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ABSTRACT

Transmission spectra in the 7125-8000 cm\(^{-1}\) region have been obtained for CO\(_2\) samples with absorber thicknesses as great as 2.18 \times 10^3\) atm cm\(_{STP}\). Three absorption bands not observed previously have been identified, and the strengths of several bands have been determined. Transmission spectra and a table of integrated absorptance versus wavenumber are included for five representative samples.
The region between 7125 and 8000 cm⁻¹ contains several CO₂ absorption bands which are too weak to play a very important part in the radiative transfer in the earth's atmosphere. However, since a large portion of this region contains very little absorption by H₂O and other gases in the earth's atmosphere, CO₂ absorption by the atmospheres of other planets can be studied at ground level with little interference from our own atmosphere. In order to interpret the results of such a study, it is necessary to identify the bands and to know the strengths, widths, and positions of absorption lines.

Courtoy¹ has observed and identified the more important bands in this region and has determined the positions of many of the lines. We have used larger samples in order to study the weaker bands and have determined the strengths of several bands from measurements on samples at high pressures. Besides a listing of the strengths of several of the bands, this report contains detailed results for five representative samples. Included are replotted spectra with several of the bands identified and a table of integrated absorptance versus wavenumber. Tables of transmittance versus wavenumber are available from the authors for workers who require them.
EXPERIMENTAL

Samples of CO₂ were contained in two different multiple-pass absorption cells. The longer one was used at path lengths of 469 and 933 meters and at 1 and 2.5 atm pressure. The shorter one was employed at 14.6 atm with 16.5 and 32.9 meter paths. The absorption cells and sampling procedure have been described previously in considerable detail.²,³

The absorber thickness \( u \) was calculated by use of the following equation.

\[
\text{u(atm cm}^{\text{STP}}\text{) } = \text{p(atm)} \times \text{L(cm)} \left[ 1 + 0.005\text{p} \right] \times \frac{273}{296},
\]

where \( p \) is the CO₂ pressure and \( L \) is the geometrical path length. The factor \((273/296)\) accounts for the difference in densities at standard temperature \((273^\circ K)\) and at room temperature \((296^\circ K)\) at which the measurements were made. The quantity \((1 + 0.005\text{p})\) accounts for the non-linearity in the relation between the density of CO₂ and its pressure.

The CO₂ contained traces of H₂O impurity which caused absorption near a few of the CO₂ bands. No absorption by other impurities was observed. It is probably safe to assume that all the isotopes were present in their natural abundances (\(^{12}\text{C}, 98.97\%; \(^{13}\text{C}, 1.1\%; \(^{16}\text{O}, 99.76\%; \(^{17}\text{O}, 0.04\%; \(^{18}\text{O}, 0.20\%).\)

Spectra were scanned by the use of a small grating spectrometer enclosed in a vacuum tank. The transmittance of a sample was determined by comparing its spectrum with a background curve scanned over the same region and with the same experimental conditions except that the absorption cell was evacuated. Each spectrum was examined and compared with others as a check for consistency. Spectra of H₂O + N₂ were used to determine the contribution of the H₂O impurity. Each spectrum was then replotted and digitized by the method described previously.²,³ Pairs of values related to transmittance and wavenumber were punched on IBM cards which served as
input for a computer program used to calculate transmittance and integrated absorptance as a function of wavenumber. The sample parameters for five representative samples scanned with a spectral slitwidth of approximately 1.3 cm\(^{-1}\) are given in Table 1. The positions of many of the CO\(_2\) lines given by Courtoy\(^1\) and H\(_2\)O lines from an article by Nelson\(^4\) were used for wavenumber calibration.

