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ABSTRACT

(C) The heat of formation of crystalline lithium-doped beryllium hydride was derived from heat of hydrolysis in aqueous HCl as -5.0 kcal/mol. This is slightly more negative than previously found values for amorphous beryllium hydride.

(C) A sample of alane-terminated liquid beryllium hydride was successfully hydrolyzed in a dioxane-HCl-water mixture. Analysis of the reaction products demonstrated that the sample was not a simple compound but probably a complex mixture of a composition about \( \text{Al}_3\text{Be}_{11}(\text{CH}_3)\text{Cl}_2\text{H}_2\text{O} \). The heat of formation of this mixture from the elements was calculated from the heat of hydrolysis and appropriate auxiliary heats of mixing to be -35.7±2.1 kcal/100 grams.

(C) The heat of combustion of TVOPA in oxygen was determined. First results showed large variations due to residual chlorinated and/or fluorinated solvent. When high purity material became available, reasonably precise values were obtained. The average heat of combustion led to \( \Delta H_{f_{\text{g}}} = -208.1±2.9 \) kcal/mol, in good agreement with work at Rohm and Haas Co.

(C) DAHTP from Thiokol Chemical Corporation was found to be a 2:1 mixture of \( \text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4 \) and \( \text{N}_2\text{H}_6(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \). Heat of solution measurements yielded a heat of formation of -210.4 kcal/mol. Further work on perchlorate combustion calorimetry is in progress.

(U) The heat of formation of CF\(_4\), a "key" datum in fluorine compound calorimetry, was determined from the explosive reaction of C\(_2\)N\(_2\) and NF\(_3\). The heat of explosion was determined in a nickel bomb. When combined with the heat of formation of NF\(_3\) previously measured in this laboratory, the heat of formation of CF\(_4\)(g) was calculated to be -223.23±0.60 kcal/mol, in excellent agreement with recent work at other laboratories.

(U) Gaseous CF\(_3\)ONF\(_2\) when mixed with hydrogen was found to explode when ignited, yielding HF, N\(_2\), and CO. This reaction was
employed for a calorimetric study in a platinum-lined combustion bomb fitted with a reservoir of water. The water could be forced into the bomb after the explosion, producing aqueous HF which is a better defined state than gaseous HF. The heat of formation of CF₃ONF₂(g) was derived as -189.1±2.8 kcal/mol. This value is slightly more negative than would be estimated from bond energy terms.

(C) The heat of hydrolysis of ClF₃O (Florox), obtained from Rocketdyne Corp., was measured in the same apparatus employed for CF₃ONF₂. Appropriate heats of mixing showed no significant thermal effects from the complex final solution. The heat of formation of Florox was found to be -33.2±0.5 kcal/mol (gas) or -40.2±0.5 kcal/mol (liquid), in good agreement with work at Rocketdyne.

(U) Tetrafluorohydrazine (N₂F₄) could be mixed with cyanogen without reacting until ignition. This was the basis for a calorimetric study leading to ΔHf(N₂F₄, g) = -4.7±0.6 kcal/mol. This value, as well as that given above for CF₄, is independent of the heat of formation of HF. All other data in this report for fluorine compounds are based on NBS Technical Note 270-1 values for HF (aq).

(U) Removal of solvent from TVOPA and preparation and purification of CF₃ONF₂ have both been completed. A photochemical process and a low temperature fluorination procedure have been used to prepare CF₃NF₂. The latter was the better method.

(U) The combustion of boron for air-augmented combustion has been studied by the technique of flash pyrolysis. The combustion behavior of boron-oxygen, boron-oxygen-water and boron-oxygen-fluorine-containing systems have been analyzed from the time of initiation to 3000 μsec. The combustion intermediates have been characterized and the flame temperatures calculated.

(U) The presence of water in the combustion system increases the formation of the intermediate HOBO and results in a lowered flame temperature. The addition of fluorine to the system
precludes the formation of water and likely that of HOBO. The result is a more efficient utilization of the boron and oxygen resulting in a higher flame temperature.

(U) The combustion of boron in the presence of ammonium perchlorate has also been studied. The combustion of the boron takes place in an atmosphere of gaseous products resulting from the deflagration of the AP. Only small amounts of water are present in this system.

(U) Previous studies have shown water to be a major product in the combustion of hydrocarbon binders. This, along with the present work, suggests that in order to minimize the formation of HOBO, via:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{BO}_2 \rightarrow \text{HOBO} + \text{OH} \]

the hydrocarbon binder should be modified in such a way as to minimize the formation of water. The results of the fluorine work reported herein show that a fluorocarbon binder would minimize the formation of water and HOBO.
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SECTION I

(U) THERMOCHEMISTRY

A. HEAT OF FORMATION OF LITHIUM-DOPED BERYLLIUM HYDRIDE (C)

1. Introduction (U)

(C) A sample of lithium-doped beryllium hydride was furnished by Dr. Fred W. Frey of Ethyl Corporation. The sample was characterized by Ethyl Corporation as follows:

**Ethylene Sample No. E-166**

**Constituent:**

- Purity$^a$ 91.4%
- Beryllium Metal 1.4%
- Beryllium Alkyls$^b$
  - $C_4$ 0.7%
  - $C_5$ 0.0%
  - $C_2$ 0.7%
- Lithium$^c$ 1.4%
- Chloride 0.31%
- Beryllium Alkoxides 0.11%
- Carbon 1.17%
- Hydrogen 16.91%
- Absolute Density 0.76 g/cc

X-Ray Phase 378-295 - 60%
  Phase 358-208 - 40%

$^a$Purity is average of deuterolysis and carbon-hydrogen, assuming all hydridic hydrogen bound to beryllium, none to lithium.

$^b$All alkyls assigned to beryllium.

$^c$Probably present as lithium hydride or lithium beryllium tetra-hydride.
The heat of formation of this crystalline beryllium hydride was derived from measurements of the heat of solution in hydrochloric acid. Analytical data agree in general with those of Ethyl Corporation, but differ in some quantitative aspects.

2. Equipment (U)

A rotating bomb calorimeter and a platinum-lined rotating bomb were used for the calorimetry. An automatic bridge developed under a previous contract was employed for the time-temperature curves. The calorimeter was calibrated by combustion of NBS standard samples of benzoic acid. The value obtained for $E_{\text{calor}}$ was 3428.05 cal/°C with a standard deviation of 0.01%.

3. Procedure (U)

A thin walled glass bulb was filled with 7.26 N HCl, sealed off, and placed in the platinum-lined bomb. The bomb was closed and thoroughly flushed with dry prepurified nitrogen. The bomb was locked into a dry box and opened. An analytical balance in the dry box was used to weigh out an appropriate amount of beryllium hydride which was then added to the bomb. The bomb was closed, removed from the dry box and placed in the calorimeter. After initial drift rate readings were taken, rotation of the bomb was started. The tumbling glass bulb broke open and reaction took place. After completion of the calorimetric readings, the bomb gases were analyzed by combustion to $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and adsorption in Ascarite and magnesium perchlorate. The bomb solution was recovered and analyzed for beryllium and lithium.

To eliminate any systematic errors, the same procedure was used for the heat of solution of a sample of beryllium metal. The difference between heats of solution of the hydride and the metal is a measure of the heat of formation of beryllium hydride.

4. Analytical Results (U)

A summary of the analytical data for the beryllium hydride is given in Table I. The interpretation of these results...
### Table I

(C) Analytical Data for Beryllium Hydride (Sample E-166)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>% of Sample</th>
<th>Analysis Technique</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.03</td>
<td>Neutron activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen</td>
<td>4.44 ± 0.01</td>
<td>Neutron activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>Neutron activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manganese</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Neutron activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Neutron activation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>75.6 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Precipitation of BeO from bomb solutions, firing at 1000°C and weighing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lithium</td>
<td>1.34 ± 0.04</td>
<td>Atomic absorption analysis of bomb solutions after removal of beryllium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Carbon</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.1</td>
<td>Direct combustion of sample in oxygen and absorption of CO₂ and H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Hydrogen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>Combustion of bomb gases formed by hydrolysis and absorption of CO₂ and H₂O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrogen</td>
<td>33.20 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>Carbon in bomb solutions formed by hydrolysis and analyzed by a combustion-infrared method</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is arbitrary. The results of both Ethyl Corporation and Dow were used to arrive at the proposed composition given in Table II. The calculated elemental composition compares well with the experimental results of Table I. There is also good agreement with Ethyl Corporation data except that our total hydrogen, as well as our hydridic hydrogen, is slightly lower.

Table II

(C) Proposed Composition of Beryllium Hydride (Sample E-166)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Molecular Composition</th>
<th>Calculated Elemental Analysis For This Composition, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.125 CuO</td>
<td>0.10 Cu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.327 BeCl₂</td>
<td>0.29 Cl</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.535 LiH</td>
<td>4.32 O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.775 Be(C₂H₃)₂</td>
<td>1.34 Li</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.775 Be(C₄H₉)₂</td>
<td>75.62 Be</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.110 Be(O₂H₅)₂</td>
<td>16.74 H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.463 BeO</td>
<td>1.59 C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88.740 BeH₂</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.560 Be</td>
<td>1.16 C (gas phase)&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.590 Residual ether</td>
<td>33.23 H (gas phase)&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>or solvent</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Assuming only the beryllium alkyls contribute to gas phase carbon gives 1.16% C.

<sup>b</sup>Assuming LiH, BeH₂, Be, and beryllium alkyls contribute to gas phase hydrogen gives 33.23% H.

(U) Two samples of beryllium metal were used. A sample obtained from Electronic Space Industries, Inc., was found to contain 1.5% oxygen and gave erratic heat of solution results. A second sample obtained from United Minerals and Chemicals Corporation contained 0.012% oxygen by neutron activation analysis. X-Ray fluorescence analysis of this second sample indicated no other metals present in amounts greater than 0.001% each. The total metallic impurity was indicated as less than 0.01%.
5. Calorimetric Results (U)

(U) The results of five successful experiments on beryllium metal are given in Table III. These values differ slightly from those originally reported in Dow Quarterly Progress Report AFRPL-TR-67-113 covering the period 1 January 1967 to 31 March 1967. After that report was issued, it was discovered that the computer program for calculating the calorimeter temperature rise contained incorrect mathematical expressions. The corrected results given here are considerably improved in precision. Two runs previously rejected because they were far outside the usual limits of error are now found to agree well with the other five, and a total of seven experiments are now given in Table III.

(U) The sample weight was corrected to mass in vacuum. The density of beryllium was taken as 1.85 g/cc. The product of the calorimeter equivalent and the corrected temperature rise is equal to the total calories absorbed by the system. Additional heat was absorbed by the glass bulb, the acid, beryllium metal, platinum added to the system, and one atmosphere of nitrogen in the bomb. Heat capacity values for these substances are given in Table IV. The vaporization correction is due to the vaporization of water and HCl into the dry atmosphere of the bomb. The average \(-\Delta E_R/M\) for the five runs is corrected for 0.02% BeO to yield for the process (Be at. wt. = 9.0122):

\[
\text{Be(c) + 2 HCl (1 in 6.52 HCl) \rightarrow BeCl}_2 \text{ (in aq HCl) + H}_2\text{(g)}
\]

\[
\Delta E_R = -90.15 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

\[
\Delta n(g) = +1, \Delta nRT = +0.59 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

\[
\Delta H_R = -89.55 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

(C) The results of five runs on beryllium hydride are given in Table V. The density was taken as 0.76 g/cc to correct weights to mass in vacuum. Additional heat terms for bomb contents were calculated as for the beryllium metal runs. Heat capacity data
### Table III

(9) Heat of Solution of Beryllium in 7.26 N HCl

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Number</th>
<th>1-A</th>
<th>2-A</th>
<th>3-A</th>
<th>4-A</th>
<th>5-A</th>
<th>6-A</th>
<th>7-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Be mass, g</td>
<td>0.37507</td>
<td>0.38219</td>
<td>0.37059</td>
<td>0.40458</td>
<td>0.40693</td>
<td>0.40692</td>
<td>0.40296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_e$, °C</td>
<td>1.09217</td>
<td>1.10912</td>
<td>1.07546</td>
<td>1.17239</td>
<td>1.17980</td>
<td>1.18012</td>
<td>1.16851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{eff}$, cal</td>
<td>3744.01</td>
<td>3802.12</td>
<td>3686.73</td>
<td>4019.01</td>
<td>4044.81</td>
<td>4045.51</td>
<td>4005.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{glass}$, cal</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{HCl}$, cal</td>
<td>17.61</td>
<td>18.22</td>
<td>17.04</td>
<td>20.37</td>
<td>20.63</td>
<td>20.49</td>
<td>20.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{Be}^r$ cal</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{H}^r$ cal</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{Pt}^r$ cal</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaporization corr., cal</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-\Delta E_{R}/M$ cal/g</td>
<td>10010.7</td>
<td>10004.2</td>
<td>10005.9</td>
<td>9991.7</td>
<td>9997.0</td>
<td>9999.8</td>
<td>9998.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average $-\Delta E_{R}/M = 10000.9$ cal/g

Corrected for 0.02% Be0 = 10002.8 cal/g
are listed in Table IV. The vaporization correction is the same as for the beryllium runs, since the ratio of acid to sample was adjusted to make the final solution for the two sets of experiments identical. The average $-\Delta E_R/\mathcal{M}$ for four runs is adjusted for impurities by means of data given in Table VI. The final value for pure crystalline beryllium hydride applies to the process (BeH$_2$ mol. wt. = 11.02814):

$$\text{BeH}_2(c) + 2 \text{HCl} \text{ (1 in 6.52 HCl)} \rightarrow \text{BeCl}_2 \text{ (in aq HCl)} + 2 \text{H}_2(g)$$

$$\Delta E_R = -85.76 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

$$\Delta n(g) = +2 \Delta nRT = +1.18 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

$$\Delta H_R = -84.57 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

**Table IV**

(C) Heat Capacity of Bomb Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>$C_p$, cal/g°C</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyrex glass</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.25 N HCl</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum</td>
<td>0.0317</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>0.178</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium</td>
<td>0.436</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beryllium hydride</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) Combining this result with the previous value for beryllium metal yields for the hydride:

$$\Delta H_{f_{298}}(\text{BeH}_2, c) = -5.0 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

(U) The uncertainty is difficult to assess because of the large impurity corrections, but does not appear likely to exceed ±1 kcal/mol. The result obtained for this crystalline sample is only slightly more negative than -4.5 kcal/mol obtained earlier in this laboratory for an amorphous sample.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Number</th>
<th>1-A</th>
<th>2-A</th>
<th>3-A</th>
<th>4-A</th>
<th>5-A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BeH₂ mass, g</td>
<td>0.5497</td>
<td>0.5200</td>
<td>0.5283</td>
<td>0.5162</td>
<td>0.5446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>δT_c, °C</td>
<td>1.13213</td>
<td>1.07153</td>
<td>1.08053</td>
<td>1.06750</td>
<td>1.12238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE glass, cal</td>
<td>3581.00</td>
<td>3673.26</td>
<td>3704.11</td>
<td>3659.44</td>
<td>3847.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_HCl, cal</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_BeH₂, cal</td>
<td>19.92</td>
<td>18.02</td>
<td>18.37</td>
<td>18.27</td>
<td>19.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_p, cal</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_p, cal</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE_p, cal</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaporization corr., cal</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔE/H, cal/g</td>
<td>7102.4</td>
<td>7109.7</td>
<td>7105.9</td>
<td>7130.8*</td>
<td>7106.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not included in average; failed statistical test.

\[-\Delta E_p/H = 7106.9 \text{ cal/g}\]

**Corrections in Calories**

| BeO | 0.17 |
| BeCl₂ | 1.88 |
| LAlH₆ | 89.03 |
| Be₂O₃ | 36.71 |
| Be(C₂H₅)₂ | 13.25 |
| Be(OCH₃)₂ | 0.14 |
| Be | 55.68 |

Corrected sample mass = 0.8074 g

Corrected \[-\Delta E_p/H = 7776.2 \text{ cal/g}\]
### Table VI

(Heat of Reaction of Impurities with 7.26 N HCl)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impurity</th>
<th>$-\Delta E_r/M$, cal/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>137</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeCl$_2$</td>
<td>576</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiH</td>
<td>5800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeO</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be(C$_2$H$_5$)$_2$</td>
<td>1710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be(C$_4$H$_9$)$_2$</td>
<td>958</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be(OC$_2$H$_5$)$_2$</td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Be</td>
<td>9943</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B. HEAT OF FORMATION OF ALANE-TERMINATED LIQUID BERYLLIUM HYDRIDE, ATEH

1. Introduction (U)

(C) A sample of ATEH was furnished by Dr. Frank Gunderloy of Rocketdyne Division of North American Aviation, Inc. According to a Rocketdyne Data Sheet accompanying the sample, BeH$_2$ and insoluble impurities were filtered off and excess Al(CH$_3$)$_3$ removed in vacuum to leave a clear mobile liquid. The density was ca. 0.7 g/ml at 25°C. Analytical data furnished by Rocketdyne implied a composition close to [(CH$_3$)$_2$AlH]$_2$·(CH$_3$BeH)$_3$. The material is pyrophoric and moisture-sensitive.

(U) Thermochemical characterization of this sample is described in the present work. A modified acid hydrolysis technique proved to be successful. Analytical data obtained in the course of this work do not agree well with the Rocketdyne values and the sample composition is reinterpreted.

2. Equipment (U)

(U) A rotating bomb calorimeter and platinum-lined rotating bomb were used for the calorimetry. An automatic bridge was employed for recording time-temperature curves. The calorimeter was calibrated by combustion of National Bureau of Standards benzoic acid. The value obtained for $E$ (calor.) was 3428.05 cal/°C with a standard deviation of 0.01%.

3. Procedure (U)

(C) Hydrolysis of the sample with aqueous HCl gave large amounts of carbon and other unidentified insoluble residues.
Drawing on past experience with other reactive compounds, hydrolysis was tried with a mixture of 60 wt. % dioxane and 40 wt. % 7.26 N HCl. This mixture was found to react rapidly enough for good calorimetry, but not so violently as to produce decomposition. Additional thermochemical measurements were necessary to define the complex final state of BeCl₂ and AlCl₃ dissolved in the dioxane-HCl mixture.

