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### Abstract

We have carried out an experimental and in silico investigation of path selection by a single droplet inside a tertiary junction microchannel using oil-in-water as a model system. The droplet was generated at a T-junction inside a microfluidic chip and its flow behaviour as a function of droplet size, streamline position, viscosity, and Reynolds number (Re) of the continuous phase was studied downstream at a tertiary junction having perpendicular channels of uniform square cross-section and internal fluidic resistance proportional to their lengths. Numerical studies were performed using the multicomponent lattice-Boltzmann method (LBM). Both the experimental and numerical results showed good agreement and suggested that at higher Re equal to 3, the flow was dominated by inertial forces resulting in the droplets choosing a path based on their center position in the flow streamline. At 10x lower Re, the streamline assisted path selection became drag-force assisted above a critical droplet size. As the Re was further reduced to 0.03, or when the viscosity of the dispersed phase was increased, the critical droplet size for transition also decreased. This multivariate approach can in future be used to engineer sorting of cells, e.g. circulating tumor cells (CTCs) allowing early-stage detection of life-threatening diseases.
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Abstract
We have carried out an experimental and in silico investigation of path selection by a single droplet inside a tertiary-junction microchannel using oil-in-water as a model system. The droplet was generated at a T-junction inside a microfluidic chip and its flow behaviour as a function of droplet size, streamline position, viscosity, and Reynolds number (Re) of the continuous phase was studied downstream at a tertiary junction having perpendicular channels of uniform square cross-section and internal fluidic resistance proportional to their lengths. Numerical studies were performed using the multicomponent lattice-Boltzmann method (LBM). Both the experimental and numerical results showed good agreement and suggested that at higher Re equal to 3, the flow was dominated by inertial forces resulting in the droplets choosing a path based on their center position in the flow streamline. At 10x lower Re, the streamline-assisted path selection became drag-force assisted above a critical droplet size. As the Re was further reduced to 0.03, or when the viscosity of the dispersed phase was increased, the critical droplet size for transition also decreased. This multivariate approach can in future be used to engineer sorting of cells, e.g. circulating tumor cells (CTCs) allowing early-stage detection of life-threatening diseases.

1. Introduction
Droplet microfluidics has greatly evolved in the past two decades with researchers demonstrating ingenious strategies for droplet manipulation, generation, sorting, trapping, merging and splitting operations in complex microfluidic networks. These studies involve the use of either internal forces such as interfacial tension, viscous drag, inertial, lift and centripetal forces to control liquid droplet movement or the application of external fields (magnetic, electrical, optical etc.) to engineer microdroplet mobility. Literature suggests that the path chosen by a droplet in a symmetric binary junction microchannel depends on the global architecture of the microfluidic network (assuming complete droplet slip at the walls) analogous to the Kirchhoff’s law for current flow in an electrical circuit. This means that the volumetric flow rate of the continuous phase carrying the droplet is the highest in the path having the least resistance. For a laminar, viscous and incompressible flow, the partition of a pressure-driven continuous phase in a
rectangular microchannel without any droplet is given by eq. 1 (refer to SI for analogy between fluidic and electrical parameters)\textsuperscript{26}:

\[
\Delta P = QR = \frac{12 \mu L}{\frac{1}{w} \left( \frac{1}{h} \right) \left( \frac{192}{\pi^2} \sum_{n=1,3,5} \frac{1}{n^2} \tan \left( \frac{n \pi w}{2h} \right) \right)}
\]  

(1)

This relationship is only valid for Newtonian fluids. Here, \( L, w \) and \( h \) are the length, width and height of the channel (m), respectively, \( R \) is the fluidic resistance (Pa s\(^{-3}\) m\(^{-1}\)), \( Q \) is the volumetric flow rate (m\(^3\) s\(^{-1}\)), \( \mu \) is the viscosity (Pa s) and \( \Delta P \) is the pressure drop (Pa).

It was only recently experimentally shown that the path taken by a deformable bubble in a locally asymmetric T-point junction is actually guided by the velocity vectors at the junction and not the overall resistance of the microchannel\textsuperscript{27-29}. The studies were performed on droplets equal to or greater than the size of the microchannel and, in some cases, to sort two groups of droplets of significantly different sizes. The results illustrated that local variation in channel dimensions can have important implications in the sorting of droplets. In another study, Moon et al. carried out numerical simulations on a vesicle flowing through a symmetric cross-flow tertiary junction.\textsuperscript{30} The size of the vesicle was kept slightly larger than the channel width. The results of this study highlighted that the disperse phase may go into the channel with a higher fluidic resistance at the cost of a lower bending penalty for the vesicle. This phenomenon was explained in terms of a simplified resultant velocity concept and was dominant in the path selection process for low capillary number flows (\( Ca \leq 0.025 \)); the resultant velocity hypothesis failed above this value.

