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ABSTRACT

Reaction kinetics and electron transport were studied in noble gas plasmas generated by fission fragment ionization in order to evaluate these plasmas for a nuclear thermionic energy converter. The electron density that would result from fission fragment ionization of Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar gas mixtures was predicted from the computed ion generation rate and ion loss processes in the plasma. The first experimental verification of the electron density was made with a resonant microwave cavity operated in pile. For typical conditions in a research reactor, i.e. a neutron flux of $10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ and an electron temperature of 1300°K, the computed maximum electron density for neon-argon, viz., $2.4 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$ occurs at $\text{Ar/Ne}=3.4 \times 10^{-4}$ and 94 torr while for argon-cesium, the maximum electron density is $3.6 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and occurs at Cs/Ar=$1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ and 94 torr. The favorably-high electron density in argon seeded with cesium and the fact that argon has the lowest electron-neutral scattering cross section (Ramsauer) minimum at energies typical of an operating converter, indicate that this gas mixture is particularly well-suited for the noble gas converter.

The electron transport properties of these plasmas are being investigated theoretically and a ceramic-metal diode with a nuclear thermionic emitter (BaO-UO$_2$-W) has been developed for inpile measurement of the electron transport properties. Analytical solutions have been obtained for the current-voltage characteristic of a thermionic diode in which the plasma is generated by uniform fission-fragment ionization and the electron density is controlled by ambipolar diffusion loss.
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INVESTIGATIONS ON THE DIRECT CONVERSION OF NUCLEAR FISSION ENERGY TO ELECTRICAL ENERGY IN A PLASMA DIODE

INTRODUCTION

The analysis of reaction kinetics and electron transport in a noble-gas plasma generated by fission fragment ionization has been continued. These studies evaluate such a plasma for application to a nuclear thermionic energy converter.

Theoretical calculations and experimental verification have been reported on the rate at which fission fragments produce ions in noble gases. Also, a study of the dominant ion loss processes in the plasma has been reported for the neon-argon system.

In this report we present first the results of reaction kinetic studies on the argon-cesium system. The reaction rate constants are evaluated for argon and cesium, and the results of a detailed study of the factors that influence the electron density in the plasma are presented. The electron density generated in the argon-cesium system is compared to that generated in the neon-argon system.

Theoretical and experimental studies were initiated on the transport of thermionic electrons through a fission fragment plasma. An analytic solution was obtained from the transport equations for the current-voltage characteristic of a plasma diode for the case of electron density controlled by ambipolar diffusion loss. Finally, the development of a nuclear-heated thermionic diode to be operated inpile is described.

OBJECTIVES

The objectives initially set for the current reporting period were:

1. Extend reaction kinetics studies to include the analysis of the electron density generated in an argon-cesium plasma.
2. Perform microwave measurements inpile to determine experimentally the electron density generated by fission fragments in the Ne-Ar and Ar-Cs plasmas.
3. Construct a diode using a nuclear thermionic emitter and operate it inpile in order to determine the electron transport properties of a mixed-gas plasma.

4. Initiate a theoretical analysis on the electron transport properties of mixed-gas plasmas generated by fission fragments.

The reaction kinetics studies are substantially completed except for additional microwave measurements. The thermionic diode for electron transport has been developed and will soon be operated inpile.

CONCLUSIONS

1. Reaction kinetics studies of noble gas plasmas generated by fission fragments indicate that a significantly higher electron density can be maintained in binary, Penning-type gas plasmas than in single-gas plasmas.

2. Calculations show that the electron density in neon-argon and argon-cesium plasmas is a sensitive function of the total gas pressure and the ratio of the constituent gases.

3. For the neon-argon plasma, the computed maximum value of the electron density, viz., $2.4 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$, occurs at $\text{Ar/Ne}=3.4 \times 10^{-4}$ and a gas pressure of 94 torr for an electron temperature of 1300°K, a container of diffusion length 1.6 mm and a neutron flux of $10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$ (which is about a factor of 10 less than the flux available in a power reactor).

4. For the argon-cesium plasma, the maximum value of the electron density is $3.6 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and occurs at $\text{Cs/Ar}=1.7 \times 10^{-4}$ and a gas pressure of 94 torr, under the same experimental conditions indicated above for neon-argon.

5. Thus, in both of these Penning-type gas plasmas, the maximum value of the electron density occurs at similar values of trace gas concentration ($\approx 0.1\%$) and a total pressure of approximately 100 torr, despite the fact that important reaction rates of various species in argon-cesium differ markedly from the corresponding rates in neon-argon.
6. At low temperature (≈ 300°K), the collisional-radiative recombination process, involving atomic ion—two electron encounters, is a dominant loss process in the neon-argon and argon-cesium plasma. The influence of this loss process diminishes rapidly as the temperature is increased.

7. The favorably-high electron density in argon seeded with cesium, and the fact that argon has the lowest Ramsauer minimum at energies typical of an operating converter, indicate that this gas mixture is particularly well-suited for the noble gas converter.

8. The first measurement of the electron density in the neon-argon plasma, obtained with a resonant microwave cavity operated in the core of the reactor, is in good agreement with the value of electron density predicted by the reaction kinetics studies.

9. Analytical solutions have been obtained for the problem of thermionic electron transport through a fission-fragment-generated plasma in which electron density is controlled by ambipolar diffusion loss. This theory can be used to interpret current-voltage data from thermionic, noble-gas diodes operating in the diffusion mode.

10. Heat transfer tests in the laboratory have shown that the design and construction of the thermionic diode to be used for impile measurements of electron transport are satisfactory. The laboratory tests of a prototype tube with a BaO-UO₂-W emitter are complete.

**FUTURE PLANS**

1. Measurements of the electron number density of fission-fragment-generated plasmas in a microwave cavity will be continued for neon-argon and argon-cesium mixtures.

2. The measurements of electron density in neon-argon and argon-cesium plasmas will be compared with the predictions of the reaction kinetics analysis under various conditions in order to check the analysis.

3. The thermionic diode incorporating the BaO-UO₂-W emitter will be operated impile with argon-cesium and neon-argon fillings in order to study the electron transport through the fission-fragment-generated plasma.
4. Theoretical work on electron transport through noble-gas plasmas will be extended to include volume loss processes which are both linear and quadratic in electron density. The theory will be used, together with the results of 1, 2 and 3, to determine optimum design parameters for a noble gas thermionic energy converter.
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SECTION A

REACTION RATES OF IONS, METASTABLE AND EXCITED
STATES IN ARGON-CESIUM PLASMAS

ABSTRACT

The Penning-type argon-cesium plasma is currently of much interest to us for application in thermionic energy conversion. A study of the ionization properties of this gas mixture under irradiation by fission fragments requires knowledge of 30 reaction rates between various excited and ionized states. These reaction rates are discussed for such processes as diffusion, recombination, molecular ion formation, charge exchange, volume ionization and destruction of ionic, excited and metastable states in the multicomponent plasma. Values for the frequency of these interactions are reported and finally collected in tabular form suitable for reference. The report is presented in a form similar to an earlier report on reaction rates in a neon-argon plasma. In general the production, conversion and loss rates of the various species in argon-cesium are larger than those for neon-argon, due principally to the larger atomic size of both the major (argon = neon) and minor (cesium = argon) constituents of the plasma.
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OBJECT

The purpose of this work was to make a compilation of the fundamental reaction rates between ions, excited states and atoms in an argon-cesium plasma.

SUMMARY

Reaction rate coefficients are reported for various interactions between excited and metastable states of argon and atomic and molecular ions of argon and cesium. Although much of the data are approximate, particularly for interactions involving cesium, reliable data are available for most of the dominant processes which determine the electron number density in an argon-cesium plasma generated by fission fragments. The reaction rates are presented for a temperature of 300°K. The most temperature-sensitive coefficient is that for collisional-radiative recombination and this temperature dependence is discussed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Theoretical studies of electron number density in binary gas plasmas require knowledge of a large number of competing diffusion and conversion processes for atomic ions, molecular ions and various excited states. The equations which yield the electron density in Penning-type plasmas were formulated by Professor D. J. Rose (1) for the case where the plasma is generated by fission-fragment excitation and ionization of the gas. About 30 rate coefficients were needed as input data when applied to a neon-argon plasma (2) and these have been presented in a previous report by Rees. (3) The equations are applicable to any Penning-type gas mixture. Very recently Leffert used the equations, (4) with the appropriate rate coefficients, to study the electron density in argon-cesium plasmas. The rate coefficients required for this study form the subject of the present report.

A major difference between the present work and the earlier study of neon-argon coefficients is the emphasis now placed on collisional-radiative recombination. This loss process for atomic ions is important not only in the argon-cesium
system but also in the neon-argon system. A two-body radiative recombination process for atomic ions was considered in the neon-argon work, but this loss was small. Recent work by Bates et al.\(^5\) has shown that radiative recombination in the presence of collisional-de-excitation by electrons is a much more important loss process, particularly at low temperatures.

In general, less is known about the reaction rates of the argon-cesium system than the neon-argon system. Although sufficient data are available for pure argon reactions, much of the available cesium data are approximate. In some instances we have computed rate coefficients from first principles. The dearth of good experimental data for cesium stems from the deleterious effects caused by cesium deposition in much experimental work, and from the difficulty of precisely controlling and determining cesium vapor pressures.

Since the compilation of rate coefficients for argon-cesium is a parallel study to the work reported for neon-argon, we will refer continually to the previous report as R\(_1\)\(^3\) to avoid unnecessary duplication. Table I is a summary of the reactions that we consider. \(N_o\) is the atomic concentration of the major gas argon, and \(A_o\) is the atomic concentration of the minor gas cesium.* When we refer to a particular species by its conventional rotation, such as Ar\(^+\) for the atomic argon ion, we will occasionally include in parentheses its actual number density as given in Table I; i.e., we write Ar\(^+\)(\(N_+\)) for atomic argon ions of number density \(N_+\).

II. GENERATION RATE OF ATOMIC IONS AND EXCITED STATES BY FISSION FRAGMENTS

The fission fragments generate mainly atomic argon ions Ar\(^+\)(\(N_+\)), metastable argon states Ar\(^m\)(\(N_m\)), and excited argon states Ar\(^x\)(\(N_x\)) that are not metastable. The molecular ions Ar\(_2\)(\(N_{2+}\)) and Cs\(_2\)(\(A_{2+}\)) are not generated directly in the atomic gas mixture. Also, since \(A_o << N_o\), atomic cesium ions Cs\(^+\)(\(A_+\)) are not created in appreciable amounts by the fission fragments.

The generation rate \(S_1\) refers to the production of excited argon states which do not decay into metastable states. The only ions that can result from

*Table I is a general representation which we adopt for any binary Penning-type system of \(N\)-major and \(A\)-minor concentrations. In this particular case, \(A_o\) is the concentration of neutral cesium atoms per cm\(^3\) and should not be confused with the chemical symbol for argon.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Derivative</th>
<th>Diffusion</th>
<th>2- and 3-Body Recombination</th>
<th>3-Body Molecular Ion Formation</th>
<th>2-Body Charge Exchange</th>
<th>Metastable Metastable Collisions</th>
<th>Radiation Molecular Ion Formation Via N&lt;sub&gt;x&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Metastable Destruction Via N&lt;sub&gt;o&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Penning Ionization</th>
<th>Eq. No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dN^+_x}{dt}$</td>
<td>$S_{o o} \frac{K_{1 a o o}}{\Lambda^2}$</td>
<td>$C_{1+}C_{2 o o}+C_{3 e}N_{e}$</td>
<td>$-C_{4} N_{x}^2$</td>
<td>$-C_{6} N_{o}^{+}$</td>
<td>$+C_{7} N_{m}^2$</td>
<td>$-N_{x}/\tau_{x}$</td>
<td>$-C_{9} N_{x}^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dN_x}{dt}$</td>
<td>$S_{1 o} \frac{K_{1 a o o}}{\Lambda^2}$</td>
<td>$+C_{6} C_{1+}C_{2 o o}+C_{3 e}N_{e}$</td>
<td>$-C_{12} N_{m}^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$-C_{13} N_{o}^2$</td>
<td>$-C_{15} N_{m} A_{o}$</td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dN_m}{dt}$</td>
<td>$S_{2 o} \frac{K_{2 a o o}}{\Lambda^2}$</td>
<td>$+C_{10} C_{1+}C_{2 o o}+C_{3 e}N_{e}$</td>
<td>$-C_{12} N_{m}^2$</td>
<td>$-C_{13} N_{o}^2$</td>
<td>$-C_{15} N_{m} A_{o}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dN_{2+}}{dt}$</td>
<td>$K_{2 a o o} \frac{K_{2 a o o}}{\Lambda^2}$</td>
<td>$-C_{16} N_{2+}^2$</td>
<td>$+C_{14} N_{+}^2$</td>
<td>$-C_{17} N_{o}^{+}$</td>
<td>$+C_{19} N_{m}^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dA^+}{dt}$</td>
<td>$K_{3 a o o} \frac{K_{3 a o o}}{\Lambda^2}$</td>
<td>$[C_{18}+C_{19} N_{o}+C_{22} e]N_{e}$</td>
<td>$-C_{20} A_{o} A_{o}^2$</td>
<td>$+C_{20} N_{o} A_{o}$</td>
<td>$+C_{17} N_{2+} A_{o}$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$+C_{15} N_{m} A_{o}$</td>
<td>(5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\frac{dA_{2+}}{dt}$</td>
<td>$K_{4 a o o} \frac{K_{4 a o o}}{\Lambda^2}$</td>
<td>$-C_{21} A_{2+}^2$</td>
<td>$+C_{20} A_{o} A_{o}^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$S_1$ are molecular ions produced by the Hornbeck-Molnar process ($C_0$) which involves very highly excited argon states. From the discussion of $S_1$ presented in RL, we again conclude that $S_2 \gg S_1$ and that the contribution of $S_1$ to the total ion generation rate can be neglected.

We previously reported detailed computations for the generation rate of atomic argon ions ($S_0$) and presented experimental verification of the results. The value of $S_0$ evidently depends not only on the gas density but also on the uranium load, neutron flux and tube geometry. For the present study, we compute the argon ion generation rate at the center of a cylindrical microwave cavity of 5 mm height. This cavity is currently being used for inpile measurements of electron density. At a neutron flux of $1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$, the computed value is

$$S_0(Ar^+) = 3.74 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

The argon metastable generation rate ($S_2$) includes the contribution of excited states which decay into metastable states as the result of excitation transfer and the imprisonment of resonance radiation. In RL, we calculated $S_2$ for neon from data on ion generation rates in pure neon and in neon-argon mixtures, and we obtained the result that $S_2(\text{Ne}^+) = S_0(\text{Ne}^+)/2$. We cannot perform a similar calculation for the generation rate of argon metastable states because of the absence of reliable data on the ion generation rate in argon-cesium mixtures. However, the work of Platzman and Utterback and Miller on $\alpha$-particle and fission-fragment tracks in noble gases shows that the ratio $S_2/S_0$ is not expected to vary significantly from one noble gas to another. Furthermore, we expect that the relationship $S_2 = S_0/2$ is particularly valid for argon because of the similarity in the structure of the excitation levels in neon and argon. For neon, most of the electrons which are excited into higher levels will eventually fall to the ground state through the $(2p)(3s)^1$ excited state which consists again of four levels, two of which are metastable. We

* We cannot compute the ion generation rate in argon-cesium mixtures because there are no values available for eV/ion pair. An attempt was made to measure the ion generation rate in argon-cesium using an ion tube operated inpile. However, the data, which were not very reproducible, indicated extremely low values of eV/ion pair ($\approx 6$) which we cannot accept on physical grounds. It is possible that the work function of uranium was sufficiently lowered by deposited cesium that thermionic emission occurred. This would lead to an apparent ion generation rate greater than the true value from fission fragments.
therefore consider that to a good approximation $S_2(\text{Ar}^m) = S_0(\text{Ar}^+) / 2$ for argon excited and ionized by fission fragments. For the microwave cavity, this yields

$$S_2(\text{Ar}^m) = 1.87 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

### III. DIFFUSION COEFFICIENTS FOR METASTABLES, ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR IONS

The ambipolar diffusion coefficients $D_a$ for $\text{Ar}^+$, $\text{Ar}_2^+$, $\text{Cs}^+$ and $\text{Cs}_2^+$ in argon at $T^\circ K$ are determined from measurements of ion mobility $\mu_o^+$ (at standard conditions of 273$^\circ K$ and 760 torr) by

$$D_a = \frac{2kT}{e} \cdot \mu_o^+.$$  

The ambipolar diffusion coefficient $K_a$ at unit atom density (i.e. $D_a / 2.69 \times 10^{19}$) and 300$^\circ K$ is thus

$$K_a = 1.4 \times 10^{18} \mu_o^+ \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1},$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

where $\mu_o^+$ is in units of cm$^2$(volt.sec)$^{-1}$.

#### $K_{1,a}(\text{Ar}^+)$ in Argon

We use the experimental value of $\mu_o^+(\text{Ar}^+) = 1.6 \text{ cm}^2(\text{V.sec})^{-1}$ obtained recently by Oskam and Mittelstadt.\(^{11}\) This value is in excellent agreement with the earlier determinations of Hornbeck,\(^{12}\) Varney,\(^{13}\) Biondi and Chanin\(^{14}\) and Holstein\(^{15}\). From Eq.\((3)\),

$$K_{1,a}(\text{Ar}^+) = 2.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

#### $K_{2,a}(\text{Ar}_2^+)$ in Argon

Oskam and Mittelstadt\(^{11}\) give a value for $\mu_o^+(\text{Ar}_2^+) = 1.9 \text{ cm}^2(\text{V.sec})^{-1}$. This agrees well with Beaty's\(^{16}\) earlier value of $1.85 \text{ cm}^2(\text{V.sec})^{-1}$. Thus, from\((3)\),

$$K_{2,a}(\text{Ar}_2^+) = 2.7 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

#### $K_{3,a}(\text{Cs}^+)$ in Argon

The mobility of alkali metal ions in noble gases has been studied by Tyndall.\(^{17}\) In a summary of this work by Dalgarno,\(^{18}\) Tyndall's values are tabulated in terms of $\mu_o^+ \sqrt{m_r}$ where $m_r$ is the reduced mass of the two-body collision...
system in units of the proton mass. For Cs$^+$ in argon, $\mu_o \sqrt{m_p} = 11.5$, and with $\sqrt{m_p} = 5.55$, we obtain $\mu_o (Cs^+) = 2.1 \text{cm}^2(\text{V.sec})^{-1}$. This value is consistent with the graph of mobility as a function of ion mass in argon given by Chanin and Biondi. From (3),

$$K_{3,a}(Cs^+) = 2.9 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}. \tag{6}$$

$K_{4,a}(Cs_2^+)$ in Argon

The Langevin curves given by Tyndall and Chanin and Biondi extend as far as an ion mass of 200 (Hg$^+$). However we continue to use the Langevin formula $\mu_o \sqrt{m_p} = 11.5$ to calculate the mobility of Cs$_2^+$ of mass 266 in argon. The point-charge-source theory of scattering should still apply to this ion, even though it is molecular, because resonant charge transfer effects are absent. In addition, the curve of mobility versus ion mass in argon shows that mobility becomes insensitive to ion mass for heavy ions (because of $\sqrt{m_p}$) so that little error will be introduced by extending the curve to mass 266. For Cs$_2^+$ in argon, $\sqrt{m_p} = 5.81$, so that $\mu_o (Cs_2^+) = 1.98 \text{cm}^2(\text{V.sec})^{-1}$, (cf $\mu_o (Cs^+)$ above). By relation (3),

$$K_{4,a}(Cs_2^+) = 2.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}. \tag{7}$$

$K_m(Ar^m)$ in Argon

The diffusion coefficient of argon metastables in argon at 1 torr and 300°K ($N_0 = 3.22 \times 10^{16} \text{cm}^{-3}$) has been measured by Futch and Grant in two experiments. They quote values for $D_m$ of 54 + 6 and 48 + 6 cm$^2$ sec$^{-1}$. For the same experimental conditions, Phelps and Molnar have published $D_m = 54 \text{cm}^2 \text{sec}^{-1}$. We use this value to determine $K_m$.

$$K_m(Ar^m) = 54 \times 3.22 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

$$= 1.7 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1}. \tag{8}$$

Diffusion Length

$\Lambda$ is the characteristic diffusion length of the container, and for a cylindrical vessel of radius $R$ and height $H$ is given by $\Lambda^2 = \frac{1}{\pi^2 + \frac{2 \cdot 4}{H^2}} \frac{1}{R^2} \cdot \frac{2 \cdot 4}{H^2}$.

