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ABSTRACT: The resistance of an electro-explosive device (EED) at some temperature elevation, \( \Theta \), above ambient can be expressed by \( R = R_0 (1 + \alpha \Theta) \), where \( R_0 \) is the resistance at ambient temperature, and \( \alpha \) is the temperature coefficient of resistance. This equation is not consistent with the handbook definitions of temperature resistance coefficient wherein the parameters \( R_0 \) and \( \alpha \) are referenced to 0°C conditions rather than ambient temperature conditions. Misuse of the numerical values can lead in some cases to significant computational errors. The source, magnitude, and correction of these errors is presented. Also the mathematical basis for an efficient test plan for the determination of \( \alpha \), \( R_0 \), and \( \gamma \) is given.
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As part of the Naval Ordnance Laboratory's effort on the HERO (Hazards of Electromagnetic Radiation to Ordnance) program an electro-thermal model has been postulated to explain the response of electro-explosive devices to various electrical environments. Mathematical implementation of this model requires the use of an equation relating the resistance of the EED bridgewire to its temperature. Misunderstanding of the detail of this resistance-temperature relationship has been all too often encountered. It is the purpose of the present report to explain the sources of confusion and thereby eliminate the errors resulting therefrom.
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INTRODUCTION

1. Much work has been devoted to the application of a lumped-parameter electro-thermal model to the study of the nature of wire bridge electro-explosive devices (EEDs). The work has led to a more fundamental understanding of their transducing action (electrical signal in—explosive action out) and has aided in the solution of a number of rather diverse problems.

2. The experimental approach toward verification and use of this model has required measurement of the bridge-wire temperature elevation, θ, under various conditions of time, temperature, energy and power environment, and history. The verification was accomplished by utilizing the resistance-temperature property of the bridge-wire—i.e., the bridge-wire was used as its own resistance thermometer. This property is expressed by the simple linear relationship,

\[ R = R_0 (1 + \alpha \theta), \]  

where \( R_0 \) is the initial resistance (at ambient temperature), \( \alpha \) is the temperature coefficient of resistance, and \( \theta \) is the temperature elevation above ambient.

Equation (1) is used in the derivation of a large number of equations describing specific EED properties.

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dt} + \xi \theta = P(t)
\]

where \( C_p \) is the thermal heat capacity of the bridge

\( \xi \) is the bridgewire temperature elevation above ambient

\( \xi \) is the heat loss factor

\( P(t) \) is the power-time function.
3. Equation (1) is of the same form as is used in the handbook definition of temperature-coefficient-of-resistance.

\[ R = R_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha (T - T_0) \right] \]  

(2)

where

\[ \alpha \] is "the ratio of the change in resistance in a wire due to a change of temperature of 1°C to its resistance at 0°C".

Because \( T_0 \), the base temperature, is usually taken at 0°C, the above equation is often written

\[ R = R_0 \left( 1 + \alpha T \right) \]

which, though not the same, is easily confused with Equation (1).

4. Apparently, it is too easily forgotten that a specific value of \( \alpha \) for a given material is meaningful only when consistent with the base (reference) temperature. Within the ordinary temperature limits Equation (1) describes the resistance-temperature relationship as a linear function. Occasionally the notion is encountered that \( \alpha \) is the slope of the resistance-temperature curve so that a specific numerical value of this slope will be independent of the temperature. The slope of the linear equation is, of course, the product \( \alpha R_0 \). Since the product is independent of temperature while the resistance, \( R_0 \), will vary as a function of the temperature at which it is determined, it can be seen that \( \alpha \) will have a reciprocal relationship to \( R_0 \).

5. Thus, it can be seen that the values of \( \alpha \) and \( R_0 \) in a specific system depend upon \( T_0 \), the temperature upon which they are based. As a consequence, numerical solutions of the various electro-thermal equations will be in error unless the values of \( R_0 \) and \( \alpha \) are handled properly. The purpose of this report is to explain the differences and similarities between Equations (1) and (2), to show how the parameters should be corrected for differences in base temperatures, and to estimate the magnitude of errors that might arise from failure to correct for the difference.

**MATHEMATICAL EXPOSITION**

6. Ordinarily, handbook values of \( \alpha \) are given for a base temperature of 0°C. The general Equation (2) can be rewritten for this specific base temperature.
\[ R = R_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha T \right] \]  
where \( R_0 \) is the resistance at 0°C, and  
\( \alpha \) is the temperature coefficient of resistance at 0°C.

