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ABSTRACT

The statistical band model, combined with the Curtis-Godson approximation is applied to the analysis of atmospheric slant path absorption of the 9.6 μm O₃ band. The theoretical spectra are compared with experimental absorptions observed during a balloon flight during which spectra were obtained at altitudes up to 30 km. The results are shown to agree to within the accuracy of the data. The band model method of calculation is compared to exact line by line calculation and to the combination of the Curtis-Godson approximation and line by line calculation. A surprisingly close agreement is found between the different methods of calculation, confirming the success of the application of the band model method to the data.
1. INTRODUCTION

Two balloon flights were made during the fall of 1966 during which the variation of the infrared solar spectrum with altitude in the 9.6 μ region was observed. The instrumentation used to obtain the data has been described in detail in a recent paper. Some of the data obtained during these flights and an analysis of the 9.6 μ O₃ absorptions observed are presented in this study.

As an example of the data obtained, the variation of the R-Branch of the 9.6 μ O₃ band with altitude is shown in Fig. 1. The resolution is estimated to be about 0.8 cm⁻¹. The structure seen is a combination of the absorption and the noise which are unfortunately of similar magnitude. Certain absorption lines at the lower altitudes are the result of contributions due to H₂O and CO₂ superimposed on the O₃ lines. The most significant of these in the region covered by Fig. 1 are at 1074.50 cm⁻¹ and 1066.20 cm⁻¹. These have been identified in solar spectra taken from the ground. Each of these absorptions embraces several lines due to the ν₂ and the ν₃ - 2ν₂⁰ bands of H₂O and CO₂, respectively:

- 1074.50 cm⁻¹: 9.₃ - 10.₂₆, 4₄ - 5₄ due to ν₂ H₂O and R16, R14, R12 due to ν₃ - 2ν₂⁰ CO₂
- 1066.20 cm⁻¹: 9.₉ - 10.₅, 6₄ - 7₄ due to ν₂ H₂O and R0, R2, R4 due to ν₃ - 2ν₂⁰ CO₂

In the P-Branch, not seen in Fig. 1, a few rotational lines due to H₂O are also present. It is seen from Fig. 1 that above 10 km the contributions of H₂O and CO₂ are no longer significant. Thus for all records obtained above 10 km a pure ozone analysis may be considered, with no H₂O or CO₂ present.

It should be noted that ozone is a difficult gas to handle quantitatively in the laboratory and has also been difficult to treat theoretically. As a result it is only recently that individual line parameters have become available, and these are still in some doubt. In view of this the prediction of the absorption by using accurate line by line calculation is also in some doubt.

In general any analysis of the slant path experimental spectra is complicated by the fact that for an atmospheric path along which there
is a variation of pressure, the absorption line is no longer a Lorentz line. Calculation of the exact spectrum requires then the integration over the exact line shape. This integration may be eliminated by the use of the Curtis-Godson (or CG) approximation which uses an equivalent Lorentz line. The exact line by line calculation may also be approximated by incorporation of the CG approximation into a band model thus providing a simple way for calculating spectra.

It should be noted that at high altitudes the line shape changes from Lorentz shape, through Voigt shape, to Doppler shape. While the Lorentz half-width is equal to the Doppler half-width at about 31 km the contribution of the Doppler case to the transmittance becomes significant only at considerably greater heights. In view of the fact that for the ozone distribution used here only a few percent of the total ozone amount is above 40 km, only the Lorentz line is used in this analysis.

In earlier studies the CG approximation combined with a band model was applied successfully to many infrared bands. However it was concluded in the past that the statistical band model does not fit the 9.6 μO₃ band and, further, that the Curtis-Godson approximation is inadequate for atmospheric ozone calculations. In recent studies it was demonstrated, both theoretically and experimentally, that the statistical model does fit the 9.6 μO₃ band. Since the band model expressions can be applied only to homogeneous paths at constant temperature and pressure, the real atmospheric ozone path must be reduced to an equivalent path at constant temperature and pressure. This suggested the approach of the present work which uses a combination of the statistical model and the Curtis-Godson approximation.

It is shown that the combination band model - CG is a good approximation to a precise line by line calculation over the ozone distribution and also to the combination of a line by line calculation and the Curtis-Godson approximation. It should be noted that the comparison made here between the combination statistical model - CG approximation and the combination line by line - CG approximation is equivalent to the comparison made previously between the statistical model and the line by line calculation for homogeneous path. It is found that the agreement among the above three methods is surprisingly close, explaining why the application of the statistical model to the balloon flight data gives satisfactory results.
Section 2 gives a description of the band model procedure followed by the description of line by line procedures. In Section 3 the band model procedure is applied to the flight data and compared with the line by line calculations. Conclusions are reached in Section 4.

