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Glow discharge fluorinations, using a solid reactant-activated fluorine technique, were conducted with CIF$_3$, FCIO$_2$, and FCIO$_3$. The preferred course of the reaction resulted in the formation of CIF$_5$ through oxygen displacement. Ultraviolet activated fluorination reactions yielded CIF$_3$ from the system IOF$_5$-ClF but did not give bromine oxyfluorides from the system BrF$_5$-O$_2$. Neither glow discharge nor UV activation of fluorine resulted in the fluorination of BrF$_5$ to BrF$_7$.

High-pressure and high-temperature fluorination of CIF$_2$AsF$_6$ and ClO$_2$AsF$_6$ was investigated as a route to higher valent chlorine oxyfluoride cations but no reaction occurred. Similar conditions did not produce the unknown chlorine oxyfluoride, CIF$_5$, when RbCIF$_4$ or CsCIF$_4$ were fluorinated. The preparation of CIF$_3$ from the new hypochlorite, SF$_5$OCl, as a substrate was unsuccessful.

Efforts were made to synthesize new oxidizing cations by the interaction of fluorine and a precursor in the presence of a strong Lewis acid. Reactions of CIF$_5$, F$_2$, and the acids BF$_3$, AsF$_5$, and SbF$_5$ were directed toward the preparation of CIF$_6^+$. However, the chlorine fluoride salts isolated contained only CIF$_2^+$ and CIF$_4^+$. Bromine pentafluoride, F$_2$, and SbF$_5$ gave BrF$_5$SbF$_5$ and no BrF$_6^+$. The identity of the BrF$_5$ complex was proven by an independent synthesis. The synthesis of the cations CIF$_4^+$, ArF$^+$ and OF$_2^+$ from CIF$_3$, Ar or OF$_2$, in conjunction with F$_2$ and SbF$_5$ was not realized. A byproduct of one of these reactions, OF$_2$SbF$_{11}$, furnished useful spectral data for the Sb$_2$F$_{11}$ anion whose previous characterization was somewhat uncertain.

The structural investigation of CIF$_3$ was completed by obtaining the Raman spectrum of the liquid which conclusively proved the earlier suggested $C_8$ symmetry for the molecule.
vibrational spectra of \( \text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+ \) showed it to be of \( C_8 \) symmetry while the spectra of \( \text{ClF}_4\text{O}^- \) proved its symmetry to be \( C_{4v} \). The Raman spectrum of solid \( \text{ClO}_2\text{AsF}_6^- \) confirmed the previously postulated \( C_{2v} \) structure.

Dissociation pressure-temperature data were measured for \( \text{ClO}_2\text{BF}_4^- \) and the desired thermodynamic values were calculated. Data correlation allowed an order of increasing Lewis base strength to be established as: \( \text{ClF}_2\text{ClF}_3 < \text{ClF}_3 \text{ClF}_3 < \text{ClO}_2\text{ClF}_3 \).

The preparation of \( \text{IOF}_3^- \) was not quantitatively achieved from \( \text{I}_2\text{O}_7^- \) and \( \text{IF}_5 \) as reported in the literature. Modified reaction conditions gave \( \text{FO}_2\text{O} \) which was also formed by pyrolysis of \( \text{IOF}_3^- \). Infrared and analytical data for iodyl fluoride are presented. Preliminary efforts to form \( \text{IO}_2^+ \) salts from \( \text{FO}_2\text{O} \) and Lewis acids were only partially successful. Reaction of iodyl fluoride and FNO indicated a facile fluorination occurred but accompanied by oxygen abstraction from the iodine species.

Oxidative chlorofluorinations were investigated using \( \text{ClF} \) with \( \text{SO}_2\text{F}_2 \) and \( \text{SO}_2 \). Thionyl fluoride reacted to give only \( \text{SO}_4\text{F}_4 \) and \( \text{Cl}_2 \) under a variety of conditions. The excellent conversions found make this the first practical synthetic route to \( \text{SO}_4\text{F}_4 \) not involving elementary fluorine. Sulfur dioxide was quantitatively converted to \( \text{ClSO}_2\text{F} \) by the action of \( \text{ClF} \).

The preparation and characterization of complexes containing the \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \) cation are described in a manuscript, Appendix A.
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INTRODUCTION

The general direction of this program continues to be oriented toward halogen fluoride chemistry. The previous report (Ref. 3) properly introduces a number of study areas that were more fully explored recently. Specifically, the reaction chemistry of $\text{CF}_3\text{O}$, as well as a more definitive structural evaluation of its derivatives, was pursued further.

Our fluorination studies during the report period were directed at higher energy species. The approaches in this connection utilized glow discharge, uv activation and Lewis acid catalysis.

Two minor studies were carried out. One of these, a continuation of earlier work, is aimed at a more complete elucidation of properties of sketchily reported compounds such as $\text{IO}_3^-$, $\text{FI}_2$, etc., as well as a definition of the reaction chemistry. In addition, the interesting reaction chemistry of $\text{CIF}$ is being further developed, again as a logical extension of previously reported work.
Glow Discharge Reactions

Fluorination reactions, in which glow discharge activation of elemental fluorine serves as the reaction stimulus, are generally quite uncontrolled. For example, if the substrates are reacted at a temperature at which they have some volatility, the overall fluorination process proceeds through atomization of the substrate, followed by random recombination reactions involving some fluorination. Recognition of this problem led to modification of the discharge method. This entailed lowering the reaction temperature to "freeze out" the substrate reactant making it an immobile, solid wall. A convenient temperature for most inorganic oxidizers is that obtained from liquid nitrogen cooling, -196 °C. This modification results in a fluorination process wherein reaction is achieved by the impingement of activated fluorine on the solid wall. The cold wall provides a means for the removal of excess energy and aids in stabilizing the products formed.

Employing these techniques, the fluorination of some Cl-O compounds (Cl₂ and HClO₂) was examined some time ago (Ref. 1). It was found that fluorination of these compounds did occur to give limited yields of ClF₃. However, the reaction was very inefficient in terms of fluorine utilization and somewhat inconsistent as to product formation. To overcome this inefficiency, the method was further altered to incorporate an all-glass, gas-circulating pump. In this manner, fluorine could be passed through the discharge and reaction zones, and the unreacted portion (the bulk of the fluorine) recycled until consumed. This apparatus, when used for the fluorination of Cl₂, gave much-improved results; a 45 percent conversion to ClF₃ and for the first time a detectable yield of Florox, 1 to 2 percent (Ref. 2).
An investigation of the fluorination of chlorine oxyfluorides via this technique has now been completed. These experiments were aimed at the formation of new, covalent chlorine oxyfluorides, specifically $\text{ClF}_5\text{O}$ and $\text{ClF}_3\text{O}_2$. All the known, covalent Cl-F-O compounds, except $\text{FOClO}_3$, were used as substrates. The types of reactions sought are:

\begin{align*}
\text{ClF}_5\text{O} + 2\text{F}^* &\rightarrow \text{ClF}_5\text{O} \\
\text{FCIO}_2 + 2\text{F}^* &\rightarrow \text{ClF}_3\text{O}_2 \\
\text{FCIO}_2 + 4\text{F}^* &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + \text{ClF}_5\text{O} \\
\text{FCIO}_3 + 2\text{F}^* &\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2 + \text{ClF}_3\text{O}_2
\end{align*}

The conditions of the experiments and the results are summarized in Table 9, page 30. Very good chlorine material balances were obtained in these reactions, but a fluorine balance was not possible due to appreciable $\text{OF}_2$ formation and its subsequent decomposition during workup.

Overall, it was found that no new chlorine oxyfluorides were formed. Extensive fluorination of Cl-O bonds did take place, generally the replacement of one oxygen atom by two fluorine atoms. Keeping conditions of the reactions essentially constant it was noted that the yield of fluorinated products was dependent on the operating fluorine pressure during the glow discharge. Higher pressures produced higher yields of fluorinated products.

With all the substrates examined, it was observed that chlorine pentfluoride was the preferred product. As a product of $\text{ClF}_5\text{O}$ or $\text{FCIO}_2$, this represents a simple substitution of oxygen by fluorine with no change in oxidation state for the central atom. With $\text{FCIO}_3$ as a reactant, the product $\text{ClF}_5$ indicates that fluorinations could be achieved but not without complete oxygen loss and a concomitant reduction of the chlorine central atom. The reactions all followed the equation:

$$\text{ClF}_x\text{O}_y + n\text{F}^* \rightarrow \text{ClF}_5 + \frac{1}{2} \text{O}_2$$

*Denotes the activated species whose excess energy is dissipated at the cold wall.
Chloryl fluoride was also obtained from the FC10₂ reactions and in sufficient yield to suggest an initial loss of oxygen as an important step in the reaction. The yield of chloryl fluoride in the reaction of ClF₃O was low and probably arose from reactions of the substrate with the glass reactor during loading or workup.

A stepwise loss of oxygen was indicated in the fluorination of FC10₂ as some ClF₃O was obtained in one experiment. No attempt was made to determine optimum conditions for its formation. Chlorine trifluoride was not an appreciable product except at low F₂ pressures (25 mm vs the normal 50+ mm). No evidence for a higher chlorine fluoride such as ClF₇ was found.

Because of the demonstrated ability of this technique to achieve halogen fluorination, an examination of the possible oxidative fluorination of BrF₃ to BrF₇ was conducted. While it has been noted that no evidence for a species such as ClF₇ was found, the potentially lower energy necessary for bromine oxidation, coupled with the more favorable size of the Br (VII) ion, offered some promise for the experiments. Two reactions were carried out under conditions that had been found suitable for fluorination in the F-Cl-O work. Only a very slow fluorine uptake was noted at a rate much slower than with the chlorine compounds. Bromine pentafluoride was recovered unchanged along with minor quantities of iF₅ and a trace of ClF₅. The latter was undoubtedly due to chlorine impurities. These negative results for the possible BrF₇ are in keeping with other attempts at its preparation.

Ultraviolet Reactions

Previously it was found that ClF₃O could be formed readily from F₂-FC10₃, F₂-FC10₂, F₂-Cl₂-0₂, ClF₃-0₂, or ClF-0₂ merely by subjecting the mixtures to uv radiation at low temperature (Ref. 3). It may be recalled that rather high conversions and yields were obtained from some of the
reactant systems. The mild conditions used (T < -10°C, P < 1 atm) suggested that similar mild conditions may be fruitful in studying other reactant systems. Accordingly, several experiments were carried out in the BrF_5-P_2, BrF_5-0_2, IOF_5-CIF systems.

Fluorination of BrF_5. The fluorination of BrF_5 to BrF was sought through uv activation. Using the apparatus described previously (Ref. 3), four experiments were carried out. The temperatures used were from -50 to -40°C, while F_2:BrF_5 ratios of 1:9 were used. No evidence for a new BrF species was observed inasmuch as BrF_5 was recovered unchanged. Further, no low-temperature stable species were noted during product workup.

Reaction of IOF_5 With CIF. The facile decomposition of IOF_5 to IF_5 and O_2 is accomplished by thermal activation (T ~ 150°C). Despite the absence of a known decomposition mechanism for the decomposition of IOF_5, a possibility existed that excited oxygen was an intermediate and could be capable of fixation. For this reason, IOF_5 was selected as a potential "oxygen donor" in reaction systems where new oxyhalogen fluorides were possible. If the reaction

\[ \text{IOF}_5 + \text{BrF}_3 \rightarrow \text{IF}_5 + \text{BrF}_3\text{O} \]

could be effected via uv activation, much less energetic radiation would be required than that required for the CIF_3-0_2 system.

