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PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR (PI) / TRAINING COORDINATOR (TC): Dr. Austin Johnson

DEPARTMENT: SGSE
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INITIAL APPROVAL DATE: 16 February 2017

LAST TRIENNIAL REVISION DATE: N/A

FUNDING SOURCE:

1. RECORD OF ANIMAL USAGE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Animal Species</th>
<th>Total # Approved</th>
<th># Used this FY</th>
<th>Total # Used to Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sus scrofa</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. PROTOCOL TYPE / CHARACTERISTICS: (Check all applicable terms in EACH column)

___ Training: Live Animal
___ Training: non-Live Animal
___ Research: Survival (chronic)
_x_ Research: non-Survival (acute)
___ Other ( )
___ Medical Readiness
___ Health Promotion
___ Prevention
___ Utilization Mgt.
___ Other (Treatment )
___ Prolonged Restraint
___ Multiple Survival Surgery
___ Behavioral Study
___ Adjuvant Use
___ Biohazard

3. PROTOCOL PAIN CATEGORY (USDA): (Check applicable) ___ C ___ D ___ E

4. PROTOCOL STATUS:

*Request Protocol Closure:

___ Inactive, protocol never initiated
___ Inactive, protocol initiated but has not/will not be completed
_x_ Completed, all approved procedures/animal uses have been completed
5. **Previous Amendments:**
List all amendments made to the protocol. IF none occurred, state NONE. Do not use N/A.

**For the Entire Study Chronologically**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Amendment Number</th>
<th>Date of Approval</th>
<th>Summary of the Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6 March 2017</td>
<td>Procedural/protocol objective changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>20 July 2017</td>
<td>Personnel</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. **FUNDING STATUS:** Funding allocated: $13,230.00 Funds remaining: $0.00

7. **PROTOCOL PERSONNEL CHANGES:**
Have there been any personnel/staffing changes (PI/CI/Al/TC/Instructor) since the last IACUC approval of protocol, or annual review? _x_ Yes _ No

If yes, complete the following sections (Additions/Deletions). For additions, indicate whether or not the IACUC has approved this addition.

**ADDITIONS:** (Include Name, Protocol function - PI/CI/Al/TC/Instructor, IACUC approval - Yes/No)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROTOCOL FUNCTION</th>
<th>IACUC APPROVAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capt Harris Kashtan</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt Carl Beyer</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt Andrew Wishy</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**DELETIONS:** (Include Name, Protocol function - PI/CI/Al/TC/Instructor, Effective date of deletion)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>PROTOCOL FUNCTION</th>
<th>DATE OF DELETION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lt Col Timothy Williams</td>
<td>PI</td>
<td>20 July 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maj Erik DeSoucy</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>20 July 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt Meryl Simon Logan</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>20 July 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt Emily Tibbits</td>
<td>Al</td>
<td>20 July 2017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8. **PROBLEMS / ADVERSE EVENTS:** Identify any problems or adverse events that have affected study progress. Itemize adverse events that have led to unanticipated animal illness, distress, injury, or death; and indicate whether or not these events were reported to the IACUC.

No adverse events affected the study. There were no unanticipated events requiring reporting to the IACUC.

9. **REDUCTION, REFINEMENT, OR REPLACEMENT OF ANIMAL USE:**

**REPLACEMENT (ALTERNATIVES):** Since the last IACUC approval, have alternatives to animal use become available that could be substituted in this protocol without adversely affecting study or training objectives?

- No

**REFINEMENT:** Since the last IACUC approval, have any study refinements been implemented to reduce the degree of pain or distress experienced by study animals, or have animals of lower phylogenetic status or sentience been identified as potential study/training models in this protocol?

- No
REDUCTION: Since the last IACUC approval, have any methods been identified to reduce the number of live animals used in this protocol?

- No

10. PUBLICATIONS / PRESENTATIONS: (List any scientific publications and/or presentations that have resulted from this protocol. Include pending/scheduled publications or presentations).

- Abstract submitted for presentation to European Congress for Trauma and Emergency Surgery – awaiting outcome
- Manuscript being prepared for Journal of Endovascular Trauma Management – to be submitted

11. PROTOCOL OBJECTIVES: (Were the protocol objectives met, and how will the outcome or training benefit the DoD/USAF?)

- The study revealed that predicting aortic flow using only distal MAP and proximal MAP during partial REBOA is not possible.
- The relationship is not linear and requires further investigation to advance the understanding of flow and pressure in the case of partial REBOA and extending life in exsanguinating hemorrhage.
- The protocol showed a subgroup of animals who became more hypertensive after transitioning from REBOA to Partial REBOA. These were the animals who

12. PROTOCOL OUTCOME SUMMARY: (Please provide, in "ABSTRACT" format, a summary of the protocol objectives, materials and methods, results - include tables/figures, and conclusions/applications.)

Protocol Objectives: To analyze the relationship between aortic flow, distal MAP and proximal MAP during partial REBOA to assess if there is a relationship for use in future survival studies.

Materials and Methods: Five anaesthetized Yorkshire swine weighing 59-89kg were prepared in a standard fashion with vascular access and an aortic flow sensor. Using an automated syringe driver to control balloon volume, animals were subjected to a series of phases of zone 1 REBOA and low flow P-REBOA in different states of hemodynamic shock (0, 10, 20, 30 and 40%). Aortic flow, proximal and distal pressures and central venous pressures were recorded. After the 40% phase, animals were euthanized.

Results: During the long occlusive phase, all animals showed an initial rise in pMAP which plateaued before a secondary increase after 5 minutes. Two animals (59kg and 89kg) survived all phases of shock. In shock states of 0-30%, these 2 animals (P1871 and P1889) showed a further rise in pMAP after transitioning from 3 minutes of REBOA to P-REBOA (figure). A drop or no change in pMAP was observed in the 3 early deaths.

Conclusions: The relationship between MAP and aortic flow in the presence of P-REBOA is complex and not linear. Transitioning from REBOA to P-REBOA after a short occlusion can lead to a temporary secondary rise in pMAP. This was associated with longer surviving animals and could be used as a good prognostic indicator during resuscitation. The mechanism behind this finding is unknown and warrants further investigation.
2 animals who survived whole protocol

FIGURE: Proximal MAP changes during 3 minute REBOA (0-3) and transition to partial REBOA for 3 minutes (3-6) in...
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This abstract requires a brief (no more than 200 words) factual summary of the most significant information in the following format: Objectives, Methods, Results, and Conclusion.

Objectives:

To analyze the relationship between aortic flow, distal and proximal MAP during partial REBOA.

Methods:

Five anaesthetized Yorkshire swine were prepared in a standard fashion with vascular access and an aortic flow sensor. Using an automated syringe driver to control balloon volume, animals were subjected to a series of phases of zone 1 REBOA and low flow P-REBOA in different states of hemodynamic shock. Aortic flow, proximal and distal pressures were recorded. At the end of the protocol, animals were euthanized.

Results:

During the long occlusive phase, all animals showed an initial rise in pMAP which plateaued before a secondary. Two animals survived all phases of shock. In shock states of 0-30%, these 2 animals showed a further rise in pMAP after transitioning from 3 minutes of REBOA to P-REBOA. A drop or no change in pMAP was observed in the 3 early deaths.

Conclusion:

The relationship between MAP and aortic flow in the presence of P-REBOA is complex. Transitioning from REBOA to P-REBOA after a short occlusion can lead to a temporary secondary rise in pMAP. This was associated with longer surviving animals. The mechanism behind this finding is unknown and warrants further investigation.
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