2. THEORETICAL

2.1. Statistical Model Method

This procedure combines the statistical band model and the Curtis-Godson approximation. A "standard" ozone vertical distribution \( \rho(y) \) is assumed

\[
\rho(y) = \frac{W \exp((y-y_p)/h)}{h[1 + \exp((y-y_p)/h)]^2},
\]

with three parameters, \( W \), \( y_p \), and \( h \). Here \( y \) is taken as a vertical coordinate measured upwards from the ground (in km). This function was previously used by Green with the parameters

\[
W = 0.218 \text{ cm-atm} \quad y_p = 23.25 \text{ km} \quad h = 4.53 \text{ km}, \quad (1A)
\]

chosen to fit a "standard" ozone distribution proposed by Altshuler. These three parameters determine, respectively, the total amount of ozone, the height of the peak of the distribution, and the width of the distribution.

From the above distribution an equivalent pressure \( p_E \) (in atm) is calculated for a record taken at altitude \( y_0 \) and zenith angle \( \theta \) according to

\[
p_E = p_E(y_0) = \frac{\int_{y_0}^{\infty} p(y) \rho(y) dy}{\int_{y_0}^{\infty} p(y) dy} = \frac{\int_{y_0}^{\infty} p(y) dy}{W[1 + \exp((y-y_p)/h)]^{-1}}, \quad (2)
\]

where \( p(y) \) is the atmospheric pressure (in atm).
This calculation of $p_e$ is equivalent to Curtis-Godson approximation. The atmospheric pressure is taken from U.S. Standard Atmosphere, 1962, and the integration of $p(y)p(y)$ is performed numerically. For somewhat greater accuracy in this calculation, one might use in place of $p(y)$ the effective broadening pressure $p_e$, given by

$$p_e(y) = p_t(y) + (B - 1) p_a(y)$$

Here $B$ is the self-broadening coefficient of the absorbing gas, $p_a(y)$ is the partial pressure of the absorbing gas and $p_t(y)$ is the total pressure of the gas mixture. Estimate of $B$ is reported by Walshaw as 1.61. In view of the small partial pressure of ozone in the atmosphere, (partial pressure up to 200 μmb), a good approximation is made by assuming $p_e(y) \approx p(y)$. In addition to $p_e$, an equivalent pathlength $L_E$ is calculated from (1) according to

$$L_E = L_E(y_0, \sec \theta) = \frac{\left( \int_{y_0}^{\infty} p(y) \, dy \right) \sec \theta}{p_e(y_0)}$$

$$= \frac{W \sec \theta}{[1 + \exp((y - y_p)/h)]p_e(y_0)}$$

In this expression $L_E$ contains the $\sec \theta$ factor while $p_e$ is independent of the zenith angle.

It would be desirable to construct curves of growth by plotting $-\ln \frac{T}{p_e}$ vs $L_E$, where $T$ is the measured transmittance averaged over a wide enough slit, and thus obtain the band model parameters from the flight data. However, it is found in the following that the range of $L_E$ throughout the flight records is quite small and this precludes the use of this way of treating the data. A different method is therefore needed for obtaining these parameters.

The statistical band model parameters $(x/L_E)_v\nu$ and $(2\pi\nu^0/d)_v\nu$, can be calculated from quantum mechanical data for individual line parameters according to

$$\text{\ldots}$$
Here $x$ is defined as $S^0 p_a L / 2\pi \gamma$, where $S^0$ is the integrated intensity of a line (cm$^{-2}$ atm$^{-1}$), $p_a$ is the partial pressure of the absorbing gas (atm), $L$ is the optical path, $\gamma$ is the half-width of a line (cm$^{-1}$), $\gamma^0$ is the half-width per unit pressure (cm$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$), and $d$ is the average spacing between lines (cm$^{-1}$). The sums are taken over all spectral lines in the interval $\Delta \nu$ (cm$^{-1}$) for the center of which the parameters are calculated.

The average transmittance $\bar{T}_\nu$ is then calculated for each record with the two slant path parameters, $L_E$ and $p_E$, according to

$$\bar{T}_\nu = \exp \left[ - \left( \frac{2\pi \gamma^0}{d} \right)_\nu p_E \frac{(x/L_E)_\nu L_E}{\sqrt{1 + 2 \left( \frac{x}{L_E} \right)_\nu L_E}} \right].$$

In the above analysis there are only a few parameters to be fitted for best agreement with the experimental data: $W$, $\gamma^0$, $h$, the ozone distribution parameters; $T$, the temperature; $\gamma^0$, the half-width and $S^0$, the intensity.

