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The purpose of this exhibit is:

- Timely and accurate imaging interpretation of primary and secondary injury patterns in penetrating neurotrauma
- To depict secondary complications of these injuries
- To emphasize imaging findings used for surgical decision making and prognostication
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- Bullet ballistic trauma
- Low velocity
- High velocity
- Blast-related fragment injury
- Stab wounds
- Non-metallic projectiles
Ballistic Trauma

- Ballistic injuries depend on dispersion of kinetic energy
  - Velocity of projectile contributes more than bullet caliber
  - Kinetic Energy $= \frac{1}{2} \text{mass} \times \text{velocity}^2$

- Low velocity projectiles (muzzle velocity < 250 m/s),
  - Handguns
  - Shrapnel from grenades
  - Most improvised explosion devices (IEDs)

- High velocity projectiles (muzzle velocity > 700 m/s)
  - Military-grade weaponry
  - Hunting rifles

$$E_K = \frac{1}{2} mu^2$$
Low Velocity Bullet Ballistic Trauma

- Bullet entrance usually show characteristic inward beveling (outer table defect < inner table)
- Cavitation tract is larger than missile diameter due to outward centrifugal forces.
- Low velocity projectiles do not cause a exit wound due to insufficient kinetic energy, and may be retained within the inner table of the skull, leading to multi-directional injury.
Case 1: Low Velocity Ballistic Trauma

63 year-old male with self-inflicted gunshot to the right parietal lobe with handgun. Axial non-enhanced CT images with bone windows (A), soft tissue windows (B), and non-enhanced coronal CT in soft tissue windows (C) show inward beveling of calvarial entry site (arrow), hemorrhagic ballistic tract with bone fragments (circles) and bullet fragments (squares). Coronal CT image (C) demonstrates ricochet of the dominant bullet fragment off the parietal inner table with final localization of bullet in the left temporal lobe (square).
High Velocity Bullet Ballistic Trauma

- Impact emits high pressure wave that cause stretching injury distant from the missile tract
- Higher centrifugal forces result in larger cavitation tracts than low velocity ballistics
- Skull exit wounds usually show outward beveling (inner table defect < outer table defect)
- Bullet fragmentation, yawing, and secondary missiles result in increased bone destruction and brain damage along the cavitation tract
- Superficial soft tissues and debris may be pulled behind ballistic due to vacuum effects
Case 2: High Velocity Ballistic Trauma

18 year-old male with high velocity gunshot wound to the right temporal bone. Coronal non-enhanced CT images with soft tissue (A) and bone (B) windows shows a wide hemorrhagic cavitation tract (circle) due to high velocity bullet projectile and secondary bone missiles (square). There is outward beveling of the skull at the exit site (arrow). Generally, there is greater bone damage at exit site secondary to bullet fragmentation and yawing.
Outline

- Primary Penetrating Injury Patterns
  - Bullet ballistic trauma
    - Low velocity
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- Complications
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Blast-Related Fragment Injury

- Most common penetrating injury to US coalition forces resulting from recent conflicts in Iraq and Afghanistan
- The head and neck are second most commonly injured body parts (after extremities)
- These projectiles have lower kinetic energy, generally cause superficial injury, and are less likely to penetrate the cranial vault
- Additional injuries may be related to pressurization impact (primary blast wave), displacement of victim away from blast site, and detonation-related thermal injuries
## Cases 3 & 4: Blast-Related Fragment Injury

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| 30 year-old male involved in an IED blast injury. Coronal (A) and axial (B) non-enhanced CT of orbits shows numerous superficial penetrating low velocity metallic fragments (arrows) resulting in left globe rupture (circle). |
| 26 year-old male involved in an IED blast injury. Axial non-enhanced CT (C, D) of orbits shows a few superficial penetrating low velocity projectiles. One penetrating the right globe results in traumatic cataract (circle). |
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Stab Injury

- Ultra low velocity (usually < 100m/s) penetrating injuries due to knives, nails, spikes, scissors, and other assorted objects
- Unlike higher velocity missile injuries, there is no concentric dissipation of kinetic energy, and injury is largely limited to laceration of soft tissues along wound tract
- Intracranial injury usually limited to regions where bone is more penetrable (orbit, skull base foramina, floor of anterior cranial fossa, and squamous temporal bone)
Stab Injury

- Blind removal of object is not commonly practiced
- Imaging with unenhanced CT and CTA is generally recommended prior to removal
  - Identify surrounding anatomy and preventing further injury upon removal
- Imaging is also critical for non-retained foreign objects to identify wound tract, as entry site may appear disproportionately small compared to extent of internal injury
Case 5: Stab Injury

