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We have developed a robust protocol to generate single cell transcriptional profiles from adipose tissue samples of both human and mouse subjects using Drop-seq, a recently developed, cost-efficient method of highly parallel genome-wide expression profiling using nanoliter droplets. We have collected subcutaneous adipose tissue samples from >15 human subjects as well as one omental sample, resulting in the generation of transcriptional profiles for over ~50,000 individual cells. Additionally, we have generated profiles from ~22,000 cells from a combination of mouse subcutaneous, epididymal, and brown fat depots. Our analyses demonstrate expression profiles can be used to cluster individual cells into distinct cell types in an unbiased fashion. We identify 1) most cell types known to be contained within adipose tissue SVF 2) many cell types and subtypes that have not previously been described, 3) depot-specific or enriched cell types. We can determine transcriptional markers for most cell types with higher specificity than currently accepted markers. These data begin to provide a comprehensive transcriptional atlas of subcutaneous adipose tissue cell types that will provide molecular handles to understanding and manipulating each cell type's function. These results are hypothesis-generating, and provide the foundation for future studies that will 1) define functional roles for individual genes and cell types in development of obesity and insulin resistance and 2) examine novel targets against which we can design therapies to target specific pathogenic or health-promoting cell types.
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INTRODUCTION:

The overall goal of this proposal is to determine how individual cell types within human adipose tissue interact to regulate adipose tissue physiology. Specifically, we have developed molecular and analytical tools to identify and classify the identity and function of individual cell types within the adipose tissue stromal vascular fraction (SVF) in an unbiased fashion using single-cell and single-nuclei transcriptional profiling. In cross-species comparisons between mouse adipose and from a range of healthy and diseased human individuals, we are examining previously uncharacterized adipose tissue cell types and have begun to explore how individual cell types work in concert to maintain adipose tissue health.
KEYWORDS:
Obesity, Diabetes, Insulin Resistance, Adipose, Adipocytes, Stromal Vascular Fraction, Single-cell RNA-seq, Transcriptional profiling, Drop-seq, Adipose Depot
**ACCOMPLISHMENTS:**

What were the major goals of the project?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific Aim 1: Use single-cell Single-cell RNA-seq to profile gene expression in individual SVF cells from adipose tissue across a spectrum of metabolic phenotypes</th>
<th>Milestone/Target Date (Months)</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
<th>% completion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Milestone Achieved: HRPO/ACURO Approval</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>01/14/2016</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FACS initial SVF samples (2 human subjects, 2000 cells) into individual plates</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td>04/2016</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adaptation of SCRB-seq protocol to human SVF</td>
<td>3-6</td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Development of Dropseq for single cell profiling</td>
<td>6-15</td>
<td>12/2016</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect initial SVF samples (5) with generation of Single-cell RNA-seq Libraries and sequence</td>
<td>10-18</td>
<td>01/2017</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze initial 20,000 cells for overall cellular complexity and evaluate pilot to determine how many cells will be needed per sample (Monocle, Cufflinks software)</td>
<td>15-18</td>
<td>03/2017</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milestone Achieved: determination of number of cells per sample required</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>12/2017</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze initial 6000 cells for overall cellular complexity and evaluate pilot to determine how many cells will be needed per sample (Monocle, Cufflinks software)</td>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>06/2016</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collect further obese/lean SVF samples (10-20 human subjects, see proposal for discussion of targeted number)</td>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>09/2017</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generate Dropseq RNA-seq Libraries and Sequence from further samples (directly above)</td>
<td>18-30</td>
<td>09/2017</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milestone Achieved: Single-cell RNA-seq of SVF from target number of lean/obese individuals (see proposal for discussion of targeted number)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>09/2017</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Analyze Single-cell RNA-seq data for changes in cellular complexity that occur in lean and obese groups and determine gene and cellular networks governing phenotypes (Monocle, Cufflinks, GSEA, ARACNE software)</td>
<td>24-30</td>
<td>01/2018</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Specific Aim 1: Comparing SVF from lean and obese individuals**

| Milestone Achieved: determination of number of cells per sample required | 18-30 | 12/2016 | 100% |
| Collect further insulin sensitive and insulin resistant SVF samples (10) with generation of Single-cell RNA-seq Libraries and sequence | 18-30 | | 60% |
What was accomplished under these goals?
During the initial phase of this grant, we focused on technology and protocol development for preparing the stromal vascular fraction from human adipose tissue samples in a way that is amenable to generate single cell transcriptional profiles. We had difficulty adapting the SCRB-Seq protocol which we initially described in our original grant application to human adipose tissue for a number of reasons. First, SCRB-seq had been successfully used on \textit{in vitro} derived preadipocyte cells, which grow in monolayer and are easily dissociated (generally with limited <5 minutes of trypsin digestion). Dissociating individual SVF cells from whole tissues efficiently to ensure RNA quality requires a much longer enzymatic digestion time with negative consequence on RNA quality.

We turned to an alternative method in collaboration with Evan Macosko and Steve McCarroll, who had developed Drop-seq, a novel method to profile genome-wide expression in individual cells in highly parallel, cost-efficient manner using nanoliter-sized droplets (Macosko et al, 2015, \textit{Cell} 161, 1202–1214). The Drop-seq system provided a number of potential benefits over SCRB-seq including previous success in heterogeneous \textit{in vivo} tissue (mouse retina), lower cost per single cell library, and the obviation of FACS facility time, which was a costly and logistical hassle. To ensure its robustness, we first performed trial experiments on the mouse arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus (an area known to be important in
appetite and weight regulation) to troubleshoot the method, given ease of access to that tissue. Using these pilot experiments, we successfully set up all aspects of Drop-seq method, including microfluidic separation of individual cells into nanoliter droplets, parallel generation of individual cell RNA-seq libraries, and sequencing and analysis pipelines to be able to analyze such complex data and were able to publish those findings as well as adapt the protocol Drop-seq to human adipose tissue SVF, which included: 1) making sample preparation more efficient and scalable in order to deal the larger amount of tissue (sometimes kilograms of human adipose tissue compared to grams of mouse hypothalamic), 2) removing erythrocytes (>90% of individual cells), which we are not interested in assessing, 3) optimizing sample preparation to limit the affect of lipids on RNA quality (adipocyte lipids are well known to affect RNA quality).

In the intermediate and late phases of the grant (we applied for an extension due to slower sample accrual and switch from SCR-B-seq technology to Drop-seq), we continued to further optimize the protocol, while accruing generate single cell transcriptional profiles from >50,000 individual cells from 16 different individuals (15 are subcutaneous samples and 1 is visceral omental) libraries. We had issues with sample accrual specifically for paired subcutaneous and omental samples (Aim 2) due to the primary bariatric surgeon aiding us in obtaining these samples leaving for another institution. We were only able to identify 2 surgeons at our institution to obtain these omental samples, but at a point in the granting period that did not allow us to obtain those samples before the granting period concluded. We have since begun to actively collect such samples for single cell/single nuclei studies with our new surgical collaborators.

Given the issues with sample accrual, we began adapting Drop-seq to collect subcutaneous, visceral, and brown fat samples from mice in order to: 1) control genetic and environmental influence on cell type. Our preliminary data analysis of human data showed strong effects of cell type clustering that is driven by the individual the cell came from (this could result from shared genetic or epigenetic causes). Examination of inbred mice allows us to look at genotype-independent determination of cell types, and the ability to control environmental factors like diet, degree of fasting, anesthesia given provides a degree of epigenetic stability not obtainable with human experiments, providing the power to identify more statistically significant differences. 2) provide easier access to paired samples from multiple adipose depots for comparison (especially brown fat depots, which are metabolically important but difficult to obtain from humans, and 3) provide a tractable model for validation experiments in the future of cell types that are common cross-species. Given that validation experiments will be performed in mice, we felt it important to determine how well mice modeled human cell types and functions. We have thus far profiled individual SVF cells from inguinal (8K cells) and epididymal (8K cells) and brown fat (4K) depots from Chow-fed diet and an additional 2K epididymal cells from HFD-fed mice.

In our preliminary analysis of both human (Figure 1) and mouse (Figure 2) data, we have been able to recover most expected cell types (adipocytes, pre-adipocytes, macrophages, monocytes, NK cells, B cells, T cells, mast cells, endothelial cells, lymphatic endothelial cells, vascular smooth muscle cells and pericytes, as well as subtypes of some of these cell types (eg. M1, M2, and resolution phase macrophages) in an unbiased fashion. In human data, there are many pre-adipocyte clusters that are largely defined by the origin of individual (Figure 1B), which suggested either strong genetic and epigenetic drivers of clustering, strong technical batch effects (given that we can only perform a single experiment on a single individual in one day), or a combination of those factors. We can determine distinct marker transcripts whose expression defines cells within cluster (Figure 1C).
Further subclustering of non-preadipocyte populations (Figure 1D) allows identification of novel cell subsets as well as elucidation of rarer cell types that do not separately cluster in the initial clustering (e.g. Mast cells, Lymphatic endothelial cells).

Similarly, we can find both well described as well as novel cell types in mouse data (Figure 2) and can identify subtypes of many cells (Figure 2B). For instance, we can identify at least 8 macrophage/monocyte subtypes, much more than the canonical Monocyte/M1/M2 designations that have been classically used, all of which have multiple enriched markers (Figure 2C).

**Fig. 1.** Human SVF sc-RNA-seq analysis. A, t-SNE plot demonstrating cell clusters from SVF cells from 13 individuals, colored by cluster assignment, annotated by likely cell type. B, t-SNE plot demonstrating, colored by individual donor. C, Unique transcriptional markers exist for different cell type cluster assignments. D, t-SNE plot demonstrating clustering of non-preadipocyte cells into distinct subclusters, annotated by likely cell type subsets as well as elucidation of rarer cell types that do not separately cluster in the initial clustering (e.g. Mast cells, Lymphatic endothelial cells).

