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1. INTRODUCTION:

Hypothesis: Daily administration of Anatabine, a potent and safe anti-inflammatory compound, will improve symptoms of pain and fatigue in patients with GWI and may also improve cognitive function.

**Aim 1:** To conduct a double-blind, Placebo-controlled crossover study of the effects of Anatabine in a GWI patient population.

**Aim 2:** To determine if anatabine treatment mitigates the chronic pain suffered by GWI patients

**Aim 3:** To determine if anatabine treatment is associated with improvements in mood and/or cognitive function in GWI patients

**Aim 4:** To collect and analyze blood samples from subjects pre- and post- each phase of treatment to quantify anatabine levels and to evaluate treatment-dependent changes in markers of inflammation.

The most important activity for this project is to obtain approval from the FDA to conduct the proposed trial. As detailed below, we prepared and submitted the IND, and have been placed on clinical hold, awaiting our ability to respond to their enquiries regarding our application.

With regard to the other regulatory actions required to begin this project - namely IRB approval and site approval - we have a draft protocol and consent from for the study, and are planning to schedule a visit from our co-investigator Dr. Krengel, but progress with these actions is pending FDA approval.

Dr. Crawford participated in a GWI symposium at the International Neuropsychological Society meeting in London in July 2016, organized by Dr. Kim Sullivan. Other participants included Drs. Maxine Krengel and Julia Golier. Drs. Krengel, Sullivan and Golier are all involved with the proposed clinical trial, either as co-investigators or consultants, and so this meeting afforded the opportunity for some discussion regarding the response to the FDA and assurance of continued enthusiasm for the project and the trial by all parties concerned.
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3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS: The PI is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency Grants Officer whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction.

What were the major goals of the project?

List the major goals of the project as stated in the approved SOW. If the application listed milestones/target dates for important activities or phases of the project, identify these dates and show actual completion dates or the percentage of completion.
What was accomplished under these goals?
For this reporting period describe: 1) major activities; 2) specific objectives; 3) significant results or key outcomes, including major findings, developments, or conclusions (both positive and negative); and/or 4) other achievements. Include a discussion of stated goals not met. Description shall include pertinent data and graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant results achieved. A succinct description of the methodology used shall be provided. As the project progresses to completion, the emphasis in reporting in this section should shift from reporting activities to reporting accomplishments.

As per our Statement of Work –

**Specific Aim 1:** To conduct a double-blind, placebo controlled crossover study of the effects of Anatabine in a GWI patient population.

**Major Task 1: IRB approval (months 1-3)**
Preparation of documents is in progress but will not be finalized and submitted to the IRB until FDA approval has been obtained.

**Major Task 2: FDA approval (months 1-6)**
We prepared a very detailed Investigator Initiated IND, which was submitted on December 2nd, 2015. Prior to submission, Dr. Crawford had several conversations and communications with Dr. Sandy Barnes of the FDA in the Division of Pulmonary, Allergy, and Rheumatology Products, the division to which our II-IND was to be submitted.
The investigational product for our trial is Anatabine, a potent anti-inflammatory compound, which was available from Rock Creek Pharmaceuticals (RCP) for several years (2010-2014) as a dietary supplement. It is no longer being sold as a dietary supplement, as the company was going to pursue an IND with the FDA for its use as a pharmaceutical.

However, the company withdrew its IND application to pursue trials with the product in Europe. Thus, in the US, anatabine is neither a dietary supplement nor a pharmaceutical, and so there was no IND to which we or the FDA could refer in relation to our application.

In discussing this situation with Dr. Barnes she indicated that I should provide as much information as possible, including a detailed CMC section, explaining that the batch of product for the proposed trial would be made in exact accordance with that methodology and those specifications. We had the full support of RCP in our submission of the IND (RCP have agreed to provide us with the product and matched placebo for the study, free of charge) and so we were able to include RCP’s most recent Investigator’s Brochure (dated November 2014) which contains all of the preclinical and clinical data available at that time, as well as the extensive safety and exposure data from the use of anatabine as a dietary supplement.

Furthermore, RCP provided us with pre-final-report data from its recently conducted trial in the UK to support the safety and tolerability of anatabine at doses above those proposed in our trial.

