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### Abstract

Acoustic-electromagnetic (EM) interaction is evaluated for metallic and electronic target detection. The transmitter consists of a radar-wave generator emitting a single EM frequency and an acoustic-wave generator emitting a single acoustic frequency. The EM wave and the acoustic wave interact at the target. The target reradiates a new EM wave, which consists of the original EM wave modulated by the acoustic wave. This reradiated wave is captured by the radar’s receive antenna. The presence of measurable EM energy at any discrete multiple of the audio frequency away from the original radio-frequency (RF) carrier indicates target detection. Proof-of-concept detection is demonstrated for purely metallic and RF electronic targets within the near field of an ultra-wideband radar antenna operating in the ultra-high frequency band.
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1. Introduction

Detection of radio-frequency (RF) electronics—targets whose radar cross sections (RCSs) are too small to be seen by traditional radar—has recently been investigated by implementing nonlinear (or “harmonic”) radar.¹ This novel type of radar relies on the presence of electromagnetic (EM) nonlinearities in the target to convert EM energy incident on the target at an original set of frequencies into EM energy reradiated from the target at a new set of frequencies (e.g., harmonics). Reception of frequencies different from the original transmit set indicates target detection.

For nonlinear radar, the physical phenomenon that produces a measurable target response is purely EM. The radar generates an EM wave from its transmit antenna, the target is illuminated by a weaker copy of the transmitted EM wave, the target reradiates another EM wave, and the radar captures a weaker copy of the reradiated EM wave at its receive antenna. The conversion of the EM energy, from the illuminating waveform from an original frequency set into new EM energy reradiated at a new frequency set, occurs at the semiconductor and/or metal-to-metal junctions² in the target. These junctions distort the transmitted EM waveform into a new reradiated waveform, which contains Fourier components that were not part of the original transmission.

In this work, a different physical phenomenon is evaluated for target detection: the distortion of an EM wave caused by the interaction of an acoustic (audible, pressure) wave and the original (unmodulated) EM wave. The hybrid acoustic-EM technique is illustrated in Fig. 1. The radar transmitter (Tx) consists of an EM wave generator (i.e., a transmit antenna) emitting a single EM frequency \( f_{RF} \) and an acoustic-wave generator (here depicted as a speaker) emitting a single acoustic frequency \( f_{audio} \). At the device under test (DUT), the EM wave and the acoustic wave interact. The target reradiates a new EM wave, which consists of the original EM wave modulated by the acoustic wave. The new EM wave contains a set of frequencies \( f_{RF} \pm n f_{audio} \), where \( n \) is any positive integer. This reradiated wave is captured by the radar receiver (Rx). The presence of measurable EM energy at any discrete multiple of \( f_{audio} \) away from the original RF carrier \( f_{RF} \) (i.e., at any \( n \neq 0 \)) indicates target detection.
In this report, 2 ways in which acoustic and EM waves interact at targets of interest are described. Detection of canonical and purely metallic targets is performed by exploiting one type of acoustic-EM interaction. Detection of RF electronic targets is performed by exploiting a second type of acoustic-EM interaction.

2. Acoustic-Electromagnetic Interaction

There are 2 mechanisms described in the available literature by which acoustic waves interact with EM waves at metallic or electronic targets to generate new spectral content in a radar return. Considering the TxRF and Rx antennas to be the “original” radar system, the acoustic transmitter Tx\text{audio} can impart the modulation \( \pm n \cdot f_{\text{audio}} \) onto the original transmit/receive waveform by 2 fundamentally different physical phenomena: translational motion, which produces a Doppler shift (and harmonics of that shift) in the target reflection, and intermittent metal contact, which interrupts the reflection like a switching transient.