(C) For the sample hydrolysis experiments, a thin walled, 40 ml glass bulb was filled with the dioxane-HCl mixture and sealed off. The filled bulb was placed in the bomb; the bomb was closed and flushed with dry nitrogen. The bomb was locked into a dry box and opened. A dried glass syringe was filled with about 1 ml of the liquid polymer and weighed on an analytical balance in the dry box. The syringe was emptied into the bomb and reweighed to obtain the weight of polymer charged to the bomb. The bomb was closed, removed from the dry box, and placed in the calorimeter. After initial drift rate readings, rotation was initiated, the glass bulb broke open, and the reaction took place. After completion of the calorimetric readings, the bomb gases were analyzed for carbon and hydrogen. The bomb solution was recovered and analyzed for aluminum and beryllium.

(C) To define the final state, comparison experiments were made as follows. The average composition of the final solutions in the sample hydrolysis experiments were duplicated by mixing appropriate amounts of (i) dioxane sealed in a glass bulb, (ii) 5.70 N HCl sealed in a glass bulb, (iii) BeCl₂ dissolved in HCl sealed in a glass bulb, and (iv) solid AlCl₃·6 H₂O. The heats of formation of these components are known. The sealed glass bulbs and solid AlCl₃·6 H₂O were placed in the bomb and calorimetric readings taken in as nearly as possible the same way as for the sample hydrolysis runs.

(U) To complete the calculations, the heat capacity of the dioxane-HCl mixture and the heat of mixing of HCl and dioxane were needed. These quantities were measured in a simple glass Dewar calorimeter.
4. Analytical Results (U)

(U) A summary of the analytical data is given in Table VII. The bomb gases were analyzed in two instances. The gases were slowly released through a train consisting of a dry ice trap (to remove dioxane), a sulfuric acid bubbler, a furnace to convert \( \text{CH}_4 \) and \( \text{H}_2 \) to \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \), and absorption tubes for weighing \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \). Blank runs established a correction for a small amount of dioxane which passed through the dry ice trap. The carbon and hydrogen analyses are in reasonable agreement with those supplied by Rocketdyne.

(U) The bomb solutions were analyzed in three sample hydrolysis runs. Known mixtures of aluminum and beryllium in aqueous hydrochloric acid were made up and two methods were tested on these mixtures. A 20.00 ml portion of 0.1000 M aluminum solution with excess ethylene dinitrilotetraacetic acid (EDTA) gave a titration of 19.96 ml of 0.100 M zinc solution, but the same mixture in combination with 25 ml of 0.1 M beryllium gave a titration of only 19.4 ml. This shows that beryllium interferes in the EDTA method.

Table VII

(U) Analytical Data on ATBH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment No.</th>
<th>% Al</th>
<th>% Be</th>
<th>% CH(_4)</th>
<th>% H (hydride)</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dow - 2</td>
<td>30.54</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow - 3</td>
<td>30.51</td>
<td>12.46</td>
<td>54.85</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>99.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow - 4</td>
<td>30.57</td>
<td>12.40</td>
<td>54.38</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>103.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocketdyne - 1</td>
<td>30.6</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>102.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocketdyne - 2</td>
<td>29.3</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>54.6</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>101.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocketdyne - 3</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>52.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calculated for</td>
<td>30.42</td>
<td>12.42</td>
<td>54.63</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) Next cyclohexene dinitrilotetraacetic acid (CDTA) was tried. This method gave quite good results and was the one used for the subsequent work. A known solution of 20.00 ml of 0.100 M aluminum mixed with 25.00 ml of 0.1 M beryllium with excess CDTA
gave a net titration of 19.94 ml of 0.1 M standard zinc solution. A correction factor of 1.003 was used for the aluminum titration.

(U) Bomb solutions were made up to volume and a portion was used for titration for aluminum by the CDTA method. Another portion was used for the co-precipitation of aluminum and beryllium hydroxides with a slight excess of ammonium hydroxide. The filtrate was neutralized back just to the alkaline side of methyl red indicator with hydrochloric acid and refiltered on another filter. This was done to collect any aluminum that may have remained in solution with the excess ammonium hydroxide during the first filtration. Both papers with precipitates were slowly ignited at the start and finally taken to 1000°C for one hour. The combined oxides of beryllium and aluminum were cooled in a desiccator for one hour and weighed. The weight obtained from known amounts of aluminum and beryllium was found to be slightly higher than the amount calculated. A calculated weight of 0.16461 g of combined oxides gave the actual weight of 0.16535 g. All of the weights of oxides of the unknown samples were multiplied by the factor of 0.9955 to correct for the high weights obtained with knowns.

(C) As a check on the technique, the solution from one of the comparison runs was also analyzed. The results agreed very well with the known amounts of beryllium and aluminum used in the comparison runs.

(C) The Dow and Rocketdyne analytical data are compared in Table VII. The results are in good agreement except for the beryllium content. Since the Dow results total close to 100% and extra precautions were taken to insure correct beryllium values, the Dow results are adopted. The empirical formula $\text{Al}_9\text{Be}_{11}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ has a composition close to the average of the analytical results and this formula is used for further calculations on the heat of formation. The calculated composition for this formula is compared with experimental values in Table VII.
5. Calorimetric Results (U)

(U) Calorimetric results for the sample hydrolysis experiments are given in Table VIII. The values differ slightly from those originally reported in Dow Quarterly Progress Report AFRPL-TR-67-210, covering the period 1 April 1967 to 30 June 1967. After that report was issued, it was discovered that the computer program for calculating the temperature rise contained incorrect mathematical expressions. The corrected results given here are considerably improved in precision. The heat absorbed by the basic calorimeter system is the product of \( \Delta T \), the temperature rise corrected for heat leak, and \( E \) (calor), the heat capacity of the system as determined by calibration with benzoic acid. The remaining terms are for heat absorbed by the various bomb contents. Heat capacity values for the various solutions, glass, etc. used in the calculations are given in Table IX.

(U) Five comparison runs were made, each with the various reagent weights adjusted to reproduce within 0.1% the average composition of the final solutions of the sample hydrolysis runs. Duplicate determinations of the heat of mixing of dioxane and 7.26 N HCl were in good agreement at 16.11 cal/g of dioxane.

(C) The reaction scheme used to calculate the heat of formation is given in Table X. In these reactions, quantities enclosed in brackets are solutions. The value for \( \Delta E_1 \) is simply the average \( \Delta E_R/N \) from Table VIII multiplied by the molecular weight of \( \text{Al}_2\text{Be}_{11}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{H}_{20} \), 798.14. This gives \( \Delta E_1 = -2271.0 \). The value for \( \Delta E_2 \) is the average of five comparison experiments, yielding +421.1 kcal. The heat of mixing of dioxane and 7.26 N HCl measurements gives \( \Delta E_3 = -433.8 \) kcal. The heat of solution of Be metal in 7.26 N HCl was reported in our Quarterly Report for Jan. - Mar., 1967, in connection with work on beryllium hydride, and gives \( \Delta E_4 = +991.6 \) kcal. The heats of solution of HCl (gas) in water can be calculated from data given in National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 270-1 as \( \Delta E_5 = +879.3 \) kcal and \( \Delta E_6 = -1332.2 \) kcal.
### Table VIII

(R) Heat of Hydrolysis of ATBH

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment Number</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample mass, g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t_c$, °C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{at_c}$, cal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{glass}$, cal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{sample}$, cal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{mixture}$, cal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_{platinum}$, cal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$-\Delta E_r/\bar{m}$, cal/g</td>
<td>2557.</td>
<td>2541.</td>
<td>2558.</td>
<td>2510.</td>
<td>2550.</td>
<td>2527.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$-\Delta E_r/\bar{m}$ (average) = 2545.5 cal/g
Table IX
(U) Heat Capacity of Bomb Contents at 25°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>Cp, cal/g/°C</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pyrex glass</td>
<td>0.1855</td>
<td>T. De Vries, Ind. Eng. Chem. 22, 617 (1930).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATBH</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane-HCl Mixture</td>
<td>0.540</td>
<td>Measured, this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dioxane</td>
<td>0.415</td>
<td>C. J. Jacobs and G. S. Parks, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 56, 1513 (1934).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.70 N HCl</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>Measured, this work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BeCl₂-HCl Solution</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>Estimated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlCl₃·6 H₂O</td>
<td>0.293</td>
<td>Unpublished data, Dow Thermal Research Laboratory.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(The algebraic sum of these six reactions gives reaction seven, for which \( \Delta E_7 = \Delta E_1 + \Delta E_2 + \Delta E_3 + \Delta E_4 + \Delta E_5 + \Delta E_6 = -1745.0 \) kcal. Noting that Reaction 7 involves an increase of 11 moles of gaseous substances, \( \Delta H_7 \) can be calculated from:

\[
\Delta H_7 = \Delta E_7 + 11RT
\]

\[
= -1745.0 + 6.5
\]

\( \Delta H_7 = -1738.5 \) kcal

The enthalpies of formation given in National Bureau of Standards Technical Note 270-1 are adopted: \( \text{HCl(g), } -22.062 \text{ kcal/mol; H}_2\text{O(liq)} = 68.315 \text{ kcal/mol; CH}_4(g) = -17.88 \text{ kcal/mol; and AlCl}_3·6 \text{ H}_2\text{O} = -643.3 \text{ kcal/mol.} \) Combined with our measured \( \Delta H_7 \), these data yield for \( \text{Al}_9\text{Be}_{11}(\text{CH}_3)_{28}\text{H}_2\text{O}: \)

\[
\Delta H^{\circ}_{f,298} \text{(liq)} = -285.0 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

\[= -35.7 \text{ kcal/100 g} \]
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Table X

(U) Reaction Scheme for Heat of Formation of ATBH

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Al}_6\text{Be}_{11}(\text{CH}_3)_{26}\text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{Solution A} & \rightarrow \text{Solution B} + 29\text{CH}_4(\text{g}) + 20\text{H}_2(\text{g}) & \Delta E_1 \\
\text{Solution B} & \rightarrow 9\text{AlCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 305.6 \text{C}_4\text{H}_6\text{O}_2 + [11\text{BeCl}_2 + 18.37\text{HCl} + 263.06 \text{H}_2\text{O}] + [57.94\text{HCl} + 499.23\text{H}_2\text{O}] & \Delta E_2 \\
305.6 \text{C}_4\text{H}_6\text{O}_2 + [125.31\text{HCl} + 816.25\text{H}_2\text{O}] & \rightarrow \text{Solution A} & \Delta E_3 \\
[11\text{BeCl}_2 + 18.37\text{HCl} + 263.06\text{H}_2\text{O}] + 11\text{H}_2(\text{g}) & \rightarrow 11\text{Be} + [40.37\text{HCl} + 263.06\text{H}_2\text{O}] & \Delta E_4 \\
[57.94\text{HCl} + 499.23\text{H}_2\text{O}] & \rightarrow 57.94\text{HCl} (\text{gas}) + 499.23\text{H}_2\text{O} & \Delta E_5 \\
84.94\text{HCl} (\text{gas}) + 553.19\text{H}_2\text{O} & \rightarrow [84.94\text{HCl} + 553.19\text{H}_2\text{O}] & \Delta E_6 \\
\text{Al}_6\text{Be}_{11}(\text{CH}_3)_{26}\text{H}_2\text{O} + 27\text{HCl} (\text{gas}) + 54\text{H}_2\text{O} (\text{lq}) & \rightarrow 11\text{Be} + 9\text{AlCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O} + 29\text{CH}_4(\text{g}) + 9\text{H}_2(\text{g}) & \Delta E_7
\end{align*}
\]
The over-all uncertainty is calculated as twice the over-all standard deviation to be ±6.4 kcal/mol or ±2.1 kcal/100 grams. The uncertainty amounts to about 0.15% of the heat of combustion in oxygen; it seems unlikely that the heat of combustion could be directly measured to a higher degree of certainty.

C. HEAT OF FORMATION OF TVOPA, 1,2,3-tris(1,2-bis(DIFLUOROAMINO-ETHOXY))PROPANE

1. Introduction

Rohm and Haas Company (1) reported a heat of formation for TVOPA. The purpose of the present work was to obtain a second value for the $\Delta H_f^0$ and to compare the two values. Both the Rohm and Haas and the Dow Thermal Research Laboratory values were obtained by measuring the heat of combustion of TVOPA in oxygen.

2. Materials

Three samples, designated as Batches A, B, and C, were supplied us by Dr. B. F. Aycock of Rohm and Haas Company. The first sample, Batch A, was shipped as a 10 per cent solution in methylene chloride. It was designated TVOPA ATG-6 by Rohm and Haas and had been partially purified by acid washing and rough stripping of production solvents. A second sample, Batch B, was shipped as production grade TVOPA (R and H batch No. 364-7) in a 70 per cent Freon 113-30 per cent chloroform mixture. A third sample of TVOPA, Batch C, was shipped in a 65 per cent chloroform-35 per cent Freon 113 mixture. This batch was from the same Rohm and Haas Batch No. 364-7 as Batch B, but C was acid washed while B was not. Table XI shows the infrared analytical data supplied by Rohm and Haas with these three batches of TVOPA. Dr. Aycock of Rohm and Haas stated, "It is a mixture of stereoisomers," (and), "statements about its purity are approximate at best" (2).

Batch A was burned in combustion Exp-1 through Exp-12 (Table XII), as reported earlier (3). At the time, a strong dependence of the heat of combustion ($\Delta E_c/M$) on the chloride content was noted. Then a large portion of Batch B was expended in the
evaluation of various purification techniques in cooperation with the Dow synthesis group. Purified samples were burned in a platinum-lined combustion bomb to determine the heat of combustion, and the bomb solution was recovered for chloride analysis. The remainder of Batch B and part of C were then used in a final series of heat of combustion determinations on the TVOPA obtained by the best purification technique. (See Synthesis Section of this report for details on this technique.) Combustion experiment Exp-21 (Table XIII) used a sample of this purified TVOPA. To differentiate between that part of the experimental scatter which was due to the calorimetry and that part of the scatter due to variations in sample purification, combustion experiments Exp-22 through Exp-28 were done on a 5.9 g sample made by thoroughly mixing six, small, specially purified samples. Ordinarily, the amount of TVOPA purified at one time was less than one gram due to the explosive hazard. TVOPA is reported to have the shock sensitivity of nitroglycerin (2).

Table XI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrared</th>
<th>R and H Batch ATG-6 (Dow Batch A)</th>
<th>R and H Batch 364-7 (Dow Batch B)</th>
<th>R and H Batch JEE1022-4* (Dow Batch C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EtOH, %</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-C-C, %</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C=C, %</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N11</td>
<td>N11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NONF</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>Trace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NF</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-CN, %</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.78 μ</td>
<td>0.010(absorb.)</td>
<td>0.237</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.92 μ</td>
<td>0.031(absorb.)</td>
<td>0.047</td>
<td>0.047</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.23 μ</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.60(0.23)</td>
<td>0.059(0.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.40 μ</td>
<td>N11</td>
<td>N11</td>
<td>N11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*R and H Batch JEE1022-4 = R and H Batch 364-7 acid washed.
### Table XII

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Exp. No.</th>
<th>Nitrates mg as N</th>
<th>Fluoride mg/ul</th>
<th>Fluoride Recovered %</th>
<th>Chloride mg per g TVOPA</th>
<th>-ΔEO/M cal per g TVOPA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>3,241.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>259</td>
<td>96.8</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>3,265.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>3,291.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>328</td>
<td>98.4</td>
<td>10.1</td>
<td>3,286.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>336</td>
<td>99.7</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3,301.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>339.5</td>
<td>99.8</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>3,289.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>97.8</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>3,261.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>97.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3,345.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3,354.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>3,314.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>3,320.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.4</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>3,271.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>100.2</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>3,214.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>99.4</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>3,311.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>3,296.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>3,311.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>93.7</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3,304.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3,267.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>209</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3,294.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>98.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>3,425.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>95.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3,366.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third Series</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3,372.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>96.6</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>3,369.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3,374.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>99.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3,363.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>3,360.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>98.5</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>3,352.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>95.4</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>3,352.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Average of No. 22 through No. 28
Table XIII
(U) Results of Elemental Analyses on TVOPA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Carbon %</th>
<th>Hydrogen %</th>
<th>Nitrogen %</th>
<th>Fluoride %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dow (Exp. No. 22-28)</td>
<td>22.85</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>46.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow (Exp. No. 18 &amp; 19)</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>3.20</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>46.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dow (Exp. No. 21)</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>46.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R &amp; H ATG-6</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>17.25</td>
<td>45.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoichiometric</td>
<td>22.41</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>17.43</td>
<td>47.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Equipment (U)

(U) A typical rotating bomb calorimeter with a platinum-lined combustion bomb was used for the calorimetry.

4. Procedure (U)

(U) It was necessary to burn the TVOPA as a solution or mixture with a diluent, since the undiluted TVOPA detonated under bomb conditions. The TVOPA and the diluent, 2-octanone, were sealed in a Mylar bag for the combustion. A limited amount of a diluent sample purified by preparative chromatography was first used. When this was expended, an older sample was substituted. This sample had a lower heat of combustion, and analysis showed it contained 0.3 per cent water (3). It was also found that to get clean combustion less than a third of the total heat (about 6,500 cal/g) could be contributed by the TVOPA. All of the experimental inaccuracies were thus included in a third or less of the measured heat.

(U) The procedure used to determine the sample weights was previously reported in detail (3) and can be summarized as follows. A weighed Mylar polyester film tube is divided into two compartments by a small screw clamp across its center. The two components (TVOPA and 2-octanone) are sealed in the two compartments in turn.
By weighing after sealing each component, the weights of TVOPA and diluent are obtained by difference. This procedure avoided loss of the purified TVOPA, since the seals could be checked for leaks before removal of the clamp and mixing.