**Fig. 2.** scRNA-seq analysis of eWAT SVF from chow-fed male C57 mice. A t-SNE plot demonstrating cell clusters of SVF cells from mouse epididymal depot, B, t-SNE plot and subclustering of macrophage/monocyte cells. C, Unique markers exist for different macrophage/monocyte sub-populations.
We observe that generally, the different adipose depots share many cell types, but we find a few that are depot specific (Figure 3). For instance, we have identified and begun to characterize a mesothelial cell type (Figure 3B) that is specific to visceral adipose tissue (the depot that is associated with increased metabolic disease). We have been able to identify very specific transcriptional markers for this cell type and have begun making transgenic Cre lines that will enable us to study its function by killing these cells or by altering their function.

In a preliminary comparison of markers across species, we checked for expression of the human ortholog for mouse cell type markers (Figure 4), and found some markers and marker sets that commonly marked the analogous human cell type (eg M1 macrophages, T cells, proliferating cells), and others where top markers were not shared across species (Monocyte, M2 Macrophages, Mast Cells).

Although we do not see similar effects in our mouse experiments which are done on a congenic genetic background, we were quite concerned that the clustering by individual represented technical batch effects rather than true difference between individuals. This might be more prominent with human samples due to gross differences in time of processing samples of different sizes and increased variability in receiving human samples after they are cut off from their blood supply, etc. To minimize that variability as well as to minimize transcriptional changes that might be induced by cell dissociation, we opted to adapt our protocols to single nuclei RNA-seq, which can be performed without collagenase digestion on flash frozen tissues. Additional benefits include the possibility capturing heterogeneity of adipocytes (adipocytes are too fragile and too big to place in the microfluidic devices used to place single cells in droplets) and the potential to use banked adipose tissue samples we have collected and frozen down (we have a bank of >200 such tissue samples). We first tried this on mouse tissue so that we could more directly compare these methods. As seen in Figure 5, we were able to generate single cell libraries from single nuclei after
some further protocol development. We observed the ability to identify cell types from the major clusters we had previously identified in Drop-seq data, while being able to identify adipocytes, which we had not been able to with Drop-seq. When we directly compared what transcripts were differentially expressed between the two methods, we observed enrichment of transcripts for inflammatory and stress genes in Drop-seq data of cells versus the single nuclei RNA-seq, consistent with changes that are known to occur with cell dissociation. Going forward, we believe that the single-nuclei approach will give us more consistent, accurate cell representations without inducing transcriptional changes.

What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?
I take part in the Boston single-cell working group in part due to this project, and was invited to speak at the American Thyroid Association conference in Victoria BC in October 2017 and will do the same at the American Diabetes Association Meeting in Orlando, FL in June of this year.

How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?
I have presented preliminary analyses in both internal group and division wide lab meetings both at BIDMC and the Broad Institute, and have presented our neuronal work and some preliminary adipocyte findings at the American Thyroid Association conference in Victoria BC in October 2017 and will do the same at the American Diabetes Association Meeting in Orlando, FL in June of this year. We have published the arcuate data (Campbell JN, Macosko EZ, Fenselau H, Pers TH, Lyubetskaya A, Tenen D, Goldman M, Verstegen AM, Resch JM, McCarroll SA, Rosen ED, Lowell BB, Tsai LT, A molecular census of arcuate hypothalamus and median eminence cell types. *Nature Neuroscience*. 06 February 2017; 20(3): 484–496 PMCID: PMC5323293) and posted this data in a user friendly, explorable way to the single cell portal at the Broad Institute (https://portals.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/study/a-molecular-census-of-arcuate-hypothalamus-and-median-eminence-cell-types).

What do you plan to do during the next reporting period to accomplish the goals?
Nothing to Report
IMPACT:

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?
We have continued to make critical strides in developing a protocols to be able to assess individual cell type transcriptional profiles in adipose tissue SVF from human samples, including from size-limited biopsies and most recently from frozen tissue samples. We are well on our way to finalizing an atlas of cell types within human adipose SVF, providing a delineation of the “parts” that make up adipose tissue as well as what are important genes that identify them. This should provide the field with molecular handles to better understand the and manipulate the function of specific cell types within this niche. Further, cell-type specific profiles can be used to better interpret specific cell types that may mediate genome wide association signals. By comparing the relative profiles of these cell types across individuals, we can also determine specific genes in specific cell types that correlate with metabolic characteristics such as obesity, diabetes, and cholesterol levels to better understand how specific genes and cell types modulate these metabolic characteristics.

What was the impact on other disciplines?
Nothing to Report

What was the impact on technology transfer?
Nothing to Report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?
Nothing to Report
CHANGES/PROBLEMS:

Changes in approach and reasons for change:
As discussed in more detail in initial progress report, we switched our technique for single cell RNA-seq profiling from SCRB-seq to Drop-seq for all experiments due to improvements in efficiency, cost, and feasibility. And as delineated above, we have made further improvements in our ability to assess single nuclei, which should provide increased consistency and accuracy of representing the true transcriptional states of cells in vivo. We have additionally added mouse experiments to better control for genetic and environmental effects seen in human data and to provide a platform for follow-up validation studies that the field will need to have.

Delays:
As per initial progress report, we encountered a delay in production phase due to our preliminary SCRB-seq trials not working and the set-up time involved in switching to Drop-seq. We also encountered delays in expected patient accrual of omental adipose tissue samples due to departure of a collaborating surgeon. We have only recently been able to recruit multiple surgeons to replace this pipeline.

Changes that had a significant impact on expenditures:
As per initial progress report, the change from SCRB-seq and Drop-seq techniques required moving funding from initial proposal of outsourcing library construction and sequencing costs to the Broad Institute to performing Drop-seq library construction and sequencing within our lab. This meant removing FACS core funding, purchasing of a new thermocycler in order to perform the library construction, and moving some of initial SCRB-seq costs to supporting the research assistant who is generating the libraries and sequencing them. As Drop-seq was more cost-efficient on a per cell basis, we have been able to increase the number of SVF cells we are assessing per subject to ~5K cells per person for at least 10 individuals (our initial estimate with SCRB-seq was to profile 21,000 cells total). Some of the Drop-seq funding was used in our proof-of-principle Drop-seq development for mouse arcuate studies and moved to mouse studies as described.

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects, vertebrate animals, biohazards, and/or select agents

Significant changes in use or care of human subjects:
none, IRB renewed since last progress report. Approval Date: 12/14/2016, Expiration Date: 12/13/2017

Significant changes in use or care of vertebrate animals:
We are using existing animal protocol from Dr. Rosen (056-2017) for harvesting tissue.

Significant changes in use of biohazards and/or select agents:
N/A
PRODUCTS:

Publications, conference papers, and presentations


Books or other non-periodical, one-time publications: Nothing to Report

Other publications, conference papers, and presentations:
1) 02/16, A Transcriptomic Atlas of Arcuate Cell Types”, Boston Single Cell Working Group, (Local meeting)
2) 03/17, A Molecular Census of Arcuate Hypothalamus and Median Eminence Cell Types. Medical and Population Genetics Program Meeting, Broad Institute (Local Meeting)
3) 10/17, Dropseq Single Cell Transcriptomics. American Thyroid Association, Victoria, BC (National Meeting)

Website(s) or other Internet site(s):
https://portals.broadinstitute.org/single_cell/study/a-molecular-census-of-arcuate-hypothalamus-and-median-eminence-cell-types

Technologies or techniques:
Nothing to Report

Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses:
Nothing to Report

Other Products:
Database of human SVF cell types, mouse arcuate cell types. Upon publication, these transcriptional data will be provided to the public via NIH’s GEO database and Broad’s single cell portal as above.
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APPENDICES:
A molecular census of arcuate hypothalamus and median eminence cell types

John N Campbell¹, Evan Z Macosko²–⁴, Henning Fenselau¹, Tune H Pers⁵, Anna Lyubetskaya¹, Danielle Tenen¹, Melissa Goldman², Anne M J Verstegen¹, Jon M Resch¹, Steven A McCarroll²–⁴,⁷,¹⁸, Evan D Rosen¹,⁸, Bradford B Lowell¹,⁷ & Linus T Tsai¹

The hypothalamic arcuate–median eminence complex (Arc-ME) controls energy balance, fertility and growth through molecularly distinct cell types, many of which remain unknown. To catalog cell types in an unbiased way, we profiled gene expression in 20,921 individual cells in and around the adult mouse Arc-ME using Drop-seq. We identify 50 transcriptionally distinct Arc-ME cell populations, including a rare tanycyte population at the Arc-ME diffusion barrier, a new leptin-sensing neuron population, multiple agouti-related peptide (AgRP) and pro-opiomelanocortin (POMC) subtypes, and an orexigenic somatostatin neuron population. We extended Drop-seq to detect dynamic expression changes across relevant physiological perturbations, revealing cell type–specific responses to energy status, including distinct responses in AgRP and POMC neuron subtypes. Finally, integrating our data with human genome-wide association study data implicates two previously unknown neuron populations in the genetic control of obesity. This resource will accelerate biological discovery by providing insights into molecular and cell type diversity from which function can be inferred.

The Arc is an evolutionarily conserved brain region with diverse roles in mammalian physiology, including energy homeostasis, reproduction and neuroendocrine control of growth hormone and prolactin release. Aside from its functional diversity, Arc is known for its unique anatomical relationship with the blood-brain barrier (BBB), which protects the cell bodies and dendrites of arcuate neuroendocrine neurons while allowing their axons to enter BBB-free areas of the adjoining ME; these axons release signals into fenestrated capillaries that carry blood to the pituitary. Blood-borne signals can also diffuse from ME to Arc, giving Arc privileged access to peripheral hormones, nutrients and other metabolic signals¹. This access is dynamically regulated by tanycytes². The tanycyte is a specialized type of ependymal cell lining the third ventricle that extends processes throughout the Arc and ME. Together the Arc and ME form an anatomically unique and functionally important complex, the Arc-ME.

