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The Computer Program Implementation Process (CPIP) project, part of the Command Research Program, has as its objective the analysis and identification of management techniques for large computer-programming jobs in command-controlled system development. The purpose of these analyses has been to devise improvements that will permit shorter and less costly implementation for higher quality computer programs than has been possible previously. In the first phase of the project, members have been defining and describing the activities that constitute the implementation process, its phasing, and its products.

Results of the project are being documented as guidelines for managers in a Computer Programming Manager's Handbook. Initially, each chapter is being published as a separate paper. Later, these chapters will be bound together as one volume. Subjects of chapters presently planned include managerial coordination, planning for implementation, operational design, programmer training, program testing, program system installation and maintenance, computer facility management, and other relevant aspects of the development process.

Managers for whom these documents are intended may be civilians or members of the military services; they may be directly associated with a programming effort or be level removed, or responsible for an interface with the program. It has also been assumed that their experience with data processing is varied and, if limited, will increase. Therefore, materials have been graded to assist the uninstructed as well as to provide basic materials of importance to the most experienced.

The project wishes to acknowledge the contributions made by M. W. Wilmouth of SRC's Research Directorate to the development of the flow diagram in this document.
THE PROCESS FLOW DIAGRAM

The diagram on the following pages illustrates one of several ways in which the computer program implementation process occurs. The diagram is to be included in a projected Handbook as a basis for more detailed examination of the implementation process. The diagram is also expected to be of use to managers of the process in their overall planning and in discussions of these plans with members of their staffs, with contractors, with other managers, and with their superiors, who may be less sophisticated in the details of program systems. Also, use of the diagram in planning may provide consistency in the allocation of resources and in the development and application of schedules, and may thus, in time, introduce means for making effective cost comparisons among systems. The diagram may also prove useful in training programmers.

DIAGRAM ORGANIZATION

The diagram is divided into seven sequential phases.

- System Analysis
- System Design
- Program Design
- Program Production
- Program Test
- System Test
- System Operation

The diagram is divided into seven sequential phases.

Diagram Phases:
- Phase I: System Analysis
- Phase II: System Design
- Phase III: Program Design
- Phase IV: Program Production
- Phase V: Program Test
- Phase VI: System Test
- Phase VII: System Operation

The symbolic nature of the computer program implementation process is not represented. The flow diagram emphasizes the time-dependent nature of the steps or activities within each phase and indicates the products that result from them. This flow diagram represents a partial answer to the question, "What activities and products constitute the computer program system implementation process and how should the activities be phased in time?"

DIAGRAM CONVENTIONS AND SYMBOLS

The symbols used in the diagram are few and straightforward. In each phase the steps or activities are contained in boxes. Products such as documents, part lists, and forms are shown at the bottom of each page. Each is connected to the appropriate activity. There is a time-dependent relationship between connected activities; as shown on the diagram, activities must be...
completed in sequence from left to right. The lengths of the lines between boxes in the flow diagram do not indicate the amount of time spent or degree of difficulty experienced in each of these activities. For example, the program- and system-test phases occupy about 20 percent of the total space in the flow diagram; but in practice the phases may use 25 to 50 percent of the total resources. Boxes on separate horizontal lines in the flow diagram represent concurrent activities. No time dependency between such activities is implied by the positions of the boxes on their separate lines, except when two or more lines meet at a box. The last box on each page is repeated as the first box on the next page. Each page of the flow diagram has a reference grid with letters at the left and numbers at the bottom to facilitate reference to particulars in the diagram. The activities that produce the operational program system are shown linked together by a heavy line. Organization responsibilities during the implementation effort vary in each phase from system to system; therefore, the flow diagram does not include this information.

COMMENTS AND CRITICISM

As a result of further data gathering and analysis, this flow diagram is to be further refined. Therefore, comments concerning the diagram and its projected utility will be welcomed by the author.
SYSTEM ANALYSIS PHASE

MANAGEMENT:
- Establish End Item File & Control - Documents Hardware & Programs

(Design)
- Establish Hardware System Requirements

(Design)
- Establish Human Action Requirements

(Design)
- Establish System Training Requirements

(Design)
- Establish Program System Requirements

Management:
- Proposal Preparation

Management:
- Source Selection

Management:
- Project Management
- Configuration & Interface Identification

(Design)
- Establish Acceptance Test Requirements
A \[ \text{(MANAGEMENT)} \]

B \[ \text{SOURCE SELECTION} \]

C \[ \text{DETERMINE SCHEDULE \& ESTABLISH MONITOR SYSTEM} \]

D \[ \text{CONTRACTS} \]

E \[ \text{SCHEDULES \& MONITORING SYSTEM DESCRIPTION} \]

F \[ \text{(MANAGEMENT)} \]
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Unclassified report

DESIGNERS: Programming (Computers).

Reports that the Computer Program Implementation Process (CP/IP) project, part of the System Development Corporation's ARPA (Advanced Research Projects Agency) project for Command Research, has as its objective the analysis and identification of management techniques for large computer programming jobs in command-control system development.

Presents a flow diagram that illustrates one of several ways in which the computer program implementation process occurs.