(U) The sealed bag containing the diluted TVOPA was folded and placed in a platinum crucible. A cotton fuse was tied to the bag. The sample was burned in 40 atm of oxygen, with 10 cc of water in the bomb. After each combustion the solution in the bomb was quantitatively recovered by washing the bomb interior. Analyses were made on the bomb washings for chloride, nitrate, and fluoride.

(U) The heats of combustion of the polyester film and the two samples of 2-octanone were run using 30 atm of oxygen and 1 ml of water in the bomb.

(U) The individual $\Delta E_c/M$ values for the polyester film, and the 2-octanone samples were given in an earlier report (3). The average values are listed below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Average $\Delta E_c/M$ (cal/g)</th>
<th>Std. Deviations (cal/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyester film</td>
<td>5,466.2</td>
<td>±0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(1) 2-Octanone (pure)</em></td>
<td>9,397.0</td>
<td>±2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>(2) 2-Octanone (0.3% $H_2O$)</em></td>
<td>9,367.7</td>
<td>±1.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Sample No. 1 is in good agreement with Geiseler and Ratzsch (4).*

TVOPA combustion experiments No. 1 through 8 used 2-octanone Sample No. 1, while 2-octanone Sample No. 2 with 0.3% water was used for experiments No. 9 through 28.

(U) The calorimeter was calibrated twice, since slight modifications were made after burning some of the earlier samples. The calorimeter was calibrated in both cases with NBS benzoic acid 391. The first $E$ (calor) value, 3423.35 cal/g with a standard...
deviation of 0.13 cal/g, was used for TVOPA combustion Experiments No. 1 through 16. The second E (calor) value, 3427.37 cal/g with a standard deviation of 0.15 cal/g, was used for Experiments No. 17 through 28.

5. Analytical Result (U)

(U) The progress of the various purification techniques for TVOPA was followed by a chloride analysis on the bomb solutions recovered after the heat of combustion measurements. The chloride content was found to vary from 2 to 26 mg of chloride/gram of sample for TVOPA Batch A as reported earlier (3) and in Table XII of this report.

(U) In the TVOPA purification experiments, parts of Batches B and C were used for Experiments No. 13 through 21. The chloride content varied from 19.8 to 1.4 mg of chloride/gram of sample. This series of experiments was run to check on the chloride while developing a good purification technique.

(U) The last series of combustion experiments was performed on TVCY aliquots from a relatively large (~6 g) sample. This sample was well mixed prior to burning in seven experiments (22 through 28). However, the chloride content found in the bomb solutions varied from 1.5 to 2.1 mg of chloride/gram of sample (average: 1.8±0.3 mg chloride/gram of sample). This average and the corresponding spread of values give a good idea of the precision of the other chloride figures.

(U) In addition to showing the chloride content, Table XII shows the results obtained for the nitrate and fluoride as well. The nitrate value was used for the Washburn corrections program. The fluoride in solution is reported as both mg found per sample and as percentage recovered, assuming the amount calculated from the sample weights was 100%. The average of moles of fluoride found in solution and moles calculated from sample weights was used in the Washburn corrections program.
Table XIII gives the results of micro-analysis for carbon, hydrogen and nitrogen on small portions of three combustion samples. Theoretical values are compared with experimental values for these elements. Also listed is the per cent fluoride calculated from the fluoride found in the bomb solutions. In addition, Table XIII includes the elemental analysis provided by Rohm and Haas (1) for Batch A (i.e. Rohm and Haas Batch ATG-6). They supplied no elemental analysis for Batches B and C.

Tracer experiments with radio-active benzene were used by the Synthesis Group to show that no benzene (<2 cal/g) was inadvertently introduced as a contaminant during the purification process. (For details see the Synthesis Section of this report.)

No definite statement of percentage purity is possible for these samples. The elemental analyses agree with stoichiometry within experimental error.

6. Calorimetric Results

The results of the calorimetric work were processed by means of the computer program for C-H-O-N-F compounds described earlier (3,5). Constant factors needed as input for this program are given in Table XIV and other variables in Table XV. Table XV is limited to Exp. No. 21 and No. 22 through 28 because these experiments used samples prepared by standardized purification technique developed by the Synthesis Group.

From the individual $\Delta Ec/M$ values in Table XV (with the exception of Exp. No. 21) an average $\Delta Ec/M = -3,364.4$ cal/g with a standard deviation of $\pm 3.05$ cal/g can be calculated. The heat of solution of TVOPA in 2-octanone has been reported earlier (3). (See page 43, Section G.) The two $\Delta H_{soln}$ values given there average as $\Delta H_{soln} = -4.61$ cal/g. If one combines this with the above average $\Delta Ec/M$, one obtains a value of -3,369.0 cal/g for neat TVOPA, which relates to the reaction:

$$\text{C}_9\text{H}_{14}\text{O}_3\text{N}_8\text{F}_{12}(1\text{iq}) + 8\text{O}_2(\text{g}) + 359\text{H}_2\text{O}(1\text{iq}) \rightarrow 9\text{CO}_2(\text{g}) + 3\text{N}_2(\text{g}) + 12(\text{HF} \cdot 3\text{O}_2\text{H})(1\text{iq})$$
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**Table XIV**

(U) Constant Factors in TVOPA Combustion Calculations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>TVOPA (2)</th>
<th>2-Octanone</th>
<th>Film</th>
<th>Fuse</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula of TVOPA (2)</td>
<td>C$_2$H$_4$O$_2$N$<em>2$F$</em>{12}$</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{16}$O</td>
<td>C$_1$H$_0$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_1$H$_1$H$<em>7$H$</em>{10}$.887</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula of 2-octanone</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{16}$O</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{16}$O</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{16}$O</td>
<td>C$<em>6$H$</em>{16}$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula of film</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Empirical formula of fuse</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
<td>C$_{10}$H$_6$O$_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of TVOPA (2)</td>
<td>1.535</td>
<td>0.818</td>
<td>1.380</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of 2-octanone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of film</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density of fuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bomb volume</td>
<td>0.347 liter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial oxygen pressure</td>
<td>40 atm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial water in bomb</td>
<td>10.0 g</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference temperature</td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final ratio, H$_2$O/HF</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(E/P)_T$ of TVOPA (2)</td>
<td>-0.00380 cal/g/atm</td>
<td>-0.00899 cal/g/atm</td>
<td>-0.00800 cal/g/atm</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(E/P)_T$ of 2-octanone</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.00899 cal/g/atm</td>
<td>-0.00800 cal/g/atm</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(E/P)_T$ of film</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.00800 cal/g/atm</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$(E/P)_T$ of fuse</td>
<td></td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
<td>Negligible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_C$ of 2-octanone (Sample 1)</td>
<td>-1204.84 kcal/mol</td>
<td>-1201.08 kcal/mol</td>
<td>-1050.31 kcal/mol</td>
<td>-103.35 kcal/mol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_C$ of 2-octanone (Sample 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_C$ of film</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta E_C$ of fuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ of TVOPA (2)</td>
<td>0.4 cal/g/°C</td>
<td>0.5049 cal/g/°C</td>
<td>0.315 cal/g/°C</td>
<td>0.4 cal/g/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ of 2-octanone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ of film</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p$ of fuse</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (calor) (Exp. No. 1 through Exp. No. 16)</td>
<td>3423.35 cal/°C</td>
<td>3423.35 cal/°C</td>
<td>3423.35 cal/°C</td>
<td>3427.37 cal/°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E$ (calor) (Exp. No. 17 through Exp. No. 28)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(This Page is Unclassified)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>21</th>
<th>22</th>
<th>23</th>
<th>24</th>
<th>25</th>
<th>26</th>
<th>27</th>
<th>28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wt. TVDI, g</td>
<td>0.452558</td>
<td>0.460376</td>
<td>0.465548</td>
<td>0.470693</td>
<td>0.468560</td>
<td>0.470883</td>
<td>0.474652</td>
<td>0.479095</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt. 2-octanone, g</td>
<td>0.382591</td>
<td>0.352159</td>
<td>0.364317</td>
<td>0.375019</td>
<td>0.379124</td>
<td>0.373287</td>
<td>0.368379</td>
<td>0.377873</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt. Fm., g</td>
<td>0.128261</td>
<td>0.124494</td>
<td>0.131253</td>
<td>0.121338</td>
<td>0.1206505</td>
<td>0.134350</td>
<td>0.122320</td>
<td>0.004520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wt. Fse, g</td>
<td>0.005935</td>
<td>0.004870</td>
<td>0.004900</td>
<td>0.004565</td>
<td>0.004635</td>
<td>0.004754</td>
<td>0.004065</td>
<td>0.004820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moles HNO₃ (x10⁴)</td>
<td>7.14</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>6.93</td>
<td>7.00</td>
<td>6.71</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>6.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moles H₂P (x10⁵)</td>
<td>1.1439</td>
<td>1.1566</td>
<td>1.1592</td>
<td>1.1673</td>
<td>1.1620</td>
<td>1.1728</td>
<td>1.1722</td>
<td>1.1646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t₁, °C</td>
<td>23.19955</td>
<td>23.34817</td>
<td>23.28881</td>
<td>23.28881</td>
<td>23.29727</td>
<td>23.27106</td>
<td>23.3139</td>
<td>23.23076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At corr., °C</td>
<td>0.09395</td>
<td>0.062152</td>
<td>0.06861</td>
<td>0.06861</td>
<td>0.06990</td>
<td>0.06426</td>
<td>0.06912</td>
<td>0.07182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ΔH/M, cal/g</td>
<td>3,266.89</td>
<td>3,372.12</td>
<td>3,356.61</td>
<td>3,374.79</td>
<td>3,363.63</td>
<td>3,359.99</td>
<td>3,358.17</td>
<td>3,352.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data are reduced to $\Delta H_f^{98.15}$ in the following manner:

\[ \text{Mol. Wt.} = 482.23068 \text{ g/mol} \]

\[ \Delta E_c = -1624.64 \pm 1.47 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \Delta n = +4 \quad \text{RT} = 0.5925 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \Delta H_c = \Delta nRT + \Delta E_c = -1622.27 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

Then using auxiliary data from NBS Technical Note 270-1:

\[ \Delta H_f^{98.15} = -208.1 \pm 2.9 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

where the uncertainty is twice the standard deviation.

7. Conclusion (U)

(U) The $\Delta H_f = -208.1 \pm 2.9 \text{ kcal/mol}$ compares with the Rohm and Haas (1) $\Delta H_f = 207.3 \pm 3 \text{ kcal/mol}$ when the latter is recalculated with the same auxiliary data used in these calculations. The Rohm and Haas $\Delta H_f^\circ$ value is obtained assuming no heat of solution for TVOPA dissolved in the diamyl ketone diluent. A more reasonable estimate (from our measured $\Delta H_{\text{soln}} = -2.2 \text{ kcal/mol}$) for the TVOPA-diamyl ketone $\Delta H_{\text{soln}}$ would be $-2 \text{ kcal/mol}$. The Rohm and Haas value of $\Delta H_f^\circ$ becomes $-205.3 \pm 3 \text{ kcal/mol}$ with this estimate.

(U) Figure 1 shows the dependence of the $\Delta H_c (\Delta E_c / N)$ on removal of impurities apparently closely associated with the chloride containing manufacturing solvents. The low chloride experiments plotted on Figure 1 indicate that the $\Delta E_c / N$ dependence on chloride content is not linear for near zero chloride values. A 2 per cent (by weight) chloride-containing batch of TVOPA could have a $\Delta H_c$ lower than our best value by over 100 cal/g.

(U) This is probably significant in $I_{sp}$ calculations on a propellant containing TVOPA. Some test (chloride analysis or $\Delta H_c$ determination) might be desirable on each batch of TVOPA unless it was known to be significantly below 2 per cent in chloride content.
Fig. 1 - Effect of Chloride on the Heat of Combustion of TVOPA
D. HEAT OF FORMATION OF DIAMMONIUM HYDRAZINUM TETRAPERCHLORATE (DAHTP) (C)

1. Introduction (U)

(C) A sample of DAHTP was received from Thiokol Chemical Corporation. Information sent by Delmar B. Davis of Thiokol included the empirical formula \( \text{N}_4\text{H}_4\text{Cl}_4\text{O}_{16} \) and a molecular weight of 468. The material is a white crystalline solid, nonhygroscopic and chemically stable.

2. Analytical Results (U)

(C) Although not specifically stated by Thiokol, it seemed obvious from information given that the compound was a mixture or double salt of ammonium perchlorate and hydrazinium diperchlorate. The material was therefore assayed for ammonia and hydrazine content, giving 99.6% in each case for a formula of \( 2\text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4\cdot\text{N}_2\text{H}_6(\text{ClO}_4)_2 \).

(C) For a check on calorimetric measurements to be described, a sample of Fisher Reagent Grade \( \text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4 \) was dried at 110°C for several hours. Analysis for ammonia content gave 99.9% of theory.

3. Equipment (U)

(U) A conventional Dickinson type isothermal shield calorimeter usually used for bomb work was employed for this heat of solution study. The calorimeter vessel had an internal volume of 3500 cc and had been plated inside and out with gold to resist corrosive fluids. Removal of the bomb then converts the calorimeter to a solution unit. Temperatures were measured as a function of time with a calibrated thermistor (2300 ohms at 25°C) and a drum chronograph. The corrected temperature changes were calculated by computer from the resistance-time data using standard procedures. Calibration of the calorimeter was carried out electrically using current-time integration techniques and an integrating digital voltmeter. (4.1840 joules = 1 thermochemical calorie). Power was taken from a Lambda constant voltage power...
supply. In this manner, the solution calorimeter could be calibrated after each experiment with a precision of a few hundredths of a percent.

4. Procedure (U)

Since the heats of formation and solution of NH₄ClO₄ and Na₄H₄·2HClO₄ have been published (6,7,8), it seemed that a heat of solution measurement of DAHTP would be advantageous in that its heat of solution could be compared to the sum of the heats of solution of the constituents. The procedure used was to weigh 5-10 g portions of the solid into glass ampoules. The ampoules were then supported in the calorimeter vessel by means of a Kel-F fitting and glass rod. The calorimeter was then filled with 3,300 g of H₂O and the temperature adjusted to 25.2°C. After equilibration, the glass rod was forced downward, crushing the thin walled ampoule on the bottom of the calorimeter. A rapid endotherm resulted with the solution products equilibrating at 25°C. After the final rating period had been recorded, a measured amount of electrical energy corresponding closely to the heat of solution was put back in the system. The calorimeter was calibrated in this manner after each heat of solution measurement.

(U) The ΔHsol of NH₄ClO₄ was also determined as a check against literature values.

5. Results and Discussion (U)

(U) Table XVI lists the measured and calculated values for five heat of solution measurements on DAHTP. All weights are corrected to mass under vacuum; however, a purity factor has not been applied. The energy equivalent E (calor) has been discussed before and all other entries are of standard terminology. The last column lists the dilution states for one mole of DAHTP. Deviations are calculated as the arithmetic mean. Table XVII lists three experiments on the solution of AP in water. The results are compared with literature data in Figure 1 and are seen to be in excellent agreement.
Table XVI
(U) Heat of Solution of DAHTP in Water

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Sample Mass, g</th>
<th>E (calor) cal/°C</th>
<th>E Δtc cal</th>
<th>ΔHsol cal/g</th>
<th>ΔHsol kcal/mol</th>
<th>nH2O/NDAHTP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.5382</td>
<td>-3,425.2</td>
<td>+254.1</td>
<td>+45.88</td>
<td>+21.47</td>
<td>15,508</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.1570</td>
<td>*(−3,422)</td>
<td>+486.3</td>
<td>+47.88</td>
<td>+22.40</td>
<td>8,455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.8728</td>
<td>-3,422.0</td>
<td>+466.3</td>
<td>+47.23</td>
<td>+22.10</td>
<td>8,688</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.7793</td>
<td>-3,421.0</td>
<td>+511.2</td>
<td>+47.43</td>
<td>+22.19</td>
<td>7,957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>11.0005</td>
<td>-3,417.0</td>
<td>+531.1</td>
<td>+48.28</td>
<td>+22.59</td>
<td>7,797</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average +47.35 +22.15
Std. dev. 0.6 0.3

* Taken from Run No. 3

Table XVII
(U) Heat of Solution of NH4ClO4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>Sample Mass, g</th>
<th>E (calor) cal/°C</th>
<th>E Δt₀ cal</th>
<th>ΔHsol cal/g</th>
<th>ΔHsol kcal/mole</th>
<th>nH2O/NDHClO4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>17.9221</td>
<td>-3,424.0</td>
<td>+1,231.5</td>
<td>+68.71</td>
<td>+8,073</td>
<td>1,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15.6211</td>
<td>-3,427.8</td>
<td>+1,075.4</td>
<td>+68.84</td>
<td>+8,088</td>
<td>1,380</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>24.2052</td>
<td>-3,422.0</td>
<td>+1,655.1</td>
<td>+68.38</td>
<td>+8,034</td>
<td>890</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(C) If one calculates the heat of solution of DAHTP on the basis of +6.29 ± 0.5 kcal/mol for N₂H₄·2HClO₄ (8) and +8.06 ± 0.02 kcal/mol for NH₄ClO₄ (this work), we find ΔHsol DAHTP = +22.4 ± 0.6 kcal/mol as compared to the measured value in Table XVI of +22.2 ± 0.3 kcal/mol. This suggests "zero bond energy" between the AP and HDP molecules in DAHTP or that the DAHTP is a 2:1 mixture of AP and HDP. X-Ray diffraction patterns on DAHTP showed only lines of AP and HDP, confirming this observation. No new lines were observed.
Fig. 2 - Heat of Solution of Ammonium Perchlorate
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The heat of formation of DAHTP is therefore merely the sum of $2\Delta H_f \left( \text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4 \right)$ and $\Delta H_f \left[ \text{N}_2\text{H}_6\text{(ClO}_4)_2 \right]$. The value for $\Delta H_f(\text{NH}_4\text{ClO}_4)$ is taken from Reference 8 as -70.58 kcal/mol. For HDP, two values are available, -69.2 kcal/mol from Reference 6 and -70.1 kcal/mol from Reference 7. The desired sum is calculated as -210.4 and -211.3 kcal/mol, respectively. The value -210.4 kcal/mol is recommended.