As previously reported, I believe that our approximately 600 page II-IND submission provided considerably more detail regarding the proposed investigational product than is usual for an II-IND. We received questions by mail, from Dr. LeAnn Brodhead of the same FDA Division, on Tuesday December 15th, 2014, with response required by 17.00 on Thursday December 17th, pertaining to the preclinical studies and our trial design. We responded in detail in the timeframe required, and as the questions made reference to the FDA Guidance for Industry I did also take the opportunity to remind the FDA that ours was an Investigator Initiated IND from a not-for-profit research institute, not a commercial IND. I also reminded them of the devastating impact of GWI to our veterans and the fact that it is considered a debilitating disorder.

On December 30th, 2014, I received a phonecall from Dr. Brodhead informing me that the IND was being placed on clinical hold and that I would receive a letter with detailed information regarding this and how to respond. Having received this letter in January, and given that it suggests requirement for GMP experiments which are beyond the scope of our Institute, we are in discussions with RCP regarding their interest and ability to support provision of such data to the FDA to support our application.

Rock Creek Pharmaceuticals (RCP) went through some management changes in 2013/2014 in the aftermath of some public revelations regarding the inappropriate activities of the former CEO. Despite the best efforts of the new CEO, the financial burden of the ongoing lawsuits ultimately resulted in the bankruptcy of the company. Prior to this RCP had committed to providing the investigational product and placebo required for the proposed trial.
Describe opportunities for training and professional development provided to anyone who worked on the project or anyone who was involved in the activities supported by the project. “Training” activities are those in which individuals with advanced professional skills and experience assist others in attaining greater proficiency. Training activities may include, for example, courses or one-on-one work with a mentor. “Professional development” activities result in increased knowledge or skill in one’s area of expertise and may include workshops, conferences, seminars, study groups, and individual study. Include participation in conferences, workshops, and seminars not listed under major activities.

Nothing to Report.

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?
If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe how the results were disseminated to communities of interest. Include any outreach activities that were undertaken to reach members of communities who are not usually aware of these project activities, for the purpose of enhancing public understanding and increasing interest in learning and careers in science, technology, and the humanities.

Nothing to Report.

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?
If this is the final report, state “Nothing to Report.”

Describe briefly what you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals and objectives.

We are unable to move forward with this program, owing to the costs associated with manufacture of Anatabine product and matching placebo, and the preclinical studies required by the FDA. Therefore, despite our ongoing enthusiasm for anatabine as an extremely potent anti-inflammatory which could potentially be of great value to GWI patients, we reluctantly close this program as the FDA will not allow us to progress at this time.
4. **IMPACT:**

**What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?**

*If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”*

Describe how findings, results, techniques that were developed or extended, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on the base of knowledge, theory, and research in the principal disciplinary field(s) of the project. Summarize using language that an intelligent lay audience can understand (Scientific American style).

Nothing to Report.

**What was the impact on other disciplines?**

*If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”*

Describe how the findings, results, or techniques that were developed or improved, or other products from the project made an impact or are likely to make an impact on other disciplines.

Nothing to Report.

*If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”*

Describe ways in which the project made an impact, or is likely to make an impact, on commercial technology or public use, including:

- transfer of results to entities in government or industry;
- instances where the research has led to the initiation of a start-up company; or
- adoption of new practices.

Nothing to Report.

**What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?**

*If there is nothing significant to report during this reporting period, state “Nothing to Report.”*

Describe how results from the project made an impact, or are likely to make an impact, beyond the bounds of science, engineering, and the academic world on areas such as:

- improving public knowledge, attitudes, skills, and abilities;
- changing behavior, practices, decision making, policies (including regulatory policies), or social actions; or
- improving social, economic, civic, or environmental conditions.

Nothing to Report.
5. **CHANGES/PROBLEMS:** The Project Director/Principal Investigator (PD/PI) is reminded that the recipient organization is required to obtain prior written approval from the awarding agency Grants Officer whenever there are significant changes in the project or its direction. If not previously reported in writing, provide the following additional information or state, “Nothing to Report,” if applicable:

**Changes in approach and reasons for change**

*Describe any changes in approach during the reporting period and reasons for these changes.*

*Remember that significant changes in objectives and scope require prior approval of the agency.*

As detailed in 1c above, we are currently on clinical hold from the FDA and thus cannot proceed as we do not have the resources to address their concerns or questions.