A 1-hour irradiation of IOF_5 was sufficient for decomposing it to IF_5 and O_2. Two experiments of IOF_5 and CIF at -60°C were then carried out and found to result in the formation of CIF_3O in a 16 percent yield. It then remained to determine whether the formation of CIF_3O was via O_2 or IOF_5. More specifically, the choice was between:

\[ \text{IOF}_5 + \text{CIF} \rightarrow \text{IF}_5 + \left[ \text{FCIO} \right] \rightarrow \text{CIF}_3\text{O} \]
A repetition of the reaction using a stream of $\text{O}_2$ between the lamp and the cell resulted in a threefold decrease in the yield of $\text{ClF}_3\text{O}$. Thus, it appears that oxygen activation by uv is responsible for the formation of $\text{ClF}_3\text{O}$ in the $\text{IOF}_5$-$\text{ClF}_5$ system.

**Attempted Synthesis of Bromine Oxyfluorides.** The formation of $\text{ClF}_3\text{O}$ by the irradiation of $\text{ClF}_3$ and $\text{O}_2$ occurred readily at low temperature (-40 to -60$^\circ$C). It was interesting to examine the $\text{BrF}_5$-$\text{O}_2$ system for the synthesis of $\text{BrF}_3\text{O}$ or $\text{BrF}_5\text{O}$. However, with exposure times to 3 hours, no reaction of $\text{BrF}_5$ was noted.

**Cationic Fluorinations**

The amphoteric character of $\text{ClF}_3\text{O}$ and $\text{FCI}_2\text{O}_2$ has been established since both materials form stable 1:1 complexes with arsenic pentafluoride (Ref. 3):

$$\text{ClF}_3\text{O} + \text{AsF}_5 \rightarrow \text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^-$$

$$\text{FCI}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{AsF}_5 \rightarrow \text{ClF}_2\text{O}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^-$$

Preliminary characterization and evidence presented elsewhere in this report confirm the ionic nature of these complexes.

Fluorination reactions employing these materials were aimed at the synthesis of new cationic Cl-F-O species:

$$\text{ClF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- + \text{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{ClF}_4\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^-$$

$$\text{ClF}_2\text{O}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^- + \text{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta} \text{ClF}_3\text{O}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^-$$
Preparation of either of these new cations would afford intermediates from which the free, unknown chlorine oxyfluorides (ClF₅₀ and ClF₃₀₂) might be derived:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{ClF}_4^+\text{AsF}_6^- + \text{KF} & \rightarrow \text{ClF}_5^0 + \text{KAsF}_6 \\
\text{ClF}_{2.5}^+\text{AsF}_6^- + \text{KF} & \rightarrow \text{ClF}_{3.2}^0 + \text{KAsF}_6
\end{align*}
\]

A series of reactions were carried out using the Cl-O salts and elemental fluorine. All Monel equipment was employed, and the reactants were heated for 1 to 2 weeks at approximately 140 °C. Each salt was subjected to F₂ pressures of 500, 900, and 2000 psi. These conditions were considered sufficient to ensure that even a slow reaction would result in a product yield large enough for easy detection.

Termination of the reaction was followed by removal of gases not condensable at liquid nitrogen temperature (primarily fluorine). Vacuum fractionation of condensable materials was employed to determine if new covalent compounds were formed. The results were uniform for all experiments in that only minor quantities of CF₄, SF₆, and ClF₅ were observed. (These compounds are merely contaminants in the fluorine supply and not the product of the reaction.) Solids left in the cylinders were examined in the dry box and sampled for infrared analysis. In all cases, the white solid complexes were recovered unchanged. The characteristic infrared spectra for each complex were unchanged and Cl=O absorptions at frequencies higher than those in the starting compounds were not detected. Such absorptions would be expected for the more highly oxidized Cl-O cations and these bands are of sufficiently strong intensity that even low concentrations of such species would be readily detectable. Thus, fluorination of Cl=O containing cations does not occur under stringent thermal and pressure conditions.
Fluorination of SF₅OC1

Previous work at Rocketdyne (Ref. 3) has resulted in the discovery of the new compound SF₅OC1 (SF₅O + ClF → SF₅OC1). Since this compound belongs to the class of hypochlorites, its fluorination could yield ClF₅O according to:

\[ \text{SF}_5\text{OC1} + 2\text{F}_2 \rightarrow \text{SF}_6 + \text{ClF}_5\text{O} \]

The coproduct SF₆ is compatible with ClF₅O and the reaction, if successful, could avoid some of the problems encountered in the fluorination of ClONO₂.

Two reactions between SF₅OC1 and F₂ were carried out at -78 and 23°C, respectively. In both cases no fluorination of SF₅OC1 was observed. However, the amount of SF₅OC1 available for the experiments was insufficient to allow a liquid phase reaction. Judging from experience with the ClONO₂ - F₂ system, the presence of a liquid phase may be crucial. Hence, the fluorination of SF₅OC1 should be repeated under conditions warranting the presence of a liquid phase.

Fluorination of Rb⁺ClF₄O⁻ and Cs⁺ClF₄O⁻

The fluorination of ClF₄O⁻ salts could provide the novel oxidizer, ClF₅O, according to:

\[ \text{Cs}^+\text{ClF}_4\text{O}^- + \text{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta P, \Delta T} \text{CsF} + \text{ClF}_5\text{O} \]

This reaction would be analogous to the successful fluorination of ClF₄⁻ salts (Ref. 4) yielding ClF₅⁻:

\[ \text{Cs}^+\text{ClF}_4^- + \text{F}_2 \xrightarrow{\Delta P, \Delta T} \text{CsF} + \text{ClF}_5^- \]

Table 1 shows the results of five runs.
# TABLE 1

**FLUORINATION OF CI\(_F\)_4\(^-\) SALTS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Starting Material, (mmoles)</th>
<th>Volatile Reaction Products, mmoles</th>
<th>Reaction Temperature, C</th>
<th>ClF(_2)</th>
<th>ClF(_3)</th>
<th>ClF</th>
<th>ClF(_2)O</th>
<th>ClF(_5)</th>
<th>(\sum)Cl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rb(^+)ClF(_4)O(^-) (18)</td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cs(^+)ClF(_4)O(^-) (20)</td>
<td></td>
<td>145</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>215</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In all runs, no evidence for a new chlorine fluoride was obtained. The material balance (based on chlorine) indicated that essentially all starting material was recovered in the form of volatile products. The fact that ClF\(_2\), ClF\(_3\), and ClF\(_2\)O could be removed as volatiles in the presence of CsF is not surprising since it is known that the reaction between these compounds in the gaseous state and solid CsF is relatively slow. However, quenching of the hot reactors, followed by immediate removal of the volatile products, is important. The formation of the observed reaction products can be rationalized in terms of the following equations:

\[
2\text{ClF}_2\text{O} + 2\text{F}^- \rightarrow 2\text{ClF}_5 + \text{O}_2
\]

\[
\text{ClF}_3 \rightarrow \text{ClF}_2 + \text{F}_2
\]

\[
\text{ClF}_3 \rightarrow \text{ClF} + \text{F}_2
\]

The formation of ClO\(_2\)F could be due to the following reaction:

\[
2\text{ClF}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{ClO}_2^+ + \text{ClF}_3
\]

and, to a lesser degree, to the interaction between ClF\(_2\)O and incompletely passivated sections of the vacuum system.
SYNTHESIS OF NEW OXIDIZING CATIONS

The successful synthesis of $\text{NF}_4^+$ containing salts from

$$\text{NF}_3 + \text{F}_2 + \text{AsF}_5 \rightarrow \text{NF}_4^+\text{AsF}_6^-$$

by either glow discharge (Ref. 5 through 7) or thermal activation (Ref. 8 and 9) suggests the same approach toward the synthesis of salts containing novel cations. Of the many possible cations the preparation of $\text{ClF}_6^+$, $\text{BrF}_6^+$, $\text{ClF}_4^+$, $\text{OF}_3^+$, and $\text{ArF}^+$ was attempted during the past period.

The $\text{ClF}_6^+$ Cation

Attempts were made to synthesize the $\text{BF}_4^-$, $\text{AsF}_6^-$, and $\text{SbF}_6^-$ salts of $\text{ClF}_6^+$. In the case of $\text{BF}_4^-$ the glow discharge technique was chosen since $\text{BF}_3$ and $\text{ClF}_5$ do not form a complex with each other. When a mixture of $\text{ClF}_5$, $\text{F}_2$, and $\text{BF}_3$ in a mole ratio of 1:1.4:1 was exposed to glow discharge at $-78^\circ\text{C}$, a white solid was formed on the cold walls of the reaction vessel. Upon warming, the solid decomposed below room temperature, yielding an equimolar mixture of $\text{ClF}_3$ and $\text{BF}_4$. Hence, the solid complex must have been $\text{ClF}_2^+\text{BF}_4^-$ (Ref. 10 through 12). The formation of $\text{ClF}_2^+\text{BF}_4^-$ is not surprising since in the glow discharge the following equilibrium exists:

$$\text{ClF}_5 \Rightarrow \text{ClF}_3 + \text{F}_2$$

Because $\text{ClF}_3$ is removed continuously from the gas phase by complex formation with $\text{BF}_3$, the equilibrium is shifted toward the right side.

An attempt to achieve the synthesis of $\text{ClF}_6^+\text{BF}_4^-$ by the high-temperature/high-pressure technique was unsuccessful. When a mixture of $\text{BF}_3$, $\text{F}_2$, and $\text{ClF}_5$ in a mole ratio of 1:2.7:2 was heated in a Monel cylinder to 95°C for 160 hours under an autogenous pressure of 450 psi, no solid formation was observed.

R-7723
Preliminary data on the synthesis of $\text{ClF}_6^+\text{AsF}_6^-$ had been reported in our last report (Ref. 3). Four additional experiments were carried out in the mean time for the $\text{ClF}_5^-, \text{F}_2^-, \text{AsF}_5^-$ system. However, the preliminary data could not be reproduced. Variation of the mole ratio of the starting materials, pressure, heating time, and reaction temperature did not result in the formation of the desired salt, $\text{ClF}_6^+\text{AsF}_6^-$. Table 2 summarizes the data obtained in these four runs in addition to those obtained in the original experiment.

Similar attempts to substantiate the existence of a $\text{ClF}_6^+\text{SbF}_6^-\cdot x\text{SbF}_5$ salt failed. Three experiments were carried out in addition to the one described in Ref. 3. The results of these runs are summarized in Table 3.

The fact that in run 2 (Table 3) $\text{SbF}_6^-$ and not $\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}^-$ or higher polymeric anions had formed was not surprising since $\text{ClF}_5^-$ had been used in excess. Also, at higher temperatures, the formation of $\text{SbF}_6^-$ is favored over that of the polymeric anions. Again the breakdown of $\text{ClF}_5^-$ was observed as discussed previously and was accompanied by shifting of the equilibrium. It appears that Lewis acids or bases may possibly catalyze the decomposition of $\text{ClF}_5^-$ into lower fluorides plus fluorine.

