COMPARISON OF GENERAL PERTURBATIONS
AND SPECIAL PERTURBATIONS EPHEMERIDES

JUNE 1966

E. H. Larson
J. B. Frazer

Prepared for
SYSTEM PROGRAM OFFICE (496L/474L)
SURVEILLANCE & CONTROL SYSTEMS
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts
When US Government drawings, specifications, or other data are used for any purpose other than a definitely related government procurement operation, the government thereby incurs no responsibility nor any obligation whatsoever; and the fact that the government may have formulated, furnished, or in any way supplied the said drawings, specifications, or other data is not to be regarded by implication or otherwise, as in any manner licensing the holder or any other person or corporation, or conveying any rights or permission to manufacture, use, or sell any patented invention that may in any way be related thereto.

Do not return this copy. Retain or destroy.
COMPARISON OF GENERAL PERTURBATIONS
AND SPECIAL PERTURBATIONS EPHEMERIDES

JUNE 1966

E. H. Larson
J. B. Frazer

Prepared for
SYSTEM PROGRAM OFFICE (496L/474L)
SURVEILLANCE & CONTROL SYSTEMS
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS DIVISION
AIR FORCE SYSTEMS COMMAND
UNITED STATES AIR FORCE
L. G. Hanscom Field, Bedford, Massachusetts
ABSTRACT

The accuracy of the SPACETRACK General Perturbations program over short periods is evaluated for a number of cases. They show that oscillatory terms resulting from drag perturbations contribute heavily to errors at low altitudes, and that these terms must be eliminated if the first order theory is to be used for high accuracy in these circumstances. It appears that the evaluation technique employed would be useful in addressing a number of other problems; several promising applications are discussed.
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GLOSSARY

A cross-sectional area
a semimajor axis
$C_D$ drag coefficient
e eccentricity
H atmospheric scale height
h perigee in distance above earth's surface
L mean longitude
m mass of satellite
$\frac{1}{2} \dot{n}$ rate of change of mean motion
P period
q perigee in distance from center of earth
t time since epoch
v velocity of satellite with respect to air mass
$\beta$ ballistic coefficient
$\rho$ atmospheric density
$\rho_o$ atmospheric density at perigee
$\chi$ dimensionless drag parameter $= \rho_o \beta q$
SECTION I
INTRODUCTION

In the SPACETRACK System, it is customary to use a special perturbations program* when a high degree of accuracy is desired over a short interval of time. The purpose of this investigation is to determine whether and under what circumstances a first order general perturbations program** may be used instead; and if possible, determine whether any modifications to it are feasible. The interval of time considered in this study is of the order of 1-1/2 days, and thus interest is in accuracy of the order of 1 km. Most of the study deals with low altitudes since drag is the perturbative force.

There already was in existence before the start of this investigation a program called DCMOD64, written by the Aeronutronic Division of the Philco Corporation, well suited for the purpose of making comparisons. In fact, without this program's prior existence, it would have been impossible to finish this study in a reasonable time. Other investigators have already used this program for similar studies.[1] In this study, we are concerned with a shorter period for the approximation interval and higher accuracies.

---

* A program in which the effects of the perturbing forces are numerically integrated.

** A program which employs an analytic theory of the effects of perturbing forces.
SECTION II
DESCRIPTION OF COMPUTER PROGRAM

The DCMOD64 program\[^2\] includes an orbital element correction routine, and several ephemeris computation subroutines. We have used a special option of this program for our study. In this option, the special perturbations subroutine generates an ephemeris from an initial set of orbital elements. This is then converted into 400 equally spaced (in time) observations from a hypothetical radar with spherical coverage. Then the general perturbations subroutine is used in the element correction routine to fit these observations. The final output is a table of discrepancies between the special and general perturbations ephemerides. The first order general perturbations theory is equivalent to Lyddane's modification of the Brouwer theory; it should achieve precisions on the order of 1 part in $10^6$, or 1 microradian, over $10^3$ radians of satellite motion, insofar as perturbations due to the earth's potential are concerned. Only the 3 largest zonal harmonics, $J_2 - J_4$, are included in the formulation. The subroutine also includes a formulation for the perturbative effects of solar radiation pressure, which were of little significance in this study. Other perturbations, of which air drag is the most significant, are accommodated by two empirical terms in the mean anomaly equation, $\frac{n}{2}$ and $\frac{n}{6}$, so that a correction to the mean anomaly is given in the form

$$\delta M = \frac{1}{2} \frac{n}{2} t^2 + \frac{1}{6} \frac{n}{6} t^3,$$

where $t$ is time since epoch.

