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ABSTRACT

The purpose of this test was to develop diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion in a hypersonic high temperature airstream. This was accomplished by ejecting hydrogen through sonic nozzles oriented normal to the airstream in the case of the flat plate model and at a 45-degree angle downstream in the case of the stepped flat plate model. The hydrogen gas was ejected into a high temperature airstream ($T_o \sim 4000^\circ R$) at Mach Number 4.14 to 4.24. The data is presented in three forms: (1) photographs; (2) graphical; and (3) oscillograph traces.
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FOREWORD

This report was prepared by Duane A. Burnett of the Hypersonic Gasdynamics Branch, Aerodynamics Division, Directorate of Engineering Test, Deputy for Test and Support, Aeronautical Systems Division, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio. It describes the development of diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion in a hypersonic high temperature airstream.

The work covers the period from March 1962 through April 1962.

The development of diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion was authorized under Task Nr 301201 of Project Nr 3012, "Ramjet Combustion Studies."

The significant contributions of Messrs. A. J. Brigalli and W. E. Bennett in achieving a practical and safe hydrogen system is gratefully acknowledged.
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\( M_a \) Freestream Mach number
\( P_j \) Gas ejection pressure, psia
\( P_m \) Model static pressure, psia
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\( P_{m5} \) Model static pressure at orifice #5, psia
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\( T_G \) Stagnation temperature of ejecting gas, °R
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INTRODUCTION

The objective of this investigation was to develop diagnostic techniques for detection of hydrogen combustion in a hypersonic high temperature airstream. Test efforts to achieve the objective were started on 28 March 1962 and completed on 18 April 1962.

In order to obtain thrust in a ramjet engine, the heat required must be less than the heat available. The heat available for combustion will essentially be constant while the heat required is a function of the Mach number. It can readily be seen that as the Mach number increases, the heat required also increases, thus limiting the ramjet engine from operating unless the static enthalpy is reduced and/or a higher energy fuel is used.

Hydrogen has been proposed as such a fuel. However, due to the high stagnation temperatures associated with high Mach numbers, unconventional approaches must be used if full advantage is to be taken of the high energy content of the fuel.

Such an approach would be to obtain hydrogen combustion in approximately static conditions. This could be accomplished by ejecting hydrogen into the airstream around a body at hypervelocity speeds where the static temperature is sufficiently high to autoignite the hydrogen thereby eliminating the conventional method of burning in a ramjet engine.

TEST FACILITY

The High Temperature Hypersonic Gasdynamics Facility (HTF) is a blowdown wind tunnel which operates at Mach Number 4, at stagnation pressures up to 40 atmospheres, and stagnation temperatures approaching 4500°F. Intermittent operation is provided using the principle of stored air and stored heat. A schematic layout of the system is shown in figure 1.

Air, stored at 80 atmospheres pressure is reduced to 40 atmospheres and heated as it passes vertically through the bed of the storage heater. Expansion to Mach Number 4 is provided by a 5-inch exit diameter axisymmetric water cooled nozzle. After passing through the free jet test section, the air is cooled in the diffuser by water sprays and is then exhausted into the atmosphere.

A sealed plenum chamber installed around the free-jet test section with auxiliary pressure control, provides the necessary boundary condition so that essentially, parallel shock-free flow is obtained in the test section.

A rotary model support which is provided is capable of holding six models, and sequentially injecting them into the flow. The basic dimensions of the test section and model arrangement during running conditions are shown in figure 2 (reference 1 for further information on the HTF).

Manuscript released by the author February 1963 for publication as an ASD Technical Documentary Report.
MODELS

Two models were used during the test: a flat plate model with a rearward facing step, and a flat plate model without a step.

The stepped flat plate model (figure 3) had a 0.187-inch rearward facing step which was located 3.00 inches from the leading edge. Five 0.086-inch-diameter holes provided gas ejection normal to the local flow.

The flat plate model (figure 4) had five 0.086-inch-diameter holes which provided gas ejection at a 45-degree angle downstream to the local flow.

The gas ejection holes for both models were located 2.375 inches from the leading edge on a centerline normal to the longitudinal centerline of the models.

The basic dimensions for both models are shown in figures 5 and 6 respectively.

Each model had a small gas stagnation chamber which was supplied by a 0.375-inch copper tube located on the trailing edge of the model support strut. Both the supply tube and the surface pressure orifice tubing were protected from the high temperature flow by a metal shield.

Ten 0.040-inch-diameter surface pressure orifices (top surface only) were located on the longitudinal centerline of each model. The locations of the orifices from the leading edge are given in the following table:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ORIFICE NO.</th>
<th>DISTANCE FROM LE INCHES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.625</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>3.750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>4.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>4.750</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GAS EJECTION SYSTEM

Details of the gas ejection system are shown in figure 7. The gas ejection supply line originally went through the pressure plate (ref 2) to the gas supply source, and was switched pneumatically as were the pressure leads. For hydrogen the line was connected directly from the hydrogen source to the model stagnation chamber without going through the pressure plate.