25 year-old female with left hemiplegia and contralateral sensory deficits after knife stabbing injury to the posterior neck. Sagittal CT (A) shows linear fracture defect (arrow) at C7 without obvious soft tissue wound tract or retained foreign body. Subsequent cervical MRI with sagittal T2WI (B) and sagittal STIR images (C) shows the linear T2 high signal knife puncture tract extending from the dorsal paraspinal soft tissues through the posterior spinal canal, left half of spinal cord, and C7 vertebral body (circle). Elevated intramedullary T2 signal is seen within the cervical spinal cord at the level of the penetrating injury the level. (D) Cervical spine T2WI shows the knife tract involving all three spinal columns, with transection of the left cervical cord, concordant with patient’s Brown-Séquard syndrome.
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Non-metallic Projectiles

Wood fragments
- Layers of organic fibers forming interstices that are often filled with air depending on moisture content within fragment
  - Ultrasound: hypoechoic echotexture
  - CT: air density
  - MRI: susceptibility artifact
- May be confused with soft tissue emphysema (wide window on CT can avoid this pitfall)

Glass fragments
- Often underdiagnosed
  - Misconceptions that lucent glass cannot be detected radiographically
- CT most sensitive exam
  - Appearance varies based on composition, ranging from approximately 80-550 HU
  - Hyperattenuating to surrounding soft tissues
- Ultrasound may appear hyperechoic
Case 6: Non-metallic Projectiles

31 year-old male with orbital injury while running away from police through thick vegetation. Axial (A) and coronal (B) unenhanced CT images at soft tissue windows shows air density within the medial right orbit (arrows). Axial (C) and coronal (D) unenhanced CT images at bone windows shows an interstitial pattern of hypodensity in region of emphysema (arrows) consistent with a retained wood fragment.
Case 7: Non-metallic Projectiles

59 year-old male pedestrian hit by automobile. A linear hyperattenuating focus (arrows) is seen in the pre-septal soft tissues in the left orbit in setting of predominantly right sided peri-orbital trauma. No fracture donor site could be identified on the left side to account for finding, raising suspicion for foreign body. A small piece of windshield glass was subsequently removed from beneath left eyelid.
## Outline

- **Primary Penetrating Injury Patterns**
  - Bullet ballistic trauma
    - Low velocity
    - High velocity
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Secondary Bone Missiles

- Kinetic energy deposited to the calvarium by craniocerebral ballistics fracture, fragment, and displace bone
- Bone fragments acquire twice as much kinetic energy as an equivalent length of soft tissue due to density of bone being twice that of soft tissue
- Bone fragments act as secondary missiles and further propagate damage to brain parenchyma and may injure blood vessels within their trajectory
Case 8: Secondary Bone Missiles

37-year-old male with gunshot to the left orbit. Axial non-enhanced CT images with soft tissue (A) and bone windows (B) at presentation show a traumatic left globe rupture, subarachnoid and subdural hemorrhage, blown-out left lateral orbital wall and sphenoid bone fractures, bone fragments (circles) driven through the parenchyma, as secondary missiles along the bullet trajectory, forming temporary cavitary. Bullet fragments are also seen (arrows). Follow-up axial CT image 1-week later (C) shows hemorrhagic contusions and ischemic necrotic parenchyma along the cavitary tract formed by the bone missiles, in addition to new left cranioptasis findings.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary Penetrating Injury Patterns</th>
<th>Secondary bone missiles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Bullet ballistic trauma</td>
<td>- Cerebral swelling &amp; herniation syndromes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- High velocity</td>
<td>- Vascular injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Low velocity</td>
<td>- Ballistic fragment migration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Stab wounds</td>
<td>- Non-metallic projectiles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Prognostication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>- Infection</td>
<td>- Treatment Considerations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Blunt trauma</td>
<td>- MR Safety</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cerebral Swelling and Herniation Syndromes

- Gunshot victims may present to ED with apnea and bradycardia due to brainstem compression and tonsillar herniation.
- Rapid elevation in intracranial pressure caused by brain expansion and cavitation often result in coma or death.
- Brainstem dysfunction resulting in respiratory arrest may occur with low velocity ballistics and without damage to eloquent structures due to propagation of damage remote from the ballistic tract.
Case 9: Cerebral Swelling and Herniation Syndromes

15 year-old male with gunshot to the head. Glasgow Coma Scale of 3 at the scene with fixed pupils and absence of brainstem reflexes. Axial (A) and sagittal (B) CT images in the ED show bullet fragments embedded in the genu of the corpus callosum and cingulate gyrus, and a blown-out exit wound skull fracture on the right. Cerebral sulci and cerebellar fissures and ventricles are effaced from extensive swelling. Descending transtentorial and tonsillar herniation is evident. Diffuse loss of grey-white matter differentiation is consistent with diffuse hypoxic-ischemic injury.
Case 10: Cerebral Swelling and Herniation Syndromes