7. In order to reserve \( \alpha \) for the general equation (which visibly incorporates the base temperature) and also to denote that Equation (1) uses the ambient temperature as the base temperature, Equation (1) is rewritten

\[ R = R_a \left[ 1 + A^2 \left( T - T_a \right) \right]. \]  
where \( R_a \) is the resistance at ambient temperature (rather than \( R \))

\( T_a \) is the ambient temperature (in °C),

\( A \) is the corresponding coefficient of resistance,

and \( T = T_a + T_b \).

8. Since the individual values of \( \alpha \) and \( R_0 \) for a group of EEDs will ordinarily be given for a base temperature other than ambient, it will be necessary to compute \( R_a \) and \( A \) for use in the electro-thermal equations. From Equation (2) it can be seen that

\[ R_a = R_0 \left( 1 + \alpha T_a - \alpha T_0 \right). \]

Equation (4) can then be rewritten and set equal to Equation (2):

\[ R_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha T - \alpha T_a \right] = R_a \left[ 1 + A (T - T_a) \right]\left[ 1 + A (T - T_a) \right]. \]

From this

\[ A = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha T_a - \alpha T_0}. \]

9. When the base temperature for particular \( \alpha \) and \( R_0 \) data is the usual value, i.e., when \( T_0 = 0°C \), then Equations (5) and (6) reduce to:

\[ R_a = R_0 \left( 1 + \alpha T_a \right), \]  
and

\[ A = \frac{\alpha}{1 + \alpha T_a}. \]
ASSESSMENT OF ERRORS

10. **Error in Resistance at Elevated Temperature, Type 1.**

The usual situation is as follows:

- \( R_0 \) is interpreted to be the initial resistance as it is measured at ambient temperature \( (R_a) \) [consistent]

- \( \alpha \) is used as tabulated at some base temperature and not corrected. [inconsistent]

- \( \theta \) is interpreted as \( T-T_a \). [consistent]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \alpha ) ohm/( \text{ohm} \ ^{0\circ C} )</th>
<th>70</th>
<th>120</th>
<th>200</th>
<th>320</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00075</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.20%</td>
<td>0.41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.10%</td>
<td>0.13%</td>
<td>0.33%</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.36%</td>
<td>0.67%</td>
<td>1.14%</td>
<td>2.03%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.003</td>
<td>0.79%</td>
<td>1.38%</td>
<td>2.25%</td>
<td>3.60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>1.33%</td>
<td>2.29%</td>
<td>3.56%</td>
<td>5.33%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( T_a = 20^\circ C \)

\( T_\theta = 0^\circ C \)

The actual equation used is

\[
R = R_a \left[ 1 + \alpha (T - T_a) \right]
\]
The equation that should be used is either

\[ R = R_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha(T - T_0) \right] \]

or

\[ R = R_a \left[ 1 + A(T - T_a) \right]. \]

The error equation is

\[ E = 100 \left( \frac{\text{Actual} - \text{True}}{\text{True}} \right) = 100 \frac{\text{Actual}}{\text{True}} - 100 \]

\[ = 100 \frac{R_a \left[ 1 + \alpha(T - T_a) \right]}{R_a \left[ 1 + A(T - T_a) \right]} - 100 \]

which, upon substitution of Equation (6) for A, becomes

\[ E = \frac{100 \alpha^2 (T_a - T_0)(T - T_a)}{1 + \alpha(T - T_a)}. \]

This function has been evaluated (Table 1) for various typical values of \( \alpha \) and \( T \) assuming \( T_a = 20^\circ\text{C} \) and \( T_0 = 0^\circ\text{C} \).

11. Error in Resistance at Elevated Temperature, Type 2.

For the situation where \( R_0 \) and \( \alpha \) values, measured at base temperature \( T_0 \), are both used as if the base temperature had been \( T_a \), the actual equation used is:

\[ R = R_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha(T - T_a) \right] \]

when

\[ R = R_0 \left[ 1 + \alpha(T - T_0) \right] \]

should have been used.
Table 2

Type 2. Errors in Estimate of Resistance at Elevated Temperatures
(Due to Improper Use of Coefficient of Resistance and Initial Resistance)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R₀ ohm</th>
<th>T, Elevated Temperature (°C)</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>140</th>
<th>300</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td>-1.01%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-1%</td>
<td>-0.98%</td>
<td>-0.94%</td>
<td>-0.88%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>-2.04%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-2%</td>
<td>-1.92%</td>
<td>-1.79%</td>
<td>-1.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>-4.17%</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>-4%</td>
<td>-3.70%</td>
<td>-3.23%</td>
<td>-2.56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-8.70%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>-8%</td>
<td>-6.90%</td>
<td>-5.40%</td>
<td>-3.77%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tₐ = 20°C
T₀ = 0°C