Related corrections to the semimajor axis and the eccentricity are derived from these parameters under the assumption of constant perigee height. The special perturbation subroutine that generates the ephemeris takes into account
eight zonal harmonics, four tesseral harmonics, atmospheric drag, solar radiation pressure, and lunar and solar gravitational perturbations. It is possible to omit any or all of these in any run. The atmosphere model takes into account the diurnal bulge as well as solar activity, but these are not effective at the altitudes chosen for this study. The ballistic coefficient, $\beta$, is assumed constant. For the purpose of this study, it was necessary to modify the DCMOD program with octal corrections to make the time period 36 hours.*

*The authors are indebted to the cooperation and programming assistance of J. Kuhlman of Aeronutronic for obtaining data successfully.
SECTION III

DESCRIPTION OF CASES

Several different classes of satellites were simulated. All were specified by their initial osculating elements. All had an initial inclination of 49 degrees. Initial perigees used were:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h (km)</th>
<th>h (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>87.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>109.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>137.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300</td>
<td>164.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These were used in combination with initial eccentricities of:

0.0
0.001
0.01
0.1
0.2
0.3

Various combinations of the perigees and eccentricities were used in the program under three different classes of perturbations in the special perturbations program:

(a) all perturbations;

(b) only second, third, and fourth zonal harmonics of earth's potential;

and

(c) only atmospheric drag and second, third, and fourth zonal harmonics of earth's potential.
It was originally intended that all satellites have the same ballistic coefficient, \( \beta \). The value 0.02 m\(^2\)/kg was tried, since this appears to be a high average, \(^3\) and results using this value should be conservative. However, for some low-altitude satellites with small eccentricities, the special perturbations subroutine would not run 36 hours with this value, presumably because of decay, and so the smaller values listed in the tables were used in these cases.
SECTION IV
SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Thirty-six different cases were run; the results are summarized in Tables I, II, and III. Each of these tables presents the distinguishing initial conditions followed by columns containing five mean parameters determined by AGP; viz, h (computed perigee), e (eccentricity), a (semimajor axis), P (period), and \( \dot{h}/2 \) (rate of change of mean motion). These are followed by the errors in 36 hours of simulated time. The RMS error is the root mean square of all 400 error vector magnitudes in the 36-hour period. The maximum error is the maximum magnitude of the 400 vector errors in the same time period.

Table I contains the cases wherein all perturbations are included. Table II presents the cases wherein the only perturbations are the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th zonal harmonic terms of the earth's potential. Table III, which presents the cases in which the only perturbations are atmospheric drag and the oblate earth terms, contains two additional columns, the first of which is adjusted RMS errors. These are the RMS values adjusted by multiplying the factor 0.02/\( \beta \). This is done to facilitate comparison of results for different cases since, presumably, errors are roughly proportional to the ballistic coefficient. The figures in the other additional column are normalized RMS errors. These are nondimensional quantities consisting of the RMS error value divided by the quantity \( ax/e \), where \( x = \rho_o \beta q \) is a nondimensional parameter which gives the order of magnitude of the errors due to atmospheric drag. A fuller discussion of these quantities is presented later.

---

* AGP is the acronym of the general perturbations routine designed for non-equatorial cases.
### TABLE I

Errors in Approximating Ephemeris by General Perturbations - (All perturbations included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Number</th>
<th>Satellite Number</th>
<th>( h_0 ) (km)</th>
<th>( e_0 )</th>
<th>( \delta^2 ) (m²/kg)</th>
<th>( h ) (km)</th>
<th>( e )</th>
<th>( a ) (earth radii)</th>
<th>( P ) (minutes)</th>
<th>( \frac{\Delta \times 10^{10}}{2} ) (per min²)</th>
<th>Errors in 36 Hrs.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>.001853</td>
<td>1.023967</td>
<td>87.535</td>
<td>294.5</td>
<td>2,568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>.001434</td>
<td>1.024969</td>
<td>87.663</td>
<td>352.8</td>
<td>3,566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>152.5</td>
<td>.009824</td>
<td>1.034056</td>
<td>88.832</td>
<td>822.5</td>
<td>6,952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>106</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>158.9</td>
<td>.099078</td>
<td>1.137627</td>
<td>102.508</td>
<td>385.1</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>167</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>289.6</td>
<td>.001277</td>
<td>1.045942</td>
<td>90.368</td>
<td>36.6</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>168</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>294.1</td>
<td>.000843</td>
<td>1.046989</td>
<td>90.504</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>169</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>298.9</td>
<td>.009130</td>
<td>1.056498</td>
<td>91.740</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>299.0</td>
<td>.099104</td>
<td>1.162047</td>
<td>103.827</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RMS: (m)  Max: (m)
### TABLE II