97 year-old female with gunshot to the occiput. Axial CT images of the head at multiple levels (A-C) show bony destruction of the right parietal bone, and metallic streak artifact from a lodged bullet fragment. Brain swelling and edema results in herniation of the right parietal lobe through the defect, consistent with a traumatic encephalocele.
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Infection

- Infectious complications of penetrating injury increases morbidly and mortality, and is not uncommon (1-5% civilian vs. 4-11% military)
- CSF leak, sinus injury, transventricular injury, and missile tracks crossing midline increase risk for infection
- Abscess, meningitis/ventriculitis, and wound infections may develop due to contamination of foreign bodies or skin, hair, or bone driven along the path of the missile
- *Staphylococcus aureus* is most common organism, but gram negative bacteria also frequent cause
Case 11: Infection

26 year-old male with gunshot to the midface. Axial non-enhanced CT images (A & B) at presentation show trans-sinus bullet trajectory with fragments embedded in the paranasal sinuses and brain. The patient experienced progressive headache, fevers and leukocytosis 2-weeks after injury. Axial (C) and coronal (D) contrast-enhanced CT of the face at this time show a rim-enhancing collection surrounding the retained bullet fragments, consistent with a cerebral abscess (arrows).
Case 12: Infection

6 year-old boy with gunshot to the abdomen. Sagittal A) and axial (B) contrast-enhanced CT images of the spine at presentation show a bullet traversing the superior endplate of L4 and embedding in the L4-L5 intervertebral disc space (circle). Patient developed fever and leukocytosis 2 weeks following surgical retrieval of bullet. Sagittal (C) and axial (D) contrast-enhanced CT show a rim-enhancing ventral paraspinal collection, consistent with an abscess (arrows).
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Cerebrovascular Injury

- The incidence of traumatic pseudoaneurysm formation after severe penetrating injury is estimated at 20-50% in some groups.
- The spectrum of vascular injury includes pseudoaneurysm formation, active extravasation, dissection, venous injury and thrombosis, and traumatic arteriovenous fistula.
- Vascular injury more likely when missile or penetrating object trajectory close to the Sylvian fissure, cavernous and other dural venous sinuses, or traverses the midline.
Case 13: Cerebrovascular Injury

32-year-old male with gunshot to the head. Axial (A) and sagittal (B) non-enhanced CT images show bullet and bone fragments in pericranial region, and parenchymal and SAH. Arterial-phase (C & D) and delayed (D & E) CTA images show active extravasation from the superior sagittal sinus (green arrow) and a pseudoaneurysm of the pericalcar branch of the right MCA (pink arrows) due to injury along the missile trajectory. The inferior sagittal sinus was also torn (not shown).
Case 14: Cerebrovascular Injury

42 year-old male passenger in MVC crash with a tree. 3D reconstruction (A) and sagittal (B) CT images show a tree branch penetrating the right frontal lobe and extending through the right cerebral hemisphere. Coronal (C) and sagittal (D) CTA MIP images show traumatic injury and thrombosis of the inferior sagittal sinus and posterior superior sagittal sinus (arrows) due to laceration by the wood.
Case 15: Cerebrovascular Injury

22 year-old male with gunshot to the head. Axial unenhanced CT images at soft tissue (A) and bone windows (B) show the left parietal entry site and right frontal exit site, with a hemorrhagic tract traversing the midline. Sagittal (C) and coronal (D) CTA MIP images show a venous pseudoaneurysm of the superior sagittal sinus (green arrow) protruding through the skull defect and an arterial pseudoaneurysm (pink arrow).
44 year-old male presenting with pulsatile exophthalmos 3 weeks after sustaining multiple facial injuries with a broken bottle in a bar fight. Axial CTA image (A) and axial CTA MIP (B) show marked left exophthalmos, increased number of vessels around the left cavernous sinus (green arrow), and arterialized flow in the left superior ophthalmic vein (pink arrow). Lateral projection image of a left ICA injection on convention angiogram (C) depicts abnormal filling of the left superior and inferior ophthalmic veins due to a direct cavernous-carotid fistula.
Cervical Vascular Injury

- CTA has dramatically limited non-therapeutic neck explorations in cases of penetrating cervical injuries with a high sensitivity and specificity in all reports (>90%)
- Up to 25% of penetrating cervical injuries result in arterial injury (80% carotid vs. 43% vertebral arteries)
- Carotid artery injuries carry a 15% risk of stroke, and 22% risk of death
- Venous injury is seen in 16-18% of patients, and is the most commonly missed vascular injury in penetrating neck trauma
Case 17: Cervical Vascular Injury

