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ABSTRACT

A technique which enables a designer to perform digital redesign of an existing continuous control system has been expanded by Professor Benjamin C. Kuo of the University of Illinois to enable digital redesign of a forced control system. This report presents a brief description of the newly expanded technique and includes an example of its application.
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1. Introduction

A technique under development by Professor Benjamin C. Kuo of the University of Illinois has been described and applied to several design examples. The technique enables the designer to perform a digital redesign of an existing continuous control system. The technique was originally developed for application to certain linear regulator systems. Recently Professor Kuo expanded the capability of the technique to enable digital redesign of a forced control system which enables the technique to be more appropriately applied to the models. This report describes briefly the extension of the technique introduced and includes an example of its application.

2. Theoretical Basis

Assume a linear continuous control system is described by the state variable equations,

\[ \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bm(t) \] \tag{1}

and

\[ m(t) = \mathcal{Z}(0) \mathbf{r}(t) - G(0) x(t) \] \tag{2}

where \( x(t) \) is a vector representing the state of the system; \( A, B, G(0), \) and \( E(0) \) represent time-invariant matrices; and \( \mathbf{r}(t) \) is a vector representing the input to the system.

The system may be represented by the block diagram shown in Figure 1. The objective of this investigation is to find a sampled-data system such that its response to an arbitrary input will be identical to the response of the continuous control system at the sampling instants.

Suppose it is desired to replace the continuous control system [represented by Figure 1 and Equations (1) and (2)] with a digital version. This version is achieved by adding a sampling device (with sample period \( T \)) followed by a zero order hold (also called a clamp or boxcar device). Such a control system is represented schematically in the block diagram of Figure 2 where \( m(kT) \) represents the output of the zero order hold (shown as the block labeled \( ZOH \)) and \( k \) is an integer.

---

1 Presented by Professor Kuo at a seminar in the Astronotics Laboratory, Marshall Space Flight Center, NASA, Huntsville, Alabama, 20-24 July 1970 (modified in subsequent correspondence between Professor Kuo and Dr. Seltzer).

The goal of this approach is to make the sampled-data system of Figure 2 equivalent to the continuous system of Figure 1 in the sense that \( m(t) \) will be equal to \( m(kT) \), where \( t \) lies between \( kT \) and \((k+1)T\), and the state trajectories of the two systems, represented by the state Equations (1) and (3), are similar (in the sense implied above). Then the discrete data system may be represented analytically by

\[
\dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bm(t) \tag{3}
\]

and

\[
m(kT) = E(T) x(t) - G(T) \dot{x}(kT) \tag{4}
\]

The state vector \( \dot{x}(t) \) is used rather than \( x(t) \) to denote that the formerly continuous system now is sampled and has a different feedback matrix, \( G(T) \), and input matrix, \( E(T) \).

The development presented under Section 2 of Reference 2 remains initially unchanged, i.e., the following relationships still hold for \( G(T) \):

\[
G(T) = G(0) + T \frac{\partial G(T)}{\partial T} \bigg|_{T = 0}
\tag{5}
\]

where

\[
\frac{\partial G(T)}{\partial T} \bigg|_{T = 0} = \frac{1}{2} G(0) [A - B G(0)] \tag{6}
\]

An expression for \( E(T) \) is found in a similar manner, leading to the equation,

\[
E(T) = E(0) + T \frac{\partial E(T)}{\partial T} \bigg|_{T = 0}
\tag{7}
\]

where

\[
\frac{\partial E(T)}{\partial T} \bigg|_{T = 0} = - \frac{1}{2} G(0) E(0) \tag{8}
\]
If \( G(0), E(0), A, \) and \( B \) are specified for the continuous model, \( G(T) \) may be obtained for the sampled-data model by applying Equations (5) through (8).

3. Example

A primitive linearized model of a rocket dynamical continuous control system may be characterized by the state Equations (1) and (2) where

\[
x = [\theta, \delta]^T, \tag{9}\]

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{10}\]

\[
B = [0, c]^T, \tag{11}\]

\[
E(0) = 1, \tag{12}\]

and

\[
\tau(t) = x, \quad t \geq 0 \]
\[
= 0, \quad t < 0. \tag{13}\]

The corresponding block diagram representing this simple time-invariant system is shown in Figure 3. For a particular analytical model of a rocket system being studied by the U.S. Army Missile Command, a value of \( c \) corresponding to passage of the vehicle through maximum dynamic pressure conditions would be 90 [in units consistent with the other terms used in Equation (1)]. To obtain values for control gains \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) that will yield desirable response characteristics, the characteristic equation corresponding to this system may be obtained from the relation,

\[
\begin{vmatrix} sI - \bar{A} \end{vmatrix} = 0, \tag{14}\]

where

\[
\dot{x} = \bar{A} x + B x \tag{15}\]
and

\[
\bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 c & -a_1 c \end{bmatrix}.
\] (16)