2.2. Line by Line Methods

A comparison is given herein of the above analysis with an accurate line by line calculation over the ozone distribution. The monochromatic transmittance $T_\nu$ of an atmospheric slant path from the pressure level $p = p_0$, at altitude $y_0$, to the top of the atmosphere, $p = 0$ is

$$T_\nu = \exp \left( - \int_{p_0}^{0} k_\nu \, du \right),$$
where \( k_v \) is the absorption coefficient and \( u \) is the optical mass. The integration with respect to \( u \) is for a path along which both the half-widths and the line intensities may vary. It is assumed here that the path is isothermal, so that no temperature variation along the path is considered. The exact calculation for a Lorentz line spectrum is given then by

\[
T_v = \exp \left[ -\frac{\sec \theta}{\pi} \sum_{i=1}^{N} S_i^0 \int_{\nu_0}^{\infty} \frac{p(y)\gamma_i^0 p(y)dy}{(\nu - \nu_0)^2 + p(y)\gamma_i^0} \right]
\]

where \( \nu_0 \)'s are the line centers (cm\(^{-1}\)) and the summation is over all \( N \) lines which contribute to the absorption at frequency \( \nu \). The line intensities \( S_i^0 \) are assumed to depend on temperature \( T(\text{K}) \) according to

\[
S^0(T) = S^0(T_0) \left( \frac{T_0}{T} \right)^{3/2} \exp \left( -1.439E'' \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_0} \right) \right)
\]

where \( E'' \) (cm\(^{-1}\)) is the lower state energy. Here a \( (T_0/T)^{3/2} \) temperature dependence of the partition function for asymmetric top molecules is assumed.

The transmittance is then degraded by a slit function \( g(\nu - \nu') \), \( 2a \text{(cm}^{-1}) \) wide, according to

\[
\bar{T}_v = \frac{\int_{\nu-a}^{\nu+a} g(\nu - \nu') \nu' \, d\nu'}{\int_{\nu-a}^{\nu+a} g(\nu - \nu') \, d\nu'}
\]

where \( T_v \), is the monochromatic transmittance as given by equation (7) and \( \nu \) is the center of the interval of calculation.

A comparison is also given herein of the present analysis with the combination of CG approximation and line by line calculation. The manner in which the CG approximation is used here is by calculating an equivalent half-width \( \gamma_i \) according to

\[
\gamma_i = \gamma_i^0 p_E
\]
where $p_y$ is given by equation (2). The monochromatic transmittance, then, is calculated by

$$T_y = \exp \left[ -\frac{\sec \theta}{\eta} \left( \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \rho(y) dy \right) \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{S_i}{(v-y_0)^2 + Y_i^2} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)

Here $\sec \theta \left( \int_{y_0}^{\infty} \rho(y) dy \right)$ is the amount of ozone in the slant path above $y_0$. Equation (11) is similar to equation (7) except that the integration over the ozone distribution for each line is eliminated, thus greatly simplifying the numerical calculation. The transmittance is then degraded by a slit function using equation (9).

In the following calculations a square slit function was used, with $2a = \Delta v$, corresponding to the band model calculation. The integrated absorption $A$ is given by

$$A = \int_{v_1}^{v_2} A_y dv = \int_{v_1}^{v_2} (1 - T_y) dv$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)

where $v_1$ and $v_2$ are the frequency limits of the band. The expression $A$ is independent of the spectral slit width.

Equations (7), (9), (11) require elaborate numerical calculations for which large, high speed computers are needed.

3. RESULTS

The balloon flight data were reduced using a straight line envelope drawn by inspection, relying mainly on the short wavelength branch of the data. The zero level was determined from broad seeker "absorptions" and the calculated transmittance was then numerically degraded by a square filter equivalent to 2.5 cm$^{-1}$. The 2.5 cm$^{-1}$ interval was chosen because it was previously found that it is wide enough for the statistical model to be applicable for the 9.6$\mu$ band.

The theoretical computations were made using equations (7)-(9) for the exact line by line calculations, equations (10), (11), (9) for the
combined CG-line by line calculations and equations (2)-(5) for the combined CG-statistical model calculations.

The statistical model method combined with CG approximation was applied to records above 10 km, assuming no H$_2$O or CO$_2$ present. The results are shown in Figures 3a-3d for 16 selected records, the details of which are given in Table 1. In this analysis the ozone distribution parameters, chosen to best fit the data, are $W = 0.218$, $y_p = 25.0$, and $h = 4.53$. In comparison with equation (1A) one can see that the only change is in $y_p$. This distribution is shown in Fig. 2. The line positions and intensities were taken from the recent tables of Clough and Knieys. Their data were used with $T = 233^\circ$K and $\Delta v = 2.5$ cm$^{-1}$. The half-widths were derived from Walshaw's work and taken as $\gamma_0 = 0.085$ cm$^{-1}$ atm$^{-1}$ at 233$^\circ$K for all lines.

