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SUMMARY

Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of five hypersonic missile configurations have been determined in the Langley 20-inch hypersonic tunnel at a Mach number of 6.01 and a Reynolds number per foot of $6.96 \times 10^5$ for angles of attack up to $30^\circ$. The configurations investigated were a body which had a length-diameter ratio of 10 and a cone-ogive nose, the body with a $10^\circ$ flare, the body with cruciform fins of $5^\circ$ or $15^\circ$ apex angle, and a flared rocket model having a length-diameter ratio of 11.7 and a modified Von Kármán nose.

The results indicate that the configurations with the $10^\circ$ flare or the $5^\circ$ cruciform fins attain about the same normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients at $30^\circ$ angle of attack but that the variation of pitching moment with angle of attack is more nearly linear for the flared model than for the model with $5^\circ$ fins. Force and moment coefficients estimated by using Newtonian theory were found to be in good agreement with the measured values.

A summary of the data at Mach number 6.01 and previous data at Mach numbers from 2.01 to 4.65 indicates that with increasing Mach number, the slope of the normal-force curve decreases less for the flared bodies than for the finned bodies and the stability increases for the flared bodies, whereas it decreases for the finned bodies. A summary of data at several angles of attack indicates that the normal force and pitching moment (absolute) of the $5^\circ$ cruciform finned model have a large rate of decrease with increasing Mach number in the range from Mach 2.01 to 3.75 and a low rate of decrease thereafter; whereas, for the flared model the rate of decrease of the normal force with Mach number is very low over the whole range and the absolute value of the pitching moment increases with Mach number.
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By George C. Ashby, Jr.

SUMMARY

Longitudinal aerodynamic characteristics of five hypersonic missile configurations have been determined in the Langley 20-inch hypersonic tunnel at a Mach number of 6.01 and a Reynolds number per foot of $6.96 \times 10^6$ for angles of attack up to $30^\circ$. The configurations investigated were a body which had a length-diameter ratio of 10 and a cone-ogive nose, the body with a $10^\circ$ flare, the body with cruciform fins of $5^\circ$ or $15^\circ$ apex angle, and a flared rocket model having a length-diameter ratio of 11.7 and a modified Von Kármán nose.

The results indicate that the configurations with the $10^\circ$ flare or the $5^\circ$ cruciform fins attain about the same normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients at $30^\circ$ angle of attack but that the variation of pitching moment with angle of attack is more nearly linear for the flared model than for the model with $5^\circ$ fins. Force and moment coefficients estimated by using Newtonian theory were found to be in good agreement with the measured values.

A summary of the data at Mach number 6.01 and previous data at Mach numbers from 2.01 to 4.65 indicates that with increasing Mach number, the slope of the normal-force curve decreases less for the flared bodies than for the finned bodies and the stability increases for the flared bodies, whereas it decreases for the finned bodies. A summary of data at several angles of attack indicates that the normal force and pitching moment (absolute) of the $5^\circ$ cruciform finned model have a large rate of decrease with increasing Mach number in the range from Mach 2.01 to 3.75 and a low rate of decrease thereafter; whereas, for the flared model the rate of decrease of the normal force with Mach number is very low over the whole range and the absolute value of the pitching moment increases with Mach number.
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CONFIDENTIAL
Interceptor missiles to be used in defense against supersonic attack will probably be required to operate at hypersonic speeds. In this speed range the missile configuration design is influenced strongly by considerations of aerodynamic heating. Because of their comparatively low heating rates highly swept wings are being considered for use on hypersonic interceptor missiles. References 1 to 3 indicate that configurations of this type operating at supersonic speeds have a relatively low drag penalty if the leading edges are blunted to reduce aerodynamic-heating rates, have small induced rolling moments, small center-of-pressure shifts, high lift effectiveness (compared to wingless missiles), and are longitudinally and directionally stable. Reference 4 shows that blunting the leading edge of highly swept wings does not alter the normal-force coefficient very much at hypersonic speeds. Reference 5 indicates that wingless missiles with flared afterbodies may be satisfactory at supersonic speeds from stability considerations, although the lift capabilities are low and the drag penalty is high. In order to obtain more information on the aerodynamic characteristics of such configurations, an investigation of a series of missile configurations has been conducted by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration at supersonic and hypersonic speeds.