**TABLE 1**

SAMPLE PARAMETERS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample No.</th>
<th>(p) torr</th>
<th>(p) atm</th>
<th>(u) atm cm(^{STP})</th>
<th>(L) Path Meters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>(2.18 \times 10^5)</td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,900</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>(1.09 \times 10^5)</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>760</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>(8.65 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>933</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>11,100</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>(4.76 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>32.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11,100</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>(2.38 \times 10^4)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 2 contains a list of all the CO\textsubscript{2} bands in the 7125-8000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region which one might expect to absorb appreciably for the samples included in the present investigation. The positions of the centers of several of the bands have been measured by Courtoy.\textsuperscript{1} The centers of the other bands were determined from energy levels calculated by Stull, Wyatt, and Plass.\textsuperscript{5}

Since, for CO\textsubscript{2}, \( v_1 \approx 2v_2 \) (1388.2 \( \approx 2 \times 667.4 \)),\textsuperscript{1} bands arising from transitions for which \( \Delta v_3 \) is the same and \( 2\Delta v_1 + \Delta v_2 \) is constant occur near each other. (\( \Delta v_1 \) is the change in \( v_1 \), the quantum number associated with \( v_1 \), etc.) Most of the absorption in the 7125-8000 cm\textsuperscript{-1} region is due to bands in which \( \Delta v_3 = 1 \), and \( 2\Delta v_1 + \Delta v_2 = 8 \). The first five bands listed in Table 2 form a group in which \( \Delta v_1 \) varies from 0 to 4, with \( \Delta v_2 \) taking on even values between 0 and 8. The lower energy level involved in the transitions for these five bands is 00\textsuperscript{00}.

In any theoretical analysis of such a group of bands, it makes little difference which one of the sets of quantum numbers corresponds to a given band. In fact, because of Fermi interactions, it is impossible in some instances to be sure of the proper assignment. For this reason, it has been suggested recently that a new notation be adopted for CO\textsubscript{2} bands. Although the new notation used in this report may be slightly different from the one which eventually will have the most widespread usage, it is certainly similar in some respects. In the new notation, all the energy levels in a group are denoted by three numbers in the following order: the maximum value of \( v_1 \), the minimum \( v_2 \), and \( v_3 \). These three numbers are followed by Roman numeral subscripts I, II, . . . , with I assigned to the highest level, II to the second highest, etc. Table 2 includes both the old and new notations for the energy levels involved in the transitions.
TABLE 2
CO$_2$ ABSORPTION BANDS BETWEEN 7125 AND 8000 cm$^{-1}$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band Center cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Authority</th>
<th>Upper Level$^b$</th>
<th>Lower Level</th>
<th>Remark$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Old Notation</td>
<td>New Notation</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7920.5</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>40$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_I$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7734.30</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>32$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_{II}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7593.54</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>24$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_{III}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7460.37</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>16$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_{IV}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7284.22</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>08$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_V$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7589.44</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>32$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_{II}$</td>
<td>C$^{13}{O_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7481.34</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>24$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_{III}$</td>
<td>C$^{13}{O_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7332.39</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>16$^0_1$</td>
<td>401$_{IV}$</td>
<td>C$^{13}{O_2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7758.3</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>33$^1_1$</td>
<td>411$_{II}$</td>
<td>Lower Level is 01$^1_0$ (010$_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7584.4</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>25$^1_1$</td>
<td>411$_{III}$</td>
<td>Lower Level is 01$^1_0$ (010$_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7415.2</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>17$^1_1$</td>
<td>411$_{IV}$</td>
<td>Lower Level is 01$^1_0$ (010$_1$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7901.5</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>13$^1_2$</td>
<td>212$_{II}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7743.8</td>
<td>SWP</td>
<td>05$^2_2$</td>
<td>212$_{III}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7981.17</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>02$^0_3$</td>
<td>103$_{II}$</td>
<td>C$^{13}{O_2}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Authority for position of band center. C denotes experimental value from Courtoy. SWP indicates that the band center has been determined from energy levels calculated by Stull, Wyatt, and Plass.

$^b$ The upper level of the transition is given in both the old and new notation. The lower level is 00$^0_0$ (000$_1$) unless indicated otherwise.

