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Evaluating the Outcomes of a Tobacco Cessation Training for Military Medical Providers in Primary Care

Kaitlin Duckett, M.S., Chase Aycock, M.A., Ryan Kajipski, Ph.D., Melissa Little, Ph.D., Daniel Cassidy, Ph.D., David Marks, M.A., Jillian Bailie, M.A., Britany Russell, M.A., & G. Wayne Talcott, Ph.D.
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Abstract

- Opportunities to intervene with current tobacco users in the patient-centered medical home (PCMH) are at once exciting and under-exploited.
- Military service members use tobacco products at a rate greater than that of their civilian counterparts, and corresponding reductions in health, productivity, and performance are harmful both for the service member, and for the military mission.
- While efficacious and effective, behavioral, pharmacotherapy, and multi-modal interventions for tobacco cessation are underutilized by both medical providers and patients. Previous research suggests that this is attributable to some combination of other-than-optimal clinician training and insufficient organizational support.
- It follows that improving clinicians' comfort with, and confidence in, their ability to discuss treatment options with patients will increase the percentage of tobacco users who ultimately will consent to, and derive benefit from, interventions that work.
- This study examined outcomes of a brief educational module consistent with the principles of motivational interviewing (MI), and designed to increase provider comfort with, and confidence in, their ability to discuss with patients the possibility of making a quit attempt.

Methods

- Data derive from 14 providers working at a large primary care clinic on Joint Base San Antonio (Table 1).
- Researchers delivered a 1-hour, in-person training designed to increase provider comfort with, confidence in, and inclination toward use of a brief conversational strategy for tobacco cessation.
- Pre- and post-training questionnaires were used to assess utility of the training.

Figure 1. Provider Sentiment Regarding Tobacco Cessation Counseling

![Figure 1](image_url)

Table 1. Demographics

| Age | 52.9 ± 16.21 |
| Years Experience | 13.1 ± 6.7 |
| Female (%) | 57.1 |
| Provide smoking cessation resources at baseline (%) | 85.7 |
| Believed patients were receptive to conversations about tobacco use (%) | 42.9 |

Findings

- Pre- and post-test values reflecting provider confidence and comfort in facilitation of a brief conversation with tobacco users not ready to quit were compared through paired samples t-tests (Figure 1).
  - There was no significant change in provider confidence from pre-test ($M=2.77, SD=0.72$) to post-test ($M=2.89, SD=0.75$), $t(12) =.291$, $p=.776$.
  - There was no significant change in provider comfort from pre-test ($M=2.77, SD=0.60$) to post-test ($M=2.92, SD=0.64$), $t(12) =-1.477$, $p=.165$.

Conclusion

- Prior to administration of the training, 35.7% of participants were 'extremely confident' in their ability to facilitate a brief conversation with smoker not ready to quit, and more than half (57.1%) were 'very comfortable' with providing smoking cessation resources to such patients.
- Following the intervention, participants indicated similarly high levels of confidence and comfort.
- These data suggest that brief education is not, in itself, sufficient to improve provider confidence in, or comfort with, brief discussion of tobacco cessation with smokers not ready to quit.

Future Directions

- Research demonstrating the dose of training at which there exists a clinically meaningful shift in providers' comfort with, confidence in, and inclination to engage with tobacco users not yet ready to quit.
- Longitudinal assessment of provider behavior following such training, as a shift in behavior may manifest antecedent to attitudinal changes expressed in terms of purported comfort or confidence.
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3. STUDY DESIGN

**Purpose of Study:** List the broad, long-term objectives of the study. Use non-technical terms, as much as possible.

The purpose of the current project is to evaluate an intervention aimed at increasing medical providers' referrals to tobacco cessation resources and interventions.

**Hypotheses, Research Questions, or Objectives:** State the specific hypotheses, research questions, or objectives you wish to test.

The following hypotheses will be tested:

- **Hypothesis 1 (H1)** The motivational interviewing (MI) intervention will meaningfully improve providers' comfort with, confidence in, and (self-reported) inclination to use tobacco cessation referral resources (including medications).
- **Hypothesis 2 (H2)** The MI intervention will meaningfully increase provider referrals to tobacco cessation resources.

**Significance:** State the importance and health relevance of the research. State practical application(s). Significance is often demonstrated using numbers of patients affected, cost of care, impact on quality of life, etc.