Unlike symmetric T-point junctions, the hypothesis of maximum flow rate channel carrying the droplet does not hold true for all cases in symmetric tertiary junctions (Fig. 1a and 1b). For droplet size of the width of the channel, the partition behavior may be predicted by the resultant velocity at the tertiary junction below a critical \( Ca \).\textsuperscript{30} Similarly, a droplet much smaller than the channel size may be expected to follow the streamline passing through its center at low Reynolds number. However, no results have been reported for drops when their size is smaller than the channel size but about the same order. When the size of the droplet is smaller than the channel size but large enough to interact with flow going to different channels following the junction, its path cannot be determined just based on the resultant velocity or the path of the streamline passing through its center. The path taken by the droplet instead depends on many other factors including the position of its center, size, viscosity, flow conditions and the Reynolds number.

In this study, we consider droplets of size slightly less than the width of the channel and chose flow conditions such that \( Ca << 1 \). The condition on the capillary number ascertains that the droplet does not deform and remains almost spherical in the microchannel. By performing both experiments and simulations, we systematically investigate the influence of all the operating parameters on the route selection of a single microdroplet in a tertiary junction network. We use oil-in-water as a model system to study the effects of Reynolds number, droplet size and its streamline position, and viscosity of the dispersed phase on the droplet’s sorting behavior. Although we approach this problem from a purely fundamental fluid mechanics point-of-view, the larger motivation to carry out this research work derives from its application in biomedical engineering for sorting CTCs for early cancer screening and theranostics.\textsuperscript{31,32} The results of this study performed on a single droplet can in future help frame guidelines for selecting appropriate operating conditions that allow separating a low concentration of abnormal cells (say larger in size, more rigid etc.)
from multiple smaller healthy ones given that the physical characteristics of the cell types are known beforehand and the experiments are performed below the critical droplet flow frequency at which the resistance drop due to multiple droplets in a channel does not lead to alteration in final outcomes.33

2. Materials and methods

2.1 System specific details

A network of square cross-sectional microchannels of approx. 50 µm size was prepared using the standard photolithography process (see SI). DI water (18 MΩ·cm; Synergy UV, Ultrapure Type-1) was used as the continuous phase, and mineral oil (kinematic viscosity 34.5 cSt @ 40 °C and density 0.76 g/mL @ 20 °C) or hexadecane (kinematic viscosity 2.93 cSt @ 40 °C and density 0.77 g/mL @ 20 °C) (Fischer Scientific) were taken as the disperse phase. The method for precise microdroplet formation at a T-point junction was borrowed from the established protocols in the literature.34-39 First, the entire microfluidic chip was primed by flushing with Tween 20 (for Re = 3) or sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS) surfactant (for Re = 0.3) (Sigma Aldrich) in water. The priming was done in order to ensure complete wettability of the channels. Next, a single oil emulsion was generated at the T-junction (point A in Fig. 1d) by simultaneous injection of water containing surfactant (same concentration as that used for priming) and oil phase using two separate microcontrolled syringe pumps (Kd Scientific, USA). To generate a single droplet, multiple droplets were formed first followed by a sudden stopping of the oil flow rate which lead to a gradual decrease in the number of droplets in the microchannel all the way down to a single one (in approx. 5 to 6 s). The droplet size was tuned by varying the flow rate of oil between 0.04 to 0.2 mL/h with respect to water flowing between 0.6 to 0.06 mL/h. 5 w/v % glucose was added to all the experiments performed at Re = 0.3 to assist in the smooth movement of larger droplets that tended to get stuck to the walls. Surfactant concentration was kept sufficiently high in all the experiments, larger than the critical micellar concentration (cmc) value, to maintain the surface tension constant.

2.2 Experimental measurements

The freely navigating droplets were monitored downstream at point B (Fig. 1d) using an Olympus BX53 optical microscope fitted with a CMOS digital camera (Orca Flash 4.0 V2, Hamamatsu). Time-lapsed images of the droplets were acquired at 100 fps, 5 ms exposure and 1 px = 2 µm resolution and later analyzed using the open-source ImageJ software (1.47t). All experiments were performed once the continuous phase reached a steady state.