The models investigated include a basic body having an overall fineness ratio of 10 incorporating a cone-ogive nose and fitted in turn with a 10° flared afterbody and with two different sets of low-aspect-ratio cruciform fins. An additional model having an overall fineness ratio of 11.7, a 10° flared afterbody, and a modified Von Kármán nose was also tested. Models similar to the five used in the present investigation were previously tested in the Langley 4-foot supersonic pressure tunnel at a Mach number of 2.01 (ref. 5) and in the Langley Unitary Plan wind tunnel for the range of Mach numbers from 2.29 to 4.65 (ref. 6). In addition, the results of free-flight tests of the fineness-ratio-11.70 model with the flared afterbody are presented in reference 7 for Mach numbers up to 4.3 and the results of tests of the basic body with the 5° cruciform fins in the 26-inch Langley transonic blowdown and 9- by 12-inch blowdown tunnels at Mach numbers from 0.82 to 3.05 are presented in reference 8. The results of tests of the basic body with 5° cruciform fins and trailing-edge flaps and the basic body with a 10° flared afterbody equipped with all-movable controls are presented for Mach number 6.8 in reference 9 and for Mach numbers 2.01, 4.65, and 5.6 in reference 10.

The present paper contains the results of tests made in the Langley 20-inch Mach 6 tunnel to determine axial forces, normal forces, and pitching moments of the five models. The tests were made for angles of attack from -40° to 30° at a Reynolds number based on body length of 10.44 X 10^6. Comparison of the measured coefficients with those
calculated by using Newtonian theory are also presented. In addition, the aerodynamic characteristics obtained for similar models at Mach number 2.01 and at Mach numbers 2.29 to 4.65 (refs. 5 and 6) are presented to show variation with Mach number.

SYMBOLS

The coefficients of forces and moments are referred to the body-axis system (fig. 1) and the pitching moment is taken about the 50-percent body station.

\[ \begin{align*}
    C_N & \quad \text{normal-force coefficient, } F_N/qA \\
    C_A & \quad \text{axial-force coefficient, } F_A/qA \text{ (including base axial force)} \\
    C_A^c & \quad \text{axial-force coefficient, } F_A^c/qA \text{ (corrected for base axial force)} \\
    C_m & \quad \text{pitching-moment coefficient, } M_y/qAd \\
    x_{cp} & \quad \text{distance from nose of body to center of pressure} \\
    F_N & \quad \text{normal force (see fig. 1)} \\
    F_A & \quad \text{axial force (see fig. 1)} \\
    F_A^c & \quad \text{corrected axial force} \\
    M_y & \quad \text{pitching moment (see fig. 1)} \\
    M & \quad \text{Mach number} \\
    d & \quad \text{diameter of cylindrical section of body} \\
    l & \quad \text{length of body} \\
    q & \quad \text{free-stream dynamic pressure} \\
    A & \quad \text{cross-sectional area of cylindrical section of body} \\
    \alpha & \quad \text{angle of attack of body center line, deg} \\
    x & \quad \text{station measured from nose tip, in.}
\end{align*} \]
radius of cross section at given body station
R radius of curvature

APPARATUS AND METHODS

Tunnel

The tests were made in the Langley 20-inch Mach 6 tunnel which is described in reference 11. The tunnel is of the blowdown to atmosphere type capable of operation at a maximum pressure of 580 psi and a maximum temperature of 600° F.

Models

The geometric characteristics of the models are given in table I and figure 2. A photograph of the five models is presented as figure 3. Models I to IV (fig. 2) employed the same basic body, which had a nominal fineness ratio of 10. The forebody contour for these models consisted of a combination straight section and a circular arc. Model I was the basic configuration with a cylindrical afterbody. Models II to IV utilized this same basic body but, in addition, incorporated either a 10° flare or cruciform fins with 5° or 10° "apex" angles. (The fin apex angle is actually the complement of the leading-edge sweep.) Model V (fig. 2) was similar to the fineness-ratio-11.7 model with a 10° flare investigated in references 5 to 7. This model had a Von Kármán forebody with a rounded nose.

The models were supported in the tunnel by the "gooseneck" support shown in figure 4. A photograph of model III mounted in the tunnel is shown in figure 5. With the support mounted from the top as shown in figures 4 and 5, the horizontal plane is the angle-of-attack plane. An optical system described in reference 11 was used to set angle of attack.

Normal force, axial force, and pitching moment were measured by means of a water-cooled, internally mounted, strain-gage balance.