The varied functions of Arc-ME are supported by molecularly specialized neuron subtypes. For instance, orexigenic AgRP neurons and anorexigenic POMC neurons control feeding³⁴, while kisspeptin (KISS1) neurons regulate serum luteinizing hormone levels⁵. Despite decades of research on Arc-ME, a complete census of its cell types is not available, with several functional Arc neuron populations having no known markers⁶,⁷. Studies relying on immunohistochemistry or in situ hybridization have been limited by the number of proteins or transcripts that can be simultaneously visualized in individual cells and by a strong bias toward known markers. With recent advances in transcriptomic technology, however, thousands of cells can be profiled individually, enabling discovery of cell types with reduced bias (for example, refs. ⁸–¹⁰).

Using Drop-seq we systematically catalogued cell types from in and around mouse Arc-ME, identifying 34 distinct neuronal populations (24 from Arc-ME) and 36 non-neuronal populations (26 from Arc-ME) from 20,921 individual cell profiles. We determined specific markers that can be used both to identify cell types and to infer their function. Among our findings, we uncover several distinct subsets of AgRP and POMC neurons, a new group of leptin-responsive neurons and an undescribed orexigenic role for Arc somatostatin neurons. We further used Drop-seq to assess cell type–specific responses to fasting and high-fat diet, revealing energy status–sensitive populations and reinforcing the functional heterogeneity of AgRP and POMC subtypes. Lastly, we show how the increased detail provided by such profiles improves the ability to connect genome-wide association study (GWAS) genes to relevant cell types. Together our results demonstrate how such a molecular census can be used to transform our understanding of a complex tissue and the biological processes it regulates.
RESULTS
Unbiased transcriptomics identifies 50 distinct Arc-ME cell types

Using Drop-seq\(^8\) we profiled 20,921 transcriptomes from acutely dissociated Arc-ME cells of adult mice under various feeding conditions: ad libitum access to standard mouse chow, low-fat diet or high-fat diet, as well as overnight fasting, with or without subsequent refeeding (Fig. 1a and Supplementary Fig. 1a). After correcting for batch effects, we performed principal component (PC) analysis, dimensionality reduction with spectral t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE), and density-based clustering (Fig. 1a and Online Methods). Our initial analysis identified 20 distinct clusters (Fig. 1b,c). Each cluster contained cells from each feeding condition and sample batch, indicating the transcriptional identities of these cell clusters are stable across those experimental conditions (Supplementary Fig. 1a and Supplementary Table 1). Using expression patterns of cell type–specific marker genes, we assigned a single identity to each cluster: neurons (Tubb3\(^+\)), ependymocytes (Ccdc153\(^+\)), tanycytes (Rax\(^+\)), oligodendrocyte lineage cells (Mag\(^+\)), oligodendrocyte precursor cells (also known as NG2 cells), Cspg4\(^+\), macrophages (Aif1\(^+\)), endothelial cells (Sloo1\(^+\)), mural cells (Mustn1\(^+\)) and astrocytes (Gfap\(^+\); Fig. 1d and Supplementary Table 2).

We also identified clusters of non-neural cells from tissues adjoining the Arc-ME—namely, vascular and leptomeningeal cells (VLMCs; Lum\(^+\)) and pituitary cells from pars tuberalis (Tshb\(^+\)). Exemplifying our detection of new markers, we found that pars tuberalis cells encode cholecystokinin (Cck) at a high level (Fig. 1d and Supplementary Fig. 1b,c). Of note, CCK has been shown to control pituitary release of prolactin\(^11\), a well-known function of the pars tuberalis\(^12\).

In many cases, a previously described cell type was represented by more than one cluster. We detected oligodendrocyte markers (for example, Olig1, Cd9, Pllp) in four clusters, each corresponding to a distinct stage of oligodendrocyte differentiation\(^9\) (Supplementary Fig. 1d). Neurons and tanycytes also formed multiple clusters, consistent with their known heterogeneity (see below). We subclustered each original non-neuronal cluster (Supplementary Fig. 1e and Supplementary Table 3) to yield a total of 36 subclusters, revealing additional heterogeneity: for example, the mural cell cluster (Fig. 1b) comprises pericytes and vascular smooth muscle cells (Supplementary Fig. 1f) and a macrophage cluster (Fig. 1b) consists of transcriptionally related microglia and perivascular macrophages (Supplementary Fig. 1g).

Our subclustering revealed eight clusters of ependymal cells (Vim\(^+\) Sox2\(^+\); Supplementary Fig. 2a,b). Ependymal cells line the ventricular surface of the hypothalamus and are broadly categorized as either ependymocytes, multiciliated cells that secrete and move cerebrospinal fluid, or tanycytes, monolayered cells with basal processes extending throughout the mediobasal hypothalamus and median eminence\(^1\). Tanycytes have a variety of functions, from glucose sensing and neurogenesis to controlling the chemical exchanges between brain parenchyma, cerebrospinal fluid and bloodstream\(^1,13\). Studies and rodents have described four tanycyte subtypes (\(\alpha1, \alpha2, \beta1\) and \(\beta2\)) occupying distinct regions along the third ventricle\(^13\) (Fig. 2a). While markers for these subtypes have been suggested\(^13\), it is unclear whether those markers truly distinguish between molecularly distinct cellular subtypes.

To trace the anatomical origin of our ependymal cell clusters, we cross-referenced the markers of each cluster with in situ hybridization data from the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas (http://mouse.brain-map.org)\(^14\). We found many to be expressed in well-defined regions along the third ventricle (Fig. 2b), allowing us to assign each cluster to the ependymal cell subtype occupying those regions (Fig. 2c). Our results confirm and extend functional categorization of ependymal cell subtypes by anatomical position: we identified several ependymal subtype markers (Supplementary Fig. 2b) and discovered new markers for each subtype (Fig. 2d and Supplementary Fig. 2c,d). Our data nearly double the number of ependymal cell subtypes thought to exist (Supplementary Fig. 2c,d) and provide insight into each possible function.

While many genes were expressed in gradients along the third ventricle, some showed very restricted patterns of expression. For instance, Sppr1a was found only at the border between Arc and ME (Fig. 2e), where tanycytes are thought to form a diffusion barrier\(^1,2\). Using an intravascular injection of Evan’s blue to mark this diffusion barrier, we found that SPPR1A immunoreactive tanycytes were located precisely at the Arc-ME barrier (Fig. 2e). Small proline-rich (SPRR) proteins, including SPPR1A, are crucial constituents of the cornified envelope, the diffusion barrier in the skin\(^15\). By identifying Sprr1a as a specific marker for these tanycytes, our results provide a genetic means by which to develop tools for investigating the role of SPPR1A and Sprr1a\(^+\) tanycytes in the Arc-ME diffusion barrier.

Neuron-specific clustering reveals new types and subtypes of known Arc-ME populations

At least six distinct types of neurons have been identified in the Arc-ME. Among these are two neuroendocrine populations, growth hormone releasing hormone (GHRH) and tuberoinfundibular dopaminergic (TIDA) neurons, that control pituitary release of growth hormone and prolactin, respectively. In addition, there are at least four types of centrally projecting neurons, including AgRP neurons and POMC neurons, which play vital opposing roles in energy balance, and kisspeptin/neurokinin B/dynorphin (“KNDy”) neurons, which regulate fertility. Somatostatin (SST) neurons have also been described as distinct\(^16\), but their function is not well understood. Other functional types of neurons exist in the Arc-ME as well, including a thermogenic population known for its expression of the rat insulin II promoter (RIP)-Cre transgene as well as a leptin-sensing GABAergic population distinct from AgRP neurons that is critical for weight regulation\(^6,7,17,18\).

To further characterize neuronal diversity in the Arc-ME, we performed additional rounds of clustering on the 13,079 neurons in our data set, identifying 34 clusters (Fig. 3a,b and Supplementary Fig. 3a,b) which we then annotated with a neuron cluster number (e.g., “n01”) and candidate markers. Many of these clusters are defined by unique candidate markers (Fig. 3c and Supplementary Table 4), though some lacked a unique marker and were annotated instead with a combination of markers. Two clusters, containing a combined 14% of the neurons in our data set, appeared to be heterogeneous, lacking any strong and specific markers, and so are annotated as “unassigned.” The reason(s) for lack of assignment are not clear but could include technical issues with sample quality or purity (suggested by the lower unique molecular identifier (UMI) and gene counts in this population), or could reflect true biological ambiguity. However, owing to a lack of specific markers or specific combination of markers for these neurons, we could not investigate them further.

Notably, we detected markers of all six previously described types of Arc-ME neurons (Aggrp, Pomc, Sst, Kiss1/Tac2, Ghrh and Th). In total, 14 clusters expressed those markers (2 Aggrp\(^+\), 3 Pomc\(^+\), 5 Sst\(^+\) and 6 Th\(^+\) clusters), revealing molecular heterogeneity even within well-studied arcuate populations. We observe clusters expressing both Th and Sst and both Aggrp and Sst; these represent overlaps between Arc-ME neuron populations previously thought to be distinct.
Also, while six of the neuron clusters are potentially dopaminergic (Th, little to no Dbh), only two of these expressed prolactin receptor (Prr) and dopamine transporter (Slc6a3), representing true TIDA populations (Fig. 3c; clusters n03.Th/Sst and n08.Th/Slitc6a3)\textsuperscript{19,20}.

To validate our clustering, we checked expression of genes previously shown to be enriched in AgRP neurons and POMC neurons, two well-studied Arc-ME neuron populations. While we detected leptin receptor transcript (Lepr) in both AgRP neurons and POMC neurons, a}
c
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Figure 1 Overview of all cell types. (a) Schematic of Arc-ME single-cell transcriptomics. (b) Spectral TSNE plot of 20,921 cells, colored per density clustering and annotated according to known cell types. (c) Heat map of top marker genes for each cluster. The two largest clusters, a12 and a18, were reduced to one-quarter size to better visualize the smaller clusters (d) Dendrogram showing relatedness of cell clusters, followed by (from left to right) cluster identification numbers, cells per cluster, mean ± s.e.m. UMI per cluster, mean ± s.e.m. genes detected per cluster and violin plots showing expression of cell type marker genes. Oligodend, oligodendrocyte; NG2/OPC, oligodendrocyte precursor cell; ependymo, ependymocyte; PVM/Micro, peripheral vascular macrophage and microglia; VLMC, vascular and leptomeningeal cell; ParsTuber, pars tuberalis.
**Npy, Ghsr and Acvr1c** were highly enriched in AgRP neurons, as were **Cartpt, Htr2c** and **Calb1** in POMC neurons (Fig. 4a). Markers from our unbiased clustering therefore concur with previous studies of these neuron populations (for example, ref. 21).

