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Matrix-analysis and recursive matrix computing sub-
routines offer hope of relieving the current computer data
deluge. Classical weighted least squares for multi-variable
parameter estimation in the presence of correlated noise are
developed in a geometrical vector space setting. Rank-one
matrices, or dyads, are used extensively especially in obtaining
gradients of traces of variance matrices.
This report develops the classical weighted least-squares theory in a vector-space setting. Computer programs and subroutines which operate on larger packages of data in the form of data-matrices and large arrays of system variables as Euclidean vectors offer great hope of relieving the current data deluge plague.

Our current computer programming procedures are based on arithmetic operations on algebraic field elements such as addition, multiplication, division, and integration of scalars. The state space formulation requires arithmetic units which operate on matrices as elements of an algebraic ring, vector space, etc.

In the classical weighted least squares theory one analytically and computer-wise works with tedious summation after summations of scalar variables. In the modern theory one analytically and computer-wise works with vector space theory, square and rectangular data matrices of full and non-full rank and their inverses and psuedo inverses. Computer economy in data storage and computing time are sought through the applications of clever recursive matrix numerical analysis algorithms.

This report is the second of a series developing the modern state vector recursive estimation theory. The essential areas for understanding the theory are:


5. Recursive Weighted Least Squares State-Vector Estimation Theory (Kalman Theory).

Item (1) and (2) are completed and published in reference (4). Item (3) is the contents of the current report. Items (4) and (5) are near completion.
NOTATION

The notations used in the report is an effort to blend the notation of Friedman for inner-products and dyadic products with the current journal-literature on vector-spaces, pseudo-inverses, state-vectors, etc.

\[ X_{pxk} \] capital letters designate matrices of size \( p \) rows and \( k \) columns.

\[ x(x) : \] when \( p = 1 \), the matrix is called a column vector, and we use Friedman's symbol to distinguish this matrix.

\[ \pi(x) : \] when \( k = 1 \), the matrix is a row vector of dimension \( p \).

\[ (p)x y(p) \] "inner-product" or scalar product of two vectors.

\[ y(p) x : \] "outer-product" or dyadic product of two vectors.

\[ X = \begin{bmatrix} x(x) & \ldots & x(x) \end{bmatrix} \] Matrix \( X \) partitioned into a row \( k \)-tuple of column vectors from \( p \)-space.

\[ X = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \times \k \times \ldots \times \k \times \p \times \x \end{bmatrix} \] Matrix \( X \) partitioned into a \( p \)-column tuple of row vectors from a \( k \)-space.

\[ x \] small \( x \) is a scalar

\[ x^i \] scalar from a column vector

\[ x_j \] scalar from a row vector

Scalar here is a "real field" element.
SECTION 1. PRELIMINARY DISCUSSION

Consider the system of two vector equations

\[ x(k+1) = \phi(k+1, k)x(k) + f(k) + u(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and

\[ z(k) = H(k)x(k) + v(k) \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where:

- \( x(k) \) and \( x(k+1) \) are p-dimensional column vectors describing the states at stage \( k \) and stage \( k+1 \).
- \( \phi(k+1, k) \) is a pxp state transition matrix.
- \( f(k) \) is a p-dimensional deterministic forcing vector for which we can write a vector function.
- \( u(k) \) is a p-dimensional uncertainty or noise vector, it is the composite of the random noises and the variables we fail to model.
- \( z(k) \) is the m-dimensional observation vector, \( m \) is less than or equal to \( p \).
- \( H(k) \) is the known matrix describing how the state vector is functionally related to the observation vector (if the instruments were noise free).
- \( v(k) \) is an m-dimensional additive instrument noise vector.

The special case of

\[ f(k) = u(k) = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and

\[ \phi(k+1, k) = I \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)
and

\[ H(k) = H_0 \] is a constant matrix yields

\[ x(2) = I \times x(1) \]
\[ x(3) = I \times x(2) = x(1) \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ x(k) = x(1) \] for all \( k \).

\[ z(k)(\text{mp}) = H_0 x(1)(\text{mp}) + v(k)(\text{mp}) \] (7)

Define the vector

\[ a(0) = H_0 x(1)(\text{mp}) \] (8)

and

\[ z(k) = a(0) + v(k). \] (9)

The block diagram of equation (9) is

\[ \text{constant} \]
\[ \text{unknown} \]
\[ \text{vector} \]
\[ \downarrow \]
\[ \text{measurement} \]
\[ \text{vector} \]
\[ \text{summing} \]
\[ \text{vector} \]
\[ \text{junction} \]
\[ \text{noise vector} \]

Fig. 1 Block of Vector Summing Junction
The block diagram of Equation (7) is

\[
\begin{array}{c}
x(1) \\
\text{constant input vector}
\end{array} \xrightarrow{H_0} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Gain Matrix}
\end{array} \xrightarrow{H_0x(1)} \begin{array}{c}
\text{Unknown}
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\Sigma} \begin{array}{c}
z(k)\text{(m)}
\end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{measurement}}
\]

**Fig. 2** Block Diagram of Eq. 7 as Device with Matrix Gain plus Additive Noise

The graph of equation (9) is a random dispersion about a constant vector in m-space as shown in Figure 3.

**Fig. 3** Graph of Eq. 9 k-Noisy Vectors in M-Space About a Point

The graph of equation (7) is shown as a transformation on a constant vector \(x(1)\) in p-space to a sub-space of dimension m plus an additive m-dimensional noise vector in Figure 3.
Noise Free Conditions

The noise-free condition for the multivariable case is discussed merely to motivate some algebraic concepts to compare with the almost trivial scalar case.

When the noise is zero in Fig. 3 and Eq. 9 we have

$$z(k) = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

hence one measurement of $z(k)$ is adequate to find $x$.

Since $a$ by Eq. 8 has factors

$$a = H_0 x(l)^p$$ \hspace{1cm} (11)

two interpretations of interest can occur.

Interpretation I

Input Measurement.

The $m$-dimensional vector equation (11), when $a$ is a known $m$ vector and $H_0$ is a known $m \times p$ "gain" matrix, presents the problem to solve for the $p$-dimensional input vector $x(l)^p$ where $p > m$. 
When \(p = 1\), that is the scalar case

\[ a_0 = h_0 x(1) \]  

hence

\[ h_0^{-1} a_0 = x(1). \]  

The scalar \(h_0\) has an inverse, however the \(mxp\) matrix \(H_0\) does not have a conventional inverse except when \(p = m\) and \(H\) is full rank; when \(p\) is greater than \(m\) the pseudo-inverse is a valuable tool to obtain part of the solution.

**Interpretation II.**

**Instrument Gain Calibration.**

The second case of interest for the noise free case is when \(a\) is known and we know the inputs, then the problem is to solve for the gain matrix. We have

\[ a\{p\} = H x\{1\} \]  

In equation (14) we have \(1\) vector equation (or \(m\) scalar equations) with \(mxp\) unknowns. If we use \(p\) different known inputs then

\[ z_1 = H x\{1\} \]  
\[ z_2 = H x\{2\} \]  
\[ \vdots \]  
\[ z_p = H x\{p\} \]  

or packaging the data as an \(mxp\) matrix

\[ [z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_p] = Z \]  

and

\[ Z = [H x\{1\}, H x\{2\}, \ldots, H x\{p\}] \]
Factoring out the $H$

$$Z = H \begin{bmatrix} x(1)_{1} & \ldots & x(1)_{p} \end{bmatrix} = H X \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{p} \end{bmatrix}$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

If the known input vectors are linearly independent, that is the inverse matrix $X^{-1}$ exists, then we can solve for $H$ as

$$Z X^{-1} = H \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{x}_{1} & \cdots & \mathbf{x}_{p} \end{bmatrix} \hspace{1cm} (19)$$

**Noise Conditions**

The report covers the following cases in the respective order.

**Case I.** Scalar Case (scalar mean).

The noisy scalar case ($m = p = 1$) yields

$$z_k = a_0 + v_k = \hat{a} + e_k \hspace{1cm} (20)$$

$$k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_{\text{max}}$$

where $a_0$ is the "true parameter" and $\hat{a}$ is our estimate of the parameter $a_0$ based on $k_{\text{max}}$ observations. An unweighted and a weighted estimate will be derived. The error $e_k$ is the observation minus our estimate $\hat{a}$ (the residuals).

**Case II.** Vector Mean Case.

The multivariable or vector case corresponds to

$$z_{k} = a_0 \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix} + v_{k} = \hat{a} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix} + e_{k} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a} \end{bmatrix} \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

Instead of one parameter in equation (20), we want to estimate $m$ parameters in equation (21).
Case III. Scalar Polynomials.

The approximation of a function with a polynomial using unweighted and weighted least squares considers

\[ z_k = a_0 + a_1 x_k + a_2 x_k^2 + \ldots + a_{p-1} x_k^{p-1} + \nu_k \]  

or in a vector-space setting

\[ z_k = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_k \\ x_k^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} + \nu_k \]  

Define the p-dimensional parameter row vectors as

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{a}_0 \\ \hat{a}_1 \\ \hat{a}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{a}_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1}) \]  

and

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \hat{b}_0 \\ \hat{b}_1 \\ \hat{b}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \hat{b}_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = (b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{p-1}) \]  

and the p-dimensional column vector of data as

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_k \\ x_k^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \]
Using the above relations
\[ z_k = \alpha_k + v_k + e_k \] (29)

If we now have an experiment with \( k \) observations (or a sample of size \( k \)) then the \( 2k \) scalar equations
\[ z_1 = \alpha_1 + v_1 + e_1 \] (30)
\[ \vdots \]
\[ z_k = \alpha_k + v_k + e_k \]
can be written as two vector equations in \( k \)-space as
\[ (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k) = (\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_k \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_k \end{bmatrix}) + (\begin{bmatrix} e_1 \\ e_2 \\ \vdots \\ e_k \end{bmatrix}) \] (31)

Factoring out the vectors \( \alpha \) and \( v \)
\[ \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \alpha_2 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_k \end{bmatrix} \] and \( v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_k \end{bmatrix} \) (33)

Define the \( pxk \) data matrix as
\[ F = \begin{bmatrix} f_1 \\ \vdots \\ f_k \end{bmatrix} \] (35)
\[ = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & x_k \\ x_2 & x_1 & x_k^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ x_{p-1} & x_{p-1} & x_k^{p-1} \\ 1 & 2 & k \end{bmatrix} \] (36)
In vector matrix form, equation (33) and (34) become
\[
\langle k \rangle z = \langle P \rangle B F + \langle k \rangle v = \langle B \rangle F + \langle k \rangle e
\] (37)

If we transpose to a column vector
\[
z(k) = F^T x(k) + v(k) = F^T x(k) + e(k)
\] (38)

Note that the vector equation (38) looks like equation (7), except that \( m \) is replaced by \( k \), the sample-size which can become quite large, whereas \( m \) is equal to and generally less than \( p \) (since we can not instrument all variables of interest). We may also consider the matrix \( H \) as a mapping down to a sub-space whereas \( F \) is a mapping up or down depending on the size of \( k \).