Further work on this compound by combustion calorimetry is planned.

E. HEAT OF FORMATION OF CARBON TETRAFLUORIDE

1. Introduction

The heat of formation of carbon tetrafluoride is a "key" datum in calorimetry. Carbon tetrachloride appears as a product in fluorine or NF$_3$ combustion calorimetry of compounds containing carbon. It also appears as a product in oxygen combustion calorimetry of compounds containing carbon and a large percentage of fluorine. In order to reduce calorimetric results to accurate heats of formation, the heat of formation of CF$_4$ must be well defined.

The first attempt at measuring the heat of formation of CF$_4$ was that of von Wartenberg and Schuette (11) in 1933 by direct combination of the elements. Their result is now recognized as much too low. Twenty years later, von Wartenberg (12) published a much higher value based on the reaction of CF$_4$ and alkali metal to form carbon and alkali fluoride. Kirkbride and Davidson (13) used a similar technique, and some years later Vorobev and Skuratov (14) repeated the work. The results were in agreement to within a few kilocalories but depended on the heat of formation of fluoride ion. Several other investigations were made which used indirect means, including the heat of hydrogenation and decomposition of C$_2$F$_4$ (15,16), the heat of combustion of methane in fluorine (17), and the heat of combustion of perfluorocarbons in oxygen (18,19,20,21). None of these was completely independent of...
the heats of formation of gaseous and/or aqueous hydrogen fluoride, quantities not yet well defined.

(U) Three recent investigations give well defined results. Domalski and Armstrong (22) measured the heat of combustion in fluorine of graphite mixed with Teflon. After correcting for the Teflon, they derived $\Delta H_f^{298}(\text{CF}_4,g) = -222.87 \text{kcal/mol}$. Greenberg and Hubbard (23) measured the heat of combustion in fluorine of pure graphite. After correcting for a small amount of C$_2$F$_6$ formed, they derived $\Delta H_f^{298}(\text{CF}_4,g) = -223.05 \text{kcal/mol}$. Concurrently with these direct measurements, we determined the heat of reaction of cyanogen and nitrogen trifluoride with the results described below. In the course of several years of work on the calorimetry of rocket fuels and oxidizers, we have found NF$_3$ to be a useful fluorinating agent. Recent work in this laboratory has defined the heat of formation of NF$_3$ as $-31.6 \pm 0.2 \text{kcal/mole}$ (24,25). The heat of formation of cyanogen was carefully measured by Knowlton and Prosen (26) at the National Bureau of Standards. When preliminary work showed that a mixture of NF$_3$ and cyanogen exploded when sparked, a full scale measurement was undertaken.

2. Materials (U)

(U) Both cyanogen and nitrogen trifluoride were purchased from Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. The cyanogen was found to contain about 1% CO$_2$ as an impurity. This was removed by low temperature distillation through a 3/8" I.D. copper column packed with magnesium beads. The distillation was carried out using a thermocouple detector and recorder usually used for chromatography. Approximately 0.5 g center cuts were trapped out from the helium carrier gas stream. Mass and infrared analysis of the purified material indicated no detectable impurities.

(U) Research grade NF$_3$ was analyzed by mass and infrared spectroscopy, both of which indicated 0.15% CF$_4$ as the only impurity. Since the CF$_4$ could not be further oxidized, it was not necessary to remove it from the NF$_3$. 
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3. Nature of the Reaction (U)

Cyanogen and nitrogen trifluoride were found to react in the gas phase when the mixture was sparked according to the equation shown:

\[
\frac{1}{2} \text{C}_2\text{N}_2(\text{g}) + \frac{4}{3} \text{NF}_3(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{CF}_4(\text{g}) + \frac{7}{6} \text{N}_2(\text{g})
\]

Ignition was accomplished by discharging a standardized capacitor across a 0.5 cm length of nickel fuse wire. There was an audible "click" when the reaction took place. The reaction was run with a 3 mole percent excess of nitrogen trifluoride, all of which was dissociated to fluorine and nitrogen during the explosion. Gas samples taken at the conclusion of each experiment were placed in contact with mercury to remove fluorine, and analyzed by mass and infrared spectroscopy. Tetrafluoromethane and nitrogen were the only gaseous products found.

4. Equipment (U)

A Dickinson-type 25°C isothermal shield calorimeter was used for this project. The combustion bomb was constructed of "A" nickel and had a volume of 0.352 l. For vacuum work, O-ring seal needle valves were employed. The energy equivalent of the system was measured by combustion of benzoic acid (National Bureau of Standards sample 391) in oxygen under the prescribed conditions. Eight determinations gave a value of \( E(\text{calor}) = -3200.7 \text{ cal/deg} \) with a standard deviation of the mean equal to ± 1.7 cal/deg (1 cal = 4.1840 absolute joules). The following expression was employed to calculate reaction heats from temperature measurements (27):

\[
Q_v = E(\text{calor}) (t_i-t_f + \Delta t_{\text{cor}}) + E^i (\text{contents}) (t_i-t_h)
+ E^f (\text{contents}) (t_h-t_f + \Delta t_{\text{cor}})
\]

Temperature measurements were made in terms of the resistance change of a calibrated thermistor - Wheatstone bridge. The corrected temperature changes were calculated by computer using standard procedures.
5. Procedure (U)

(U) After the benzoic acid calibration experiments the nickel bomb was passivated by carrying out several preliminary $\text{C}_2\text{N}_2\text{N}_2\text{NF}_3$ reactions. Between reactions, the bomb was kept under vacuum and opened only in a nitrogen dry box. After four explosion reactions, the internal surfaces of the bomb were noticeably glazed with $\text{NiF}_2$.

(U) A determination involved first fitting a weighed nickel fuse between the electrodes of the bomb while in the drybox. The bomb was then evacuated for several hours to less than one micron pressure. Cyanogen, contained in a 10-ml stainless steel cylinder, was metered into the bomb to a pressure of about 350 mm. The bomb was closed and the cyanogen in the manifold contained in the bomb was determined by weighing the cylinder before and after the loading. Nitrogen trifluoride was admitted to the bomb to a total pressure of 1335 mm using the same procedure.

(U) After the heat measurement, the bomb was again attached to the vacuum line for gas sampling and evacuation. The bomb was then opened in the dry box and unburned pieces of nickel fuse wire recovered. These were cleaned and weighed to determine the net amount burned to $\text{NiF}_2$. Data for this correction were available (28).

6. Results (U)

(U) Table XVIII lists the results of ten determinations. $Q_v$ is the calorimetrically determined heat derived from the temperature change. Column four is the NF$_3$ dissociation correction based upon the amount of excess NF$_3$ over stoichiometry. Columns five and six are corrections for nickel fuse wire consumed as NiF$_2$ and the electrical energy necessary for fusion, respectively. These have been discussed earlier. Column seven lists the standard state internal energy change per gram of cyanogen.
(U) The average $\Delta E_p/M$ from Table XVIII and a molecular weight of 52.0357 for cyanogen yields for the reaction at 298.15°K:

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{C}_2\text{N}_2(\text{g}) + \frac{4}{3} \text{NF}_3(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{CF}_4(\text{g}) + \frac{7}{6} \text{N}_2(\text{g})$$

$$\Delta E_p = -218.33 \pm 0.20 \text{ kcal}$$

$$\Delta n(\text{gas}) = + \frac{1}{3} \text{ mole}$$

$$\Delta nRT = + 0.20 \text{ kcal}$$

$$\Delta H_p^0 = -218.13 \pm 0.20 \text{ kcal}$$

Taking

$$\Delta H_{f,s}^0(\text{C}_2\text{N}_2,\text{g}) = +73.85 \pm 0.4 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

and

$$\Delta H_{f,s}^0(\text{NF}_3,\text{g}) = -31.6 \pm 0.15 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

we calculate

$$\Delta H_{f,s}^0(\text{CF}_4,\text{g}) = -223.23 \pm 0.6 \text{ kcal/mol}.$$
Table XVIII

(U) Heat of Reaction of Cyanogen and Nitrogen Trifluoride

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>C₂N₂, g</th>
<th>Qᵥ, cal</th>
<th>Corr. in cal.</th>
<th>ΔEBr°/M cal/g</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3496</td>
<td>-2,919.0</td>
<td>-17.0 3.7 0.4</td>
<td>-8,386.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3496</td>
<td>-2,925.8</td>
<td>-10.8 4.0 0.4</td>
<td>-8,387.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3515</td>
<td>-2,947.1</td>
<td>-8.0 4.3 0.6</td>
<td>-8,393.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3495</td>
<td>-2,992.6</td>
<td>-16.6 2.9 0.6</td>
<td>-8,399.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.3488</td>
<td>-2,929.8</td>
<td>-12.7 3.5 0.4</td>
<td>-8,399.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3518</td>
<td>-2,954.9</td>
<td>-11.7 3.4 0.6</td>
<td>-8,399.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.3519</td>
<td>-2,946.7</td>
<td>-10.0 4.4 0.6</td>
<td>-8,373.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.3510</td>
<td>-2,947.6</td>
<td>-10.9 4.2 0.4</td>
<td>-8,397.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.3518</td>
<td>-2,942.8</td>
<td>-15.8 3.8 0.7</td>
<td>-8,372.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.3515</td>
<td>-2,955.2</td>
<td>-11.3 4.1 0.7</td>
<td>-8,407.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg. = -8,391.6 cal/g
Standard deviation = 3.7 cal/g
UNCLASSIFIED

for the molecular weight. Theory is 137.01 g/mol. The analytical results described later also indicate the sample was of high purity.

(U) Ultra-high purity hydrogen from Air Products and Chemicals, Inc., was used without further treatment. Mass and infrared spectral analysis detected no impurities.

3. Equipment (U)

(U) An Argonne National Laboratory type of rotating bomb calorimeter was used for this project. The platinum-lined combustion bomb had been fitted with an external tank so that water could be forced into the bomb after the explosion reaction. This apparatus has been described in an earlier report (30). O-Ring sealed valves were employed for vacuum work.

(U) The energy equivalent of the system was measured by combustion of benzoic acid (NBS sample 391) in oxygen under the prescribed conditions. Eleven determinations gave a value of \( E_{(calor)} = 3352.1 \) cal/deg with a standard deviation of the mean equal to 2.4 cal/deg (1 cal = 4.1840 absolute joules). This value was adjusted to 3402.0 cal/deg for the conditions of the \( CF_3ONF_2 \) experiments. Temperature measurements were made in terms of the resistance change of a calibrated thermistor - Wheatstone bridge. The corrected temperature changes were calculated by standard procedures.

4. Nature of the Reaction (U)

(U) Exploratory experiments carried out in a platinum-lined reaction bomb indicated that \( CF_3ONF_2 \) underwent reduction to \( CO, HF \) and \( N_2 \) when sparked with a 10-20\% excess of \( H_2 \). Fifty ml of \( H_2O \) was then forced into the bomb and the bomb rotated to produce a homogeneous solution of aqueous HF. The reaction is shown below:

\[
CF_3ONF_2(g) + 5/2 \ H_2(g) \rightarrow CO(g) + 1/2 \ N_2(g) + 5 \ HF (1:150 \ H_2O)
\]
Mass and infrared spectral analysis of the gaseous products showed only CO and N\textsubscript{2}. The calorimetric experiments were followed by an analysis for CO by sweeping the bomb gases through a furnace and collecting and weighing the CO\textsubscript{2} formed. A side reaction was indicated by the fact that CO analyses were low by 1 to 2%. Slight carbon deposits were observed around the electrodes, indicating the side reaction to be:

$$\text{CO(g)} + \text{H}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{C(s)} + \text{H}_2\text{O(l)}$$

Corrections for this reaction could be applied, based upon the CO\textsubscript{2} recovery in each experiment.

Reactions at 1-2% excess H\textsubscript{2} were carried out for analytical purposes to establish the purity of the CF\textsubscript{3}ONF\textsubscript{2}. These reactions yielded CO, N\textsubscript{2} and HF as in the former case; however, a few tenths of a percent of HNF\textsubscript{2} was observed by mass spectral analysis. No spots of carbon were observed in these determinations and carbon recoveries were complete within the limits of error. The HF solution was titrated with base to determine the total equivalents of acid; however, this determination was consistently short both in the purity experiments and in the calorimetric runs. Table XIX gives the analytical data.

### Table XIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Experiment No. 1</th>
<th>Experiment No. 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sample mass, g</td>
<td>0.5246</td>
<td>0.2031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO\textsubscript{2} recovered, mg</td>
<td>169.24</td>
<td>65.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory, %</td>
<td>100.4</td>
<td>100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF recovered, meq</td>
<td>18.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Theory, %</td>
<td>97.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Procedure (U)

The bomb was first dried and evacuated to one micron pressure on a vacuum manifold. A one-half gram portion of CF\textsubscript{3}ONF\textsubscript{2}
was then metered into the bomb to 200 mm pressure from a weighed steel U-tube fitted with miniature Hoke valves. The bomb was closed off after the charging and the amount of sample in the system condensed to a total pressure of 800 mm with hydrogen. To complete the loading operation, the external annular tank on the bomb was loaded with 50 ml of water and charged to 60 psig with argon.

(U) The loaded bomb was placed in the calorimeter and, after the initial drift rate was established, the gaseous mixture was ignited. A few seconds later, the tank valve was tripped and water was forced into the bomb. After a few more seconds, bomb rotation was started to ensure a homogeneous final aqueous solution. Final drift rate measurements completed the energy determination.

(U) The bomb was removed from the calorimeter and the gases discharged through an analytical train to determine carbon as CO₂. The bomb was then opened and carefully washed out with distilled water. The solution was analyzed for HF by titration with standard base.

6. Results (U)

(U) Table XX lists the results of eight experiments. Qᵥ is the calorimetrically determined heat change in calories. The carbon correction arises from a small amount of CO(g) being reduced to carbon during the explosion. This reaction is exothermic and amounts to 40.718 kcal/g atom of carbon. Column five is a correction based upon the energy released when a standardized capacitor is discharged during ignition. ΔE₂⁰/M is the internal energy change in calories per gram of CF₃ONF₂.

(U) The average ΔE₂⁰/M from Table XX and the molecular weight of 137.009 for CF₃ONF₂ yield for the reaction at 298.15°K:

\[
\text{CF}_3\text{ONF}_2(g) + 5/2 \text{H}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{CO}(g) + 1/2 \text{N}_2(g) + 5 \text{HF (1:150 H}_2\text{O)}
\]

\[
\Delta E^o = -217.35 \pm 0.80 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]
Table XX

(U) Heat of Reaction of Gaseous Hydrogen and Trifluoromethoxydifluoroamine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Sample Mass, grams</th>
<th>Qv, calories</th>
<th>Corrections, calories</th>
<th>(-\Delta E^0/M_{cal/g})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.5886</td>
<td>942.4</td>
<td>(2.3)*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.5244</td>
<td>838.2</td>
<td>(2.3)*</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0492</td>
<td>1,683.2</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5254</td>
<td>835.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.5216</td>
<td>833.7</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.5250</td>
<td>829.0</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.5263</td>
<td>837.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.5247</td>
<td>836.6</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg. = 1,590.0
Std. dev. = 2.8

* Note: Carbon corrections for Runs 1 and 2 were estimated from Runs 5 to 10.
\[ \Delta n(gas) = -2 \text{ moles} \]
\[ \Delta nRT = -1.18 \text{ kcal/mol} \]
\[ \Delta H_f^o = -219.03 \pm 0.80 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

From Reference 31:
\[ \Delta H_f^o (HF,150 H_2O) = -76.35 \text{ kcal/mol} \]
\[ \Delta H_f^o (CO,g) = -26.42 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

From these values we calculate:
\[ \Delta H_f^o (CF_3ONF_2, g) = -189.1 \pm 0.8 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

The uncertainty is equal to twice the over-all standard deviation of the experiments.

7. Bond Energy Comparisons (U)

(U) It is of interest to compare our experimental result with predictions from bond energy terms. We shall use the bond energy terms and heats of atomization adopted in a recent publication from this laboratory (32) and listed in Table XXI.

**Table XXI**

(U) Terms for Calculation of Heats of Formation

\[ E (C-F) = 117.5 \text{ kcal/mol} \]
\[ E (N-F) = 67.1 \text{ kcal/mol} \]
\[ E (C-N) = 65.2 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

\[ \Delta H_f^o (C,g) = 171.5 \text{ kcal/mol} \]
\[ \Delta H_f^o (N,g) = 113.0 \text{ kcal/mol} \]
\[ \Delta H_f^o (F,g) = 18.9 \text{ kcal/mol} \]

Resonance energy of CF\(_4\) group is 1.4 kcal per bond less than that of CF\(_4\).

Resonance energy of CF\(_2\) group is 6.4 kcal per bond less than that of CF\(_4\).
In addition, the heat of atomization of oxygen is taken from Reference 31 as 69.55 kcal/mol and bond energies $E$ (C-O) = 85.5 and $E$ (N-O) = 53.0 kcal/mol are from Reference 33. There is then calculated:

$$-\Delta H_f = \Sigma \text{(bond energies)} - \Sigma \text{(heats of atomization)} + K$$

where $K$ is any correction term for resonance, steric effects, or other terms.

$$-\Delta H_f = 625.2 - 438.4 - 4.2$$

$$\Delta H_f^{298}(g) = -182.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

(U) This is slightly less negative than experimentally measured, which implies that $\text{CF}_3\text{ONF}_2$ is a relatively stable molecule. This is in agreement with the observation of Hale and Williamson (29) that $\text{CF}_3\text{ONF}_2$ is stable at 140°C. At higher temperatures, cleavage to $\text{CF}_4$ and FNO occurred. Our result is not in accord with a bond energy $E$ (N-O) = 35 kcal, which might be inferred from work of Paulett and Lustig (34) on mass spectrometer appearance potentials from $\text{FS}_2\text{ONF}_2$.

