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The purpose of our work has been to study the collective elementary excitations, as well as the ground state properties, of the non-relativistic many-body system of bosons interacting with short range forces. The ultimate aim is the theory of superfluid helium, and we are therefore concerned with avoiding the limitations to low density and/or weak forces which characterize the Bogoliubov theory of the non-ideal Bose gas and those treatments which derive from it. In contrast to the fermion case, where the statistics tend to suppress the many-particle correlation effects of short range forces, there is in the boson case no reason to suppose that a free particle or pair approximation provides an adequate starting point for a perturbation procedure, except in the low density limit. Our basic approach, therefore, has been to explore the use of field theoretic representations which include from the start at least some collective many-body effects of the strong short-range interaction.

Quasi-Hydrodynamic Representation

The many-body Hamiltonian for interacting bosons (in the second-quantization formalism),

$$ H = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \int \left( \vec{\nabla} \Psi^\dagger \right) \cdot \left( \vec{\nabla} \Psi \right) d\tau + $$

$$ + \frac{1}{2} \iint V(\vec{r} - \vec{r}') \Psi^\dagger(\vec{r}) \Psi(\vec{r}') \Psi(\vec{r}) \Psi^\dagger(\vec{r}) d\tau d\tau' \quad (1) $$

can be put into a form paralleling the Hamiltonian of a classical irrotational fluid by going over to the action and angle variable operators $\varphi$, $S$, given by

$$ \Psi = e^{iS/\hbar} \varphi^{1/2} ; \quad \Psi^\dagger = \varphi^{1/2} e^{-iS/\hbar} \quad (2) $$
In the c-number limit the transformation (2) is canonical; however, as an operator transformation it is only approximately unitary: in treating \( \rho(\vec{r}) \), \( S(\vec{r}) \), or their Fourier transforms \( \rho_k \), \( S_k \), as operators satisfying canonical commutation relations

\[
[\rho(\vec{r}), S(\vec{r}')] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta(\vec{r} - \vec{r}')
\]

\[
[\rho_k, S_{-k'}] = \frac{\hbar}{i} \delta_{k, -k'}
\]

one is, in fact, ignoring the positive-semidefinite character of \( \rho \). Thus the representation is valid only for states in which the density fluctuations are small compared to the mean density, i.e.

\[
(\rho_k^+ \rho_k^-) \ll \rho_0
\]

In the case of weak interaction, for states exhibiting Bose condensation, the quasi-hydrodynamic representation can be shown to yield an approximation equivalent to the Bogoliubov treatment of the weakly non-ideal Bose gas, the Bogoliubov quasi-particles corresponding to density fluctuations.

For the case of strong interaction including a repulsive core of radius \( a \), the condition (4) is clearly violated for \( k \geq 2\pi/a \), since the repulsion imposes strong anti-correlations in the density over distances of the order of \( a \). However, at high densities one can obtain an approximate representation by working with smoothed density operators \( \rho_\sigma \), averaged over volumes of the order of \( r_o^3 \) (\( r_o \approx a \)), and expanding the Hamiltonian in powers of the \( \rho_k \), \( S_k \). This amounts to an expansion in inverse powers of \( (\rho_0 r_o^3)^{1/2} \), in contrast to the low density expansion of Lee-Huang-Yang for the hard-sphere Bose gas, which is in positive powers of \( (\rho_0 a^3)^{1/2} \). In lowest (quadratic) order in the \( \rho_k \), \( S_k \) one obtains a sum of harmonic oscillator terms describing uncoupled density fluctuations (phonons). The excitation spectrum is of
the general form obtained by Feynman\textsuperscript{3} and by Bogoliubov and Zubarev,\textsuperscript{4} but differs in detail because of the smoothing procedure. It reproduces semi-quantitatively the experimentally observed excitation spectrum for liquid He II, including the "roton" minimum at the observed wavenumber \( k_0 = 1.95 \times 10^8 \) cm\(^{-1}\).

This work was reported at the VI\textsuperscript{th} International Conference on Low-Temperature Physics,\textsuperscript{5} and the basic ideas of the quasi-hydrodynamic representation are also discussed elsewhere.\textsuperscript{6}

Even though in lowest order the quasi-hydrodynamic representation gives an adequate semi-quantitative description of the collective elementary excitations in a Bose fluid at high densities, it does not turn out to provide a usable starting point for a perturbation treatment applicable to the case of liquid helium. The reason lies in the largeness of the density fluctuations near the roton minimum \( k_0 \), which, in turn, is an effect of the hard core repulsion. Only for wavenumbers \( k \ll k_0 \), that is for distances much larger than \( a \), is a description in terms of uncoupled density oscillators an adequate starting point for a perturbation treatment. It thus appears that an adequate treatment of liquid helium must include essential density correlation effects of the hard core repulsion from the start.