Whether the acoustic wave from the speaker travels over the air or the wave couples into the target from the material around it, the acoustic energy vibrates (“shakes”) the target. For a target that is not necessarily a metal, vibration of its surface deforms the outer shell and compresses the inner material. As a result, the original RCS of the target is perturbed. The inner and outer perturbations may be modeled separately.\(^3\) For metal and electronic targets, very little of the radar wave propagates into (and reflects from) the dielectrics beyond the conductors (or semiconductors); thus, only the perturbation of the outer shell (or circuit board) of the target is relevant. This perturbation is depicted in Fig. 2a.
Fig. 2  (a) Frequency modulation imparted onto the EM wave by translational motion (or compression/expansion) of the target and (b) time-domain reflected radar waveform and associated Fourier spectrum

If the radar wave incident on the target is assumed to be a uniform plane wave and the acoustic wave incident on the target produces translational motion in the target, the electric-field intensity reflected by the target \( E_{\text{refl}} \) may be written as a sinusoid with a phase that is linearly dependent upon the target velocity toward the radar receiver.\(^4\) Thus, the modulation imparted onto the EM wave with carrier frequency \( f_{\text{RF}} \) is entirely phase modulation.\(^5\)

If the acoustic transmission is a steady tone, the surface of the target vibrates sinusoidally.\(^6\) Since the time derivative of the phase of \( E_{\text{refl}} \) is generally nonzero, the perturbation imparted by the acoustic source on the target, as a result of moving the target toward and away from the radar receiver sinusoidally, is frequency modulation (FM).

In the Fourier domain, compared to unidirectional translational motion,\(^7\) sinusoidal motion produces a spectral spreading wider than that predicted by Doppler shift alone.\(^8\) This spreading extends both above and below \( f_{\text{RF}} \).\(^9\) Early measurements, using a 10-GHz radar and oscillating 6-inch metal discs, confirmed that the spectral peaks are indeed separated by \( \Delta f = f_{\text{audio}} \).\(^10\) Since the perturbation of the radar return results in pure FM, the mathematics associated with FM used for radio communications applies, and in the frequency domain, \( E_{\text{refl}} \) may be written as a series of Fourier components whose amplitudes are Bessel coefficients.\(^11\)

The degree of modulation, and thus the total energy spread across the \( n \neq 0 \) sidebands, depends on the displacement of the target surface away from its initial (“unshaken”) position. Sample FM waveforms are shown in both the time and frequency domains in Fig. 2b.
The greatest Doppler shift occurs when a target moves directly toward or away from the radar. Therefore, with regard to target orientation, the components of $E_{\text{refl}}$ are maximum when the target vibrates directly toward or away from the receiver.\textsuperscript{12} The reflected response is further enhanced if the incident acoustic energy activates a mechanical resonance, which further displaces the target surface.\textsuperscript{13} Using this Doppler-based mechanical-EM interaction, a hybrid acoustic/radar sensor system was developed for detecting buried landmines.\textsuperscript{14} The radar portion of the sensor transmits and receives at 8 GHz.\textsuperscript{15} while the acoustic portion of the sensor transmits between 100 Hz and 1 kHz.\textsuperscript{16} The acoustic transducer is a mechanical shaker placed in contact with the surface of the material within which the target is buried.\textsuperscript{17} To vibrate the target efficiently, the acoustic source needs to be placed within one acoustic wavelength of the material on/in which the target is buried; otherwise, the acoustic waves will propagate only along the surface of the burial material and not reach any appreciable depth.\textsuperscript{16} Experiments have shown that acoustic resonances greatly improve the detectability of shallow-buried landmines.\textsuperscript{18} Also, mines possess acoustic resonances, which clutter objects do not.\textsuperscript{19} Both metallic and nonmetallic landmines are detectable down to a depth of at least 11 cm.\textsuperscript{16} Detection is possible beneath rough surfaces as long as the acoustic spot size illuminating the rough surface is significantly greater than the cross-sectional area of the target.\textsuperscript{20} A secondary effect of shaking the target, which can produce an even stronger return at higher-order sidebands ($n > 1$), is to cause intermittent contact between metal junctions within the target. This phenomenon is depicted in Fig. 3a.