POMC neurons have been shown to have functional heterogeneity22, but it is unclear whether these subtypes are transcriptionally distinct. Our analysis found three distinct subtypes of POMC neurons, each defined by a set of enriched transcripts (Supplementary Fig. 4).

**Figure 2** Ependymal cell types. (a) Illustration of known subtypes of hypothalamic ependymal (Epy) cells, their approximate anatomical locations and the orientations of their processes. Ependymocytes have cilia in the ventricle and tanycytes have basal processes in the brain parenchyma and median eminence. DMH, dorsomedial hypothalamus; VMH, ventromedial hypothalamus. (b) Marker gene expression shown by in situ hybridization of coronal brain sections (Allen Mouse Brain Atlas; top) and ependymal cell feature plot (bottom) derived from tSNE plot (Fig. 1b). Genes were selected from those differentially expressed among ependymal cell clusters. (c) Annotation of ependymal cell clusters on the basis of anatomical localization of marker genes. Figure was derived from tSNE plot (thumbnail; Fig. 1b). (d) Heat map of single-cell expression of cluster-enriched transcripts. (e) Top left, ependymal cell feature plot recolored to indicate cells with any amount of **Sprr1a** transcript. Top right, **Sprr1a in situ** hybridization in a coronal hindbrain section (Allen Mouse Brain Atlas). Bottom left, schematic of an experiment to define the diffusion barrier between Arc and ME; 3v, third ventricle. Bottom right, confocal micrograph comparing **SPRR1A** immunoreactivity (IR) to the location of the Arc-ME diffusion barrier, visualized by extravasation of intravascular (i.v.) Evan’s blue; micrograph is representative of 2 mice.
Some of these transcripts encoded secreted peptides, receptors and transcriptional regulators that may underlie their transcriptional identity (Fig. 4b). Notably, among POMC subtypes, Lepr is largely restricted to the n15.Pomc/Anxa2 subtype, whereas Htr2c is predominantly expressed by the other POMC subtypes (Fig. 4b). Thus, these transcriptionally distinct subtypes of POMC neurons likely correspond to the leptin-sensing and serotonin-sensing (HTR2C*) subtypes defined by previous studies.\(^2\)

Roughly half the neuron clusters did not express markers of known Arc-ME neurons. These unknown clusters could represent neurons from outside Arc-ME, inadvertently included during dissection (Supplementary Fig. 5a,b). Indeed, we found transcripts enriched in eight neuron clusters, or 17% of all neurons, that the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas shows are expressed in regions neighboring Arc-ME, suggesting that these eight neuron clusters originated from neighboring regions: ventromedial hypothalamus (‘SF-1’ Nr5a1* Fosc1*; Supplementary Fig. 3a).
Supplementary Fig. 5c; three clusters), suprachiasmatic nucleus (Rgs16+, Supplementary Fig. 5c; three clusters), tuberomammillary nucleus (Hdc+, Fig. 3c; one cluster) and retrochiasmatic area (Oxt+, Fig. 3c; one cluster). Conversely, another 24 neuron clusters (70% of neurons) expressed transcripts enriched in Arc-ME relative to neighboring regions, indicating these clusters likely came from Arc-ME (Supplementary Fig. 4d). The two unassigned clusters had heterogeneous expression of regional marker genes and could not be confidently attributed to Arc-ME or surrounding regions.

While inclusion of non-Arc neurons represents a dissection artifact, it is noteworthy for two reasons. First, the presence of cells from beyond the rostral, caudal and lateral boundaries of the Arc suggests that our samples included the full extent of the Arc. Second, the fact that non-Arc neurons clustered apart from Arc neurons indicates that our samples included the full extent of the Arc. Beyond the rostral, caudal and lateral boundaries of the Arc suggests that our samples included the full extent of the Arc-ME neuron population and matched each RIP-Cre neuron to a common cluster represented were n07.Arx/Nr5a2, n27.Tbx19 and n13.Agrp/Gm8773. To confirm functional LepR+ TRH neurons sense leptin and drive energy expenditure but do not affect feeding2. However, other than their lack of Agrp and POMC expression, these RIP-Cre neurons are transcriptionally uncharacterized. We performed single-cell RNA-seq on RIP-Cre neurons manually isolated from acutely dissociated Arc-ME (Fig. 5a). As expected2, nearly all of the 25 RIP-Cre neurons we profiled appeared to be GABAergic, containing GABAergic marker transcript Slc32a1 (21 of 25 neurons), and roughly half had Lepr transcript (12 of 25 neurons; Supplementary Fig. 5e).

To determine which Arc-ME neuron cluster(s) each RIP-Cre neuron was most similar to, we examined RIP-Cre neuron expression of Arc-ME neuron cluster markers and matched each RIP-Cre neuron to a Drop-seq neuron cluster on the basis of its expression of cluster markers. RIP-Cre neurons are quite heterogeneous, with individual neurons localized to ten different clusters, though 23 of 25 RIP-Cre neurons mapped to three distinct transcriptional clades (Fig. 5f). The most common clusters represented were n07.Arx/Nr5a2, n27.Tbx19 and n05.NfiX/Htr2c. Of these, the only GABAergic cluster that expressed Lepr at a significant level was the n27.Tbx19 neurons (Fig. 5c),

Figure 4  AgRP neurons and POMC neurons. (a) Left, selection of AgRP neurons and POMC neurons for analysis of gene coexpression. Right, coexpression of Agrp or Pomc with genes known to be enriched in AgRP neurons and/or POMC neurons. Values are in counts per million (CPM). (b) Differentially expressed genes related to neuropeptide or neurotransmitter signaling and transcriptional regulation in three subtypes of POMC neurons.

Supplementary Fig. 5c; three clusters), suprachiasmatic nucleus (Rgs16+, Supplementary Fig. 5c; three clusters), tuberomammillary nucleus (Hdc+, Fig. 3c; one cluster) and retrochiasmatic area (Oxt+, Fig. 3c; one cluster). Conversely, another 24 neuron clusters (70% of neurons) expressed transcripts enriched in Arc-ME relative to neighboring regions, indicating these clusters likely came from Arc-ME neuron cluster markers and matched each RIP-Cre neuron to a common cluster represented were n07.Arx/Nr5a2, n27.Tbx19 and n13.Agrp/Gm8773. To confirm functional LepR+ TRH neurons sense leptin and drive energy expenditure but do not affect feeding2. However, other than their lack of Agrp and POMC expression, these RIP-Cre neurons are transcriptionally uncharacterized. We performed single-cell RNA-seq on RIP-Cre neurons manually isolated from acutely dissociated Arc-ME (Fig. 5a). As expected2, nearly all of the 25 RIP-Cre neurons we profiled appeared to be GABAergic, containing GABAergic marker transcript Slc32a1 (21 of 25 neurons), and roughly half had Lepr transcript (12 of 25 neurons; Supplementary Fig. 5e).

To determine which Arc-ME neuron cluster(s) each RIP-Cre neuron was most similar to, we examined RIP-Cre neuron expression of Arc-ME neuron cluster markers and matched each RIP-Cre neuron to a Drop-seq neuron cluster on the basis of its expression of cluster markers. RIP-Cre neurons are quite heterogeneous, with individual neurons localized to ten different clusters, though 23 of 25 RIP-Cre neurons mapped to three distinct transcriptional clades (Fig. 5f). The most common clusters represented were n07.Arx/Nr5a2, n27.Tbx19 and n05.NfiX/Htr2c. Of these, the only GABAergic cluster that expressed Lepr at a significant level was the n27.Tbx19 neurons (Fig. 5c), 

making it the likeliest candidate to mediate the previously characterized function of RIP-Cre neurons in energy expenditure. Consistent with this hypothesis, n27.Tbx19 neurons showed enriched expression of Cartpt and Nmu, which encode neuropeptides that induce thermogenesis when administered centrally, as well as Vipr2, knockouts of which are lean and have increased metabolic rates\(^{30–32}\). These results

![Diagram](image)

**Figure 5** New subtypes of Arc-ME neurons. (a) Top, neuron-only tSNE plot recolored to indicate four neuron types selected for further analysis: n11.Trh/Cxcl12 neurons, n19.Gpr50 neurons, n26.Htr3b neurons and n27.Tbx19 neurons; bottom, Nissl stain of sagittal Arc-ME sections (Allen Mouse Brain Atlas). (b) Expression of marker genes shown by recoloring of neuron-only tSNE plot (top) and by in situ hybridization of sagittal brain sections (Allen Mouse Brain Atlas; bottom). (c) Heat map of neuropeptide and receptor genes enriched in the four neuron types. Other Arc-ME neuron types included for comparison are in numerical order (by cluster identifier). (d) Leptin-induced pSTAT3 immunofluorescence in the caudal Arc-ME of a fasted Trh-IRES-Cre mouse in which cells were labeled by Cre-dependent AAV-mCherry (micrograph representative of 4 mice). Yellow arrows indicate pSTAT3+ mCherry+ cells. Left-most panel adapted from Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas. V3, third ventricle; ARH, arcuate nucleus of the hypothalamus. Scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m. (e) Single-cell RNA-seq of eYFP-labeled RIP-Cre+ neurons that were acutely dissociated and manually isolated from Arc-ME of 2 adult male mice. Micrograph representative of 2 mice. VMH, ventromedial hypothalamus. Scale bar, 50 \(\mu\)m. (f) RIP-Cre neuron expression of Arc-ME neuron type markers. (g) Dendrogram of Arc-ME neuron types with green dots indicating the subtypes most similar to RIP-Cre neurons as based on marker expression.
demonstrate how this transcriptional database can be used to classify and characterize individual Arc-ME neurons of interest.