For a microwave cavity of $H = 0.5 \text{cm}$ and $R = 1.14 \text{cm}$,

$$\Lambda^2 = 0.023 \text{ cm}^2. \tag{9}$$
IV. ELECTRON-Ion RECOMBINATION COEFFICIENTS FOR ARGON AND CESIUM ATOMIC AND MOLECULAR IONS

The dominant recombination loss for atomic ions (Ar$^+$, Cs$^+$) under the present conditions is three-body collisional-radiative recombination ($C_3$, $C_{22}$) involving one ion-two electron collisions. The importance of this loss process was first emphasized by the theoretical work of D'Angelo, Bates and Kingston, and McWhirter for high temperature ($\geq 5,000^\circ$K), high electron-density ($\geq 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$) plasmas. Subsequent work by Bates, Kingston and McWhirter has shown that this process of recombination in the presence of collisional de-excitation by electrons is important even in tenuous plasmas if the electron temperature is low.

The theory applies to hydrogen-like transitions among excited states and the continuum so that the results are valid for all hydrogenic ions. Bates et al. considered that an alkali ion such as cesium could be represented approximately by hydrogenic energy levels provided the ground level of the atom was made inaccessible. It was consequently suggested that the coefficients for collisional-radiative recombination are insensitive to the ion species (for singly charged ions), especially at the lower temperatures ($\leq 1,000^\circ$K). Furthermore, the computations of Bates et al. are in good agreement with the recombination measurements of Aleskovskii for atomic cesium ions over the range $10^{11} < n_e < 10^{14}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $1,000 < T_e < 3,500^\circ$K.

Tables of values for the collisional-radiative coefficient are given by Bates et al. at temperatures of 250, 500, 1000, 2000$^\circ$K, etc., up to 64,000$^\circ$K.

The recombination rate is expressed in terms of a two-body coefficient $\alpha(n_e, T_e)$ where the recombination loss per cm$^3$ per sec is $\alpha(n_e, T_e)n_eN_+$. For values of $10^{11} \leq n_e \leq 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$, (expected in the present work) and a temperature of 250$^\circ$K, $\alpha$ is proportional to $n_e$, the recombination being collision dominated. For this regime, we can thus write the recombination loss as $C_3(T_e)n_eN_+$ where $C_3 = 2.7 \times 10^{-19}$ cm$^6$ sec$^{-1}$ at 250$^\circ$K. However, $C_3$ is a strong function of temperature and varies as $T_e^{-5}$ around 250$^\circ$K. For $T_e = 300^\circ$K, we therefore write the collisional-radiative recombination coefficients for Ar$^+$ and Cs$^+$ as

$$C_3, C_{22} = 2.7 \times 10^{-19} \left(\frac{250}{300}\right)^5$$

$$= 1.1 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$

(10)
Note the marked change in $C_{g}^{3}$, $C_{gg}^{22}$ with temperature. This loss process evidently becomes much less significant as the temperature increases. The dependence of $C_{g}^{3}$, $C_{gg}^{22}$ on temperature is discussed further in Appendix 2.

The two-body radiative recombination terms, $C_{1}$ and $C_{18}$ in Table I represent the lower limit of collisional-radiative recombination, i.e., $C_{3}^{n_{e}}$, $C_{gg}^{22}n_{e}$ become equal, respectively, to the constant values $C_{1}$, $C_{18}$ in the absence of collisional de-excitation when $n_{e}$ is small. We include $C_{1}$, $C_{18}$ here because the approximation $\alpha(n_{e}, T_{e}) = C(T_{e})n_{e}$ is valid only over the restricted range mentioned above. For tenuous plasmas ($n_{e} \sim 10^{5} \text{cm}^{-3}$) this approximation is incorrect since $C(T_{e})n_{e}$ becomes too small. Although this regime is not presently of interest to us, we nevertheless can improve the $C(T_{e})n_{e}$ approximation by including the proper lower limits $C_{1}$, $C_{18}$. This will in no way affect the correct collisional-radiative contribution of $C_{3}$ and $C_{gg}^{22}$ for value $10^{11} \lesssim n_{e} \lesssim 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-3}$, since the contribution from $C_{1}$ and $C_{18}$ is negligible under these conditions. The radiative recombination coefficient $C_{1}$ for $\text{Ar}^{+}$ is $3 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^{3} \text{sec}^{-1}$, and $C_{18}$ for $\text{Cs}^{+}$ is $3.8 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^{3} \text{sec}^{-1}$, where both these values are at $250^\circ \text{K}$.

Since $C_{1}$, $C_{18}$ vary with temperature as $T_{e}^{-1/2}$, we obtain at $300^\circ \text{K}$

$$C_{1} = 2.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^{3} \text{sec}^{-1} \quad (11)$$
$$C_{18} = 3.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{cm}^{3} \text{sec}^{-1} \quad (12)$$

The coefficients $C_{2}$, $C_{19}$ are three-body atomic ion-electron recombination coefficients where the third-body is a neutral argon atom. In this radiationless transition the function of the third body is to remove the surplus energy released by recombination, and as shown in RL, only the argon atom need be considered for this purpose when $N_{o} \gg A_{o}$. This makes $C_{2} = C_{19}$. Values of $C_{2}$, $C_{19}$ are obtained from an extension of Thomson's classical theory by Massey and Burhop.\(^{(28)}\) The general expression for $C_{2}$, $C_{19}$ is

$$C_{2}, C_{19} = \left(\frac{8kT}{mn}\right)^{1/2} \frac{e^{3}}{8\pi\sigma_{\text{el}} m q_{e}} \quad (13)$$

where $m$ is the electron mass, $M$ is the mass of the gas atom, $q_{e}$ is the total elastic cross section for electron-atom collisions and $r_{o}$ is the electron-ion distance within which recombination is assumed to occur. The value of $r_{o}$ at
300°K is given in Rl as 3.71x10⁻⁶. With \( q_e(Ar) = 4 \times 10^{-17} \text{cm}^2 \) from the measurements of Ramsauer, we evaluate \( C_{21} \) at 300°K as follows:

\[
C_{21} = \left( \frac{8 \times 1.36 \times 10^{-16} \times 300 / 3.14 \times \sqrt{9 \times 1.11 \times 10^{-6}} \times 0.8 \times 3.14 \times 9.11 \times 10^{-6}}{3 \times 40 \times 1.67 \times 10^{-24}} \right)^{1/2}
\]

\[C_{21} = 2.5 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (14)\]

The coefficients of dissociative recombination, \( C_{16} \) and \( C_{21} \), for the molecular ions \( \text{Ar}_2^+ \) and \( \text{Cs}_2^+ \), respectively, have been determined experimentally although the data for \( \text{Cs}_2^+ \) are not precise. The latest published value for \( C_{16} \) at 300°K given below (Oskam and Mittelstadt) is in good agreement with the value obtained by Biondi.

\[
C_{16} = 6.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (15)
\]

Dandurand and Holt have reported measurements of recombination in cesium plasmas. At pressures \( \leq 1 \text{ torr} \), the value of the recombination coefficient varied with pressure, but for pressures \( \geq 1 \text{ torr} \) and \( T_e \approx 300°\text{K} \), the coefficient appeared to saturate at \( \approx 1 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \). This saturated value is probably the dissociative recombination coefficient \( C_{21} \) for \( \text{Cs}_2^+ \). There is further supporting evidence from the experimental studies of Hammer, Thomas and Aubrey.

These workers report an upper bound for \( C_{21} \) of \( 5.4 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \) at values of \( T_e \approx 1000°\text{K} \). Since \( C_{21} \) varies with electron temperature as \( T_e^{-3/2} \), the upper bound for \( C_{21} \) at 300°K becomes \( 3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \). For the present work we selected a value of \( C_{21} \) between this upper bound and the result of Dandurand and Holt, i.e.

\[
C_{21} \approx 2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (16)
\]

V. PRODUCTION OF EXCITED STATES FROM RECOMBINATION PROCESSES

It is energetically possible that recombination of argon ions and electrons can lead to the production of excited argon states, and this effect is included in Table I by the dimensionless fractions \( C_8, C_{10}, C_{11} \). Similar fractions for the production of excited cesium states are not considered since such states cannot usefully contribute by any scheme to the ion density.
Little is known about the production of excited or metastable states from recombination. In the present study, the dominant recombination loss of argon ions is via dissociative recombination $C_{16}$, so that the important fractional value is $C_{11}$, the metastable argon production which results from $C_{16}$. Values for $C_{8}$, $C_{10}$ are unimportant in comparison.

Recent work by Rogers and Biondi\(^{(30,34)}\) on the dissociative mechanism in helium and neon has indicated that subsequent to the dissociation of the molecular ions, one of the atoms is in a highly excited state. We assume that this mechanism of dissociative recombination also occurs in argon which has a dissociative recombination rate similar to neon. $C_{11}$ thus becomes the probability that a highly excited argon state decays to a metastable state. Since most of the excited argon states will fall to the ground state through the $(3p)^5(4s)^1$ excited state\(^{(35)}\) which consists of four levels, two of which are metastable, we propose that an appropriate value for $C_{11}$ is one-half.

$$C_{11} \approx 0.5 \quad (17)$$

We do not have reliable values for $C_8$, $C_{10}$ but as mentioned above these are not important values in the present study. In R1, we did present tentative arguments, based on computations by Bates and Dalgrenno,\(^{(27)}\) which suggested that a value of 0.1 was an upper bound for both $C_8$ and $C_{10}$, but much significance should not be attached to this value.

$$C_8, C_{10} \approx 0.1 \quad (18)$$

VI. THREE-BODY MOLECULAR ION FORMATION

The conversions of $\text{Ar}^+$ to $\text{Ar}_2^+$ and $\text{Cs}^+$ to $\text{Cs}_2^+$ in three-body collisions are important processes in the argon-cesium plasma. The conversion frequency

$$(C_4 \times 3.22 \times 10^{16})$$

for $\text{Ar}^+$ to $\text{Ar}_2^+$ in three-body collisions involving the argon ion and two argon atoms, has been determined by Loeb\(^{(36)}\) as $v = 570p^2$ sec\(^{-1}\) where $p$ is in torr. This yields

$$C_4 = 5.5 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \quad (19)$$
The coefficient $C_5$, representing a collision between an atomic argon ion, an argon atom and a cesium atom, is not known but since the cesium takes no part in the collision except to satisfy conditions for conservation of momentum, $C_5$ will be approximately equal to $C_4$. More precise knowledge of $C_5$ is not required for the present conditions because $N_o \rightarrow A_0$, and thus $C_4 N_0^2 \rightarrow C_5 N_0 A_0$.

$$C_5 \approx 5 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}$$  \hspace{1cm} (20)

Since no value was available for the important production rate $(C_{20})$ of $Cs_2^+$ from three-body collisions involving an atomic cesium ion, a cesium atom and an argon atom, we calculated a value for $C_{20}$ from classical collision probability theory. This calculation is discussed in Appendix I. We obtain

$$C_{20} = 4.8 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

\textbf{VII. TWO-BODY CHARGE EXCHANGE}

Two-body charge exchange $(C_6, C_17)$ is energetically possible when the potential energy of the incident ion is greater than or equal to the ionization energy of the target atom. The processes considered here are charge exchange between an atomic argon ion $(V_1 = \text{potential energy} = 15.76 \text{ eV})$ and a cesium atom to yield an atomic cesium ion $(V_2 \approx 3.89 \text{ eV})$, and the similar exchange collision between a molecular argon ion $(V_1 = 14.7 \text{ eV})$ and a cesium atom.

As indicated in R1, the cross section $Q_{cx}$ for charge exchange is maximum when the collision time is approximately equal to the time for electronic transition. That is,

$$\bar{\tau} \approx \frac{10^{-8} \Delta E}{\hbar}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

where $\bar{\tau}[8kT(M_1+M_2)/\pi M_1 M_2]^{1/2}$ is the average velocity of approach of the two particles of mass $M_1$ and $M_2$, $\Delta E$ is the difference in ionization energies of the unlike colliding particles, and $\hbar$ is Planck's constant. For the large potential energy differences between argon ions and cesium ions ($\sim 12 \text{ eV}$), the right hand side of relation (22) becomes $\approx 3 \times 10^8 \text{ cm sec}^{-1}$. However, $\bar{\tau}$ is only about $5 \times 10^4 \text{ cm sec}^{-1}$ for both charge transfer collisions at $300^\circ \text{K}$, so that
\( V \approx 10^{-8} \Delta E/h \). \( C_6 \) and \( C_{17} \) therefore refer to charge exchange rates far from the maximum rates. From the charge transfer data available for other gases, we note that far from maximum, \( Q_{\text{ex}} \) tends to range from \( 10^{-16} \) to \( 10^{-17} \) cm\(^2\). We thus make an estimate of \( C_6, C_{17} \) by taking \( Q_{\text{ex}} \) for both processes as \( \approx 5 \times 10^{-17} \) cm\(^2\). Such an estimate is sufficient to establish that charge transfer is unimportant under the present conditions. Since \( C_6, C_{17} \approx Q_{\text{ex}} \), we obtain

\[ C_6, C_{17} \approx 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \] (23)

### VIII. VOLUME DESTRUCTION OF METASTABLE STATES

The predominant loss processes for argon metastables in the volume of the gas are two-body collisions (\( C_{13} \)) with argon atoms, three-body collisions (\( C_{14} \)) with argon atoms, and two-body ionizing collisions (\( C_{15} \)) with cesium atoms (Penning effect). The first two processes for the destruction of argon metastables by argon atoms have been determined by Phelps and Molnar\(^{(21)}\) from measurements of the decay constant of the radiation absorbed by the metastable atoms. They give the destruction frequency as \( 9p^2 + 40p \text{ sec}^{-1} \) at 300°K where \( p \) is in torr. Therefore, \( C_{13} = 40/n \) and \( C_{14} = 9/n^2 \) where \( n (= 3.22 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \) is the neutral atom density at 1 Torr and 300°K.

\[ C_{13} = 1.2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \] (24)

\[ C_{14} = 8.7 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \] (25)

There is no published data available for the very important Penning ionization (\( C_{15} \)) of a cesium atom in collision with an argon metastable state. However, in a private communication,\(^{(39)}\) Nolan has offered a preliminary value of \( Q_i \approx 1 \times 10^{-14} \) cm\(^2\) for the ionization cross section of this process. This extremely large preliminary value (for atomic collisions) indicates an unusually efficient conversion of metastable energy to ionization energy. The value of \( Q_i \) was estimated from Townsend-type measurements on the spatial growth of ionization in an argon-cesium mixture. Since the average velocity of approach for metastable argon-cesium collisions at 300°K is \( \approx 4.55 \times 10^4 \) cm sec\(^{-1}\),

\[ C_{15} \approx 4.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1}. \] (26)
Collisions between pairs of metastable argon states \((C_7, C_{12})\) do not appear to have been studied. However, the concentration \(N_m\) of argon metastable states in the present study is sufficiently low \((\sim 10^{-10}\text{cm}^{-3})\) that collisions between pairs of metastable states will be rare in comparison with collisions between a metastable state and a neutral atom \((N_o \sim 10^{18}\text{cm}^{-3})\). Even so, an order-of-magnitude estimate of the coefficients \(C_7, C_{12}\) is useful to establish that the influence of metastable-metastable collisions is negligibly small. The metastable-metastable ionization cross section for helium has been determined by Phelps \(^{40}\) as \(Q_m \approx 10^{-14}\text{cm}^2\) and we assume this value for crude estimates of ion production from metastable-metastable argon collisions. Thus for \(V=5.64\times 10^4\text{cm sec}^{-1}\) for argon-argon collisions,

\[
C_7 \sim 5.6 \times 10^{-10}\text{ cm}^3\text{ sec}^{-1}.
\] (27)

Not all collisions between metastable states lead to atomic ion production so that \(C_{12} = C_7\), although not necessarily by a significant amount. However, the above relatively large value of \(Q_m\) suggests that almost all metastable-metastable de-excitation collisions lead to ionization. For the present purposes, therefore, it is sufficient to consider

\[
C_{12} \sim 5.6 \times 10^{-10}\text{ cm}^3\text{ sec}^{-1}.
\] (28)

IX. COLLISIONS INVOLVING HIGHLY EXCITED STATES

It is unlikely that there will be a sufficient density \(N_x\) of highly excited argon states \((14.7 < V_x < 15.8\text{eV})\) in the plasma to produce significant molecular ion production via the Hornbeck-Molnar \(^6\) process \((C_9)\). These states tend to decay rapidly to lower excited levels which cannot lead to molecular ion formation. Nevertheless, we report an estimate of \(C_9\) for completeness. From pulsed discharge data, Hornbeck \(^{41}\) has determined the product \(\tau Q_x\) for argon where \(Q_x\) is the cross section for ion formation from \(N_x\) and \(\tau\) is the mean lifetime of \(N_x\). The value of \(\tau Q_x\) is \(1\times 10^{-22}\text{cm}^2\text{sec}\) at a value of \(E/p\) (\(E\) is the uniform electric field) = \(30\text{V(cm torr)}^{-1}\) and a pressure \(p\) of 8 torr. Loeb \(^{42}\) suggests a separation of \(\tau Q_x\) as \(\tau \approx 10^{-6}\text{sec}\) and \(Q_x \approx 10^{-16}\text{cm}^2\). An accurate determination of \(\tau\) under the present conditions of higher pressure (\(\approx 100\text{torr}\)) would require further identification of the high lying excited states and an
analysis of the decay and transport of resonance and non-resonance radiation through the gas for our particular tube. However, the above values are adequate for the present purpose.

\[ a_9 \sim 5.6 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \quad (29) \]
\[ \tau \sim 10^{-6} \text{ sec.} \quad (30) \]

X. COLLECTION OF DATA

Table II summarizes the production, diffusion and conversion coefficients of ions, excited and metastable states discussed in this report. All the reaction rates refer to a temperature of 300°K.
### TABLE II. Rate coefficients for Ar-Cs plasma at 300°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar(^+) by fission fragments</td>
<td>(S_0)</td>
<td>(3.74 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Ar(^+) in Ar</td>
<td>(K_{1,a})</td>
<td>(2.2 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body radiative recombination Ar(^+)</td>
<td>(c_1)</td>
<td>(2.7 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Thomson recombination Ar(^+)</td>
<td>(c_2)</td>
<td>(2.5 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisional-radiative recombination Ar(^+)</td>
<td>(c_3)</td>
<td>(1.1 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Ar(^+) (\rightarrow) Ar(^+_2) with Ar, Ar</td>
<td>(c_4)</td>
<td>(5.5 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Ar(^+) (\rightarrow) Ar(^+_2) with Ne, Ar</td>
<td>(c_5)</td>
<td>(\approx 5 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body Ar(^+) (\rightarrow) Cs</td>
<td>(c_6)</td>
<td>(\sim 3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastable-metastable Ar(^m) (\rightarrow) Ar(^+)</td>
<td>(c_7)</td>
<td>(\sim 5.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar(^X) by fission fragments</td>
<td>(s_1)</td>
<td>(\approx 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar(^X) by atomic recombination</td>
<td>(c_8)</td>
<td>(\approx 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lifetime Ar(^X)</td>
<td>(c_9)</td>
<td>(\sim 10^{-6} \text{ sec})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body ion formation Ar(^X) (\rightarrow) Ar(^+_2)</td>
<td>(c_{10})</td>
<td>(1.87 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar(^m) by fission fragments</td>
<td>(s_{11})</td>
<td>(1.7 \times 10^{-18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Ar(^m) in Ar</td>
<td>(c_{12})</td>
<td>(\approx 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar(^m) by recombination of Ar(^+)</td>
<td>(c_{13})</td>
<td>(\approx 0.5 \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar(^m) by recombination of Ar(^+_2)</td>
<td>(c_{14})</td>
<td>(5.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastable-metastable Ar(^m) destruction</td>
<td>(c_{15})</td>
<td>(1.2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction Ar(^m) via Ar</td>
<td>(c_{16})</td>
<td>(8.7 \times 10^{-33} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penning ionization Ar(^m) + Cs (\rightarrow) Cs(^+)</td>
<td>(c_{17})</td>
<td>(\approx 4.6 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Ar(^+_2) in Ar</td>
<td>(K_{2,a})</td>
<td>(2.7 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body dissociative recombination of Ar(^+_2)</td>
<td>(c_{18})</td>
<td>(6.7 \times 10^{-7} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body Ar(^+_2) (\rightarrow) Cs(^+)</td>
<td>(c_{19})</td>
<td>(3 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Cs(^+) in Ar</td>
<td>(K_{3,a})</td>
<td>(2.9 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body radiative recombination Cs(^+)</td>
<td>(c_{20})</td>
<td>(3.5 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Thomson recombination Cs(^+)</td>
<td>(c_{21})</td>
<td>(2.5 \times 10^{-30} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Cs(^+) + Cs + Ar (\rightarrow) Cs(^+_2)</td>
<td>(c_{22})</td>
<td>(4.8 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Cs(^+_2) in Ar</td>
<td>(K_{4,a})</td>
<td>(2.8 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body dissociative recombination Cs(^+_2)</td>
<td>(c_{23})</td>
<td>(\approx 2 \times 10^{-15} \text{ cm}^{3} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisional-radiative recombination Cs(^+)</td>
<td>(c_{24})</td>
<td>(1.1 \times 10^{-19} \text{ cm}^{6} \text{ sec}^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic diffusion length</td>
<td>(\Lambda^2)</td>
<td>(0.023 \text{ cm}^2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX A

