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Abstract

The distortion of the growth rates of unstable baroclinic waves is investigated using implicit finite differences to replace the linearized governing equations of a two-parameter model. A variety of space and time mesh sizes $\Delta x$ and $\Delta t$ are used, together with three values of the static stability parameter $\delta \Theta / \delta p$. On the whole, for commonly used values of $\Delta x$ and $\Delta t$, and for typical values of $\delta \Theta / \delta p$, the introduction of finite differences appears to cause only a slight distortion of the growth rates over the major portion of the unstable spectrum, the long waves being less affected than the short waves. Effects due to $\Delta t$ are particularly minor. The finite-difference growth rates depend very slightly on the zonal wind $U$, in contrast to the continuous case where no such dependence is found.
1. Introduction

In a recent paper Gates (1961) discussed the effect of finite-differences on baroclinic instability criteria for the case of a linearized two-parameter model, using an implicit difference scheme. His results show that, for a given vertical windshear, the spectrum of unstable waves is shifted slightly toward shorter wavelengths, leaving the minimum critical shear unaltered. The magnitude of the shift was found to be directly proportional to the space mesh, Δx, and inversely proportional to the static-stability. In this connection it might be interesting to know what effect, if any, the introduction of certain finite differences has on the growth rates of unstable baroclinic waves. It is the purpose of the present discussion to throw some light on this problem. The same atmospheric model, and the same differencing scheme as in the paper mentioned above, will be used.

2. Baroclinic difference equations

The particular baroclinic model employed is the thermotropic model, whose details are described elsewhere (Thompson and Gates, 1956), and whose linearized governing differential equations, with the usual assumption of y-independent flow, may be written as

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} \right) + U \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + \beta \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x} + \frac{U^*}{4} \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} = 0, \]  

(1)

\[ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} \right) + U \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial x^2} + (\beta - \nu U) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x} - \mu \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + U^* \frac{\partial^4 \psi}{\partial x^4} + \mu U^* \frac{\partial^3 \psi}{\partial x^3} = 0, \]  

(2)
where ψ and φ are the streamfunctions of the vertically-integrated flow and the thermal wind, respectively. U is the zonal wind, and U* is the shear or thermal wind, both assumed constant; θ is the Rossby parameter, and μ depends on the static stability, and is given by

\[ \mu^2 = -\frac{f^2 \theta}{R p_0 T \partial \theta / \partial p}, \]

where f is the Coriolis parameter, R the specific gas constant for dry air, \( p_0 = 1000 \) mb, T is the absolute temperature, and θ is the potential temperature. For the purpose of avoiding extraneous roots in the frequency equation below, and of using a numerically stable scheme, implicit differences are now introduced, and the appropriate finite-difference analogues of (1) and (2) are

\[
(\Delta x^2 \Delta t)^{-1} (\psi_{m+1,T+1} - 2\psi_{m,T+1} + \psi_{m-1,T+1} - \psi_{m+1,T} + 2\psi_{m,T} - \psi_{m-1,T}) + U(4\Delta x)^{-1} (\psi_{m+2,T} - 2\psi_{m+1,T} + 2\psi_{m-1,T} - \psi_{m-2,T} + \psi_{m+2,T+1} - 2\psi_{m,T+1})
\]

\[ + 2\phi_{m-1,T+1} - \phi_{m-2,T+1} \]}

\[
+ \beta(4\Delta x)^{-1} (\psi_{m+1,T} - \psi_{m-1,T} + \psi_{m+1,T+1} - \psi_{m-1,T+1})
\]

\[ + U^2(16\Delta x^2)^{-1} (\phi_{m+2,T} - 2\phi_{m+1,T} + 2\phi_{m-1,T} - \phi_{m-2,T} + \phi_{m+2,T+1} - 2\phi_{m+1,T+1})
\]