The viscosity measurements were performed on a fully automatic plate MCR rheometer (Anton Paar, Austria). The measurements were made in a parallel-plate spindle by applying shear rates between 0.1 to 800 s⁻¹. The interfacial properties were determined using a programmable plate tensiometer (Kruss 100, Germany).

2.3 Numerical approach

During the last two decades, the LBM has become a popular choice for solving problems involving interfacial dynamics and complex boundaries. This model is easy to implement due to its construction based on simplified kinetic models that incorporate the essential physics of microscopic or mesoscopic process such that the averaged macroscopic properties obey macroscopic equations. The kinetic theory introduces two distinct features to the LBM from other numerical schemes. First, the phase space in the LBM is linear. Simple streaming and collision processes combine to allow recovery of nonlinear macroscopic advection. Second, the pressure term in the LBM is solved using equation of state which has great advantage over traditional numerical schemes where the pressure is solved using Poisson’s equations and often produces numerical difficulties. In addition, the LBM produces the interface inherently getting rid of complex
interface tracking and grid generation techniques near the interface that are required in traditional numerical approaches.

2D lattice Boltzmann simulations were performed to mimic the experiments using the grid size of 1580 x 730 lattice units (LU). The width of the channel was taken as 50 LU. An oil droplet was initially placed at a distance of 300 LU from the tertiary junction using water as the continuous phase. The boundary conditions at the inlet and outlet of channel were of constant velocity condition. The experimental conditions in the present simulations were replicated by scaling 1 lattice unit as 1 μm and 1 lattice time as 2.58E-4 μs. The density of all the phases was assumed to be the same. Full details of the numerical method are given in the SI.

3. Results

3.1 Streamline position of the microdroplet

The path selected by a microdroplet was defined according to its streamline position in the inlet channel just before entering the tertiary junction. For this, the inlet channel was divided into three sections based on the downstream flow division of the continuous phase into the upper, middle and lower channels. The width of each section was determined numerically using LBM as 22, 45 and 22.5 μm for upper, middle and lower channels, respectively, assuming for simplicity the velocity profile of only the continuous phase inside a 2D square channel (Fig. 1c). We limited our study to 2D simulations to qualitatively understand the effect of inertial and drag forces on the droplet sorting since the computational costs of performing 3D simulations for all the cases was quite large.

In experiments, the droplet formation took place at a T-junction far away from the point of analysis. One limitation of this approach was that it led to poor control on the exact position of the microdroplet in the streamline as it entered the tertiary-junction. Once the droplet was formed, however, it continued to follow its streamline without any vertical deviation. To overcome the limitation of poor position control, a large number of experiments were performed to get a statistical pool of data points from which a particular trend could be formulated. We observed that there was a higher propensity of the droplets to form on the same side as that of the T-junction (kept lower and 1.5 cm away from the inlet tertiary junction as shown in Fig. 1d) especially for larger droplets. For instance, at Re equal to 0.3, approximately 85% of the droplets formed between the sizes 30 to 45 μm (out of a total of 13) were found to lie in the middle and lower zone. Therefore, for purposes of this study, we focused only on the droplet transitions made from middle to lower channels expecting the findings to be equally applicable for transitions on the upper side. Furthermore, simulations gave us the freedom to choose any droplet streamline location for further verification.

3.2 Effect of droplet size at different Reynolds numbers

The net forces acting on a droplet inside a microjunction vary as a function of its size which influences its overall navigation behaviour. To study this effect, experiments were performed with mineral oil (MO) droplets in water. The droplet size was coarsely varied by changing the velocity of the MO at the T-junction where the droplet was formed. As the velocity of the dispersed phase was increased, the volume of oil sheared by the continuous phase also became higher resulting in droplets of bigger sizes. The maximum value of capillary number calculated for these experiments was 0.007. Capillary number was defined with respect to the continuous phase only. As a result, all the droplets of size less than or equal to the width of channel showed minimal deformation and appeared spherical in shape. The continuous phase velocity was then systematically increased to study the effect of Reynolds number, defined with respect to the continuous phase taking the width of the channel as the characteristic length.
At Re = 3. For both experiments and numerical simulations, the position of the droplet at the tertiary junction was plotted as a function of the droplet size and the outcome of the path selection (Fig. 2). It was observed that for all droplet sizes varying from 25 to 50 μm, droplets lying in the middle zone always opted for the middle channel and similarly, droplets with streamline position in the lower zone, went into the lower channel. These results suggested that the path selection at this Reynolds number was mainly driven by inertial forces. To confirm that the results are truly due to inertial effects, additional simulations were performed at Re = 30. At this condition, droplets in the lower streamline also migrated into the middle channel although they were highly deformed due to the high shear stress (Ca = 0.07) (see Fig. S2).