Test Conditions and Accuracy

Tests.- All tests were made at a stagnation temperature of 400° F, a stagnation pressure of 365 lb/sq in. absolute, and a Mach number of 6.01. The Reynolds number per foot was 6.96 x 10^6. Tests were made through an angle-of-attack range from -4° to 30° at zero sideslip.
Accuracy. - The calibrated Mach number variation in the region of the test model was no more than ±0.01. The values of angle of attack are estimated to be accurate to within ±0.1°. The maximum errors in the coefficients from these tests based on inherent balance error, zero shifts, and pressure variation are as follows:

- ON: ±0.155
- CA: ±0.044
- Cm: ±0.138

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Aerodynamic Characteristics in Pitch at M = 6.01

The pitching-moment, axial-force, and normal-force coefficients and the center-of-pressure location in percent body length are plotted against angle of attack in figures 6 to 10 for the five models at a Mach number of 6.01 and are presented in summary form in figures 11 and 12. It should be noted that the axial force has not been corrected for base-pressure effect. Because of the differences in the planforms and total planform areas, the coefficients are based on the cross-sectional area of the cylindrical section of the basic body, and the results are discussed in terms of the effects of adding a flare or fins to the basic body.

The results of figures 11 and 12 indicate that adding a flare or cruciform fins to the basic body results in an appreciable increase in normal force, in the absolute magnitude of pitching moment, and in axial force, and a reduction in the variation of the center-of-pressure location with angle of attack. The addition of the flare (fig. 7) and the addition of the 5° fins (fig. 8) increases the normal force approximately the same amount and attains about the same restoring moment at the highest angles of attack. However, the flare improves the stability (more negative slope of pitching-moment curve) a greater amount than the fins in the lower angle-of-attack range. Of course, the axial-force increase is very much larger for the flare than for the 5° fins. The addition of the 15° fins (fig. 12) is not as effective in producing pitching moment and normal force as the addition of the 5° fin because of the area and fin-body interference differences; in addition, the axial-force increase is larger for the 15° fin because of higher leading-edge axial force.

It is interesting to note that the longer body and shorter flare (model V) achieved approximately the same normal force and pitching moment with a smaller increase in axial force than the basic body with the flare (model II). (See fig. 11.)
The estimated aerodynamic characteristics shown in figures 6 to 9 were computed by using the unmodified Newtonian theory. For the basic body (fig. 6) the coefficients were determined by the method of reference 12. For the finned and flared models the values for the forebody and afterbody sections were calculated separately and added. Contributions of the forebody and the flare were obtained from reference 12, and the shielding effects of the forebody on the flare or fins were ignored. For the finned portion of the configurations the projected area of the body as well as the fins was treated as a flat plate and $C_N$ was determined by using $2 \sin^2 \alpha$. In these finned models the contribution of the leading edges of the four fins was included.

The estimated normal-force coefficient and the center-of-pressure location in general are in good agreement with the measured values. The effect of ignoring the shielding effects for the body with flare can be seen at the higher angles of attack in figure 7. The measured values of normal force and pitching moment are higher than those estimated because the shielding eliminates a portion of the surface which produces a negative normal force and a forward movement of the center of pressure. The effect of ignoring the shielding for the finned bodies (figs. 8 and 9) would not be large since the contribution of the upper fin is small. The agreement between the estimated and measured pitching-moment coefficient is good considering that the accuracy of the estimated value is affected strongly by the accuracy of the estimated normal-force coefficient - the inaccuracy in the estimated pitching moment being due to the inaccuracy of the estimated center of pressure (which in this case is considered quite small) and the inaccuracy in the estimated normal-force coefficient. This effect is large in the case of the flared body at the higher angles of attack (fig. 7). The axial-force coefficients estimated by the unmodified Newtonian theory follow the trend of the measured values with angle of attack; however, the values are not directly comparable to the measured values because they do not include skin friction or base-pressure effects.

Since no base-pressure measurements were made, the estimated axial-force coefficients at each angle of attack were adjusted by approximating a constant base-pressure coefficient equal to $-1/M^2$ and subtracting it from the Newtonian values. For the basic body and the finned body the free-stream Mach number was used but for the flared body the Mach number was taken as that behind the oblique shock on a wedge having the same angle as the flare immersed in a Mach 6.01 flow. It was felt that this Mach number was more applicable because of the effects of the flare shock. The base-pressure coefficients of both the flared and finned bodies were adjusted for the increased base area. The agreement between the estimated and measured axial-force coefficients of the basic body and flared body are shown to be very good in figures 6 and 7. The agreement for the finned bodies is not so good (figs. 8 and 9) because no correction for fin effect on the base pressure was made. Reference 13 shows that at lower Mach
numbers the addition of fins to a body of revolution decreases the base-pressure coefficient (increases negatively).