In a 2014 AAAHC inspection, facilities in the San Antonio Military Health System facilities failed to provide adequate evidence of patient flow pathways which foster utilization of available tobacco cessation treatment efforts throughout the patient centered
medical home (PCMH). There is a tremendous need to design processes within PCMH to increase the likelihood of referral for and treatment of health-risk behaviors such as tobacco use. Such efforts are specifically pertinent in the military medical system as evidenced by a survey published by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) in 2011 in which 24% of military personnel reported currently smoking, compared to 19% of the civilian population.1 This same survey found that 12.8% reported using a smokeless tobacco product in the last month, a rate four times that of the general population. Tobacco use among American service members is an important factor that can negatively influence military readiness, performance, and productivity with significant financial costs. It estimated the DoD spends an average of 1.6 billion treating tobacco related comorbidity among active duty military personnel (e.g. medical costs, hospitalizations).4 Tobacco cessation interventions, although readily available, are frequently underutilized by both medical providers and patients. This appears in part due to lack of effective clinician training, follow-up, and organizational support. Therefore, maximizing clinician training in tobacco cessation via interactive continuing education activities to increase use of interventions (e.g. prescription use, referral behaviors) is essential to reduce tobacco use in the military.2, 3
Military Relevance: With regard to military needs and mission requirements, provide a brief justification for the research.

As above, there is a tremendous need to design processes within PCMH to increase the likelihood of referral for and treatment of health-risk behaviors such as tobacco use. Such efforts are specifically pertinent in the military medical system as evidenced by a survey published by the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD) in 2011 in which 24% of military personnel reported currently smoking, compared to 19% of the civilian population. This same survey found that 12.8% reported using a smokeless tobacco product in the last month, a rate four times that of the general population. Tobacco use among American service members is an important factor that can negatively influence military readiness, performance, and productivity with significant financial costs. It estimated the DoD spends an average of 1.6 billion treating tobacco related comorbidity among active duty military personnel (e.g., medical costs, hospitalizations).

Background and Review of Literature: Briefly describe the background leading to the present study. Critically evaluate existing knowledge and identify the gaps that the project is intended to fill.

Continuing medical education (CME) training is a common way for medical professionals to learn new skills or obtain information and didactic trainings are among the most common method of imparting these skills or information to medical professionals. Although didactic trainings are among the most common type of training, they are arguably not overly effective in changing physician behaviors. Growing research indicates that engaging in interactive CMEs, can enhance the efficacy of CMEs, particularly for tobacco cessation information. Effective interactive CMEs include use of participant feedback, provider reminders, personal involvement in training, and providing providers with educational materials. We will provide an initial training for military medical providers on tobacco cessation medications, provide printed materials for their use with patients, and provided an educational follow-up. We will follow prescription trends for the months following each of these interventions in order to ascertain the efficacy of the training.

Bibliography: Cite 5-10 references (within the past 2-3 years) – more for complex studies.


4. RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

Research Design and Step-by-Step Methods: State in detail how the research is designed to answer the hypotheses/research questions/objectives. Define what measurements (operational definitions — independent and dependent variables) the study will evaluate to answer the research questions/hypotheses/objectives.

The proposed study is quantitative in nature. Our research team will assess approximately 14 primary care providers at an outpatient military treatment facility, the CPT Jennifer M. Moreno Primary Care Clinic (Moreno clinic) on Joint Base San Antonio, Fort Sam Houston. The goal of this study is to investigate the effect of tobacco cessation training and receipt of associated materials for two hypotheses using the following methods:

- H1 – Anonymous self-reported survey data was collected before and after the motivational interviewing intervention.
- H2 – Utilization of tobacco cessation resources will be assessed before and after the MI intervention using the sources listed in 4.6.1.

a. Interventions, Observations, or Data Sought: Briefly describe what data (not the source of the data) will be observed or sought, or what interventions will be performed. For example, a chart review might seek “the blood pressures taken at clinic check-in,” or “the dose of drug X used to treat nausea.” For an educational study, an example might be “Number of XYZ procedures performed by the residents and the corresponding test scores on the written examination.”
We will use the following data to measure the stated outcomes:

- **H1** – Provider’s self-reported comfort with, confidence in, and inclination to use tobacco cessation referral resources.
- **H2** – Provider tobacco intervention behavior:
  - Prescription of FDA-approved tobacco cessation medications, as documented in the electronic medical records for each provider in attendance (will be pulled by the DHA using the National Provider Identifier number for each provider who participated in the intervention)
  - Referrals to the Freedom Quit Line which derive from a provider participate in this intervention
  - Referrals to the integrated primary care behavioral health providers
  - Referrals to the on-base tobacco cessation group

**b. Data Collection and Processing:** Briefly discuss who will collect the data and how it will be collected (structured medical record review, structured questionnaire, extracted from database). For record reviews, also describe how the data of interest will be identified for possible inclusion. For example “All charts will be manually screened at the end of each day for records of interest,” or “the database will be searched for all records with a diagnosis of chest pain.” All data gathered must be anonymous and not contain any personal identifying information that can be used to identify a subject.