PRODUCTION OF MOLECULAR CESIUM ION FROM COLLISION OF

ATOMIC CESIUM ION, CESIUM ATOM AND ARGON ATOM

The reaction rate \( C_{20} \) for

\[
\text{Cs}^+ + \text{Cs} + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Cs}_2^+ + \text{Ar}
\]

is determined from an application of some of the classical collision theories

of Langevin\(^{(42)}\) and Thomson\(^{(43)}\). We consider the argon atom only as a kinetic

energy sink for \( \text{Cs}^+ \) or Cs and we do not take into account the binding energy of

the \( \text{Cs}_2^+ \) ion. The result is therefore considered a first approximation only.*

If \( K \) is the dielectric constant of argon at pressure \( p \) containing \( N_o \) atoms

per \( \text{cm}^{-3} \), the attractive force on an atom by an ion of charge \( e \) at distance \( r \) is

\[
f = \frac{K}{2\pi N_o} \frac{e^2}{r^5}, \quad (1)
\]

which corresponds to a potential energy

\[
V = -\frac{K}{8\pi N_o} \frac{e^2}{r^1}. \quad (2)
\]

We postulate that \( \text{Cs}_2^+ \) will be produced when either \( \text{Cs}^+ \) or Cs lose sufficient

energy in collision with Ar for \( \text{Cs}^+ \) or Cs to describe a closed orbit relative

to the other. If \( \text{Cs}^+ \) and Cs possess an average energy \( \frac{3}{2} kT \) at temperature \( T \),
a closed orbit will be formed if

\[
\frac{3}{2} kT = \frac{K}{8\pi N_o} \frac{e^2}{r}. \quad (3)
\]

* Two analogous reactions have been studied by Oskam\(^{(52)}\). We show the follow-
ing comparison between Oskam’s measured values and the values we calculate

using this theory:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Measured</th>
<th>Calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ar}^+ + \text{Ar} + \text{Ne} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2^+ + \text{Ne} )</td>
<td>( 2.5 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 1.3 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{Ar}^+ + \text{Ar} + \text{He} \rightarrow \text{Ar}_2^+ + \text{He} )</td>
<td>( 1.1 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} )</td>
<td>( 0.92 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
That is, Cs$^+$ and Cs must approach each other within $r_o$ defined by

$$r_o = \frac{K - 1}{12\pi N_o} \frac{e^2}{kT}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

We now assume that if either Cs$^+$ or Cs loses an amount of energy of order $kT$ when within a distance $r_o$ of the other, Cs$_2^+$ will be formed. The number of times per sec that one Cs$^+$ approaches Cs atoms within $r_o$ is $\pi r_o^2 (v_+^2 + v^2)^{1/2} A_0$ where $V_+, V$ are respectively the average velocities of Cs$^+$ and Cs, and $A_0$ is the concentration of Cs. The chance that in such an encounter formation of Cs$_2^+$ will occur is $(P_+ + P)$ times this, where $P_+$ is the probability that Cs$^+$ makes a collision involving $\approx kT$ energy loss with Ar when within $r_o$ from Cs, and $P$ is similarly defined for Cs. With a Cs$^+$ number density of $A_+$, the number of events $\nu$ sec$^{-1}$ leading to Cs$_2^+$ formation becomes

$$\nu = \pi r_o^2 (v_+^2 + v^2)^{1/2} (P_+ + P) A_0 A_+.$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

For $P_+$ and $P$, we take the classical sphere collision probability given by

$$P_+ = 1 + 2 \left\{ \frac{e}{g_+} - \frac{e}{g_+} - \frac{1}{g_+^2} \right\}$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where $g_+ = \frac{2r_o}{L_+}$ and $L_+$ is the mean free path for Cs$^+$ in Ar. $P$ is this expression with $g = \frac{r_o}{L}$ and $L$ the mean free path for Cs in Ar. Under the present conditions we simplify (6) by noting that $r_o \approx 10^{-6}$ cm, $L_+ \approx 10^{-4}$ cm and thus $g \approx L$. This yields

$$P_+ = \frac{r_o}{L_+} = N_o Q_+ r_o;$$  \hspace{1cm} (7a)

where $Q_+$ is the elastic cross section for Cs$^+$-Ar collisions. Also

$$P = N_o Q r_o.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7b)

We now express the dielectric term $(K - 1)$ for argon at any pressure $P \approx 760$ torr and temperature 300 K as

$$(K - 1)_P = (K - 1)\frac{P}{760} \left\{ \frac{P}{760} \right\} = \frac{(K - 1)760N_o}{2.46 \times 10^{19}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)
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Expression (4) for $r_0^4$ then becomes independent of $N$:

$$r_0^4 = \frac{(K - 1)760}{12\pi \times 2.46 \times 10^{19}} \frac{e^2}{kT}$$

(9)

Thus from Eqs. (5), (7) and (9) we obtain $v = C_{20} N_0 A_0 A^+$ where

$$C_{20} = \pi \left[ \frac{(K - 1)760}{12\pi \times 2.46 \times 10^{19}} \frac{e^2}{kT} \right]^{1/2} \left( V_+^2 + V_0^2 \right)^{1/2} (Q^+ + Q)$$

(10)

$(K-1) 760 = 5.17 \times 10^{-4}$ for argon, so evaluating Eq. (10) yields

$$C_{20} = \pi \left( 4.2 \right)^3 10^{-24} \left( V_+^2 + V_0^2 \right)^{1/2} (Q^+ + Q).$$

(11)

$Q$ for Cs (of concentration $A_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) in Ar (of concentration $N_0 \text{ cm}^{-3}$) is given by

$$Q = \sqrt{2} \pi \frac{A_0}{N_0} \frac{d(Cs)}{d(Ar)} + \frac{\pi}{4} \left[ d(Cs) + d(Ar) \right]^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{M(Cs)}{M(Ar)} \right]^{1/2}$$

(12)

where $d$ is the atomic diameter and $M$ the atomic weight. The first term on the right hand side of (12) can be ignored for $N_0 >> A_0$. The second term yields

$$Q = 1.2 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2.$$  

(13)

We determine $Q^+$ from $Q$ by including the effect that an ion polarizes a neutral atom producing mutual attraction which results in a large value of $Q$. The relation is

$$Q^+ = Q \left[ \frac{8 \cdot 8 \left( \frac{2\alpha e^2}{3kT} \right)^{1/2}}{d(Cs) + d(Ar)} \right]^{1/2},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{K-1}{4dN_0}$ is the polarizability of argon. Thus at $300^\circ \text{K}$

$$Q^+ = 4.65 Q = 5.5 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^2.$$  

(15)

Finally we obtain $(V_+^2 + V_0^2)^{1/2} = 3.07 \times 10^4 \text{ cm sec}^{-1}$ at $300^\circ \text{K}$ and therefore from Eqs. (11), (13) and (15),

$$C_{20} = 4.8 \times 10^{-31} \text{ cm}^6 \text{ sec}^{-1}.$$
COLLISIONAL-RADIATIVE RECOMBINATION AND ITS DEPENDENCE ON TEMPERATURE

Recent work on recombination loss in plasmas has emphasized the importance of the collisional-radiative process. This work was initiated by D'Angelo, Bates and Kingston, and McWhirter, subsequently studied in considerable detail by Bates, Kingston and McWhirter, and further applied and in some cases experimentally verified by Hinnov and Hirschberg, Aleskovskii, Wada and Knechtli, Stabler, and Cooper and Kunkel. What follows here is a brief physical description of the process and its dependence on temperature particularly as used in the reaction kinetics study.

We represent the three-body collisional-radiative recombination of an atomic ion (e.g. Cs$^+$) and two electrons as

\[
\text{Cs}^+ + e + e \rightarrow \text{Cs}^{**} + e; \\
\text{Cs}^{**} + e \rightarrow \text{Cs}^* + e; \text{ or } \text{Cs}^{**} \rightarrow \text{Cs}^* + h\nu, \text{ etc.}
\]

The recombination process is one in which an electron, as a result of a collision with another electron, loses enough energy\(^*\) to be captured into one of the more highly excited electronic states (Cs$$)$ of the ion. Since electrons capture is proportional to \(n^4\) where \(n\) is the principal quantum number, electron capture into highly excited states is most probable. That is, much larger energy exchanges between the colliding electrons are required for electron capture into low lying levels.

The formation of the highly excited state is followed by bound-bound radiative transitions and electron-induced collisional transitions to the ground state via many other states if necessary. Recombination is complete when an excited state finally decays to the ground state. The total rate of populating the ground state is the sum of (1) direct three-body recombination, (2) direct radiative recombination, (3) collisional transitions from all excited states, (4) spontaneous decay from all excited states. This rate therefore depends on the instantaneous populations of all excited states.

\[^*\text{An electron-electron collision is much more efficient than an electron-atom collision for degrading electron energy because the masses of the colliding particles are the same.}\]
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Solutions to the equations expressing the population and de-population rate of excited states in an optically-thin hydrogen plasma have been given by Bates, Kingston and McWhirter.\(^{(5)}\) The results show that atomic recombination rates from this process are several orders of magnitude larger than the pure radiative recombination process considered previously,\(^{(27)}\) particularly at the lower temperatures. Although the calculations of Bates et al. were performed for hydrogen ions, they may be expected to apply reasonably well to other singly-ionized atoms, especially at lower temperatures where the important processes which lead to recombination occur at highly-excited hydrogen-like (hydrogenic) states.*

In a subsequent paper, Bates, Kingston and McWhirter\(^{(50)}\) discuss the case of an optically thick hydrogen plasma. For example, if the Lyman lines \(\left(v=R\left(\frac{1}{1^2} - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right)\) are completely absorbed, downward radiative transitions from any level \(n\) to 1 are balanced by the reverse upward transitions and it is necessary to remove all terms expressing downward Lyman transitions from the equations. In most laboratory plasmas, self-absorption of the Lyman lines does occur with a subsequent reduction in the value of \(\alpha\), the collisional-radiative coefficient. However, for the cool dense plasma generated by fission fragments\(^{†}\) the recombination process is dominated by collisional processes; Bates and co-workers show that it then makes little difference whether the plasma is optically thin or optically thick since the value of \(\alpha\) remains unchanged. A recent paper by Bates and Kingston\(^{(51)}\) emphasizes that even when \(n_e\) is as low as \(1\times10^3\text{ cm}^{-3}\), collisional effects are still important if the plasma is cold \((25^\circ\text{K})\).

Bates, Kingston and McWhirter\(^{(5)}\) express the collisional-radiative recombination rate as \(\alpha(n_e,T)n_eN_+\) where \(\alpha(n_e,T)\) is the recombination coefficient. Since the initial recombination into highly excited levels involves a 2 electron-one ion collision and moreover since the collisional de-excitation of this level involves further electron impacts, \(\alpha(n_e,T)\) is evidently a strong function of both temperature and electron concentration. The tabulated values of \(\alpha(n_e,T)\) given by Bates et al. for various values of \(n_e\) and \(T\) show this. When collisional de-excitation dominates \(\alpha\) is proportional to \(n_e\), and for the lower temperatures around \(300\text{K}\), \(\alpha\) varies as \(T^{-5}\).

* Those states having energy \(E_n = -R/n^2\) and multiplicity \(\omega_n = 2n^2\).

† For most plasmas, high electron density is synonymous with high temperature.
Note that $\alpha$ is an effective two-body rate coefficient. The above workers emphasize that the decision to express the results in terms of a two-body coefficient does imply that two-body processes predominate; the decision is purely a matter of convenience. However, for the reaction kinetic equations shown previously in the text (Table I), it is necessary to express this recombination by a three-body rate coefficient, i.e. to express $\alpha(n_e, T)n_eN_+$ as $C(T)n_e^2N_e^+$. This approximation, which is appropriate for collision-dominated de-excitation processes, is a reasonable approximation for the high density ($\gtrsim 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$) relatively cool ($\lesssim 1300^\circ \text{K}$) plasmas of interest to us.

Figure 1 shows the dependence of $C$ on $T$ for different values of $n_e$ where we have used the values of $\alpha(n_e, T)$ calculated by Bates, Kingston and McWhirter. It is seen that for $n_e \gtrsim 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$ and $T \lesssim 1300^\circ \text{K}$, $C$ is essentially a function of $T$ only. The effect of the temperature variation of $C$ on the electron number density as determined from the reaction kinetic analysis, was studied by selecting a temperature and reading off from Fig. 1 the appropriate value of $C$ to be used in the collisional-radiative term $C N_e^2 (\alpha = C_3 = C_{22})$. For example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$C$ (cm$^{-6}$ sec$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$T^\circ \text{K}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1.08 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-20}$</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-21}$</td>
<td>870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td>1300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{-23}$</td>
<td>$\approx 2400$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the temperature increases much beyond $1300^\circ \text{K}$, the recombination process is no longer collision-dominated even for values of $n_e \sim 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$. Thus we see from Fig. 1 that for a selected value of $C = 1.0 \times 10^{-23} \text{cm}^{-4} \text{sec}^{-1}$, a precise plasma temperature cannot be specified unless $n_e$ is known. That is, $C$ is no longer a function of $T$ only (for $n_e \gtrsim 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-3}$) and the approximation $\alpha(n_e, T)n_eN_+ = C(T)n_e^2N_e^+$ is no longer valid.
Fig. 1. The variation of the three-body collisional radiative recombination coefficient \( C \) with temperature \( T \) and electron number density \( n_e \). \( C = \alpha(n_e, T)/n_e \) is taken from the data of Bates, Kingston and McWhirter (Proc. Roy. Soc. A267, 237, 1962) for an optically-thin hydrogen plasma where \( \alpha \) is a two-body collisional-radiative recombination coefficient. Over the range of parameters shown here, \( C \) is essentially unchanged for (1) pseudo-alkali ion and (2) plasma optically thick toward lines of Lyman series.
REFERENCES


2. C. B. Leffert, "Computer Solutions to the Reactor Kinetics Equations", ibid., Section C.

3. D. B. Rees, "Production, Diffusion and Conversion Rates of Ions, Metastable and Excited States in Penning-Type Neon-Argon Plasmas", ibid., Section D.


8. C. B. Leffert, "Theory and Experimental Results for First Inpile Microwave Measurement of Electron Density", Section C of this report.


43. J. J. Thomson, Phil. Mag., 47, 337, 1924.
SECTION B

ELECTRON DENSITY IN Ar-Cs AND Ne-Ar PLASMAS - A THEORETICAL STUDY
# CONTENTS

**ABSTRACT** ........................................... 1

**OBJECT** ........................................... 1

**CONCLUSIONS** ....................................... 2

**INTRODUCTION** ....................................... 2

**REACTION KINETICS** ................................. 3

- Equations for Particle Densities ................. 3

- Rate Coefficients for an Argon-Cesium Plasma .... 3

- Generation Rate for Argon Ions and Argon Metastables 3

**PARAMETER STUDIES** ............................... 6

- Effect of Total Pressure and Partial Pressure of Gas Constituents 7

- Comparison with Neon-Argon System ................. 10

- Conditions for Maximum $n_e$ ...................... 10

- Effect of Collisional Radiative Recombination ($C_{22}$) and Electron Temperature 11

- Effect of Penning Ionization Coefficient .......... 13

- Effect of Ion Diffusion ............................ 13

**REFERENCES** ......................................... 15

**APPENDIX A** .......................................... 16
SECTION B
ELECTRON DENSITY IN Ar-Cs AND Ne-Ar PLASMAS -
A THEORETICAL STUDY

ABSTRACT

The low electron-atom scattering cross section for argon and the low ionization potential of cesium make a plasma generated from an argon-cesium gas mixture by fission-fragment ionization a potentially good candidate for a thermionic energy converter. The reaction kinetics have been investigated for various mixtures of these gases to study the influence of reaction coefficients, total pressure and partial pressure of the gas constituents on electron density. The curves of electron density versus gas composition and total pressure were similar in shape to those for the neon-argon system but the electron density was about 1.5 times greater.

OBJECT

Analyze the important parameters which determine the electron density for plasmas generated in argon-cesium and neon-argon mixtures.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The electron density generated by fission-fragment ionization in argon-cesium is about 1.5 times greater than in neon-argon under the same conditions.
2. The dependence of electron density on electron temperature, total and partial pressure of the gas constituents is similar in both mixtures.
3. For the gas at the operating temperature of a thermionic energy converter (1300°K) and a gap spacing of 0.5 cm, the conditions for maximum electron
density exist at a pressure of 100 torr and $\text{Ar/Ne}=3.4\times10^{-4}$ for $\text{Ne-Ar}$, and $\text{Cs/Ar}=1.7\times10^{-4}$ for $\text{Ar-Cs}$.

4. For a fully enriched uranium fission source in a neutron flux of $1.0\times10^{13}$ sec$^{-1}$cm$^{-2}$, a maximum electron density of $3.8\times10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ was calculated for $\text{Ar-Cs}$ at 1300°K.

INTRODUCTION

The reaction kinetics equations that control the electron number density in a mixed-gas plasma ionized by fission fragments were formulated by Rose$^1$ and the reaction rate coefficients were evaluated by Rees$^2$ for the neon-argon system. The reaction kinetics equations for the neon-argon system were solved on the IBM 7094 computer and a parametric study of the effect of the gas pressure and the ratio of $\text{Ar/Ne}$ were reported by Leffert.$^3$ In these first studies the collisional-radiative recombination loss of the argon ion ($\text{Ar}^+$) was neglected. A study of this recombination loss made by Rees indicated that for the conditions of low electron temperature and medium electron density ($\sim10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$), the collisional-radiative loss could be large. The computer code was modified to include the collisional-radiative loss term. A parametric code study$^4$ showed that by including this term the enhanced electron density that resulted from the mixed gas was lost at low electron temperature ($\sim300$°K). At the gas temperature expected for our thermionic diode ($\sim1300$°K), however, most of the enhanced electron density still remained. Measurements of electron density in neon-argon with a microwave resonant cavity technique are reported elsewhere.$^5$ The gap in the microwave cavity was 0.5 cm and the calculations in this report are based on that gap dimension.