**Case IV. Vector Polynomials**

Approximating components of a vector with time polynomials, for example missile position vector, velocity vector etc., yields for \( n \) variables
\[
z_1(k) = \beta_{11} + \beta_{21} x_k + \beta_{31} x_k^2 + \ldots + v_{1k}
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
z_n(k) = \beta_{1n} + \beta_{2n} x_k + \beta_{3n} x_k^2 + \ldots + v_{nk}
\] (39)

or as inner products
\[
z_1(k) = \langle z_1 \rangle + v_{1k} = \langle x_k \rangle + e_{1k}
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
\[
z_n(k) = \langle z_n \rangle + v_{n(k)} = \langle x_k \rangle + e_{nk}
\] (40)
The $k$th observation of the $n$-dimensional vector is

$$z(k) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{array} \right)$$

$$= \left( \begin{array}{c} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{array} \right) + \left( \begin{array}{c} f_k \\ \vdots \\ f_k \end{array} \right)$$

or

$$z(k) = B f(p) + V_k$$

Forming a row of column vectors for $k$ observations we obtain

$$\begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \\ \vdots \\ z_k \end{bmatrix} = Z$$

or

$$Z = B F + V = BF + E$$

The next section develops the concepts of variance matrices around Case I, (the most simple case we can discuss) and applies the variance to weighted least squares.

The two age-old techniques of unweighted and weighted least squares are developed in a vector space setting.
SECTION II. ESTIMATION OF A CONSTANT SCALAR PLUS NOISE

Case I. Scalar Case

Consider the simple case of equation (20) where

\[ z_k = a_0 + \psi_k \]  

where \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_{\text{max}} \) is the number of observations.

Suppose we want to estimate \( a_0 \) based on a sequence of size \( k \) outputs, and designate our estimate of the parameter based on \( k \) values of \( z \) as \( \hat{a}(k) \) or

\[ z_k = a_0 + \psi_k = \hat{a}(k) + \hat{e}_k, \]  

The \( 2k \) equations in one space

\[ z_1 = a_0 + \psi_1 = \hat{a}(k) + \hat{e}_1 \]
\[ z_2 = a_0 + \psi_2 = \hat{a}(k) + \hat{e}_2 \]
\[ \vdots \]
\[ z_k = a_0 + \psi_k = \hat{a}(k) + \hat{e}(k) \]

can be written as two row-vector equations in \( k \)-space as

\[ (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k) = (a_0, a_0 \ldots a_0) + (\psi_1, \psi_2, \ldots, \psi_k) = (\hat{a}, \hat{a} \ldots \hat{a}) + (\hat{e}_1, \ldots, \hat{e}_k) \]  

we can factor \( a_0 \) and \( \hat{a} \) out of the row vector and obtain

\[ (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k) = a_0(1, 1, \ldots, 1) + (\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_k) = \hat{a}(1, 1, \ldots, 1) + (\hat{e}_1, \ldots, \hat{e}_k) \]
Define the sum-vector as
\[ \mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{l} = (1, 1, 1 \ldots 1) \] (6)

hence
\[ \mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{k} = a_0 \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{\hat{c}} = \mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{l} + \mathbf{\hat{c}} \] (7)

Note that equation (7) is two vector equations in k-space.

**Unweighted Least Squares**

We obtain the unweighted least squares estimate simply by averaging all of the data, or all of the equations of (3), or
\[ z_1 + z_2 + \ldots + z_k = k \mathbf{\hat{a}}(k) + \mathbf{\hat{e}}_1 + \mathbf{\hat{e}}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{\hat{e}}_k \] (8)

and equating the sums of the \( \mathbf{\hat{e}}_k \)'s to zero, that is
\[ \mathbf{\hat{e}}_1 + \mathbf{\hat{e}}_2 + \ldots + \mathbf{\hat{e}}_k = 0 \] (9)

The summation of the \( k \) scalar equations and averaging is equivalent to multiplying vector equation (7) by the column vector \( \mathbf{l} \mathbf{k} \), where
\[ \mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{k} = (1, 1, \ldots 1) \]
\[ \mathbf{l} \mathbf{k} \]

hence
\[ \mathbf{\hat{a}} \mathbf{l} \mathbf{k} = \mathbf{\hat{a}}(k) + \mathbf{\hat{c}} \] (11)
Clearly the relation of equation (9) is equivalent to orthogonality since
\[ \langle \hat{e} \rangle = \hat{e}_1 + \ldots + \hat{e}_k = 0 \] (12)
as shown in Figure (1).

![Figure (1) Vector in k-Space](image)

The hat symbol on the \( \hat{a} \) corresponds to the value of a (any real number) which makes the residual vector \( \langle \hat{e} \rangle \) perpendicular to the sum vector \( \langle \hat{l} \rangle \). This minimum magnitude vector is designated by \( \hat{e} \) and satisfies equation (12). See reference (4) in which the least squares relations are derived via gradient methods using partial derivatives and via completely algebraic methods using orthogonal projections.

Observe that the noise sums are not zero
\[ v_1 + v_2 + \ldots + v_k = \langle \hat{v} \rangle \neq 0 \] (13)
in general since we cannot control the true noise values.

Note that in scalar summation form equations (11) and (12) are
\[ \hat{a}(k) = \frac{1}{k_{\text{max}}} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} z_k = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} v_k \frac{1}{k_{\text{max}}} \] (14)
and
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} e_k = 0 \] (15)
Thus far we have made only one statement (equation (13)) about the statistical characteristics of the noises \( y_k \).

The error in the estimate of the parameter is by equation (11)

\[
a_0 - \hat{a}(k) = -\frac{\langle y \rangle}{k} \hat{a}(k)
\]  

(16)

and the square of the error in the parameter estimation is

\[
\hat{a}^2(k) = \frac{\langle y \rangle^2}{k^2} \langle k \rangle^2
\]

(17)

where the \( k \times k \) square matrix (dyad) is

\[
v(k,v,k)v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1^2 & v_1v_2 & \cdots & v_1v_k \\ v_2v_1 & v_2^2 & \cdots & v_2v_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_kv_1 & v_kv_2 & \cdots & v_k^2 \end{bmatrix}
\]

(18)

In summation form equation (16) is

\[
\hat{a}(k) = -\frac{1}{k} \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{k_{\text{max}}}
\]

(19)

and the square of the error equation (17) is

\[
\hat{a}^2(k) = \left( \sum_{k=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{k} \right)^2 \frac{1}{k_{\text{max}}}
\]

(20)

The above two equations and the scalar summation equations of (14) and (15) require only a knowledge of real variables or real field algebra and summation index "rules". The representations of equation (11) and (17) require a knowledge of vector inner-products and "outer" or dyad products, where the dyad of equation (18) is a rank-one \( k \times k \) matrix.

From the above we note some of the simple but basic differences between the state-space approach versus the older "say-it-with-summation-sigma-signs."
Summarizing the unweighted estimate by equation (11) is
\[ \hat{a}(k) = \langle k | z \hat{1} | k \rangle \frac{1}{k} \] (21)

and the square of the error in the estimate of the parameter is by equation (17)
\[ \hat{a}^2(k) = \langle 1 | v(k) | v \rangle \hat{1} | k \rangle \frac{1}{k^2} \] (22)

Note that we can consider the arithmetic mean (unweighted) case as an equi-weight case where each data-point is weighted by \( 1/k \) or as a sequence or vector of weights \( \frac{1}{k} \) (23)

We may now ask the question: Can we obtain an estimate of \( \sigma_0 \) which is "better" than equation (21) and which has a smaller numerical value of error-square of equation (22)?

The next section will derive a sequence of weights such that a weighted estimate of the parameter is a linear combination of the weights and the data, that is
\[ \hat{a}_w = z_1 \hat{w}_1 + z_2 \hat{w}_2 + \ldots + z_k \hat{w}_k \] (24)

In a vector-space setting, we seek to find a column vector of weights \( \hat{v} \) such that
\[ \hat{a}_w = \langle \hat{k} | z \hat{v} \rangle , \] (25)

and that on the average, equation (24) is "better in some sense" than equation (21).
WEIGHTED LEAST SQUARES

The application of weighted least-squares and the derivation of the equations are developed in this section for the scalar case. The application to the observational data in the context of this report is equivalent to a statistical calibration of the instrument (that is a calibration with respect to its noise characteristics).

Noise Considerations and Noise Variance Matrix.

Before we utilize the instrument for experiments or tests we can calibrate the noise by setting \( x(1) \) (the input) equal to zero, hence the only output is \( y \). Many experiments exist in which we cannot control the input, for example set the input equal to zero, in order to calibrate the instrument. An example is a missile flight test for which we want to calibrate a tracking radar with respect to its noise for that region of tracking space. In this case one needs a higher quality trajectory measuring device (optical perhaps)-or else a minimum of three redundant sensors such that differencing makes the calibration results independent of the trajectory (see reference (5)). The remainder of the discussions in this report assumes we can control the inputs to zero.

Many instrumentation systems observing dynamical processes have an upper bound on the observation time, which in conjunction with samples per second sets a maximum sample size, say \( k_{\text{max}} \). If we now have time in advance to prepare for the test, to study the outputs for samples up to \( k_{\text{max}} \), say

\[
(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k_{\text{max}}}) = \begin{bmatrix} v \end{bmatrix}_{k_{\text{max}}}
\]

and repeat the sequence (reset the instrument) \( j_{\text{max}} \) vectors each of dimension \( k_{\text{max}} \). That is

\[
\begin{bmatrix} v \end{bmatrix}_{j} = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k_{\text{max}}})
\]

\( j = 1, \ldots, j_{\text{max}} \) where \( j_{\text{max}} \) may be whatever economical number we can afford. We certainly cannot calibrate to infinity.