\textbf{Pseudospin Model}

Considerations of the kind outlined above led us to investigate the many-body ground state and elementary excitations of a simple "pseudospin" cell model, which had been used previously by Matsubara and Matsuda\textsuperscript{7} for treating lambda transition in liquid helium. Even though the model has some unphysical features—primarily an artificial "band" structure due to the use of lattice quantization, resulting in anisotropy of and a quasi-momentum cutoff in the excitation spectrum—it has the advantage of allowing us to treat exactly, in
zero order, important many-body effects of the hard core repulsion, thus overcoming some of the limitations of the earlier treatments.

The essential features of the pseudospin model and of our treatment are these:

(1) The hard core repulsion is treated kinematically, that is by algebraic relations between the field operators, instead of as the limit of a repulsive potential in the Hamiltonian. The algebra of the model represents an approximation to Siegert's \(^8\) exact but highly untractable algebra for hard core boson fields. In the cell approximation this algebra turns out to be equivalent to that of a set of Pauli spins, and the dynamics of the model is equivalent to that of a Heisenberg ferromagnet with an interaction which is anisotropic in pseudospin space. In this model the transformation from field amplitudes to densities, corresponding to the action-angle variable transformation (2), is indeed unitary: it is simply a rotation in pseudospin space. Different densities \(\rho_0\) correspond to different orientations (in pseudospin space) of the total "magnetization".

(2) Our treatment splits the Hamiltonian into two parts: an isotropic "unperturbed" part \(H_0\), describing a system with hard core repulsion plus a square-well attraction of depth \(\hbar^2/2m d^2\) (\(d\) = size of the cubical cell) between nearest-neighbor cell pseudospins, and a perturbation consisting of the attraction's deviation from this value. The unperturbed interaction corresponds to zero two-particle scattering length \(f_0\), and the basic idea of our perturbation treatment is thus similar to that employed by Moszkowski and Scott \(^9\) for the nucleon system.

(3) The pseudospin algebra of the field operators permits construction, by rotation of the total pseudospin from the vacuum, of a "unperturbed quasi-particle vacuum" (a many-body ground state of \(H_0\)) and corresponding quasi-particle
operators for any mean density of the system. These serve as starting point for treating the full Hamiltonian by the equations-of-motion method in the random phase approximation. In this approximation the ground state of the full Hamiltonian is obtained from the quasi-particle vacuum (not the fully Bose-condensed free-particle ground state) by a transformation of the Bogoliubov type. We obtain expressions for the excitation spectrum and the ground state energy:

$$E_0/N = (2\pi \hbar^2 \rho f_0/m) \left\{ 1 + \left( \frac{128}{15\pi} \right)^{1/2} \left( \rho f_0^3 \right)^{1/2} (1 - \xi_0)^{5/2} + \left( \frac{4\pi C_2}{3} \right) \left( \rho f_0^3 \right)^{1/3} \xi^{2/3} (1 - \xi_0^2/2) \right\} ,$$

(5)

where $\xi_0 = \rho d^3$ is the fractional depletion of the Bose condensate in the unperturbed ground state (an "excluded volume" effect of the hard core repulsion), and $C_2 = 0.516$ is a numerical constant. In the low density limits, where $\xi_0 \to 0$, our results agree exactly with the well known hard sphere results of Lee, Huang, and Yang, but the higher density corrections reflect the many-body correlations due to the hard core which are included in our unperturbed ground state.

This work has also been reported.

**Two-Fluid Hydrodynamics**

We have investigated the nature of the velocity constraint introduced by C. C. Lin into the Eckart variational-principle derivation of two-fluid hydrodynamics for liquid He II, and its effects on the two-fluid equations of motion and the condition

$$\text{curl } v_s = 0 .$$

It appears that, while a Lin type velocity constraint must be introduced if
the Eckart principle is to be used in connection with ordinary (one-fluid) hydrodynamics, the form this constraint should take in the two-fluid model is somewhat arbitrary and depends on the basic assumptions underlying the model. In Lin’s treatment the center of mass velocity but not the relative velocity of the two fluids is constrained. As a result the equations of motion differ from those obtained earlier (without the Lin constraint) and the superfluid motion is no longer necessarily irrotational. On the other hand, the basic ideas underlying the two-fluid model suggest that a Lin type constraint should be imposed on the normal fluid velocity $v_r$, but not on $v_s$. In this case the equations of motion are identical with those obtained earlier, and $\text{curl } v_s$ remains zero. This work has been reported in an interim technical report.

As a by-product of this investigation Mr Whitlock developed a variational principle formulation of the laws of motion for a classical perfect compressible plasma, which has also been reported.
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