Another plasma of interest to thermionic energy conversion is the argon-cesium system ionized by fission fragments. Of the noble gases, argon has the lowest electron-atom cross section at energies typical of diode operation and should therefore offer the minimum plasma resistance to electron transport across the diode. Cesium has the lowest ionization potential (3.89 eV) and thus the $3P_2(11.53$ eV) and the $3P_0(11.72$ eV) metastable levels of argon have more than sufficient energy to ionize the cesium. Argon has a greater stopping power for fission fragments than neon and thus should give a higher ion generation rate. Also cesium should deposit on the cool collector surface, lower its work function and therefore increase the power output of the thermionic converter. These arguments favor the argon-cesium system over the neon-
argon system. The conductivity of the plasma is fixed largely by the electron
density which is dependent on the rates of many reactions that occur within
the plasma.

The reaction kinetics code was used to calculate electron density in the
argon-cesium mixture and to analyze the influence of pressure, ratio of Cs/Ar,
collisional-radiative recombination, Penning ionization coefficient and ion
diffusion. The Ar-Cs system is also compared to the Ne-Ar system. The influence
of pressure and the ratio of gas constituents was determined at 1300°K
for both the Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar systems so that these two gas mixtures could be
compared at temperatures typical of an operating thermionic energy converter.
The study of the influence of collisional-radiative recombinations at lower
temperatures (∼500°K) will aid in the analysis of the forthcoming inpile microwave experiments on Ar-Cs.

**REACTION KINETICS**

**Equations for Particle Densities**

The general equations which describe the reaction kinetics for a binary
gas mixture have been described in detail previously. The equations con¬
tained in the computer program are listed in Table I where all time derivatives
were set equal to zero for the steady state case. The collisional-radiative
term \( C_{22} n_e^2 A_+ \) is now included in the code.

**Rate Coefficients for an Argon-Cesium Plasma**

The reaction rate coefficients for an argon-cesium plasma have been deter¬
mined and these are listed in Table II. The explanation of the various
coefficients will be aided by reference to the equations in Table I.

**Generation Rate for Argon IONS and Argon Metastables**

The generation rate for argon ions in the argon-cesium mixture was
scaled from previous calculations using the ion generation rate code. The
pertinent data are shown in Table III.
TABLE I. Particle density equations for binary gas plasma.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time Derivative</th>
<th>From Direct Flux</th>
<th>Diffusion</th>
<th>2- and 3-Body Recombination</th>
<th>3-Body Molecule Formation</th>
<th>2-Body Charge Exchange</th>
<th>Metastable Metastable</th>
<th>Molecular</th>
<th>Metastable</th>
<th>Penning Ionization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial N}{\partial t} )</td>
<td>( S \cdot N_0 )</td>
<td>( \frac{K_n a_o N}{\Lambda^2} )</td>
<td>( \left[ \frac{C_n + C_n + C_n - N_x \cdot N_x}{N_x \cdot N_x} \right] )</td>
<td>( -C_{13} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{14} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{15} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{16} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{17} N_x )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial N_x}{\partial t} )</td>
<td>( S \cdot N_0 )</td>
<td>( \frac{K_n N}{\Lambda^2} )</td>
<td>( \left[ \frac{C_n + C_n + C_n - N_x \cdot N_x}{N_x \cdot N_x} \right] )</td>
<td>( -C_{13} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{14} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{15} N_x )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial N_m}{\partial t} )</td>
<td>( S \cdot N_0 )</td>
<td>( \frac{K_m N_m}{\Lambda^2} )</td>
<td>( \left[ \frac{C_n + C_n + C_n - N_x \cdot N_x}{N_x \cdot N_x} \right] )</td>
<td>( -C_{13} N_m )</td>
<td>( -C_{14} N_m )</td>
<td>( -C_{15} N_m )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial N_{2+}}{\partial t} )</td>
<td>( \frac{K \cdot N_{2+}}{\Lambda^2} )</td>
<td>( -C_{16} N_{2+} )</td>
<td>( +C_{17} N_{2+} )</td>
<td>( -C_{18} N_{2+} )</td>
<td>( -C_{19} N_{2+} )</td>
<td>( -C_{20} N_{2+} )</td>
<td>( -C_{21} N_{2+} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} )</td>
<td>( \frac{K \cdot A}{\Lambda^2} )</td>
<td>( \left[ \frac{C_n + C_n + C_n - N_x \cdot N_x}{N_x \cdot N_x} \right] )</td>
<td>( -C_{13} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{14} N_x )</td>
<td>( -C_{15} N_x )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \frac{\partial A_{2+}}{\partial t} )</td>
<td>( \frac{K \cdot A_{2+}}{\Lambda^2} )</td>
<td>( -C_{21} A_{2+} )</td>
<td>( +C_{22} A_{2+} )</td>
<td>( -C_{23} A_{2+} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equation for charge neutrality: \( n_e = N_+ + N_{2+} + A_+ + A_{2+} \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar$^+$ by fission fragments</td>
<td>$S_o$</td>
<td>$3.74 \times 10^{-3}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Ar$^+$ in Ar</td>
<td>$K_{1,a}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{16}, \text{cm}^{-1}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body radiative recombination Ar$^+$</td>
<td>$C_1$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{-12}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Thomson recombination Ar$^+$</td>
<td>$C_2$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-30}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisional-radiative recombination Ar$^+$</td>
<td>$C_3$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-19}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body $\text{Ar}^+ \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ \text{Ar}$ with Ar, Ar</td>
<td>$C_4$</td>
<td>$5.5 \times 10^{-31}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body $\text{Ar}^+ \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+ \text{Ne}$ with Ne, Ar</td>
<td>$C_5$</td>
<td>$\approx 5 \times 10^{-31}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body $\text{Ar}^+ \rightarrow \text{Cs}^+$</td>
<td>$C_6$</td>
<td>$\approx 3 \times 10^{-12}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastable-metastable $\text{Ar}^0 \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+$</td>
<td>$C_7$</td>
<td>$\approx 5.6 \times 10^{-10}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar$^X$ by fission fragments</td>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.1, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar$^X$ by atomic recombination</td>
<td>$C_8$</td>
<td>$\tau \approx 10^{-6}, \text{sec}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean lifetime Ar$^X$</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\tau \approx 5.6 \times 10^{-12}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body ion formation Ar$^X \rightarrow \text{Ar}^+_2$</td>
<td>$C_9$</td>
<td>$1.87 \times 10^{-3}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar$^m$ by fission fragments</td>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>$1.7 \times 10^{18}, \text{cm}^{-1}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion Ar$^m$ in Ar</td>
<td>$K_m$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.1, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar$^m$ by recombination of Ar$^+$</td>
<td>$C_{10}$</td>
<td>$\approx 0.5, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Ar$^m$ by recombination of Ar$^+_2$</td>
<td>$C_{11}$</td>
<td>$\approx 5.6 \times 10^{-10}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metastable-metastable Ar$^m$ destruction</td>
<td>$C_{12}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-15}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction Ar$^m$ via Ar</td>
<td>$C_{13}$</td>
<td>$8.7 \times 10^{-33}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Destruction Ar$^m$ via Ar, Ar</td>
<td>$C_{14}$</td>
<td>$\approx 4.6 \times 10^{-10}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penning ionization Ar$^m + \text{Cs} \rightarrow \text{Cs}^+$</td>
<td>$K_{2,a}$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{18}, \text{cm}^{-1}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion $\text{Ar}^+_2$ in Ar</td>
<td></td>
<td>$6.7 \times 10^{-7}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body dissociative recombination of $\text{Ar}^+_2$</td>
<td>$C_{16}$</td>
<td>$\approx 3 \times 10^{-12}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body $\text{Ar}^+_2 \rightarrow \text{Cs}^+$</td>
<td>$K_{3,a}$</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{18}, \text{cm}^{-1}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion $\text{Cs}^+$ in Ar</td>
<td>$C_{17}$</td>
<td>$3.5 \times 10^{-12}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body radiative recombination $\text{Cs}^+$</td>
<td>$C_{18}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-30}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body Thomson recombination $\text{Cs}^+$</td>
<td>$C_{19}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-31}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body $\text{Cs}^+ + \text{Cs} + \text{Ar} \rightarrow \text{Cs}^+_2$</td>
<td>$K_{4,a}$</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{28}, \text{cm}^{-1}, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion $\text{Cs}^+_2$ in Ar</td>
<td>$C_{21}$</td>
<td>$\approx 2 \times 10^{-6}, \text{cm}^3, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisional-radiative recombination $\text{Cs}^+$</td>
<td>$C_{22}$</td>
<td>$1.1 \times 10^{-19}, \text{cm}^6, \text{sec}^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. Code calculations for ion generation rate (S) at midpoint of tube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ionization Tube (d=0.3 cm)</th>
<th>Microwave Tube (d=0.5 cm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_{Total} (Ne^+ + Ar^+ \text{ in Ne-Ar})$ = $2.57 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$S_{Total} (Ne^+ + Ar^+ \text{ in Ne-Ar})$ = $2.258 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S (Ar^+ \text{ in Ar})$ = $3.645 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From these values the ion generation rate $S(Ar^+ \text{ in Ar})$ for the microwave tube was

$$S(Ar^+ \text{ in Ar}) = S_o N_o = \frac{2.258}{2.57} \times 3.645 \times 10^{16} = 3.20 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

and

$$S_o (Ar^+) = 3.20 \times 10^{16} / 8.5 \times 10^{18} = 3.74 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

Following the calculations for the production of neon metastables in Ne-Ar, the production rate of argon metastables by the fission fragments was taken as

$$S_2 (Ar^m) = 0.5 S_o (Ar^+) = 1.87 \times 10^{-3} \text{ sec}^{-1}$$

PARAMETER STUDIES

One objective of this study was to compare the electron density generated by fission-fragment ionization in an argon-cesium gas mixture to that generated in a neon-argon mixture under comparable operating conditions. For the argon-cesium system, the operating conditions (See Table IV) were set equal to those for the previous kinetic study on the neon-argon system.

TABLE IV. Operating conditions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Neutron flux</td>
<td>$\phi = 1.0 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spacing</td>
<td>$d = 0.5$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Characteristic diffusion</td>
<td>$\Lambda = 0.1592$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas filling</td>
<td>argon-cesium</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The spacing corresponds to that of the microwave cavity used in earlier impile experiments. The optimum electrode spacing for a thermionic converter is not yet known but a value of 0.5 cm is reasonable for comparison of the Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar gas mixtures. For the detailed study of the influence of pressure and ratio of gas constituents for the Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar mixtures, the gas temperature was set to 1300°K. In the study of the influence of collisional-radiative recombination the gas temperature was varied.

**Effect of Total Pressure and Partial Pressure of Gas Constituents**

The effect of pressure and cesium-to-argon ratio (Cs/Ar) on the electron number density were obtained in one series of computations. The results of these calculations are shown in Figs. A-1 and A-2 of the Appendix where the electron number density (\(n_e, \text{cm}^{-3}\)) is plotted as a function of the atom number density (\(N, \text{cm}^{-3}\)) for various constant values of Cs/Ar from 0 to 10^-2. These data are also presented in a three-dimensional plot in Fig. 1 where the total gas pressure \(p=N_0 \times (3.22 \times 10^{16})^{-1}\) is substituted for \(N_0\).

The electron number density is strongly dependent upon the collisional-radiative loss (see later section) of the atomic cesium ion, Cs^+, (via C_22) which in turn is dependent upon the electron temperature. For this study, the electron temperature was set equal to 1300°K (C_22=1.0\times10^{-22}) which was considered to be representative of the gas temperature in our thermionic diode.

The curves of \(n_e vs N_o\) at constant Cs/Ar exhibit a maximum in \(n_e\) similar to that found for the neon-argon system (3,4) (Fig.2). At low pressure (\(\leqslant 10\) torr) the ion production rate is small and the Cs^+ ion diffuses out of the plasma. Both of these factors cause \(n_e\) to decrease with decreasing pressure. At high pressure (\(\geqslant 300\) torr) the 3-body conversion (C_20) of Cs^+ to Cs^+ (which promptly loses its charge via recombination) becomes rapid and \(n_e\) decreases with increasing pressure.

The curves for various Cs/Ar ratios show a strong dependence of \(n_e\) on the Cs/Ar ratio. If the ratio Cs/Ar is too small (\(\leqslant 10^{-6}\)) the cesium atoms are not in sufficient abundance to produce cesium ions (Cs^+) from the argon metastable states (C_15). On the other hand, if the ratio Cs/Ar is too large, the Cs^+ ions are rapidly converted to Cs^+ via the 3-body conversion C_20. In the cross plot of \(n_e vs Cs/Ar\) at constant pressure in Fig. 3, a maximum in \(n_e\) occurs at the value of Cs/Ar where the production and loss of Cs^+ are optimum.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>Ne-Ar</th>
<th>Ar-Cs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Production of $N_+^+$ by fission fragments</td>
<td>$S_0$</td>
<td>sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.93 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$3.74 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of $N_m^+$ by fission fragments</td>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$0.879 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$1.87 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penning ionization $N_m^+ + A \rightarrow A_+ + N_0$</td>
<td>$C_{15}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$5.5 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusion of $N_m^+$</td>
<td>$K_{1}^m$</td>
<td>cm$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$5.7 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_2^m$</td>
<td>cm$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$9.1 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_3^m$</td>
<td>cm$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.0 \times 10^{19}$</td>
<td>$2.9 \times 10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$K_4^m$</td>
<td>cm$^{-1}$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$9.5 \times 10^{18}$</td>
<td>$2.8 \times 10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body $N_+^+ + 2N^+<em>0 \rightarrow N</em>{2+}^+ + N_0^+$</td>
<td>$C_4$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$5.8 \times 10^{-32}$</td>
<td>$5.5 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body $A_+^+ + A^+<em>0 + N_0 \rightarrow A</em>{2+}^+ + N_0^+$</td>
<td>$C_{20}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \times 10^{-31}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production of $N_m^+$ by recombination of $N_{2+}^+$</td>
<td>$C_{11}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
<td>$0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body destruction of $N_m^+$ via $N_0^+$</td>
<td>$C_{13}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.84 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$1.2 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-body destruction of $N_m^+$ via $2N_0^+$</td>
<td>$C_{14}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
<td>$8.7 \times 10^{-15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body dissociative recombination of $N_{2+}^+$</td>
<td>$C_{16}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$2.2 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$6.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-body dissociative recombination of $A_{2+}^+$</td>
<td>$C_{21}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$6.7 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisional radiative recombination of $N_+^+$</td>
<td>$C_{13}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collisional radiative recombination of $A_+^+$</td>
<td>$C_{22}$</td>
<td>cm$^3$ sec$^{-1}$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
<td>$1.00 \times 10^{-22}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Contour map showing the singular electron number density maximum in a fission-fragment-generated plasma of argon-cesium at the critical Cs/Ar ratio and total gas pressure.

Fig. 2. Contour map showing the singular electron number density maximum in a fission-fragment-generated plasma of neon-argon at the critical Ar/Ne ratio and total gas pressure.
Fig. 3. Electron number density \(n_e\) as a function of the ratio of the gas constituents \((A_o/N_o)\) for \(N_o=3.0 \times 10^{10}\) cm\(^{-3}\).

Comparison with Neon-Argon System. The previous computations on the neon-argon system\(^{(4)}\) were extended to cover the same operating conditions and range of \(A_o/N_o\) as for argon-cesium. These results are shown in 2-dimensional plots in Figs. A-2 and A-3 in the Appendix and in a 3-dimensional plot in Fig. 2. The 3-dimensional contour maps (Figs. 1 and 2) are similar in shape but the electron number density for the argon-cesium system is about 1.5 greater than that of the neon-argon system. The increased electron density of Ar-Cs over Ne-Ar is a consequence of the higher ion-generation rate coefficients for the Ar-Cs system. The reaction rate coefficients for both systems are compared in Table V. The production rate of \(N_+\) and \(N_m\) by fission fragments for Ar is about twice as great as that for Ne and the Penning ionization coefficient \((C_{15})\) is about 25 times larger. On the other hand, the 3-body conversion of the atomic to the molecular ion of the parent gas \((C_4)\) is about 10 times greater for argon than for neon but this has little influence since the predominant ion is that of the minor gas species. The 3-body conversion of the atomic to the molecular ion of the neon gas species \((C_{20})\) is a factor of only 1.9 greater for Cs\(_+\) than for Ar\(_+\). The 3-body
destruction of $N_m(C_{22})$ for Ar$_m$ is about 17 times that for Ne$_m$ but is apparently overridden by the increased production rate terms.

Conditions for Maximum $n_e$ - The electron density maxima for Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar in Figs. 1 and 2 both occur at $N_o \approx 3.0 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ but at somewhat different values of $A_o/N_o$. A cross-plot of $n_e$ vs $A_o/N_o$ is shown in Fig. 3 for both Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar at $N_o = 3.0 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. The dimensions of the maximum electron density, $n_e^o$, are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE VI. Maximum $n_e$ for Figure Nos. 1 and 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$n_e^o$ vs $A_o/N_o$ at $n_e^o$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ne-C-Cs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_e^o = n_e^max, \text{ cm}^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 x $10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_o = N_o^o$ at $n_e^o$ cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.0 x $10^{18}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(A_o/N_o)^o = A_o/N_o$ at $n_e^o$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.4 x 10-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_e$ at $N_o$ for pure $N_o^o$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.17 x $10^{12}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron enhancement = $n_e^o/(n_e$ for pure $N_o^o)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The enhancement of the electron density that results from the small addition of $A_o$ was obtained by comparing $n_e^o$ to the value of $n_e$ for the pure gas, $N_o$ at $N_o^o$. The addition of argon to neon increased the electron density by a factor of 14 and the addition of cesium to argon increased the electron density by a factor of 29.

Effect of Collisional-Radiative Recombination ($C_{22}$) and Electron Temperature

The importance of the volume recombination loss involving 3-body collisions of an atomic ion and two electrons has been discussed elsewhere. In a study of the influence of this reaction on the electron density in neon-argon using the reaction kinetics code $^{(4)}$, the collisional-radiative recombination loss ($C_{22}$) was found to greatly influence the electron density, particularly as a function of the electron temperature. The curves for Ar/Ne=1.0x$10^{-4}$ are reproduced in Fig. 4. A similar study was made for the argon-cesium system and the results are shown in Fig. 5. The influence of the collisional-radiative loss is even more striking for the case of Ar-Cs. Comparing the ratio of the maximum $n_e$ (in the curve $n_e$ vs $N_o$) at $T_e=1300^\circ$K to that for $C_{22}=0$ ($T_e \rightarrow \infty$), i.e. $n_e^max(T_e=1300^\circ$K/$n_e^max(C_{22}=0)$, this ratio was about 1/2 for the Ne-Ar system while it is about 1/4 for the Ar-Cs system.
Fig. 4. Effect of collisional-radiative recombination \((C_{22}A_{\text{n}_e}^2)\) on electron number density at \(\phi=1.0\times10^{13}\) neutrons cm\(^{-2}\)sec\(^{-1}\).

Fig. 5. Effect of collisional-radiative recombination \((C_{32}, C_{22})\) on electron number density.
In the proposed inpile microwave experiment on Ar-Cs, the influence of gas temperature on electron density will be investigated by varying the temperature of the resonant cavity with a flow of cool gas against the outside walls of the cavity. The Cs/Ar ratio will be varied by a separate gas coolant flow against the cesium reservoir.

Effect of Penning Ionization Coefficient \( C_{15} \)

One of the argon-cesium reactions about which little is known is the ionization of cesium by argon metastables \( C_{15} \)

\[
\text{Ar}^m + \text{Cs} \rightarrow \text{Cs}^+ + \text{Ar}
\]  

Measurements of the Townsend \( \alpha \) coefficient in argon-cesium have been made by Nolan\(^8\) and from these data an estimate of the cross section for reaction (8) was obtained. These measurements did not distinguish between the ion species produced nor the specific reaction that produced them, nevertheless, using information on the other possible reactions, the cross section for reaction (8) was estimated to be \( q_1 = 1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \).