![Fig. 3](attachment:fig3.png)  
*Fig. 3  (a) Amplitude modulation imparted onto the EM wave by intermittent contact of metal junctions within the target and (b) time-domain reflected radar waveform and associated Fourier spectrum*
If a metal or electronic target vibrates while it is being illuminated by an EM wave, the reflected wave will contain not only a Doppler shift due to displacement of the target surface; the target will generate additional spectral content, which resembles noise.\textsuperscript{21} Since this spectral content is centered on $f_{\text{RF}}$ and also occurs at intervals of $\Delta f = f_{\text{audio}}$, it may be considered another form of modulation of the transmitted EM wave by the acoustic wave. This modulation may be described by the interruption of surface currents established along the target.\textsuperscript{21} Such interruptions occur at a rate of $f_{\text{audio}}$ and may be modeled by time-varying surface impedances.\textsuperscript{22}

Alternatively, the acoustic modulation may be modeled by the periodic connection and disconnection of dipole antennas along the target surface; each dipole radiates at $f_{\text{RF}}$.\textsuperscript{21} Measurements on $n \neq 0$ sidebands\textsuperscript{23} indicate that the dipole-antenna collection may be replaced by a single center-loaded conducting cylinder.\textsuperscript{24} Multiple intermittent connections may be replaced by a single time-varying load impedance\textsuperscript{25} where the peak radar return occurs when the target (dipole) is short-circuited.\textsuperscript{26} Mathematically, the intermittent contact may be described as a square-wave multiplication of the original EM wave.\textsuperscript{21} Thus, by vibrating the target to cause intermittent metal-metal contact, the modulation imparted onto the original (stationary-target) EM wave is amplitude modulation (AM).

A sample AM waveform is shown in Fig. 3b along with its corresponding Fourier spectrum. In this case, the degree of modulation depends on the difference between the minimum and maximum load impedance,\textsuperscript{26} which physically corresponds to the difference between the number of metal junctions that are connected versus those that are disconnected along/inside the target at any one time.

In the following section, an experiment is described, which confirms that metal and electronic targets of interest may be detected by exploiting either of the 2 aforementioned acoustic-EM interactions.

### 3. Near-Field Experiment and Data

The experiment used to receive acoustically modulated radar waveforms from metal and electronic targets is shown as a flowchart in Fig 4.
The RF source is the Keysight N5171B analog signal generator. It outputs a continuous-wave (CW) signal at a frequency $f_{RF}$ and a constant power of $P_{RF} = -10$ dBm. This CW transmit signal enters a Hewlett Packard (HP) directional coupler and passes on to the transmit antenna. For frequencies less than or equal to 2 GHz, the coupler is the HP 778D-12. For frequencies greater than 2 GHz, the coupler is the HP 779D. The Schwarzbeck BBHA-9120-E horn antenna (oriented for vertical polarization) transmits $f_{RF}$ to the DUT. The distance between the antenna and the target is 2 ft. This short distance is within the near field of the antenna.

The DUT is attached to a 3-ft-long fiberglass rod using a pair of rare-earth magnets at the end of the rod. The other end of the fiberglass rod is attached to the LabWorks MT-161 modal test shaker. The shaker is powered by the LabWorks PA-138 amplifier, into which is fed an audio-frequency signal at $f_{audio}$ provided by the Agilent 33220A function generator. The shaker vibrates the rod, and the rod vibrates the DUT. The EM wave reflected by the DUT (while it is illuminated by $f_{RF}$ and shaking at a frequency of $f_{audio}$) is received by the same BBHA-9120-E antenna. The backward-traveling reflection is sampled (at –20 dB) by the directional coupler. The sampled $P_{rec}$ is sent from the coupled port to the Tektronix RSA-6114A spectrum analyzer.