Most of the fire structure seen in the theoretical calculations in Fig. 3 is not a real spectral structure; it is due to the sharp edge of the square slit function. In the regions where the experimental curve is dashed, spurious absorptions occurred which are due to momentary sun-seeker lapse of control, as, for example, in the region 1025-1030 cm$^{-1}$ on record 29. In addition, all the records show a transmittance loss around 1000 cm$^{-1}$ the source of which is in doubt; it is either solar absorption or instrumental loss or both. This loss prevented the use of the end of the P-branch in determining the envelope.

It should be noted here that the resulted transmittance is quite insensitive to small changes in the values of these three parameters. For example, charge of ~10% in the parameter $h$ yields ~3% change in transmittance for records taken at 20-30 km altitude, and ~6% for records taken at 10-15 km altitude. The transmittance is similarly insensitive to changes in $W$ or $y_p$.

From Fig. 3 it is seen that differences in transmittance between two consecutive experimental records are much greater than the corresponding differences in the calculated spectra. This effect, which is at many frequencies more than 5% difference is the main restriction in fitting the theoretical spectra to the experimental data. For example, the two consecutive records 19 and 20, which were taken at an altitude difference of ~1 km (compare Table 1) show significant differences of this kind at 1040 cm$^{-1}$ and around 1060 cm$^{-1}$. A similar kind of disagreement occurs in which the theoretical spectra fits the data well in one or two branches only but not in the remaining branches, while at the same time the agreement is close in neighboring records for all
three branches. For example, there is close agreement in the P-, Q- and R-branches in record 61, while in record 66 taken at only 1 km lower there is a nice agreement in the Q- and R-branches with a loss of agreement in the P-branch.

It is important to show that the previously noted differences between the theoretical spectra and the experimental data are not due to the approximations involved in using the statistical model combined with the CG approximation. For this purpose three records were selected for comparison between the different methods of calculation: one corresponds to ground measurements, record 9, one to record 20 and one to record 61. The altitudes and secθ for these three records are given in Table 2. The calculated values \( p_E \), \( L_E \) and \( \int p(y)dy \) are also given in the same Table. It is seen that while \( p_E \) changes from 0.037 to 0.096 atm, \( L_E \) changes from 9.4 to 9.9 cm; these variations are not enough for constructing curves of growth mentioned in Section 2.

The comparisons of transmittance calculations for the above records using the different methods are shown in Figs. 4-6. In each of these the two upper curves are displaced by 20% for convenience of comparison. It is seen that the agreement becomes closer with higher altitudes, but is still satisfactory for the low altitude record. The statistical model (curves 3 in Figs. 4-6) predicts somewhat more absorption than the exact line by line calculation (curves 1) and is very close to the CG approximation combined with line by line calculations (curves 2). The differences in transmittance are the largest around 1030 cm\(^{-1}\) and around 1060 cm\(^{-1}\), where the exact calculation forms slight dips while the statistical model transmittance is smoothed out. However, the experimental data do not consistently reveal these dips clearly, as can be seen from Fig. 3, from records such as 19 and 20 around 1060 cm\(^{-1}\).

The integrated absorptions over the band, \( \int A_v dv \), for the above three records are compared in Table 3. It is seen that for the high altitude record the difference between the exact line by line calculation and the statistical model calculation is less than 3% while for the low altitude record this difference is less than 6%. Thus all the three
methods of calculation agree well and the agreement between either one of them with the data is much less than the agreement between themselves.

4. CONCLUSIONS

It is evident from the present analysis that for many frequencies with the band the increment in absorption between two consecutive line by line calculations and the corresponding increment calculated by the statistical model agree more closely than either agree with the increment observed in the reduced data. In view of this any decision as to which theoretical approach gives the best agreement with the experimental data will have to be delayed until better experimental data are available. The major problem with refining the experimental data is the determination of the correct envelope (which is a combination of the vacuum envelope and the instrumental response) in reference to which the transmittance is calculated. Since a better envelope is not presently available, it is not possible at this time to state to within what limits the various theoretical calculations will agree with the data. Certain use of the statistical based model combined with the Curtis-Godson approximation will give theoretical results in agreement with the experimental results to within the accuracy achieved in the experimental data presented here. This provides a simple way to analyze the atmospheric absorption in the 9.6 μ ozone band. It is expected that this method would yield results in good agreement with experimental results as long as the resolution is not significantly better than 1.5 cm⁻¹.