The error equation in this case is

\[ E = \frac{100}{R₀} \left[ \frac{1 + \alpha(T₀ - Tₐ)}{1 + \alpha(T₀ - Tₐ)} \right] - 100 \]

\[ = \frac{100 \alpha(T₀ - Tₐ)}{1 + \alpha(T₀ - Tₐ)} \]

The magnitudes of the errors have been evaluated (Table 2) assuming
Tₐ = 20°C and T₀ = 0°C.

12. Error in Coefficient Due to Misinterpretation of Base Temperature.
(\( \alpha \) used when \( A \) should have been used):

The error equation is

\[ E = 100 \left[ \frac{\text{Actual} - \text{True}}{\text{True}} \right] = 100 \left[ \frac{\alpha - A}{A} \right] \]
which upon substitution of Equation (6) for $A$ becomes

$$E = 100 \alpha [T_a - T_0].$$

Thus it can be seen that, for the case where $T_a = 20^\circ C$, and $T_0 = 0^\circ C$, the error in the value of the coefficient will be $+1.0\%$, $+2.0\%$, $+4.0\%$, and $+8.0\%$ for values of $\alpha$ correspondingly of 0.0005, 0.001, 0.002, and 0.004 ohms/ohm$^\circ C$.

**DETERMINATION OF $\alpha$ , $\gamma$ , AND $R_0$ FOR AN**

**AXIS BY THE THREE POINT METHOD**

13. **Measurements Required.** Specific instrumentation for making the following determinations is not spelled out. Numerous equivalent methods are available. There are, of course, a number of instruments, peculiarly suited for this work which have been reported in references (1), (2), and (3).

   a. Determine the resistance at ambient temperature with negligible current through the bridge*. This is the resistance $R_a$.

   b. For a current $I$ through the bridgewire, measure the stabilized resistance $R_e$ that the bridgewire attains at equilibrium.

   c. Find a temperature $T_e$ for which the bridgewire resistance reaches the same value $R_e$ obtained in step b.

14. **Derivation.** From the above measurements, the values for $R_a$, $T_a$, $R_e$, and $T_e$, are available. Substituting these values into

$$R_e = R_a [1 + A (T_e - T_a)]$$

**gives sufficient information to compute $A$**, $R_0$ , $\alpha$ , $R_a$ , and $\gamma$ .

$$A = \frac{R_e - R_a}{R_a (T_e - T_a)}$$

$$R_0 = \frac{R_a (T_e - T_0) - R_e (T_a - T_0)}{T_e - T_a}$$

*By negligible is meant a current low enough so that the elevation of the bridgewire from heating by this current flow is small compared to the elevation to $T_e$ (see step c).
\[
\alpha = \frac{R_e - R_a}{R_a (T_e - T_0) - R_e (T_a - T_0)}
\]

\[
\alpha^* = \frac{R_a T_e - R_e T_a}{T_e - T_a}
\]

\[
\alpha^* = \frac{R_e - R_a}{R_a T_e - R_e T_a}
\]

Furthermore, since the heating current, \( I \), was determined in step b, the power to raise the bridgewire to \( T_e \) can be computed as \( I^2 R_e \). Under steady state (constant power) conditions the equation for the thermal model (see footnote to paragraph (1)) can be solved:

\[ P(t) = I^2 R_e. \]

Therefore \( \gamma \) can be computed from experimental data by:

\[ \gamma = \frac{I^2 R_e}{\theta} = \frac{I^2 R_e}{T_e - T_a}. \]

**CONCLUSIONS**

14. It can be seen that in many practical situations the improper choice of base temperature for either or both parameters will introduce negligible errors. Other situations (for instance with Tungsten whose \( \kappa \) is about 0.003 ohms/ohm/°C) the error may be significant. In any case it is simple to make the proper choice of parameter and to correct available data consistent with the choice.

15. The three-point method for determining \( \alpha \), \( \gamma \), and \( R_0 \) has been used to make many hundreds of sets of determinations. It is an efficient method and capable of accuracy in the order of 1% or better, depending, of course, upon the quality of the instrumentation.
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