The \( k \)-discrete points may be taken as points off of a continuous curve \( v_j(t) \) as shown in Figure (2)
For example, suppose we are planning to use the instrument in a number of tests or experiments such that this particular device is to measure a constant during each test. The duration of each test is such that this particular instrument takes $k_{\text{max}}$ samples. The $k_{\text{max}}$ is usually dictated by economy of data processing, time-sharing of a complete system of sensor outputs via telemetry, etc.
We can record the \( J_{\max} \) sequences (row vectors) each of dimension \( k_{\max} \) or sequentially feed the data output into a digital computer data-processing program.

What should we compute in the program? Let us return briefly to the unweighted case where the unweighted estimate by equation \((11)\) is

\[
\hat{a}(k) = \langle \langle x \rangle \rangle z \langle 1(k) \rangle \\
\langle 1 \rangle k
\]

and the error square term is

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}^2(k) = \frac{1}{k^2} \left[ \langle \langle v(k) \rangle \rangle^2 \right]
\]

In an actual test with an input different from zero we do not know the values of \((v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k)\), hence we cannot compute \( \hat{\varepsilon}^2(k) \). For example, suppose some arbitrary noise sequence \( \langle j \rangle \) occurs during the test, then the parameter estimation error based on a sample of size \( k \) occurring as a result of the \( j \)th noise sequence is

\[
\hat{\varepsilon}^2_j(k) = \frac{1}{k^2} \langle \langle v \rangle \rangle^2
\]

The average error over all \( J_{\max} \) noise sequences is

\[
\sigma_{\hat{a}}(k) = \frac{1}{J_{\max}} \left[ \hat{\varepsilon}^2(k) + \hat{\varepsilon}^2_1(k) + \ldots + \hat{\varepsilon}^2_{J_{\max}}(k) \right]
\]

or in summation form

\[
\sigma_{\hat{a}}(k) = \frac{1}{J_{\max}} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\max}} \hat{\varepsilon}^2_j(k) \left( \frac{1}{k^2} \right)
\]

The scalar \( \sigma_{\hat{a}}(k) \) is called the variance of the estimate of the parameter, or the average error in the estimate of the parameter over all experiments \( j \).

If we use the dyad expression of equation \((17)\) in equation \((31)\) we obtain

\[
\sigma_{\hat{a}}(k) = \frac{1}{J_{\max}} \left[ \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \hat{\varepsilon}^2_1(k) + \langle \langle 2 \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \hat{\varepsilon}^2_2(k) + \ldots + \langle \langle J_{\max} \rangle \rangle \langle \langle 1 \rangle \rangle \hat{\varepsilon}^2_{J_{\max}}(k) \right] \left( \frac{1}{k^2} \right)
\]
Factoring out the summation vector from each end

\[ a_{aa}(k) = \frac{1}{k^2} \left\langle \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} j} \right] \right\rangle \quad (3') \]

or in summation form

\[ a_{aa} = \frac{1}{k^2} \left\langle \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \frac{1}{j} \right] \right\rangle \quad (35) \]

The \( k \times k \) matrix is the arithmetic mean of the \( J_{max} \) dyads and will be designated as

\[ \overline{j_{yy}} = \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} j \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \frac{1}{j} \right) \quad (36) \]

We shall also occasionally use the notation

\[ \overline{j_{yy}} = q(k) \quad (37) \]

as occurs in many of the modern estimation publications.

We shall also use the notation or symbol for the "expectation operator"

\[ E_j \left\{ \overline{j_{yy}} \right\} = \lim_{J_{max} \to \infty} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \frac{1}{j} \right] \quad (38) \]

However, from the practical world standpoint we assume

\[ \lim_{J_{max} \to \infty} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \frac{1}{j} = \frac{1}{J_{max}} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{J_{max}} \frac{1}{j} \right] \quad (39) \]

where the error matrix \( E_r \) is almost zero and \( J_{max} \) is dictated by a large enough finite-population to be statistically representative of the infinite population and economically available.
Hence, throughout the paper we assume

\[ E_j \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \right\} = \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \]  

or the expectation-operator, as applied, is merely the average of the dyad sums.

During an actual experiment \( \langle \rangle \) comes from an infinite universe or population; but from the real-world calibration standpoint we must make computations based on a countable finite and economical population.

Note that \( R \) is not the variance with respect to the noise mean; however we shall henceforth refer to it as the instrument or merely noise variance matrix.

It is the variance with respect to a different "origin" not the mean as origin.

The variance of the noise with respect to its mean is

\[ E_j \left\{ \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \right) \right\} \]  

\[ = \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\text{max}}} \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \right) \]  

where the mean is

\[ \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \]  

and can be computed to give us more information about the noise characteristics.

The expression of equation (41) is the most familiar expression for a variance matrix.

A recursive method for digitally computing the matrix \( Q \) of equation (40) for any number of vectors \( \langle \rangle \) is given in appendix.
The expected error in the estimate (one-dimensional ellipsoid of uncertainty) of the parameter by equation (22) and equation (36) for unweighted estimation is

$$a_{jk}(k) = \frac{1}{k} \langle k \rangle_1 \langle j \rangle_{vv} \langle j \rangle_1$$

Derivation of the Weights.

Consider the data-vector $\xi_j$ of equation (5) which occurs as the outcome of an experiment "confused" by an arbitrary noise sequence $\xi_j v$, then

$$\xi_j = a_0 + \xi_j = \hat{a}_j(k) + \xi_j$$

Note that the parameter $a_0$ does not change with $j$ (that is the exciting noise sequence $\xi_j$) but all variables subscripted with $j$ do.

We may also take the state of mind that equation (44) is the result of repeating the experiment $j$ time and $\xi_j$ is the data sequence occurring as a result of $a_0$ and $\xi_j$.

We now seek a sequence of $k$ scalar weights designated as a column vector (independent of $j$)

$$w_k = \begin{pmatrix} w_1 \\ w_2 \\ \vdots \\ w_k \end{pmatrix}$$

such that the inner-product of $w_k$ with equation (44) is

$$\langle w_k \rangle = 0$$

where the conditions hold

$$\langle \xi_j \rangle = 1$$

$$\langle \xi_j \rangle = 0$$

$$\langle \xi_j v \rangle = 0$$
Note that we want a single vector \( \mathbf{v} \) to be used for all possible noise sequences \( \mathbf{v} \).

Using the constraints of equation (47), (48) in equation (46)

\[
\langle \mathbf{z}_j \rangle = a_0 + \langle \mathbf{v}_j \rangle = \hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k)
\]

or the weighted estimate of the single parameter based on \( k \) samples is a linear combination of the data

\[
\hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k) = \langle \mathbf{z}_j \rangle = z_{j_1}w_1 + \ldots + z_{j_k}w_k.
\]

The error in the estimate of the parameter is

\[
a_o - \hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k) = \hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k) - \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle.
\]

Since the inner-product of two vectors is a scalar and commutativity holds

\[
\hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k) = -\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle.
\]

The square of the error in the weighted estimate of the parameter by equation (51) and equation (52) is

\[
(\hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k))^2 = \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle (\mathbf{v})
\]

or

\[
(\hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k))^2 = \langle \mathbf{v} \rangle (\mathbf{v})
\]

The average value of the error-squared for all possible noise sequences \( j \) is

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{\text{max}} \frac{[\hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}(k)]^2}{\text{max}} = \sigma_{\hat{a}_{j\mathbf{v}}}(k)
\]

which is the weighted variance of the estimate of the parameter.
As before the "expected" error square is

\[ E_j(\hat{\sigma}_V^2(k)) = \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\text{max}}} \hat{\sigma}_V^2(k) \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} . \]  

(56)

If we now use the dyadic-expression of equation 62 in equation (55) we obtain

\[ \sigma_{\hat{\theta}_V} = \left\langle \left[ \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \left( 1 + \ldots + \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \right) \right]^{1/2} \right\rangle \]  

(57)

or by equation (36)

\[ \sigma_{\hat{\theta}_V} = \left\langle \frac{\hat{\theta}_V}{\hat{\theta}_V} \right\rangle \]  

(58)

Equation (59) is quadratic in the unknown vector \( \hat{\theta} \). We now seek a vector \( \hat{\theta} \) which minimizes the variance of the estimate of the parameter over all experiments (or noise sequences \( j \)) and also satisfies the constraint of equation (47).

The solution by appendix C, equation (15) is

\[ \hat{\theta} = \left\langle \frac{1}{n_{\nu}} \right\rangle \]  

(59)

Utilizing \( \hat{\theta} \) in equation (58)

\[ \sigma_{\hat{\theta}_V} = \frac{1}{\left\langle \left[ \frac{1}{n_{\nu}} \right]^{-1} \right\rangle} \]  

(60)

and the weighted estimate by equation (59) in equation (49) is

\[ \hat{\theta}_V(j_{\text{max}}) = \left\langle \frac{k z}{\hat{\theta}_V(j_{\text{max}})} \right\rangle \]  

\[ \left\langle \frac{1}{k_{\text{max}}} \right\rangle \]  

(61)
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SECTION III
ESTIMATION OF A CONSTANT VECTOR PLUS NOISE

This section develops the unweighted and weighted estimation equations for a constant vector plus noise. Utilizing the concepts and notation for the scalar case except now we assume that there are m measurement variables \( z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_m \), and an experiment or test for which we take \( k_{\max} \) observations. During the test there will be some noise vector sequence \( V(j) \)

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  v(1) & v(2) & \ldots & v(k) & v(k_{\max})
\end{bmatrix}_j = V(j) \quad (1)
\]

out of a possible \( j_{\max} \) sequences

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  V(1) & \ldots & V(j) & \ldots & V(j_{\max})
\end{bmatrix} = \langle j_{\max} \rangle V \quad (2)
\]

where \( j_{\max} \) is infinite, and \( \langle j_{\max} \rangle V \) designates a "row vector or matrix" of \( mxk \) matrices.