The products of runs 1 and 4 were very similar as far as their infrared spectra were concerned. In run 4, about 2 grams of the solid had deposited in the upper section of the reaction vessel. This material seemed to be of high purity, and its infrared spectrum indicated the absence of $\text{ClF}_4^+$ salt. The spectrum was nearly identical with that of the product of run 1 after subjecting it to pyrolysis in vacuo at 180°C. This compound, when mixed with a fourfold excess of finely powdered, dry CsF and heated in vacuo, yielded $\text{ClF}_3$ as the only volatile product. Thus, it appears that the product of run 1 (showing an infrared spectrum similar to that of run 1 in the $\text{AsF}_5^-\text{F}_2^-\text{ClF}_5^-$ system and similar to that of the product ascribed by the Midwest Research Institute Group to $\text{ClF}_6^+\text{BiF}_6^-$, Ref. 13, is rather $\text{ClF}_2^+\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}^-$, and not a $\text{ClF}_6^+$ containing salt.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Mole Ratio, ClF₅:F₂:AsF₅</th>
<th>Temperature, °C</th>
<th>Time, days</th>
<th>Pressure, psi</th>
<th>F₂ Consumption, % Based on ClF₅</th>
<th>Solid Product, g</th>
<th>IR Spectrum, cm⁻¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2:2:1</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>821, 767, 695, 608, 516, 450, 400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:2:1</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>19% of F₂</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>IR: Mainly Ni⁺⁺, Cu⁺⁺ AsF₆⁻ Salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Generated by Decomposition of ClF₅ → ClF₃ + F₂</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.5:8.5:1</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5:8.5:1</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>&lt; 0.3</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>IR: ClO₂⁺AsF₆⁻</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1:5:2</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>IR: ClO₂⁺AsF₆⁻ + Ni⁺⁺ and Cu⁺⁺ Salts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Run No.</td>
<td>Mole Ratio, ClF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;:F&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;:SbF&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Temperature, °C</td>
<td>Time, days</td>
<td>Pressure, psi</td>
<td>F&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Consumption, Mole % Based on ClF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>Solid Product</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1:5:3</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>55 ClF&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;Sb&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;F&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt; + ClF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;Sb&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;F&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt; + Ni&lt;sup&gt;++&lt;/sup&gt;Cu&lt;sup&gt;++&lt;/sup&gt;Sb&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;F&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2:5:1</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Amount of F&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; equivalent to SbF&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; was generated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1:5:3</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>0 Was not Investigated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1:5:3</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>33 ClF&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;Sb&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;F&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt; + ClF&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;Sb&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;F&lt;sub&gt;11&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE 3

ClF<sub>5</sub> - F<sub>2</sub> - SbF<sub>5</sub> SYSTEM
This conclusion is further supported by the Raman spectrum of the solid. It shows, in addition to the bands characteristic for the Sb\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{11}\textsuperscript{−} anion, only bands coinciding with those of the infrared spectrum. In the case of an octahedral CIF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{+} cation, these bands should be mutually exclusive. Furthermore, the frequency shift of only about 10 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 appears too small for the antisymmetric stretching vibration of the hypothetical CIF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{+} cation when compared to that of CIF\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} and CIF\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+}. However, this shift of 10 cm\textsuperscript{−}1 could well be due to the change in anion when comparing CIF\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+}SbF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{−} with CIF\textsubscript{2}Sb\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{11}\textsuperscript{−}.

Summarizing, it can be said that no data could be obtained supporting the existence of the postulated CIF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{+} salts. Many of the properties of those compounds can be accounted for on the basis of the CIF\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+} cation combined with polymeric anions.

The BrF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{+} Cation

The preparation of BrF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{+} SbF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{−}·xSbF\textsubscript{6} was attempted using the high-pressure/high-temperature method. A mixture of BrF\textsubscript{5}, F\textsubscript{2}, and SbF\textsubscript{5} in a mole ratio of 1:5:3 was heated to 140 °C for 5 days under an autogenous pressure of 1000 psi. The F\textsubscript{2} consumption was 41 percent, based on BrF\textsubscript{5}. Spectroscopic investigation of the solid reaction product showed it to consist essentially of BrF\textsubscript{5}·2SbF\textsubscript{5} complex in addition to some Ni\textsuperscript{++} and Cu\textsuperscript{++} fluoroantimonates. A sample of BrF\textsubscript{5}·2SbF\textsubscript{5} was prepared from BrF\textsubscript{5} and SbF\textsubscript{5} and characterized for comparison.

The CIF\textsubscript{4}O\textsuperscript{+} Cation

A mixture of CIF\textsubscript{3}O, F\textsubscript{2}, and SbF\textsubscript{5} in a mole ratio of 1:10:5 was heated to 135 °C for 6 days under an autogenous pressure of 600 psi. The F\textsubscript{2} consumption was 43 percent, based on CIF\textsubscript{3}O. Spectroscopic investigation of the solid residue showed it to be mainly CIF\textsubscript{2}O·SbF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{−} (after removing excess of SbF\textsubscript{5} at 130 °C in vacuo) in addition to some Sb\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{11}\textsuperscript{−} and Ni\textsuperscript{++} and Cu\textsuperscript{++} salts. No evidence for the formation of the desired salt, CIF\textsubscript{4}O\textsuperscript{+} SbF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{−}, was obtained.
The ArF$^+$ Cation

Argon, F$_2$, and SbF$_5$ in a mole ratio of 1:2:1 were combined in a Monel cylinder and heated to 135°C for 6 days under an autogenous pressure of 800 psi. Fluorine and Ar were recovered in nearly quantitative yield and no evidence for the formation of the desired ArF$^+$SbF$_6^-$ complex was obtained.

The OF$_2^+$ Cation

A mixture of OF$_2$, F$_2$, and SbF$_5$ in a mole ratio of 1:5:3 was heated to 175°C for 42 days under an autogenous pressure of 600 psi. After removal of the material volatile at ambient temperature, 8.6 g of a solid was obtained, which was characterized by elemental analysis, infrared, Raman, and ESR spectroscopy as a mixture of Ni(SbF$_{11}$)$_2$, Cu(SbF$_{11}$)$_2$, and O$_2$Sb$_2$F$_{11}$. The formation of O$_2$Sb$_2$F$_{11}^-$ in this reaction is not surprising since OF$_2$ is known (Ref. 14) to interact with SbF$_5$ according to:

$$40F_2 + 2SbF_5 \rightarrow 20F_2^+ + SbF_6^- + 3F_2$$

The Raman band observed for O$_2^+$ at 1865 cm$^{-1}$ agrees well with the value of 1862 cm$^{-1}$ recently reported for O$_2^+$SbF$_6^-$ (Ref. 15). It should be noted that the frequencies reported in the literature for Sb$_{2,11}F$ (Ref. 16 and 17) appear to be erroneous.

STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATIONS

Raman Spectrum of Liquid ClF$_3$O

The Raman spectrum of liquid ClF$_3$O was recorded and the degree of depolarization of the bands was measured. The number of observed bands and their depolarization agrees only with the trigonal bipyramide model of symmetry C$_3$ suggested earlier (Ref. 3).
The suggested occurrence of a double and triple coincidence of bands in the infrared spectrum (Ref. 3) at 680 and 490 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively, was confirmed. Table 4 lists the observed frequencies together with their assignment.

**Vibrational Spectra of the ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\) Cation**

The Raman spectrum of ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\)AsF\(_6\)\(^-\) and the infrared spectrum of ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\)BF\(_4\)\(^-\) and ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\)SbF\(_6\)\(^-\) have been recorded. The tentative assignments for ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\) suggested in the previous report (Ref. 3) on the basis of the incomplete infrared spectrum of ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\)AsF\(_6\)\(^-\) were confirmed. The two missing fundamentals, \(\nu_4\) and \(\nu_6\), were shown to occur at 371 and 406 cm\(^{-1}\) respectively. The observed data confirm that ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\) has symmetry C\(_5\); i.e., its structure is derived from a tetrahedron with a localized free electron pair occupying one corner.

Table 5 shows the observed frequencies together with their assignments. Comparison of the data obtained for ClF\(_2\)O\(^+\) with those reported (Ref. 18) for isoelectronic SOF\(_2\) shows excellent agreement.

**Vibrational Spectra of the ClF\(_4\)O\(^-\) Anion**

The far infrared and Raman spectra of Rb\(^+\)ClF\(_4\)O\(^-\) and Cs\(^+\)ClF\(_4\)O\(^-\) have been recorded. Table 6 lists the observed frequencies together with their assignments for point group C\(_{4v}\). For comparison, the vibrational spectra of isoelectronic XeOF\(_4\)\(^-\) (Ref. 19), ClF\(_4\)\(^-\) (Ref. 20), and ClF\(_5\)\(^-\) (Ref. 19 and 20) are included. The agreement between the spectra of these compounds is relatively good (except for the \(430\) cm\(^{-1}\) infrared band of ClF\(_4\)\(^-\), which is probably incorrect). There is no doubt that ClF\(_4\)O\(^-\) has the structure suggested previously (Ref. 3) on the basis of the incomplete infrared spectrum:

![Diagram](image_url)
### Table 4

**Vibrational Spectrum of CIF₃**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IR Gas</th>
<th>RA Liquid</th>
<th>Assignment (Cs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1227.8</td>
<td>1229 (1) pol.</td>
<td>( \nu_1 (a') \nu_{Cl=0} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1224.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1218.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1215.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700.5</td>
<td>702 (3) pol.</td>
<td>( \nu_2 (a') \nu_{Cl-F , eq.} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>too weak to be observed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>684.4</td>
<td>vs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>675.6</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \nu_7 (a'') \nu_{as , F-Cl-F , ax.} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501</td>
<td>494 (0.1) pol.</td>
<td>( \nu_4 (a') \delta F-Cl-0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491</td>
<td>478 (3) pol.</td>
<td>( \nu_3 (a') \nu_{sym , F-Cl-F , ax.} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>482</td>
<td>456 (6) pol.</td>
<td>( \nu_8 (a'') \delta_{wag} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>462 (10) dp</td>
<td>( \nu_5 (a') \delta F-Cl-F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412</td>
<td>407 (0.2) pol.</td>
<td>( \nu_6 (a') \delta F-Cl-F )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \nu_9 (a'') \tau )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>323</td>
<td>316 (0.2) pol.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>313</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245</td>
<td>252 (1) dp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed</strong></td>
<td><strong>Calculated</strong></td>
<td><strong>Assignment</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>899 ( \nu_w ) = 491 + 408 = 899</td>
<td>( \nu_5 + \nu_5 ) or ( \nu_4 + \nu_5 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>980 ( \nu_7 ) = 2 x 491 = 982</td>
<td>2 x ( \nu_5 ), 2 x ( \nu_4 ), ( \nu_3 + \nu_5 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1161 ( \nu_{mw} ) = 491 + 676 = 1167</td>
<td>( \nu_5 + \nu_7 ), ( \nu_4 + \nu_7 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1372 ( \nu_{mw} ) = 676 + 700 = 1376</td>
<td>( \nu_7 + \nu_2 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1899 ( \nu_w ) = 676 + 1221 = 1897</td>
<td>( \nu_7 + \nu_1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2436 ( \nu_1 ) = 2 x 1221 = 2442</td>
<td>2 x ( \nu_1 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## TABLE 5