G. HEAT OF FORMATION OF FLOROX (ClF$_3$O) (C)

1. Introduction (U)

(C) A sample of oxychlorine trifluoride (ClF$_3$O, code name Florox) was obtained from Rocketdyne Division of North American Aviation, Inc. through the courtesy of Dr. D. Pilipovich. The heat of formation of liquid Florox was determined at Rocketdyne as $-39.3 \pm 3$ kcal/mol [aqueous HF based on Cox and Harrop (35)] or $-36.5 \pm 3$ kcal/mol [aqueous HF based on Reference (31)] by reaction with hydrogen and water. The present work involves reaction of Florox with aqueous arsenious oxide with the aim of confirming the heat of formation by a different method.

2. Material (U)

(C) The Rocketdyne sample was accompanied by the following assay:
The first three chemical components were ascertained by GLC while the HF concentration was established by near-infrared spectrophotometric analysis.

(C) A Dow assay was carried out as follows. A weighed amount of Florox was charged to a platinum-lined combustion bomb in the same fashion as the calorimetric experiments described in following sections of this report. The bomb was connected to a cylinder of ammonia (Matheson Co. 99.99%). Ammonia was charged into the bomb to a pressure of 5 atm. Reaction occurred spontaneously. Excess ammonia was vented, the bomb was opened, and the white NH₄Cl and NH₄F washed out with hot distilled water. The solution was analyzed for chloride and fluoride. The method is not sensitive for distinguishing ClF₃O and ClF₃, but the chloride content found, 32.61%, indicated a maximum of 99.7% [ClF₃O + ClF₃]. The fluoride content found, 55.0%, is consistent within experimental error with 0.3% HF impurity (calculated 52.8% F). The sample composition was therefore taken as 98.8% ClF₃O, 0.9% ClF₃, and 0.3% HF.

(C) From the known bomb volume, the pressure, the ambient temperature and sample mass, the molecular weight of the sample was calculated as 111.82. With a very reasonable estimate of 0.97 for the compressibility factor of the unassociated gas, the molecular weight at zero pressure is 108.5, in excellent agreement with theory for ClF₃O.

3. Equipment (U)

(U) The platinum-lined combustion bomb fitted with an annular tank has been previously described (30). A metal vacuum system was employed for loading the bomb with gaseous Florox. A rotating-bomb calorimeter and automatic resistance bridge were used to determine the heats of reaction.
4. Procedure (U)

(U) The bomb was connected to the vacuum system and evacuated. Florox was admitted to the system and bomb to about 100 mm pressure and allowed to condition the system for about 30 minutes. This Florox was pumped off and discarded. An appropriate amount of Florox was then transferred from the supply cylinder to a small Monel double valved trap which was disconnected from the system and weighed. After reconnecting, sample was transferred from this trap to the bomb to a pressure of 475 mm. The bomb was closed off and Florox remaining in the system recondensed in the trap which was then removed and reweighed. Blank experiments showed a small loss of 1.3 mg in this operation and this blank was subtracted from all sample weights.

(U) The bomb was disconnected and 50 ml of 0.0839 M As$_2$O$_3$ charged to the tank. The tank was then pressured to 60 psig with argon and closed off. The loaded bomb and tank assembly was placed in the calorimeter and, after the forerdrift was established, a valve was opened allowing the aqueous As$_2$O$_3$ to be forced into the bomb. Reaction of the bomb was begun when 0.6 of the temperature rise had occurred. The final drift rate readings completed the calorimetric part of the experiment.

(U) The bomb was vented, opened, and the contents washed out with distilled water. The solutions were analyzed for As$_2$O$_3$, F$, Cl^-$, and ClO$_3^-$'. Fluoride recoveries were about 99±1% of theory, while the sum of Cl$^-$ and ClO$_3^-$ averaged about 100±1% of theory. These recoveries were taken as satisfactory in view of the complexity of the solutions. For calculation purposes, the ClO$_3^-$ was taken as the difference between theoretical Cl$^-$ and the found Cl$^-$, since the chloride analysis was considerably more reliable than ClO$_3^-$ in blank experiments.

5. Results (U)

(C) The process described in the preceding section refers to the reaction:
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Several heats of mixing were run which established that no significant net thermal effect was associated with mixing the components of the final solution. The heats of formation of HCl and HF in this solution were therefore taken to be the same as those in pure water at a ratio of 1 mole to 75 moles of water. Two corrections were applied to the experiments: A correction for oxidation of aqueous As₂O₃ to aqueous As₂O₅ was calculated from data of Sunner and Thoren (36) as:

$$\text{As}_2\text{O}_3(\text{aq}) + \text{O}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{As}_2\text{O}_5(\text{aq})$$

$$\Delta E_R = -76,577 \text{ cal/mol}$$

A correction for conversion of HClO₃(aq) to HCl(aq) from Reference (31) as

$$\text{HClO}_3(\text{aq}) \rightarrow \text{HCl} (1 \text{ in } 75 \text{ H}_2\text{O}) + \frac{3}{2} \text{O}_2(\text{g})$$

$$\Delta E_R = -16,798 \text{ cal/mol}$$

(C) Benzoic acid calibration experiments established E(Enalor) as 3346.55 cal/°C. To this was added the heat capacity of the bomb contents: 49.64 cal/°C for 50 ml of 0.0839 M As₂O₃ and 0.14 cal/°C for 1.0 gram of ClF₃O gas. Results of the calorimetric experiments are given in Table XXII. The "Total Calories" column is the product of the corrected temperature rise and [E(Enalor) + Cp(contents)]. The average -ΔE_R/M refers to the reaction:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \text{ClF}_3 \text{O} \\ 0.01069 \text{ ClF}_3 \end{bmatrix} + 2.0152 \text{ H}_2\text{O}(l) \rightarrow 1.01069 \text{ HCl} (1 \text{ in } 75 \text{ H}_2\text{O}) +$$

$$3.04852 \text{ HF (1 in 75 H}_2\text{O}) + 1.50761 \text{ O}_2(\text{g})$$
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ΔE_R = -100.70 kcal

ΔH_R = -100.42 kcal

Auxiliary heat of formation data are taken from Reference (31):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>ΔHf kcal/mol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCl (1 in 75 H_2O)</td>
<td>-39.609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (1 in 75 H_2O)</td>
<td>-76.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H_2O (liq)</td>
<td>-68.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ClF_3 (g)</td>
<td>-38.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF (g)</td>
<td>-54.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There is then derived:

\[
\Delta H_f = \begin{bmatrix} ClF_3O \\ 0.01069 ClF_3 \\ 0.01646 HF \end{bmatrix} = -34.65 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

and after correction for ClF_3 and HF:

\[
\Delta H_{f_{298}} (ClF_3O, g) = -33.17 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

The heat of vaporization at 25°C is calculated from the vapor pressure equation given by Rocketdyne and an estimated compressibility factor of 0.97 as 6.98 kcal/mol. This value yields:

\[
\Delta H_{f_{298}} (ClF_3O, liq) = -40.15\pm0.50 \text{ kcal/mol}
\]

The uncertainty estimate includes 0.25 kcal/mol for statistical variation and 0.25 kcal/mol for uncertainty in sample composition. The result is in reasonable agreement with the Rocketdyne value, -36.5±3 kcal/mol (aqueous HF based on Reference 31).

(0) It may be noted that the heat of formation of ClF_3O is less negative than that of ClF_3; a mixture of ClF_3 and O_2 is, therefore, less energetic than the compound ClF_3O.
Table XXII

(U) Heat of Reaction of Florox and Aqueous As$_2$O$_3$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt. No.</th>
<th>Sample Mass, grams</th>
<th>Total Calories</th>
<th>As$_2$O$_3$, Calor'n, Calories</th>
<th>HC10$_3$, Corr'n, Calories</th>
<th>$\Delta$ER/M cal/gram</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0100</td>
<td>-1158.3</td>
<td>246.6</td>
<td>-16.6</td>
<td>919.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.0091</td>
<td>-1171.0</td>
<td>256.2</td>
<td>-12.7</td>
<td>919.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.0076</td>
<td>-1163.7</td>
<td>252.8</td>
<td>-16.3</td>
<td>920.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.0071</td>
<td>-1159.5</td>
<td>248.9</td>
<td>-15.2</td>
<td>919.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.0072</td>
<td>-1158.8</td>
<td>252.8</td>
<td>-14.1</td>
<td>913.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Average</td>
<td></td>
<td>918.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Std. dev.</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

H. THE HEAT OF FORMATION OF TETRAFLUOROHYDRAZINE (U)

1. Introduction (U)

(U) Tetrafluorohydrazine is a comparatively new compound first described in 1958 by Kennedy and Colburn (37). Later papers have appeared describing the mass and NMR spectra (38,39).

(U) Early calorimetry on N$_2$F$_4$ is limited to a series of measurements by Armstrong, Marantz, and Coyle (40) on the heat of reaction of ammonia and 95% pure N$_2$F$_4$. Their result is dependent on the heat of formation of NH$_4$F which is in turn dependent on the heat of formation of aqueous HF. Published values for the heat of formation of aqueous HF vary by several tenths of a kcal/mol.

(U) It seemed desirable to carry out a calorimetric study that would not involve aqueous HF. Recent work in this laboratory on the reaction between NPF$_3$(g) and C$_2$N$_2$(g) suggested that the same techniques could be applied to a reaction between N$_2$F$_4$(g) and C$_2$N$_2$(g). The reaction is explosive and is initiated by a spark:

$$N_2F_4(g) + \frac{1}{2} C_2N_2(g) \rightarrow CF_4(g) + \frac{3}{2} N_2(g)$$
The heats of formation of cyanogen (41) and CF₄ (23,35,42) are now well established as +73.8±0.4 kcal/mol and -223.0±0.2 kcal/mol, respectively. An accurate value derived from the above reaction would also better define the heat of formation of NF₂ radical, produced by thermal dissociation of N₂F₄.

2. Equipment (U)

(U) A Dickinson-type 25°C isothermal shield calorimeter was used for this project. The combustion bomb (laboratory designation NIB-2) was constructed of "A" nickel and had a volume of 0.352 l. O-Ring seal valves were employed for vacuum work. The energy equivalent of the system was measured by electrical heat inputs over the same temperature range in which the N₂F₄-C₆N₂ reactions took place. Three calibrations of the system gave a value of E(Calor) = -3202.1 cal/deg (±0.01%). Comparisons of benzoic acid combustion calibrations vs. electrical calibrations using a similar nickel bomb (NIB-1) indicated the values to be the same within experimental error of ±0.01%. (1 cal = 4.1840 abs. joules).

(U) Temperature measurements were made in terms of the resistance change of a calibrated thermistor-Wheatstone bridge. The corrected temperature changes were calculated by computer program using standard procedures.

3. Materials (U)

(U) The cyanogen and tetrafluorohydrazine were purchased from Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. Purification and analysis of the C₆N₂ as previously described (43) confirmed it to be at least 99.9% pure. "Research grade" N₂F₄ specified at 99.8% was purified further by low temperature distillation. A small amount of a lower boiling substance was cut out in this fashion. A reddish-blue color in the "as received" liquid N₂F₄ suggested the presence of a nitrogen oxide complex (44). The collected material after distillation was white in the solid and colorless in the liquid.
UNCLASSIFIED

(U) Infrared measurements indicated the complex band between 900 and 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) and also the strong broad band at 735 cm\(^{-1}\) as described by Colburn and Kennedy (37) and by Durig (45). A mass spectral analysis was carried out on a consolidated Electrodynamics Mass Spectrograph with the following fragmentation pattern.

Table XXIII

(U) Mass Frequentation Pattern of Tetrafluorohydrazine(g)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>m/e</th>
<th>Ion</th>
<th>Intensity, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>N(^+)</td>
<td>16.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F(^+)</td>
<td>9.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>N(_2)(^+)</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>NF(^+)</td>
<td>103.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>N(_2)F(^+)</td>
<td>7.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>52</td>
<td>NF(_2)(^+)</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>NF(_2)(_2)(^+)</td>
<td>6.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>NF(_3)(^+)</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>104</td>
<td>N(_2)F(_4)</td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The instrument reference was the n-butane 58 peak.

(U) In addition, molecular weight measurements were carried out by measuring the gas density. Two measurements, when corrected for gaseous non-ideality using estimated critical constants \((P_c = 41.87 \text{ atm}, T_c = 304.6^\circ \text{K})\) and the Berthelot equation of state, yielded 103.76±0.1 g/mol. Theory is 104.0 g/mol.

4. Nature of the Reaction (U)

(U) N\(_2\)F\(_4\)(g) and C\(_2\)N\(_2\)(g) were found to react in the gas phase when the mixture was sparked to form nitrogen and CF\(_4\). Ignition was accomplished by discharging a standardized capacitor across a 0.5 cm length of nickel fuse wire. An audible "click"
could be heard when the reaction took place. The reaction was run with an approximately 2 mole excess of $N_2F_4$, all of which was dissociated to $N_2$ and $F_2$ during the explosion. Gas samples were taken at the conclusion of each run, placed in contact with mercury to remove $F_2$, and analyzed by mass and infrared spectroscopy. $CF_4$ and $N_2$ were the only gaseous products found.

5. Procedure (U)

(U) The nickel bomb was passivated by carrying out several preliminary $C_2N_2-N_2F_4$ reactions. Between reactions the bomb was kept under vacuum and opened only in a nitrogen dry box. After four explosion reactions the internal surfaces of the bomb were noticeably glazed with $NiF_2$.

(U) A determination involved first fitting a weighed nickel fuse between the electrodes of the bomb while in the dry box. The bomb was then evacuated for several hours to less than one micron pressure. Cyanogen, contained in a 10 ml stainless steel cylinder, was metered into the bomb to a pressure of about 250 mm. The bomb was closed and the $C_2N_2$ in the manifold condensed back into the small cylinder. The mass of $C_2N_2$ contained in the bomb was determined by weighing the cylinder before and after the loading. $N_2F_4$ was admitted to the bomb to a total pressure of 760 mm using the same procedure.

(U) After the heat measurement, the bomb was again attached to the vacuum line for gas sampling and evacuation. The bomb was then opened in the dry box and unburned pieces of nickel fuse wire recovered. These were cleaned and weighed to determine the net amount burned to $NiF_2$. Data for this correction were available (28).

6. Results (U)

(U) Table XXIV lists the results of five determinations. $\Delta \Theta$ is the calorimetrically determined temperature change and $Q_\nu$ is the product of $E(\text{Calor})$ and $\Delta \Theta$. Column four is the correction.
Table XXIV
(U) Heat of Reaction Data

\[ \text{Na}_2\text{F}_4(\text{g}) + \frac{1}{2} \text{CaN}_2(\text{g}) \rightarrow \text{CF}_4(\text{g}) + \frac{3}{2} \text{N}_2(\text{g}) \]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Expt. No.</th>
<th>Corrected Temp. Rise, ( \Delta \theta ), (^\circ\text{C} )</th>
<th>Total Calories, ( \text{QV} )</th>
<th>Corrections in Calories</th>
<th>Sample Mass, ( g, \text{CaN}_2 )</th>
<th>( \Delta E/M ) ( \text{cal/g} \text{ CaN}_2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.7719</td>
<td>-2471.7</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.2509</td>
<td>-9,843.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.7660</td>
<td>-2452.8</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>0.2495</td>
<td>-9,828.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.7731</td>
<td>-2475.5</td>
<td>-0.8</td>
<td>0.2517</td>
<td>-9,832.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.7709</td>
<td>-2468.5</td>
<td>-1.0</td>
<td>0.2514</td>
<td>-9,818.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.7710</td>
<td>-2468.8</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.2511</td>
<td>-9,832.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Avg. = -9,831.0
\( \sigma = 4.1 \)
for decomposition of N$_2$F$_4$ to the elements based upon the amount of excess N$_2$F$_4$ over stoichiometry and its energy of dissociation of 5.915 kcal/mol as determined in this work. Columns five and six are corrections for nickel fuse wire consumed as NiF$_2$, and the electrical energy necessary for fusion, respectively. Column seven lists the weighed mass of C$_2$N$_2$ and the final column is the change in internal energy in calories per gram of C$_2$N$_2$. The uncertainty interval of ±8.2 cal/g represents twice the standard deviation arising from statistical variation in calibration and the N$_2$F$_4$-C$_2$N$_2$ heat measurements.

(U) The standard state enthalpy of reaction per mole of N$_2$F$_4$ is calculated as follows:

$$\Delta H_{298K} = \Delta H_{f}^{o}(N_2F_4) - \Delta H_{f}^{o}(C_2N_2)$$

$$\Delta H_{298K} = -255.78 \pm 0.21 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

$$\Delta H_{298K} = -255.19 \pm 0.21 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

(U) Taking $\Delta H_{f}^{o}(CF_4,g) = -223.0 \pm 0.2 \text{ kcal/mol}$ and $\Delta H_{f}^{o}(C_2N_2,g) = 73.85 \pm 0.4 \text{ kcal/mol}$ we calculate $\Delta H_{298K}(N_2F_4,g) = -4.73 \pm 0.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$. It should be noted that this is the $\Delta H_f(N_2F_4, \text{ Real Gas})$ since at room temperature there is present approximately 0.057% of N$_2$F$_4$ in the NF$_2$ form. The $\Delta H_r$ of (N$_2$F$_4$, Real Gas) with C$_2$N$_2$ would be expected to be more negative than the ideal gas. This correction amounts to 0.16 kcal/mol making $\Delta H_{r}^{o}(N_2F_4, \text{ Ideal Gas}) = -4.89 \pm 0.6 \text{ kcal/mol}$. 