$^c$ Molecular species is C$^{12}{O_2}$ except as indicated.
Transmittance spectra of the five samples listed in Table 1 are shown in Fig. 1 with the corresponding sample numbers enclosed in squares. Most of the absorption between 7400 and 7800 cm⁻¹ is due to the 401_{II}, 401_{III} and 401_{IV} bands of the most abundant isotopic species, C¹²O¹₆. The corresponding bands for the C¹³O¹₆ isotope cannot be seen in our results; the 401_{II} and 401_{III} bands are masked by stronger bands which overlap them, and the 401_{IV} band, whose band center is calculated to be at 7332.39 cm⁻¹, was not observed since it is probably very weak and occurs near some H₂O lines appearing in the spectrum as a result of the impurity in the sample. Absorption by the less abundant isotopes and by weaker bands of C¹³O¹₆ would, of course, be less and probably not observable in the spectra of samples included in the present investigation.

Evidence of the difference band 411_{II} - 010_I can be seen on the high wavenumber side of its associated combination band 401_{II} which occurs 24 cm⁻¹ below. (The changes in all the quantum numbers are the same for a combination band as for its associated difference band.) The 411_{III} - 010_I band is masked by its associated combination band 401_{III}, while slight evidence of the 411_{IV} - 010_I band appears just above 7400 cm⁻¹ on the low wavenumber side of 411_{IV}. A few H₂O lines near 7400 cm⁻¹ make it difficult to determine the true shape of the CO₂ spectrum in this region. The 212_{II} band whose center is at 7743.8 cm⁻¹ is probably masked by the stronger 401_{II} band.

The bands near 8000 cm⁻¹ and the one near 7300 cm⁻¹ are so weak that only the sample having maximum absorber thickness (Sample 1) produced appreciable absorption. There is considerable uncertainty in the spectrum near 7300 cm⁻¹ because of possible errors in accounting for absorption by the H₂O impurity. Because of the small amount of absorption in the 7850-8000 cm⁻¹ region, the true shape of the spectrum is difficult to determine; the curve of this region in Fig. 1 represents the average of three curves. The transmittance minimum near 7900 cm⁻¹ is probably due to the Q-branch of the 212_{II} band, and the two maxima near 7920 and 7980 are probably at the centers of the bands indicated. No absorption other than that shown in Fig. 1 was observed between 7125 and 8000 cm⁻¹.

The 212_I band and the remainder of the 103 group for both C¹³O¹₆ and C¹²O¹₆ occur at higher wavenumbers and have been discussed previously.
FIG. 1 TRANSMISSION SPECTRA OF CO₂ BETWEEN 7250 AND 8000 cm⁻¹.

No absorption other than that indicated was observed between 7125 and 8000 cm⁻¹. The sample numbers are enclosed in squares, and the positions of several band centers are indicated. A C₁₃₀₂₆ band is denoted by (13); all others are C₁₂₀₁₆.
INTEGRATED ABSORPTANCE

Values of the integrated absorptance \( \int A(v)dv \) are presented in Table 3 for Samples 1-5. The three parts of the table correspond to the three stronger regions of absorption between 7400 and 7800 cm\(^{-1}\). Each column represents the sample indicated at the top. The lower limit of integration, \( v' \), which is shown at the top of each column, was chosen at a point where there was no absorption. The integrated absorptance between any two wavenumbers listed can be found by subtracting the values tabulated at those two points. In regions where there is structure in the recorded spectra, the integral is tabulated at points midway between the centers of the absorption lines. In other regions where the spectra are smooth, tabulations are made in intervals of 2 or 5 cm\(^{-1}\).
Band Strengths

The strength, or intensity, of an absorption band is given by

\[ S_v = \int K(v) dv \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where the integration is performed over all \( v \) for which there is appreciable absorption by the band. \( K(v) \), the absorption coefficient, is related to \( T'(v) \) the true transmittance that would be observed with infinite resolving power by

\[ K(v) = -\frac{1}{u} \ln T'(v). \]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

In regions where two or more bands overlap each other, the value of \( K(v) \) used in (2) to determine a band strength must include only the contribution by the band of interest. We have shown in a previous report that under conditions of sufficiently high pressure and high transmittance,

\[ \int \ln T'(v) dv \approx \int \ln T(v) dv, \]

where \( T(v) \) is the observed transmittance. Thus it is possible to determine the strength of a band, or at least of a region containing overlapping bands, from the spectrum of a sample satisfying these conditions.