The hub lock pin installed in the model support hub (ref 2), when engaged, energized a solenoid valve, S-1, in the gas supply line which enabled the hydrogen to flow into the model stagnation chamber. When the hub lock pin disengaged prior to rotating the hub, the solenoid valve, S-1, was automatically de-energized.

A constant helium purge of 10 psig, was induced in the model stagnation chamber at all times. This helium purge eliminated any possibility of air entering the model stagnation chamber during the period when the model was not in the flow. A check valve was installed in the helium line to prevent hydrogen from entering the purge line during gas ejections. Another helium purge line was connected to the hydrogen supply line downstream of the hydrogen solenoid valve, S-1, and the model.

The hydrogen pressure in the model stagnation chamber was regulated by a nitrogen actuated Grove Control Regulator.

INSTRUMENTATION

Pressure Capsules

A 300 psid unbonded strain gage type capsule was used to measure the pressure in the hydrogen stagnation chamber Ten 7.50 psid exposed diaphragm, unbonded strain gage type pressure capsules were used to measure the model surface pressures.

Thermocouples

An exposed junction iron/constantan thermocouple with a reference temperature of 150°F was used to measure the temperature of the hydrogen in the model stagnation chamber.

Photomultiplier Tube

An RCA 1P28 9-stage photomultiplier tube for application involving low ultraviolet radiation was used. The wave length of maximum spectral response was 3400 Å. The output signal from the photomultiplier tube was amplified and recorded on an oscillograph recorder.

Photographic Equipment

Black and white sequential Schlieren stills of hydrogen ejection were recorded on Panatomic-X 35mm film utilizing a Nikon camera. Horizontal and vertical knife edge pictures were recorded simultaneously.

Colored Schlieren motion pictures were taken on a 16 mm Bell and Howell Cline' Specialist camera using standard Kodachrome film.
A 16 mm Bell and Howell BI-A motion picture camera was used to take infrared pictures of hydrogen burning. Standard Kodak Infrared film was used with a Kodak Wratten Filter Nr 29(F) which emitted light waves shorter than 6300 Å.

Another 16 mm Bell and Howell BI-A motion picture camera was used to record colored pictures of hydrogen burning at a 40-degree angle to the vertical. Standard Kodachrome film was used without a filter.

TEST PROCEDURE

The testing conditions are listed below for both models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STEPPED FLAT PLATE MODEL</th>
<th>FLAT PLATE MODEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p_0$ (psi) &lt;br&gt;$T_0$ (*R) &lt;br&gt;$p_0$ (psi) &lt;br&gt;$\alpha$ (DEGREES) &lt;br&gt;GAS EJECTED</td>
<td>$p_0$ (psi) &lt;br&gt;$T_0$ (*R) &lt;br&gt;$p_0$ (psi) &lt;br&gt;$\alpha$ (DEGREES) &lt;br&gt;GAS EJECTED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 &lt;br&gt;3587 - 4254 &lt;br&gt;0 - 175 &lt;br&gt;0, 4, 8, 12, 15 &lt;br&gt;HELIUM</td>
<td>250 &lt;br&gt;3973 - 4522 &lt;br&gt;19 - 133 &lt;br&gt;0, 8 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 &lt;br&gt;4218 - 4300 &lt;br&gt;23 - 137 &lt;br&gt;0 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
<td>300 &lt;br&gt;4109 - 4548 &lt;br&gt;0 - 135 &lt;br&gt;0, 8 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300 &lt;br&gt;3425 - 4031 &lt;br&gt;25 - 142 &lt;br&gt;0, 8 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
<td>400 &lt;br&gt;3681 - 3922 &lt;br&gt;0 - 136 &lt;br&gt;0, 8 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>450 &lt;br&gt;2703 - 2909 &lt;br&gt;27 - 103 &lt;br&gt;0, 8 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
<td>600 &lt;br&gt;3330 - 4535 &lt;br&gt;0 - 141 &lt;br&gt;0, 8 &lt;br&gt;HYDROGEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The models were in the flow from 0.77 to 2.47 seconds depending upon the tunnel stagnation temperature.
TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Photomultiplier Tubes

Figures 8 and 9 show the oscillograph traces of the photomultiplier tube response during hydrogen, helium, and, no gas ejection.

The amplitude of the photomultiplier trace for helium and no gas ejection was approximately the same as shown in figure 8. This was expected since helium, an inert gas, does not burn. The small response was probably due to the photon emission of the high temperature airstream behind the shock wave.