At Re = 0.3. When the Re number was reduced ten times to 0.3, inertia no longer remained the most dominant force in the path selection process. The experimental results showed that for a small range between 41 to 45 μm, the droplets entering through the middle zone still went into the lower channel (Fig. 2). This was attributed to the higher effective drag force on the lower part of the droplet above a critical size. Beyond 45 μm, the droplet again selected the middle channel based on the resultant velocity hypothesis as its centre again rested in the middle zone. Both the experimental and numerical simulation results demonstrated good similarity highlighting the accuracy of the procedures.

At Re = 0.03. When the Re number was further decreased to 0.03, simulations showed that the critical droplet size required for transition from middle to lower channel reduced to 33 μm thereby increasing the overall size range of the transformation regime (Fig. 3a). The transformation region also widened in the vertical direction in a size-dependent manner, i.e., the same size droplet opted for the middle path for a much wider set of distances extending towards the center of the channel. The simulation results could not be verified by actual experiments due to the setup limitations for performing experiments at such low Re.

3.3 Effect of viscosity
To study the effect of viscosity on the path selection process, simulations were repeated at Re 0.03 using a lower viscosity oil, Hex, in place of MO as the dispersed phase. The interfacial tension value of 9.5 mN/m, corresponding to that between MO and 0.5 w/v % Tween 20, was kept constant in the study with Hex. With a higher viscosity droplet, the transition region was wider, giving a smaller critical diameter for going to the lower channel similar to the Re equal to 0.03 case discussed above (Fig. 3b). Also, the width of the transition region was slightly higher in the vertical direction in the case of MO as compared to Hex. Simulations performed for Re 3 and 0.3 showed no major variations due to change in viscosity (Fig. S3).

3.4 Effect of interfacial tension
Interfacial tension is an important parameter for ensuring droplet formation, shape and stability. Since the interfacial tension acts uniformly along a spherical droplet, it is not expected to impart any directional force since the capillary number is quite low in our experiments. Nevertheless, in a dynamic system such as ours, it is important to ensure that there is minimal lateral diffusion of the surfactants under shear flow as this may cause local interfacial force gradients to form on the surface of the droplet, affecting the overall selection process. One way to avoid this is to have a complete surface coverage of all the interfaces by the surfactant.

We estimated the interfacial tension saturation limit of surfactant concentration in our system using a Wilhelmy plate tensiometer. For this, Tween 20 was taken in varying amounts in a fixed volume of water and the interfacial properties were measured against both MO and Hex at each concentration (Fig. S4). The interfacial tension was observed to decrease monotonically with increasing surfactant concentration and gradually became constant at approx. 9.5 mN/m corresponding to 0.5 w/v % of Tween 20 in case of MO and 0.1 w/v % in case of Hex. The exposed surface area to volume ratio in the tensiometer was then normalized to that of our experimental setup (see Fig. S5 and S1). The two surface area to volume ratios almost matched
each other so the final surfactant concentrations used in our experiments were also kept the same as above. Also, to further check the validity of our findings, a few random experiments were performed with different Tween 20 concentrations using Hex droplets of sizes equal to the width of channel. The results showed no variation in the path selection properties (Fig. S6) establishing that interfacial tension indeed does not play a role in the path selection process in our experiments. Amounts used for SDS were approximately doubled since the topological cross sectional area of SDS molecules (74.8 Å²) is roughly half of that of Tween 20 (133 Å²).40,41

4. Discussion

The tertiary junction in our microfluidic network divides the liquid into three branches the flow rates of which are proportional to the resistance of each channel. At low Re, if the size of the drop is much smaller than the size of the microchannel then the droplet follows the path of its centre’s streamline. If the size of the droplet is equal to or larger than the size of the channel then the droplet follows the resultant velocity direction at the junction.30 We work in the intermediate droplet size regime where different regions of the droplet experience forces toward the different flow directions at the junction (Fig. 4a). As a result, the direction chosen by the droplet is not simply determined by its streamline as in the case for small size droplets or the resultant velocity direction as is the case for large droplets. Away from the junction, the droplet has zero velocity in the y-direction,42 however, as it approaches the junction, the droplet moves into any particular channel depending on the shear forces experienced by the droplet and the inertial forces acting along the flow direction (Fig. 4a). The viscous drag forces acting on the particle tend to guide it along the streamlines whereas, the inertial force tend to move it along its direction of motion. In general, the droplet at high flow rates has a tendency to continue moving in its original direction along the fluid flow due to inertia, and the shear stresses acting on the sides can drag the droplet into the channel away from the flow direction depending on the net sum of the viscous forces generated by the daughter flows (in channels B and C in our case).