Transcriptional relationship predicts anatomical and functional similarities between AgRP and SST neurons

We observed that GABAAergic (Slc32a1+) neuron clusters n13.Agrp/Gm8773 and n23.Sst/Unc13c were coenriched in several transcripts (Fig. 6a), including those encoding a transcription factor (Otp) and the calcium receptor (Celer) (Fig. 6a,b). In addition, we found a cluster of neurons (n12.Agrp/Sst) expressing both Agrp and Sst at high levels (Fig. 6c) with a transcriptional profile that included both n23. Sst/Unc13c and n13.Agrp/Gm8773 cluster markers (Supplementary Fig. 6a). Overall, 9% of Agrp+ neurons also expressed Sst and 19% of all Sst+ neurons also expressed Agrp, above gene-specific expression thresholds (calculated as in ref. 10). Of note, transcripts distinguishing n12.Agrp/Sst neurons from other AgRP neurons (n13.Agrp/Gm8773) were largely enriched in other Sst+ neuron clusters as well, suggesting a common somatostatinergic gene program (Supplementary Fig. 6b,c). To confirm that neurons coexpressing AgRP and SST exist in vivo, we compared fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) for Sst mRNA to Agrp-IRES-Cre::loxSTOPlox-GFP immunofluorescence, which marks AgRP neurons33. The results showed that 5% of AgRP neurons were Sst+ (38 of 769 neurons) and 9% of Sst+ neurons were AgRP neurons (38 of 442 neurons; Fig. 6d), confirming that neurons coexpressing AgRP and SST exist in vivo. While these neurons represent a small minority of all Arc-ME AgRP neurons, our findings provide the first evidence for transcriptional subtypes of AgRP neurons.

Of note, a recent study found that deleting Chr1 from AgRP neurons alters hepatic glucose production and thermogenesis but not feeding or body weight34. Our data show that 3 of 26, indicating that ARCSST neurons release fast-acting neurotransmitters onto a subset of PVH neurons (Fig. 6f). Bath application of a GABA receptor antagonist, bicuculline, abolished the light-evoked postsynaptic currents, revealing the GABAAergic nature of these synapses (Fig. 6f). Thus, ARCSST neurons, like AgRP neurons, directly inhibit a subset of PVH neurons.

We next determined whether chemogenetic activation of ARCSST neurons could affect feeding, as has been robustly shown for AgRP neurons4. After injecting Arc-ME of Sst-IRES-Cre mice with a Cre-dependent AAV expressing hM3Dq, a mutant G2-coupled muscarinic receptor40, we activated ARCSST neurons using the hM3Dq ligand, clozapine-N-oxide, (CNO; i.p.; n = 5 mice). Administering CNO to these mice acutely and significantly increased feeding (Fig. 6g), thus establishing ARCSST neurons as a new orexigenic population whose activation is sufficient to drive feeding behavior. Notably, previous studies have shown that adult mice can recover 3 weeks after total ablation of AgRP neurons41. Whether this recovery results from an increased role of ARCSST neurons to compensate for AgRP neuron loss is a question for further investigation. Further experiments are also needed to determine which of the five Sst+ Arc-ME neuron subtypes is or are responsible for the feeding phenotype we observed. Our gene expression data provide subtype-specific markers from which to design genetic tools to specifically manipulate each Sst+ subtype (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Fig. 6e,f).

In vivo metabolic stress triggers generalized as well as cell type- and subtype-specific changes in gene expression

Studies of how in vivo perturbations affect gene expression are typically performed at the tissue level, or at best on samples of cells pooled on the basis of transgenic reporter expression. Such studies may be limited by their dependence on the availability or fidelity of transgenic reporter animals and, more importantly, their presumption of homogeneity within a target cell population. Unbiased single-cell approaches such as Drop-seq have the potential to overcome these limitations but so far have been used mostly to parse cell types from complex tissues, where transcriptional differences are large and static.

To find out whether dynamic transcriptional changes can be assessed, we performed Drop-seq profiling on Arc-ME from mice across different feeding conditions and energy states: ad libitum fed or fasted overnight, or 1 week of low-fat diet (10% calories from fat; LFD) or high-fat diet (60% calories from fat; HFD). We identified thousands of genes significantly up- or downregulated in response to fasting and HFD across individual non-neuronal (Supplementary Fig. 7a and Supplementary Tables 5 and 6) and neuronal populations (Fig. 7a and Supplementary Tables 7 and 8). Generally, fasting induced a stronger transcriptional response than HFD (that is, greater number and amplitude of changes; Fig. 7a and Supplementary Fig. 7b), and the neuron types most responsive to fasting also tended to be most responsive to HFD (Fig. 7a), suggesting energy state–responsive neuron types.

To globally validate gene expression changes, we compared them to a recently published RNA-seq study on pooled neurons marked by AgRP or POMC transgenic reporter expression21. We found strong correlations between AgRP neuron subtypes and pooled AgRP neurons, and between POMC neuron subtypes and pooled POMC neurons (Supplementary Fig. 7c–f), demonstrating that Drop-seq detects gene expression changes found by more conventional methods.

To further test whether the AgRP and POMC neuron subtypes we found are functionally distinct, we compared their transcriptional responses to fasting. While most genes changed similarly across the AgRP and POMC neuron populations (for example, Agrp, Pomp, Vgfl), many were differentially regulated between their subtypes (Fig. 7b,c). Some of these were fasting-sensitive genes identified in pooled AgRP and POMC neuron samples21, but which we found to be fasting-sensitive only in one subtype (in AgRP neurons: Blhle40, Gria3, in POMC neurons: Tmtn4, Gm22426; Fig. 7c). We also identified gene regulatory events that were discordant in different subtypes and had been missed in a bulk-tissue analysis, a benefit of the single-cell resolution of Drop-seq. For example, fasting did not significantly alter the
activity-dependent synaptogenic marker gene Gap43 in published pooled data for AgRP neurons (FDR > 0.25)21, but Drop-seq analysis revealed that Gap43 was significantly upregulated by fasting in the n13.Agrp/Gm8773 neurons (FDR = 0.08) and significantly downregulated in n12.Agrp/Sst neurons (FDR = 0.04); Fig. 7c; see also Btg2, Rin2). Overall, 647 genes had a highly significant (FDR < 0.05) change in expression in one AgRP neuron subtype but not in the AgRP neuron population as a whole. We found similar examples of subtype-specific transcriptional responses in POMC neurons, including the neuropeptide Y receptor gene Npy2r (Fig. 7c; see also Ded2a, Tmem237, Coro7). In total, fasting altered expression of 42 genes to a highly significant degree (FDR < 0.05) in one or two POMC neuron subtypes that were not significantly altered in the whole POMC population. The observed differences in how individual AgRP and

---

**Figure 6** Similarities between AgRP neurons and SST neurons. (a) Heat map of gene coenriched in AgRP neurons and SST neurons. (b) Expression of two coenriched genes, Otp and Calcr, as well as Agrp and Sst, shown by recoloring of neuron-only tSNE plots. (c) Coexpression of Agrp and Sst by individual cells in three neuron clusters: n12.Agrp/Sst, n13.Agrp/Gm8773 and n23.Sst/Unc13c. (d) Representative micrograph comparing Agrp-IRES-Cre::loxSTOPlox-GFP immunofluorescence to Sst mRNA in situ hybridization. White arrows indicate colabeled cells. (e) Axon projection patterns of AgRP neurons and Arc-ME SST neurons. From left to right, micrographs of mCherry immunofluorescence at the site where Cre-dependent AAV-ChR2-mCherry was injected in the Arc-ME of a Sst-IRES-Cre mouse and comparisons of mCherry and AgRP immunofluorescence in paraventricular hypothalamus (PVH); paraventricular thalamus (PVT); bed nucleus of the stria terminalis, anterior and ventral parts (BNSTa and BNSTv, respectively); and medial preoptic nucleus (MPO). Scale bars, 100 μm. (f) Left, schematic of channelrhodopsin-assisted circuit mapping from ChR2+ ARCST neurons to unidentified PVH neurons (n = 2 mice). Right, representative patch-clamp recordings of PVH neurons during photostimulation of ARCSST neuron axons in PVH, in the absence (top) or presence (bottom) of the bath-applied GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (BIC); blue dash indicates light pulse. (g) Effect of chemogenetic stimulation of ARCSST neurons on daytime food intake. Left, micrograph of mCherry immunofluorescence at the site where Cre-dependent AAV-hM3Dq-mCherry was injected bilaterally in the Arc-ME of an Sst-IRES-Cre mouse; representative of 5 mice; scale bar, 100 μm. Right, cumulative food intake in 4-h period after intraperitoneal injection of either the hM3Dq ligand (CNO) or vehicle (saline). N = 5 mice; data shown as mean ± s.e.m. *P = 0.0315, ****P < 0.0001; two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test.
FIGURE 7 Transcriptional responses to energy imbalance. (a) Histograms showing the number of genes significantly up- or downregulated in response to fasting and HFD in each Arc-ME neuron type. (b) Comparison of fasting responses of AgRP neuron subtypes (top) and POMC neuron subtypes (bottom). Genes plotted were significantly affected by fasting (false-discovery rate (FDR) < 0.25 in at least one AgRP neuron subtype (top) or POMC neuron subtype (bottom). While subtypes generally show significant correlation (Pearson correlation = 0.58, p = 2 × 10−237 for AgRP neuron subtypes and Pearson correlation = 0.55, p = 2 × 10−47 using Student’s t-distribution test for POMC neuron subtypes), there are many individual genes that are differentially affected by fasting (for example, some are in the top left and some in the bottom right quadrant). Boldface indicates genes that are also shown in c. (c) Examples of genes affected by fasting only in one subtype of AgRP neurons and POMC neurons, or affected oppositely between subtypes. For comparison, average log2 fold-change (FC) values are also shown for all Arc-ME cells, all Arc-ME neurons and all AgRP or POMC neurons. Bars are shaded to indicate genes differentially expressed at FDR < 0.25; green and red indicate up- and downregulation, respectively. (d) Heat map of gene expression fold-change values for genes significantly affected in at least one AgRP or POMC subtype. Genes are clustered by gene expression

POMC subtypes respond to fasting underscores the necessity of a single-cell approach to identifying such heterogeneity and shows that our transcriptionally defined cell subtypes make distinct functional responses to the same stimulus.