23 year-old male with self inflicted gunshot injury. Sagittal (A) and coronal (B) CTA MIP images show a traumatic dissection of the left proximal cervical ICA (arrows). Axial CTA images of the head (C & D) show multiple bone fragments surrounded the left atlantoaxial joint (yellow circle) and a large left holohemispheric infarct (blue circle) from a left anterior circulation infarct and left PCA infarct resulting from descending transtentorial herniation (not shown).
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Ballistic Fragment Migration

- Vascular embolism of ballistic fragments is a rare complication that occurs if projectile is of small enough size, and low enough velocity to embed within an arterial or venous lumen.
- Embolism of intravascular ballistic fragment usually occurs immediately upon entrance into vessel, however delayed embolism of days to months after injury have been reported.
- Intravascular ballistic fragment may lead to vascular occlusion, thrombosis, infarct, septicemia, or lead toxicity.
- Ballistic fragments may also migrate within the ventricular system, and can result in obstructive hydrocephalus at level of foramen of Monro or at the cerebral aqueduct.
Case 18: Ballistic Fragment Migration

A 20 year-old active duty soldier presenting with signs of penetrating neck injury after an improvised explosion device (IED) attack. Axial CTA image (A) demonstrates metallic IED fragments in the posterior neck (circle) adjacent to right vertebral artery. Additional metallic fragment (B) is identified in the basilar artery (arrow). 24 hours later patient's neurological status deteriorated and unenhanced CT (C) shows interval embolization of IED fragment to left posterior cerebral artery (square) with associated occipital infarct (circle).
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Predicative Features of Mortality

**Imaging**
- Midline shift >10mm
- Ballistic tract crosses the "Zona Fatalis"
  - Geographic center of brain approximately 4cm above dorsum sella
- Penetrating injury to the posterior fossa
- Effacement of basal cisterns portends a poor prognosis
- Presence of SAH
- Increased number of lobes involved

**Clinical**
- GCS score
- Absent pupillary reflexes
- Missile trajectory
- Self-inflicted gunshot wounds
- Removal of penetrating object at time of stabbing injury
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Treatment

- Small penetrating injuries without significant intracranial pathology can often be managed with local wound care
- Broad spectrum antibiotic prophylaxis for 5-14d
- Most critical care management (e.g. ICP monitoring, anti-epileptic prophylaxis, etc.) is extrapolated from the severe TBI literature
Surgical Principles

- Craniotomy (versus craniectomy) with debridement is often sufficient in the patient without midline shift or signs of intracranial hypertension.
- Debridement and water tight dural closure: Important when there is an air sinus injury or complex laceration. Ventricular injuries are susceptible to CSF leaks. CSF leak or fistula correlates with higher infection rate and mortality.
- Evacuate large hematomas. Only perform superficial debridement of bone and foreign bodies. Debridement of devitalized brain tissue should only be performed to allow for appropriate hemorrhage control and 2-layer closure.
- Consider retrieval of large accessible bullet fragments that may pose future risk for future migration (ventricle), lead toxicity (ventricle, intervertebral disc spaces), and for possible forensic analysis.
- Always be cognizant of imaging findings of arterial or venous injury or air sinus injury. Acute and delayed vascular injuries should be suspected when trajectory is near vascular territories such as Sylvian fissure and supraclinoid carotid.
20 year-old male status post gunshot wound to head. Axial noncontrast CT (A) demonstrates hemorrhagic ballistic tract (circle) crossing the bilateral frontal lobes, ventricles and the mid-coronal plane with associated intraparenchymal, intraventricular and subarachnoid hemorrhage. Despite numerous negative imaging predictors, patient was deemed salvageable secondary to favorable admission GCS status, and subsequently underwent craniectomy and hematoma evacuation (B).
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MRI Safety Concerns

- Specific concerns resulting from penetrating neurotrauma are largely related to the consequences of retained metallic foreign bodies
- Clinical decision making regarding MR imaging should consider risks versus benefits of MR imaging
- Retained metallic fragments may experience:
  - Translational or rotational forces (static magnetic field)
  - RF heating
  - Magnetic susceptibility artifacts
- Retained metallic foreign bodies should be assessed by CT or radiography prior to MR to determine the following:
  - Size- affects magnitude of forces
  - Geometry- affects propensity of RF heating (coiled object)
  - Proximity to critical anatomy- vasculature, eloquent territory, nerves, spinal cord
Ballistic Foreign Bodies

- Lead is not ferromagnetic
- Bullet fragments may contain ferromagnetic components from steel or copper alloys of the jacket
- Foreign bodies from pellet gun injuries may also be ferromagnetic
- Retained fragments related to combat or terrorism injuries usually contain ferromagnetic components
- Heating of ballistic fragments has not been shown to be clinically significant
- Static magnetic field effects on ferromagnetic ballistic fragments may affect subsequent forensic analysis
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