We met face-to-face with the providers in the primary care clinic for the interventions. We explained the purpose of the interventions, entertained questions, and administered the interventions and surveys.

- **H1** – Researchers met face-to-face with the providers. Providers completed anonymous pre- and post-intervention surveys as feedback for program development/evaluation purposes (see C.4.6.1 and C.4.6.2).
- **H2** – We met face-to-face with the providers and explained the intervention and entertained questions prior to gathering provider tobacco intervention data (see C.4.6.1 and C.4.6.2).

**c. Setting:** Briefly describe the locations or institutions for the research subjects during the period of interest. Generally speaking, this is the location the raw data will first be generated by the subjects. For example, in a study of medical records it would be the physical location of the patients at the time of diagnosis or treatment. For educational studies list the location of the students at the time they will be studied, and for surveys, list the locations the survey will be targeted (e.g., “emailed to the subject’s home.”)

If applicable, provide information on collaborative efforts with other researchers. Collaborative protocols should clearly delineate the responsibilities among the various institutions or groups. Example: Associates at the University of Texas will analyze blood specimens in their lab as their part of the study. (This does not include fee for services, gifts or grant support.) Provide a letter of support from the institution(s) outlining collaborative support.

Participants are primary care medical providers at the Moreno clinic.

**d. Date(s):** Specify the period of research interest. This should be the beginning and ending dates from which the raw data was generated. For record reviews, this is the inclusive dates of the medical encounters being studied. For educational and survey studies this is the period of subject involvement.

Oct 2016 – Oct 2018
e. **Source of Research Material**: Indicate how the research material(s) you plan to use meets the requirements of the Exempt category you selected above. (Insert "N/A" in this section for pure bench research studies – no human involvement). Identify the sources of research material you will use in your study. For studies using existing data/specimens, outline how you will collect data without recording patient identifiers or use codes.

- **H1**: A brief, anonymous assessment survey before and after the original intervention was completed by providers in attendance.
- **H2**: Provider utilization on tobacco cessation interventions will be gathered at time points of 3-months prior to the training, 3 months after the initial training was provided, and 3 months following the booster intervention (administered 3 months after the initial training) using a variety of sources (see 4.6.1).

**Complete the table.** Identify the sources of material obtained from individually identifiable living human subjects. Of the total number of procedures to be used, list the number obtained as standard practice and the number obtained for research purposes only. Include what existing database, records, or specimens will be used. Add rows as needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source of Research Material per Participant (Procedures)</th>
<th># Routine Care</th>
<th># Research Driven</th>
<th># Total Procedures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-post intervention surveys</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prescribing pattern data from DHA (3 month periods)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Referral source data from Freedom Quit Line (3 month periods)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
<td><strong>20</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If this is a primary record review, specify where the records are located or which systems they are stored on. Primary records are used for diagnosis, treatment, and form the legal medical record. They always contain patient identifiers.

N/A

If this is a secondary source, specify the database being used. Secondary sources contain extracts derived from primary records. They may or may not contain patient identifiers and this should be specified.

- DHA will generate provider prescription reports through Pharmacy Analytics Support and will be de-identified.
- Freedom Quit Line will provide referral sources for the specified periods of time.

If this is a survey or educational study, specify the instrument used to collect data. Attach a copy for IRB review.

N/A

For all record/database studies:
1. Attach a copy of the record abstracting worksheet or specify the data fields examined in the database, and
2. Include the statement: "Only records or database entries in existence at the time of study approval will be examined in this study. All data will be recorded by the investigator in such a manner that subjects cannot be identified directly or through identifiers or codes linked to the subjects."

f. **Subjects**: Briefly describe the sample population being studied. Specify if any special populations (e.g., pregnant women, children, military basic trainees, prisoners, detainees) are included or excluded. Of note, research on prisoners, including detainees, does **not** qualify under expedite rules. State any relationship the PI or Al has, had, or will have with these subjects (e.g., "some subjects were the PI's patients," or, "subjects are the Al's patients." **NOTE**: See 45 CFR 46, Subparts B-D; and 32 CFR 219.101(2)(i).

Participants are 14 medical providers employed at the Moreno clinic. The PI's and Al's have no conflicting relationships with the participants, nor do they intend to establish a conflicting relationship with the participants. All participation will be completely voluntary.

g. **Inclusion/Exclusion Criteria**: Describe the characteristics of the target subject population, including their anticipated age range and health status. If exclusion criteria are based on race, gender, or age for other than obvious reasons (i.e., disease state: sickle cell anemia, breast cancer, prostate cancer), specific justification for exclusion of these groups is required.