We designate the \( k \)th observation and its relation to the noise as

\[
z(k)^{\top} = a^{\top} + v(k)^{\top} \quad (3)
\]

where the unknown constant vector is \( \mathbf{a} \). One may interpret the constant vector of equation (3) and equation (1-3) hence

\[
a^{\top} = H_0 x(1)^{\top} \quad (4)
\]

If we form a data-matrix by a row of column vectors

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
  z_1^{\top} & z_2^{\top} & \ldots & z_k^{\top}
\end{bmatrix} = Z = \begin{bmatrix} \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_k \end{bmatrix} \quad (5)
\]

\[
+ \begin{bmatrix}
  \varphi_1 & \ldots & \varphi_k
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and factor out the $a_j$

$$Z = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \mathbf{x}_j + V, \quad (6)$$

Consider an arbitrary $m$ dimensional vector and the error or residual vector $\mathbf{e}_j$ such

$$z(k) - a_j = a_j + e_j = a_j + \mathbf{v}(k), \quad (7)$$

The data-matrix equations for all $k$ observations become

$$Z(j) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j \mathbf{x}_j + V(j) = a_j \mathbf{x}_j + E(j), \quad (8)$$

If we subtract the terms

$$[a_j - a_j] \mathbf{e}_j = E(j) - V(j). \quad (9)$$

**Unweighted Least Squares Estimate**

The arithmetic average of the vectors using none of the noise characteristics yields

$$\frac{z(j) + \ldots + \mathbf{z}^{(m)}}{1_j + \ldots + \mathbf{z}^{(m)}_{j_{\text{max}}}} = \hat{a}_j \quad (10)$$

which is the unweighted least-squares estimate.
In vector-matrix form we obtain equation (10) by multiplying equation (9) by the column vector

\[
\begin{align*}
\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\
 z_j \\
 j 
\end{bmatrix}
= & \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\
 k \\
 1 
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} V_j \\
 k 
\end{bmatrix}
\end{align*}
\]

with the constraint of

\[
E_j = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
 1 \\
 k 
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
 1 \\
 k 
\end{bmatrix} + \ldots + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
 1 \\
 k 
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The error in the unweighted estimate resulting from the jth noise sequence by equation (11) is

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \Delta \theta_j \\
 \Delta \phi_j 
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\
 \theta_j 
\end{bmatrix} = -V_j \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k} \\
 \max
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Transposing (13)

\[
\begin{bmatrix} a_j \\
 \frac{1}{k} \max
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a_j \\
 \frac{1}{k} \max
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The dyadic product of (14) and (13) is the mxm matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix} a_m \cdot a_j \\
 V_j \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k} \\
 \max
\end{bmatrix}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The variance matrix of the unweighted estimate of the parameters is the average over all noise sequences j and is the symmetric matrix

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{k} \max \\
 \frac{1}{k} \max
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The trace of the dyad of equation (15) is the inner-product term

\[
\text{tr} \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \theta_j \\
 \Delta \phi_j 
\end{bmatrix} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta \theta_j \\
 \Delta \phi_j 
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_j^T V_j \frac{1}{k} \max
\end{bmatrix}
\]
and the trace of equation (16) is

\[
\text{tr} \frac{E_{aa}}{E_{a}} = E_j \left[ \langle A_j \rangle \right]
\]

\[
= \frac{1}{k_2 \text{max}} \left[ V_1^T V + V_2^T V + \ldots + V_{j \text{max}}^T V_{j \text{max}} \right] \quad (18)
\]

\[
\text{tr} \frac{E_{aa}}{E_{a}} = \left( Q_{\text{max}} \right) \frac{1}{k_2 \text{max}} \quad (19)
\]

where \( Q \) is the average of the matrix products

\[
Q_{\text{max}} = \frac{1}{k_2 \text{max}} \sum_{j=1}^{k_{\text{max}}} V(j)^T V(j)\frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \quad (20)
\]

Weighted Least Squares Estimate

We now seek an estimate with a smaller ellipsoid of uncertainty. Consider equation (6)

\[
Z_j = m_x \langle \alpha_j \rangle + V_j = \langle \alpha_j \rangle + E_j \quad (21)
\]

We need a \( k_{\text{max}} \) dimensional column vector \( \alpha_j \) such that

\[
Z_j \alpha_j = m_x \langle \alpha_j \rangle + V_j \alpha_j = \langle \alpha_j \rangle + E_j \alpha_j \quad (22)
\]

satisfying the conditions

\[
\langle \alpha_j \rangle = 1 \quad (23)
\]

\[
E_j \alpha_j = 0(m) \quad (24)
\]
then
\[ Z_{jw} = \hat{a}_{jw} \]  \hspace{1cm} (25)

Using the constraint equations (23) and (24) equation (22) becomes
\[ Z_{jw} = \hat{a} + V_{jw} = \hat{a} + a_{jw} \]  \hspace{1cm} (26)

Note that the weighted estimate is a linear combination of the observation vector
\[ Z_{jw} = v_1 + v_2 + \ldots + v_{k_{\text{max}}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (27)

The error in the estimate by equation (26) is
\[ \hat{a} - a_{jw} = -V_{jw} \]  \hspace{1cm} (28)

and transposing equation (28)
\[ \hat{a}_{jw} = v_{jw}^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (29)

The matrix random matrix dyadic product is
\[ \hat{a}_{jw}^T v_{jw} = v_{jw}^T \hat{a}_{jw} \]  \hspace{1cm} (30)

The weighted variance of the estimate is the symmetric matrix
\[ E_j \left( \hat{a}_{jw}^T \hat{a}_{jw} \right) = \Sigma a_{jw} a_{jw} \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)
The trace of (3C) is
\[ \mathbf{tr}(\mathbf{W}) = \langle \mathbf{W}_j \mathbf{W}_j \rangle \]  
\hfill (32)

and the trace of equation (31) is
\[ \mathbf{tr}(\mathbf{\hat{a}_a}) = \mathbf{E}_j \left( \langle \mathbf{\hat{a}_a} \mathbf{\hat{a}_a} \rangle \right) = \mathbf{E}_j \left[ \langle \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{v}_j \rangle \right] \]
\[ = \langle \mathbf{v} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\text{max}}} \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{v}_j \mathbf{1}_{j_{\text{max}}} \right] \rangle \] 
\[ \mathbf{tr}(\mathbf{\hat{a}_a}) = \langle \mathbf{Q}_{vv} \rangle \] \hfill (33)

where \( \mathbf{Q}_{vv} \) is given by equation (2Q)

The trace of the ellipsoid of equation (34) and the hyperplane constraint of equation (23) are exactly the same as the minimization problem of equation (II-58) and by equation (29), the weight vector is
\[ \mathbf{w}(k) = \frac{\mathbf{1}_{vv}^{-1} \mathbf{1}(k)}{\langle \mathbf{1}_{vv}^{-1} \rangle} \] \hfill (36)

and the weighted estimate of the parameter vector is
\[ \mathbf{a}_w = \mathbf{2}_w \quad \mathbf{w}(k) \] \hfill (37)

with an ellipsoid of uncertainty by equation (36) in (30)
\[ \mathbf{E} \left[ \mathbf{J}_j \mathbf{J}_j^T \right] = \mathbf{E}_j \left[ \mathbf{v}_j^T \mathbf{1}_{vv}^{-1} \mathbf{1}_{vv}^{-1} \mathbf{v}_j \right] \]
\[ \langle \mathbf{f}_{vv} \rangle^2 \]
SECTION IV.
POLYNOMIAL PARAMETER ESTIMATION

The classical approximation of a function by a pth degree polynomial and the weighted and unweighted least squares estimates of the parameter is developed.

Consider the polynomial

\[ z_k = a_0 + a_1 x_k + a_2 x_k^2 + \cdots + a_{p-1} x_k^{p-1} + v_k \]  

(1)

\[ = a_0 + a_1 x_k + a_2 x_k^2 + \cdots + a_{p-1} x_k^{p-1} + e_k \]  

(2)

Separating the parameters

\[ z_k = (a_0, a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{p-1}) \begin{pmatrix} x_k^0 \\ x_k^1 \\ \vdots \\ x_k^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} + v_k \]  

(3)

\[ = (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{p-1}) \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_k \\ x_k^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k^{p-1} \end{pmatrix} + e_k \]  

(4)

or

\[ z_k = \alpha_k^T + v_k = \alpha_k^T + e_k \]  

(5)

where

\[ f(p) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ x_k \\ x_k^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_k^{p-1} \end{pmatrix}_k \]  

(6)
If we have \( k_{\text{max}} \) observations packaged as a row vector we have

\[
(z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_{k_{\text{max}}}) = \ell(z_{1:k_{\text{max}}}) = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z_1) \\ \ell(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z_{k_{\text{max}}}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{7}
\]

\[
+ \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{8}
\]

\[
= \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z_1) \\ \ell(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z_{k_{\text{max}}}) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{9}
\]

Factoring out the row vector of parameters

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{10}
\]

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{11}
\]

\[
\ell(z)_{\text{max}} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z_1) \\ \ell(z_2) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z_{k_{\text{max}}}) \end{bmatrix} \tag{12}
\]

Construct the equation (11) from (10)

\[
[\begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix}] = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} \tag{13}
\]

where we define the error in the parameters

\[
\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon_1 \\ \epsilon_2 \\ \vdots \\ \epsilon_{k_{\text{max}}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \ell(z) \\ \ell(z) \\ \vdots \\ \ell(z) \end{bmatrix} \tag{14}
\]

**Unweighted Parameter Estimation**

This section obtains the unweighted estimate of the parameters and the variance of the estimate.

If we multiply equation (10) and (11) by the pseudo-inverse matrix \( P^+ \) which is a \( k \times p \) matrix and

\[
P^+ = P^T (P P^T)^{-1} \quad \text{where } P \text{ is a } k \times p \text{ matrix} \tag{15}
\]
with the one-sided inverse property

\[
P P^T = I_{\text{p}x\text{p}}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (16)

then

\[
\langle P^T (PP)^{-1} \rangle = \langle \hat{a} \rangle = \langle \hat{a} \rangle + \langle P^T (PP)^{-1} \rangle
\]  \hspace{1cm} (17)

\[
\langle P^T \rangle = \langle \hat{a} \rangle + \langle P^T (PP)^{-1} \rangle
\]  \hspace{1cm} (18)

and

\[
\langle P^T \rangle = \langle \hat{a} \rangle + \langle \hat{a} \rangle
\]  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Note that \( \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{a} \) correspond to those values of \( \hat{a} \) and \( \hat{a} \) such that \( \langle \hat{a} \hat{a} \rangle \) is a minimum. The geometry and derivations are derived in reference \( (14) \) via partial derivatives and via orthogonal projections.