(U) Because of the uncertainty in the heat of formation of aqueous HF, this result is not directly comparable with the previous work of Armstrong, Marantz, and Coyle (40). The fact that the present result is more negative than the previous work is in accord with the recent thermochemical data indicating the heat of formation of aqueous HF is more negative than the values adopted in recent NBS compilations.
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UNCLASSIFIED
Combination of the present result with the enthalpy of dissociation to NF\textsubscript{2} radicals adopted in the JANAF Thermochemical Tables, 22.26±2.0 kcal/mol, leads to a revised enthalpy of formation of NF\textsubscript{2} radical:

$$\Delta H_{f_{298}}(\text{NF}_2, g) = +8.7\pm2.0 \text{ kcal/mol}$$

I. SYNTHESIS (U)

During the past year CF\textsubscript{3}ONF\textsubscript{2}, TVOPA, and CF\textsubscript{3}NF\textsubscript{2} have been supplied to the Thermal Research Laboratory. CF\textsubscript{3}ONF\textsubscript{2} was prepared by the photochemical reaction of CF\textsubscript{3}OF and N\textsubscript{2}F\textsubscript{4} (46), i.e.,

$$\text{CF}_3\text{OF} + \text{N}_2\text{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{CF}_3\text{ONF}_2$$

This project was concluded with the preparation and purification of 6 g of material, which was supplied to the Thermal Research Laboratory for completion of their study.

The removal of solvent from TVOPA was achieved by several methods. A lot was obtained in which the solvent was a mixture of 65% chloroform and 35% Freon 113. Simple high vacuum techniques, which were adequate for removal of methylene chloride from the previous lot, were not effective. Therefore, a new procedure had to be developed. After small amounts of impurities were removed by elution of pure TVOPA with a 50% benzene - 50% methylene chloride mixture from an acid washed silica gel column, the solvent was removed by high vacuum (<5 μ) on a Rotovac apparatus. This procedure gave TVOPA with low residual chloride.

Since our supply of acid-washed material was exhausted, a solution of 30 g of production grade material was passed through a bed of sulfonic acid resin (Dowex 50, acid form). This was the same procedure used by Rohm and Haas in their preparation of acid-washed material.
(U) Using this acid-washed supply, five batches of TVOPA totaling 5.9 g were purified, and the homogeneous mixture was supplied to the Thermal Research Laboratory for calorimetric studies. Chloride analysis of six samples of this material indicated an average of 1.8 mg of chloride per gram of sample.

(U) Since benzene was used in one step of the purification process, it was desirable to determine the quantity of benzene remaining in the final material. To accomplish this, a sample of TVOPA was treated with C$^{14}$ tagged benzene, which was subsequently removed by the procedure described above. Any non-volatile impurity which might have been present in the benzene was retained in the TVOPA. Another sample of TVOPA was then treated similarly with the benzene removed from the previous sample. Both samples contained only negligible benzene from the standpoint of making corrections in the thermal data.

(U) Upon completion of this project, the preparation of pure CF$_3$NF$_2$ was undertaken. Although production of CF$_3$NF$_2$ by a photochemical process, i.e.,

\[ \text{CF}_3\text{I} + \text{N}_2\text{F}_4 \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{CF}_3\text{NF}_2 + \text{side products} \]

was originally believed to be an adequate means of producing this material, a better method was found (47). The photochemical method gave many side products, including SiF$_4$ and nitrogen oxides, which required codistillation and vapor phase chromatography to remove. The better method involved low temperature direct fluorination of KSCN, i.e. (47),

\[ \text{KSCN} + 6 \text{F}_2 \xrightarrow{-70^\circ\text{C}} \text{CF}_3\text{NF}_2 + \text{SF}_6 + \text{KF} \]

(U) The initial attempt of this reaction gave a quantitative yield of gaseous products consisting of CF$_3$NF$_2$ and SF$_6$, which could be separated completely by two codistillations. However, subsequent attempts failed to yield CF$_3$NF$_2$. Since the solid materials used in the reaction were found to be fused after each reaction failure,
the problem was assumed to be lack of dissipation of heat produced by a very rapid reaction. Therefore, several methods for slowing the reaction and removing heat were employed. The only operation which could be credited with solving the problem was placing granular KSCN in a cylinder and adding KF on top of it, taking precautions not to mix the two since mixing gave poor results.

(U) The preparation and purification of CF$_3$NF$_2$ is now progressing smoothly. Although the amount of pure material originally needed has been prepared, there is now a need for an additional 3 g. The preparation of this is in progress.

J. EXPERIMENTAL (U)

1. Purification of TVOPA (U)

(U) To purify TVOPA, a 1.5 g sample was placed on a Rotovac apparatus under a high vacuum (<5 \(\mu\)) at room temperature for two hours. This was followed by chromatography on an acid-washed silica gel column with an eluent of 50% methylene chloride-50% benzene. The TVOPA was again put on a Rotovac apparatus under high vacuum (<5 \(\mu\)) and room temperature for a 48 hour period. Material treated in this manner contained 1.8 mg of chloride per gram of sample.

2. Photochemical Preparation of CF$_3$NF$_2$ (U)

(U) Into a 5-liter flask was vacuum transferred 5.8 g (0.03 mole) of CF$_3$I and 3.15 g (0.03 mole) of N$_2$F$_4$. This mixture was irradiated for 24 hours with an immersion ultraviolet lamp surrounded by a 9700 Corex glass filter (eliminates wavelength below 260 millimicrons). The crude product was codistilled to remove the bulk of impurities, then it was passed through a bed of KOH pellets where most of the remaining SIF$_4$ was removed. Subsequent gas chromatography through a 21 ft. Kel-F tetramer column (25% oil on Chromosorb W) at -65°C gave 1.82 g (50% of theory) of pure material.
3. Fluorination of KSCN (U)

(U) About 5.0 g (0.0515 mole) of anhydrous KSCN was placed into a 1000 ml stainless steel Hoke cylinder, and 15 g (0.259 mole) of anhydrous KF was carefully placed on top of the KSCN. Special care was taken not to mix the two. Fluorine (0.091 mole) was admitted to the cylinder at -196°C. The cylinder was then allowed to warm to -78°C and stand at this temperature overnight. A quantitative yield of volatile material was obtained which consisted of approximately equal quantities of CF₂NF₂ and SF₆.

This mixture was separated by two careful codistillations through a column packed with magnesium beads.

(U) This procedure could be repeated until all of the KSCN was consumed as long as there was always a KF blanket on the KSCN.
A. INTRODUCTION

The use of elemental boron as a fuel for augmented rocket propulsion has revived the problem of the inefficiency of the combustion of boron. The combustion of boron in the presence of water or water-producing materials results in the formation of HBO as an intermediate product. This compound can arise from the reaction between the desired combustion product B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} and water (48,49), as well as from the highly reactive intermediate B\textsubscript{2}O and water (50).

The primary physical parameters of boron combustion are due to the fact that the melting point and, especially, the boiling point of the metal exceed the boiling point of the boric oxide (B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}), which is the ultimate product of the combustion. The high vapor pressure of the oxide at the ignition temperature of boron in oxygen (2200°K) (48) places boron in the realm of a surface burning metal, in contrast to aluminum, which burns primarily in the gas phase (51). Table XXV shows the melting and boiling points of boron and some of its oxidation products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substance</th>
<th>M.P., °K</th>
<th>B.P., °K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boron</td>
<td>2450 ± 20</td>
<td>3931 (estimate)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>509 ± 1</td>
<td>Sublimes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H\textsubscript{3}BC\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>441.1 ± 0.2</td>
<td>Dissociates at 330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}</td>
<td>723 ± 2</td>
<td>2316</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}JANAF Tables

It can be seen from Table XXV that the melting point of elemental boron is higher than the boiling point of any of its oxidation products.
reaction products. In fact, the products $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ and HOBO dissociate below 600\degree K.

(U) The inefficiency in the combustion of elemental boron, as well as in the borane fuels, has been attributed to the formation of HOBO. The first definite evidence for the existence of gaseous HOBO at high temperature was the infrared emission studies of White, Mann, Walsh and Sommer (48). From the intensity vs. temperature variation of the 2030 cm\(^{-1}\) band, the heat of reaction for:

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{B}_2\text{O}_3(1) + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) = \text{HBO}_2(g)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1a)$$

was found to be 39.0 ± 2.5 kcal/mol at 1350\degree K, corresponding to a $\Delta H_f^\circ$ of -135.0 ± 3.0 kcal/mol for HOBO(g). This work has led to the assertion that the formation of HOBO\(_2\) is an endothermic process. Using the latest JANAF data, a heat of reaction of +36.6 kcal/mol is calculated if the B\(_2\)O\(_3\) is liquid at 2500\degree K. The temperature is approximately that calculated for the flame temperature of elemental boron in oxygen. In fact, Fassell et al. (52) calculated an adiabatic flame temperature at 3786\degree K for the combustion of boron to B\(_2\)O\(_3\) in oxygen.

(U) There is some doubt that the actual process in a rocket combustion is simulated by this static equilibrium experiment. Accepting Talley's work at Experiment Inc., that the flame temperature of elemental boron combustion is equal to the oxide boiling point, then we could conclude that the primary reaction between $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$ and water is a homogeneous gas phase reaction since the gaseous H\(_2\)O would first come in contact with gaseous B\(_2\)O\(_3\). JANAF Table data show that this reaction:

$$\frac{1}{2} \text{B}_2\text{O}_3(g) + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O}(g) = \text{HOBO}(g)$$  \hspace{1cm} (1b)$$

is exothermic by 6.7 kcal/mol.

(U) There is some reason to doubt that the formation and existence of HOBO is detrimental from a purely thermodynamic point of view. Another possibility for the low combustion efficiency of boron-containing fuels could be the dissociation of B\(_2\)O\(_3\) via:

\[ (This \ Page \ is \ Unclassified) \]
Calculation shows the above reaction to be endothermic by 131 kcal/mol at 2500°K.

(U) The gas phase reaction is discussed for two reasons. First, it is recognized that problems are encountered with the oxide layer surrounding a burning boron particle, and this effect will not be discussed again. The problems associated with the low efficiency combustion characteristics of elemental boron may be due largely to this. However, this oxide layer effect does not exist in the combustion of volatile boron fuels (boranes, borazines, etc.), while the low combustion efficiency does still exist, indicating, perhaps, a gas phase combustion problem. Second and more important from an experimental point of view, the flash heating apparatus "sees" only gas phase products which have electronic spectra. In reporting data on the combustion of elemental boron, species which cannot be detected by the apparatus are not ruled out, but the investigation will be concentrated mainly on these species which can be identified.

(C) In order to more completely understand the chemistry involved in the combustion of a boron-containing solid propellant for air augmented combustion, it is necessary to study the combustion of boron and some of its primary oxidation products in atmospheres corresponding to those resulting from the deflagration of the ammonia perchlorate (AP) oxidizer and the polybutadiene-acrylonitrile (PBAN) binder.

(U) Primary emphasis is placed on those combustion systems which would be expected to yield HOBO as a combustion product.

B. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR PROPOSED STUDIES (U)

(U) The flash heating apparatus employed in the present work has already been described (53). It was employed to study the combustion of LMH-2 on Contracts AF 04(611)-7554 and AF 04(611)-11202.
(U) The boron used in the present work is "Avco 400." The elemental analysis of the metal is:

\[
\begin{align*}
B &= 89.75\% \text{ (avg. of 90.0\% and 89.5\%)} \\
C &= 0.95\% \\
H &= 0.76\%
\end{align*}
\]

The remainder is \(B_2O_3\).

(U) The oxygen, chlorine and HCl used in the combustion studies were Dow gases of 99 mole percent purity. The \(H_3BO_3\) used in supplementary studies was obtained from Jarrell-Ash Company and showed only 1 ppm of magnesium as an impurity. The studies carried out on \(B_2O_3\) employed an oxide obtained for Matheson-Coleman and Bell. This material was of at least 99 mole percent purity.

1. Volatilization of Boron (U)

(U) The first study undertaken was on the volatilization of the elemental boron to determine which absorption lines of the element were present.

(U) The pyrolysis of elemental boron was studied at 1815 joules for the time delay of only 0 to 210 \(\mu\)sec. No attempt was made to study the volatilization at longer delay, since it was desired only to identify boron lines. If the combustion of boron proceeds as expected, the lines should be present in greater intensity at longer delays. The purpose of this study was to determine the time delay for the first absorption boron lines. The shortest line delay at which boron lines were seen was 105 \(\mu\)sec. The lines identified were the 2486.8 \(\AA\) and the 2497.7 \(\AA\) lines of \(BI\). Also seen were the 0-0 lines of the \(^3\Sigma^-\Sigma_g\) transition of the diatomic molecule \(B_2\) at 3272.8 \(\AA\) and the 3-3 bands of the same transition at 3300.4 \(\AA\) (54).

(U) In subsequent studies described below, additional lines of \(B_2\) were identified. The present study proved that elemental
boron could be volatilized in the flash heating apparatus and its gas phase products identified. Once the gaseous species B and B₂ are detected, it could be concluded that some part of the boron combustion, small though it may be, is a homogeneous gas phase reaction.

2. Reactions of HOBO and H₃BO₃

(U) The role of HOBO has been thought to be that of a heat-sink such as Reaction (1a). In order to ascertain the absorption lines due to the reaction of HOBO, H₃BO₃ (HOBO·H₂O) was pyrolyzed and its spectrum analyzed.

(U) The spectra of OH and BO₂ were seen in greatest intensity. Since BO₂ was seen prior to BO, the following scheme for the dissociation of HOBO is suggested:

\[ \text{H}_3\text{BO}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{HOBO} \] \hspace{1cm} (3)

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{OH} \] \hspace{1cm} (4)

\[ \text{HOBO} \rightarrow \text{H} + \text{BO}_2 \] \hspace{1cm} (5)

\[ \text{OH} + \text{HOBO} = \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{BO}_2 \] \hspace{1cm} (6)

and finally,

\[ \text{BO}_2 + \text{H} \rightarrow \text{BO} + \text{OH} \] \hspace{1cm} (7)

and

\[ \text{BO}_2 + \text{BO} \rightarrow \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \] \hspace{1cm} (2)

It is important to note that the results suggest, at least under our experimental conditions of vacuum pyrolysis, that the O-H bond of HOBO is ruptured, not the O-B bond.

(U) The combustion of H₃BO₃ in 30 mm of oxygen showed the same lines to be present but of a greater intensity. This tends to indicate that the combustion of HOBO proceeds via the OH radical arising from:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow 2 \text{OH} + \text{O} \] \hspace{1cm} (8)
and \[ \text{HOBO} + \text{O} \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{BO}_2 \] (9)

Additional studies were carried out on HOBO and H_3BO_3 as the need became apparent.

3. Reactions of B_2O_3 (U)

(U) The high temperature vacuum pyrolysis of B_2O_3 has been analyzed in detail. The species BI, B_2, and BH were seen when B_2O_3 was flashed. No BO_2 has been detected. Until a careful analysis is carried out, Step (2):

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{BO} + \text{BO}_2 \] (2)

cannot be eliminated as possible reaction step in favor of:

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{B}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O} \] (10)

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{B}_2\text{O} + \text{O} \] (11)

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{BO} + \text{O} \] (12)

(U) G. S. Bahn, at the 1966 Spring Meeting of the Western States Section of the Combustion Institute, suggested the possibility of the species B_2O playing a prominent role in the combustion of boron (56). A series of lines in the range 3500-3770 Å cannot be identified. These lines decrease in intensity as the pressure of oxygen is increased. The same type of behavior would be expected from B_2O, as oxygen would cause it to react to B_2O_2 and B_2O_3 via:

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O} + \text{O}_2 = \text{B}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O} \] (13)

\[ \text{B}_2\text{O}_2 + \text{O} = \text{B}_2\text{O}_3 \] (14)

For a more detailed treatment of the formation of B_2O from boron, the original paper (56) should be consulted. Time did not permit a vibrational analysis of the bands to be carried out to determine if the bands do correspond to B_2O.
In general, it can be said that the gas phase oxidation of elemental boron is limited by the rate of volatilization of the metal. The reaction products include a large number of partially oxidized boron compounds, having very fast interconversion rates. The balance between oxygen and boron greatly influences the formation and stability of the desired product, $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$.

4. Combustion of Boron in Oxygen and Water

This study deals with the gas phase products from the reaction between liquid and gaseous boron and oxygen and in a mixture of oxygen and water. These studies were carried out at an oxygen pressure of 30 mm, although a few reactions were carried out at 20 mm oxygen. The delay time ranged from 14 to 3000 µsec at 1500-2000 joules. The time-intensity curve for the boron species observed during the combustion of boron is shown in Figure 3.

The first thing that is noticed in the combustion of boron in oxygen is the multitude of lines seen in absorption. These include OH (from the "glue" used to adhere the boron to the graphite strips plus that from the 0.76% $\text{H}_2$ in the boron itself), $\alpha$-BO, $\beta$-BO, $\text{B}_2\text{O}_2$, $\text{B}_3\text{O}_3$, and the species $\text{NH}$ and $\text{CN}$, from the volatilization of the graphite strips and their subsequent reaction with the adsorbed nitrogen from the dry box. One spectrum, for example, contained 265 absorption lines between 3000 and 4500 Å.

The $\alpha$-BO absorption lines were identified from the work of Mulliken (55) and Jenkins and McKellar (69). The $\beta$-BO spectrum was compared to that reported by Mulliken (55) and by Lagerqvist, Nilsson and Wigartz (70).

The BO bands, both $\alpha$- and $\beta$-systems, were intense. The $\alpha$-bands were the 1-1 band at 4363 Å; the 2-0 band between 3838 and 3846 Å. The $\beta$-BO bands identified were the 0-4 band with the heat at 2810 Å, the 1-5 band at 2851 Å, and the 3390 Å band of the 2-9 absorption. The high $v''$ values of the BO bands indicate the extreme thermal effects of the combustion.
(U) Fig. 3 - Boron Species Observed During The Flash Pyrolysis of Boron in 30 mm Oxygen at 2000 Joules
(U) The BO$_2$ could be accounted for by the reactions:

$$BO + O_2 = BO_2 + O_2$$  (15)

or

$$BO + O \rightarrow BO_2$$  (16)

The spectrum was identified with the aid of the bands reported by Johns (57). The intensity of the BO$_2$ lines increases as the oxygen pressure is raised from 20 to 30 mm.