While fasting affects a subset of genes in the two AgRP subtypes differently, the transcriptional responses of these subtypes were broadly similar to each other and different from responses of the POMC subtypes (Fig. 7d). The POMC subtypes showed greater functional heterogeneity in their fasting responses, with the n14.Pomc/Ttr and n15.Pomc/Anxa2 subtypes showing high correlation and being distinct from n21.Pomc/Glipr1 neurons (Fig. 7d). Extending these comparisons to all Arc-ME neurons (Supplementary Fig. 7g), we found that AgRP neurons and n08.Th/Slc6a3 neurons had similar transcriptional responses to fasting that were distinct from those of other Arc-ME neurons. Specifically, AgRP neurons and n08.Th/Slc6a3 (TIDA) neurons strongly upregulated endoplasmic reticulum genes but not the ribosomal and protein translation genes upregulated by other Arc-ME neuron types. Fasting is known to activate AgRP neurons and TIDA neurons42,43, which may explain at least some of the similarity in their transcriptional response.

Also of note, many of the fasting-induced changes in AgRP neurons were inversely regulated by HFD (Supplementary Fig. 7h). A specific account of all responsive genes and gene sets is beyond the scope of this manuscript; although we focus on responses of a few neuron types to fasting and feeding, we provide differential expression data for all the Arc-ME cell types, many of which are known to be important in...
regulating responses to fasting and HFD (Supplementary Tables 5–8). These data should provide a resource for identification of genes whose dynamic expression in specific cell types may be important for that cell type's functional response. Overall, these results show that single-cell profiling by Drop-seq can robustly and comprehensively detect changes in gene expression across perturbations, with unprecedented sensitivity, specificity and scale. Diet-sensitive cell types and genes yield an array of new translational targets for obesity treatment.

**Cell-type specific expression profiles connect candidate GWAS genes to new cell types**

GWAS have uncovered thousands of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) conferring disease risk, but determining the gene(s) each SNP regulates and the cell type(s) in which that gene acts remains a challenge and is a major barrier to deriving biological insight from such data. DEPICT (Data-driven Expression Prioritized Integration for Complex Traits) is an analytical tool designed to systematically prioritize tissue type(s) on the basis of enriched expression of GWAS-associated genes. Previously, body mass index (BMI) GWAS-linked loci genes were shown to have enriched expression in CNS tissues.Arc-ME neurons that are both necessary and sufficient for satiety. Fenselau and colleagues identified a population of Arc-ME neurons affecting BMI. In this study, as clear candidates for future study in body weight regulation, and prioritize a set of obesity-associated genes whose regulation in these neurons may affect phenotype.

![DEPICT predicts specific neuron types affecting BMI.](image)

Normalizing to the expression profiles of the other Arc-ME cell populations, we found significant enrichment of BMI-linked gene expression in neuronal, but not non-neuronal, populations (Fig. 8a). This neuronal enrichment is specific, as it was not observed for anorexia, type 2 diabetes mellitus or waist-to-hip ratio GWAS loci, the last of which were previously shown to be enriched in adipose tissue and adipocytes. To pinpoint the signal, we focused DEPICT on the Arc-ME neuron types and found two types, n25.Trh/Lef1 and n32.Slc17a6/Trhr neuron clusters. (c) Heat map of n25.Trh/Lef1 and n32.Slc17a6/Trhr neuron cluster expression of genes near BMI-linked loci or related to obesity susceptibility. Other Arc-ME neuron types included for comparison are in numerical order by cluster identifier.
Slc17a6/Trhr neurons. These results validate our DEPICT prioritization analysis, and together they suggest that, as in mice, Slc17a6/Trhr neurons control body weight in humans.

We also analyzed GWAS data for other phenotypes potentially related to Arc-ME function: growth (height), fertility (menarche, menopause) and glucose regulation (type 2 diabetes mellitus). We found enriched expression of menarche-associated genes in neurons, specifically the n04.Sst/Nts neuron type (Supplementary Fig. 8a,b). Notably, Nts encodes neurotensin, a neuropeptide that can directly stimulate gonadotropin releasing hormone neurons, contributing to the luteinizing hormone surge.49 We observed enrichment for menopause-associated genes in pars tuberalis, an endocrine population that includes cells expressing the reproductive hormones luteinizing hormone and follicle-stimulating hormone (Supplementary Fig. 8a). Lastly, we observed highly significant enrichment for height-associated gene expression in multiple cell types, including endothelial, mural and VLMC populations, as well as in pars tuberalis cells and many neuron types (AgRP subtypes, n14.Pomc/Ttr, n20.Kiss1/Tac2, n22.Tmem215) (Supplementary Fig. 8a,b). These results agree with and refine previous DEPICT height analyses showing enrichment in cardiovascular, endocrine, skeletal, respiratory and urogenital tissues.50

DISCUSSION

We have extended unbiased single-cell profiling to a complex brain region with myriad important physiological functions. This approach reveals many Arc-ME cell types and subtypes not previously described, despite intensive study of this region. It is important to keep in mind that our cell-type census may not be complete. Our study may have missed rare cell types, cells that do not survive dissociation or particularly large cells that were filtered out of the cell suspension (see Online Methods). Nonetheless, we establish a resource that provides molecular profiles of many Arc-ME cell types in exceptional detail.

These data will enable rational design and discovery of tools to manipulate Arc-ME cell types with unprecedented specificity. We show for the first time, to our knowledge, that Drop-seq can assess dynamic transcriptional responses comprehensively across cell types in vivo and that our ab initio-inferred cell types respond differently to in vivo perturbations, affording the close relationship between a cell's transcriptional and functional identities. Finally, we show how detailed cell type transcriptional profiles can unlock the full power of GWAS studies. Overall these studies suggest a multitude of testable hypotheses and provide the means to address them. We look forward to seeing how this resource is used to guide future studies.

METHODS

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the online version of the paper.
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ONLINE METHODS

Mice. All animal care and experimental procedures were approved in advance by the National Institute of Health and Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The Drop-seq experiments used a total of 53 adult (4–12 weeks old) virgin male and female mice randomly assigned to experimental groups and processed in 5 sample batches (see Supplementary Fig. 1a). Proliferation of cell division was not determined. Mice were generated by crossing Agpr-IREs-Cre (ref. 33) or Pomc-Cre mice (ref. 51) with transgenic loxSTOPlox-GFP mice, all of which had been backcrossed to C57BL6/6J background for at least nine generations. The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background). The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background). The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background). The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background). The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background). The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background). The CCK and ARCSST immunofluorescence experiments used mice (mixed background).

Housing and diets. Mice were housed at 22–24 °C with a 12 h light:12 h dark cycle and ad libitum access to standard mouse chow (Teklad F6 Rodent Diet 8664; 4.05 kcal per g, 3.3 kcal per g metabolizable energy, 12.5% kcal from fat; Harlan Teklad) and water. For high-fat diet (HFD) experiments, mice were switched from standard chow to high-fat chow (Research Diets, Inc. D12492, 60 kcal% fat) or to a control, low-fat chow (Research Diets, Inc. D12450B, 10 kcal% fat) for 1 week before sampling. All diets were provided as pellets.

Generation of Arc-ME single-cell suspensions. Brains were rapidly extracted, cooled in ice-cold DMEM/F12 medium for 5 min and then placed, ventral surface up, into a chilled stainless steel brain matrix (catalog no. SA-2165, Roboz Surgical Instrument Co., Gaithersburg, MD). Using GFP fluorescence to demarcate the arcuate’s rostral and caudal boundaries, brains were blocked to obtain a single coronal section containing the entire GEP arcuate, ~2 mm thick. The Arc-ME was microdissected by knife cuts at its visually approximated dorsoventral borders and pooled by experimental condition. For example, in batch 5, we pooled tissue from all fasted males into a single sample before digestion and dissociation.

Pooled tissue samples were digested in papain solution with DNase for 1 h at 37 °C with gentle agitation, according to a published protocol56 using an entire vial (≥10 units) of papain in a 1.6-mL final reaction volume. Digestion was stopped by dilution with EBSS #2 (ref. 56), with 10% FBS used in place of the ovo-
mucoid protease inhibitor. Tissue was centrifuged at 300g for 5 min, resuspended in the modified EBSS #2 and then gently triturated using a series of five Pasteur pipettes with tips fire-polished to incrementally smaller openings. The result-
ing cell suspension was divided between two microfuge tubes and diluted with Drop-seq buffer57 to a volume of 1.5 mL per tube. Cells were then washed twice by centrifugation at 300g for 5 min, followed by resuspension in Drop-seq buffer. Drop-seq buffer consisted of 5% (wt/vol) trehalose, Hank’s buffered salt solution (magnesium- and calcium-free), 2.13 mM MgCl2, 2 mM MgSO4, 1.26 mM CaCl2, 1 mM glucose and 0.01% bovine serum albumin (molecular biology grade). Cells were filtered through a 20-μm mesh, diluted to 220 cells/μL using cell concentration estimates from a hemocytometer and then kept on ice until use.

Drop-seq generation of single-cell sequencing libraries. Drop-seq was performed as in ref. 8 but with the following modifications: first, flow rates of 2.1 mL/h were used for each aqueous suspension and 12 mL/h for the oil8. Second, libraries were sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq500, using 1.7 pM in a volume of 1.2 mL HT1 and 3 mL of 0.3 μM Read1 CxUscSeqB (GCGTGTGCCGCGAAGC AGTTGTATCAACGCAGTGAT). Read 1 was 20 bp (bases 1–12 cell barcode, bases 13–20 UMI), read 2 (paired end) was 60 bp, and the index primer was 8 bp (on multiplexed samples).