Variation of Aerodynamic Characteristics in Pitch With Mach Number

Figure 13 presents the pitching-moment, axial-force, and normal-force coefficients, and the center-of-pressure location in percent body length as a function of angle of attack for the five models at Mach numbers 2.01, 3.22, 4.65, and 6.01. The data at Mach number 2.01 were obtained from reference 5 and that at Mach numbers 3.22 and 4.65 were obtained from reference 6.

The slope of the curve of normal-force coefficient against angle of attack decreases with increasing Mach number for all five bodies; however, the decrease for the basic body and the flared bodies is small compared with that of the finned bodies. The stability (slope of the pitching-moment curve) decreases with Mach number for the finned bodies but increases for the others. The random change of the variation of the center-of-pressure location with angle of attack as Mach number increases is a magnification of the small errors in normal force and pitching moment especially at the low angle of attack; however, in general the center of pressure moves rearward on the basic and flared bodies but moves forward on the finned bodies as Mach number increases. The variation of the axial-force coefficient with increasing angle of attack tends to change from an undulating type of variation to a continuously increasing type of variation as Mach number increases from 2.01 to 6.01.

In order to show the effect of Mach number on the coefficient at a fixed angle of attack, the data at angles of attack of 80°, 160°, and 240° are plotted against Mach number in figure 14. In general the normal-force coefficient of each configuration decreases with increasing Mach number at all three angles of attack. However, the rate of change for the flared models II and V is very slight. Above a Mach number 3.75 the rate of change of normal force with Mach number is quasi-linear and nearly equal for the basic body and the two finned models. Below this Mach number the basic body (model I) and the body with 150° fins (model IV) retain this relationship but the body with 50° fins (model III) has a much larger rate of change.

At all three angles of attack the absolute magnitude of the pitching-moment coefficient decreases as Mach number increases for the finned models but increases for the flared models. For the flared models the normal-force coefficient decreases only slightly with increasing Mach number and the center of pressure moves rearward; whereas, for the finned models the decrease in normal force is larger and the center of pressure moves forward. In the case of the center-of-pressure curves at \( \alpha = 80^\circ \)
(fig. 14(a)), it should be mentioned that the fairings were made by using the curves at $\alpha = 16^\circ$ and $24^\circ$ as a guide, because the smaller values of normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients at $\alpha = 8^\circ$ results in considerably more scatter than at the other angles of attack.

A base block was used in the tests of reference 6 to make the base pressure uniform across the base. Therefore, in order to compare the axial-force coefficients of those tests with the values of the present investigation, the axial-force coefficients were adjusted for base-pressure effects (identified by the prime). The base axial-force coefficients given in figure 5 of reference 6 were subtracted from the measured axial-force coefficients reported therein. The base-pressure coefficient of the present investigation was assumed to be equal to $-1/M^2$ and the axial-force coefficients were corrected to a value corresponding to free-stream static pressure on the base. The axial-force coefficients for the long flared body, model V, are not included in figure 14 because no base-pressure-coefficient corrections were available in reference 6. The results of figure 14 show that the axial-force coefficients of the four models have the same trend with Mach number. At $\alpha = 8^\circ$ the axial-force coefficient decreases with Mach number but at $\alpha = 16^\circ$ and $24^\circ$ the coefficients increase with Mach number. The variation with Mach number is greatest for the flared body.

CONCLUSIONS

An experimental investigation of several hypersonic missile configurations has been conducted at Mach number 6.01. The configurations included a basic fineness-ratio-10 body and the same body with a $10^\circ$ flare or with $5^\circ$ or $15^\circ$ cruciform fins. In addition, a fineness-ratio-11.7 flared model with a modified Von Kármán nose was tested. The data obtained at Mach number 6.01 are compared with predictions based on Newtonian theory. In addition, the Mach 6.01 data are presented with data from previous investigations at lower Mach numbers. The results indicate the following conclusions:

1. At Mach number 6.01 the addition of flared skirts or cruciform fins to the basic body results in an appreciable increase in the normal-force, the absolute magnitude of the pitching-moment, and the axial-force coefficients and a reduction in the movement of the center-of-pressure location with angle of attack.