From the transmission spectra shown in Fig. 1, we were able to determine \( \int \ln T(v) dv \) for different spectral regions. We then estimated the contributions of some of the weaker bands in order to calculate the strengths of overlapping stronger bands. The results are summarized in Table 4. For example, \( \int \ln T(v) dv = 12.2 \times 10^{-5} \text{ atm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ STP cm}^{-1} \) for the 400-750 cm\(^{-1}\) region. We know that at least three bands contribute to the absorption in this region: the 401 IV and its associated difference band 411 IV - 010, as well as the 401 III band of \( ^{12} \text{C}_2 \). The contribution of the latter band is very small \( (\sim 0.3 \times 10^{-5}) \) and can be estimated from the strength of the corresponding band of \( ^{13} \text{C} \) by assuming that their strengths are proportional to the abundances of the C isotopes (1.1/98.9). We can also show from quantum theory that, at room temperature, the strength of a \( \Pi - \Pi \) difference band such as 411 IV - 010 is 0.078 times that of the associated combination band 401 IV which arises from a transition from the 000 state. By using this relationship, we were able to show that the strength of the 401 IV band is 11.0 \( \times 10^{-5} \text{ atm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ STP cm}^{-1} \). A similar method was used for the 401 III band. In order to estimate the strength of the 401III band, we had to assume a value for the 212 II band. For lack of any better we used 0.45 \( \text{ atm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ STP cm}^{-1} \), the value determined for the
### TABLE 4

**STRENGTHS OF ABSORPTION BANDS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band Center cm⁻¹</th>
<th>Upper Level</th>
<th>$S_0^*$ cm⁻¹ atm⁻¹ cm⁻¹ STP</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7920.5</td>
<td>401_I</td>
<td>$0.55 \pm 0.25 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7734.30</td>
<td>401_II</td>
<td>$7.65 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Based on $8.75 \times 10^{-5}$ for 7675-7790 cm⁻¹ region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7593.54</td>
<td>401_III</td>
<td>$28.6 \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Based on $31.1 \times 10^{-5}$ for 7510-7630 cm⁻¹ region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7460.37</td>
<td>401_IV</td>
<td>$11.0 \pm 0.6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>Based on $12.2 \times 10^{-5}$ for 7400-7500 cm⁻¹ region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7284.22</td>
<td>401_V</td>
<td>$0.55 \pm 0.25 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7901.5</td>
<td>212_II</td>
<td>$0.45 \pm 0.20 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7981.17</td>
<td>$103_II C^{13}O_2$</td>
<td>$0.60 \pm 0.20 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The subscript (STP) is used to emphasize that the strengths were calculated by using absorber thicknesses normalized to standard temperature and pressure. The measurements were made at room temperature 296°K.*

212_II band. If the actual strength is less than our assumed value, only a few percent error is introduced in our value for the 401_II band. It seems unlikely that the 212_III band is significantly stronger than we assumed since there is no strong evidence for it in the spectra shown in Fig. 1. Furthermore, Courtoy did not observe it in his high-resolution spectra.

Although there are no overlapping CO₂ bands, the uncertainty in the strength of the 401_V band is large because of errors in accounting for H₂O absorption. Because of the small amount of absorption and overlapping of the bands between 7850 and 8000 cm⁻¹, the strengths of the bands in r' s region could not be determined accurately. The contribution of each band was estimated by dividing the absorption into portions which seemed consistent with the contour of the spectrum shown in Fig. 1.
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Absorption By CO₂ Between 7125 and 8000 cm⁻¹ (1.25-1.40 Microns)

Transmission spectra in the 7125-8000/cm⁻¹ region have been obtained for CO₂ samples with absorber thicknesses as great as $2.18 \times 10^5$ atm cm STP. Three absorption bands not observed previously have been identified, and the strengths of several bands have been determined. Transmission spectra and a table of integrated absorptance versus wavenumber are included for five representative samples.
**UNCLASSIFIED**

**Security Classification**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>KEY WORDS</th>
<th>LINK A</th>
<th>LINK B</th>
<th>LINK C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrared Absorption</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Band Strengths</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**INSTRUCTIONS**

1. **ORIGINATING ACTIVITY:** Enter the name and address of the contractor, subcontractor, grantee, Department of Defense activity or other organization (corporate author) issuing the report.