The accuracy of this cross section was estimated to be within an order of magnitude so it was important to investigate the influence of this cross section on the reaction kinetics. The product of \( q_1 \) and the average velocity \( \langle v \rangle \) gives the reaction rate \( q \).

\[
C_{15} = 1 \times 10^{-14} \text{ cm}^{-2} \times 4.6 \times 10^{14} \text{ cm/sec} = 4.6 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}.
\]  

To find the influence of this rate on \( n_e \) a code run was made with 1/3 the value of \( C_{15} \) computed in (9), i.e. \( C_{15} = 1.53 \times 10^{-10} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ sec}^{-1} \). The effect on \( n_e \) is shown in Fig. 6 where the curves of \( n_e \) vs \( N_0 \) are plotted for both values of \( C_{15} \). The factor of 3 change in \( C_{15} \) changes \( n_e \) as much as a factor of about 2 so it will be important to establish this reaction rate more precisely if the information becomes available.

Effect of Ion Diffusion

The ion loss to the emitter electrode depends upon the sheath potential. If the emitter sheath is electron rich (as we expect), the ion flow from the plasma to the emitter will be retarded. In this case the diffusion loss as computed in the above kinetics studies \( -D_1 A_{1+/\Delta^2} \) would be too high and the electron density too low. To evaluate the influence of the ion diffusion loss on \( n_e \) a series of computations were made in which the total ion diffusion
Fig. 6. Effect of Penning ionization coefficient ($C_{15}$) on the electron number density in Ar-Cs.

Fig. 7. Effect of ion diffusion and electrode spacing (with no ion diffusion) on electron number density.
loss was set to zero \( (D_1 = 0) \). Only the diffusion of the metastables \( (-D_{\text{m}}N_0/2) \)
remained unchanged and the influence of the metastable diffusion was found by
varying the electrode spacing \( d \) \((\Lambda = d/2\pi)\). The results of this study are shown
graphically in Fig. 7.

As seen from Fig. 7 the reduction of ion loss to the electrodes can sig-
nificantly increase the electron density. At pressures around 30 torr
\( (N_0 \approx 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \), \( n_e \) is increased about an order of magnitude while at pres-
sures around 150 torr \( (N_0 \approx 5 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \), \( n_e \) is increased about 50%.

The influence of the electrode spacing on \( n_e \) is small except for the
very small spacings \((d < 0.01 \text{ cm})\).

The influence of fission-fragment ion generation rate on \( n_e \) is also evi-
dent in Fig. 7. At low pressures \( (N_0 \approx 1 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}) \) the ion generation rate is
proportional to \( N_0 \) and this is evident for the curves with no ion diffusion
loss where \( n_e \) rapidly approaches zero as \( N_0 \) approaches zero. Ion diffusion
loss shifts the maximum in the curve of \( n_e \) vs \( N_0 \) to higher values of \( N_0 \).
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Fig. A-1. Electron number density \( n_e \) as a function of the argon density \( N_0 \) and the ratio of the gas constituents \( \text{Cs/Ar} \) for \( \text{Cs/Ar} = 0 \) to \( 10^{-4} \).

Fig. A-2. Electron number density \( n_e \) as a function of the argon density \( N_0 \) and the ratio of the gas constituents \( \text{Cs/Ar} \) for \( \text{Cs/Ar} = 3.16 \times 10^{-4} \) to \( 10^{-2} \).
Fig. A-3. Electron number density \( n_e \) as a function of the neon density \( N_0 \) and the ratio of the gas constituents (Ar/Ne) for Ar/Ne = 0 to 10^{-4}.

Fig. A-4. Electron number density \( n_e \) as a function of the neon density \( N_0 \) and the ratio of the gas constituents (Ar/Ne) for Ar/Ne = 3.16 x 10^{-4} to 10^{-2}.
SECTION C

THEORY AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR FIRST INPILE MICROWAVE MEASUREMENT OF ELECTRON DENSITY

ABSTRACT

The electron number density in a fission-fragment-generated plasma has been measured inpile with microwave techniques. The results of the first inpile run with microwaves are summarized. The fit between the measured electron density and that predicted from the reaction kinetics theory was good. The elements of microwave theory which pertain to the measurement of the electron density in a resonant cavity are reviewed. The design and development of a resonant microwave cavity with a fission fragment source are described.
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OBJECT

The objectives of this study were to (1) measure the electron density in a fission-fragment-generated plasma using a resonant microwave cavity and (2) compare the measured electron density to that predicted by our reaction kinetics theory.

CONCLUSIONS

1. It has been demonstrated that the electron number density in plasmas generated by fission-fragment ionization of a noble-gas mixture can be measured by the microwave frequency-shift method.
2. The stringent design requirements imposed by both the nuclear and microwave environments resulted in a resonant cavity with a relatively low microwave quality factor ($Q \approx 1500$).
3. The limited frequency sweep of the microwave generator plus the low $Q$ for the cavity used in the first in-pile run necessitated the use of a dynamic method to measure the frequency shift.
4. A frequency shift of 1 Gc was observed for a neon-argon mixture at a pressure of 140 torr and operated in a neutron flux of $4 \times 10^{12} \text{cm}^{-2} \text{sec}^{-1}$. The electron number density calculated for a 1 Gc frequency shift agreed well with that computed from the reaction-kinetics analysis. Nevertheless, verification of the reaction-kinetics theory is still considered tentative.

I. INTRODUCTION

The output power of a thermionic energy converter is strongly dependent on the electron number density of the interelectrode plasma. Our studies on fission-fragment-generated plasmas to date have been directed towards establishing how the electron number density depends upon such variables as the composition and pressure of the gas, the neutron flux and dimensions of the tube. The manner in which the electron number density varies with these parameters has been calculated in recent studies for both the neon-argon and argon-cesium gas mixtures. (1,2,3,4)
The reaction kinetics study requires knowledge of many reaction coefficients. Though not all are important for the calculation of the electron density, some are not well established and an experimental verification of the calculation was sought.

Microwave methods have been developed for obtaining information on electron density, conductivity and collision-frequency for a cavity filled with a plasma. These methods can be applied to a cavity filled with a fission-fragment-generated plasma and the analysis is somewhat simplified since such a plasma is of relatively uniform density.

This report summarizes the microwave theory applicable to measurement of the resonant frequency of a cavity, describes the apparatus assembled for inpile measurements and finally presents the results of the first inpile run on a neon-argon plasma.

II. MICROWAVE THEORY

High-frequency electromagnetic waves interact strongly with ionized gases and thus provide a powerful tool for measuring plasma properties. The microwave techniques developed to measure plasma properties such as electron particle density and temperature have been reported in detail by a number of authors. The literature is quite extensive so in this section only those formulas will be reproduced which are pertinent to the design of the microwave components and to the analysis of the data. The basic elements of microwave theory that lead to the derivation of these formulas are reviewed in another report.

Effect of Plasma on Cavity Resonance

The change in the resonant properties of a microwave cavity with the introduction of a plasma are given by

\[ \left( \frac{1}{Q_1} - \frac{1}{Q_0} \right) - 2j \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon_0 \omega_0} \int_V \sigma(r) \frac{E^2(r)}{E_0^2} \, dV , \quad (\Delta \omega < \omega_0) . \]  (1)

\( \omega \) is the resonant angular frequency of the cavity with plasma inside; \( \omega_0 \) is the resonant frequency of the cavity in the absence of the plasma and \( \Delta \omega = \omega - \omega_0 \) is the change in the resonant angular frequency due to the perturbation by the plasma. \( Q_0 \) and \( Q_1 \) are the "unperturbed" and "plasma" values,
respectively, of the cavity characteristic, $Q$, which is defined by

$$Q = \frac{\omega_0}{\frac{\text{energy stored}}{\text{average power loss}}} = \frac{2\pi}{\text{energy loss per cycle}}$$

In Eq.(1), $E$ is the electric field in the cavity, $\sigma$ is the complex conductivity of the plasma, $\epsilon_0$ is the permittivity of free space and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

For the noble gas plasmas of interest in these studies the complex conductivity is related to the electron number density ($n$) by

$$\sigma = \sigma_r + j \sigma_i = \frac{\text{ne}^2}{m} (\frac{\omega}{\epsilon_0}) = \frac{\omega_p^2 \epsilon_0}{\omega} \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_p - j} \right)$$

where $e$ and $m$ are the charge and mass of the electron and $\nu$ is the collision frequency between electrons and neutral atoms and is assumed independent of the electron velocity. The last relation in Eq.(3) is obtained by substituting for $n$ the angular plasma frequency, $\omega_p$, where

$$\omega_p = \left( \frac{\text{ne}^2}{\epsilon_0 m} \right)^{1/2}$$

or numerically $\omega_p = \omega_p / 2\pi (\text{cps}) = 8979 \left[ n(\text{cm}^{-3}) \right]^{1/2}$.

The change in the cavity $Q$ and the change in resonant angular frequency in Eq.(1) can be separately expressed after substituting Eq.(3) for the complex conductivity. The imaginary parts of the right and left hand sides are then equated to give the change in resonant angular frequency

$$\frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_0} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{\nu + (\nu/\omega)^2} \right] \int \frac{\left( \omega_p/\omega \right)^2 \epsilon_0^2}{\nu} dV$$

and the real parts of the right and left hand sides are equated to give the change in the cavity $Q$

$$\frac{1}{Q_1} - \frac{1}{Q_2} = \Delta \left[ \frac{\nu/\omega}{1 + (\nu/\omega)^2} \right] \int \frac{\left( \omega_p/\omega \right)^2 \epsilon_0^2}{\nu} dV$$
From Eqs. (5) and (6) it also follows that

\[ \frac{1}{Q_1} - \frac{1}{Q_0} = 2 \left| \frac{\nu}{\omega} \right| \frac{\Delta \omega}{\omega_0^2}. \]  

(7)

The electron number density is related to the shift in the resonant angular frequency of the cavity by Eq. (5) and the collision frequency (\(\nu\)) is related by Eq. (7) to the change in the cavity Q and the shift in resonant frequency (\(\Delta \omega\)). The intrinsic or unloaded Q, \(Q_u\), is not measurable, however, since the cavity must be coupled to the transmission line through some kind of impedance. A quantity known as the loaded Q, \(Q_L\), can be obtained from measurements of the voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) in the transmission line and \(Q_u\) is then calculated from \(Q_L\). (5)

Fig. 1. Effect of plasma on cavity resonance.
Selection of Microwave Probing Frequency

Using Eq.(4) and assuming the electron number density to be uniform over the volume of the cavity, the integrals cancel in Eq.(5) and since \( f = \omega / 2\pi \), we get

\[
\Delta f = \frac{4.03 \times 10^7 n}{1 + (v/\omega)^2} \approx 4 \times 10^7 n. \tag{6}
\]

We can now use Eq.(8) to find the proper microwave frequency for probing the resonant cavity. We select \( \Delta f \approx 1 \text{ Gc} \) as a shift in frequency that can be readily measured and \( n \approx 5 \times 10^{11} \text{ cm}^{-3} \) as typical of the plasmas to be generated in the research reactor. Substitution in (8) yields \( f \approx 20 \text{ Gc} \) (which falls in the K-band). The collision frequency is \( v = 6 \times 10^9 \text{ sec}^{-1} \) for 140 torr neon so \( (v/\omega) = (v / 2\pi f) = 0.04 \) and the factor \( 1 + (v/\omega)^2 \) can be neglected in (8).

We had available a Model 150 K-band microwave generator manufactured by Strand Labs., Inc. with a frequency range of 23-25 Gc. This instrument has a narrow frequency sweep (100 Me) over a klystron mode and the output is not constant but sinusoidal over the sweep. Also the output signal cannot be modulated, which is necessary to drive meters which display the VSWR directly. Therefore the initial inpile experiment was limited to a frequency-shift measurement since the circuit was not appropriate for a point-by-point measurement of VSWR.

The type of signals expected from this instrument are depicted in Fig.2(b) along with signals which would be obtained if the input were constant with frequency (as from a backward-wave oscillator).

---

**Fig. 2.** Incident and reflected signals from a resonant cavity (see Fig. 7 for the circuit).
Dimensions of Cavity

The wavelength of the resonance frequency for TM (labeled, T_MNS) modes for a right circular cylinder is (6)

$$\lambda_o = \frac{2h}{\left[ s^2 + \left( \frac{h}{a} \right)^2 \left( \frac{P_{mn}}{\pi} \right)^2 \right]^{1/2}}$$

where \( h \) is the height, \( a \) the radius, \( s \) is the integral number of modes along \( h \), \( P_{mn} \) is the \( r \)th root of \( J_m(P) = 0 \), \( m \) is the number of circumferential maxima, and \( n \) is the number of radial maxima.

To obtain the 1 Gc frequency shift from the klystron generator, \( f_o \) was set to 23.2 Gc (\( \lambda_o/c = 1.293 \text{cm} \)) near the lower end of the frequency range. A cavity of inside diameter about 1 inch was desirable so in Eq. (9) we chose the \( \text{TM}_{020} \) mode and obtained for the diameter, \( D = 2a = 2.272 \text{cm} = 0.8945 \text{ in.} \) For this mode the resonant frequency is independent of the height \( h \), so we chose \( h = 0.5 \text{cm} \), as near the height of the ionization tube (0.3 cm) as the dimensions of the wave guide would permit.

The orientation of the field lines for this mode are shown in Fig. 3.

![Fig. 3. Orientation of field lines in the \( \text{TM}_{020} \) mode of a right circular cylinder.](image)

Electron Number Density from Resonant Frequency Shift

Equation (8) can now be solved to find the electron number density from the shift in the resonant frequency of this particular cavity. Substituting \( f_o = 23.2 \text{ Gc} \) in (8) gives

$$n = 5.75 \times 10^2 \Delta f.$$  \hfill (10)
Change in the Cavity Q and Measurement of Collision Frequency

For this estimate of the magnitude of the Q of the cavity, we ignore the series losses in the coupling circuit between the cavity and the transmission line and use Eq. (7) to estimate \( \Delta \left( \frac{1}{Q} \right) = \left( \frac{1}{Q} - \frac{1}{Q_0} \right) \). For this cavity \( \omega_c = 2\pi f_c = 146 \times 10^6 \text{ sec}^{-1} \) and for 140 torr neon \( n/\omega \approx 0.04 \) we estimate \( \Delta (1/Q) = 3.6 \times 10^{-3} \) from Eq. (7) for a frequency shift of \( \Delta f = 1 \text{ Gc} \). The measured unperturbed \( Q_0 \) for the inpile cavity was 1500 so that for the cavity with the plasma \( Q = 230 \) from Eq. (7) which is very low and makes the cavity resonance difficult (but possible) to find on the signal output. Nevertheless, it should be possible to measure both \( Q_1 \) and \( Q_0 \) as well as \( \Delta \omega \). From the computed values of \( Q \) the collision frequency, \( v \), can be calculated for the plasma using Eq. (7).

This was not contemplated for the first run since a determination of \( \Delta \omega \) was considered all that could be obtained with the klystron mode oscillator.

III. DESIGN OF CAVITY AND CIRCUIT

Having fixed the diameter and height of the resonant cavity it was necessary to design a vacuum-tight tube that could be operated at elevated temperatures (up to 500°C) and in a nuclear environment. One objective of the inpile experiment was to measure the electron density in the plasma as a function of the plasma temperature in order to check the dependence of the collisional radiative loss process. The fissioning uranium serves as a heat source so it was decided to obtain the plasma temperature variation by suspending the cavity in a gas environment and regulating the heat loss rate. A U-235 foil bonded to one end of the cavity, similar to the ionization tube design, was chosen as the source of the fission fragments. The losses of the cavity depend on the conductivity of the inside walls of the cavity so it was evident that the uranium would certainly lower the Q from that of our all copper-plated cavity. Electroplating of the uranium surface, with Cu, for example, was not desirable since it would seriously reduce the flux of fission fragments.
Design and Construction of the Cavity: The mechanical design of this cavity is shown in Fig. 4.

The body of the cavity was made of Kovar. The window was made of high-density alumina and after coating with molybdenum-manganese it was brazed to the Kovar with copper. A short section (2-1/4 in.) of stainless steel wave guide was brazed to the Kovar at the same time the ceramic window was brazed in place. The inside of the cavity (less the Kovar cap) was electroplated with copper (~ 0.004 in.) after which glass-Kovar-copper pump-out tubulation was attached.

Two tantalum discs (0.010 in.) were brazed to the Kovar cap with copper and the U-235 foil was bonded to the top tantalum disc with nickel.¹

Photographs of the cavity before and after insertion of the uranium cap are shown in Figs. 5 and 6, respectively. The ceramic window can be seen in Fig. 5. A special electrode was made to spot weld this cap assembly to the Kovar cavity at the seating surface. The lips of the Kovar cap and cavity were then heliarc-welded to complete the tube. To obtain a reasonably high Q, good electrical contact is needed at the seating surface between the cap and cavity and it was found that the spot welding step was necessary to prevent the cap from lifting off this seating surface during the heliarc weld.
Fig. 5. Microwave cavity before insertion of uranium cap. Note ceramic window to K-band wave guide.

Fig. 6. Microwave cavity ready for heliarc welding.
The coupling between the wave guide and the cavity depends critically on the dimensions of the iris or hole in the cavity wall. It is known that the addition of a plasma to a resonant cavity tends to undercouple the cavity to the wave guide so it is helpful to overcouple the cavity by making the hole somewhat oversize. It was found by varying the hole size in experimental cavities that the hole diameter should be about 1/8 in. This dimension is nearly the height of the wave guide (see Table II).

Considerable trouble was experienced in obtaining a leak-tight bond between the ceramic window and the Kovar cavity. In the first design the ceramic was in the shape of a flat plate (0.170 in x 0.420 in x 0.035 in.) which was brazed in a rectangular recess on the cavity. The microwaves were coupled through a hole (0.100 in. to 0.125 in. diam.) in the Kovar wall. This design could not be made completely leak tight so in the second design the ceramic was made circular (~ 0.100 in. diam x 0.050 in. thick) and brazed in a circular recess in the cavity wall. This design could be made tight initially but leaks developed after subsequent heating because of the different expansion rates of Kovar and the ceramic. In the third design (see Fig. 7) the circular ceramic button was brazed with copper to a thin-walled Kovar tube connected to a flat Kovar plate and this assembly was brazed (80 Au-20 Cu) to the Kovar cavity. The thin wall Kovar was able to yield slightly with heating and thus maintain the bond with the ceramic.

Fig. 7. Ceramic window assembly of third design.

After heliarc welding the cap to the body a 38-1/2 in. length of copper wave guide was silver-soldered to the stainless steel wave guide (see Fig. 8) and the cavity plus wave guide were connected to the glass vacuum station for processing. A separate mechanical pump kept the wave guide evacuated during processing.

After the cavity was backfilled with gas (neon-argon at 140 torr and Ar/Ne of $10^{-4}$) and the copper tubulation pinched off, the cavity was tested preliminary to mounting for the inpile experiment.
Microwave Test Circuit: The circuit for the measurement of the cavity resonant frequency is shown in Fig. 8. The microwave components in Fig. 8 were on hand for K-band and the circuit was set up and successfully tested. For the inpile experiment, however, the cavity must be placed in the core which is about 20 ft below the water level. When an additional 20 ft of waveguide was placed between the 10 db coupler and the cavity in the circuit of Fig. 8, the cavity resonance could not be found in the many reflections introduced by the additional waveguide. The problem was solved by moving the 10 db coupler down to the cavity and then returning the reflected signal back through a separate 20 ft length of waveguide. The waveguide was evacuated to eliminate any influence on the microwave signal of gamma ionization of gas. The directional coupler was made vacuum tight with epoxy cement.

Microwave Circuit and Support Structure for Inpile Experiment:
To vary the cavity temperature it was decided to suspend the cavity in the 4 ft long aluminum containment can as shown in Fig. 8. With the cavity mounted in this fashion and the 4 ft tube filled with gas, the temperature could be varied by varying the gas pressure in a static system* or the gas could be circulated at a varying flow rate. In the latter case the gas could be directed against the uranium cap.

* In the first reactor run reported here the 1/4 in. aluminum gas cooling tube was not attached and the static cooling method was used.
Chromel-alumel thermocouple wires were attached to each end of the cavity and these were returned to the surface of the pool through 1/4 in. Polyflo tubing.

Fig. 9. Microwave resonant cavity mounted in the 4 ft aluminum secondary containment can (see footnote p. 18).