Errors in Approximating Ephemeris by General Perturbations -
(Only 2nd, 3rd, and 4th zonal harmonic perturbations included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Number</th>
<th>Satellite Number</th>
<th>$h_o$ (km)</th>
<th>$e_o$</th>
<th>$\delta$$^{m^2/kg}$</th>
<th>$b$ (km)</th>
<th>$e$</th>
<th>$a$ (Earth radii)</th>
<th>$P$ (minutes)</th>
<th>$\frac{\Delta_x}{t \times 10^{10}}$</th>
<th>RMS (m)</th>
<th>Max. (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>149.6</td>
<td>.001234</td>
<td>1.023952</td>
<td>87.533</td>
<td>-.0773</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>114</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>154.2</td>
<td>.000788</td>
<td>1.024976</td>
<td>87.664</td>
<td>-.0351</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>115</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>158.8</td>
<td>.005091</td>
<td>1.034286</td>
<td>88.861</td>
<td>-.0587</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>116</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>159.0</td>
<td>.099057</td>
<td>1.137605</td>
<td>102.506</td>
<td>.0181</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>117</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>189.7</td>
<td>.001223</td>
<td>1.030230</td>
<td>88.339</td>
<td>.0256</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>194.2</td>
<td>.000782</td>
<td>1.031261</td>
<td>88.472</td>
<td>-.0516</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>119</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>.009092</td>
<td>1.040627</td>
<td>89.680</td>
<td>.0338</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>199.0</td>
<td>.099068</td>
<td>1.14586</td>
<td>103.450</td>
<td>-.0187</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>121</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>.199068</td>
<td>1.287491</td>
<td>123.419</td>
<td>-.0173</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>122</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>.599051</td>
<td>2.058460</td>
<td>249.515</td>
<td>.0096</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE III

Errors in Approximating Ephemeris by General Perturbations -
(Only atmospheric and 2nd, 3rd, and 4th zonal harmonic perturbations included)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job Number</th>
<th>Satellite Number</th>
<th>h (km)</th>
<th>e₀</th>
<th>B(m²/kg)</th>
<th>h (km)</th>
<th>e</th>
<th>a (earth radii)</th>
<th>P (minutes)</th>
<th>( \frac{\beta}{2} \times 10^{10} ) (per min²)</th>
<th>RMS</th>
<th>Max.</th>
<th>Adjusted RMS</th>
<th>Normalized RMS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>123</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>146.1</td>
<td>.001858</td>
<td>1.023951</td>
<td>87.532</td>
<td>295.3</td>
<td>2.334</td>
<td>10.60</td>
<td>50.780</td>
<td>4.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>162.0</td>
<td>.001409</td>
<td>1.026452</td>
<td>87.661</td>
<td>352.8</td>
<td>3.518</td>
<td>13.40</td>
<td>35.180</td>
<td>5.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>150.3</td>
<td>.010044</td>
<td>1.033947</td>
<td>88.818</td>
<td>685.1</td>
<td>9.177</td>
<td>38.40</td>
<td>9.177</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>126</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>158.9</td>
<td>.099068</td>
<td>1.137611</td>
<td>102.506</td>
<td>386.6</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>2.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.015</td>
<td>181.7</td>
<td>.002057</td>
<td>1.030060</td>
<td>88.310</td>
<td>291.0</td>
<td>6.585</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td>8.780</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>128</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>201.4</td>
<td>.001191</td>
<td>1.031037</td>
<td>88.443</td>
<td>319.8</td>
<td>5.646</td>
<td>23.70</td>
<td>5.646</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>197.9</td>
<td>.002348</td>
<td>1.040630</td>
<td>89.680</td>
<td>258.5</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>2420</td>
<td>585</td>
<td>1.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>130</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>.099013</td>
<td>1.144586</td>
<td>103.451</td>
<td>77.84</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>131</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.2</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>.199069</td>
<td>1.287491</td>
<td>123.419</td>
<td>49.87</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>.5</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>198.9</td>
<td>.499051</td>
<td>2.058461</td>
<td>249.515</td>
<td>17.48</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>213</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>237.2</td>
<td>.001559</td>
<td>1.038083</td>
<td>89.351</td>
<td>162.3</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>5200</td>
<td>1.195</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>214</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>254.9</td>
<td>.001015</td>
<td>1.039120</td>
<td>89.485</td>
<td>131.2</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>5340</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>215</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>248.7</td>
<td>.009138</td>
<td>1.048555</td>
<td>90.707</td>
<td>53.42</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>671</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>216</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>249.0</td>
<td>.099081</td>
<td>1.153307</td>
<td>104.635</td>
<td>16.21</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>289.4</td>
<td>.001269</td>
<td>1.045927</td>
<td>90.366</td>
<td>37.06</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>218</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>294.1</td>
<td>.000827</td>
<td>1.046973</td>
<td>90.501</td>
<td>31.72</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>219</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>298.8</td>
<td>.009128</td>
<td>1.056482</td>
<td>91.737</td>
<td>19.93</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>246</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>.1</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>299.0</td>
<td>.099096</td>
<td>1.162027</td>
<td>105.824</td>
<td>4.22</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A point of interest that does not appear in these tables is the nature of the error as a function of time, or alternatively, as a function of true argument of latitude. Generally speaking, in the cases in which only atmospheric and zonal harmonic perturbations are considered, the out-of-plane component is an order of magnitude smaller than the other two components. Both the along-track and radial components have a decidedly oscillatory behavior, with maximum amplitude at the ends of the simulation interval and a phase change near the center of the interval. Figure 1 shows the three components of the error as a function of observation number, which is proportional to time, for job 213, which may be regarded as archetypical of the runs in Table III.
Figure 1. Vector Errors in Approximating Ephemeris by General Perturbations for Job 213