Differencing equation (17) and (18)

\[
\langle \hat{a} \rangle - \langle \hat{a} \rangle = \langle \hat{a} \rangle - \langle \hat{a} \rangle P^T (PP)^{-1}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (20)

where the \( j \) as before refers to the \( j \)th noise sequence. Transposing

\[
\hat{a} = (PP)^{-1} \hat{a}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (21)

The dyadic product of (20) and (21) is

\[
\hat{a} \hat{a} = (PP)^{-1} \hat{a} P^T (PP)^{-1}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (22)

and expected value over all noise sequences is

\[
E_j \left\{ \hat{a} \hat{a} \right\} = \frac{1}{\text{p}x\text{p}} \hat{a} \hat{a}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (23)
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\[ z^T \tilde{z} = (x \tilde{x}^T)^{-1} E_j \left\{ \sum_j \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ y_j \end{array} \right)^T P_n \left( P_n \right)^{-1} \right\} \]

where the noise characteristics are

\[ \sigma^2 = \sum_j \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ y_j \end{array} \right)^T P_n \left( P_n \right)^{-1} \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ y_j \end{array} \right) \]

Using equation (2) in equation (14) we see that the ellipsoid of

uncertainty in \( p \)-space (the \( m \times m \) symmetric matrix describing the variance of the estimate of the parameters) is

\[ E_{\tilde{z}z} = (x \tilde{x}^T)^{-1} E_j \left\{ \sum_j \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ y_j \end{array} \right)^T P_n \left( P_n \right)^{-1} \right\} \]

**Weighted Least Squares**

This section derives the classical weighted least-squares equations in a vector-space setting.

We seek a \( k \times p \) matrix \( W \) such that post-multiplying equation (10) and

\[ \begin{align*}
\langle \tilde{s}^T \rangle &= \langle s \rangle W + \langle \tilde{s}^T \rangle \\
\rangle &= \langle s \rangle W + \langle \tilde{s}^T \rangle
\end{align*} \]

If the conditions of

\[ \langle s \rangle W = \langle p \rangle \]

and

\[ \langle \tilde{s} \rangle W = \langle \tilde{p} \rangle \]

then

\[ \langle \tilde{s}^T \rangle = \langle p \rangle W + \langle \tilde{s} \rangle W \]

**TEXT NOT REPRODUCIBLE**
If we factor \( W \) into its row-space, that is \( k \) vectors of dimension \( p \)

\[
W = \begin{bmatrix}
\left( \frac{1}{p} \right) w_1 \\
\left( \frac{2}{p} \right) w_2 \\
\vdots \\
\left( \frac{k}{p} \right) w_k
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(32)

then we can consider the \( p \)-dimensional row vector of parameters \( \hat{a}_w \) as a linear combination of the scalar data and the weighting vectors

\[
\hat{a}_w = \hat{a}_w = (z_1, z_2, \ldots, z_k) \begin{bmatrix} \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) w_1 \\
\left( \frac{2}{p} \right) w_2 \\
\vdots \\
\left( \frac{k}{p} \right) w_k
\end{bmatrix}
\]  

(33)

or

\[
\left( \frac{p}{1} \right) a_w = z_1 \left( \frac{1}{p} \right) w + z_2 \left( \frac{2}{p} \right) w + \ldots + z_k \left( \frac{k}{p} \right) w
\]  

(34)

The error vector in the estimate of the parameters by equation (31) is

\[
\hat{a}_{jw} = \hat{a} - \hat{a}_w = - \hat{a}_w
\]  

(35)

where as before the \( j \) denotes the estimate resulting from the \( j \)th noise sequence

\[
\left( \frac{k_{max}}{j} \right) v = (v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{k_{max}})_j
\]  

(36)

and we want \( a \) to be used for any of the \( j \)'s, that is \( a \) is not a function of \( j \).

The transpose of (35) is

\[
\hat{a}_w = -W^T y
\]  

(37)
The outer-product and inner products respectively are

\[ \omega_j^{\dagger} \omega_j = W^T \omega_j^{\dagger} \omega_j \]  

(38)

and

\[ \text{tr} \, \omega_j^{\dagger} \omega_j = \omega_j^{\dagger} \omega_j = \omega_j^{\dagger} W^T \omega_j \]  

(39)

By equation (Appendix B-79)

\[ \frac{1}{3 w} \sum_{\omega_j}^{j} = W^T \omega_j^{\dagger} \omega_j \]  

(40)

Form the difference matrix

\[ \Delta(p x o) = F W = \Psi \]  

(41)

The sums over all \( j_{\text{max}} \) divided by \( j_{\text{max}} \) is

\[ \frac{1}{j_{\text{max}}} \sum_{j=1}^{j_{\text{max}}} \Psi_j = E_j \left\{ \omega_j^{\dagger} \omega_j \right\} - FW \]  

(42)

\[ \Psi = \frac{1}{p x p} \sum_{\omega_j}^{j_{\text{max}}} - FW \]  

(43)

The trace of equation (43) is

\[ \text{tr} \, \Psi = \text{tr} \frac{1}{p x p} \Delta - \text{tr}(FW) \]  

(44)
The trace of equation (41)

\[ \text{tr } \psi_j = \text{tr} \left( \frac{1}{j} \left( A \right) \right) - \text{tr} \left( FW \right) \]

The gradient of the scalar differences of equation (45) is

\[
\frac{\partial (\text{tr } \psi_j)}{\partial W} = \frac{1}{j} \frac{\partial A}{\partial W} - \text{tr} \left( FW \right)
\]

and by equation (40) and equation (B-93)

\[
\frac{\partial}{\partial W} (\text{tr } \psi_j) = W^T_i \left( \frac{j}{2} \right) - F
\]

The expected value over all \( j \) is

\[
E \left\{ \frac{2}{\partial W} (\text{tr } \psi_j) \right\} = E \left\{ W^T_i \left( \frac{j}{2} \right) \right\} - F
\]

\[
= W^T Q_{vv} \frac{1}{2} - F
\]

Minimizing the scalar difference expression of equation (48) requires the gradient term of equation (48) to be equated to the \([0]\) matrix.

\[
W^T Q_{vv} \frac{1}{2} - F = [0]
\]

or

\[
W^T_2 = F Q_{vv}^{-1}
\]

The constraint of equation (29) is

\[
FW = I
\]
and transposing
\[ W^T F^T = I \]  \hspace{1cm} (52)

hence multiplying equation (50) by \( F^T \)
\[ W^T F^T 2 = I2 = F \cdot Q^{-1}_F F^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (53)

Transposing equation (50)
\[ W = Q^{-1}_F F^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (54)

and using (53)
\[ W (F \cdot Q^{-1}_F F^T) = Q^{-1}_F F^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (55)

and solving for \( W \)
\[ W = Q^{-1}_F F^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (56)

or
\[ W^T = (F \cdot Q^{-1}_F F^T)^{-1} F \cdot Q^{-1}_F \]  \hspace{1cm} (57)

Utilizing the weight-matrix (56) in equation (33)
\[ \left< \hat{\alpha}_v \right> = \left< \hat{\omega} \right> = \left< \hat{\omega} \right> Q^{-1}_F F^T (F \cdot Q^{-1}_F F^T)^{-1} \]  \hspace{1cm} (58)

which is the weighted estimate of the parameters \( \alpha \).

The error in the estimate by equation (58) is
\[ E_j \left( \hat{a}_j \right) = \frac{1}{p_x} \sum_{a_j} = W^T Q^{-1}_F \]  \hspace{1cm} (59)
Using (56) and (57) in equation (59)

\[
\mathbf{\hat{a}}_v = (F^T \mathbf{Q}_v^{-1} F)^{-1} F^T (F^T \mathbf{Q}_v^{-1} F)^{-1} \mathbf{y}
\]

which geometrically represents the ellipsoid of uncertainty in the estimate of the parameters.

Observe that if the noise matrix is a scalar matrix

\[
E \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}^T \\ \mathbf{y} \end{bmatrix} \middle| \mathbf{j} \right] = \mathbf{Q}_{vv} = \sigma_{vv} \mathbf{I}
\]

where \( \sigma_{vv} \) is a real variable (a scalar), then the unweighted variance of the estimate of the parameters of equation (26) becomes

\[
\mathbf{\hat{a}}_v = (\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F})^{-1} \mathbf{F}^T \sigma_{vv}^{-1} \mathbf{y}
\]

\[
\sigma_{vv} = (\mathbf{F}^T \mathbf{F})^{-1} \sigma_{vv}
\]

Using the "spherical" noise matrix of (64) in the weighted variance of the estimate matrix of equation ( ) yields

\[
\mathbf{\hat{a}}_v = (\mathbf{F}^T \sigma_{vv}^{-1} \mathbf{F})^{-1} \mathbf{F}^T \sigma_{vv}^{-1} \mathbf{y}
\]

Thus one does not gain anything by weighting the data when the noise is as shown in equation (62).
SECTION V.
MULTI-VARIABLE POLYNOMIAL

Many missile range data processing tasks pose the problem of simultaneously fitting time polynomials to a number of variables. For example, a three dimensional trajectory with three coordinates of position \( x(t), y(t), \) and three coordinates of velocity \( \dot{x}(t), \dot{y}(t) \) and \( \dot{z}(t) \) for which we wish to approximate can be expressed as

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  z_1(t) \\
  z_2(t) \\
  z_3(t) \\
  z_4(t) \\
  z_5(t) \\
  z_6(t)
\end{pmatrix} =
\begin{pmatrix}
  x(t) \\
  y(t) \\
  z(t) \\
  \dot{x}(t) \\
  \dot{y}(t) \\
  \dot{z}(t)
\end{pmatrix}
\]

The following derivations assume \( q \) coordinates instead of six. Consider the approximating parameters for each coordinate as given by equation (IV-5) for a single variable except now the superscript 1 to \( q \) designates the coordinate, that is

\[
\begin{align*}
  z_{1k} &= \frac{1}{a} f(p) + v_{1k} = \frac{1}{a} f(p) + e_{1k} \\
  z_{2k} &= \frac{2}{a} f(p) + v_{2k} = \frac{2}{a} f(p) + e_{2k} \\
  \vdots \\
  z_{qk} &= \frac{k}{a} f(p) + v_{qk} = \frac{k}{a} f(p) + e_{qk}
\end{align*}
\]
Packaging the above q coordinate into a q dimensional column vector

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\hat{z}_1 \\
\hat{z}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{z}_q
\end{pmatrix}_k = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{v}_1 \\
\hat{v}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{v}_q
\end{pmatrix}_k + \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{e}_1 \\
\hat{e}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{e}_q
\end{pmatrix}_k
\]  

(3)

Factoring out the vector \( \hat{v}_k \)

\[
\hat{z}(\hat{v}) = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{v}_1 \\
\hat{v}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{v}_q
\end{pmatrix}_k + \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{e}_1 \\
\hat{e}_2 \\
\vdots \\
\hat{e}_q
\end{pmatrix}_k
\]  

(4)

and defining the qxp matrices of parameters as

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{v}a \\
\vdots \\
\hat{v}a
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(5)

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
\hat{e}a \\
\vdots \\
\hat{e}a
\end{pmatrix}
\]  

(6)
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hence

$$z(\sigma_k^p) = \sigma(\sigma_k^p) \times z(\sigma_k^p) = A f(\sigma_k^p) + e(\sigma_k^p)$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Equation (7) is the kth observation of all q variables.