2a. **REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION:** Enter the overall security classification of the report. Indicate whether "Restricted Data" is included. Marking is to be in accordance with appropriate security regulations.

2b. **GROUP:** Automatic downgrading is specified in DoD Directive 5200.10 and Armed Forces Industrial Manual. Enter the group number. Also, when applicable, show that optional markings have been used for Group 3 and Group 4 as authorized.

3. **REPORT TITLE:** Enter the complete report title in all capital letters. Titles in all cases should be unclassified. If a meaningful title cannot be selected without classification, show title classification in all capitals in parenthesis immediately following the title.

4. **DESCRIPTIVE NOTES:** If appropriate, enter the type of report, e.g., interim, progress, summary, annual, or final. Give the inclusive dates when a specific reporting period is covered.

5. **AUTHOR(S):** Enter the name(s) of author(s) as shown on or in the report. Enter last name, first name, middle initial. If military, show rank and branch of service. The name of the principal author is an absolute minimum requirement.

6. **REPORT DATE:** Enter the date of the report as day, month, year, or month, year. If more than one date appears on the report, use date of publication.

7a. **TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES:** The total page count should follow normal pagination procedure, i.e., enter the number of pages containing information.

7b. **NUMBER OF REFERENCES:** Enter the total number of references cited in the report.

8a. **CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER:** If appropriate, enter the applicable number of the contract or grant under which the report was written.

8b, 8c, & 8d. **PROJECT NUMBER:** Enter the appropriate military department identification, such as project number, subproject number, system numbers, task number, etc.

9a. **ORIGINATOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S):** Enter the official report number by which the document will be identified and controlled by the originating activity. This number must be unique to this report.

9b. **OTHER REPORT NUMBER(S):** If the report has been assigned any other report numbers (either by the originator or by the sponsor), also enter this number(s).

10. **AVAILABILITY/LIMITATION NOTICES:** Enter any limitations on further dissemination of the report, other than those imposed by security classification, using standard statements such as:

   (1) "Qualified requesters may obtain copies of this report from DDC."

   (2) "Foreign announcement and dissemination of this report by DDC is not authorized."

   (3) "U. S. Government agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified DDC users shall request through "

   (4) "U. S. military agencies may obtain copies of this report directly from DDC. Other qualified users shall request through"

   (5) "All distribution of this report is controlled. Qualified DDC users shall request through"

If the report has been furnished to the Office of Technical Services, Department of Commerce, for sale to the public, indicate this fact and enter the price, if known.

11. **SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES:** Use for additional explanatory notes.

12. **SPONSORING MILITARY ACTIVITY:** Enter the name of the departmental project office or laboratory sponsoring (paying for) the research and development. Include address.

13. **ABSTRACT:** Enter an abstract giving a brief and factual summary of the document indicative of the report, even though it may also appear elsewhere in the body of the technical report. If additional space is required, a continuation sheet shall be attached.

It is highly desirable that the abstract of classified reports be unclassified. Each paragraph of the abstract shall end with an indication of the military security classification of the information in the paragraph, represented as (TS), (S), (C), or (U).

There is no limitation on the length of the abstract. However, the suggested length is from 150 to 225 words.

14. **KEY WORDS:** Key words are technically meaningful terms or short phrases that characterize a report and may be used as index entries for cataloging the report. Key words must be selected so that no security classification is required. Identifiers, such as equipment model designation, "trade name, military project code name, geographic location, may be used as key words but will be followed by an indication of technical context. The assignment of links, rules, and weights is optional.