Figures 5–7 contain spectra recorded for 3 different targets. The thick (red) traces are the target-present traces. The thin (black) traces are the target-absent traces. The upper pair of spectra correspond to $f_{RF} = 800$ MHz, the lower pair correspond to $f_{RF} = 1000$ MHz, the left pair correspond to $f_{audio} = 50$ Hz, and the right pair correspond to $f_{audio} = 100$ Hz.
Fig. 5  Spectra recorded using the experiment of Fig. 4: the target is a metallic corner reflector, which measures 18 inches on each side. The thick (red) traces indicate that the target is present. The thin (black) traces indicate that the target is absent.

Fig. 6  Spectra recorded using the experiment of Fig. 4: the target is a hollow metallic circular cylinder with a diameter of 6 inches and a height of 8 inches. The top of the cylinder faces the antenna. The top of the cylinder is held loosely to the body of the cylinder (i.e., there is a thin air gap between the top and the body around the perimeter of the top).
The RSA-6114A analyzer is configured to capture spectra centered at $f_{\text{RF}}$ with a bandwidth of $10f_{\text{audio}}$ (i.e., such that sidebands out to $n = \pm 5$ are visible). The number of frequency points captured across each spectrum trace is 4001. The resolution bandwidth (per frequency point) is 3 Hz. The N5171B, 33220A, and RSA-6114A are controlled using a laptop, programmed in MATLAB using its Instrument Control toolbox and scripts written in Standard Commands for Programmable Instruments (SCPI) code. Communication between the laptop and the instruments is accomplished over a GPIB-USB interface. Code for an example program is provided in the Appendix.

Figure 5 contains 4 spectra recorded for a large metallic corner reflector. At all 4 frequency combinations, the corner reflector is clearly (visibly) detectable above the noise because each target-present case contains $\pm n f_{\text{audio}}$ spikes, which do not appear in each target-absent trace. Figure 6 contains data recorded for a metallic cylinder. Only at $f_{\text{RF}} = 1,000$ MHz and $f_{\text{audio}} = 50$ Hz is there significant spectral energy that appears in the target-present trace that does not appear in the target-absent case. Figure 7 contains data recorded for a handheld radio. At 3 out of the 4 frequency combinations, the radio is detectable. At $f_{\text{RF}} = 1,000$ MHz and $f_{\text{audio}} = 100$ Hz, there is no significant difference between the target-present and target-absent traces.
4. Conclusions

The interaction between acoustic and EM waves appears to be exploitable for detecting metallic and electronic radar targets. Data taken on 3 targets (a corner reflector, a metallic cylinder, and a handheld radio) indicate that EM waves are indeed modulated by acoustic waves at these targets of interest, for particular combinations of radar and acoustic frequencies (at ultra-high frequency and in the audible range, respectively). In a follow-up investigation, we will attempt to achieve target detection at a range of 10 ft, for a wider selection of targets illuminated by a wider range of frequencies.
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Appendix. Code for an Example Program
Provided in this Appendix is a MATLAB script, which uses the Instrument Control toolbox to configure the signal generation and capture equipment in Fig. 4. The output from the script is a power-versus-frequency plot in the style of Figs. 5–7.

```matlab
freq_RF = 800e6;     % radio frequency
pwr_RF_dBm = -10;

freq_audio = 100;    % audio frequency
Vpp_audio = 1.0;

res_bw = 3;                     % spectrum analyzer
freq_span = freq_audio * 10;    % settings
freq_pts = 4001;
hold_count = 10;
yscale_ref = -15;
yscale_offset = -40;
yscale_dB = 100;

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%% configure Keysight N5171B signal generator %%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

exg = gpib('ni', 0, 19);
fopen(exg);
fwrite(exg,['OUTPut:MODulation:STATe OFF']);
fwrite(exg,['POWER ' num2str(pwr_RF_dBm) 'dBm']);
fwrite(exg,['FREQ ' num2str(freq_RF) 'Hz']);
fwrite(exg,['OUTPut:STATe ON']);
fclose(exg);

pause(0.5)
```