Except for the first day of the week, the University of Michigan reactor operates at full power of 2 MW. In order to be able to vary the neutron flux at full power, it was decided to mount the entire microwave circuit including the microwave generator on one support structure which was attached to a screw jack and then move the assembly vertically to obtain the desired flux.

This assembly is sketched in Fig. 10.
Fig. 10. Microwave circuit and support structure for inpile experiments at University of Michigan.
After the heliarc weld of the first cavity containing uranium (Cavity 9), it was found that a very small leak had developed from the cavity to the wave guide past the ceramic window (1st design). This leak was repaired with an epoxy cement (GE-SR82) and it was decided to proceed with the construction and testing of this cavity provided it survived the bakeout in the processing step. The seal was good and the cavity was backfilled to 140 torr pressure of a neon-argon mixture with $\text{Ar/Ne}=10^{-4}$. The mass spectrometer analysis of this gas showed 109 ppm argon with the balance neon; no other impurities were detectable.

The entire assembly shown in Fig. 10 was tested with a cavity (No. 9) at General Motors Research Laboratories before shipment to the University of Michigan reactor. One of the tests involved heating the cavity in an oven to find the resonant frequency shift due to just the thermal expansion of the cavity. The cavity was operated in the University of Michigan reactor and some experimental data were obtained. Subsequent operation indicated that the cavity seal had apparently failed. These initial results are discussed and a comparison to our calculated values is shown.

**Frequency Shift with Thermal Expansion of the Cavity:** The equipment shown in Fig. 10 was assembled horizontally in the laboratory area and a small oven was placed over the cavity in place of the 4 ft aluminum containment can. As heat was applied, the temperature of the cavity was monitored with the thermocouples spot welded to each face of the cavity. The shift in the resonant frequency was monitored with the oscilloscope. The data obtained from this test are plotted in Fig. 11.

The predicted thermal coefficient of frequency for a homogeneous cavity is $(\Delta f/f)/\Delta T=\alpha$ where $\alpha$ is the linear coefficient of expansion for the material. For Kovar $\alpha=5\times10^{-6}(\degree\text{C})^{-1}$ which is in good agreement with the value of $(\Delta f/f)/\Delta T$ in Fig. 14. Such non-homogeneities as solder and varying materials can decrease the actual value of $(\Delta f/f)/\Delta T$ by a factor of 2 or 3.
A typical oscilloscope trace is shown in Fig. 12 for the cavity at room temperature. The horizontal sweep is over a frequency range from about 23.05 to 23.15 Gc. The upper trace is the incident signal (see Fig. 8) and the lower trace is the reflected signal. The double traces result from the sinusoidal input sweep to the klystron. The minimum in the reflected signal represents the resonant frequency. At this position the wave meter micrometer read 70.20 which from the calibration chart (Fig. 13) gives $f_0 = 23.080$ Gc.

The loaded Q of the cavity can be approximated from this oscilloscope trace. To calculate $Q_L$ accurately one needs a plot of VSWR versus $f$ and then the frequency difference between the two half-power points is given by

$$
\frac{\Delta f}{f_0} = \frac{1}{Q_L}.
$$

When meter readings were taken at the estimated half-amplitude points on either side of the resonant peak in Fig. 12 and the results were as follows: Left side, WM=70.1 or $f_2 = 23.085$ Gc; Right side, WM=70.4 or $f_R = 23.070$ Gc. From these measurements and Eq. (11)

$$
Q_L = \frac{f}{\Delta f} \approx \frac{23.080}{.015} = 1540.
$$
Fig. 12. Oscilloscope traces for Cavity No. 9 at room temperature. The vertical sweep is voltage (\(~0.05\) V/cm) and the horizontal sweep is frequency (\(~20\) Mc sec\(^{-1}\)cm\(^{-1}\)). The resonant frequency (23.08 Gc) is at the minimum in the reflected signal (lower trace). The upper trace is the incident signal.

Fig. 13. Wave meter calibration.
Inpile Run

The equipment shown in Fig. 10 was shipped to the University of Michigan reactor and assembled for testing. It had been planned to assemble the apparatus on a Friday at an elevated position in the core where the neutron flux was considerably reduced, take enough data to check out the system, and then on the following Monday to fully insert the cavity in the core and raise the reactor power incrementally.

On Friday with the reactor at full power the cavity was placed at an elevated height in position 60 which is in the outside row of the core facing south (see Fig. 14). The microwave equipment was connected but the resonant frequency could not be found. The thermocouples were connected and showed the surprisingly high temperature of 800 and 1000°F. The 4 ft tube was immediately swung free of the core to reduce the flux and therefore the temperature. During this operation it was observed that a small perturbation traversed the oscilloscope trace as the tube was swung free of the core.

Subsequent investigation showed that the cavity was 1 ft lower in the core than had been intended and therefore at a much higher flux. Apparently the electron number density was sufficiently high that the $Q$ and/or coupling were spoiled to the extent that the shifted resonant frequency could not be found with the existing microwave equipment.

The perturbation of the oscilloscope trace with changing neutron flux suggested a different method for obtaining the resonant frequency shift as follows: (1) with the cavity suspended in the pool but displaced horizontally to a position of low neutron flux, the oscillator frequency is advanced; (2) the cavity is then swung into position in the core and the oscilloscope observed to see if the perturbation crosses the trace; (3) if the perturbation is seen the procedure is repeated with the oscillator further advanced; (4) finally, the shifted resonant frequency is taken as the highest frequency at which the perturbation was seen.

This procedure was used to obtain the shift in the resonant frequency of the cavity for a number of locations near position 60 (Fig. 14). The cavity was swung in and out of position by a long aluminum rod which was hooked onto the wave guide at the top of the 4 ft tube and then manipulated from the "island" in the center of the reactor pool. The locations tested are listed in Table I and reference should be made to Fig. 14.
Fig. 14. Core configuration for reactor run 46.

TABLE I. Core locations tested for frequency shift.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>2 in. into position 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Resting outside core on No. 50 reflector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Tangent to outside boundary of position 60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Resting outside core on No. 70 reflector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>12 in. outside position 60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The data obtained for these locations are presented in Table II.
TABLE II. Frequency shift data for Run 46.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Location</th>
<th>Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Wave Meter</th>
<th>$f_1$ (Gc)</th>
<th>$\Delta f$ (cps)</th>
<th>n (cm$^{-3}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>24.040</td>
<td>9.60 x 10$^8$</td>
<td>5.51 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>304</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>23.908</td>
<td>8.28 x 10$^8$</td>
<td>4.76 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>293</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>24.080</td>
<td>1.00 x 10$^9$</td>
<td>5.74 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>232</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>23.580</td>
<td>5.00 x 10$^8$</td>
<td>2.87 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>23.516</td>
<td>4.36 x 10$^8$</td>
<td>2.50 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>23.624</td>
<td>5.44 x 10$^8$</td>
<td>3.10 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>686</td>
<td>23.162</td>
<td>0.82 x 10$^8$</td>
<td>4.71 x 10$^{10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In this table $\Delta f=f_1-f_0$ where $f_0=23.080$ Gc and n was obtained from $\Delta f$ using Eq.(8).

In order to calculate the electron number density for these conditions from our ion generation rate theory and the reaction kinetics theory, it is necessary to know the neutron flux. To obtain these values we used the ionization-tube flux-probe described in Appendix A. The results are listed in Table III.

TABLE III. Neutron flux values measured with ionization tube.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Core Location</th>
<th>$\phi$ cm$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$</th>
<th>Temp. °K</th>
<th>U-Cap cm$^{-3}$</th>
<th>Body cm$^{-3}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>4.0 x 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>539</td>
<td>5.51 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>577</td>
<td>527</td>
<td>4.76 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>566</td>
<td>511</td>
<td>5.74 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>4.1 x 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>477</td>
<td>2.87 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>3.3 x 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>2.50 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2.8 x 10$^{12}$</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>3.1 x 10$^{11}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>1.4 x 10$^{11}$</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>4.71 x 10$^{10}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

V. ANALYSIS OF DATA

The reaction kinetics of the neon-argon system have been reported in detail.$^{1,2}$ Additional computer runs were made on the neon-argon system for the conditions of the microwave experiments. These data are reported in this section and the experimental results are compared with theory.
Reaction Kinetics for Neon-Argon System

In order to compare the experimental results to theory, the reaction kinetics code was used to compute the electron density for the microwave cavity for the conditions of 140 torr neon-argon (Ar/Ne=10⁻⁴) at a neutron flux of 4x10¹² cm⁻² sec⁻¹. This corresponds to the neutron flux for core locations I and II in Table III. The results of these computer runs are shown in Fig. 15 where the electron number density is plotted versus the gas pressure for various gas temperatures. This plot shows the strong dependence of the electron density on the gas temperature via the collisional radiative recombination loss term (C²₂A₄nₑ²).

The computed values for nₑ for a neutral gas density of 4.95x10¹⁸ cm⁻³ (-140 torr at R.T.) are replotted versus the inverse gas temperature in Fig. 16.

Comparison of Theory to Experiment: Also included in the Figs are the two experimental points. For core location I, the data of Table III were averaged and this point is plotted on Fig. 15. The agreement is considered good in view of the complexity of the reaction kinetics and the dynamical character of the measurements.

![Diagram of electron density vs gas pressure for neon-argon gas mixture](image_url)

Fig. 15. Effect of collisional-radiative recombination C²₂A₄nₑ² on electron number density for neon-argon.
Fig. 16. Electron number density versus gas temperature $^{-1}$ for $\phi=4 \times 10^{12} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$. 

GAS = NEON-ARGON
$\text{Ar}/\text{Ne} = 1.0 \times 10^{-4}$
$N_o = 4.51 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$
$\Delta = 0.1592 \text{ cm}$

INPILE MICROWAVE EXPERIMENT
- CORE LOCATION I
- CORE LOCATION II
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After completion of the ion generation rate studies we built a flux-probe for measuring the thermal neutron flux level in a reactor. This flux-probe has been used by the reactor staff for monitoring the neutron flux. The probe consists of an ionization tube filled to 240 torr argon which is mounted in a small aluminum cavity (see Fig. A-1) at the end of a lightweight 20 ft aluminum support tube. The aluminum cavity was filled with monoisopropylbiphenyl to facilitate cooling of the ionization-tube. From our theory and the experimental data for 240 torr argon, the thermal neutron flux, \( \phi \), can be expressed in terms of the measured ion current at -20 volts as

\[
\phi = (5.45 \times 10^{13})(I_{ma})^{4/3}
\]  

(A-1)

The thermal flux values obtained using this probe during full reactor power at the locations listed in Table I are shown in Table III together with the temperature in °K for the microwave cavity and the values of n calculated from Eq.(8).
Fig. A-1. Neutron flux probe. A GMRL ionization chamber filled to 240 torr pressure of argon was mounted in an oil-filled aluminum cavity at the end of a 20 ft. aluminum support tube. This device is now used to measure the neutron flux at the University of Michigan reactor.
THEORY FOR THERMIonic ELECTRON TRANSPORT THROUGH A PLASMA WITH UNIFORM VOLUME PRODUCTION AND AMBIPOLAR DIFFUSION LOSS

ABSTRACT

The design of a thermionic energy converter for optimum performance requires detailed knowledge of the dependence of output current on voltage and the manner in which this relationship changes with various plasma parameters. A theory is presented for the transport of thermionic electrons through a plasma diode, and the current-voltage relationship is derived for a plasma which is generated by fission fragments and which decays by ambipolar diffusion to the walls of the diode. Volume charge loss is not included. The model is thus applicable to the lower values of pressure and gap separation. The calculated curve of current density versus voltage agrees qualitatively with available experimental results.
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OBJECT

A theoretical model was desired for the transport of thermionic electrons through a fission-fragment-generated plasma.

SUMMARY

A thermionic electron transport theory appropriate for a uniform volume source of ionization, and where charge is lost by diffusion and mobility currents in the plasma, has been developed. Analytic solutions are presented which are based on the following model of the interelectrode region:

1. The plasma is quasi-neutral and collision-dominated.
2. The plasma is coupled to the electrodes via small collisionless sheaths.
3. The sheath adjacent to the emitter is electron-rich; the collector sheath is ion-rich for low currents, but electron-rich at the higher currents.
4. The electron and ion temperatures are constant throughout the plasma.
5. Volume charge loss is negligibly small.
Available experimental data are in qualitative agreement with the theoretical curve of current density versus voltage.

I. INTRODUCTION

In our previous studies, much quantitative data have been obtained on the ion production rates and reaction kinetics of single and mixed-gas plasmas generated by fission-fragment-impact-ionization. These investigations were directed towards elucidating the fundamental physical processes which occur in mixed noble gas and noble gas-cesium plasmas, and were not complicated by the presence of thermionic electrons.

The next phase in our program is to study the transport of thermionic electrons through the plasma and thereby attempt to establish optimum conditions of electrode separation, electrode temperatures and partial pressures of constituent gases that will yield maximum power output in a practical converter. For this purpose a generalized plasma transport theory is required which must include mobility and diffusion currents, and volume production and loss processes such as fission-fragment ionization, charge exchange and recombination. Such a theory for the noble gas diode is still lacking at the present time.
For the unignited mode of the cesium converter, where ionization occurs predominantly by contact of cesium vapor with the hot emitter, Hansen and Warner\(^2\) have shown that volume ionization and loss effects can often be neglected, thereby considerably simplifying the transport analysis. In an earlier attempt at a transport theory for the noble gas converter with volume ionization, Leffert\(^3\) computed current-voltage characteristics from studies of the random ion and electron currents at the plasma edge-sheath regions but did not consider the transport properties of the plasma. In addition, the electron density in the plasma was assumed to be uniform.

The present analysis, while still restrictive in approach, takes into account the mobility and diffusion currents in the plasma and determines the electron density distribution across the plasma when coupled with collisionless sheaths at the emitter and collector. Such a theory should predict satisfactorily the transport of thermionic electrons in fission-fragment-generated plasmas for the lower regimes of pressure and gap separation.

II. PHYSICAL MODEL

The model is that for a "high-pressure" thermionic plasma diode wherein collisions occur among the atoms, ions and electrons; that is, the mean free paths of the particles are much less than the interelectrode spacing \(d\). Consider typical values of \(\lambda_D\), the Debye length for electrons, and \(\lambda_e\), the electron-neutral atom mean free path, at the emitter temperature of \(T_E \approx 1700 K\).\(^4\) Using the results of previous studies\(^1\) for a Ne-Ar mixture (Ar/Ne\(~10^4\)), where electron densities were \(\approx 10^{12} \text{ cm}^3\) at a neutral gas density \(N_0 \approx 3 \times 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), we obtain \(\lambda_D \approx 10^{-4} \text{ cm}\) and \(\lambda_e \approx 10^{-3} \text{ cm}\), so that \(\lambda_D < \lambda_e \ll d\) for electrode separations of order \(10^{-1} \text{ cm}\). Under these conditions, we approximate the inter-electrode region by a quasi-neutral plasma which is coupled to the electrodes through small collisionless sheaths. The thickness of these sheaths can be neglected for distances of the order of the electrode separation.

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of the potential distribution across the gas-filled diode. We consider plane-parallel symmetry where the properties of the plasma depend only on the variable \(x\). \(V_D\) is the negative potential drop across the electron-rich sheath adjacent to the emitter, \(V_E\) is...
the positive change in potential traversing the plasma from $x=0$ to $x=d$ and $V_c$ is the negative potential drop between the plasma and the collector across the ion-rich collector sheath. For the purposes of this transport study, the work function of the emitter is taken to be equal to the work function of the collector.* The external potential drop ($V_{\text{Ext}}$) is thus equal to $V_p - (V_E + V_c)$.

For net current densities through the tube in excess of the order of 20 mA cm$^{-2}$, it will be shown that the polarity of the collector sheath changes from that given in Fig. 1, so that numerically $V_{\text{Ext}}$ then becomes equal to $V_p + V_c - V_E$. The polarity of $V_E$, however, remains unchanged in this electron-rich model.

Fig. 1. Schematic representation of potential distribution across thermionic plasma diode.

Computation have been reported previously$^1$ of the dependence of the ion generation rate in a diode on such parameters as gas species, gas pressure, tube geometry, neutron flux and the uranium load on the surface of one electrode. This work indicates that, to a reasonable approximation, the ion generation rate by fission fragments can be regarded to have a spatially constant

*Unequal work functions are immediately included by shifting the I-V curve along the V axis by an amount equal to the work function difference.
average value when the electrodes are plane parallel and the product of pressure and gap separation is relatively low. This is the regime where the ambipolar diffusion mode dominates. The ion generation rate \( S \) is therefore taken to be independent of the variable \( x \) in the present study.

We assume that the electrons and ions have Boltzmann distributions in the plasma region with constant temperatures \( T_- \) and \( T_+ \) respectively. This considerable simplification is discussed further in Section V. Finally, we note that the temperature of the collector (\( \sim 900^\circ K \)) is sufficiently low that thermionic electron emission (back emission) can be neglected.

III. MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS

(1) Electron Density Distribution Across Plasma

The basic transport equations for the quasi-neutral plasma \( (n^+ \approx n^- = n) \) are:

\[
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^- &= - D^- \frac{dn}{dx} - \mu^- n E, \\
\Gamma^+ &= - D^+ \frac{dn}{dx} + \mu^+ n E,
\end{align*}
\]

and

\[
\frac{d\Gamma^-}{dx} = \frac{d\Gamma^+}{dx} = S \tag{3}, \tag{4}
\]

where

\[
\Gamma_{\text{net}} = \Gamma^- - \Gamma^+. \tag{5}
\]

\( \Gamma^- \) and \( \Gamma^+ \) are the particle current densities \( (J(A \cdot cm^{-2})/e) \) of electrons and positive ions respectively where both these currents are positive for particle flow from the emitter to the collector. \( \Gamma_{\text{net}} \) is the total resultant current. The coefficients of diffusion \( (D) \) and mobility \( (\mu) \) are constants in these equations for the constant electron and ion temperatures assumed. \( E(x) \) is the electric field distribution across the plasma.

We simplify Eqs.(1)-(5) by integrating Eqs.(3) and (4) and combining the results with Eqs.(1) and (2).

\[
\begin{align*}
Sx + K_1 &= - D^- \frac{dn}{dx} - \mu^- n E, \\
Sx + K_2 &= - D^+ \frac{dn}{dx} + \mu^+ n E.
\end{align*}
\]
$K_1$, $K_2$ are constants which can be determined from the boundary conditions of the plasma and the relation

$$\Gamma_{\text{net}} = K_1 - K_2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (5a)

The electric field in Eqs. (6), (7) is eliminated by multiplying Eq. (6) by $\mu^+$, Eq. (7) by $\mu^-$, and adding the two equations. Using Eq. (5a) also, we obtain

$$\text{Sx} \left( \mu^+ + \mu^- \right) + \mu^+ \Gamma_{\text{net}} + (\mu^+ + \mu^-)K_2 = - \frac{d n}{d x} \left( \mu^+ D^- + D^+ \mu^- \right),$$

or, in terms of the ambipolar diffusion coefficient $D_a$,

$$\frac{d n}{d x} = - \left( \frac{S}{D_a} \right) x - \frac{1}{D_a} \left[ K_2 + \left( \frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \right) \Gamma_{\text{net}} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

Integration of this equation yields the electron density distribution across the plasma:

$$n(x) = n_0 - \left( \frac{S}{2D_a} \right) x^2 - \frac{x}{D_a} \left[ K_2 + \left( \frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \right) \Gamma_{\text{net}} \right].$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The term $n_0$ is the electron density at the plasma-emitter sheath boundary $x=0$.

Equation (8) is used in conjunction with the appropriate sheath equations in order to determine $n_0$ and $K_2$.