\[ + 2\phi_{m-1,T+1} - \phi_{m-2,T+1} = 0 \]
and
\[
(\Delta x^2 \Delta t)^{-1}(\phi_{m+1,\tau \tau} - 2 \phi_{m,\tau \tau} + \phi_{m-1,\tau \tau} - \phi_{m,\tau \tau} + \phi_{m+1,\tau \tau} + 2 \phi_{m,\tau \tau} - \phi_{m-1,\tau \tau})
\]
\[+ U(4 \Delta x^2)^{-1}(\phi_{m+1,\tau} - 2 \phi_{m,\tau} + \phi_{m-1,\tau} - \phi_{m-2,\tau} + \phi_{m+2,\tau} - 2 \phi_{m+1,\tau})
\]
\[+ 2 \phi_{m-1,\tau \tau} - \phi_{m-2,\tau \tau}) + \mu^2(m^2 \Delta t)^{-1}(\phi_{m+1,\tau} - \phi_{m,\tau}) + \mu^2(4 \Delta x^2)^{-1}(\psi_{m+1,\tau} - 2 \psi_{m,\tau}
\]
\[+ 2 \psi_{m-1,\tau} - \psi_{m-2,\tau} + \psi_{m+2,\tau} - 2 \psi_{m+1,\tau} + 2 \psi_{m-2,\tau})
\]
\[+ \mu^2 \psi_{m+1,\tau} - \psi_{m-1,\tau} + \psi_{m+2,\tau} - \psi_{m-2,\tau}) = 0,
\]
where \(\psi_{m,\tau} = \psi(m \Delta x, n \Delta t), \phi_{m,\tau} = \phi(m \Delta x, n \Delta t),\) and where \(\Delta x\) and \(\Delta t\) denote space and time increments, respectively.

In order to investigate the behavior of growth rates, it is convenient to assume that (3) and (4) have solutions of the form
\[
\psi_{m,\tau} = \bar{\psi} e^{i(m \Delta x - n \Delta t \tau)}
\]
\[
\phi_{m,\tau} = \bar{\phi} e^{i(m \Delta x - n \Delta t \tau)}
\]
where \(i\) is the imaginary unit, \(\alpha = k \Delta x, n = k C_N, k = 2 \nu / L,\) and where \(L\) is the wavelength, and \(C_N\) is the phase speed of the finite-difference solution. The amplitudes \(\bar{\psi}\) and \(\bar{\phi}\) are assumed constant. Substituting (5) into (3) and (4), one finds readily enough that the system of equations for the
unknown amplitudes is

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\left[ \kappa^2 \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \tan \frac{n \pi t}{\chi} - \mu \right) + \beta \right] \Phi - \frac{\nu^*}{\chi} \kappa^2 \Phi = 0 \\
\nu^* (\mu^2 - \kappa^2) \Phi + \left[ (\mu^2 + \kappa^2) \left( \frac{1}{\lambda} \tan \frac{n \pi t}{\chi} - \mu \right) + \beta \right] \Phi = 0
\end{array} \right.
\]

(6)

where

\[
\kappa^2 = \kappa^2 \left( \frac{\sin \alpha \Delta t}{\alpha^2} \right)^2 ,
\]

(7)

\[
\lambda = \frac{i}{2} \kappa \Delta t \left( \frac{\sin \alpha \Delta t}{\alpha} \right).
\]

(8)

The condition that (6) should have non-trivial solutions requires that the determinant of the coefficients of \( \Phi \) and \( \Phi \) must vanish. This leads to the frequency equation of the numerical solution,

\[
C = \frac{2}{\kappa \Delta t} \tan^{-1} \left( \lambda Z \right)
\]

(9)

where

\[
Z = \nu - \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\beta}{\kappa^2} + \frac{\beta}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{\kappa^2} - \frac{\beta}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right)^2 - (\nu^*)^2 \left( \frac{\mu \kappa}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right) \right] ^{\nu^*}}.
\]

(10)

In comparison, one finds by the usual methods that the frequency equation for the differential system (1) and (2) is

\[
C = \nu - \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{\beta}{\kappa^2} + \frac{\beta}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\left[ \left( \frac{\beta}{\kappa^2} - \frac{\beta}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right)^2 - (\nu^*)^2 \left( \frac{\mu \kappa}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right) \right] ^{\nu^*}},
\]

(11)
where \( C \) is the phase speed, and \( k = 2\pi/L \) as above. Equation (9) expresses the well-known fact that the numerical phase speed \( C_N \) depends on the choice of \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta t \).