**VIBRATIONAL SPECTRA OF ClF$_2$O$^+$ SALTS COMPARISON**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SOF$_2$</th>
<th>ClF$_2$O$^+$BF$_4^-$</th>
<th>ClF$_2$O$^+$AsF$_6^-$</th>
<th>ClF$_2$O$^+$H$_2$O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Observed Frequencies, cm$^{-1}$</strong></td>
<td><strong>IR (g)</strong></td>
<td><strong>RA (g)</strong></td>
<td><strong>RA (1)</strong></td>
<td><strong>IR</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1341 s</td>
<td>1339 (10)</td>
<td>1308 (10)</td>
<td>1334 s</td>
<td>1331 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1331</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1322 mw</td>
<td>1319 mw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1295 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1150-980 vs, br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>820 sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>808 s</td>
<td>808 (10)</td>
<td>804 (6)</td>
<td>737 m</td>
<td>750 s, br</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>747 vs</td>
<td>747 (4)</td>
<td>716 (6)</td>
<td>692 s</td>
<td>690 vs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>690 vs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>550 w</td>
<td>530 (8)</td>
<td>528 (8)</td>
<td>512 s</td>
<td>511 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>393 w</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>399 (7)</td>
<td>405 mw</td>
<td>400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>400 s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>378 vs</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>380 (5)</td>
<td>374 sh</td>
<td>371 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 5
OF CILF₂O⁺ SALTS COMPared TO THAT OF SOF₂

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th>OXF₂ (C₃)</th>
<th>YF₆⁻ (O₃h)</th>
<th>BF₄⁻ (T₄d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ν₁ (A'')</td>
<td>ν₂ (A'')</td>
<td>ν₂ + ν₆ (F₁u + F₂g)</td>
<td>ν₃ (F₁u)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν₃ (A'')</td>
<td>ν₄ (F₁u)</td>
<td>ν₅ (F₂g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν₁ (O₃h)</td>
<td>ν₂ (E₈)</td>
<td>ν₄ (F₂g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ν₂ (T₄d)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RA</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>Assignment</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1333 (2)</td>
<td>1331 s</td>
<td>ν₁ (A')</td>
<td>ν₁ + ν₄ (F₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1320 (1)</td>
<td>1319 mw</td>
<td></td>
<td>ν₃ (F₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>758 (3)</td>
<td>745 s</td>
<td>ν₂ (A')</td>
<td>ν₁ (A₁)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>696 (1)</td>
<td>710 s</td>
<td>ν₃ (A'')</td>
<td>ν₁ (A₁g)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>674 (10)</td>
<td>658</td>
<td>ν₃ (F₁u)</td>
<td>ν₂ (E₈)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>563 (3)</td>
<td>559 mw</td>
<td>ν₄ (F₁u)</td>
<td>ν₄ (F₂)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>511 (2)</td>
<td>509 m</td>
<td>ν₃ (A')</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>406 (2)</td>
<td>402 mw</td>
<td>ν₆ (A'')</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ν₄ (A')</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>371 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ν₅ (F₂g)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE 6
VIBRATIONAL SPECTRA OF C1F₄₀⁻ SALTS COMPARED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>C1F₅⁻</th>
<th>C1F₄⁻</th>
<th>Rb⁺C1F₄₀⁻</th>
<th>Cs⁺C</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>RA</th>
<th>IR</th>
<th>RA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[712]</td>
<td>709 (3)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>1208 m</td>
<td>1211 (0.7)</td>
<td>1197 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>732 vs</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>745 vs</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>590 vs, br</td>
<td>592 (0.1)</td>
<td>580 vs, br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>[480]</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>550 vs, br</td>
<td>548 (0.5)</td>
<td>550 vs, br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>541 m</td>
<td>538 (1)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>505 (10)</td>
<td>462 w</td>
<td>461 (10.0)</td>
<td>463 mw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>486 s</td>
<td>480 (10)</td>
<td>486 s</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>416 m</td>
<td>414 (2.3)</td>
<td>415 m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>(346 calculated)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>(393) (0.1)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>480 (10)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>417 (10)</td>
<td>349 w</td>
<td>347 (4.1)</td>
<td>347 w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>375 (1)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>288 (1)</td>
<td>285 vw</td>
<td>283 (1.5)</td>
<td>284 vw</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>302 s</td>
<td>296 (0+)</td>
<td>430 mw</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>(--)</td>
<td>207 (0.8)</td>
<td>(--)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cs⁺ClF₄O⁻</td>
<td></td>
<td>XeOF₄</td>
<td>Assignment for XOF₄ for Point Group C₄ᵥ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td>IR</td>
<td>RA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1197 m</td>
<td>1201 (0.8)</td>
<td>926 s</td>
<td>920 (2)</td>
<td>ν₁ (A₁) ν X = 0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>580 vs, br</td>
<td>589 (0.1)</td>
<td>608 vs (w)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ν₇ (E) ν₅ X₂F₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>550 vs, br</td>
<td>559 (1.4)</td>
<td>361 s</td>
<td>365 (2)</td>
<td>ν₅ (E) δ X₂O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>463 mw</td>
<td>458 (10.0)</td>
<td>576 m</td>
<td>567 (10)</td>
<td>ν₂ (A₁) ν₂ X₂F₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>415 m</td>
<td>413 (4.4)</td>
<td>294 s</td>
<td>285 (0+)</td>
<td>ν₃ (A₁) δ₃ out of plane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>394 (0.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>230 (calculated)</td>
<td>ν₅ (B₁) δ₅ as out of plane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>347 w</td>
<td>347 (8.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td>527 (4)</td>
<td>ν₄ (B₁) ν₄ X₂F₄</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>284 vw</td>
<td>282 (0.6)</td>
<td></td>
<td>233 (1)</td>
<td>ν₆ (B₂) δ₆ in plane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>198 (0.7)</td>
<td>(-)</td>
<td>161 (0+)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ν₉ (E) δ₉ asp in plane</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The table compares the infrared spectra of Cs⁺ClF₄O⁻ to those of XeOF₄, CIF₄⁻, and CIF₅. The assignments are made based on the point group C₄ᵥ.
Vibrational Spectra of ClO$_2^+$AsF$_6^-$

The Raman spectrum of ClO$_2^+$AsF$_6^-$ has been recorded. It confirms the C$_{2v}$ structure suggested previously (Ref. 3) for ClO$_2^+$. The observed frequencies together with their assignment are listed in Table 7. For comparison, the spectrum of isoelectronic SO$_2$ (Ref. 21) is included in Table 7.

The Cl$^{35}$-Cl$^{37}$ isotope splitting has been determined for ClO$_2^+$ under high resolution conditions. It was found to be 13.96 ±0.20 cm$^{-1}$ for v$_3$ and 5.55 ±0.10 cm$^{-1}$ for v$_1$. These data will allow the calculation of a Valence Force Field for ClO$_2^+$.

C10$_2^+$BF$_4^-$

The formation of the 1:1 complex of FClO$_2$ and BF$_3$ has been reported, but without quantitative data (Ref. 22). The preparation was repeated and it was verified that the material is indeed an equimolar complex. Dissociation pressure measurements at several temperatures were made to arrive at an indication of the relative Lewis base strength of this complex compared to related chlorine fluoride and chlorine oxyfluoride materials. The order established from these data and those reported for related complexes (Ref. 2 and 23) is in order of increasing stability:

CIF$_3$ ≈ ClF ≈ ClF$_3$ ≈ FClO$_2$ ≈ ClF$_3$O

Perchloryl fluoride does not form complexes with Lewis acids and no data on fluorine perchlorate have been reported.

The dissociation pressure/temperature data for ClO$_2^+$BF$_4^-$ are represented by the equation, log p$_{mm}$ = 11.1482 - 2623.1/T. Extrapolation gives a dissociation pressure of 760 mm at 44.1 C. A $\Delta H^0$ = 24.01 Kcal mole$^{-1}$ was obtained from the slope of the log p$_{mm}$ vs T$^{-1}$ curve. From the $\Delta F^0_{298} = -RT\ln K$ (atm) relation, a free energy change $\Delta F^0_{298} = 2.26$ Kcal mole$^{-1}$ was calculated. An entropy change $\Delta S^0_{298} = 72.92$ cal deg$^{-1}$ mole$^{-1}$ was obtained.
**TABLE 7**

VIBRATIONAL SPECTRUM OF $\text{CO}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^-$ COMPARED TO THAT OF $\text{SO}_2$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Observed Frequencies, cm$^{-1}$</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{SO}_2$</td>
<td>$\text{ClO}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^-$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IR</td>
<td>IR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1362</td>
<td>1296 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1282 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1151</td>
<td>1044 mw</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1038 w</td>
<td>1040 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>819 vw</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691 vs</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>684 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>566 mw</td>
<td>570 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>518</td>
<td>521 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 s</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--</td>
<td>372 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from $\Delta S_T^0 = (\Delta H^0 - \Delta F_T^0) T^{-1}$. A heat of formation for the solid complex, 
$\text{ClO}_2^+$-$\text{BF}_3^-$, was calculated as $\Delta H_{f298}^0 = -303 \text{ kcal mole}^{-1}$ using an estimated 
$\Delta H_{f298}^0$ for $\text{FClO}_2(g) = -7 \text{ kcal mole}^{-1}$. The heat of dissociation for the 
$\text{FClO}_2$-$\text{BF}_3$ complex is comparable to that of other reported chlorine 
fluoride-Lewis acid complexes (Ref. 3 and 24). These data, together with 
the low-temperature infrared spectrum of the $\text{ClO}_2$-$\text{BF}_3$ adduct prove that 
this material also is ionic, and not a simple associated adduct as has 
been speculated (Ref. 25).
Although several iodine oxyfluoride compositions have been reported (Ref. 26) very little information is available on the nature and chemistry of the compounds. Preliminary work to obtain this information was reported (Ref. 3) on the supposed IOF$_3$. The preparative reaction is:

$$I_2O_5 + 3IF_5 \xrightarrow{\Delta} 5IOF_3$$

It has not been possible to achieve the complete conversion indicated in the equation. Weight gains corresponding to 75 to 85 percent of the theory were the maximum values obtained, and those only when purified IF$_5$ was used. The product obtained, although undoubtedly not pure IOF$_3$, does exhibit a distinct infrared spectrum whose principal bands are consistent with the formulation $IO_2^+IF_6^-$. 

The best conversions to IOF$_3$ were obtained on heating at 110°C for approximately 1 week, followed by cooling to room temperature for 2 days or more prior to removal of the excess IF$_5$. Attempts to speed this process were made by eliminating the IF$_5$ purification, raising the reaction temperature to 135°C, and shortening the time. Reactions carried out in this manner failed to yield any appreciable amounts of IOF$_3$ but gave slightly impure FIO$_2$. Purification of the FIO$_2$ was effected by pyrolysis at 110°C. Pyrolysis of IOF$_3$ at 110°C in vacuo also resulted in the formation of FIO$_2$ by liberation of IF$_5$. The infrared spectra (Table 8) and fluorine analyses of the FIO$_2$ prepared in these two ways were the same. The analyses for the two samples gave F = 10.77 and 11.02, respectively, compared to the theoretical F = 10.67 for FIO$_2$. The only difference in the samples was one of color, the IOF$_3$ pyrolysis product being white, while the sample synthesized directly has a light tan color. The direct preparation of FIO$_2$ has been successfully run on a 7-g scale.
Attempts have been made to prepare derivatives of FIO$_2$ as a means of chemical characterization. In addition, the derivatives could provide information on the spectral characteristics of the various iodine oxide and oxyfluoride ions and, thereby, aid in the overall identification of species in this series of materials. For example, with arsenic pentafluoride, a probable reaction is:

$$\text{FIO}_2 + \text{AsF}_5 \rightarrow \text{IO}_2^+ \text{AsF}_6^-$$

This iodyl cation complex would serve to identify the infrared bands attributable to the $\text{IO}_2^+$ ion because those of the $\text{AsF}_6^-$ ion are well known.