(2) Boundary Equations for Electron-Rich Emitter Sheath

The two equations for the electron and ion currents at $x=0$ are

$$\Gamma_- = K_1 = v_E e^{-\eta_E} - \frac{n_0 v^-_E}{4},$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

$$\Gamma^+ = K_2 = - \frac{n_0 v^+_E}{4} e^{-\eta_E}. $$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

e$^{-\eta_E}$ is the Boltzmann attenuation factor for a potential barrier and $\eta_E = e V_E/k T_E$, where $e$ is the electronic charge, $V_E$ the sheath potential, $k$ is Boltzmann's constant and $T_E$ is the temperature of the emitter. The thermionic current density is $v_E$ and $v^-_E$ and $v^+_E$ represent respectively the random electron and ion currents $\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{8k T_E}{\pi M_E}} \right\}$ in the plasma at $x=0$. Because of the proximity of
the plasma-emitter sheath boundary to the emitter, we associate these currents with the temperature $T_E$. Note however that the random currents vary with $T_E$ only as $\sqrt{T_E}$.

(3) Boundary Equations for Ion-Rich Collector Sheath

The equations for the electron and ion currents at $x=d$ are

$$\Gamma^-_{x=d} = Sd + K_1 = \frac{n_d v^-}{4} e^{-\eta_C},$$

$$\Gamma^+_{x=d} = Sd + K_2 = \frac{n_d v^+}{4},$$

where the various terms are defined in a manner exactly analogous to those discussed in Section III.2 above.

Several linear combinations of Eqs. (9), (10), (11), (12) will be useful to us in the analysis, such as the net currents at $x=0$ and $x=d$, and also the particle conservation equations. We list these here.

$$\Gamma_{net}^{x=0} = v_E e^{-\eta_E} - \frac{n_0 v^-}{4} + \frac{n_0 v^+}{4} e^{-\eta_E},$$

$$\Gamma_{net}^{x=d} = \frac{n_d v^-}{4} e^{-\eta_C} - \frac{n_d v^+}{4} e^{-\eta_E},$$

$$Sd = \frac{n_d v^+}{4} + \frac{n_0 v^+}{4} - \eta_E,$$

$$v_E e^{-\eta_E} + Sd = \frac{n_0 v^-}{4} + \frac{n_d v^-}{4} e^{-\eta_C}.$$

(4) Solutions for $n_o, n_d, V_E, V_C$, for Ion-Rich Collector Sheath

The constant input parameters for determining a single current-voltage curve are $V_E, v_E^-, v_E^+, D_0, d, \mu^+, \mu^-$, and $S$. The overall procedure adopted is to select a net current and then compute $n_o, n_d$ which in turn enable $V_E$ and $V_C$ to be calculated. Finally $V_E$ and $V_C$, together with $V_P$, the potential drop across the plasma (Section III.5), determine the external diode potential for the current selected.
We first use Eq. (8) where for x = c, $K_c$ can be replaced by $\left(\frac{d}{2d} - Sd\right)$ from Eq. (12). Simplifying, we obtain

$$n_o = n_d - \left(\frac{S}{2d} \right) \frac{d^2}{a} +\frac{d}{a} \left[\frac{n_d v^+}{4} - \left(\frac{\mu^+}{\mu^- + \mu^+}\right) \Gamma_{\text{net}}\right]$$  

(17)

which gives $n_o$ as a linear function of $n_d$ only. $\eta_E$.

Equation (13) yields an expression for $e^{-\eta_E}$ as a function of $n_o$, viz.,

$$e^{-\eta_E} = \frac{\Gamma_{\text{net}} + \frac{n_o v^-}{4}}{v_E + \frac{n_o v^-}{4}}$$  

(18)

and from the conservation Eq. (16), $\frac{n_d v^-}{4} e^{-\eta_c}$ can then also be expressed in terms of $n_o$ only. Equation (14) can now be rewritten:

$$\Gamma_{\text{net}} = v_E \left(\frac{\Gamma_{\text{net}} + \frac{n_o v^-}{4}}{v_E + \frac{n_o v^-}{4}}\right) + Sd - \frac{n_o v^-}{4} - \frac{n_d v^+}{4}$$  

(19)

Expressions (17) and (19) are the two equations for the two unknowns $n_o, n_d$.

Substitution of the right hand side of Eq. (17) into Eq. (19) yields a quadratic for $n_d$. Only one solution exists for real values of $n_o, n_d$. Knowing $n_o$ and $n_d$, we determine $V_E$ at temperature $T_E$ from Eq. (18) and $V_c$ at temperature $T_c$ from Eq. (14).

(5) Potential Drop $V_p$ Across Plasma

It is convenient to write the derivative of Eq. (8) as

$$\frac{dn}{dx} = -A_1 x + A_2$$  

(20)

where $A_1$ and $A_2$ are positive definite given by

$$A_1 = \frac{S}{2d}$$  

(21)

$$A_2 = \frac{1}{2d} \left[\frac{n_d v^+}{4} e^{-\eta_E} - \left(\frac{\mu^+}{\mu^- + \mu^+}\right) \Gamma_{\text{net}}\right]$$  

(22)
We combine Eqs. (7) and (20) in order to express the electric field as

\[ E = \frac{S \cdot x}{2 \mu^+ n} - \frac{D^+ A_2 + \left(\frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ - \mu^-}\right) \Gamma_{\text{net}}}{\mu^+ n} \]  
\( (23) \)

where we have also approximated \( D_a \) by \( 2 D^+ \), \( T_- \approx T_+ \), Section V. Substitution of \( n(x) \) from Eq. (8) leads to the following expression

\[ \frac{dV}{dx} = -\frac{2D^+ x}{\mu^+ (c + bx - x^2)} + \frac{D^+ A_2 + \left(\frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ - \mu^-}\right) \Gamma_{\text{net}}}{\mu^+ A_1 \left(\frac{c + bx - x^2}{2}\right)} \]  
\( (24) \)

where \( c = 2n_0/A_1 \) and \( b = 2A_2/A_1 \). This can be immediately integrated between \( x=0 \) and \( x=d \) to give the potential drop across the plasma:

\[ V_p = \left[\frac{kT^+}{e}\right] \log_e \left\{ 1 + \left[\frac{\beta}{c}\right]^2 \right\} + 4D^+ \Gamma_{\text{net}} \int \frac{1}{\sqrt{b^2 + 4c}} \frac{1}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \]  
\( (25) \)

where \( P = (\sqrt{b^2 + 4c} - b)/2 \) and \( Q = (\sqrt{b^2 + 4c} + b)/2 \).

Thus, for the selected value of \( \Gamma_{\text{net}} \), the external potential drop across the diode for the situation shown in Fig. 1 is

\[ V_{\text{Ext}} = V_p - V_B - V_c. \]

6. Current at Which Polarity of Collector Sheath Potential Reverses

In the preceding sections we have considered only an ion-rich sheath at the collector. However, in any numerical substitution of current to determine the diode potential, it is necessary to know whether the collector sheath is ion-rich or electron-rich. The first procedure, therefore, is to compute the net current \( \Gamma_{\text{NVO}} \) at which \( V_c = 0 \). We use the following equations.

Since \( e^{-\eta_c} \) for \( V_c = 0 \), Eq. (14) becomes

\[ n_d = \frac{4}{v_c^- - v_c^+} \]  
\( (26) \)
We substitute this value of into Eq. (17) to obtain

\[
\mathbf{n}_0 = \frac{4}{v_E - v_c} \left[ 1 + \frac{v_c}{14 \mathbf{D}_a} \right] \mathbf{Sd}^2 + \left( \frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \right) \frac{\mathbf{Nvo} \mathbf{d}}{\mathbf{D}_a},
\]

(27)

which shows \( \mathbf{n}_0 \) as a linear function of \( \mathbf{Nvo} \) only.

A second \( \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{Nvo} \) relationship can be obtained from Eq.(16) where we substitute for \( \mathbf{e} \) the expression shown in Eq.(18), and also use Eq.(26). We get

\[
\mathbf{v}_E \left( \frac{\mathbf{Nvo}}{\mathbf{Nvo} + \frac{\mathbf{n}_0 \mathbf{v}_E}{4}} \right) + \mathbf{Sd} - \frac{\mathbf{n}_0 \mathbf{v}_E}{4} = \frac{\mathbf{v}_c}{4} \left( \frac{\mathbf{Nvo}}{\mathbf{v}_E - \mathbf{v}_c} \right). \]

(28)

Equations (27) and (28) are used to eliminate \( \mathbf{n}_0 \). The resulting quadratic in \( \mathbf{Nvo} \) has only one real root.

For values of \( \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{net}} < \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{Nvo}} \), the equations of Section III.3 are valid. When \( \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{net}} = \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{Nvo}} \) we use the above Eqs. (26), (27), (28). For \( \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{net}} > \mathbf{\Gamma}_{\text{Nvo}} \), the procedures discussed so far are modified as outlined below.

(7) Boundary Equations for Electron-Rich Collector Sheath

When there exists an electron-rich collector sheath, the positive ions are retarded in their motion from the plasma to the collector. Equations (11) and (12) then become

\[
\mathbf{\Gamma}^- = \mathbf{Sd} + \mathbf{K}_1 = \frac{\mathbf{n}_d \mathbf{v}_c}{4} \quad (11a)
\]

\[
\mathbf{\Gamma}^+ = \mathbf{Sd} + \mathbf{K}_2 = \frac{\mathbf{n}_d \mathbf{v}_c}{4} \quad (12a)
\]

The boundary equations for the electron-rich emitter sheath(Eqs. (9), (10), (13)) remain unchanged, but Eqs.(14), (15), (16) become changed to Eqs. (14a), (15a), (16a) (unwritten) by obvious analogy with the two equations written above.

(8) Solutions for \( \mathbf{n}_0, \mathbf{n}_d, \mathbf{v}_E, \mathbf{v}_c \) for Electron-Rich Collector Sheath

We write Eq.(8) in terms of \( \mathbf{K}_1 \) instead of \( \mathbf{K}_2 \), and then substitute
\( \frac{n_d V^-}{2} - S \) for \( K_1 \) from Eq. (11a). This yields

\[
\begin{align*}
    n_o &= n_d - \frac{Sd^2}{2 Da} + \frac{d}{Da} \left[ \frac{n_d V^-}{4} - \left( \frac{\mu^-}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \right) \Gamma_{\text{net}} \right]. \\
    \text{(29)}
\end{align*}
\]

In a manner similar to that adopted previously (Section III.4), \( \frac{n_d V^+}{4} e^{-\eta_c} \) is now written in terms of \( n_o \) only, by using the ion conservation Eq. (15a) and expression (18) which is still valid. The resulting terms are substituted into Eq. (14a) to give

\[
\begin{align*}
    \Gamma_{\text{net}} &= \frac{n_d V^-}{4} + \frac{n_E V^-}{4} \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{net}} + \frac{n_o V^-}{4}}{\frac{n_o V^-}{4}} \right) - Sd. \\
    \text{(30)}
\end{align*}
\]

We now have the two Eqs. (29) and (30) for the two unknowns \( n_o, n_d \). With \( n_o \) known, \( V_E \) is determined from Eq. (18). Similarly, \( V_c \) is calculated from Eq. (14a), i.e.

\[
e^{-\frac{e V_c}{kT_c}} = \left( \frac{\Gamma_{\text{net}}}{4} - \frac{n_o V^-}{4} \right) / \frac{V_c^+}{4}.
\]

Equation (25) for the potential drop across the plasma is unaffected by the change in polarity of the collector sheath potential. Therefore, the potential drop across the diode under these conditions is

\[
V_{\text{Ext}} = V_p - V_E + V_c.
\]

**9 (9) Saturation Current**

We consider that the net current through the thermionic diode will saturate when there exists an electron-rich collector sheath of sufficient potential that all \( n_o \) ions are repelled and lost only via the emitter sheath. This leads to the maximum degree of electron space-charge neutralization at the emitter for the present model.

Under these conditions the saturation current is evidently

\[
\Gamma_{\text{Sat}} = \frac{n_d V^-}{4},
\]

\( \text{(31)} \)
and we determine $\Gamma_{\text{Sat}}$ from this relationship by first computing $n_d$ in the following manner.

Equation (3) for $x=d$ becomes

$$n_d = n_0 + \frac{Sd^2}{2D} - \frac{d}{D} \left( \frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \right) \Gamma_{\text{Sat}}$$

(32)

when we use

$$\Gamma_{x=0}^+ = -S\ell = K_2.$$  

(33)

Combining Eqs. (31) and (32),

$$n_d = \left\{ n_0 + \frac{Sd^2}{2D} \right\} \left( 1 + \frac{d}{D} \left( \frac{\mu^+}{\mu^+ + \mu^-} \right) \frac{v^-}{4} \right)$$

(34)

From Eqs. (16a), (18) and (31), we derive a second $n_d-n_0$ relation:

$$Sd \left( v_E + \frac{n_0v_E^+}{4} \right) = \left( \frac{n_0v_E}{4} + \frac{n_dv_c^-}{4} \right) \left( \frac{n_0v_E^+}{4} \right).$$

(35)

We use Eqs. (34) and (35) to eliminate $n_0$; the subsequent quadratic for $n_d$ has only one value of $n_d$ which can give a real value of $n_0$. This value of $n_d$ yields $\Gamma_{\text{Sat}}$ in Eq. (31).

**IV. EXAMPLE OF COMPUTED I-V CHARACTERISTIC**

An example of an I-V characteristic computed from the present transport theory is shown in Fig. 2. The purpose of presenting the curve here is to indicate its general shape and not to emphasize the actual currents obtained. The input numbers were chosen merely to test the solutions.

We selected a Ne-Ar mixture, $\text{(Ar/Ne}=10^{-3})$ at 100 torr total pressure with a value of $S=1.1\times10^{-16}\text{cm}^{-3}\text{sec}^{-1}(1)$ at a neutron flux of $1.0\times10^{13}\text{cm}^{-2}\text{sec}^{-1}$. Also, we chose $d=0.1\text{cm}$, $v_E=2.0\text{A}\text{.cm}^{-2}$, $T_E=1700^\circ\text{K}$, $T_c=900^\circ\text{K}$ and $T_p$ (the mean plasma temperature) $=1300^\circ\text{K}$. Values used for the random electron and ion speeds, in cm sec$^{-1}$ are: $v_E^- = 2.6\times10^7$, $v^- = 1.9\times10^7$, $v^+_E = 9.5\times10^4$, $v^+_c = 6.9\times10^4$. At a pressure of 100 torr, $\mu^- \approx 5\times10^4\text{cm}^2\text{(V.sec)}^{-1}$, and $\mu^+ \approx 53\text{cm}^2\text{(V.sec)}^{-1}$. This single value of ion mobility is considered a satisfactory approximation to make,
Fig. 2. Computed current-voltage characteristic for neon-argon mixture with electron-rich emitter sheath. The emitter work function is equal to the collector work function.
despite the fact that four ions \((\text{Ne}^+, \text{Ne}^2+, \text{Ar}^+, \text{Ar}^2+)\) can exist in the Ne-Ar plasma. Reaction kinetic studies\(^{(6)}\) show that at pressures \(\geq 100\) torr, \(\text{Ne}^+\) is rapidly converted into \(\text{Ne}^2+\), so that the mobility of \(\text{Ne}^+\) does not play an important role under the present conditions. The mobilities of the remaining ions, \(\text{Ne}^2+, \text{Ar}^+, \text{Ar}^2+\), in 100 torr of neon,\(^{(6)}\) are all very similar \((\approx 53\, \text{cm}^2\,(\text{V}\cdot\text{sec})^{-1})\) because of the non-resonant character of their motion. We thus approximate with a single value of ion mobility.

With the solutions in the closed form presented in Section III, very little computational time is required to determine the I-V characteristics compared to models\(^{(2)}\) requiring iterative solution of coupled equations. The curve discussed here (Fig. 2) was, in fact, first obtained by hand calculations and these were consistent with the results later obtained using a computer code. The solutions were coded for a computer by C. B. Leffert.

The smooth curve shown in Fig. 2 rises asymptotically towards the saturation current density of 85.6 mA cm\(^{-2}\) which for practical purposes is attained at an applied potential \(\approx 1.5\) V. In the earlier transport studies of Leffert\(^{(3)}\) which considered \(n\) constant throughout the plasma, the computed current in general increased rapidly with increasing potential and saturated more rapidly than the curve in Fig. 2. The experimental I-V curves, however, did not exhibit this rapid saturation but approached the saturation current much more gradually. We are encouraged by the fact that these experimental curves, taken at low values of pressure and gap separation, are very similar in shape\(^*\) to the curve shown in Fig. 2.

V. DISCUSSION

The present physical model of electron transport is considered a good first approximation for predicting current-voltage characteristics from gross plasma characteristics. The most serious objections against the theory concern the use of constant temperatures for ions and electrons in the plasma and the assumption of macroscopic charge-neutrality throughout the plasma. These are discussed very briefly below.

\(^*\)We cannot compare the present theory directly with the earlier impile experimental curves because the ion-generation rate in those experiments was not well known.
Evidently for the situation where the emitter is 800-1,000°K hotter than the collector, the ion, electron and neutral-atom temperatures cannot be constant but must vary across the plasma. Since the ions lose on the average one-half their energy per collision with an atom, then $T_+ \approx \frac{T_e + T_g}{2}$. This ion-atom temperature $T_{+g}$ will vary between the emitter temperature $T_e$ and the collector temperature $T_c$, but no large error will be introduced in the transport equations if we assign to $T_{+g}$ an average value $T_{+g} = (T_e + T_c) / 2$.

The average electrode temperature cannot be as readily assigned to the electrons. In general, the electrons are only slowly thermalized in collisions with atoms at moderate gas pressures so that the electron temperature is often higher than $T_{+g}$. This is particularly the case when an ion-rich sheath exists at the emitter and thermionic electrons are injected into the plasma with temperatures $\sim 5,000-10,000°K$. Even for a noble gas pressure of 100 torr and gap separation of $10^{-1}$ cm, these energetic electrons can reach the collector without attaining thermal equilibrium. In fact, the concept of electron temperature, arising from the supposed Maxwellian distribution of the electrons is questionable.

For the present study, however, where an electron-rich sheath exists at the emitter, the thermionic electrons are retarded before entering the plasma. It is assumed, therefore, that at the emitter sheath-plasma boundary, the electrons have a temperature approximately the same as the emitter temperature. Because these already-retarded electrons experience further frequent collisions with gas atoms at pressures $\sim 100$ torr, we make the additional assumption that the electron temperature can be replaced by an average value $T_\ast$ where $T_\ast \approx T_{+g}$. However, the theory does not require these two temperatures to be equal, but only that $T_\ast$ and $T_{+g}$ are constant throughout the plasma region.

Our basic assumption is that of approximate charge neutrality $(n^- \approx n^+)$ in the plasma. Extreme deviations from charge neutrality can be determined by using Poisson's equation to investigate when the relationship $(n^- - n^+) < < n$ is no longer true. However, this inequality becomes a somewhat arbitrary test for situations that are not extreme. A more rigorous transport study is then required which would differentiate at the start between the electron and ion distributions across the plasma.

The transport theory presented in this report breaks down when volume loss processes such as recombination become significant. The importance of
volume loss terms in comparison with ambipolar diffusion loss can be determined approximately by investigating the characteristic lifetime of ions due to these various loss processes. For example, for dissociative recombination loss in the plasma, the lifetime of ions is \( \tau_R \approx \frac{1}{\alpha n^*} \); for diffusion \( \tau_D \approx \frac{L^2}{D} \) where is the characteristic diffusion length of the container. For the diffusion dominated mode \( \tau_D \ll \tau_R \). If \( \tau_R \) is reduced by increasing \( \alpha n^* \), or conversely if \( \tau_D \) is increased (by operating at higher values of \( p \) or \( d \)) until \( \tau_D \approx \tau_R \), then the present theory is no longer applicable.
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ABSTRACT

A diode has been developed for the impile study of electron transport through plasmas generated by fission fragment ionization. The design is based on the following requirements:

1. Maximum emitter (BaO-UO₂-W) temperature of 1400°C.
2. Self contained electron gun that can be operated impile.
3. Direct thermocouple measurement of emitter temperature.
4. Nitrogen gas cooling of tube structure and provision for control of cesium reservoir temperature.
5. The collector area should be well defined so that current density can be accurately measured.
## CONTENTS

**ABSTRACT** .................................................................................................................. (1)

**OBJECT** ....................................................................................................................... 1

**SUMMARY** .................................................................................................................... 1

1.0 **INTRODUCTION** ..................................................................................................... 1

2.0 **DESIGN** .................................................................................................................. 2
  2.1 Emitter ..................................................................................................................... 2
  2.2 Electron Transport Tube ......................................................................................... 2

3.0 **COMPONENT TESTS** ............................................................................................ 4
  3.1 Diode Spacing ........................................................................................................ 5
  3.2 Emitter Temperature ............................................................................................... 5
  3.3 Power Requirement ................................................................................................ 6
  3.4 Heat Exchanger ...................................................................................................... 7
  3.5 Thermionic Emission .............................................................................................. 9

4.0 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS** ........................................................................................... 10

5.0 **REFERENCES** ........................................................................................................ 10

APPENDIX A ..................................................................................................................... 11
  A-1. Fabrication ........................................................................................................... 11
    A-1.1 Diode ............................................................................................................... 12
    Emitter ....................................................................................................................... 12
    Collector .................................................................................................................... 12
    A-1.2 Electron Gun ................................................................................................... 12
    A-1.3 Heat Transfer System ..................................................................................... 14
      Jacket Heat Exchanger ......................................................................................... 15
      Cesium Reservoir ................................................................................................. 15
      Collector Exchanger ............................................................................................. 17
The object is to develop an argon-cesium diode which will be operated in-pile to measure electron transport through the plasma generated by fission fragments from a BaO-UO$_2$-W emitter.