3. Growth rates in the difference case

Let \( C_N \) be complex with real part \( C_{N_r} \), and imaginary part \( C_{N_i} \), i.e.,
\[
C_N = C_{N_r} + iC_{N_i}.
\]
Since (5) is a solution of the difference system (3) and (4), subject to condition (9), unstable baroclinic waves can exist in this system if \( C_N \) has a non-trivial imaginary part. If (5) is now written in the form
\[
\psi_{m,z} = \hat{\Phi} e^{i C_{N_r} \tau \Delta t} e^{i (m \alpha - k C_{N_i} \tau \Delta t)} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{m,z} = \hat{\Phi} e^{i C_{N_r} \tau \Delta t} e^{i (m \alpha - k C_{N_i} \tau \Delta t)}
\]
(12)
it is clear that the waves will amplify provided \( C_{N_1} > 0 \). Now, the inverse tangent in (9) has real solutions for all real values of \( \lambda Z \), and \( C_N \) can be complex only if \( Z \) is complex, because \( \lambda \) is always real. For complex \( Z \), let \( Z = Z_r + iZ_i \), where, from (10)
\[
Z_r = U - \frac{i}{2} \left( \frac{\beta}{\kappa^2} + \frac{\beta}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right),
\]
(13)
\[
Z_i = \pm \frac{i}{2} \left[ (U^*)^2 \left( \frac{\beta^2 - \kappa^2}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right) - \left( \frac{\beta^2}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} - \frac{\beta}{\mu^2 + \kappa^2} \right) \right]^{1/2}.
\]
(14)

Thus, the critical curve, that is, the curve which separates the region
of stability from that of instability, is defined by the requirement $Z_1 = 0$. The analogous curve in the differential system is given by the condition

$$(U^*)^2 \left( \frac{\mu^2 - \frac{\Delta^2}{2}}{\Delta^2 + \frac{C}{2}} \right) - \left( \frac{\beta}{\Delta^2 + \frac{C}{2}} - \frac{\beta}{\Delta^2 - \frac{C}{2}} \right) = 0,$$

which is the same as setting $Z_1 = 0$, and dividing $\kappa^2$ by $\left( \frac{\sin \alpha/2}{\alpha/2} \right)^2$. The cut-off wavelength $L_c$, such that all waves for which $L \leq L_c$ are stable, the minimum shear $U^*_m$, such that all waves for which $U^* \leq U^*_m$ are stable, and the wavelength $L_m$ corresponding to $U^*_m$ are all obtainable from (14).

Expressions for $L_c$, $U^*_m$, and $L_m$ have already been given elsewhere (Gates, 1961) and it need only be mentioned here that the method used in the present paper gives the same results for these parameters.

To discuss the effect of finite differences on the growth rate, it is necessary to find the imaginary part of the phase speed (9). Thus, letting $Z$ be complex, one finds after some manipulation that (See Appendix),

$$G_n = \frac{1}{4 \Delta t} \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{2 \lambda Z_r}{1 - (\lambda Z_r)^2 - (\lambda Z_i)^2} \right],$$

(15)

$$G_{n_i} = \frac{1}{2 \Delta t} \ln \left[ \frac{(\lambda Z_r)^2 + (1 + \lambda Z_i)^2}{(\lambda Z_r)^2 + (1 - \lambda Z_i)^2} \right].$$

(16)

The form of (16) indicates that the positive square root should be taken in (14) for amplifying waves ($C_{n_i} > 0$). Similarly, $C_{n_i} < 0$, and the waves decay if the negative root is taken in (14). For finite, non-zero $\Delta x$ and
At the waves are neutral \( (C_{N_1} = 0) \) when \( Z_i = 0 \), and when \( \lambda = 0 \). The case \( Z_i = 0 \) is the one mentioned above. The case \( \lambda = 0 \) occurs whenever \( \Delta x \) is any integer multiple of \( L/2 \). This means, at least in the linear case, that the harmonic components whose wavelengths are below the resolution limit of the grid remain neutral when an implicit difference scheme is used.