It is realized that the real density distribution of ozone is highly variable, especially below 25 km, so that the distribution used here may deviate from the actual distribution at the time of the balloon flight. Since the theoretical results seem adequate this does not appear to limit the usefulness of the method.
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Figure 1. Samples of the unreduced data showing the variation of the R-branch of the 9.6 \mu m O_3 band with altitude. Each is labelled by record number (5 to 61) for which details are given in Table 1.
Figure 2. The selected analytical density distribution used here for ozone, with the parameters $W = 0.218$ cm-atm, $\gamma_n = 25.0$ km and $h = 4.63$ km.
Figure 3. Comparison of observed and calculated transmittance by the 9.6 μm O₃ band. The calculations were made using the statistical model combined with Curtis-Godson approximation with no water vapor present. The spectra are labelled according to record numbers, the details of each record number being given in Table 1. The relatively smooth curve is that of the reduced data while the wiggly curve is the theoretical curve.
Figure 3. (Cont.)
Figure 4. Comparison of transmittance obtained by exact line by line calculation, curve (1); by the combination of Curtis-Godson approximation and line by line calculation, curve (2); and by the combination of Curtis-Godson approximation and the statistical model curve (3); for the 9.6μO₃ band. All the curves are calculated for Rec. 9 using the ozone distribution described in Fig. 2. Details for Record 9 are given in Table 1. The two upper curves are displaced by 20%.
Figure 5. Comparison of transmittance obtained by exact line by line calculation, curve (1); by the combination of Curtis-Godson approximation and line by line calculation, curve (2); and by the combination of Curtis-Godson approximation and the statistical model, curve (3); for the 9.6 μm O3 band. All the curves are calculated for Rec 20 using the O3 concentration distribution described in Fig. 2. Details for Rec. 20 are given in Table 1. The two upper curves are displaced by 20%.
Figure 6. Comparison of transmittance obtained by exact line by line calculation, curve (1); by the combination of Curtis-Godson approximation and line by line calculation, curve (2); and by the combination of Curtis-Godson approximation and the statistical model, curve (3); for the 9.6μm band. All the curves are calculated for Rec. 61 using the ozone distribution described in Fig. 2. Details for Rec. 61 are given in Table 1. The two upper curves are displaced by 20%.
TABLE 1

Times, altitudes, pressures and sec θ factors for selected records; the last 16 records were analyzed by the statistical model combined with Curtis-Godson approximation (see Fig. 3).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rec</th>
<th>Time (MST)</th>
<th>Altitude (km)</th>
<th>P (mb)</th>
<th>Sec θ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7:54</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>872</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8:07</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>8:46</td>
<td>10.79</td>
<td>235</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>8:50</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>8:54</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>167</td>
<td>1.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>9:28</td>
<td>20.02</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>9:33</td>
<td>21.21</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>9:37</td>
<td>22.25</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>10:07</td>
<td>28.60</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>1.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>10:50</td>
<td>29.40</td>
<td>13.0</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>11:03</td>
<td>29.20</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>11:07</td>
<td>29.20</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>1.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>58</td>
<td>11:33</td>
<td>28.30</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>11:45</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>12:07</td>
<td>26.50</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>12:24</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>22.1</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71</td>
<td>12:28</td>
<td>25.80</td>
<td>22.4</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>12:37</td>
<td>25.90</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>1.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 2

Altitudes, sec θ factors, equivalent pressures, equivalent path lengths and amounts of ozone for the three records, selected for comparison of the three methods of calculation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rec.</th>
<th>Altitude (km)</th>
<th>Sec θ</th>
<th>PE( atm)</th>
<th>Lg(cm)</th>
<th>(cm-atm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>0.05125</td>
<td>9.419</td>
<td>0.2165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.83</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>0.03715</td>
<td>9.483</td>
<td>0.2060</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61</td>
<td>27.50</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>0.00957</td>
<td>9.894</td>
<td>0.0803</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3

Integrated absorption \( \int_{995.9}^{1068.7} A_\nu d\nu \) in cm\(^{-1}\) obtained by the three methods of calculation for the three selected records.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rec. 9</th>
<th>Rec. 20</th>
<th>Rec. 61</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Exact line by line</td>
<td>35.95</td>
<td>32.22</td>
<td>10.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis-Godson and line by line</td>
<td>38.34</td>
<td>31.12</td>
<td>10.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curtis-Godson and Statistical Model</td>
<td>38.02</td>
<td>31.02</td>
<td>10.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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