\( h_0 = 250 \text{ KM} \)

\( e_0 = 0 \)
SECTION V
DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

GENERAL

The runs in Table I were made primarily to determine regions wherein the first-order general perturbations theory is adequate by comparison with the best available model of the real world. They clearly show that for satellites with a 160-km perigee, the theory will give errors much larger than 1 km for eccentricities less than 0.01. A comparison with the cases in Table III at this same perigee shows a very high correlation. This strongly suggests that, at this perigee, the oblate earth and atmospheric perturbations are the only ones which are significant for short periods. This was the reason for confining the rest of the study to an examination of drag effects. A comparison of the data at the 300-km perigee shows a much poorer correlation — other perturbations are much more significant in proportion — but the others would still be small enough to be acceptable if the drag errors could be eliminated. In general, the data in Table I suggest that the existing first-order theory is adequate for any altitude above 350 km.

The runs in Table II, with only $J_2 - J_4$ zonal harmonic perturbations, were intended to provide a comparison for the runs in Table III which has atmospheric drag as well as the $J_2 - J_4$ zonal harmonic perturbations. Since the first-order theory accounts for these zonal harmonic perturbations, the errors should be on the order of 6 to 18 meters for all Table II cases. The reason they are not zero is not entirely clear.

Part of the difference arises from the fact that the $J_2 - J_4$ values stored in the special and general perturbations subroutines do not agree. This disagreement arises because the special perturbations set, with
12 parameters, and the general perturbations set, with 3 parameters, have been independently adjusted for a best fit with the observed motion of satellites. This discrepancy was not discovered sufficiently early in the study for corrective measures to be taken. As a check on the significance of the discrepancy, job 115 was rerun. The maximum error was reduced from 308 meters to 18 meters, while the RMS error was reduced from 68 meters to 6.8 meters. The oscillatory pattern of the errors remains, but there is no apparent tendency for the amplitude of the oscillation to grow with time.

A second phenomena is evident in Table II: the errors grow with decreasing eccentricity. It is possible that this is due to the discrepancy in the $J_2 - J_4$ terms; on the other hand, it may reflect numerical problems in the element correction process.

The convergence of the solutions appeared to be rather slow in all cases; from 6 to 8 Phase II iterations were usually required for convergence to a 1-percent change in the RMS of the vector magnitudes.

DRAG EFFECTS

Table III presents the errors in runs with drag perturbations as well as the $J_2 - J_4$ zonal harmonics. Since the general perturbations subroutines account for the harmonics with the accuracies given in Table II, the additional errors in these cases must be due to drag alone or to cross-coupling between drag and zonal harmonics. The magnitude of the acceleration of a satellite due to drag is given by

$$\frac{1}{2} \rho v^2 \beta.$$ 

*In Phase I, only the mean anomaly or "time" equation is corrected; in Phase II, all elements are corrected.*
where \( \rho \) is the density, \( v \) is the velocity with respect to the air mass, and \( \beta \), the ballistic coefficient, is given by

\[
\beta = C_D A / m,
\]

where \( C_D \) is the drag coefficient, \( A \) is the cross-sectional area of the satellite, and \( m \) is its mass.