2. At Mach number 6.01 the addition of the flared skirt or the $5^\circ$ cruciform fins to the basic body resulted in approximately the same normal-force and pitching-moment increase at the higher angles of attack but the flared skirt improved the stability more at the low angles of
attack. The increase of axial force is greater with the addition of
the flared skirt than with the addition of the fins.

3. The normal-force and pitching-moment coefficients and the center-
of-pressure location for hypersonic missile configurations can be deter-
mined with reasonable accuracy by using the Newtonian theory. The esti-
mated axial-force coefficient consisting of the sum of the Newtonian
value plus an approximation of the base-pressure coefficient was in
good agreement with the measured values for the basic and flared bodies
but underestimated the experimental values for the finned bodies.

4. The slope of the normal-force-coefficient curve of the finned
bodies decreases more with increasing Mach number than that of the basic
and flared bodies. The stability (slope of the pitching-moment curve)
decreases with increasing Mach number for the finned bodies but increases
for the basic and flared bodies. The axial-force coefficient at a Mach
number of 2.01 undulates with increasing angle of attack especially for
the flared bodies but changes to a continuously increasing variation with
angle of attack as Mach number increases.

5. The normal-force coefficient and the absolute value of the
pitching-moment coefficient of the 5° cruciform finned model have a
large rate of decrease with increasing Mach number in the Mach number
range from 2.01 to 3.75 and a low rate of decrease at higher Mach num-
bers; whereas, for the flared model, the rate of decrease of the normal-
force coefficient with Mach number is very low over the whole range and
the absolute magnitude of the pitching-moment coefficient increases with
Mach number. Axial-force coefficients of all the models decrease as
Mach number increases at low angles of attack but the trend reverses at
the higher angles of attack.

Langley Research Center,
National Aeronautics and Space Administration,
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model I</th>
<th>Model II</th>
<th>Model III</th>
<th>Model IV</th>
<th>Model V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Body:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, in.</td>
<td>18.040</td>
<td>17.972</td>
<td>17.986</td>
<td>17.970</td>
<td>21.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter, in.</td>
<td>1.798</td>
<td>1.798</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.800</td>
<td>1.798</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-sectional area, sq in.</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>2.539</td>
<td>2.545</td>
<td>2.545</td>
<td>2.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fineness ratio of nose</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moment center location, percent length</td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flare:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length, in.</td>
<td>3.600</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base diameter, in.</td>
<td>3.068</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base area, sq in.</td>
<td>7.393</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flare angle, deg</td>
<td>10.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fins:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area exposed, 2 fins, sq in.</td>
<td>10.830</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.379</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Root chord, in.</td>
<td>11.460</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.508</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tip chord, in.</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span exposed, in.</td>
<td>1.920</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.926</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span total, in.</td>
<td>3.720</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taper ratio</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect ratio exposed</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.098</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Span-diameter ratio</td>
<td>2.086</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.070</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complement of sweepback angle, deg</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1.- Body-axis system. Arrows indicate positive direction of forces, moments, and angles.
Figure 2. - Details of models. Linear dimensions are in inches.
Figure 3. - Photograph of the five models.
Figure 4. Schematic diagram of the model-support system.
Figure 6. - Aerodynamic characteristics of model I in pitch at $M = 6.01$. 
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Figure 7. - Aerodynamic characteristics of model II in pitch at $M = 6.01$. 
Figure 8. - Aerodynamic characteristics of model III in pitch at \( M = 6.01 \).
Figure 9. - Aerodynamic characteristics of model IV in pitch at $M = 6.01$. 
Figure 10. - Aerodynamic characteristics of model V in pitch at $M = 6.01$. 
Figure 11. - Effects of afterbody flare on the aerodynamic characteristics in pitch at $M = 6.01$. 
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Figure 12. Effects of fin planform on the aerodynamic characteristics in pitch at $M = 5.01$. 
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Figure 13: Aerodynamic characteristics of the five models in pitch for Mach numbers 2.01 to 6.01.
(b) Model II.

Figure 13. - Continued.
(c) Model III.

Figure 13.- Continued.
(d) Model IV.

Figure 13.- Continued.
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(e) Model V.

Figure 13. - Concluded.
Figure 14.- Variation of the aerodynamic characteristics of the five models with Mach number. Data at $M = 2.01$ and at 2.29 through 4.65 from references 5 and 6, respectively.
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Figure 14. - Continued.

(b) $\alpha = 16^\circ$.

NACA - Langley Field, Va. L-934
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(c) $\alpha = 24^\circ$.

Figure 14. - Concluded.