(U) The species B$_2$O$_2$ was identified by the absorption at 4292, 4346, and 4355 Å, respectively. These bands correspond well to those reported by Porter and Dows (58). The intensity of the B$_2$O$_2$ lines is greatest early in the reaction, indicating it might be due to

$$B_2 + O_2 \rightarrow B_2O_2$$  (17)

or

$$BO + BO \rightarrow B_2O_2$$  (18)

(U) The species BH was identified through the absorption at 3396 Å, 3682-3745 Å, and especially from the lines 4319, 4331, and 4333 Å of the 'n $\rightarrow \Sigma$ transition (59,60). The source of the hydrogen for the BH is most likely to be found in the hydrocarbon "glue" used to adhere the boron to the graphite strips.

(U) As reported above, this boron-oxygen reaction has been studied only for delay times as long as 3000 μsec. The very complex spectrum of the boron-oxygen system suggested that many of the early combustion products (H$_3$BO$_3$, HOBO, BO$_2$, etc.) were dissociating in the first 1000 μsec, while at the same time the bulk of the elemental boron was just beginning to burn. The proliferation of B-H-O species early in the reaction (0-1000 μsec), as well as the predominance of BO at longer times, suggests that indeed the first products of the combustion dissociate early in the reaction.

(U) The combustion of elemental boron in oxygen is characterized by numerous absorption lines in the range 2400-4500 Å.
Most of the lines fall in the range 3000-4500 Å. The boron-containing species detected in absorption are α-BO, β-BO, BO₂, B₂O₂, B, B₂ and BH. Weak OH lines are also seen. The absorption spectrum of BO₂ contains the strongest lines of the boron-containing species. The β-BO system is the most extensive.

(U) Since no recorded spectrum for B₂O₃ is known in the UV or visible spectrum, this species was not detected in the gas phase during flash pyrolysis. However, B₂O₃ was detected when the solid residue from the combustion cell was analyzed.

(U) The time-intensity curve for the boron species observed during the flash pyrolytic combustion of boron is shown in Figure 3. The first species seen is B(g); after about 500 μsec, BO is seen to increase rapidly in intensity until 1500 μsec when the increase in intensity seems almost to stop. At about 570 μsec after initiation, the absorption of B₂O₂ is first detected. The intensity of B₂O₂ increases, to about 2000 μsec, where a maximum is reached, after which the intensity decreases. The BO₂ is first detected at 820 μsec after initiation. It reaches peak intensity at about 1400 μsec, after which its intensity decreases.

(U) The intensity of the OH radical was also recorded for the boron-oxygen system. Its total intensity was much less than that observed for the boron species, amounting to only about 30% of that for BO₂ at maximum intensity. The curve for OH as a function of time is shown in Figure 4. It is interesting to note that the curve shows two maxima at about 100 μsec and another between 2000-3000 μsec.

(U) Since it has been assumed the HOBO arises from the reaction between water and B₂O₃ (Equation 1), it was decided to study the combustion of boron in the presence of water in the hope of elucidating the mechanisms which account for HOBO.

(U) The studies on the combustion of boron in the presence of both water and oxygen duplicated as near as possible the above
(U) Fig. 4 - Hydroxyl radicals observed during the flash pyrolysis of boron in 30 mm oxygen at 2000 joules
conditions, with the exception that the gas phase contained 20 mm O₂ and 18 mm H₂O.

(U) The species seen in the study were the same species seen for the boron-oxygen system. The main difference in the two studies was the change in intensity of the observed species.

(U) The presence of B and BO can be accounted for by the Steps (19) and (20). (Unless otherwise stated, all species are gaseous).

\[
\begin{align*}
    B(s) & \xrightarrow{hv} B(1,g) + B_2 \\
    B(s,1,g) + O_2 & \rightarrow BO + O \\
\end{align*}
\]

(19) (20)

The species BO₂ could be formed by:

\[
\begin{align*}
    BO + O_2 & \rightarrow BO_2 + O \\
    BO + O & \xrightarrow{M} BO_2 \\
\end{align*}
\]

(15) (16)

with the three-body Reaction (16) much less likely to occur than Reaction (15). Both BO and BO₂ can form if the oxide B₂O₃ dissociates at temperatures above its boiling point, via:

\[
B₂O₃(g) \xrightarrow{A} BO_2 + BO \\
\]

(2)

(U) Data previously presented (57) indicate that Reaction (6) indeed does occur. However, the extent of this dissociation has not been ascertained. The intermediate B₂O₂ can occur by:

\[
BO_2 + B \rightarrow B₂O₂ \\
\]

(21)

or by the three-body collisions:

\[
B_2 + O_2 \xrightarrow{M} B₂O₂ \\
BO + BO \xrightarrow{M} B₂O₂ \\
\]

(17) (18)
The situation is made more complex when the possibility of the formation of HOBO via Reaction (1), is considered, or by:

\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{B}_2\text{O}_3(1,g) + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOBO}(g) \]  

The water arises either from the hydrogen in the boron or from the "glue" used to adhere the boron to the graphite strips (Apiezon N dissolved in benzene).

(U) As reported previously (57), the HOBO (formed by heating H$_3$BO$_3$) seems to dissociate at high temperatures to H and BO$_2$ by:

\[ \text{HOBO}(g) \xrightarrow{\text{hv}} \text{H} + \text{BO}_2 \]  

(U) Only BO$_2$ was observed, since HOBO has no UV or visible spectrum. Reaction (5) is probable in light of Equation (6), where the OH radical would scavenge the hydrogen atom, formed along with the BO$_2$, to form water. It is believed that by Reaction (5) the elementary process for the dissociation of HOBO is observed.

(U) In the presence of a third body (such as other exhaust products), the left hand side of Reaction (5) would predominate.

(U) The OH time-intensity curve of Figure 4 indicates that OH is formed rapidly up to around 100 μsec, after which it reacts with some other species in the gas phase to such an extent that its intensity is reduced to a minimum at about 500 μsec. The second increase in OH intensity could arise either from dissociation of an OH containing species, or from the lack of species to react with OH. No definite conclusions could be drawn from the graph alone.
In order to study the effect of water on the boron-oxygen system, a study was carried out at 20 mm O₂ pressure and 18 mm H₂O (just enough water to saturate our cell at 25°C and still not have condensation). Figure 5 shows the time-intensity curve for the OH radical derived from these experiments. The correction for OH due to the flash photolysis and pyrolysis of water alone has been applied to this curve. The resulting curve in Figure 5 shows only the OH due to the reaction between the boron and the oxygen/water mixture, uncorrected for hydrogen in the boron or in the "glue." This total intensity of OH in Figure 5 is about one order of magnitude brighter than that in Figure 4. The OH intensity in Figure 5 is greater than that for any boron species detected in this study. The intensity of BO and B O₂ are each about 35% as great as that of OH.

The greatest dissimilarity between Figure 4 and 5 is that the second OH peak in Figure 5 occurs at ~1400 μsec, while that in Figure 4 occurs at times greater than 2000 μsec. At 2500 μsec the OH of Figure 5 is quite weak, indicating a low concentration of OH in the gas phase.

The total effect of the water on the OH concentration seems to be shown by the time compression of the second maximum of OH. It could be that the water reacts very fast with the BO₂ to produce H₂BO₂ and OH, resulting in the second maximum of OH occurring at ~1500 μsec, whereas in the low water case of Figure 4 the increase in OH is much slower. The decrease in OH at times in excess of 1500 μsec could result from the reaction of OH with BO to form H₂BO via:

\[ \text{OH} + \text{BO} \rightarrow \text{H}_{2}\text{BO} \] 

or from the combination of OH radicals to form water:

\[ \text{OH} + \text{OH} \rightarrow \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{O} \]
(U) Fig. 5 - OH Radicals Observed During the Flash Pyrolysis of Boron in 20 mm Oxygen and 18 mm Water at 2000 Joules
(U) Fig. 6 - Species Observed During the Flash Pyrolysis of Boron in 35 mm Chlorine at 2000 Joules
A further possibility is the reaction between B(g) and OH to form the yet undetected HBO by:

\[ \text{B} + \text{OH} \xrightarrow{M} \text{HBO} \quad (22) \]

In conclusion, it is shown that HBO can be formed in four ways from the oxidation products of boron combustion in the presence of a source of hydrogen:

\[ \text{H}_2\text{O} + \text{BO}_2 = \text{HBO} + \text{OH} \quad (6) \]
\[ \frac{1}{2} \text{BO}_3(s, l, g) + \frac{1}{2} \text{H}_2\text{O} = \text{HBO} \quad (1) \]
\[ \text{H} + \text{BO}_2 = \text{HBO} \quad (7) \]
\[ \text{OH} + \text{BO} \xrightarrow{M} \text{HBO} \quad (23) \]

It must also be recognized that, as long as water or any source of water is present in a boron-oxygen system, the formation of HBO cannot be prevented. The high temperatures encountered in boron-combustion also favor HBO as the combustion product in the above four equations. The reverse of Equation (1) probably takes place outside of the rocket engine to give the desired product of combustion. Equations (6), (7), and (23) are the most probable causes of the formation of HBO, particularly (6) and (7).

5. Combustion of Boron in Chlorine and HCl (U)

Combustion studies of boron in chlorine and HCl were being carried out concurrently with the studies involving oxygen and water. The data from these chlorine studies produced no unexpected results.

The combustion studies employing chlorine as the oxidizer were carried out at a pressure of 35 mm. Flash energy was held constant at 2000 joules. Delay time ranged from 30 to 10,000 μsec.

The products observed in the reaction between boron and chlorine are Cl, Cl₂, BCl and BCl₃. The Cl₂ and Cl spectra were recognizable from our earlier work on NH₄ClO₄ and are described
there (53). The BCl spectrum was correlated to the \( \text{'n}-{^1}\Sigma \) transition in the range 2650-2880 Å described by Miescher (62) and Herzberg and Hushley (63). The BCl₃ was correlated with a diffuse reaction with that observed when pure BCl₃ was analyzed. Figure 6 shows the time-intensity curve for the species Cl₂, Cl, BCl and BCl₃ observed during the reaction. The observed absorptions due to B and B₂ were not sufficiently strong to warrant being plotted.

(U) The rapid disappearance of Cl₂ and appearance of Cl in the combustion of boron could be explained by:

\[
\text{Cl}_2 \xrightarrow{hv} 2 \text{Cl}
\]  

Since Cl₂ is almost gone when BCl is first formed, the following steps are quite probable for the initial formation of BCl:

\[
\text{B(s)} \xrightarrow{hv} \text{B(1)} + \text{B(g)}
\]  

and

\[
\text{B(s,l,g)} + \text{Cl} \xrightarrow{M} \text{BCl}
\]  

(U) The very fast recombination of Cl to Cl₂ should cause Cl₂ to reappear at a rate proportional to the disappearance of Cl. Since this is not seen, it is believed that BCl reacts with the reformed Cl₂ to generate Cl and BCl₂ and BCl₃ by:

\[
\text{BCl} + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{BCl}_2 + \text{Cl}
\]  

\[
\text{BCl}_2 + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{BCl}_3 + \text{Cl}
\]  

with the final step:

\[
\text{Cl} + \text{Cl} \xrightarrow{M} \text{Cl}_2
\]  

accounting for the increase in Cl₂ as time increases.

(U) Although BCl₂ was not observed spectroscopically, its formation would account for the induction time for the formation of BCl₃ as well as for the low intensity of Cl₂ and long persistence.
If the reaction:

\[ \text{BCl} + \text{Cl}_2 \rightarrow \text{BCl}_3 \]  

were to proceed instead by the stepwise route, the disappearance of Cl would be immediate and would terminate when BCl reached a maximum.

(U) The reaction between boron and chlorine is clean and not unexpected, yielding gaseous BCl₃ as the final product.

(U) When B was flashed in the presence of HCl, the species B, B₂, BCl, BCl₃ and BH were detected. The reaction was not systematically studied, as it seemed similar to that of boron and chlorine with the exception of small amounts of BH present.

C. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS FOR MODIFIED STUDIES (U)

1. Introduction (U)

(C) The work carried out on the combination of boron in the presence of water and oxygen led to the conclusion that one way to minimize the formation of HOBO was to decrease the amount of water found in the combustion system. There are two sources of water in the combustion system, namely, the hydrogen from the oxidizer AP and the hydrogen from the binder PBAN.

(C) Work carried out at Thiokol Chemical Corporation has shown that, at least to a first approximation, the flame temperature of a boron-AP formulation is strongly dependent upon the boron/AP ratio (64) and less strongly on the binder composition. Keeping in mind that a flame temperature of at least 2200°C is necessary to insure combustion of the boron, it seemed prudent to try to modify the binder composition in such a way as to decrease the amount of water formed during binder combustion.

(C) It was decided, on thermodynamic grounds, to study the combustion of boron in oxygen and a fluorine-containing compound. The fluorine should react with the hydrogen (in this case from the
boron and the "glue") to form HF, which, being thermodynamically more stable than water, would preclude its formation as well as that of OH.

(U) A reduction in the concentration of these two species would reduce the formation of HOB0, and thereby increase the combustion efficiency of the boron/AP reaction. However, any combustion system supplying elemental boron to the air supported combustion process must have a flame temperature in excess of ~2300°K. Therefore, it is imperative that the flame temperature for any modified system supporting air augmented combustion be determined. This last statement included the flame temperature for the boron/oxygen and boron/oxygen/water system previously studied.

(C) The study of the effect of fluorine atoms on the combustion of boron in oxygen was studied in several systems by flash pyrolysis and kinetic spectroscopy.

2. Systems Studied (U)

(U) The compositions of the systems studied were:

(i) ~45 mg boron/30 mm O₂
(ii) ~45 mg boron/20 mm O₂/18 mm H₂O
(iii) ~45 mg boron/10 mm O₂/10 mm F₂
(iv) ~45 mg boron/15 mm O₂/30 mm F₂
(v) ~45 mg boron/25 mm O₂/10 mm CH₂F₂
(vi) ~45 mg boron/25 mm O₂/10 mm CHF₃

(U) All combustion studies were initiated by a 2000 joule flash. Initial analyses were made at a delay time of 100 µsec and analyzed for as long as 2.5 milliseconds after initiation. The data were recorded by photographing the absorption spectrum of each reaction in the spectral range 2000-6000 Å, using Kodak 103-0 and 103-F spectroscopic plates.
(U) The first two systems, (i) (61) and (ii) (65), were studied to give base line values for the effect of water on the combustion of boron in oxygen.

(C) Systems (iii) and (iv) were studied to show the effect of fluorine atoms on the combustion of boron in oxygen, and, in particular, to determine the extent of reduction of the OH radical concentration. Hydrogen was always present in our system as a result of the 0.8% hydrogen in the boron itself plus that due to the Apiezon stopcock grease needed to bond the boron to the graphite plates used in our pyrolytic technique. Systems (v) and (vi) were studied to determine the effect of introducing fluorine atoms at two different H/F ratios in the prototype monomers into the solid propellant system. Primary consideration was given to the distribution of combustion products and to the flame temperature for each of the above systems, since the flame temperature of the solid propellant combustion process greatly influences the amount of boron supplied to the incoming air, as well as the physical state of the boron [M.P. = 2450°C (JANAF)].

(C) The proposed stoichiometry of the reaction between oxygen and CH₂F₂ is:

\[ \text{CH}_2\text{F}_2 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + 2 \text{HF} \]  \hspace{1cm} (31)

The reaction between O₂ and CHF₃ is proposed to be:

\[ \text{CHF}_3 + \text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{CO}_2 + \text{HF} + \text{F}_2 \]  \hspace{1cm} (32)

(C) System (v) was studied to determine the effect of a prototype binder, CH₂F₂, which was stoichiometrically balanced to form HF by itself, on the reaction between boron and oxygen. System (vi) is an example of a fluorine-rich system (one which has an F/H ratio >1). The excess fluorine is available to react with the hydrogen from the oxidizer as well as from the plasticizer.

(U) Thermochemical calculations show that if BF₃ and H₂O were found, instead of B₂O₃ and HF at 2500°C, the reaction:
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2 BF₃(g) + 3 H₂O(g) → B₂O₃(g) + 6 HF(g)  \hspace{1cm} (33)

would have a free energy of -33.3 kcal. This negative value for the free energy means the right side of (33) would be favored. The overall effect of the fluorine would be to minimize the formation of H₂O and, hopefully, that of HOBO.

(U) Plate intensities were read with a Jarrell-Ash ratio recording microphotometer. A mercury arc was used to calibrate the spectrograph and the spectroscopic plates.

(U) The flame temperature was inferred from the rotational distribution of the (0,0) band of the A²Σ⁺ - X²Π transition of the OH radical (54). The technique is that of Dieke and Crosswhite (66) and involves the distribution of intensity among lines of the rotational fine structures of a band spectrum. A discussion of the merits of the technique can be found in NBS Circular 523 (67).

(U) The experimental observations are discussed below. Analysis and interpretation of these observations are presented later in the Discussion Section.

3. Results (U)

a. Combustion of Boron in Oxygen and Water (U)

(U) The experimental results and the discussion for the combustion of boron in oxygen and in a mixture of oxygen and water has been previously described (B-2). However, the calculation of the flame temperatures for these systems had not been carried out at that time.

(U) Figure 3 shows the correlative intensity of boron species observed during the combustion of boron in 30 mm of O₂. The relative concentration of the OH radical observed during the same reaction is seen in Figure 4. The second and more prominent OH maximum seems to correspond in time to the rate of formation of BO₂. The OH intensity is about 30% of that for HO₂ at maximum intensity. The hydrogen for this weak OH spectrum probably came
From the stopcock grease "glue" used to bond the boron to the graphite strip, as well as from the 0.8\% hydrogen in the boron metal itself.

(U) The flame temperature of the boron-oxygen system discussed above is shown in Figure 7. The lines through the experimental points are the uncertainty in the temperature measurement. The maximum in the flame temperature correlates quite well with the first significant appearance of $\text{B}_2\text{O}_2$ at about 1000 $\mu$sec after initiation. The steady state flame temperature of about 2350-2400$^\circ$K is reached at about 2000 $\mu$sec after initiation. This corresponds in time to the steady state concentration of BO being attained (compare Figures 3 and 7).