If we form the data matrix for k observations

$$\begin{bmatrix} z(1), z(2), \ldots, z(k) \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{z}$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

we have the q x k matrix which equals

$$\mathbf{z} = [A f(1), A f(2), \ldots, A f(k)] + \mathbf{v}$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$= \begin{bmatrix} A \sigma(1), A \sigma(2), \ldots, A \sigma(k) \end{bmatrix} + \mathbf{v}$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

Factoring out the parameter matrices

$$\mathbf{z} = A F + \mathbf{v} = A (F + \mathbf{E})$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

where the q x k matrix $\mathbf{v}$ is

$$\mathbf{v} = [v(1), v(2), \ldots, v(k)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

Unweighted Least Squares Estimate of the Parameter Matrix

The unweighted estimate does not require any characteristics of the noise $\mathbf{v}$, where we assume that there are j different sequences of noise matrices.
If equation (11) is post-multiplied by the transpose of $F$:

$$Z_j F^T = A_j F F^T + V_j F^T$$

$$= A_j F F^T + E_j F^T$$

and the pxp matrix $FF^T$ is full rank, then multiplying by $(FF^T)^{-1}$ yields:

$$Z_j F^T (FF^T)^{-1} = A + V_j F^T (FF^T)^{-1}$$

$$= A_j + E_j F^T (FF^T)^{-1}$$

The unweighted least squares condition is:

$$E_j F^T = [0]$$

which is shown in reference (4) using partial derivatives and also shown algebraically via orthogonal projections.

Using (17) in (16):

$$A_j = Z_j F^T (FF^T)^{-1}$$

The error in the estimate by equation (15) and (16) is:

$$A - \hat{A}_j = \tilde{A}_j = -V_j F^T (FF^T)^{-1}$$

The transpose of (19) is:

$$\tilde{A}_j^T = -(FF^T)^{-1} F V_j^T$$

The two matrix products (major and minor), (larger and smaller), (outer and inner) available are:

$$\tilde{A}_j \tilde{A}_j^T = V_j F^T (FF^T)^{-2} F V_j^T$$
\[ \lambda_j^T \lambda_j = (\mathbb{F}^T)^{-1} \mathbb{F}^T \mathbb{F}^T (\mathbb{F}^T)^{-1} \]  
(22)

The traces of the two are the same, that is
\[ \text{tr}(\lambda_j^T \lambda_j^T) = \text{tr}(\lambda_j^T \lambda_j). \]  
(23)

If we partition \( \lambda \) into \( p \) dimensional row vectors
\[ \lambda_j = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_j^T \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_j^T \end{bmatrix} \]  
(24)

and transposing:
\[ \lambda_j^T = [\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p] \]  
(25)

The two matrix products of equation (22) and (23) using (24) and (25) are
\[ \begin{array}{c}
\begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_1^T \\
\vdots \\
\lambda_p^T
\end{bmatrix}
\end{array}
\begin{bmatrix}
\bar{\lambda}_1 \\
\vdots \\
\bar{\lambda}_p
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
\bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_1, \cdots, \bar{\lambda}_1 \bar{\lambda}_p \\
\vdots \\
\bar{\lambda}_p \bar{\lambda}_1, \cdots, \bar{\lambda}_p \bar{\lambda}_p
\end{bmatrix} \]  
(26)

which is an "outer-product" of "inner-products".
The product of equation (22) is

\[ \widetilde{\lambda}_j^T\tilde{q}_j = [\tilde{a}_1^{(p)}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_q^{(p)}] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ q \end{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{a} \]  

(28)

or an "inner product" of "outer products"

\[ \widetilde{\lambda}_j^T\tilde{a}_j = [\tilde{a}_1^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a} + \ldots, \tilde{a}_q^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a}]_j \]  

pxp

(29)

The geometrical significance of the many previous forms is obtained from the representation of the q parameter error vectors each of dimension p as a column of column vectors

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{a}_q^{(p)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ q \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_1^{(p)} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{a}_q^{(p)} \end{bmatrix} = \beta(pq) \]  

(30)

and the transpose

\[ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ q \end{bmatrix} \beta = [\tilde{a}_1^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a}, \tilde{a}_2^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a}, \ldots, \tilde{a}_q^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a}] \]  

(31)

The dyadic product yields

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{a}_1^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a} \\ \vdots \\ \tilde{a}_q^{(p)} \overrightarrow{a} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ q \end{bmatrix} \overrightarrow{a} \]  

(32)
and over all \( J \)

\[
\mathbf{E}_j \left[ \mathbf{q} \right] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{q}p}\mathbf{l}_{11} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{q}p}\mathbf{l}_{1q} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{q}p}\mathbf{qq}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (33)

we obtain a matrix of variance matrices.

The sums of the main diagonal matrices of equation (33) is the expected value of equation (29)

\[
\mathbf{E}_j \left[ \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{a}^T_j \mathbf{A}_j \mathbf{a}_j \\ \mathbf{p} \end{bmatrix} \right] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{q}p}\mathbf{l}_{11} & \cdots & \frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{q}p}\mathbf{l}_{1q} \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\frac{\mathbf{a}}{\mathbf{q}p}\mathbf{qq}
\end{bmatrix}
\] (33′)

Weighted Least Squares

This section derives the sequence of weights. Consider equation (7)

\[
\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{A} \mathbf{F} + \mathbf{E}
\] (35)

We seek a \( k \times p \) matrix \( \mathbf{W} \) such that post-multiplying (35)

\[
\mathbf{Z}_j \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{A}_j + \mathbf{E}_j \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{A}_j
\] (36)

where

\[
\mathbf{F} \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{I}
\] (37)

\[
\mathbf{E} \mathbf{W} = \mathbf{0}
\] (38)
then

\[ \hat{A}_{jw} = Z_j w = \Lambda + V_j W \]  \hspace{1cm} (39)

Factoring Z into its column space and W into its row space

\[ \hat{A}_{jw} = [z(1), z(2), \ldots, z(k)] \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ k \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (40)

or

\[ \hat{A}_{jw} = z(1) w + \ldots + z(k) w \]  \hspace{1cm} (41)

Equation (41) states that we need a sequence of p-dimension weighting row vectors so that the weight estimate of the qxp matrix of parameters Ajw is a linear-dyadic combination of the data vectors zk.

When q is equal to one we see that equation (41) becomes equation (IV-3).

The error in the weighted estimate of the parameters by equation (39) is

\[ \Lambda - \hat{A}_{jw} = \hat{A}_{jw} = - V_j W \]  \hspace{1cm} (42)

The transpose of equation (42) is

\[ \hat{A}_{jw}^T = -W^T V_j^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (43)

The two matrix products are

\[ \hat{A}_{jw}^T A_{jw} = V_j WW^T V_j \]  \hspace{1cm} (44)
and

\[ \tilde{A}_{jw}^T \tilde{A}_{jw} = J^T \tilde{v}^T_{jw} \tilde{v}_{jw} (p \times p) \]  

The expected value over all \( j \) is

\[ E \left( \tilde{A}_{jw}^T \tilde{A}_{jw} \right) = \tilde{v}_j^T E \left( \tilde{v}_j^T \tilde{v}_j \right) W \]

\[ = \tilde{v}_j^T \tilde{v}_j \frac{\tilde{v}_j}{p \times k} \frac{\tilde{v}_j}{k \times k \times p} \]  

As before form a difference matrix \( \tilde{v}_j \) between the non-linear equation (55) and the linear relation of equation (57)

\[ \tilde{v}_j = \tilde{A}_{jw}^T \tilde{A}_{jw} - \tilde{v}_j \]  

the trace of \( \tilde{v}_j \) is

\[ \text{tr} \tilde{v}_j = \text{tr} \tilde{A}_{jw}^T \tilde{A}_{jw} - \text{tr} \tilde{v}_j \]  

The gradient of the scalar of equation (19) with respect to the matrix \( W \) is by equation (B-50) and (B-93)

\[ \frac{\partial}{\partial W} (\text{tr} \tilde{v}_j) = W^T \tilde{v}_j^T \tilde{v}_j - \tilde{v}_j \]  

The expected value over all \( j \) of equation (50) equated to the zero matrix is

\[ W^T \tilde{Q}_{vv} \tilde{v} = 0 \]  

\[ p \times k \]  

\[ p \times k \]
Clearly equation (52) is the same as equation (IV-49), and the arguments of that section hold, hence

$$W = Q^{-1}_{vV} F^T(F Q^{-1}_{vV} F^T)^{-1}$$  \hfill (52)

The primary difference in the two cases is in the computation and interpretation of the $Q_{vV}$ matrix.

Observe that $V_j$ is a $q \times k$ matrix

$$V_j = [v(\phi_1), \ldots, v(\phi_k)]_{q \times k}$$  \hfill (53)

and

$$V_j^T = \begin{bmatrix} Q & V \end{bmatrix}$$  \hfill (54)

and the product is

$$V_j^T V_j = \begin{bmatrix} Q & V \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} q & v(\phi_1), \ldots, v(\phi_k) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} V_j^T V_j \end{bmatrix}$$  \hfill (56)
The expected value over all $J$ is

$$E_j \left\{ \frac{V_j^2}{(x \lambda q)} (q x_k) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{J_{\text{max}}} \sum_{j=1}^{J_{\text{max}}} V_j^T V_j$$

where $J_{\text{max}}$ is some economical large value.