**Actual or anticipated problems or delays and actions or plans to resolve them**

*Describe problems or delays encountered during the reporting period and actions or plans to resolve them.*

Aside from the FDA clinical hold there were no problems that would have impeded the proposed trial; our team was ready and able to conduct the study, and we anticipated good recruitment to the study through our local networks. The doses proposed in our study were below those which have been shown to be safe and well tolerated in previous human studies. At the time of submission we had the support of the company (RCP) which would have manufactured the product and matched placebo to FDA GMP standards, and had we been able to move forward in a timely fashion (i.e. if the FDA had not put us on clinical hold) then we should have been able to have obtained the required product and placebo from RCP and begun our study prior to RCP running into the difficulties which precluded their ongoing participation in this program.

Although the costs associated with conducting this proposed trial were minimal, and supported by The Roskamp Institute, without the support of the pharmaceutics company RCP (Rock Creek Ph...

Although the costs associated with conducting this proposed trial were minimal, and supported by the Roskamp Institute, without the support of the pharmaceutical company RCP (Rock Creek Ph...

**Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures**

*Describe changes during the reporting period that may have had a significant impact on expenditures, for example, delays in hiring staff or favorable developments that enable meeting objectives at less cost than anticipated.*

The majority of effort to date was from Dr. Crawford and her administrative support, in putting together the IND for submission to the FDA, and in negotiations with RCP.
Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Describe significant deviations, unexpected outcomes, or changes in approved protocols for the use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents during the reporting period. If required, were these changes approved by the applicable institution committee (or equivalent) and reported to the agency? Also specify the applicable Institutional Review Board/Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee approval dates.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects

Nothing to Report.

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals.

Nothing to Report.

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents

Nothing to Report.

6. PRODUCTS: List any products resulting from the project during the reporting period. If there is nothing to report under a particular item, state “Nothing to Report.”

- Publications, conference papers, and presentations
  Report only the major publication(s) resulting from the work under this award.

  Journal publications. List peer-reviewed articles or papers appearing in scientific, technical, or professional journals. Identify for each publication: Author(s); title; journal; volume: year; page numbers; status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

  Nothing to Report.
Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications. Report any book, monograph, dissertation, abstract, or the like published as or in a separate publication, rather than a periodical or series. Include any significant publication in the proceedings of a one-time conference or in the report of a one-time study, commission, or the like. Identify for each one-time publication: Author(s); title; editor; title of collection, if applicable; bibliographic information; year; type of publication (e.g., book, thesis or dissertation); status of publication (published; accepted, awaiting publication; submitted, under review; other); acknowledgement of federal support (yes/no).

Nothing to Report.

Other publications, conference papers, and presentations. Identify any other publications, conference papers and/or presentations not reported above. Specify the status of the publication as noted above. List presentations made during the last year (international, national, local societies, military meetings, etc.). Use an asterisk (*) if presentation produced a manuscript.

Nothing to Report.

- Website(s) or other Internet site(s)
  List the URL for any Internet site(s) that disseminates the results of the research activities. A short description of each site should be provided. It is not necessary to include the publications already specified above in this section.

  Nothing to Report.

- Technologies or techniques
  Identify technologies or techniques that resulted from the research activities. In addition to a description of the technologies or techniques, describe how they will be shared.

  Nothing to Report.
• Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses
  Identify inventions, patent applications with date, and/or licenses that have resulted from
  the research. State whether an application is provisional or non-provisional and indicate
  the application number. Submission of this information as part of an interim research
  performance progress report is not a substitute for any other invention reporting
  required under the terms and conditions of an award.

  Nothing to Report.

• Other Products
  Identify any other reportable outcomes that were developed under this project. Reportable
  outcomes are defined as a research result that is or relates to a product, scientific
  advance, or research tool that makes a meaningful contribution toward the
  understanding, prevention, diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, and/or rehabilitation of a
  disease, injury or condition, or to improve the quality of life. Examples include:
  • data or databases;
  • biospecimen collections;
  • audio or video products;
  • software;
  • models;
  • educational aids or curricula;
  • instruments or equipment;
  • research material (e.g., Germplasm; cell lines, DNA probes, animal models);
  • clinical interventions;
  • new business creation; and
  • other.

  Nothing to Report.
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