Drop-seq read alignment and generation of digital expression data. Raw sequence data was first filtered to remove all read pairs with a barcode base quality of less than 10. The second read (60 bp) was then trimmed at the 5’ end to remove any TSO adaptor sequence and at the 3’ end to remove poly(A) tails of length 6 or greater, then aligned to the mouse (mm10) genome using STAR v2.4.0 a with default setting. Uniquely mapped reads were grouped by cell barcode. To digitally count gene transcripts, a list of UMI in each gene, within each cell, was assembled, and UMIs within ED = 1 were merged together. The total number of unique UMI sequences was counted, and this number was reported as the number of transcripts of that gene for a given cell. All cell barcodes in which 800 or more genes were detected were used in downstream analysis, while the remaining cell barcodes were discarded, resulting in a 21,241-cell barcode data set.

Unsupervised dimensionality reduction and clustering. Mouse Arc-ME suspensions were processed through Drop-Seq in 11 separate groups over six separate batches (one batch per day), and each sequenced separately. Raw digital expression matrices were generated for the seven sequencing runs. The full 21,241 cells were merged together in a single matrix. Gene expression was normalized to library size and genes showing expression in >50 cells were retained for clustering. Before clustering, batch effect correction was performed using the removeBatch-
Effect function of edger57. All calculations and data analysis were then performed in log space (that is, ln(CPM + 1)).

We used Seurat software to perform clustering as per ref. 58. We identified genes that were most variable across the entire data set, controlling for the known relationship between mean expression and variance. We calculated the mean and dispersion (variance/mean) for each gene across all cells and placed genes into 300 bins on the basis of their average expression. Within each bin, we then identified outlier genes whose dispersion was greater than the median dispersion value plus the difference between the median and minimum dispersion. This process yielded a total of 2,251 significantly variable genes.

Principal component analysis was performed using the rcomp function in R, after scaling and centering the data along each variable gene. To distinguish PCs for further analysis, we plotted the cumulative variance accounted for by each successive PC. Such data display a ‘knee’ at a PC number after which successive PCs explain diminishing degrees of variance. Empirically, we found that down-
stream clustering analyses were optimized when using this PC cutoff. 25 PCs were chosen for further all-cell clustering analyses and used as input for t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding (tSNE)59, implemented by the Seurat software package with the perplexity parameter set to the default, 30, as per ref. 8. The tSNE procedure returns a two-dimensional embedding of single cells, with cells that have similar expression signatures of genes within our variable set located near each other in the embedding. To identify cell types, a density clustering we used a density clustering approach implemented in the DBSCAN R package60, setting the reachability distance parameter (eps) to 3.62. Clusters with fewer than ten cells and those containing expression markers for more than one canonical cell type (for example, neuron, oligodendrocyte, tanyocyte), representing cell doublets (two cells in a single droplet), were removed. As a result of these steps, we were able to assign 20,921 cells (98.5% of our data) into 20 cell type clusters.

Average gene expression for each cluster was determined and Euclidean dist-
ances between all pairs was calculated, using this data as input for complete-
linkage hierarchical clustering and dendrogram assembly. To identify marker genes, we compared each of the clusters using pairwise differential expression analysis using Bioconductor package edgerR with settings recommended for data with batch effect as described in section 4.2 of the manual. For heat maps, cluster markers were considered if average FC expression compared to other included clusters was >2.

For subclustering, each cluster was iteratively clustered as above until post hoc testing of subclusters showed <10 genes showing average expression difference greater than 1 natural log value between clusters with a Bonferroni corrected P < 0.01, thresholds based on those of ref. 8. For neuron subclustering, all cells from the six neuron groups from the initial clustering (clusters a13–a18) were first combined and then iteratively subclustered as above. Perplexity was set to the default (30) for all subclustering.
Statistical analysis. For differential expression between cell type clusters as well as for fasting versus fed comparison, we performed pairwise differential expression analysis using Bioconductor package edgeR with settings recommended for data with batch effect as described in section 4.2 of the manual. For 10% diet versus HFD comparison, edgeR was run with standard settings as these data were from a single batch. For heat maps, clusters showing at least one significant change (FDR < 0.25) in both directions were included. As edgeR does not account for gene dropout rate, we used the SCDE package, which adopts a Bayesian approach fitting individual error models to account for stochastic detection of low-expressing genes. We performed SCDE on a subset of our data to compare detection of differentially expressed genes marking clusters (pair-wise comparisons of AgRP (n12 and n13) and POMC (n14, n15 and n21) subclusters, for which there are well-validated cluster markers). To reduce complexity to a manageable computation time, we used setting to model error using the nearest 100 cells (knn.error.models)) and modified batch correction methodology from Fisher’s exact test to a chi-squared test to account for large number of cells.

Our results showed that fold changes are highly correlated across the statistical methods and that there is large overlap in significantly differentially expressed genes. When averaging across the ten comparisons, edgeR calls 69 ± 6% (mean ± s.d.) of the DEgenes that SCDE calls, and SCDE calls 49 ± 10% of the DE genes that edgeR does (mean ± s.d.) (FDR < 0.25 for SCDE and FDR < 0.001 for edgeR). For our benchmark set of marker genes, SCDE showed 92% sensitivity while edgeR performed at 100% sensitivity. We conclude from these results that edgeR performs adequately for Drop-seq differential expression analysis and recommend using a threshold of FDR < 0.001 from the edgeR results we provide in Supplementary Tables 2–8 for determination of robust differential expression that are likely to meet statistical significance using the more stringent SCDE.

Food intake was analyzed with two-way ANOVA followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test.

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes, but sample size in the feeding study is comparable to previous studies. Data distribution was assumed to be normal but this was not formally tested. Other than the random assignment of mice to experimental groups, no randomization was performed. Data collection and analysis were not performed blind to the conditions of the experiments.

A Supplementary Methods Checklist is available.

Sex-of-origin prediction for cells from mixed-sex samples. For each of the batch 5 experimental conditions, cells were pooled before Drop-seq. To predict whether each cell came from female or male, we used the MLSeq package with random forest algorithm to determine a model for predicting sex of origin. Using the library pool, and this value was multiplied by the library pool concentration to a chi-squared test to account for large number of cells.

Gene ontology analyses. Gene set enrichment analysis was carried out using Bioconductor package RDAVIDWebService (https://www.bioconductor.org/packages/devel/bioc/html/RDAVIDWebService.html). Hierarchical clustering of fold changes across clusters was performed, and all nodes including >10% of genes and <50% of genes were tested for enrichment in DAVID. Non-overlapping nodes showing the highest significance for a gene set were selected. The background set was defined as genes having at least one UMI across all samples. Top scoring gene sets were chosen to include in figures.

Single-cell RNA-seq. Mice were anesthetized with xylazine (5 mg per kg) and ketamine (75 mg per kg) diluted in saline and placed into a stereotaxic apparatus (model 963, David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). For postoperative care, mice were injected intra-peritoneally with meloxicam (0.5 mg per kg). After exposing the skull via a small incision, a small hole was drilled for injection. A pulled-glass pipette was inserted into the brain and virus was injected by an air pressure system. A micromanipulator (model S48 stimulator, Grass Technologies, Rockland, MA) was used to deliver the injection at 5 nL/min and the pipette was withdrawn 5 min after injection. For electrophysiology and tracing, AAV1-CBA-Flx-CHR2(H134R)-mCherry (University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia) was injected unilaterally into the Arc (2–5 nL from bregma, AP: −1.35 mm, DV: −6.00 mm, ML: ± 0.2 mm). For in vivo chemogenetic experiments, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry (University of North Carolina Vector Core, Chapel Hill, NC) was bilaterally injected into the arcuate (2–5 nL, coordinates as above). For profiling of Arc-DE RIP-Cre neurons, AAV8-EF1a-DIO-eYFP (University of North Carolina Vector Core, Chapel Hill, NC) was injected into arcuate (100 nL, coordinates as above).

Viral injections. Stereotaxic injections were performed as previously described. Briefly, mice were anesthetized with xylazine (5 mg per kg) and ketamine (75 mg per kg) diluted in saline and placed into a stereotaxic apparatus (model 963, David Kopf Instruments, Tujunga, CA). For postoperative care, mice were injected intra-peritoneally with meloxicam (0.5 mg per kg). After exposing the skull via a small incision, a small hole was drilled for injection. A pulled-glass pipette was inserted into the brain and virus was injected by an air pressure system. A micromanipulator (model S48 stimulator, Grass Technologies, Rockland, MA) was used to deliver the injection at 5 nL/min and the pipette was withdrawn 5 min after injection. For electrophysiology and tracing, AAV1-CBA-Flx-CHR2(H134R)-mCherry (University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia) was injected unilaterally into the Arc (2–5 nL from bregma, AP: −1.35 mm, DV: −6.00 mm, ML: ± 0.2 mm). For in vivo chemogenetic experiments, AAV8-hSyn-DIO-hM3Dq-mCherry (University of North Carolina Vector Core, Chapel Hill, NC) was injected into an arcuate (2–5 nL, coordinates as above). For profiling of Arc-DE RIP-Cre neurons, AAV8-EF1a-DIO-eYFP (University of North Carolina Vector Core, Chapel Hill, NC) was injected into arcuate (100 nL, coordinates as above).

Evan’s blue dye injection. After anesthetizing the mouse with 7% chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg), we injected 100 μL of Evan’s blue dye solution (1% wt/vol in sterile saline) into the tail vein 20 min before sacrifice, as per ref. 65. Brains were quickly extracted, blocked into a 4-mm coronal section containing the entire arcuate hypothalamus (0 mm to −4 mm from bregma), and submerged in 10% neutral buffered formalin (PFA) for 1 week at room temperature, protected from light. Brain blocks were transferred to 20% sucrose overnight at room temperature and then cut by freezing microtome into 35-μm-thick coronal sections for immunohistochemistry.

In situ hybridization. To generate riboprobe templates, cDNA from mouse hypothalamus was used to PCR amplify the mouse somatostatin (Sst) fragment corresponding to bases 89–488 (GenBank accession code NM_009215.1) with the T7 RNA polymerase recognition site incorporated into the product for subsequent transcription. Standard in vitro transcription methods using T7 polymerase...
(Promega, Madison, WI) and digoxigenin-UTP RNA labeling mix (Roche, Basel, Switzerland) were used to produce both sense and antisense riboprobes for Sst mRNA. Prior to hybridization riboprobes were diluted in hybridization cocktail (Amresco, Solon, OH) with tRNA.