To determine the preferred path chosen by the droplet in our experiments, we took a ratio of the response time of the droplet due to the resulting shear and inertial forces in the vertical and the horizontal directions, respectively. If the time taken for the center of the droplet to cover the distance to the lower wall (τshear) was less than the time taken for it to pass through the junction due to its momentum (τinertial), the droplet was said to choose the vertical path and vice versa. For τinertial (eq. 2), the droplet velocities were estimated at the start of the junction (v_{d1}) and at the start of the channel A (v_{d2}) by using the relation between the droplet velocity at any time and the maximum flow velocity provided by Hetsroni and Haber for Poiseuille flow.43

\[
\tau_{\text{inertial}} = \frac{2H}{v_{d1} + v_{d2}}
\]  

(2)

Here, H is the half channel height. The τshear was obtained by writing the force balance equation in the y-direction. For a droplet of radius r,

\[
\frac{4\pi r^3}{3} \rho_d \frac{d^2 y}{dt^2} = F_s
\]

(3)

where, \( F_s \) is the total shear force acting on the particle and \( \rho_d \) is the density of the droplet. Assuming \( F_s \) to be constant during the motion of the droplet at the junction, if the distance between the droplet center and the lower wall is ‘\( r + h \)’ (Fig. 4a), the time taken (τshear) by the droplet centre to cover this distance is,
\[ \tau_{\text{shear}} = \frac{F_s}{\mu_c} \]  

(4)

where, \( h \) is the distance between the lower wall and the droplet edge. The shear stress \( F_B \) generated by the flow going to channel B tries to drag the particle in the -\( y \) direction whereas, the stress generated \( F_c \) by flow going to the upper channel C tries to drag the particle in the +\( y \) direction. The forces acting on the particle due to these two stresses can be written as

\[ F_B = \sigma_B A_B \]  

(5)

\[ F_C = \sigma_C A_C \]  

(6)

where, \( \sigma_B \) and \( \sigma_C \) are the stresses pulling the droplet in the -\( y \) and +\( y \) direction, and \( A_B \) and \( A_C \) are the respective areas over which these stresses act. The total shear force acting on the particle is thus, \( F_S = F_B - F_C \) in the direction of the downward channel (-\( y \)). The shear stress acting on the particle is proportional to the shear rate and the viscosity \( \mu_C \) of the continuous phase. The shear rate in turn is proportional to the particle velocity \( v \) and the inverse of the gap between the particle interface and the wall. The stress on the lower interface is given by

\[ \sigma_B \sim \mu_C v/h \]  

(7)

For a constant droplet size, as the distance \( h \) between the wall and the drop decreases, the lubrication stress on the particle increase. Also, the area \( A_B \) over which the stress acts also increases. Hence, the total shear force, \( F_S \), increases and time \( \tau_{\text{shear}} \) decreases with lowering of the distance between the droplet and the lower wall. On the other hand, \( \tau_{\text{inertial}} \) remains more or less constant. In Figs. 2 and 3, for the same drop size, as the droplet moves closer to the lower wall below a critical distance, it always goes to the lower channel. Also for the same distance \( h \) between the wall and the droplet, as the size (or mass) of the droplet increases, \( \tau_{\text{shear}} \) is expected to increase as well from eq. 4 or in other words, above a critical size, the droplet is expected to go to the middle channel.

The viscous stress estimate from eq. 7 assumes the droplet to be of very high viscosity compared to the continuous phase. As the viscosity of the dispersed phase decreases (in comparison to that of the continuous phase), the droplet rotates faster and the shear stress acting on it decreases. So under similar conditions, one would expect the higher viscosity mineral oil drops to move to the lower channel at a smaller size. Simulation results shown in Fig. 3 agree with this prediction where for the same size, droplets start transitioning to the lower channel at larger distances from the lower wall. The sorting of droplets based on the size has been achieved in the past in T-junction microchannels. Tertiary junction channels like the ones used in this work can be used to sort same size droplets or particles based on their density and viscosity.