Using (57) in (39)

$$\lambda_{jw} = z_j Q_{q x}^{-1} P^2 (P Q_{q x}^{-1} P^T)^{-1}$$

(58)
The trace of a matrix, the trace of the product of two matrices, and the trace of a matrix-sum are useful notions to aid the development of the topics of Appendix B.

Consider a matrix \( A \) of \( p \) rows and \( m \) columns where \( m < p \) and a \( p \times m \) matrix \( B \), then the product

\[
Q = A B
\]

is a \( p \times p \) matrix.

The matrices \( A \) and \( B \) can be partitioned into their row and column spaces as shown

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 & \ldots & a_m \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 \\
\vdots \\
p \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
B = \begin{bmatrix}
b_1 & \ldots & b_m \\
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
b_1 \\
\vdots \\
m \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The product \( Q \) can be written as a matrix of inner-products

\[
Q_1 = AB = \begin{bmatrix}
a_1 b_1 & \ldots & a_m b_m \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
p_a b_m & \ldots & p_a b_m \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
or as a sum of dyads (outer products)

\[ Q_1 = AB = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 \rangle \ldots \langle a_m \rangle \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle b \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle b \rangle \end{bmatrix} \]

(6)

\[ Q_1 = a_1 \langle b \rangle + \ldots + a_m \langle b \rangle \]

(7)

Equation (7) expresses \( Q_1 \) as a sum of m rank-one matrices.

If we commute the product we obtain a square \( mxm \) matrix

\[ Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 b \\ \vdots \\ a_m b \end{bmatrix} \]

and as before \( Q_2 \) can be written as a matrix of inner-products

\[ Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 \rangle \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_m \rangle \end{bmatrix} \]

(8)

\[ = \begin{bmatrix} \langle a_1 \rangle b \\ \vdots \\ \langle a_m \rangle b \end{bmatrix} \]

(9)

or as a sum of dyadic products

\[ Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ m \end{bmatrix} \]

(10)

\[ = b_1 \langle a_1 \rangle + \ldots + b_m \langle a_m \rangle \]

(11)
Clearly matrix multiplication is not commutative, that is

\[
\begin{align*}
AB_{p \times p} & \neq BA_{m \times m} \\
\text{(12)}
\end{align*}
\]

in fact the matrices are not even of the same size.

However the trace of both products are equal, that is

\[
\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA)
\]

\[
\text{(13)}
\]

The following will clarify the above relation.

If we have a column vector \(x^{(p)}\) and a row vector \(y^{(p)}\) of the same dimension \(p\) then the dyadic product is the square, rank-one, matrix \(D\) of \(p\) rows and \(p\) columns

\[
D_{p \times p} = x^{(p)}y = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 y_1 & \cdots & x_p y_p \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\text{(14)}
\]

If we commute the product of Equation (14) we obtain

\[
D_{p \times p} = x^{(p)}y = y_1 x^1 + y_2 x^2 + \ldots + y_p x^p
\]

\[
\text{(15)}
\]

\(a\) scalar.

When the elements \(y_i\) and \(x^i\) are real field elements the products commute, hence

\[
y_i x^i = x^i y_i
\]

\[
\text{(16)}
\]

and Equation (15) (the inner product) can be written as the sum of the main diagonal terms of \(\langle x, y \rangle\), which the conventional definition of the trace (tr) of a matrix, hence

\[
\text{tr} \left[\begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}\right] = \langle x, y \rangle
\]

\[
\text{(17)}
\]

The dyadic product is not as mysterious as many novices might imagine; in fact, if we write Equation (14) as

\[
D_{p \times p} = \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & y_2 & \ldots & y_p \\ y_1 & y_2 & \ldots & y_p \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ y_1 & y_2 & \ldots & y_p \\ \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
\text{(18)}
\]

we see that the matrix \(D\) when partitioned into its column space is a row of \(p\) parallel column vectors - all \(p\) of the vectors lie on a line, hence \(\langle x, y \rangle\) is said to have rank one - that is, there is only one linearly independent vector in the row "package" of column vectors.
If we take the trace of $AB$ by Equation (5) as the sum of diagonals we obtain

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + \ldots + \sum_{i=p}^{2p} a_i b_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

If we take the trace of dyadic sum decomposition of $AB$ given by Equation (7) we obtain

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + \ldots + \sum_{i=p}^{2p} a_i b_i \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

The trace of a sum of matrices is the sum of the traces, hence by Equation (13)

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i b_i + \ldots + \sum_{i=p}^{2p} a_i b_i \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

Equation (12) is a sum of $p$ inner-products of $m$-dimensional vectors and Equation (13) is a sum of $m$ inner-products of $p$-dimensional vectors.

The sum of the main diagonal terms of Equation (9) is

$$\text{tr}(BA) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i a_i + \ldots + \sum_{i=p}^{2p} b_i a_i$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

which by Equation (15) and Equation (15)

$$\text{tr}(BA) = \text{tr}(AB)$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
This appendix develops the gradient of a scalar-valued function with respect to a vector variable and also with respect to a matrix variable.

Case 1. \( q = \langle p | a \rangle \). Consider the scalar \( q \) which is the inner-product

\[
q = \langle p | a \rangle
\]  

(b.1)

where \( \langle p \rangle \) is a fixed \( p \) dimensional row vector and \( a \) is a variable column vector, or \( q \) is said to be a scalar-valued variable which is a function of the vector variable \( a \).

In equation (b.1) \( q \) may be considered to have vector factors \( \langle p \rangle \) and \( a \).

If we have a dyad

\[
Q = \langle a | a \rangle
\]  

(b.2)

then it was shown in appendix A that

\[
\text{tr } Q = q
\]  

(b.3)

or

\[
\text{tr } \langle a | a \rangle = \langle p | a \rangle = q
\]  

(b.4)

The differential of equation (b.2) is

\[
d Q = d\langle a | a \rangle
\]  

(b.5)

and the trace of (b.5) is

\[
\text{tr } dQ = \text{tr } \left[ d\langle a | a \rangle \right] = \langle p | d|a \rangle = dq
\]  

(b.6)

We may now ask to express the differential matrix \( dQ \) in terms of vector factors \( d|a\rangle \) and a gradient vector, that is

\[
dQ = d\langle a | q \rangle
\]  

(b.7)

such that the trace of equation (b.7) is

\[
dq = \text{tr } dQ = \text{tr } \left[ d\langle a | q \rangle \right] = \langle p | dq | a \rangle dx
\]  

(b.8)
By equation (b-7) and (b-5) we can state

$$\frac{dq}{dx} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-9)

We arrive at the result of equation (b-9) directly from (1)

$$dq = \left< a \right> \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} = \left< \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} \right> dx$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-10)

hence

$$\left< a \right> = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-11)

Also one can consider the gradient as an operator $\frac{\partial}{\partial p}$

$$q \left< \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right> = \left< a \right> \times \left< \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right> = \left< q \right> \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial q} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-12)

The dyadic-type operator

$$\left< \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right> \left( \begin{array}{c} x^1 \\ x^2 \\ \vdots \\ x^p \end{array} \right) = \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial^1}{\partial x^1} \\ \vdots \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^p} \end{array} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-13)

$$= \left[ \begin{array}{cccc} \frac{\partial^1}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial^1}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^1}{\partial x^p} \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^1} & \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^p}{\partial x^p} \end{array} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-14)

when the coordinates are independent of each other, then

$$\left< \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right> _x = I.$$  \hspace{1cm} (b-15)
Hence
\[ q \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \right) = \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right) = a \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-16)

In conclusion:

\[ \text{if } q = \langle x \rangle \]

then
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = a \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-17)

\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = a \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-18)

Case 2. \[ q = \langle x \rangle \]

When \( q \) is quadratic we can write \( q \) as the trace of the dyad
\[ Q = \langle x \rangle \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-19)

For
\[ \text{tr } Q = \text{tr } (\langle x \rangle) = \langle x \rangle = q. \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-20)

The differential of the dyad
\[ dQ = d\langle x \rangle + dx \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-21)
\[ dq = \text{tr } dQ = \langle x \rangle dx + dx = 2 \langle x \rangle dx = \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right) dx \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-22)

hence
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = 2 \langle x \rangle \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-23)

Case 3. \[ q = \langle x B x \rangle \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-24)

For this case we have two different matrices
\[ Q_1 = B \langle x \rangle \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-25)
and
\[ Q_2 = \langle x \rangle \]  
\hspace{1cm} (b-26)
which under the trace operation map down to the same scalar

\[ q = \text{tr} Q_1 = \text{tr} Q_2 = \langle X B X \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-27)

The differential of \( Q_2 = Q \) is

\[ dq = dx \langle B x \rangle + \langle dB \rangle dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-28)

The trace of (b-28) is

\[ \text{tr} dq = \langle X B dx \rangle + \langle dB \rangle x \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-29)

The differential of (b-24) is

\[ dq = \langle dB \rangle x + \langle dx B \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-30)

\[ dq = \langle dB \rangle x + \langle B dx \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-31)

\[ dq = \langle B + B^T \rangle dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-32)

we have

\[ \frac{dq}{dx} = \frac{3q}{3x} \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-33)

and by (b-32) and (b-33)

\[ \frac{dq}{dx} = \langle X \left[ B + B^T \right] \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-34)

and for symmetric \( B \)

\[ B = B^T \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-35)

then

\[ \frac{dq}{dx} = 2 \langle XB \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-36)

Case 4. \[ q = \langle p \rangle a X b(m) \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-37)

The scalar \( q \) is a function of the matrix \( X \) of \( p \)-rows and \( m \) columns.

