthogonal transformation \( H \) and integrating over \( O(p) \) and finally applying (17) of Constantine [2], we obtain the density function of the largest root \( r_{-p,1} \) of \( S_1 S_1^{-1} \) as:
\[ (7.8) \quad C(p,n_1,n_2) \cdot \text{tr} \frac{\mathcal{A}}{e^{-|\mathcal{A}|}} \cdot \frac{1}{r_p} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}p_{n_1-1} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}p \right)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k|l} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}p_1+1)_{\kappa}^{(\frac{1}{2}(p-1))_{\kappa}}}{(\frac{1}{2}p_{n_1+1})_{\kappa}^{(\frac{1}{2}(p-1))_{\kappa}}} \cdot \frac{(n+1)_{\kappa}^{(\frac{1}{2}p_{n_2-1})_{\delta} C_\delta (r_{p_{-1}} L_\delta^{(n)})}}{(\frac{1}{2}p_{n_1})_{\delta} C_\delta (1)}, \]

where \( C(p,n_1,n_2) \) is as in (7.6).

For \( \Omega = 0 \) and \( \kappa = \delta \), the density of \( r_p \) is

\[ (7.9) \quad f(r_p) = C(p,n_1,n_2) \cdot \frac{1}{r_p} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}p_{n_1-1} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}p \right)} \cdot \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k|l} \frac{(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+p_2))_{\kappa}^{(\frac{1}{2}(p+1))_{\kappa}^{(\frac{1}{2}(p-1))_{\kappa}}} C_\kappa (r_{p_{-1}}) }{(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+p+1))_{\kappa}^{(\frac{1}{2}p)_{\kappa}}} \]

\[ = C(p,n_1,n_2) \cdot \frac{1}{r_p} \cdot \frac{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}p_{n_1-1} \right)}{\Gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}p \right)} \cdot _3F_2 \left(\frac{1}{2}(n_1+p_2),\frac{1}{2}p_{+1},\frac{1}{2}(p-1); \right. \]

\[ \left[ \frac{1}{2}(n_1+p+1), \frac{1}{2}p; r_{p_{-1}} \right]. \]
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