Efforts to prepare this complex have been made with and without a solvent. With the solvents CH$_3$CN and CCl$_4$, it was observed that a slow reaction occurred at ambient temperature, causing discoloration of the solvent but with little $\text{AsF}_5$ uptake. Analysis of the gaseous products indicated that either or both the FIO$_2$ and AsF$_5$ had reacted with the solvent. With CH$_3$CN, only a pasty brown solid was recovered. Similar results were found when BF$_3$ was used in place of AsF$_5$. Experiments with other solvents such as HF and CFCI$_3$ are planned.
Although it has been stated that \( \text{FIO}_2 \) does not react with \( \text{AsF}_5 \) (Ref. 27) without solvent, this is not totally correct. A sample of \( \text{FIO}_2 \) with a twofold excess of \( \text{AsF}_5 \) has been found to be slowly reacting. After 5 weeks, 62 percent of the \( \text{AsF}_5 \) theoretically needed for a 1:1 complex has been absorbed. This reaction is continuing to obtain a more quantitative conversion. A reasonably pure product from the reaction will be of assistance in confirming the nature of the solvent-produced complexes.

The reaction of \( \text{FIO}_2 \) with \( \text{FNO} \) was examined to determine if the \( \text{IO}_2\text{F}_2^- \) ion could be readily attained:

\[
\text{FIO}_2 + \text{FNO} \rightarrow \text{NO}^+ \text{IO}_2\text{F}_2^-
\]

Such a species could function as a suitable precursor to the unknown compound, \( \text{IP}_3\text{O}_2^- \). Mixing of the materials between -80 and 0 °C resulted in a gradual formation of \( \text{NO}_2 \). The formation of \( \text{NO}_2 \) indicated that fluorination of the \( \text{FIO}_2 \) occurred, but was accompanied by oxygen abstraction from the \( \text{FIO}_2 \). No volatile I-F or I-O-F compounds were noted. Thus, any fluorination of \( \text{FIO}_2 \) or an ionic species such as \( \text{IO}_2\text{F}_2^- \) must be done with mild fluorinating agents that do not have an affinity for oxygen. Possible agents are \( \text{KrF}_2 \) and \( \text{CF}_3\text{OF} \).

**REACTIONS OF CIF**

Chlorine monofluoride has been shown (Ref. 3) to be capable of oxidation and substitution reactions in which chlorine is retained in the product. Continuing interest in the chemistry of this and other halogen fluorides prompted an examination of the reaction of \( \text{CIF} \) and \( \text{SOF}_2 \) as a possible route to the unknown, unsymmetrical compound \( \text{SOF}_3\text{Cl} \):

\[
\text{SOF}_2 + \text{CIF} \rightarrow \text{SOF}_3\text{Cl}
\]
Such a compound might be expected to be unstable and disproportionate as follows:

\[ 2\text{SOF}_2\text{Cl} \rightarrow \text{SO}_2\text{FCl} + \text{SF}_2\text{Cl} \]

This reaction was examined at -80°C and at room temperature with SOF\(_2\) and ClF in 1:1 and later 1:2 stoichiometries. It was found, uniformly, that SOF\(_4\) was the only new S-O-F compound produced. Thus, with 1:1 reactant conditions, one-half the SOF\(_2\) was converted to SOF\(_4\) and the remainder recovered unchanged. With 1:2 reactant mixtures a nearly quantitative conversion to SOF\(_4\) was achieved:

\[ \text{SOF}_2 + 2\text{ClF} \rightarrow \text{SOF}_4 + \text{Cl}_2 \]

No indication for an unsymmetrical product or disproportionation products was found.

The ability of ClF to effect the above-noted oxidation readily, even at -80°C, exemplifies its strong oxidizing power. Previous fluorinations of SOF\(_2\) to SOF\(_4\) have been reported only with elemental fluorine alone (Ref. 28) or in the presence of AgF\(_2\) (Ref. 29). Thus, this reaction is the first reported in which a practical synthesis of SOF\(_4\) is achieved without the use of elementary fluorine.

The interaction of SO\(_2\) and ClF also was examined as a method of preparing ClSO\(_2\)F. The desired reaction was realized; a near-quantitative conversion was obtained on warming a mixture of SO\(_2\) and excess ClF from -196°C to ambient temperature:

\[ \text{SO}_2 + \text{ClF} \rightarrow \text{ClSO}_2\text{F} \]

Only a negligible quantity of SO\(_2\)F\(_2\) was found and, thus, ClF must react much faster with SO\(_2\) than with the product ClSO\(_2\)F. The simplicity and excellent results of this procedure make it an attractive alternative to previously reported synthesis of ClSO\(_2\)F (Ref. 26).
GENERAL CONSIDERATIONS

The experimental work, unless otherwise mentioned, was carried out in metal/Teflon vacuum systems and in the inert atmosphere of glove boxes. The fluorine and chlorine pentafluorine were produced in Rocketdyne's pilot plant. The arsenic and antimony pentafluoride were obtained from Ozark-Mahoning and were purified by distillation or vacuum condensation before use.

GLOW DISCHARGE FLUORINATION

A Pyrex discharge tube with copper electrodes and Fisher-Porter valves was built into a closed-loop system containing an all-glass/Teflon recirculating pump (Ref. 30) and a Heise gage for pressure measurement. Fluorine was metered into the loop, as required, to maintain the desired pressure. The discharge tube and connecting lines were passivated and dried by treatment (three times) with \( \text{ClF}_3 \). The substrate to be used was freshly fractionated before a measured amount was frozen at \(-196\,\text{C}\) into the bottom of the tube, which placed it on the walls in the discharge zone. A 15,000-volt neon transformer was used as a voltage source. Preliminary experiments (Table 9) indicated that the best fluorination results were obtained at unreduced house-line inputs. No contact of the materials with unpassivated metal or surfaces exposed to the atmosphere occurred at any point in the experiment.

CATIONIC FLUORINATIONS

Arsenic pentafluoride complexes of \( \text{ClF}_0 \) and \( \text{FCl}_2 \) were prepared and determined to be 1:1 salts. Weighed samples of the salts were placed in 95-ml, Monel cylinders that had been prepassivated. Fluorine was measured and loaded into the cylinders by condensation at \(-196\,\text{C}\). The closed cylinders were then heated in an oven at \(140\,\text{C}\) for 1 to 2 weeks. After removal of \(-196\,\text{C}\) noncondensables, the condensable products were vacuum fractionated and analyzed. The solids were visually examined and infrared samples prepared in the glove box.
### TABLE 9

**GLOW DISCHARGE FLUORINATIONS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No. 1</th>
<th>Substrate Used</th>
<th>Amount Used, cc</th>
<th>Time, hours</th>
<th>F&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt; Pressure, mm</th>
<th>Input Voltage</th>
<th>Substrate Recovered, percent</th>
<th>Other Cl Products, percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>ClF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>77.5</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2**</td>
<td>ClF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>ClF&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>84.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>FC10&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>55.5</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FC10&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>72.9</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>83.5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>FC10&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>75.6</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>FC10&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>79.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*NOTE: SiF<sub>4</sub> and O<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> was formed in all reactions, with some CF<sub>4</sub> and SF<sub>6</sub>*

*Average pressure ±5 mm

**System leak during workup**
FLUORINATION OF SF₂OCl

The SF₂OCl was purified by fractional condensation prior to use and 1.79 mmol of SF₂OCl were combined at -196°C with 15.4 mmol of fluorine in a passivated 30-ml stainless-steel cylinder. The cylinder was kept for 47 days at -78°C. The volatiles at -196°C were removed and the contents of the cylinder subjected to fractional condensation through a series of -78, -93, -142, and -196°C traps. Except for a trace of SF₄O, only unreacted starting materials were recovered.

In a second experiment, the contents of the cylinder were kept for 10 days at room temperature. Again, only unreacted SF₂OCl and a trace of SF₄O were recovered.

FLUORINATION OF CIF₄O⁻ SALTS

All fluorinations were carried out in passivated 30-ml stainless-steel cylinders at a fluorine pressure between 600 and 800 psi. The heating periods were always from 1 to 5 days. The reaction products were worked up by fractional condensation in the vacuum line, measured volumetrically, and identified by infrared spectroscopy.

SYNTHESIS OF NEW OXIDIZING CATIONS

The experimental technique used for all these reactions were basically the same. Hence, only a general description of the technique will be given. Compounds were identified by vibrational spectroscopy and elemental analysis. The materials balances were always checked by measuring volatile products volumetrically and by weighing the nonvolatiles. Generally, the material balances were quite good.
Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer 337 and a Beckman IR-7 spectrophotometer with NaCl and CsI interchange. For gases, a 5-cm Monel cell equipped with AgCl windows was used. In the case of solids, the dry-powder technique between thin AgCl plates was applied. Raman spectra were recorded using a Spectra-Physics model 125 laser as a source of \( \sim 80 \) mw of exciting light at 6328 \( \AA \) and a Baird-type 1310 interference filter. The scattered light was analyzed with a Spex model 1400 double monochromator, a photomultiplier cooled to \(-25^\circ\) C, and a d-c ammeter. Pyrex glass tubes (7-mm OD), with a hollow inside glass cone for variable sample thicknesses, were used as sample containers. All reactions were carried out in Monel reactors equipped with pressure gages. The cylinders were heated by placing them in an electrically heated furnace.

STRUCTURAL INVESTIGATIONS

The instruments and sampling techniques used were described in the previous paragraph. The stainless-steel cell used for recording the Raman spectrum of liquid \( \text{ClF}_3 \) had Teflon O-rings and sapphire windows. Its design is similar to that of a cell described in the literature (Ref. 31). Polarization measurements were carried out using a Model 310 polarization rotator from Spectra-Physics.

PREPARATION OF \( \text{ClF}_2^+ \text{BF}_4^- \)

Measured quantities of \( \text{ClF}_3 \) (72.1 cc, 3.22 mmole) and \( \text{BF}_3 \) (80.2 cc, 3.58 mmole) were separately condensed into a Teflon/stainless-steel traps attached to a Heise gage. On warming to ambient temperature, a white solid formed that was pumped on at ambient temperature for a short time. The volatile material recovered during this pumping was pure \( \text{BF}_3 \) (7.1 cc, 0.32 mmole), as indicated by its infrared spectrum. Thus, the reaction gave a 1:1 complex as indicated by the observed \( \text{ClF}_3: \text{BF}_3 \) combining ratio of 1:1.04. After standing at ambient temperature for some time, no pressure over the solid was detected.
PREPARATION OF C102$^+ \cdot$ BF$_4^-$

Measured quantities of FC10$_2$ (42.2 cc, 1.88 mmole) and BF$_3$ (64.0 cc, 2.86 mmole) were condensed into a prepassivated, Teflon, stainless-steel traps attached to a Heise gage. The total volume was approximately 75 cc. On warming to -78 C and higher, a white solid was formed. After recooling to -78 C, the solid was pumped on until no additional material was removed. In this way, 21.0 cc (0.94 mmole) of IF$_3$ was obtained. Thus, the solid was found to be an equimolar complex of FC10$_2$ and BF$_3$, as the observed combining ratio was 1:1.02. The complex dissociated on warming. Dissociation pressures were measured with the Heise gage at several temperatures obtained by slush bath cooling and measured by thermocouple. The observed dissociation pressure/temperature values were (given as T*K, mm Hg): 249.9, 4.5; 261.6, 13; 273.2, 35; 280.4, 62; 286.4, 98; 288.2, 112; and 295.3, 182. A second, larger preparation on which dissociation pressure/temperature readings were made confirmed these values within experimental error.