Brain tissue was cryosectioned coronally at 12 μm, thaw-mounted onto electrostatically clean slides and stored at −80 °C. Prior to hybridization, sections were postfixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, rinsed in 0.1 M PBS (pH 7.4), equilibrated in 0.1 M triethanolamine (pH 8.0), acetylated in triethanolamine containing 0.25% acetic anhydride and hybridized overnight at 56 °C with Sst riboprobe. The next day slides were treated with RNase A and stringently washed in 0.5x SSC at 60 °C for 30 min. Following washing, slides were incubated with an antibody against digoxigenin conjugated to horseradish peroxidase (Roche) overnight at 4 °C. Riboprobe signal was further enhanced using the TSA-plus biotin system (PerkinElmer) and streptavidin Alexa Fluor 647 conjugate (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) was used for fluorescence visualization of Sst expression. Fluorescence image capture and analysis was done using an VS120 Slide Scanner (Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) and OlyVIA image analysis software.

**Leptin treatment.** Leptin was administered to induce STAT3 phosphorylation as previously described. Briefly, mice were fasted overnight, injected the following morning with 4 mg/kg recombinant leptin (intraperitoneally, i.p.; A. F. Parlow, National Hormone and Peptide Program, NHPP; Harvest-UCLA Medical Center, Torrance, CA) and then sacrificed for immunohistochemistry 1 h later.

**Immunohistochemistry.** Mice were terminally anesthetized with 7% chloral hydrate (350 mg/kg) diluted in isotonic saline and transcardially perfused with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) followed by 10% PFA. Brains were removed, stored in the same fixative for 2 h, transferred into 20% sucrose at 4 °C overnight and cut into 40-μm coronal sections on a freezing microtome. Brain sections were washed twice in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) with Tween-20, pH 7.4 (PBST), blocked in 3% normal donkey serum and 0.25% Triton X-100 in PBS for 1 h at room temperature, and incubated overnight at room temperature in blocking solution containing primary antisera: rabbit anti-TSHb, 1:1,000 (catalog no. GT15023, NHPP); goat anti-AgRP, 1:1,000 (catalog no. AFP-1274789, NHPP); rabbit anti-dsRed (mCherry), 1:1,000 (catalog no. A-21206, ThermoFisher Scientific); Alexa Fluor 488–conjugated donkey anti-chicken (diluted 1:1,000; catalog no. A-11055, ThermoFisher Scientific); Alexa Fluor 594–conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (for Sst-IRES-Cre experiments, diluted 1:1,000; catalog no. A-21206, ThermoFisher Scientific); Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (diluted 1:1,000; catalog no. A-21206, ThermoFisher Scientific); Alexa Fluor 594–conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (for Sst-IRES-Cre experiments, diluted 1:1,000; catalog no. A-21207, ThermoFisher Scientific); Alexa Fluor 647–conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (for pSTAT3 experiments, diluted 1:100; catalog no. A-31573, ThermoFisher Scientific). Sections were washed three times in PBS, mounted onto gelatin-coated slides (Southern Biotech; Birmingham, AL), coverslipped with Vectashield Anti-fade Mounting Medium with DAPI (Vector Labs, Burlingame, CA) and sealed with nail polish. Fluorescence images were captured with Olympus VS120 slide scanner microscope and with a confocal microscope (Zeiss LSM510 upright Confocal System).

**Electrophysiology.** Animals were deeply anesthetized with isoflurane, decapitated and brains quickly removed into ice-cold cutting solution consisting of (in mM) 93 NMDG, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 30 NaHCO3, 20 HEPES, 25 glucose, 5 sodium ascorbate, 2 thiourea, 3 sodium pyruvate, 10 MgSO4, 0.5 CaCl2 (pH 7.3 adjusted with HCl), oxygenated with 95% O2:5% CO2 measured osmolality 310–320 mOsm per L. Then 300-μm-thick coronal sections were cut with a Leica VT1000S vibratome and incubated in oxygenated cutting solution at 34 °C for 10 min. Next, slices were transferred to oxygenated aCSF consisting of (in mM) 126NaCl, 21.4 NaHCO3, 2.5 KCl, 1.2 NaH2PO4, 1.2 MgCl2, 2.4 CaCl2, 10 glucose and recovered for 30 min at 34 °C. Slices were stored in oxygenated aCSF at room temperature (20–24 °C) for at least 60 min before recording. A single slice was placed in the recording chamber where it was continuously superfused at a rate of 3–4 mL per min with oxygenated aCSF. Neurons were visualized with a SliceScope microscope (Scientifica, Uckfield, UK) equipped with infrared differential interference contrast and fluorescence optics.

Recordings were obtained using borosilicate glass microelectrodes (5–7 MΩ) filled with a Cs+-based low-Cl− internal solution containing (in mM) 135 CsMeSO3, 10 HEPES, 1 EGTA, 4 MgCl2, 4 Na2-ATP, 0.4 Na2-GTP, 10 Na2-phosphocreatine (pH 7.3 adjusted with CsOH, 295 mOsM per kg; Ec = −70 mV) from unidentifed neurons in the PVH. Photostimulation-evoked IPSCs were recorded in whole-cell voltage-clamp mode, with membrane potential clamped and 0 mV in presence of CNQX (10 μM) and D-AP5 (50 μM). To confirm whether postsynaptic currents were mediated by GABA_A receptors, bicuculline was applied to the bath (10 μM) during recordings.

Recordings were made using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier, and data were filtered at 2 kHz and digitized at 10 kHz. To photostimulate channelrhodopsin2–positive fibers, a LED light source (473 nm; CoolLED, Andover, UK) was used, as previously described. The light output was controlled by a programmable pulse stimulator, Master-8 (A.M.P.I., Jerusalem, Israel) and pClamp 10.2 software (Axon Instruments, Foster City, CA). The photostimulation–evoked IPSCs detection protocol consisted of four blue light pulses administered 1 s apart during the first 4 s of an 8-s sweep, repeated for a total of 30 sweeps. Evoked IPSCs with short latency (56 ms) upon light stimulation were considered as light-driven.

**Food intake studies.** All mice were singly housed for at least 2.5 weeks following surgery and handled for 10 consecutive days before the assay to reduce stress response. Feeding studies were performed in home cages with *ad libitum* food access. The day before the experiment, mice were provided with fresh cages to avoid leftover food spilling in the bedding.

Mice were intraperitoneally administered clozapine-N-oxide (CNO; diluted in isotonic saline) at 1 mg per kg of body weight, or an equivalent volume of isotonic saline. For light cycle measurements, animals were injected with either CNO or saline at 9:00 a.m. and food was weighed 1 h, 2 h, 3 h and 4 h later. A full trial consisted of assessing food intake from the study subjects after they received injections of saline on day 1 and CNO on day 2. For dark cycle measurements, animals were injected with either saline or CNO at 6:00 p.m. and food was weighed 1 h, 2 h, 3 h and 4 h later. A full trial consisted of assessing food intake from the study subjects after they received injections of saline on day 1 and CNO on day 2. Following feeding studies, mice were sacrificed for immunohistochemical assessment of AAV-Syn1-Dio-hM3Dq-mCherry expression in the Arc. Mice in which mCherry was expressed in cell bodies outside the Arc were excluded from analysis of behavioral data.

**Classification of oligodendrocyte clusters and RIP-Cre neurons.** To compare oligodendrocyte clusters to maturation stages, we generated a heat map showing single-cell expression of oligodendrocyte stage–specific marker genes identified by ref. 9. We then assigned each oligodendrocyte cluster to a maturational stage on the basis of how well it visually matched the stage-specific marker profile. We used a similar process to assign RIP-Cre neurons to neuron clusters. Specifically, we generated a heat map showing each RIP-Cre neuron’s expression of neuron cluster marker genes and then visually matched each RIP-Cre neuron to a cluster.

**DEPICT analysis.** DEPICT version 1, release 194 was used to prioritize Arc-ME cell clusters on the basis of GWAS summary statistics for body mass index (BMI), waist-to-hip ratio and height, we applied the same association P-value cutoffs as used in refs. 45, 46, 50. For the traits with no published DEPICT analyses (menarche, menopause and anorexia), we used a GWAS association P-value cutoff of <10−5. Default DEPICT settings were used to construct loci: (a) input SNPs were clumped using PLINK version 1.90 (ref. 71), enforcing a clumping distance of 500 kb and/or linkage disequilibrium r2 threshold of <0.1, (b) loci were formed around the index SNP of each clump using r2 > 0.5 as the locus definition, (c) genes were mapped to loci, and (d) loci containing the same gene(s) were merged into single loci (for details, see ref. 61). 1000 Genomes Project phase 1 genotype data were used to perform clumping and compute locus boundaries using human genome build 19. Association
signals on sex chromosomes were omitted from the analyses. Normalized Arc-ME single-cell expression data were averaged across Seurat clusters, mapped from mouse gene symbols to mouse Ensembl73 gene identifiers (using Ensembl version 83) and to the human Ensembl gene identifiers (using Ensembl version 82). Mouse gene identifiers mapping to several mouse Ensembl identifiers were discarded and the human gene with the highest degree of mouse homology was retained in instances where a mouse gene mapped to several human genes. Expression levels of mouse genes mapped to the same human gene were averaged. The resulting cell cluster Arc-ME gene expression levels were transformed to standard normal distributions before the DEPICT analyses. DEPICT was run using default settings.


**Data availability.** The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Raw and fully processed single-cell RNA-seq data on RIP-Cre neurons are available at GEO accession code [GSE93374](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE93374). Raw Drop-seq data and processed DGE files are available at [GSE90806](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE90806). Single-cell RNA-seq data on RIP-Cre neurons are available at GEO accession code [GSE93374](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE93374) from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Raw and fully processed data are available at [GSE90806](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/query/acc.cgi?acc=GSE90806). The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.
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