If a flammable gas was injected into a high temperature airstream and did not burn, the amplitude of the photomultiplier trace would be the same as for helium or no gas ejection; but, if the gas did burn the photon energy emitted from the burning flame would increase the amplitude of the photomultiplier trace. This is shown distinctly in figure 9a when hydrogen was used as the flammable gas.

Infrared Photography

The hydrogen burning was recorded on 16 mm infrared motion picture film. Figures 10 and 11 are single frame photographs, taken from the motion picture, showing the effects of hydrogen burning over the stepped flat plate at $\alpha = 0$ degrees and the flat plate at $\alpha = 8$ degrees. The hydrogen appeared to burn over the model surface with reasonable stability.

Forty-Degree Angle Colored Motion Picture

Figure 12 is a single frame colored photograph, taken from the motion picture showing an angled view of hydrogen burning over the flat plate model. This photograph shows clearly the heavy concentration of hydrogen burning aft of the model.

Schlieren Photographs

Figure 13 is a single frame photograph, taken from a 16 mm colored Schlieren motion picture showing the hydrogen concentration over the stepped flat plate model during ejection.

Figures 20 through 28 are Schlieren photographs of both models with hydrogen gas ejection, utilizing a vertical knife edge.

Pressure Distribution

The data obtained using the pressure capsules are presented as a series of graphs (figures 14 through 17) plotting the surface pressure ratio versus the chord length from the leading edge.

There was a pressure rise in front of the gas ejection and a pressure drop behind the gas ejection as was expected. There was also a sharp rise in pressure for the last orifice (Nr 11). This was a good indication that hydrogen started to burn on the aft section of the model as shown in figure 12.
Flow Phenomena

Figures 18 and 19 are schematic diagrams of the flow field of a jet ejecting hydrogen gas, normal to the flow, and at a 45-degree angle downstream. This of course, is only a centerline representation of what was actually a three-dimensional flow phenomenon.

The presence of the jet flow, as it issued into the supersonic free stream caused a strong bow wave ahead of the jet and boundary layer separation surrounding the jet. The strength of the gas ejection bow wave, and the depth of the boundary layer separation depended upon the gas ejection pressure (Figures 18 and 19 are schematic drawings for $P_j/P_\infty = 22$).

General

Hydrogen leakage was a continuous hinderance during the test. Because of the small size of the hydrogen atom, the gas diffused through the smallest opening.

On the first hydrogen ejection test run, the hydrogen diffused profusely through the asbestos gasket between the model strut support and the model. This was corrected to some extent by brazing the model to the strut but even then there were minute openings in the weld where the hydrogen diffused through which was detected by infrared motion pictures.

CONCLUSIONS

From the results of this test the conclusion was drawn that hydrogen burning in a hypersonic flow can be detected by the following methods:

1. Photomultiplier tube
2. Infrared photography
3. Colored motion pictures
4. Colored Schlieren photography
5. Pressure distribution curves.

The welded seams on the models will be a critical manufacturing item, and will be carefully controlled for future work.
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The purpose of this test was to develop diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion in a hypersonic high temperature airstream. This was accomplished by ejecting hydrogen through sonic nozzles oriented normal to the airstream in the case of the flat plate model and at a 45-degree angle downstream in the case of the stepped flat plate model. The hydrogen gas was ejected into a high temperature airstream (T=4000°F) at Mach Number 4.11 to 4.21. The data is presented in three forms: (1) photographs; (2) graphical; and (3) oscillograph traces.
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Unclassified Report

The purpose of this test was to develop diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion in a hypersonic high temperature airstream. This was accomplished by ejecting hydrogen through sonic nozzles oriented normal to the airstream in the case of the flat plate model and at a 45-degree angle downstream in the case of the stepped flat plate model. The hydrogen gas was ejected into a high temperature airstream (2040°F at Mach Number 4.11 to 4.24). The data is presented in three forms: (1) photographs; (2) graphical; and (3) oscillograph traces.
The purpose of this test was to develop diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion in a supersonic high temperature airstream. This was accomplished by injecting hydrogen through sonic nozzles oriented normal to the airstream in the case of the flat plate model and at a 45-degree angle downstream in the case of the stepped flat plate model. The hydrogen gas was ejected into a high temperature airstream (T∞=4000°F) at Mach Number 4.1 to 4.24. The data is presented in three forms: (1) photographs; (2) graphical; and (3) oscillograph traces.
The purpose of this test was to develop diagnostic techniques for the detection of hydrogen combustion in a hypersonic high temperature airstream. This was accomplished by ejecting hydrogen through sonic nozzles oriented normal to the airstream in the case of the flat plate model and at a 45-degree angle downstream in the case of the stepped flat plate model. The hydrogen gas was ejected into a high temperature airstream ($\text{Re}_{\infty}=400000$) at Mach Number 4.14 to 4.24. The data is presented in three forms: (1) photographic; (2) graphical; and (3) oscillograph traces.