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
%%% configure Agilent 33220A function generator %%%
awg = gpib('ni', 0, 10);
set(awg,'OutputBufferSize',2^18);
set(awg,'Timeout',40.0);

fopen(awg);
fprintf(awg, ['FUNCTION SINusoid']);
fprintf(awg, ['FREQuency ' num2str(freq_audio)]);
fprintf(awg, ['VOLTage ' num2str(Vpp_audio)]);
fprintf(awg, ['OUTPut ON']);
close(awg);

pause(0.5);

%%% configure Tektronix RSA-6114A spectrum analyzer %%%
sa = gpib('ni', 0, 1);
set(sa,'InputBufferSize',2^20);
set(sa,'Timeout',20);

fopen(sa);
fprintf(sa,
['SENSE:SPECTRUM:BANDWIDTH:RESOLUTION ' num2str(res_bw) 'Hz']);
fprintf(sa,
['SENSE:SPECTRUM:FREQUENCY:CENTER ' num2str(freq_RF) 'Hz']);
fprintf(sa,
['SENSE:SPECTRUM:FREQUENCY:SPAN ' num2str(freq_span) 'Hz']);
fprintf(sa,
['SENSE:SPECTRUM:POINTS:COUNT P' num2str(freq_pts)]);
fprintf(sa,
['INPUT:RLEVEL ' num2str(yscale_ref)]);
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fprintf(sa,
['DISPLAY:SPECTRUM:Y:SCALE:OFFSET ' num2str(yscale_offset)]);

fprintf(sa,
['DISPLAY:SPECTRUM:Y:SCALE ' num2str(yscale_dB)]);

fprintf(sa,
['INITIATE:CONTINUOUS OFF']);

fprintf(sa,
['TRACE1:SPECTRUM:FUNCTION AVERage']);

fprintf(sa,
['TRACE1:SPECTRUM:FUNCTION AVERage:COUNt ' num2str(hold_count)]);

fprintf(sa,
['TRACE1:SPECTRUM:FUNCTION AVERage:RESet']);

fprintf(sa,
['INITIATE:IMMEDIATE']);

fprintf(sa,
['READ:SPECTrump:TRACe1?']);

cchar(fread(sa,1));

ndig = str2double(char(fread(sa,1)));

nbytes = str2double(char(fread(sa,ndig)));

P_rec = (fread(sa,nbytes/4,'single'));

fprintf(sa,
['INITIATE:CONTINUOUS ON']);

fprintf(sa,
['TRACE1:SPECTRUM:FUNCTION NONE']);

fprintf(sa,
['INITIATE:IMMEDIATE']);

fclose(sa);

pause(0.5);

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%% turn N5171B and 33220A outputs off %%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

fopen(awg);

fprintf(awg,
['OUTPut OFF']);

fclose(awg);

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.
fopen(exg);
fwrite(exg,['OUTPut:STATe OFF']);
fclose(exg);

%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%
%%% plot spectrum recorded by RSA-6114A %%%
%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%%

delta_f = freq_span / (freq_pts-1);
f_start = freq_RF - freq_span/2;
f_end = freq_RF + freq_span/2;
freq = f_start:delta_f:f_end;

figure
plot(freq-freq_RF,P_rec)
axis([-Inf -140 0])
ylabel('Received Power, \text{\textit{P}}_{rec} (dBm)')
xlabel('Frequency Offset from Carrier (Hz)')
grid
### List of Symbols, Abbreviations, and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>amplitude modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>continuous-wave</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUT</td>
<td>device under test</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EM</td>
<td>electromagnetic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FM</td>
<td>frequency modulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HP</td>
<td>Hewlett Packard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCS</td>
<td>radar cross section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RF</td>
<td>radio frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rx</td>
<td>radar receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCPI</td>
<td>Standard Commands for Programmable Instruments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tx</td>
<td>radar transmitter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USB</td>
<td>universal serial bus</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>