Various expressions for the growth rate are possible; but in the finite-difference case, a convenient measure of this quantity is the doubling time. This is the time required by the wave amplitude to double in magnitude, and can be written as

\[
t_{DN} = \frac{\ln 2}{C_{N_i}^*} ,
\]

where \( C_{N_i}^* \) is given by (16). The distortion of the doubling time by implicit finite differences is shown in figs. 1-9 for selected values of \( \Delta x, \Delta t \), and the static stability \( \delta \theta/\partial p \). It is evident from the figures that the distortion is slight for commonly used values of \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta t \), and for a typical value of \( \delta \theta/\partial p \) (-6 deg/100 mb). These figures also show that the distortion is more serious for large values of \( \Delta x \), short waves, and small static stabilities, in agreement with the behavior of the shift of baroclinic instability criteria found by Gates (1961). In general, the growth rate of the unstable shorter waves is increased, and that of the longer waves decreased, except in the case of neutral static stability \( (\delta \theta/\partial p = 0) \), where the growth rate is always decreased. Another effect of the finite differences is that, for a given shear \( U^* \), the wavelength of the most rapidly growing wave is slightly lowered. This shift is more pronounced for the smaller shears, larger space mesh sizes, and small static stabilities. For a given static stability, the maximum value of
Figure 1. Doubling time in days. The solid lines are for the finite-difference case, the dashed lines for the continuous case. The latitude is 45 deg N. Here the static stability parameter $\delta \theta / \delta p = 0$, $\Delta x = 300$ km,
Figure 2. Same as fig. 1, but with $\Delta x = 600$ km.
Figure 3. The effect of the space mesh $\Delta x$ on a doubling time of exactly 1 day. The latitude is 45 deg N, $\partial \theta/\partial p = 0$, and $\Delta t = 1$ hr.
Figure 4. Same as fig. 1, but with $\delta \theta / \delta p = -6 \text{ deg} (100 \text{ mb})^{-1}$.
Figure 5. Same as fig. 2, but with $\delta \theta / \delta p = -6 \text{ deg} \, (100 \text{ mb})^{-1}$. 
Figure 6. Same as fig. 3, but with $\frac{\delta \theta}{\delta p} = -6 \text{ deg (100 mb)$}^{-1}$. 
Figure 7. Same as fig. 1, but with $\delta \Theta/\delta p = -12 \text{ deg (100 mb)}^{-1}$. 
Figure 8. Same as fig. 2, but with $\delta \theta / \delta p = -12 \text{ deg (100 mb)}^{-1}$. 
Figure 9. Same as fig. 3, but with $\delta \phi/\delta p = -12 \text{ deg (100 mb)}^{-1}$. 
this shift is equal to the displacement of the minimum point on the critical curve, corresponding to a given $\Delta x$, and decreases with increasing shear. Typical maximum shifts are 35 km for $\Delta x = 300$ km, and 146 km for $\Delta x = 600$ km, when $\delta \theta / \delta p = -6$ deg. (100 mb)$^{-1}$.

It may be seen from fig. 5, for example, that the distance between the solid and the dashed curves does not in itself indicate how serious the distortion is. Therefore, the percentage change in the growth rate of the finite-difference case, as compared to the continuous case, would provide a better measure of the distortion. Now, let $\xi$ be an arbitrary quantity, and let $\xi'$ differ from $\xi$ by an amount $\Delta \xi$. Then the percentage change of $\xi'$ relative to $\xi$ may be written as