In general, as a satellite rotates, neither \( C_D \) nor \( A \) remains constant so that \( \beta \) varies with the orientation. However, for satellites in which the ratio of the longest to shortest dimension is no larger than two or three, the product does not vary very much, and, for a given satellite, \( \beta \) varies perhaps by 20 percent or less.\(^{[4]}\) However, \( \beta \) does vary considerably from one satellite to another because of differences of mass. The value of \( 0.02 \) \( m^2 / \text{kg} \) used in the simulation is conservative in that few satellites could be expected to have a larger value.

Air density, \( \rho \), decreases quite rapidly with altitude. For altitudes between 160 and 300 km, the scale height, \( H \), given by

\[
H = -\rho \frac{d\rho}{dz},
\]

is of the order of 25 to 50 km.\(^{[5]}\)

Since perigee does not change very rapidly for satellites above 200 km, a useful dimensionless parameter that given the scale of perturbations is

\[
\chi = \rho_0 \beta q,
\]

where \( \rho_0 \) is density at perigee, \( q \).

* Pages 12 to 17.
The last column of Table III shows a definite correlation between RMS errors and $a \chi/e$. The reason for the inverse variation of the RMS errors with eccentricity is not known. Quite possibly the problem will prove to be identical to that in the nondrag cases of Table II; the amplitude and growth of the oscillations, however, is substantially greater.

In an analysis in terms of coordinates, Geyling [6] obtained terms similar to this. His factor of proportionality does not appear to vary inversely as the eccentricity; perhaps this is because his atmospheric density model is independent of altitude. Analysis along these lines, using a more realistic density model, might show results similar to those obtained here, although, at best, preliminary analysis has shown a variation inversely as the square root of the eccentricity.
SECTION VI

CONCLUSIONS

The techniques employed for this study have considerable potential for studying the performance of the DCMOD64 element correction routines. The rather slow convergence and possible poor performance for low eccentricity deserve further study. Other areas of interest include the dependence of quality of fit on amount of data and length of arc. By using an identical ephemeris subroutine for data generation and fitting, it is possible to check numerical and partial derivative problems. By using special and general perturbations routines with identical harmonics, it is possible to crosscheck the mathematical formulations, to determine the intervals over which the routines remain valid and to determine what length of fit is necessary to prevent second order terms in the semimajor axis from propagating into the mean motion. By using the full set of harmonics in the special perturbations subroutine and the $J_2 - J_4$ set in the general perturbations subroutine, the need for additional general perturbations formulations can be assessed.

Similar tests can be made for the tesserals and drag perturbations. In the case of drag perturbations, it may well be the optimal procedure to develop additional general perturbations formulations based on an empirical analysis of the periodic residuals (for periodic terms), and on the analysis of mean elements for overlapping arcs (for secular terms). Among the more obvious questions that can be resolved by such techniques are the extent of gravitational drag cross-coupling in the motion of node and perigee, and the secular behavior of eccentricity.

For greater consistency and to avoid errors in using subsets of the full potential model, it is suggested that the DCMOD64 control logic for the
special perturbations subroutine be modified to permit selection of optimized subsets of the zonal and tesseral harmonics, rather than selective inclusion or deletion of individual harmonics. Based on reports in the literature of the techniques used to determine the harmonics, it is probably possible to treat even zonals, odd zonals, low-order tesserals \((n \leq 8)\), and high-order tesserals \((n \geq 13)\) as four independently optimized subjects. Suggested options would include the general perturbations subroutine values of \(J_2 - J_4\), the current full set of zonals \((J_2 - J_9\) or \(J_2 - J_{14}\)), and the full set of zonal harmonics plus all available tesserals.
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Comparison of General Perturbations and Special Perturbations Ephemerides

The accuracy of the SPACETRACK General Perturbations program over short periods is evaluated for a number of cases. They show that oscillatory terms resulting from drag perturbations contribute heavily to errors at low altitudes, and that these terms must be eliminated if the first order theory is to be used for high accuracy in these circumstances. It appears that the evaluation technique employed would be useful in addressing a number of other problems; several promising applications are discussed.
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