LB simulations were performed to determine \( F_S \) acting on the droplet as it entered the junction and \( \tau_{\text{shear}} \) was calculated using eq 4. The shear stress produced on 35 and 42 \( \mu \)m size droplets at Re = 0.3 and \( a = 1.5 \) \( \mu \)m are shown in Fig. 4b. The color contour plots clearly indicate that the 42 \( \mu \)m diameter droplet has a greater stress due to the larger lubrication forces generated between the droplet-wall interface.

When we plotted the droplet size as a function of response time ratio (RTR) \( \tau_{\text{shear}}/\tau_{\text{inertial}} \) for MO at Re = 0.3, 0.03 and Hex at Re = 0.03, we found that the transition of droplets for each case occurred at \( \tau_{\text{shear}}/\tau_{\text{inertial}} \sim \)
0.535 (Fig. 5). The center of the droplets was placed 1.5 μm below the center of the channel in each case. The droplets with $\text{RTR} > 0.535$ were observed to travel in channel A and others in channel B. These results suggest that the resultant shear and inertial forces are important factors in the transition of droplets from channel A to channel B. It should be noted that the dynamic nature of the droplets can continuously change the forces acting on them which are not considered in the present analysis. This could be a possible reason for the transition of droplets being observed at RTR equal to 0.535 instead of 1. Since the droplets, however, under different conditions follow the same transition pattern and RTR value, it gives us a good physical understanding of the forces and a unifying approach to characterize the sorting of droplets inside a tertiary junction microchannel. The velocities obtained from our model are in the limit of the velocities obtained from the simulations as well as the measured velocities of the continuous phase alone (see SI). In future, more rigorous mathematical approaches may be undertaken for full analysis.

5. Conclusions

We demonstrated the ability of tertiary junction microchannel networks to sort droplets according to physical properties. There is a clear droplet size about which the path selected by the droplet changes. The experiments and simulations performed on droplets of MO and Hex show the transition of droplets at different diameters. To observe the effect of shear forces and inertial forces acting on the droplet at the junction, we calculated the response time ratios of $\tau_{\text{shear}}/\tau_{\text{inertial}}$ and found that the transition of both MO and Hex droplets occurs at the time response ratio of 0.535. The time response ratio indicates that the physical nature of shear forces and inertial forces are responsible for occurrence of transition at tertiary junction. Interfacial tension does not show any effect on droplet navigation behavior. Thus, a combination of these physical properties can be used to sort droplet according to the required parameters. Our claims, however, are made only in the limit where the droplets don’t deform and the Capillary number is low. An undergoing numerical study will be help us to design optimized experimental conditions and network design for any desired sorting application.

6. Supplementary material

Supplementary material for the interfacial tension measurements, droplet splitting behavior, time-lapsed images of microdroplet movement, Kirchoff’s law analogy to microfluidics etc. can be made available on request.
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Fig. 1. (a) A schematic comparison of the T-junction vs tertiary-junction, (b) An actual optical image of the microchannel network showing a droplet in the middle channel with the highest path length (or, resistance). The respective lengths of the three channel arms were as follows: Upper 1400 $\mu$m, Middle 3400 $\mu$m and Lower 1150 $\mu$m, (c) numerically-computed streamline positions for upper, middle and lower channels just before the inlet to the tertiary junction, (d) Layout of the microfluidic chip used in this study showing regions for droplet formation (point A; T-junction), droplet monitoring for path selection to upper, middle or lower channels (point B; tertiary junction), and droplet exit (point C). The T-junction was kept 1.5 cm from the inlet tertiary junction.
Fig. 2. Experimental and simulation results for droplet size vs center position showing path selected by the droplet at Re = 3 and 0.3. The circles represent the selection of middle channel and crosses imply the selection of lower channel.
Fig. 3. Simulations at Re = 0.03 for (a) mineral oil and (b) hexadecane showing effects of both droplet size and viscosity on the path selection process. The circles represent the selection of middle channel and crosses imply the selection of lower channel.
Fig. 4. (a) Schematic of force balance analysis on a microdroplet inside a tertiary-junction microchannel. (b & c) The contour plots indicate shear stresses acting on mineral oil droplets of sizes 36 μm (b) and 42 μm (c), where the minimum and maximum stress values correspond to -120 N/m² (blue) and 120 N/m² (red), respectively.
Fig. 5. Response time ratio (RTR) of shear to inertial forces acting on the droplet at the junction. The line indicates transition in the droplet trajectory from lower to middle channel.
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