REACTIONS OF ClF

Thimyyl fluoride was prepared from thionyl chloride and sodium fluoride in acetonitrile (Ref. 32). A 10-ml prepassivated cylinder was loaded with 48.5 cc (2.16 mmole) of SOF$_2$ and an equal amount of freshly fractionated ClI at -196 C. The closed cylinder was allowed to warm to room temperature overnight before vacuum fractionation of the products was begun. Based on 1SOF$_2$ for 2ClI, 72 percent of the SOF$_2$ reacted and was 91 percent converted to SOF$_4$. In a reaction employing the 1:2 stoichiometry and the above general conditions, SOF$_2$ (4.55 mmole) was converted to SOF$_4$$_2$ (4.58 mmole), i.e., 96 percent. An additional experiment in which a 1:1 stoichiometry was again used, but with the temperature kept at -80 C (4 weeks), a near-quantitative conversion of one-half of the SOF$_2$ to SOF$_4$ was obtained.
Chlorine monofluoride (162 cc, 7.2 mmole) and $\text{SO}_2$ (127 cc, 5.67 mmole) were condensed into a 30-ml prepassivated cylinder at -196°C. The reactor was then allowed to warm to room temperature over a 3-hour period. Two vacuum fractionations yielded pure $\text{ClSO}_2\text{F}$ (126 cc, 5.62 mmole), i.e., 99 percent. Only a trace of $\text{SO}_2\text{F}_2$ was found, and no unreacted $\text{SO}_2$. 
REFERENCES

1. R-6258, *Inorganic Halogen Oxidizers*, Annual Summary Report, Contract Nonr 4428(00), Rocketdyne, a Division of North American Rockwell Corporation, Canoga Park, California, 30 July 1965, CONFIDENTIAL.

2. R-6641, *Inorganic Halogen Oxidizers*, Annual Summary Report, Contract Nonr 4428(00), Rocketdyne, a Division of North American Rockwell Corporation, Canoga Park, California, 31 July 1966, CONFIDENTIAL.


APPENDIX

Contribution from Rocketdyne, a Division of North American
Rockwell Corporation, Canoga Park, California 91304
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ABSTRACT

Nitrogen oxide trifluoride forms white crystalline 1:1 adducts with the Lewis acids, \( \text{BF}_3, \text{AsF}_5, \) and \( \text{SbF}_5 \). In addition to the 1:1 complex, \( \text{BF}_3 \) can form at \(-126^\circ \) a 2:1 adduct with \( \text{NF}_2O \). The thermal stability of these complexes decreases in the order: \( \text{NF}_2O \cdot \text{SbF}_5 \) > \( \text{NF}_2O \cdot \text{AsF}_5 \) > \( \text{NF}_2O \cdot \text{BF}_3 \) > \( \text{NF}_2O \cdot 2\text{BF}_3 \). The dissociation pressure - temperature relation has been measured and thermodynamic data are calculated for the process: \( \text{NF}_3^+ \cdot \text{BF}_3(s) = \text{NF}_3^+(g) + \text{BF}_3(g) \). Hydrolysis of \( \text{NF}_2O \cdot \text{AsF}_5 \) results in the formation of \( \text{NO}_2^+ \cdot \text{AsF}_6^- \). Infrared and Raman measurements show that \( \text{NF}_3^+ \cdot \text{SbF}_5 \), \( \text{NF}_3^+ \cdot \text{AsF}_5 \), \( \text{NF}_3^+ \cdot \text{BF}_3 \), and \( \text{NF}_2O \cdot 2\text{BF}_3 \) have the ionic structures, \( \text{NF}_2O^+ \cdot \text{SbF}_6^- \), \( \text{NF}_2O^+ \cdot \text{AsF}_6^- \), \( \text{NF}_2O^+ \cdot \text{BF}_4^- \), and \( \text{NF}_2O^+ \cdot 2\text{BF}_4^- \), respectively.

The \( \text{NF}_3O^+ \) cation (point group \( C_{2v} \)) has a structure similar to that of isoelectronic \( \text{CF}_2O \). All fundamentals have been observed for \( \text{NF}_2O^+ \).
INTRODUCTION

Several independent disclosures have been made on the synthesis, properties, and chemistry of \( N_3F_0 \). In addition, one paper dealing with the infrared spectrum and thermodynamic properties of \( N_3F_0 \) has been published.

The capability of \( N_3F_0 \) to form adducts with strong Lewis acids has been recognized by all three groups investigating this compound. Thus, Fox and coworkers reported the existence of \( 1:1 \) adducts between \( N_3F_0 \) and \( AsF_5 \) or \( SbF_5 \). They suggested the ionic structures, \( N_3F_0^+ AsF_5^- \) and \( N_3F_0^+ SbF_6^- \), respectively, for these adducts based on \( ^1H \) nmr and incomplete infrared data. Similarly, Bartlett and coworkers reported the existence of the complex \( N_3F_0 AsF_5^- \). They also proposed the ionic structure, \( N_3F_0 AsF_5^- \), based on incomplete infrared data. Some of the chemistry of the \( N_3F_0 BF_3 \) adduct has been developed in showing that this adduct can add at low temperature the elements of fluorine to olefinic double bonds. In this paper we wish to report some of the data obtained for these \( N_3F_0 \) adducts by the Rocketdyne group. These data include the characterization of the adducts and the complete infrared spectrum of the \( N_3F_0^+ \) cation.

(1) M. Maya (Rocketdyne), U. S. Patent 3,320,147 (1967).
(7) The chemistry of \( N_3F_0 \) adducts is rather interesting. Reactions in addition to the cited fluorination are known and are the subject of a forthcoming paper by D. Filipovich, R. D. Wilson, and W. Maya.
EXPERIMENTAL

Materials and Apparatus

The materials used in this work were manipulated in a well seasoned 304 stainless steel vacuum line equipped with Teflon FEP U-traps and 316 stainless steel bellows-seal valves (Hoke Inc., 4251 F4Y). Arsenic pentafluoride (from Ozark Mahoning Co.), BF\textsubscript{3} (from The Matheson Co., Inc.), and NF\textsubscript{3}O (prepared at Rocketdyne by glowdischarge of a mixture of N\textsubscript{2}, O\textsubscript{2}, and F\textsubscript{2}) were purified by fractional condensation. Antimony pentafluoride (from Ozark-Mahoning Co.) was purified by vacuum distillation at ambient temperature. Hydrogen fluoride (from The Matheson Co., Inc.) was purified by removing all volatiles at -196\degree C, exposing the residue at ambient for 12 hours to a fluorine pressure of two atmospheres, followed by removal of all volatiles at -196\degree C in vacuo. The purity of the starting materials was determined by measurements of their vapor pressures and infrared spectra. Owing to their hygroscopic nature, materials were handled outside of the vacuum system in the dry nitrogen atmosphere of a glove box.

Preparation of NF\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+}AsF\textsubscript{6}\textsuperscript{−}

In a typical experiment NF\textsubscript{3}O (64.2 mmoles) and AsF\textsubscript{5} (42.7 mmoles) were combined at -196\degree C in a U-trap. The mixture was allowed to warm up slowly until melting and reaction occurred. When the pressure inside the trap reached 760 mm the mixture was cooled again to -196\degree C. This procedure was repeated several times until the reaction was complete. Unreacted NF\textsubscript{3}O (21.4 mmoles) was removed by distillation at 0\degree C. Therefore, AsF\textsubscript{5} (42.7 mmoles) had reacted with NF\textsubscript{3}O (42.8 mmoles) in a mole ratio of 1:1.002, producing the complex NF\textsubscript{2}O\textsuperscript{+}AsF\textsubscript{6}−.
Preparation of $\text{N}_2\text{O}^+\text{SIF}_6^-$

Antimony pentafluoride (93.6 mmol) was transferred in the glove box to a Kel-F trap containing a Teflon coated magnetic stirring bar. The trap was connected to the vacuum line and 30 ml of liquid HF was condensed into the trap at -196°C. The contents of the trap were warmed to ambient and stirred until all the SIF$_5$ had dissolved in the HF. This trap was connected to a second trap by means of flexible Teflon tubing. The second trap contained liquid NF$_3$O (171 mmol) at -93°C. The trap containing the SIF$_5$-HF solution was inverted and the SIF$_5$-HF solution was slowly added to the liquid NF$_3$O at -95°C with shaking. The mixture was kept for 16 hours at -78°C. Subsequently, the HF solvent and excess NF$_3$O were removed by vacuum distillation at 25°C. Weighing of the trap, empty and after complex formation, indicated a SIF$_5$ to SIF$_5$ combining ratio of 1:1.69.

Preparation of $\text{N}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_3^-$ and $\text{N}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_3^-$

In a typical experiment, NF$_3$O (51.4 mmol) and BF$_3$ (12.8 mmol) were combined at -196°C in a U-trap. The mixture was allowed to warm up slowly until melting and reaction occurred. When the pressure inside the trap reached 1000 mm the mixture was cooled again to -196°C. This procedure was repeated several times until the reaction was complete. Unreacted NF$_3$O (45.0 mmol) was recovered by distillation at -126°C and identified by its infrared spectrum. Therefore, BF$_3$ (12.8 mmol) had reacted with NF$_3$O (6.4 mmol) in a mole ratio of 2:1.00, producing the complex $\text{N}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_3^-$. Warming of the solid to -94.5°C resulted in a pressure of about 180 mm. Removal of the volatiles at -94.5°C yielded 6.4 mmol of BF$_3$, contaminated by a very small amount of NF$_3$O. Hence, NF$_3$O and BF$_3$ had combined in a 1:1 mole ratio, producing the complex $\text{N}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_3^-$. 
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Similarly, synthesis of the complex, \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \text{B}_2\text{F}_7^- \), was achieved when an excess of \( \text{BF}_3 \) (51.4 mmoles) was combined with \( \text{NF}_3\text{O} \) (6.4 mmoles) at \(-196^\circ\). Removal of unreacted \( \text{BF}_3 \) was very slow at \(-126^\circ\). Complete removal of the excess \( \text{BF}_3 \) could be achieved at \(-112^\circ\), however, at this temperature a small amount of \( \text{NF}_3\text{O} \) was also transferred. The amount of volatiles (38.7 mmoles) was measured by keeping the trap (in which the volatiles had been collected) at \(-78^\circ\) during expansion of the gas into a measured volume and by correcting the observed pressure for the known dissociation pressure of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \text{B}_2\text{F}_4^- \) at \(-78^\circ\) (see Result section). Infrared measurements on the gas showed that it contained less than 0.1% of \( \text{NF}_3\text{O} \). Hence, \( \text{NF}_3\text{O} \) (6.4 mmoles) had combined with \( \text{BF}_3 \) (12.7 mmoles) in a mole ratio of 1:1.98, producing the complex \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \text{B}_2\text{F}_7^- \).