The scalar \( q \) can be written as the trace of the matrix

\[ Q = b(m) p(a X) \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-38)
The differential of $Q$ is
\[ dQ = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} dx \quad \text{(b-39)} \]
By equation (b-37), differentiating
\[ dq = \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{array} \right) = \text{tr } dQ. \quad \text{(b-40)} \]
We seek a gradient matrix $\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ of $m$ rows and $p$ columns as one of the factors of $dQ$ that is
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} dx \quad \text{(b-41)} \]
such that
\[ \text{tr } dQ = dq = \left( \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \end{array} \right) \quad \text{(b-42)} \]
Clearly by equation (b-39) and (b-41) if
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = b(p \times p)a \quad \text{(b-43)} \]
then (b-42) is satisfied.

An alternate, more direct, approach is given below. Partition $X$ into a row of column vectors (all $p$ contravariant vectors), then
\[ q = \left( \begin{array}{c} p \end{array} \right) \begin{bmatrix} x(1) & \cdots & x(p) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b(1) \\ \vdots \\ b(m) \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{(b-44)} \]
\[ = \begin{bmatrix} x(1) & x(2) & \cdots & x(m) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} b(1) \\ b(2) \\ \vdots \\ b(m) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[ \begin{bmatrix} b(1) \\ b(2) \\ \vdots \\ b(m) \end{bmatrix} \]
\[
q = \langle q_1 \rangle_1 b^1 + \langle q_2 \rangle_2 b^2 + \ldots + \langle q_m \rangle_m b^m \\
= q_1(\rangle_1 + \ldots + q_m(\rangle_m \\
\text{where each } q_i \text{ is a function of a single column vector } \rangle_i.
\]

The scalar differential of \( q \) is
\[
dq = \langle \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \rangle_1 dx_1 + \langle \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \rangle_2 dx_2 + \ldots + \langle \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \rangle_m dx_m \\
\text{(b-46)}
\]

\[
dq = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2} & \ldots & \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_m} \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx_1 \\
dx_2 \\
\vdots \\
dx_m \\
\end{bmatrix} \\
\text{(b-47)}
\]

Equation (b-47) can be written as
\[
dq = \text{tr} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} & dx(p)_1 \\
\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2} & \ldots \\
\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_m} & dx(p)_m \\
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} dx(p)_1 \\
\ldots \\
dx(p)_m \\
\end{bmatrix} \right\} \\
\text{(b-48)}
\]

\[
= \text{tr} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} & dx_1 \\
\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2} & \ldots \\
\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_m} & dx_m \\
\end{bmatrix} \right\} \\
\text{(b-49)}
\]
The differential of $X$ is a row of column vectors

$$dX = \begin{bmatrix} dx(p_1) \\ \vdots \\ dx(p_m) \end{bmatrix}$$  (b-50)

and the gradient matrix is a column of row gradient-vectors.

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial X} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_m} \end{bmatrix}$$  (b-51)

From the foregoing we write

$$dQ = \frac{\partial q}{\partial X} dX$$  (b-52)

and

$$dq = tr dQ = tr \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial q}{\partial X} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial q}{\partial X} \end{bmatrix}$$  (b-52)

By equation (b-45), (b-46) and (b-16)

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} = \frac{b_1}{a}$$  (b-53)

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_2} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} = \frac{b_2}{a}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial x_m} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_1} = \frac{b_m}{a}$$

Packaging the row vector gradients of (b-53) into the column of (b-51) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial q}{\partial X} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \phi(a) \\ b_2 \phi(a) \\ \vdots \\ b_m \phi(a) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix} \phi(a)$$  (b-54)
or
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = b(p \times p)a \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-55)

hence in conclusion

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } q &= \langle p \rangle a \times b(p) \\
\text{then } \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} &= b(m \times p)a.
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (b-56)

Case 5. \( q = \langle p \rangle a \times b(p) \) \hspace{1cm} (b-57)

For this case we set
\[ B \cdot a(p) = b(m) \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-58)

as in equation (b-56), then
\[ q = \langle a \times b(p) \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-59)

and we obtain the case \( k \), hence
\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = B \cdot p(p \times p)a \]  \hspace{1cm} (b-60)

or

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } q &= \langle p \rangle a \times b(p) \\
\text{then } \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} &= b(p \times p)a.
\end{align*}
\]  \hspace{1cm} (b-61)
Case 6. \[ q = \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{a} \times (\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{b}) \rangle. \] (b-62)

This case is the matrix analog of the quadratic vector case of equation (b-24).

We can partition \( \mathbf{X} \) into its column space and \( \mathbf{X}^T \) into its row space and obtain

\[ q = \langle \mathbf{p} | \mathbf{a} \left[ x(p, \ldots x(m) \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{1} \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{m} \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right] \mathbf{b} \mathbf{p} \rangle \] (b-63)

and

\[ q = \langle \mathbf{a} \left[ x(p, \ldots x(m) \right] + \ldots + x(p, \ldots x(m) \right] \mathbf{b} \mathbf{p} \rangle \] (b-64)

Distributing the two end vectors over the dyadic-sum decomposition of \( \mathbf{XX}^T \) we obtain

\[ q = \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_1 \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_2 + \ldots + \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_m \] (b-65)

Because of inner-product commutativity

\[ \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle = \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle \] (b-66)

hence

\[ q = \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_1 \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_2 + \ldots + \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle_m \] (b-67)

\[ = p_1 \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle + \ldots + p_m \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle \langle \mathbf{p} \rangle \]

hence the scalar \( q \) is a sum of products of scalars \( p_i q_i \).

We have as before

\[ dq = \left[ \begin{array}{c} 3a \\ 3a \\ \vdots \\ 3a \end{array} \right] \left[ \begin{array}{c} \mathbf{x} \\ \vdots \\ \mathbf{x} \end{array} \right] = \text{tr} \ dq \] (b-68)
where \( dQ \) is as in equation (b-41).

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial (p_1 q_1)}{\partial x} = q_1 \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x} + p_1 \frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x} \quad (b-69)
\]

and

\[
p_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi_1 \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (b-70)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial p_1}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (b-71)
\]

\[
q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \phi b \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (b-72)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial q_1}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (b-73)
\]

Using (b-70), (b-71), (b-72), (b-73) in (b-69)

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} &= q_1 \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + p_1 \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \phi \\ \phi \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \phi \phi \\ \phi \phi \end{bmatrix}
\end{align*} \quad (b-74)
\]

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \phi \phi \phi \phi \end{bmatrix} \quad (b-75)
\]

Packaging (b-75) into the gradient matrix of equation (b-51)

\[
\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \begin{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi \phi \phi \phi \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \phi \phi \phi \phi \end{bmatrix} \\
\end{bmatrix} \quad (b-76)
\]
In conclusion

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } q &= \langle p \rangle_{\text{px}} X \quad x^T b(p) \\
\text{then } \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} &= x^T \left[ \langle a + b \rangle \right] \quad \text{(b-78)}
\end{align*}
\]

In a similar fashion it can be shown that

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{if } q &= \langle m \rangle_{\text{mxp}} X^T x b(m) \\
\text{then } \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} &= \left[ c(m \langle m \rangle) b + b(m \langle m \rangle) \right] x^T \quad \text{(b-80)}
\end{align*}
\]
Consider the pxp matrix $L$ which has factors as shown

$$L = B \cdot X_{pxp \times pxk \times kxp}$$

where $X$ is a variable matrix.

If we factor $B$ into its column space and $X$ into its row space

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} b(x) & \ldots & b(x) \\ 1 & \ldots & k \\ p & \ldots & k \\ x & \ldots & x \\ 1 & \ldots & k \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= b(x) \cdot x + \ldots + b(x) \cdot x$$

The trace of $L$ is

$$\text{tr} L = 1 = \sum_{k=1}^{k} \sum_{1}^{k}$$

The differential of $L$ is

$$dL = B \cdot dX$$

The factors of $dL$ can also be expressed as

$$dL = \frac{\partial (tr L)}{\partial X_{pxk \times kxp}}$$

where the pxk gradient matrix is

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial x_{pxk}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \\ \ldots \frac{\partial L}{\partial x} \end{bmatrix}$$
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The differential of Equation ( ) is

\[ d(\text{tr } L) = d\mathbf{z} = d\mathbf{z}_1 + \ldots + d\mathbf{z}_k \]  
\[ = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial x^i} = d\mathbf{z}_1 + \ldots + d\mathbf{z}_k \]

where

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_1}{\partial x} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_k}{\partial x} \end{pmatrix} \]

and

\[ \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial \mathbf{z}_1}{\partial x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ k \end{pmatrix} \]

In summary,

If

\[ L = B(x) \]

then

\[ \frac{\partial (\text{tr } L)}{\partial x} = B \]

\[ \frac{\partial (\text{tr } L)}{\partial x} = B_{pxk} \]
APPENDIX C

MINIMIZATION

Consider the linear surface

\[ l = \langle bx \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

and the quadratic surface

\[ q = \langle x^2 \rangle \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

and the difference

\[ q - l = \phi. \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

If \( \phi \) is a constant, \( l = l_0 \), then we seek a vector \( x \) that lies on the linear surface and on the quadratic surface such that difference in the linear surface and the quadratic surface is a minimum.

Differentiating

\[ d\phi = dq - dl \]  \hspace{1cm} (4)

and

\[ d\phi = \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ = \left( \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} \right) dx - \left( \frac{\partial l}{\partial x} \right) dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (6)

\[ = \left[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial l}{\partial x} \right] dx \]  \hspace{1cm} (7)

or

\[ \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial l}{\partial x} \]  \hspace{1cm} (8)

If we equate the gradient vector to zero

\[ \frac{\partial q}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial l}{\partial x}. \]  \hspace{1cm} (9)
By equation ( ) and equation ( )
\[ 2\langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle \]
and solving for \( \langle x \rangle \)
\[ \langle x \rangle = \frac{\langle bq^{-1} \rangle}{2} \]  (11)

Multiplying equation (11) by \( b \) and using equation ( )
\[ \langle x \ b \rangle = \frac{\langle b \ q^{-1} \ b \rangle}{2} = \lambda_0 \]  (12)
or
\[ \frac{1}{2} = \frac{\lambda_0}{\langle bq^{-1} b \rangle} \]  (13)

Using (13) in (11)
\[ \langle x \rangle = \lambda_0 \frac{\langle b \ q^{-1} \rangle}{\langle bq^{-1} b \rangle} \]  (14)

If
\[ \lambda_0 = 1 \]
then
\[ \langle x \rangle = \frac{\langle bq^{-1} \rangle}{\langle bq^{-1} b \rangle} \]  (15)
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