Participants are any credentialed medical providers at the Moreno Clinic. No exclusionary criteria are based on race, gender, or age.

Inclusion criteria include:
- Must be a medical provider capable of referring patients for tobacco cessation interventions.

There are no exclusionary criteria beyond the inverse of the inclusionary criteria.
5. HUMAN SUBJECTS PROTECTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recruitment and Consent Processes: Describe how subjects will be initially approached, recruited and the circumstances under which consent will be sought and obtained.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We were invited to conduct the aforementioned interventions at the Moreno clinic by the medical leadership with the stated goal of increasing tobacco intervention behavior. All data are archival in nature and de-identified when extracted by DHA for the purposes of these analyses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H1</strong> – As part of the initial intervention in Oct 2016, anonymous surveys were administered to the 14 medical providers in attendance. The anonymous feedback does not include personally identifiable information.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>H2</strong> – No personally identifiable information will be included in analyses or reports from the archival data gathered from DHA and therefore no informed consent is indicated.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. DATA ANALYSIS

Data Analysis Plan: Describe what data (outcome measures) will be compared for each research question, and by what method (statistic or methodology, as appropriate). Be specific. Consult the statistician at the 59 MDW Clinical Research Division at 210-292-7295, as early as possible.

- H1 – We will compare anonymous survey results (pre- and post-training) used paired-sample t-tests to determine the effectiveness of the training on provider confidence, comfort, and (self-reported) inclinations to utilize techniques for tobacco cessation interventions.
- H2 – We will compare prescription patterns and referral behaviors before and after the tobacco cessation training and booster session using t-tests to assess whether the training and booster sessions (respectively) altered provider (tobacco cessation) behavior.
- Descriptive statistics absent of personally identifiable and in aggregate information will be calculated for all data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Records/Specimens: (if applicable)</th>
<th># Used at 59 MDW</th>
<th># Used at BAMC</th>
<th>TOTAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. LOCAL AND EXTERNAL SUPPORT SERVICES

Local and External Support Services: If local or external support services are required, attach the “Local and External Support Services Document” and a letter of support from each internal and/or external support service. If the proposed protocol is a joint institutional collaboration, letters for support services are required from each facility. Include only information on areas of support needed. If not applicable, state “None”.

DHA, Freedom Quit Line, UVA

8. INTRAMURAL (GME) AND EXTRAMURAL FUNDING SUPPORT

Intramural (GME) and Extramural Funding Support: Specify source (e.g., CRADA, contract, cooperative agreement, Material Transfer Agreement, Technology Transfer Agreement, funding incentives for subject enrollment, gifts, grants, etc.) and amount, as applicable. If not applicable, state “None”. If intramural (GME) or extramural funding support is required or is being provided for the study, attach a copy of the approved funding source document and fill-out the “Intramural and Extramural Funding Support Document”.

None.

9. MEDICAL RESEARCH AREA

Select all that apply:

- [ ] Analytical Chemistry
- [ ] Anatomy
- [ ] Anesthesiology
- [ ] Biochemistry
- [ ] Cardiovascular Surgery
- [ ] Cardiology
- [ ] Cell Biology
- [ ] Dentistry
- [ ] Dermatology
- [ ] Dietetics
- [ ] Electrophysiology
- [ ] Endocrinology
- [ ] Emergency medicine
- [ ] Gastroenterology
- [ ] General Surgery
- [ ] Hematology
- [ ] Histology
- [ ] Immunology/Allergy
- [ ] Infectious Disease
- [ ] Hematology
- [ ] Molecular Biology
- [ ] Neonatology
- [ ] Neurology
- [ ] Neurosurgery
- [ ] Nursing
- [ ] OB/GYN
- [ ] Occupational Medicine
- [ ] Occupational Therapy
- [ ] Oncology
- [ ] Ophthalmology
- [ ] Oral/Maxillofacial Surgery
- [ ] Orthopedics
- [ ] Pathology
- [ ] Pediatrics
- [ ] Pharmacology
- [ ] Physical Therapy
- [ ] Pediatrics
- [ ] Preventive Medicine
- [ ] Psychiatry
- [ ] Radiology/Imaging
- [ ] Urology
- [ ] Wellness
- [ ] Other (specify): Prevention

10. ATTACHMENTS

(EXAMPLES - Include as many as appropriate. Delete those that do not apply.)

1. Form A – Signature Sheet
2. Form I – De-identification Certification
3. Local and External Support Services Document
4. Intramural and Extramural Funding Support Document
5. HIPAA and Consent Waiver and Alteration Form
59th Medical Wing Institutional Review Board

6. PI Curriculum Vitae (dated in the last 12 months)
7. PI Copy of Certificate for IRB-approved Investigator CITI training