**SUMMARY**

1. Component fabrication and heat transfer studies have been completed on a diode which meets the requirements of the electron transport study.
2. The input power to the electron gun for an emitter temperature of 1400°C was approximately 160 watts independent of gas pressure under 100 torr of argon.
3. Thermal expansion decreases the emitter-collector gap 0.023 in. at an emitter temperature of 1400°C.
4. The true emitter surface temperature was approximately 20°C lower than that measured by the thermocouples which will be used when the diode is inserted in the reactor.
5. The nitrogen coolant flow rate was determined for optimum temperature.
6. To generate 160 watts of nuclear heat to achieve 1400°C emitter temperature in-pile, a neutron flux of $5.3 \times 10^{12}$ n/cm$^2$/sec at the emitter is needed.

**1.0 Introduction**

The noble gas plasma diode program involves independent investigations of the emitter, plasma and collector. A candidate emitter material, BaO-UO$_2$-W, has been developed. Studies of ion generation rate are complete and electron density measurements and analysis of plasmas generated in gas mixtures by fission fragments are in progress. These studies were necessary in order to find emitter compositions and plasma conditions that are useful for a noble gas thermionic converter. This phase of the program involves measurement of electron transport through a plasma. A metal-ceramic diode designed for operation in-pile is required. In addition a theoretical study of the electron transport problem has been undertaken.

A simple planar diode was selected. Means for measuring the emitter
temperature inpile were required. An electron gun was incorporated to provide additional heat to permit some variation of the emitter temperature inpile.

A prototype assembly of the electron gun-diode was used to obtain data on: (1) variation of emitter-collector spacing with emitter temperature, (2) calibration of true emitter surface temperature versus inpile reference thermocouple, (3) temperature of critical parts of the tube with various coolant-gas flows and (4) thermionic emission measurements of the BaO-UO$_2$-W emitter. The details of the tube fabrication are presented in the appendix.

2.0 Design

2.1 Emitter - The emitter composition for the inpile tube is 76 v/o oxide (24 v/o tungsten) with the oxide portion containing 45 m/o BaO (55 m/o UO$_2$). This combination is designated 7645. This composition was selected to give a reasonably high fission fragment yield and good electron emission.

The emitter is a disc 0.75 in. diameter of thickness 0.060 in. and is shown in the electron transport tube drawing of Fig. 2. The core of the emitter is separated from the tantalum support tube by a 0.0012 in. tungsten foil which serves as a diffusion barrier. For these dimensions in a neutron flux of $10^{13}$ neutrons/cm$^2$-sec the nuclear heat generated will be 300 watts as given in Appendix A.

2.2 Electron Transport Tube - A photograph of the experimental prototype of the electron transport tube and a drawing of the tube are shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

Fig. 1. Photograph of electron transport tube.
Fig. 2. Drawing of electron transport tube.
The three major components of the tube are (1) the diode, (2) the electron gun and (3) the heat transfer system.

The diode includes the BaO-UO₂-W emitter and a molybdenum collector with a 0.040 inch spacing. The emitter caps a tantalum tube which serves as a part of the electron gun envelope.

The electron gun consists of a thoriated tungsten pancake heater, 0.375 in. diameter, shielded by a small tantalum cup. The gun is mounted on two leads to a stem. This stem is sealed via a Kovar shell to the end of a tantalum tube. The emitter caps the other end of this tube. Two W-Re thermocouples (sheathed in a tantalum tube of 0.040 in.) imbedded into the back side of the emitter for inpile monitoring purposes are also enclosed in the gun assembly. The output of the thermocouples pass through the stem. The gun, with barium getters, is sealed off to be operated independently of the diode section for test purposes.

The heat transfer system consists of two independent components, (1) the jacket and (2) the collector exchanger. The jacket completes the diode envelope by connecting the electron gun to the collector assembly. The jacket, made of stainless steel, consists of two concentric cylinders separated by a spirally wound wire, which directs the gas flow from the collector end to the gun end of the transport tube. The prototype tube was provided with a sight hole (as shown in Fig. 1) for viewing the emitter-collector gap.

The collector-exchanger consists of a plenum located on the back of the collector body to control the collector temperature.

The electron gun has been included as a separate but integral part of the transport tube so that the tube may be processed and the emitter tested in the laboratory prior to entering the reactor. The gun used in the prototype experiment, to be reported here, has operated over 60 hours with the emitter at 1400°C and for half of this time it was operated as a sealed unit.

3.2 Component Tests

The tests were conducted by operating the prototype transport tube in a bell jar. The sealed-off gun served as a source of heat for the emitter and a closed circuit nitrogen gas system provided the means for cooling.
3.1 Diode Spacing - In order to space properly the emitter-collector, it is necessary to know the thermal expansion of the emitter support tube. This expansion was determined experimentally. The data are shown in Fig. 3 in which the growth of the emitter support tube (or the decrease in the emitter-collector distance) is plotted against the emitter temperature. At 1400°C the elongation is 0.023 in.

![Fig. 3. Effect of temperature on emitter-collector spacing.](image)

3.2 Emitter Temperature - As shown in Fig. 2, the temperature of the emitter surface is monitored by two thermocouples swaged into holes in the back side of the emitter body. Terminals for these W-Re thermocouples are located in the base of the electron gun. It was important to determine how these thermocouple data correlate with the emitter surface temperature itself.

Figure 4 represents data from four thermocouples randomly located and spot welded on the emitter surface. After a test, the thermocouples were moved to new locations on the surface and the experiment repeated. The points, therefore, indicate the spread that can be expected from different thermocouples in addition to the variation in temperature over the surface.
The line drawn through the points indicates a possible difference in surface temperature (lower than body temperature) of approximately 20°C at 1400°C. Observations by an optical pyrometer also indicate temperatures varied over the surface approximately 20°C.

3.3 Power Requirements - A 5,000 volt dc power supply supplied the high voltage to the electron gun while a specially modified dc transistorized low voltage power supply furnished voltage for the gun heater. The emitter was run at positive ground potential to simplify the thermocouple instrumentation. A typical distribution of voltages and currents when the emitter is at 1400°C is

- Electron beam (current-voltage) $E = 600$ volts, $I = 0.1$ amp
- Gun heater (current-voltage) $E = 8.9$ volts, $I = 9.7$ amp.
The variation of temperature with input power is shown in Fig. 5 in which the three curves represent dc power, heater power and the total power.

Fig. 5. Influence of input power on emitter temperature.

3.4 Heat Exchanger - The temperature of the collector assembly and the jacket versus the emitter temperature is plotted in Fig. 6.

Fig. 6. Temperature profile of components.
A schematic of the closed gas flow system is shown in Fig. 7.

Fig. 7. Gas flow system.
A pressure of 20 psi was easily developed by a diaphragm type gas pump acting as a recirculator. Because the emitter was centrally located, it was not clear which direction the coolant gas should flow. It was found most desirable to flow into the collector end of the jacket. A water cooled heat extractor chamber simulates the reactor pool.

3.5 Thermionic Emission - The three compositions of emitters tested are 7638, 7645 and 7552. The latter two types used enriched uranium. The 7638 (natural uranium) sample served as the emitter in the prototype transport tube. Figure 8 shows the emission versus temperature characteristics of this one emitter. Emission was observed using the high-field pulsed method. (1)

![Fig. 8. Thermionic emission versus temperature for type 7638 emitter.](image)

It may be noticed that the current leveled off at approximately 10 amperes. This occurred after about 30 hours of ageing some of which was at 1550°C. Only at this temperature did the emitter show good activation. Arcing at high voltage between the emitter and collector prevented higher current measurements.
The other emitters with enriched uranium also required long processing times. In one case the emission was comparable to that in the prototype tube. The others were lower. One feature, as yet unexplained, is that a liquid appeared on the emitter surface at about 1250°C. Also, a large deposit of residue condensed onto the collector. Additional work is being done to investigate these emitter problems which are thought to involve materials purity and/or processing prior to electron emission tests.
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A-1. Fabrication

Figure 9 shows, in detail, the joints used in fabricating the electron transport tube.

Fig. 9. Detailed drawing of electron transport tube.
A-1.1. Diode

Emitter - The emitter used in the prototype tube contains natural uranium with a composition of 76 v/o oxide (24 v/o tungsten) with the oxide portion containing 38 m/o BaO (62 m/o UO₂). This combination is designated 7638. The fabrication and processing procedure for making this type of emitter has been described. The emitter for the first inpile test will be 7645 with enriched uranium.

The two holes in the tantalum section of the emitter body receive a sheathed tungsten-rhenium thermocouple. The thermocouples are held in place by swaging the tantalum emitter body onto the sheath. This emitter body is electron beam welded in the end of the tantalum support tube which serves as a portion of the electron gun envelope.

Collector - The collector, a molybdenum disc, has a lip on the periphery of the surface facing the emitter for the purpose of defining the volume of plasma generated by fission fragment ionization. The wires of a sheathed chromel-alumel thermocouple are spot welded to the center of the back side of the 0.020 in. thick collector. The thermocouple is fed through a centrally located outlet cooling tube and allowed to protrude through the wall of this tube at a bend external to the collector assembly. A hard solder joint completes the seal between the cooling tube and the thermocouple sheath.

A-1.2 Electron Gun - The electron gun assembly is shown in Fig. 10A. A 0.015 in. diameter (1% thoriated tungsten) filament is wound in a 4 turn pancake of 0.375 in. diameter. A tantalum cup surrounds the filament and serves as a heat shield. A 0.060 in. diameter tantalum support rod is inserted through the center of the cup and held in place by mechanical pressure. One end of the filament is inserted into a hole drilled in the end of this rod and held there by mechanical pressure. The other end of the filament is inserted in a hole of a 0.040 in. diameter support wire and held by mechanical pressure. This smaller support wire is insulated from the tantalum cup by a sapphire bushing.

Three 0.062 in. diameter sapphire rods center the electron gun inside the tantalum emitter tube. The spacing between the heater and back side of the emitter body is approximately 0.140 in. A thin tantalum disc, spot
Fig. 10. Electron gun assembly.
welded to one section of the tantalum cup and cut out for the thermocouples to pass through, shields the sapphire rods and ceramic base from evaporants.

The other ends of the two gun support members terminate at an 8 pin ceramic-metal base as shown in the photograph (Fig. 10B). The center tube serves as a pump-out for the electron gun as well as the main support for the gun. The base was constructed by copper brazing eight 0.040 in. inside diameter, one 0.187 in. diameter and one 1.187 in. diameter Kovar tubes to a molybdenum-manganese metallized SC98D ceramic wafer.

Two barium getters are connected in parallel and shielded from the ceramic base by a nickel foil. Two 5.5 in. long - 0.040 in. sheath diameter (W-5 Re/W-26Re) thermocouples supplied by Continental Sensing, Inc. were copper brazed into two of the base tubes. The grounded sealed ends of each thermocouple were swaged into holes in the rim on the back side of the emitter assembly.

A 0.075 in. long quartz tube, held in place by small nickel wires spot welded to the sheath, surrounds each thermocouple and is located at the heliarc weld near the end of the tantalum emitter tube. This tube serves as an electrical insulator so that the potential at the emitter body itself may be known.

The envelope for the gun consists of the tantalum tube, a thimble, the Kovar shell and the base. The stainless steel thimble, initially spot welded to the tantalum tube, is copper brazed. The addition of the thimble as seen in Fig. 10C results in a successful brazed joint between tantalum and steel. The thimble is heliarc'd to the Kovar shell.

This shell, with a diameter larger than the tantalum tube provides a shoulder to braze a ceramic insulating assembly. This ceramic assembly consists of a ceramic ring and S.S. flange as shown in Fig. 10D which is copper brazed to the Kovar shell before the tantalum tube-thimble assembly is welded in place.

The final heliarc weld occurs between the Kovar shell and the Kovar skirt on the electron gun base as shown in Fig. 10E.

A-1.3 Heat Transfer System - The two components of the transfer system are the jacket and the collector exchanger. The jacket completes the diode envelope by connecting the electron gun assembly to the collector assembly.
while the collector exchanger includes a plenum arrangement on the back side of the collector to transfer heat. Nitrogen gas is used as the heat transfer medium.

Jacket - The jacket consists of two concentric cylinders separated by a 0.040 in. diameter stainless steel wire spirally wound to uniformly cool the jacket area. A ring soldered at each end, in addition to an inlet gas line at one end and an outlet gas line at the other, completes the assembly. Mounted inside and toward one end is a tantalum heat shield. Figure 11 shows the parts that make up the jacket and end views of the completed assembly.

It may be noticed that two holes appear in the side of the jacket. The one through both walls is used only in the prototype tube to observe the emitter-collector gap. The hole which is located near the electron gun end is for the cesium reservoir appendage.

Cesium reservoir - This reservoir as shown in Fig. 12 is attached to the jacket by a heliarc weld. The vial was crushed and the area slightly heated to cause the cesium to drop into the reservoir itself.
Fig. 12 shows the arrangement that best accomplished the transfer task and Fig. 12B shows the reservoir attached to a glass tube. The tee served only to expedite the experiment as the final reservoir will have a tee with brazed joints.
Collector Heat Exchanger - The back side of the collector serves as a section of the exchanger as may be observed in Fig. 9. The nitrogen gas enters the tube nearest the outer extremity of the collector exchanger, fills the central plenum and passes out along the outer wall to maintain that region cool for protection of the ceramic ring assembly (not shown). The gas then passes radially inward on the back side of the collector and out through the central tube, which also contains a thermocouple.

A photograph of the collector assembly and its components for the collector heat exchanger is shown in Fig. 13A. A pre-copper brazed ceramic ring-metal flange assembly, shown in Fig. 13B is heliarced to the collector heat exchanger assembly seen in Fig. 13C. As a final operation the electron gun assembly and the collector assembly are heliarced to the jacket.

Fig. 13. Insulator and collector heat exchanger assembly.
A-2. Nuclear Data

Two different compositions containing enriched uranium (7645 and 7552 samples) have been tested. In the calculations below a composition 7550 is considered and should represent some average of the two compositions of interest.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>v/o Oxide</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v/o Tungsten</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/o Barium Oxide</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m/o Uranium Oxide</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Density (g/cm³)
- Barium: 2.04
- Uranium: 3.50
- Oxygen: 0.71
- Tungsten: 4.82

Total: 11.07

Macroscopic cross sections, cm⁻¹

\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_f (U-235) & \quad (\sigma_f = 580 \, b) \\
\sum_a (U-235) & \quad (\sigma_a = 687 \, b) \\
\sum_a (B) & \quad (\sigma_a = 1.17 \, b) \\
\sum_a (W) & \quad (\sigma_a = 19.2 \, b) \\
\sum_a (O_2) & \quad (\sigma_a = 2 \times 10^{-4} \, b) \\
\sum_t & \quad 6.49
\end{align*}
\]

The self-shielding effect of the emitter material must be considered to determine the fission rate in the various emitter cores. The equation below represents the fission rate in a lumped absorber.

\[
F = \sum_f t A \phi f
\]

where
- \( F \) is the fission rate (fissions-sec⁻¹)
- \( \sum_f \) is the fission cross section (cm⁻¹)
- \( t \) is the thickness of the emitter core (cm)
- \( A \) is the surface area of the emitter core (cm²)
- \( \phi \) is the unperturbed neutron flux (neutrons-cm⁻²-sec⁻¹)
- \( f \) is the self-shielding factor.
Values for the various parameters for this equation are:

\[ \Sigma_f = 5.2 \quad \text{(calculated)} \]
\[ t = 0.15 \]
\[ A = 2.85 \]
\[ \phi = 10^{13} \]
\[ f = 0.4 \quad \text{(calculated)} \]

1 watt = \(3 \times 10^{10}\) fissions-sec\(^{-1}\)

Under these conditions the total nuclear heat input would be 700 watts
for a flux of \(10^{13}\) n-sec\(^{-1}\)-cm\(^{-2}\) at the emitter.
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Reaction kinetics and electron transport were studied in noble gas plasmas generated by fission fragment ionization in order to evaluate these plasmas for a nuclear thermionic energy converter. The electron density that would result from fission fragment ionization of Ar-Cd and Ne-Ar gas mixtures was predicted from the computed ion generation rate and ion loss processes in the plasma. The first experimental verification of the electron density was made with a resonant microwave cavity operated in an impulse. For typical conditions in a research reactor, i.e., a neutron flux of 1.3 x 10^14 n/cm^2-sec and an electron temperature of 1.300 K, the computed maximum electron density for neon-argon, viz., 2.3 x 10^12 cm^-3 occurs at Ar/Ne=2 x 10^-4 and 94 torr while for argon-cadmium, the maximum electron density is 3.6 x 10^12 cm^-3 and occurs at Cd/Ar=1.7 x 10^-4 and 94 torr. The favorably high electron density in argon seeded with cadmium and the fact that argon has the lowest electron-neutral scattering cross section (Ramsauer) minimum at energies typical of an operating converter, indicate that this gas mixture is particularly well-suited for the noble gas converter.

The electron transport properties of these plasmas are being investigated theoretically and a ceramic-metal diode with a nuclear thermionic emitter (MgO-0.001 W) has developed for impulse measurement of the electron transport properties. Analytical solutions have been obtained for the current-voltage characteristic of a thermionic diode in which the plasma is generated by uniform fission-fragment ionization and the electron density is controlled by ambipolar diffusion loss.
Investigations on the Direct Conversion of Nuclear Fission Energy to Electrical Energy in a Plasma Diode

Abstract

Reaction kinetics and electron transport were studied in noble gas plasmas generated by fission fragment ionization in order to evaluate these plasmas for a nuclear thermionic energy converter. The electron density that would result from fission fragment ionization of Ar-Cs and Ne-Ar gas mixtures was predicted from the computed ion generation rate and ion loss processes in the plasma. The first experimental verification of the electron density was made with a resonant microwave cavity operated in pile. For typical conditions in a research reactor, i.e., a neutron flux of $10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$sec$^{-1}$ and an electron temperature of 1300 K, the computed maximum electron density for neon-argon, viz., $2.4 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ and occurs at Ar/Ne = 3.4 and 94 torr while for argon-cesium, the maximum electron density is $3.6 \times 10^{12}$ cm$^{-3}$ and occurs at Cs/Ar = 1.7 $\times 10^{-4}$ and 94 torr. The favorably-high electron density in argon seeded with cesium and the fact that argon has the lowest electron-neutral scattering cross section (Ramsauer) minimum at energies typical of an operating converter, indicate that this gas mixture is particularly well-suited for the noble gas converter.

The electron transport properties of these plasmas are being investigated theoretically and a ceramic-metal diode with a nuclear thermionic emitter (BaO-UO$_2$-W) has been developed for impile measurement of the electron transport properties. Analytical solutions have been obtained for the current-voltage characteristic of a thermionic diode in which the plasma is generated by uniform fission-fragment ionization and the electron density is controlled by ambipolar diffusion loss.
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