(U) There seems to be no direct correlation between the flame temperature and the OH concentration for the boron-oxygen system other than both approach some equilibrium value at about 2000 $\mu$sec (Figures 4 and 7).

(U) The time-intensity curve for the OH radical observed during the combustion of boron in the presence of oxygen and water is shown in Figure 6. The total intensity of the OH in Figure 6 is about 10 times that in Figure 4. The total intensity of BO and $\text{B}_2\text{O}_2$ are reduced to a value below that seen in Figure 3.

(U) The flame temperature for the boron-oxygen-water system is seen in Figure 8. The lack of coincidence of the flame temperature with the OH radical concentration indicates that the process or processes which give rise to the initial large amount of OH are not necessarily those which govern the combustion temperature of boron in an oxygen/water mixture. The profile of the flame temperature which occurs at times greater than 1000 $\mu$sec seems to parallel the concentration change of the OH radical during the same time period. This could indicate that the process which governs the rate of formation and disappearance of the OH radical could also control the temperature of the whole combustion process.
Fig. 7 - Flame Temperature Observed During the Combustion of Elemental Boron in Oxygen in System (I)

UNCLASSIFIED
(U) Fig. 8 - Flame Temperature Observed During the Combustion of Elemental Boron in Oxygen and Water in System (11)
UNCLASSIFIED

(U) It is important to note that the addition of water to a boron-oxygen system reduces the maximum flame temperature by about 300°K. This effect is in the direction indicated by the reaction:

$$\text{H}_2\text{O}(g) + \text{BO}_2(g) \rightarrow \text{OH} + \text{HOBO} \quad \Delta H_R = +7.5 \text{ kcal}$$

\[(6)\]

b. Combustion of Boron in Fluorine and Oxygen (U)

(U) Detailed analysis of these combustion systems has not been completed; however, certain gross features were observed. The products at 100 μsec in both Systems (iii) and (iv) were primarily BF and HF. The BF/HF ratio was greater in the fluorine-rich System (iv) than it was in System (iii).

(U) The OH intensity was reduced considerably by the addition of fluorine, showing the preferential formation of HF over OH. The OH intensity is about 15-20% of that of a boron-oxygen system.

(U) The maximum flame temperature for System (iii), which contained equal amounts of fluorine and oxygen, was 2663 ± 37°K, as shown in Figure 9. The flame temperature for a boron/oxygen/flame which has twice as much fluorine as oxygen is seen in Figure 10. In this case, maximum flame temperature is observed at 100 μsec (~2047°K). A minimum is reached at 500 μsec (~1730°K) and a second maximum at 2000 μsec (~2030°K). This is considerably below the 2663°K attained in System (iii). The flame temperature seems to decrease as the F/O ratio is increased. The OH intensity is about 10% of that observed in a boron/oxygen system.

(U) The overall effect of the addition of fluorine to a boron-oxygen combustion system is to decrease the OH concentration with the subsequent decrease in the amount of water formed. The flame temperature also decreases as the fluorine concentration is increased.
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UNCLASSIFIED
Fig. 9 - Flame Temperature Observed During the Combustion of Elemental Boron in an Equimolar Mixture of Oxygen and Fluorine in System (iii)
(U) Fig. 10 - Flame Temperature Observed During the Combustion of Elemental Boron in the Presence of 15 mm Oxygen and 30 mm Fluorine in System (iv)
c. Combustion of Boron in Oxygen/Fluorinated Binder Prototype Systems (C)

(C) The combustion of boron in 25 mm O$_2$ and 10 mm CH$_2$F$_2$ decreases the OH intensity to almost 45% of that observed when no additive was present. The flame temperatures for this system are seen in Figure 11. Two temperature maxima are seen; the first at 500 μsec is about 2640°K, with the second at 2000 μsec about 2515°K. These are about equal to the temperatures for a straight boron/oxygen system. The two maxima could be indication of a two-process flame reaction. No attempt has been made to evaluate this phenomenon.

(C) The addition of CH$_2$F$_2$, which has the same H/F ratio as a polyvinyl fluoride binder -(CH$_2$-CF$_2$)$_x$-, has very little effect on the flame temperature of the overall combustion. It seems to minimize the OH concentration, yet does not seem to prolong the combustion process.

(C) The combustion of boron in an atmosphere of 25 mm O$_2$ and 10 mm CHF$_3$ was studied to determine whether the excess fluorine of the prototype binder had a detrimental effect on the flame temperature.

(U) As seen from Figure 12, the maximum flame temperature was only ~2410°K. As in all other cases, two maxima are seen, with the first maximum occurring at ~200 μsec. The temperature minimum at ~500 μsec is not very pronounced and leads smoothly to the second maximum at about 1000 μsec. The second maximum occurs earlier than for any system studied, excepting the fluorine-rich System (iv), where the second maximum also occurs at 1000 μsec.

(U) The total OH intensity is about 20% of that for the boron-oxygen system. This result and the increased BO and BO$_2$ intensities suggest a scavenger effect of the fluorine atom toward the hydrogen.

(U) All studies involving the addition of fluorine-containing molecules indicated a decrease in the amount of OH formed. The
(U) Fig. 11 - Flame Temperature Observed During the Combustion of Elemental Boron in 25 mm of Oxygen and 10 mm of Methylene Fluoride in System (v)
(U) Fig. 12 - Flame Temperature Observed During the Combustion of Elemental Boron in 25 mm of Oxygen and 10 mm of Fluoroform in System (vi)
initial boron products were BF and BF$_3$, whereas those in the last 1000 $\mu$sec were BO, BO$_2$, and B$_2$O$_2$, almost to the total exclusion of BF as BF$_3$. The final fluorine product was HF. White B$_2$O$_3$ was the ultimate condensed phase low temperature product. The major carbon species was CO, not CO$_2$ as postulated in Reactions (31) and (32).

d. Discussion of Results

1. Combustion of Boron in Oxygen and Water

The combustion of boron in oxygen has already been discussed in detail (31). The addition of water causes a decrease in the intensity of BO$_2$ and increases the intensity of OH, as expected if Equation (6):

$$H_2O(g) + BO_2(g) \rightarrow OH + HOBO \quad \Delta H_R 2500 = +7.5 \text{ kcal} \quad (6)$$

is obeyed. The endothermic reaction could cause a lower flame temperature.

The overall effect of the addition of water primarily lowers the ignition temperature with the subsequent lowering of the flame temperature. These two effects, along with the recognized formation of HOBO, can account for the lowered combustion efficiency of the boron-AP-PBAN system. We believe the cause of this reduced efficiency is due to the large amount of H$_2$O found in the system. The water reacting with the BO$_2$ promotes the formation of HOBO at the expense of B$_2$O$_3$, the desired product.

The above conclusion, if valid, immediately suggests its own remedy: reduce the formation of water in a B-AP system and the formation of HOBO will be reduced by minimizing the following reactions:

$$H_2O(g) + BO_2(g) \rightarrow OH + HOBO \quad (6)$$
$$1/2 H_2O(g) + 1/2 B_2O_3(j,g) \rightarrow HOBO \quad (1)$$
The studies on the combustion of boron in oxygen and in fluorine-containing ingredients were initiated to prove the validity of this conclusion.

(2) Combustion of Boron in Oxygen and Fluorine (U)

The decrease in OH intensity to about 10-20% of that for the pure boron-oxygen system, coupled to the increased intensity of BO and BO$_2$, and the appearance of HF, indicates that the addition of fluorine does decrease the amount of water and OH in the system. The decreased H$_2$O and OH seem to be due to the preferential formation of HF over these two species.

The anomalous flame temperature of 2663°K for System (iii) is still a mystery (Figure 9). This flame temperature is about 100°K higher than that for a boron-oxygen flame and is almost 1000°K higher than that reported by Texaco for a pure boron-fluorine flame having $\Delta E_a=0$ (68). The two temperature maxima seen in Figure 8 could be due to two different and separate processes. The second maximum is in the range of that for a boron-oxygen flame. It also has almost the same induction time as a boron-oxygen flame, as can be seen by comparing the rise of reaction products in Figures 3, 4, 7 and 9. The increase in BO and BO$_2$ concentration of Figure 3 correlates fairly well with the rise of the OH concentration of Figure 4. The shorter induction time of the maximum flame temperature of Figure 5 can also be correlated to Figures 3 and 4. The slightly longer induction time of Figure 8 can be explained as being due to the diluent effect of the HF.

According to the work reported by Texaco (68), a lower flame temperature can be expected from a fluorine-rich system. This lowered flame temperature is exactly that seen for System (iv) in Figure 10. The product BF was observed to be present at times later than that observed in System (iii). This increased amount of BF could account for the lowered flame temperature, as some of the boron is not available to react with the oxygen at times less than 2000 μsec.
In summary, the gross overall effects of the addition of fluorine to a boron-oxygen combustion system are:

(a) A decrease in the amount of OH and H₂O found.
(b) The final hydrogen-containing product is HF.
(c) The BO and BO₂ are seen in increased intensities.
(d) The flame temperature is decreased as the concentration of fluorine in the system is increased.

From a spectroscopic point of observation, the addition of fluorine itself seems to alter the intensity of OH, BO, and BO₂ in a manner consistent with a reduction of the OH and an increase of the BO and BO₂. The flame temperature of an equivalent mixture of oxygen and fluorine is about the same as that for a boron-oxygen flame. A fluorine-rich system reduces the flame temperature.

e. Combustion of Boron in Oxygen/Fluorinated Binder System (C)

The combustion of boron in 25 mm O₂ and 10 mm CH₂F₂ resulted in a substantial decrease in the OH intensity. The flame temperature out to 1100 µsec is higher than that for an equivalent mixture of O₂ and F₂ (compare Figures 9 and 11). This could be due to two causes: first, the prototype monomer CH₂F₂ has within itself the equivalent to form 2 HF in an exothermic way; and secondly, the heat of combustion of the carbon to CO generates a higher temperature. These two reactions probably take place immediately (~100 µsec) after the flash. The temperature minimum at 1250 µsec could be due to the above two reactions being finalized while the reaction of boron and oxygen is just beginning. If some CO₂ were formed along with the CO, the flame temperature would be increased above that normally expected. The formation of CO₂ would also result in a depletion of the oxygen available for combustion, with boron giving a lower flame temperature for this reaction (compare second temperature maximum in Figure 11 with the flame temperature maximum in Figure 7).
The flame temperature range for the combustion of boron in a mixture of $O_2$ and $CH_2F_2$ compares favorably with that for a pure boron-oxygen flame. The addition of $CH_2F_2$ does seem to decrease the OH and $H_2O$ formed while still maintaining a high flame temperature. The cause for this effect should be studied further, as it is unexpected due to the stoichiometry of $CH_2F_2$.

The temperature of boron in 25 mm $O_2$ and 10 mm $CHF_3$, while giving a lower flame temperature than does $CH_2F_2$, seems to yield a smoother reaction as evidenced by the lesser variation in flame temperature. The greater intensity of BF at times approaching 1000 µsec tends to indicate that some of the fluorine originally present in the $CHF_3$ would be available to scavenge the hydrogen from the $NH_4ClO_4$ and form additional HF directly or by a reaction similar to Reaction (33).

$$2BF_3(g) + 3H_2O(g) \rightarrow B_2O_3(g) + 6HF(g) \quad (33)$$

The flame temperature of the $B/CHF_3/O_2$ system is intermediate between that for $B/CH_2F_2/O_2$ and $2F_2/O_2/B$. Again, the indication is that the more fluoride that is added to the system, the lower is the flame temperature.

We believe that we have demonstrated, from a combustion point of view at least, that certain prototype fluorinated monomers are helpful in reducing the amount of OH and $H_2O$ present during the combustion of boron in a system containing oxygen and hydrogen. Furthermore, we believe that a binder which contains just enough fluorine to give HF stoichiometrically when all the hydrogen in the system is reacted will yield a flame temperature comparable to that of pure boron-oxygen flame.

4. Recommendations

We recommend that additional work be performed to pursue more intensively the use of fluorinated binders for air augmented boron-containing solid rocket propellants. The work reported above shows that it may be possible to minimize the formation of HOBO by
reducing the OH and H₂O in the combustion system by the addition of certain fluorinated binders.

D. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON BORON/AP SYSTEMS

1. Introduction

In order to more fully exploit the recommendation made in the last section, it is imperative to know if the major source of water and OH is indeed the PBAN binder, as postulated, or whether the AP itself is a major source of OH and water.

Previous studies carried out at Dow (53) show that OH is indeed a product of the high temperature decomposition of AP. The question, however, remains: In a boron-rich system, is the OH from the AP sufficiently reactive to interact with the boron and be destroyed, or is it stable enough that it can react with oxygenated species from the boron combustion to produce HOBO?

A reaction system containing boron and AP was subjected to flash pyrolysis in order to determine the mode of interaction of the two ingredients at combustion temperatures.

2. Experimental Results

The boron used in this study was "Avco 400." The AP was from the same batch as that used in our study on AP decomposition (6). Flash energies varied from 1600 to 2000 joules. Spectroscope delay times varied from 12 to 3800 μsec. The sample composition was 75% boron and 25% AP by weight. Apiezon N stopcock grease was needed to bond the boron particles to the graphite surface. Thus, the system contained a source of hydrogen other than that found in the boron and AP.

The spectra are particularly rich in lines in the region 3400-3500 Å. The OH intensity, at all delays, is weak. A strong and persistent absorption spectra of BH is observed. A weak absorption spectra of BCl is seen early in the reaction. Lines due to the a system of BO are noted early and increase in intensity as...
the reaction proceeds. A few lines of OH and CO are also seen. They are probably due to the combustion of the stopcock grease. A few lines of BO₂ and B₂O₂ are also seen. The waxing and waning of the observed lines indicate that the AP is dissociated at a temperature much lower than that needed to produce B and B₂ vapor from the elemental boron.

(U) The weak intensity of the OH spectra and the absence of lines due to water suggest that hydrogen sources other than AP are responsible for the formation of water and ultimately that of HOBO found in the combustion system. The obvious conclusion that can be reached is that the alternate source of water is the FBAN binder. If this proves to be the case, then the remedy suggested in the previous section should be valid and worthy of continued effort.

(U) The surprising intensity of the diatomic molecule BH suggest that it also could react with oxygen to form HOBO via

\[ BH + O₂ \rightarrow HBO + O \] (34)

\[ HBO + O₂ \rightarrow HOBO + O \] (35)

(U) This mechanism would also account for the formation of HBO, suggested as an intermediate by some people.

E. EXPERIMENTAL STUDIES ON THE COMBUSTION OF ALUMINUM (U)

1. Introduction (U)

(U) The fuel given second priority to boron for use in air augmented combustion is aluminum. For this reason, work was initiated on the combustion of aluminum in oxygen. The combustion of aluminum in general is well known and will not be discussed in detail.

2. Experimental Results (U)

(U) About 30 mg of 99% pure aluminum powder was flash pyrolyzed in the presence of 50 mm O₂. No "glue" was needed to adhere the aluminum to the graphite substrates. The flash energy was held at
a constant 1815 joules (11 KV at 30 μfd). Delay times were varied from 22 to 2500 μsec. Intense line spectra at 3082, 3092 and 3961 Å were observed. These correspond to the persistent lines of atomic aluminum vapor AlI. Band spectra corresponding to AlO were seen to increase in intensity after 100 μsec and reach an apparent maximum at ~1500 μsec. Black body radiation was seen to appear at times greater than ~500 μsec and was seen to increase in intensity as time proceeded. This was attributed to radiation from the solid Al₂O₃ found in the reaction.

(U) The persistence of the AlI lines indicates that a good portion of the aluminum was in vapor state during the course of the combustion.

(U) No OH lines were seen, attesting to the purity of the aluminum.

F. SUMMARY (U)

(C) The work reported herein shows that HOBO probably is formed from the reaction of OH and H₂O with B₂O and B₂O₂ rather than from liquid B₂O₃ and water. Further, it is shown that the substitution of a fluorinated binder for PBAN decreases the amount of OH and H₂O formed during combustion. This reduction of OH and H₂O results directly in a decrease in the amount of HOBO formed. The effects of this reduction of HOBO are manifested in an increased flame temperature and increased combustion efficiency.

(U) The primary source of OH and H₂O in a boron propellant for air augmented combustion is the hydrocarbon binder, not the AP.

(U) The combustion of aluminum seems to be smooth, resulting in condensed Al₂O₃ as final product.
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Investigation of the Thermodynamic Properties of Propellant Ingredients and the Burning Mechanisms of Propellants
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The heat of formation of crystalline lithium-doped BeH₂, TVOPA, DANTP, CF₄, CF₂ClNF₂(g), Florox(g), and NF₃(g) were determined as -5.0, -208.1±2.9, -210.4, -223.2±0.6, -189.1±0.8, -33.2±0.5, -4.7±0.6 kcal/mol, respectively, and alane-terminated BeH₂ analyzed as A1₂Be₁₁(CH₃)₂₉H₂O, as -35.7±2.1 kcal/100 g. Removal of solvent from TVOPA and preparation and purification of CF₂ClNF₂ have both been completed. A photochemical process and a low temperature fluorination procedure have been used to prepare CF₃NF₂. The latter was the better method. Using the technique of flash pyrolysis, the combustion behavior of B₂O, B₂O-H₂O and B₂O-flourinated monomer system have been analyzed from the time of initiation to 3000 sec. The presence of H₂O in the combustion system increases the formation of the intermediate HOBO and results in a lowered flame temperature. The addition of fluorine to the system precludes the formation of H₂O and likely that of HOBO, resulting in a higher flame temperature. The combustion of B in the presence of AP takes place in an atmosphere of gaseous products resulting from the deflagration of the AF. Only small amounts of water are present in this system. The present work suggests that in order to minimize the formation of HOBO, via: H₂O + B₂O → HOBO + H₂, the hydrocarbon binder should be changed to a fluorocarbon binder.
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