Infrared measurements showed that the gas phase in equilibrium with solid \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \text{B}_2\text{F}_4^- \) at temperatures \(-78.6^\circ\) to \(-54.1^\circ\) consisted of \( \text{NF}_3\text{O} \) and \( \text{BF}_3 \) in a 1:1 mole ratio. (Intensity of \( \text{NF}_3\text{O} \) and \( \text{BF}_3 \) peaks always corresponded to those of an equimolar mixture).

Dissociation Pressure Measurements

The \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \text{B}_2\text{F}_4^- \) complex was prepared in a Teflon FEP U-trap being directly connected to a Heise, Bourdon tube-type gauge, \((0-1500 \text{ mm} \pm 0.1\%)\). Pressures were read with a cathetometer (to an accuracy of \( \pm 0.2 \text{ mm} \)) and the temperature of the cooling bath was determined with a copper-constantan thermocouple. To be certain that an equilibrium existed at each temperature reading, a sample of the gas above the solid complex was pumped off and a constant pressure was reestablished. True equilibrium existed at a given temperature if the pressures, before and after the pumping off procedure, were identical. Equilibrium pressures were always approached from below a given temperature. The best fit of \( \log P \) vs. \( T^{-1} \) (in \( ^\circ\)) was obtained by the method of least squares.
Infrared Spectra

The infrared spectra were recorded on a Beckman Model IR-7 with CsI interchange and a Perkin Elmer Model 337 spectrophotometer in the range 700-200 and 4000-4000 cm⁻¹, respectively. The spectra of gases were obtained using 304 stainless steel cells of 5 cm path length fitted with AgCl windows. The low-temperature spectra of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- \) and \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{SbF}_6^- \) were taken by preparing the complexes on the internal window (cooled with liquid nitrogen) of an infrared cell. The body of this cell was made from Pyrex glass, all windows being AgCl. Screw-cap metal cells with AgCl windows and Teflon FEP gaskets were used for obtaining the spectrum of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- \) and \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{SbF}_6^- \) as a dry powder at ambient temperature.

Raman Spectra. The Raman spectrum of solid \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- \) was recorded using a Spectra-Physics Model 125 laser as a source of \( \sim 60 \text{ mw} \) of exciting light at 6328 Å and a Baird Type 1310 interference filter (45 Å halfwidth). The scattered light was analyzed with a Spex Model 1400 double monochromator, a photomultiplier cooled to \( \sim -25^\circ \) and a d.c. ammeter. Pyrex-glass tubes (7-mm o.d.) with a hollow inside glass cone for variable sample thicknesses were used as sample containers.

Hydrolysis of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- \)

A thin film of solid \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- \) condensed on the internal, cold window of the low-temperature infrared cell was exposed briefly to atmospheric moisture. The sample was allowed to warm up to ambient and after 4 hours all volatiles were removed by pumping. The infrared spectrum of the white, solid residue left on the internal window was identical with that of a sample of \( \text{NO}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^- \).

X-Ray Powder Data

Debye-Scherrer powder patterns were taken using a Phillips Norelco instrument, Type No. 12046, with copper K \( \alpha \) radiation and a nickel filter. Samples were sealed in Lindeman glass tubes (\( \sim 0.3 \) and \( \sim 0.5 \text{ mm o.d.} \)).
RESULTS

Nitrogen oxide trifluoride and AsF₅, when mixed, produced the 1:1 complex, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_5^- \], a white crystalline solid. Quantitative synthesis clearly indicates a 1:1 combining ratio. Similarly, the addition of SF₅ (dissolved in HF) to an excess of liquid NF₃O at -95°C resulted in the 1:1 complex, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{SF}_5^- \]. The combination of NF₃O with BF₃ at -126°C produced the 1:2 complex, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_4^- \]. Quantitative synthesis clearly indicates a 1:2 combining ratio. This ratio was found independent of using either NF₃O or BF₃ in excess. The 1:2 complex, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_3^- \], loses one mole of BF₃ at -95°C to produce the 1:1 complex, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_4^- \]. Quantitative synthesis and infrared measurements on the gas phase above the solid complex support the 1:1 combining ratio.

Thermochemical Properties

The complex, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{SF}_5^- \], has no detectable dissociation pressure at 25°C. The corresponding arsenic compound, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_5^- \], has no detectable dissociation pressure at 0°C, but exhibits a dissociation pressure of 4 mm at 22.1°C. The 1:2 adduct, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_3^- \], has no detectable dissociation pressure at -126°C, but shows a dissociation pressure of about 180 mm at -94.5°C. For the 1:1 adduct, \[ \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_4^- \], the following dissociation pressure data were observed, temperature [°C], pressure [mm]: -93.90, 1.8; -78.63, 12.5; -73.13, 24.0; -64.28, 63.0; -57.59, 123.5; -54.06, 166.0. A plot of \( \log P \) vs. \( T^{-1} \) (in °K) for the heterogeneous equilibrium

\[
\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_4^- (s) = \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ (g) + \text{BF}_3 (g)
\]

is a straight line. The equation

\[
\log P = 11.1025 - \frac{1004.88}{T}
\]
represents these dissociation data in the temperature range -93.9 to -54.1°C. By extrapolation, a dissociation pressure of one atmosphere was obtained at -30.61°C. At 25°C the dissociation pressure amounts to 3760 atm. From the slope of the log pressure vs. T curve, $\Delta H_d = 17.80$ kcal mole$^{-1}$ was found. From $\Delta H_d = -RT \ln K_p$ (atm), a free energy of change, $\Delta G_{298} = -5.364$ kcal mole$^{-1}$, and from $\Delta S_1 = (\Delta H_1 - \Delta H_2) / T$, an entropy change, $\Delta S_{298} = 72.45$ cal deg$^{-1}$ mole$^{-1}$, were found for the dissociation process at 25°C. A heat of formation of $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ AsF$_6^-$ $\Delta H_{298} = 323$ kcal mole$^{-1}$ was calculated based upon $\Delta H_{298} \text{[NF}_2\text{O}^+(s)] = -33$ kcal mole$^{-1}$ and $\Delta H_{298} \text{[AsF}_6^-(s)] = -271.6$ kcal mole$^{-1}$.

Hydrolysis of $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ AsF$_6^-$

Careful hydrolysis of $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ AsF$_6^-$ resulted in the formation of a white solid residue. The infrared spectrum of the solid showed four absorptions at 2360 (mo), 697 (vs), 598 (ms), and 400 (m) cm$^{-1}$, respectively. When solid $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ AsF$_6^-$ is added to excess water the hydrolysis proceeds violently.

X-Ray Powder Data

Debye-Scherrer powder patterns were obtained for $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ AsF$_6^-$ and $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ SF$_6^-$.

The patterns of both compounds were too complex to allow determination of the crystal system and lattice parameters. The pattern obtained for $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ AsF$_6^-$ possibly contained some of the stronger lines characteristic for $\text{NO}^+$ AsF$_6^-$.

(8) It is not strictly correct to infer that the measured heat of reaction for the dissociation process equals the thermodynamic heat of dissociation. This would only be appropriate if the complex were in the gas phase or if the heat of solution of the complex were zero. However, for convenience, $\Delta H$ will be used throughout the text to mean the heat of reaction of a complete dissociation process of the type: complex(s) = gas + gas.

(9) J. C. Weihe, in our laboratories estimated this value by comparison with bond energies of known N-F and N-O-F containing compounds.
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Vibrational Spectra

Figures 1 and 2 show the infrared spectrum of the solids, \( \text{NF}_2^0 \cdot \text{AsF}_6^- \) and \( \text{NF}_2^0 \cdot \text{SbF}_6^- \), respectively. These spectra were recorded using the dry powder technique. The observed frequencies are listed in Table I and are compared with those reported for isoelectronic \( \text{CF}_2 \).\(^{12,13} \) Figure 3 shows the low-temperature infrared spectra of solid \( \text{NF}_3^0 \cdot \text{xAsF}_5 \). The latter spectra were obtained by admitting at 29\(^\circ\) an equimolar amount of gaseous \( \text{NF}_3^0 \) and \( \text{AsF}_5 \) at a total pressure of about 4 mm into the cell. Subsequently, cooling of the internal window by liquid nitrogen was started. As soon as the first visible, solid deposit had formed on the internal window, pumping on the sample was started to avoid deposition of unreacted starting materials. The absence of solid \( \text{AsF}_5^- \) in the spectra can be readily established by comparison with the spectrum previously reported\(^{14} \) for this compound. Below 800 cm\(^{-1} \) a remarkable frequency shift and appearance of additional bands was observed in the spectra (shown in Figure 3) when compared to that of Figure 1. Warming up of the sample (deposited on the internal cold window) to about 10\(^\circ\) under pumping followed by cooling, resulted in spectra resembling closely to that shown in Figure 1. Low-temperature spectra were also recorded on solid \( \text{NF}_3^0 \cdot \text{xBF}_3 \) samples (see Figure 4). In addition to bands characteristic for \( \text{NF}_2^0 \cdot \) and \( \text{BF}_3^- \)\(^{15,16} \), those characteristic for solid \( \text{BF}_3 \)\(^3 \) were always present. Attempts to remove the excess \( \text{BF}_3 \) resulted in the simultaneous removal of the complex itself. The spectrum of a typical mixture showed absorptions at the following wave-numbers: 1855, m; 1420, s, br; 1340, mw; 1220, m, br; 1165, vs; 1150–950, s, br; 899, ms; 834, w; 936, ms; 735, m, br; 650, m, sh; 630, s, br; 570, m; 551, w; 528, mw; 520, mw; 472, m; and 455, w. Figure 5 shows the Raman spectrum of solid \( \text{NF}_2^0 \cdot \text{AsF}_6^- \). The observed frequencies are listed in Table I.


**Table 1**

**Vibrational Spectra of $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_5^-$ and $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{SbF}_6^-$ and their Assignments**

Compared with those of CF$_2$O

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_5^-$</th>
<th>$\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{SbF}_6^-$</th>
<th>$\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+$ or CF$_2$O</th>
<th>AsF$_5^-$ or SbF$_6^-$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrared</strong></td>
<td><strong>Raman</strong></td>
<td><strong>Infrared</strong></td>
<td><strong>Assignment (point group)</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF$_2$O</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3851 w</td>
<td>3706 w</td>
<td>3702 vw</td>
<td>$2\ \nu_2^\prime (A_g)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2195 w</td>
<td>2055 w</td>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_1^\prime + \nu_4^\prime (B_1)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1928 ms</td>
<td>1858 ms</td>
<td>1863 (0+)</td>
<td>$\nu_{x=0}$, $\nu_2 (A_g)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1907 w, sh</td>
<td>1791 w</td>
<td>1790 w, sh</td>
<td>$2\ \nu_1 (A_g)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1300 w, br</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\nu_2 + \nu_3^\prime (F_{1u} + F_{2u})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1201 w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1249 vs</td>
<td>1162 s</td>
<td>1169 (0+)</td>
<td>$\nu_{asym \text{ CF}_2O}$, $\nu_4 (B_1)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1135 w, sh</td>
<td>898 ms</td>
<td>902 (4)</td>
<td>$2\ \nu_5 (A_g)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>965 s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>827 w</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>774 ms</td>
<td>720 ms, sh</td>
<td>715 ms, sh</td>
<td>$3^{out}$ of $\nu_3$, $\nu_6 (B_2)$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>692 vs</td>
<td></td>
<td>673 vs</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>626 m</td>
<td>645 m</td>
<td>634 (1)</td>
<td>$3^{asym. \text{ in pl.}}, \nu_5 (B_1)$</td>
<td>$\nu_3 (F_{1u})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>584 m</td>
<td>569 mw, br</td>
<td>573 (2)</td>
<td>$3^{asym. \text{ in pl.}}, \nu_3 (A_g)$</td>
<td>$\nu_4 (F_{1u})$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>392 m</td>
<td>373 (2)</td>
<td>$3^{asym. \text{ in pl.}}, \nu_3 (A_g)$</td>
<td>$\nu_5 (F_{2g})$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DISCUSSION

