Award Number:  W81XWH-12-2-0057

TITLE:  Phase II Clinical Trial of Intraoral Grafting of Human Tissue-Engineered Oral Mucosa

PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR:   Stephen E. Feinberg DDS, MS, PhD

CONTRACTING ORGANIZATION: Regents of the University of Michigan
                           Ann Arbor, MI 48109

REPORT DATE: October 2014

TYPE OF REPORT: Annual

PREPARED FOR: U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command
              Fort Detrick, Maryland  21702-5012

DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT: Approved for Public Release;
                          Distribution Unlimited

The views, opinions and/or findings contained in this report are those of the author(s) and should not be construed as an official Department of the Army position, policy or decision unless so designated by other documentation.
# Phase II Clinical Trial of Intraoral Grafting of Human Tissue-Engineered Oral Mucosa

**Author:** Stephen E. Feinberg DDS, MS, PhD  
E-Mail: sefein@med.umich.edu

**Performing Organization:** Regents of the University of Michigan  
3003 S. State St.  
Ann Arbor MI 48109

**Sponsoring Agency:** U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command  
Fort Detrick, Maryland  21702-5012

**Distribution/Availability Statement:** Approved for Public Release; Distribution Unlimited
14. ABSTRACT
This is a randomized, parallel-group phase II study to assess the safety and efficacy for use of human EVPOME for soft tissue intraoral grafting procedures compared to the “gold standard” palatal oral mucosa (POM) graft. The study will determine differences in the primary efficacy measure of increased keratinized mucosa; secondary measures of graft contracture and Wound Healing Index; and ancillary outcome measures of tissue perfusion measured graft color and laser Doppler flowmetry, and postoperative pain. Sixty subjects, thirty subjects per treatment group, will be randomized to receive either the experimental treatment, EVPOME (Group 1), or standard of care, the palatal oral mucosa (POM) graft (Group 2). The study population will include non-smoking adults (ages 18 and older) in need of additional keratinized oral mucosa for dental rehabilitation with dental implants. This trial has started recruitment.
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Introduction
Reconstructive procedures of the oral cavity secondary to trauma fail to achieve a satisfactory aesthetic and functional outcome. A daunting challenge for reconstructive surgeons is to regenerate oral mucosa. The free mucosal graft neither reliably restores aesthetic and functional competence, nor prevents microbial infection, fluid loss, and foreign material contamination and relapse secondary to wound contracture. Oral mucosa is in limited supply for use in reconstructive procedures in the oral cavity. This is especially prevalent after large avulsed soft tissue wounds involving the mouth and lips seen in high velocity battlefield injuries (BI). The development of an oral mucosa equivalent is necessary to fulfill this clinical need. The environment of the oral cavity, a moist area laden with bacteria and lytic enzymes, is not favorable to most of the collagen-rich dermal components used in similarly designed skin equivalents. To be useful within the intricate confines of the oral cavity an oral mucosa equivalent must possess mechanical and handling characteristics as well as similar anatomy. Engineering an Ex Vivo Produced Oral Mucosa Equivalent (EVPOME) tissue will allow the reconstruction of major oral avulsion defects. These defects are seen as secondary to traumatic injuries or oncologic resection and developmental disturbances. The EVPOME will minimize patient morbidity and improve functional outcome measures. Consequently, the goal of our clinical trial is to determine efficacy of an EVPOME as a more robust therapy than palatal oral mucosa (POM) grafts.

Body
The Statement of Work for this project included the following:

1. Obtain IRB approval for study at University of Michigan-This has been obtained (HUM00069761).

2. Obtain IND approval from the FDA-This has been obtained (IND#: 10118).

3. Obtain approval of IRB from DoD-HRPO approval has been obtained.

4. Calibration of clinical examiners-There will only be one clinical examiner in this study so no calibration with other examiners will be necessary.

5. Calibration of laser Doppler flowmetry-This has been completed.

6. Initiation of subject screening/recruitment-Screening/recruitment has started.

7. Flyers are being put together to assist in subject recruitment.

8. Transition of study coordinators from Mary Layher to Sarah Wesley has gone smoothly without any disruption in the study.

9. Completion of subject screening/recruitment- Four subjects have been screened for the study. Three were screen failures and one subject was enrolled and surgery was completed successfully on August 21, 2014. A total of 23 subjects have been pre-screened for this study. Three of those subjects were screened by phone and met initial criteria. The site is planning to schedule them for screening soon.
10. Inclusion of first subject into clinical trial. One subject has been enrolled in the control arm and surgery completed and most of the follow ups done.

11. Completion of clinical trial- This has not yet occurred.

12. Data evaluation from clinical trial – This has not yet occurred.

13. Submission of findings to meeting and peer reviewed journals- This has not yet occurred.

**Key Research Accomplishments**
Enrollment has begun. One subject successfully met the criteria and randomized to the control group. Surgery was performed and subject is being followed up at subsequent appointments. At this time two additional subjects have been pre-screened and seem to meet criteria. The site is currently trying to arrange a scheduling timeline for screening these potential subjects.

**Reportable Outcomes**
There are no reportable outcomes at this time.

**Conclusion**
The study is currently working on a protocol amendment and plans to implement a recruitment strategy to help identify a larger potential subject pool.