$$\Delta \xi(\%) = 100 \left( \frac{\xi' - \xi}{\xi} \right).$$

This expression has been used in the construction of figs. 10-12. The implication of fig. 10 is that the percentage changes due to the introduction of a fairly large space mesh $\Delta x$ are probably not serious, except possibly in the immediate vicinity of the critical curve. The changes due to a mesh size of 300 km amount to about $1/3$ to $1/2$ of those shown in the figure. Smaller (larger) static stabilities $\delta \theta / \delta p$ increase (reduce) those changes proportionately. It is well-known that effects due to the time mesh $\Delta t$ are, in general, smaller than those due to the space mesh $\Delta x$ when equations (1) and (2) are replaced by finite differences. This is also borne out by figs. 11 and 12, which indicate the relative insensitivity of the doubling times due to the changes in $\Delta t$ alone. Another feature shown in figs. 10-12 is that effects of $\Delta x$ and $\Delta t$ on the growth rates are virtually independent of the vertical shear $U^*$ over large portions of the instability region.
Figure 10. Percentage change in doubling time relative to the continuous case, for $\Delta x = 600$ km, $\Delta t = 1$ hr, and $\partial \theta / \partial p = -6 \text{deg} (100 \text{mb})^{-1}$. The units are per cent, the latitude is $45 \text{ deg} N$, and the critical curve is that for $\Delta x = 600$ km.
Figure 11. Percentage change in doubling time due to increasing $\Delta t$ from $\frac{1}{2}$ hr to 1 hr. Here the latitude is 45 deg N, $\delta \theta/\delta p = -6$ deg (100 mb)$^{-1}$, $\Delta x = 300$ km, and the units are $10^{-3}$ per cent. The critical curve is that for $\Delta x = 300$ km.
Figure 12. Same as fig. 11, but for an increase in $\Delta t$ from $\frac{1}{2}$ hr to 2 hr.
In contrast to the differential system, the doubling time in the finite-difference case depends on the undisturbed zonal flow $U$ due to the presence of $Z_r$ in (16). This dependence, however, is slight. The percentage changes in the growth rates due to increasing $U$ from 15 to 30 m sec$^{-1}$ are generally less than $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent for $\delta \theta / \delta p < 0$, and less than 2 per cent in the neutral case, assuming $\Delta x = 300$ km, $\Delta t = 1$ hr. Here the effect of increasing $\Delta x$ is to reduce the changes brought about by increasing the speed of the horizontal mean flow.

4. Conclusions

The introduction of implicit finite differences into the governing equations of a linearized two-parameter model causes only slight distortions of the growth rates of baroclinically unstable waves, except in the immediate vicinity of the critical curve. For the commonly used space and time mesh sizes, $\Delta x = 300$ km, $\Delta t = 1$ hr, the percentage change in growth rate, compared to that of the differential system, amounts to only about 2 per cent when $\delta \theta / \delta p = -6$ deg (100 mb)$^{-1}$, and the wavelength $L = 4500$ km. In general, the distortion is greater for smaller static stabilities, shorter waves and larger $\Delta x$. It is very insensitive to changes in $\Delta t$, and depends only slightly on the undisturbed zonal flow. With the exception of the neutrally stable case, the growth rate of shorter waves is increased, and that of the longer waves slightly decreased when an implicit scheme is introduced, as compared to the continuous case. Finally, the percentage changes in the growth rates due to changes in $\Delta x$, $\Delta t$, and $U$ are virtually independent of the vertical shear $U^*$. 
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APPENDIX

The expressions for the real and imaginary parts of the numerical phase speed $C_N$, equations (15) and (16), can be obtained from (9) using the relationship

$$\tan^{-1} w = \frac{i}{2} \log \left( \frac{1-iw}{1+iw} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

(Churchill, 1960), where $w = u + iv$. Let

$$1-iw = R_1 e^{i\omega_1},$$

$$1+iw = R_2 e^{i\omega_2},$$

where

$$R_1 = \left[ \left( 1 + v \right)^2 + u^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

$$\omega_1 = \mp \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{v}{1 \pm v} \right),$$

and where the upper sign corresponds to subscript 1, and the lower sign to subscript 2. Identity (18) now becomes

$$\tan^{-1} w = \frac{i}{2} \left( \omega_2 - \omega_1 \right) + \frac{i}{2} \ln \left( \frac{R_1}{R_2} \right).$$  \hspace{1cm} (19)

Here $-\pi < (\omega_2 - \omega_1) < \pi$, and $\ln(R_1/R_2)$ is the natural logarithm of the real positive number $R_1/R_2$. Writing now

$$C_N = C_r + i C_i = \frac{2}{4\alpha t} \tan^{-1} (\lambda \Xi),$$
setting \( w = \lambda Z, \ u = \lambda Z_r, \ v = \lambda Z_i \), where \( \lambda \) is given by (8), and \( Z_r \) and \( Z_i \) by (13) and (14) respectively, expressions (15) and (16) follow directly from (19).

It can also be shown that \( C_N, \ C_N^r \) and \( C_N^i \) tend to their corresponding counterparts of the continuous system when \( \Delta x \) and \( \Delta t \) tend to zero simultaneously.
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