Synthesis and Properties

The preparation of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- \) presents no difficulties since the two starting materials, \( \text{NF}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{AsF}_5 \), have a common liquid phase. In the case of the corresponding \( \text{SbF}_5^- \) salt, HF was chosen as a solvent owing to the low boiling point (\(-95^\circ\)) of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^2 \) and high melting point (\(7^\circ\)) of \( \text{SbF}_5 \). In this manner \( \text{NF}_2\text{O} \) can always be kept in excess and the formation of undesirable polymeric anions,\(^{18,19}\) such as \( \text{SbF}_{11}^- \) can be avoided. For the synthesis of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_2\text{F}^- \) the use of excess \( \text{NF}_2\text{O} \) is advisable, since excess \( \text{NF}_2\text{O} \) can be removed from the solid adduct more easily than excess \( \text{BF}_3^- \). The fact that only the 1:2 adduct is formed at \(-126^\circ\), even if a large excess of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O} \) is used in its preparation, is unexpected, since at \(-95^\circ\) the 1:1 adduct is more stable than the 1:2 adduct. The preferred formation of the 1:2 adduct might be explained assuming that its formation is kinetically favored over that of the 1:1 adduct.

The \( \text{NF}_3^- \) - Lewis acid adducts are white, crystalline hygroscopic solids. Their thermal stability decreases with decreasing strength of the Lewis acid. The \( \text{SbF}_5^- \) and the \( \text{AsF}_5^- \) salt are quite stable and have been stored in a Teflon FEP container for several months without noticeable decomposition. They act as oxidizers and react violently with water. With a limited amount of water partial hydrolysis takes place according to:

\[
\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^- + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2^+\text{AsF}_6^- + 2\text{HF}
\]

The heat of dissociation, \(17.80 \text{ kcal mole}^{-1}\), obtained for \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_4^- \) is of the same order of magnitude as the values obtained for similar ionic complexes such as \( \text{ClF}_2^+\text{BF}_4^- \) (23.6 kcal mole\(^{-1}\)) and \( \text{NO}_2^+\text{ClF}_2^- \) (15.5 kcal mole\(^{-1}\)). Unfortunately, only an estimated value\(^9\) was available for the heat of formation of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O} \). Therefore, the \( \Delta H_{298}^\circ \) value of \( \text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_4^- \) contains the possible error

inherent in the estimated value of $\Delta H^\circ_{\text{f}}$ of NF$_3^0(\text{g})$ used in our calculation.

**Vibrational Spectra**

The 1:1 adducts between NF$_3^0$ and Lewis acids could be either fluorine or oxygen bridged coordination complexes or be ionic. The simplicity of the observed infrared spectra, the occurrence of the bands characteristic for AsF$_6^-$ and SbF$_6^-$, and the strong frequency shift of the N-O stretching vibration (when compared to free NF$_3^0$) to higher wavenumbers suggest that the 1:1 adducts are ionic. Consequently, the NF$_3^0$ part of the adduct should be present in the form of NF$_2^0$+. Table 1 lists the observed frequencies for NF$_2^0$+ AsF$_6^-$ and NF$_2^0$+ SbF$_6^-$ together with their assignment. The vibrations belonging to AsF$_6^-$ and SbF$_6^-$ will be discussed first, since they can be assigned more easily by comparison with similar complexes containing these anions. An octahedral anion of the type $X^bF_6^-$ has $O_h$ symmetry. The six normal modes of vibration are classified as $(A_{1g} + E + 2F_{1u} + F_{2u})$. Of these, only the two $F_{1u}$ modes will be infrared active, while only the $A_{1g}$, $E$, and $F_{2g}$ modes will be Raman active, provided that the selection rules are valid and that the octahedron is not distorted. The remaining $F_{2u}$ mode is inactive in both the infrared and Raman spectrum. By comparison with the vibrational spectra of K$^+$ AsF$_6^-$(22), NF$_4^+$ AsF$_6^-$(23), ClF$_2^+$ AsF$_6^-$(24), Na$^+$ SbF$_6^-$(25), Li$^+$ SbF$_6^-$(26), and ClF$_4^+$ SbF$_6^-$(27), the normal modes belong to AsF$_6^-$ and SbF$_6^-$, respectively, can be assigned without difficulty, the observed frequencies and intensities being in good agreement.

The remaining bands observed in the spectra of both, NF$_2^0$+ AsF$_6^-$ and NF$_2^0$+ SbF$_6^-$, complexes should be due to the NF$_2^0$+ cation. This cation is isoelectronic with CF$_2^0$ and, hence, can be expected to have a similar structure. Comparison

(25) W. Sawodny, unpublished results.
(27) K. O. Christe and W. Sawodny, to be published.
of the spectra of NF$_2$O$^+$ with that previously reported$^{12,13}$ for CF$_2$O (see Table I) shows that both the frequencies and relative intensities are very similar. Therefore, the assignments for NF$_2$O$^+$ were made for point group C$_{2v}$. All six fundamentals (classified as $3A_1 + 2B_1 + B_2$) should be infrared and Raman active and in analogy to those of CF$_2$O. The fact that $\nu_6$ ($B_2$) was not observed in the Raman spectrum is not surprising and is due to its low relative intensity. In planar XY$_3$ the corresponding out of plane deformation mode is Raman inactive.

The low-temperature infrared spectrum of NF$_2$O$^+$ AsF$_6^-$ (see Figure 3) shows practically no frequency shift for $\gamma_1$, $\gamma_2$, $\gamma_3$, and $\gamma_4$ of NF$_2$O$^+$ when compared to that obtained for the complex as a dry powder at 25$^\circ$C (see Figure 1). The bands at 664 and 729 cm$^{-1}$ in the low-temperature spectrum agrees reasonably well with the values assigned to $\gamma_5$ and $\gamma_6$, respectively, of NF$_2$O$^+$ in the dry powder spectrum (see Table I). However, the band, characteristic for $\gamma_3$ ($P_{1u}$) of AsF$_6^-$ has a relatively low intensity in the low-temperature spectrum. Hence, it seems likely that the additional bands observed in the range 600 to 770 cm$^{-1}$ and possibly also the band at 491 cm$^{-1}$, are As-F vibrations and belong to polymeric anions, such as As$_2$F$_{11}^-$. This assignment is supported by the fact that warming of the sample (deposited on the cold window) close to ambient under pumping, followed by cooling, resulted in a spectrum resembling closely to that of Figure 1. Similarly, in the low-temperature infrared spectrum of the NF$_3$O$_x$:BF$_3$ complex the bands characteristic for NF$_2$O$^+$ (1855 (Y$_2$), 1165 (Y$_4$), 899 (Y$_1$), 735 (Y$_3$), 650 (Y$_5$), and 570 cm$^{-1}$ (Y$_6$) were present in addition to those characteristic for BF$_7^-$$^{15,16}$ (1220, 1150-950, and 836 cm$^{-1}$). The remaining bands can be assigned to solid BF$_3$ (1420, 1340, 630, and 472 cm$^{-1}$). Attempts to remove the solid BF$_3$ from the cold AsCl window without removing the complex itself were unsuccessful owing to the difficulties to control and maintain the temperature of the cold window closely. This is not surprising since in the synthesis of NF$_2$O$^+$ BF$_7^-$ (see above) the same difficulty was encountered. Other structures, theoretically possible for a 1:2 complex between NF$_3$O and BF$_3$, such as [BF$_3$.ONF$_2$]$^+$(BF$_4$)$^-$ (NF$_2$O$^+$ being isoelectronic with CF$_2$O might be expected to exhibit donor properties towards Lewis acids similar to those of

a carbonyl group \(^{31}\) can be ruled out based on the spectra. For a structure such as \([\text{BF}_3 \text{ONF}_2^+ \text{BF}_4^-]\) one would expect to observe the bands characteristic for \(\text{BF}_4^-\) and a strong frequency decrease of the \(\text{N=O}\) stretching vibration when compared to the free \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\) cation.

The infrared data reported in this paper agree well with those previously reported for some of the bands of \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{BF}_6^-\) and \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^-.\) Furthermore, they confirm the ionic structures previously suggested \(^{4,5}\) for these adducts.

Assignment of the four bands in the spectrum obtained for the hydrolysis product of \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^-\) presents no difficulties. The bands at 697 and 400 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to \(\gamma_3\) (\(\text{F}_{11u}\)) and \(\gamma_4\) (\(\text{F}_{1u}\)), respectively, of \(\text{AsF}_6^-\), whereas the bands at 2360 and 598 cm\(^{-1}\) are characteristic for \(\gamma_3\) (\(\Sigma_{u}^+\)) and \(\gamma_2\) (\(\Pi_{u}\)), respectively, of \(\text{NO}_2^+\).\(^{32,33}\)

In summary, the vibrational spectra of the 1:1 adducts between \(\text{NF}_3\text{O}\) and Lewis acids are consistent with ionic structures containing the \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\) cation. All six fundamentals expected for \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\) were observed with proper frequencies and intensities. The low-temperature spectra are indicative of ionic structures containing the \(\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\) cation and dimeric anions.

Acknowledgment

The authors wish to express their gratitude to Dr. D. Pilipovich for his help in this work and to Dr. J. McTague of the Science Center of North American Rockwell Corporation for the use of the Raman spectrophotometer. This work was in part supported by the Office of Naval Research, Power Branch.


\(^{33}\) K. O. Christie, unpublished results.
Figure 1. Infrared Spectrum of $\text{NH}_2^+ \text{AsF}_6^-$ as Dry Powder
Figure 2. Infrared Spectrum of $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+ \text{SbF}_6^-$ as Dry Powder
Figure 5. Iso-Temperature Infrared Spectrum of N$_2$O$_3$ at Two Different Concentrations
Figure 4. Low-Temperature Infrared Spectrum of \(\text{NF}_3 \cdot \text{xBF}_3\).
Figure 5. Raman Spectrum of Solid $\text{NF}_2\text{O}^+\text{AsF}_6^-$
Inorganic Halogen Oxidizers

A complete structural investigation of ClF$_6$O, ClF$_3$O$^-$, ClF$_2$O$^-$, and Cl$_2$O$^-$ showed symmetries of these ions to be C$_8$, C$_{4
nu}$, C$_{4s}$, and C$_{2
nu}$, respectively.

As a result of these findings, new species such as ClF$_5$O$^-$, Cl$_3$O$^-$, and BrF$_6$ were sought via glow discharge fluorination with suitable substrates. These techniques, as well as the high pressure-temperature fluorination of ClF$_6$OAsF$_6$ and Cl$_2$OAsF$_6$, were unsuccessful. The high-pressure fluorination technique also failed to give OF$_2^-$, AsF$_6^-$, ClF$_2$O$^-$, and BrF$_6$ salts.

A new synthesis of SOF$_4$ was uncovered through the action of ClF on thionyl fluoride. (C)
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