Equation (14) leads to the characteristic equation,

\[
s^2 + a_1 c s + a_0 c = 0,
\] (17)

where the natural frequency \( \omega_n \) and damping ratio \( \zeta \) may be written as

\[
\omega_n = \sqrt{a_0 c}
\] (18)

and

\[
\zeta = \frac{1}{2} a_1 \sqrt{\frac{c}{a_0}}.
\] (19)

If a value of 6 radians/second is chosen for \( \omega_n \) and \( 1/\sqrt{2} \) for \( \zeta \),

\[
G(0) = [a_0, a_1] = [0.398, 0.094].
\] (20)

Using these values for \( G(0) \), the expected response to a step input \( \chi \) may be written as

\[
\Theta(t) = L^{-1} \left[ \frac{c}{s(s^2 + a_1 c s + a_0 c)} \right]
\]

\[
= 3.54 e^{-4.25 t} \cos(\omega t + 135 \text{ deg}) + 2.5
\] (21)

and is plotted in Figure 4. Application of the final value theorem leads to the steady-state value of \( \chi/a_0 \) for \( \Theta(t) \).
Applying the technique described in Paragraph 2, the continuous system of Figure 3 may be converted to a sampled-data system as indicated in Figure 5. Equations (3) and (4) may be used to describe the system and Equations (6), (8), (10), (11), (12), and (20) used to obtain the expressions,

\[
\frac{\partial G(T)}{\partial T} \bigg|_{T=0} = \frac{1}{2} G(0) [A - BG(0)] = \frac{c}{2} \left[ \begin{pmatrix} -a_0 & a_1 \\ \frac{a_0}{c} & -a_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \right].
\] (22)

and

\[
-\frac{\partial E(T)}{\partial T} \bigg|_{T=0} = -\frac{1}{2} G(0) BE(0) = -\frac{1}{2} a_1 c.
\] (23)

Using Equations (5) and (22), an expression for the feedback gains may be written:

\[
G(T) = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a}_0, \tilde{a}_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 \left(1 - \frac{a_1 c T}{2}\right), a_1 + \frac{1}{2} c T \left(\frac{a_0}{c} - a_1^2\right) \end{pmatrix}.
\] (24)

Similarly, using Equations (7) and (23), an expression for \( E(T) \) may be obtained:

\[
E(T) = 1 - \frac{1}{2} a_1 c T.
\] (25)

Using Figure 5 and Equations (24) and (25), the system transfer function may be found:

\[
\frac{\varrho(z)}{\chi(z)} = \frac{z \left[ \left(1 - e^{-sT}\right) \left(\frac{c}{s}\right) \right] E(T)}{1 + z \left[ \left(1 - e^{-sT}\right) \left(\frac{c}{s}\right) \left(\tilde{a}_0 + \tilde{a}_1 s\right) \right]}.
\] (26)

\[
\frac{c T^2 E(T)(z + 1)}{2n^2 + \left(\tilde{a}_0 c T^2 + 2\tilde{a}_1 c T - 4\right)z + \left(\tilde{a}_0 c T^2 - 2\tilde{a}_1 c T + 2\right)}.
\]
Application of the final value theorem to Equation (26) leads to the steady-state value of \( \frac{X}{a_0} \) for \( \theta \) when the system input is a step of magnitude \( X \).

If the numerical values of Equation (20) are used for \( a_0 \) and \( a_1 \) and \( c \) is set at 90, \( G(T) \) and \( E(T) \) are found to be:

\[
G(T) = [(0.398 - 1.692 T), (0.094 - 0.2 T)] = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_0 \tilde{a}_1 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{27}
\]

and

\[
E(T) = 1 - 4.2488 T. \tag{28}
\]

To preclude positive feedback, \( T \) must have a numerical value less than \( 0.398/1.692 = 0.24 \).

Using the results of Equations (27) and (28), Equation (26) may be rewritten as

\[
\theta(nT) = z^{-1}(\theta(n)) = z^{-1} \left[ \frac{90^2(1 - 4.2488T)(z + 1)z(z)}{z^2 + [(39.8 - 152.31T)^2 + (16.9 - 36.07T - 2)z + [(39.8 - 152.31T)^2 - (16.9 - 36.07T + 2)]} \right]. \tag{29}
\]

For a step input of magnitude \( X \),

\[
X(z) = \frac{Xz}{(z - 1)}. \tag{30}
\]

Values of \( \theta(nT) \) may be found from Equations (29) and (30) for various selected sampling periods, \( T \) (Figure 4).

4. Conclusions

The technique for performing digital redesign of a missile attitude control system that can be formulated in the form of Equations (1) and (2) is simple and easy to apply. As the chosen value for \( T \)
approaches the limiting value of 0.24, the step input response \( \theta \) becomes more sluggish, becoming unstable when \( T > 0.24 \) (Figure 1).

**Figure 1. Continuous Model**

**Figure 2. Sampled-Data Model**

**Figure 3. Simplified Rocket Continuous Control System**
Figure 4. System Response to Step Input of Magnitude $x$.

Figure 5. Simplified Rocket Sampled-Data Control System.
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