FOR: JONATHAN WOODSON, M.D., ASSISTANT SECRETARY OF DEFENSE (HEALTH AFFAIRS)

SUBJECT: Battlefield Trauma Care Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Priorities 2011-01

BACKGROUND

1. Tactical Combat Casualty Care (TCCC) is a set of trauma care guidelines customized for use on the battlefield. TCCC is currently used in training for medics by all Services in the Department of Defense and by many U.S. coalition partners.

2. The Committee on Tactical Combat Casualty Care (CoTCCC), a working group of the Defense Health Board (DHB) Trauma and Injury Subcommittee, performs a quarterly review of current evidence demonstrating the successes and shortcomings of the TCCC Guidelines, and considers proposed updates and revisions.
   a. An urgent need to improve the evidence base for trauma care has been reported in the literature. Due to the lack of opportunities to perform randomized controlled trials on the battlefield, challenges arise in maintaining these best practice guidelines for the combat environment.
   b. The CoTCCC makes the best possible use of available evidence and defines targeted studies to help fill critical knowledge gaps.

3. During its meeting held in November 2010, the CoTCCC recommended a list of research, development, testing, and evaluation (RDT&E) priorities, subsequently endorsed by the Trauma and Injury Subcommittee during its November 2010 meeting.

4. The Board reviewed the findings and proposed RDT&E priorities recommended by the Trauma and Injury Subcommittee, and approved them by unanimous vote on March 8, 2011.

FINDINGS

Non-Compressible Hemorrhage Control – Follow-Up Tranexamic Acid Studies

5. Hemorrhagic shock is the leading cause of potentially preventable death resulting from trauma in the U.S. military population. Approximately 15 to 20 percent of traumatic deaths within the U.S. military are preventable; of these, 66 to 80 percent occur from hemorrhage.

6. Systemic antifibrinolytic agents are used to mitigate blood loss and the need for transfusion or re-operation due to continued or recurrent hemorrhage. In efforts to identify optimal
methods of achieving timely control of non-compressible hemorrhage and mitigating trauma-induced coagulopathy, the comparative performance of antifibrinolytic agents has been examined.

a. The findings of the Clinical Randomization of Antifibrinolytic in Significant Hemorrhage (CRASH-2) were published in July 2010. CRASH-2 was a large international randomized placebo-controlled trial that examined traumatic hemorrhage with a focus on the effects of the early administration of tranexamic acid on death, vascular occlusive events, and blood transfusion requirements in trauma patients with significant hemorrhage. Trauma patients who received tranexamic acid demonstrated a significant decrease in mortality without any increase in venous occlusive events either accompanied by or at risk of significant bleeding.

b. However, critical research questions remain, particularly for patients without a diagnosed hyperfibrinolytic state. Additional focused research is needed that includes clotting parameter measurements and better adverse events reporting.

7. The use of procoagulants such as factor VIIa has been controversial, with the lifesaving benefit of enhanced hemostasis weighed against the potential for increased venous occlusive events.

8. Tranexamic acid is a low-cost, FDA-approved agent.

9. The potential benefit and optimal use of tranexamic acid are not yet completely defined. Recently, a CRASH-2 sub-group analysis found that tranexamic acid produced the greatest decrease in mortality when administered within one hour, a less pronounced benefit when administered between one and three hours, and an increase in mortality when administered after three hours.

Tactical Damage Control/Hypotensive Resuscitation Studies
- German Freeze-Dried Plasma Experience
- Prospective Study Using Food and Drug Administration-Approved Plasma Alone for Prehospital Resuscitation Fluid in Patients with Non-Compressible Hemorrhage
- Medical Emergency Response Team 1:1 Packed Red Blood Cells:Plasma Experience

10. Current TCCC Guidelines recommend the use of a 1:1 ratio of plasma and packed red blood cells (pRBCs) for fluid resuscitation during Tactical Evacuation (TACEVAC) Care.

a. The British Medical Emergency Response Team (MERT) routinely administers PRBCs and plasma in a 1:1 ratio during TACEVAC. However, comparative analyses have not been conducted regarding outcomes from the MERT experience outcomes using Hextend® alone during evacuation.

b. The administration of blood products during Tactical Field Care and TACEVAC by pre-hospital personnel entails significant training and logistical costs.
11. Freeze dried plasma (FDP) was identified at the January 8-9, 2011 U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research (USAISR)-Medical Research and Materiel Command (MRMC) Fluid Resuscitation Conference as the most promising agent for damage control resuscitation under circumstances in which FDA-approved blood products are unavailable, such as when Special Operations Forces (SOF) medics provide casualty care in very remote locations where evacuation may be delayed for several hours or days. FDP was encouraged as a top research priority among the subject matter experts at this conference.

a. United States Special Operations Command (USSOCOM) is considering options to obtain a FDP product for use by medics in theater. The use of pooled plasma has been discontinued in the United States due to risk of infection.

b. Although its use is appropriate on TACEVAC platforms, thawed fresh frozen plasma is not suited for inclusion for medic equipment on the battlefield.

c. German military forces have been using FDP alone for pre-hospital fluid resuscitation in patients with non-compressible hemorrhage. Deutsches Rotes Kreuz Blutspendedienst West manufactures an FDP (LyoPlas N) that is blood typed; type “AB” can be administered if the recipient’s blood type is unknown. Donors are re-tested at four months for human immunodeficiency virus, syphilis, hepatitis B and C, and parvovirus. This product costs $100.00 per unit and must be buffered before administered.

i) Published data are currently unavailable that would document the efficacy and safety of LyoPlas in the pre-hospital trauma setting.

ii) Attempts at establishing an investigational new drug (IND) status for further research have been hindered since the manufacturer’s announcement of intending not to seek FDA approval.

d. A non-FDA approved, universally compatible, buffered, leukocyte reduced FDP product is used by French military forces. The units are prepared from a pool of 10 donors who are re-tested for infection before the product is released. The cost of this product is approximately $800.00 per unit.

i) The French manufacturer has expressed interest in offering this product for sale in the United States.

e. HemCon Medical Technologies, Incorporated is currently developing an FDP product for FDA approval; however, it is still in Phase 1 of clinical trials. This product is currently anticipated to be ready for fielding in 2015.

f. It is important to note that the consideration of this issue should not be limited to the Iraq and Afghanistan Areas of Operation.

g. An important step in defining the potential benefit of FDP is to evaluate the benefits of plasma without accompanying PRBCs. Thus, there is a significant need for a high-
priority prospective study to be initiated in United States. Emergency Medical Service (EMS) systems use FDA-approved plasma alone as the sole pre-hospital resuscitation fluid for patients with non-compressible hemorrhage and/or hemorrhagic shock.

i) In order to better translate the findings of this study to the military setting, the study should preferably be done in EMS systems that have relatively long pre-hospital evacuation times. The pre-hospital resuscitation fluid choice may be less likely to alter outcomes in patients with only a 10 or 15-minute transport time to the hospital.

**Improved Battlefield Analgesia – Ketamine**

12. Oral transmucosal fentanyl (OTFC) is very effective in treating pain from combat trauma in theater; however, its use is currently limited, partly due to the FDA warning restricting its indication for use to opioid-tolerant cancer patients.

a. However, the FDA Black Box warning is not well supported by the published literature for the TCCC-recommended dose of 800 mcg. In addition, the FDA warning refers to prescribing information, not to the supervised administration of OTFC by a trained combat medic.

b. The military experience documented to date has shown OTFC to be safe and effective in relieving pain on the battlefield.

c. Notwithstanding, there are casualties who do not respond well to the recommended dose of OTFC.

d. Additionally, all opioids carry the known risk of cardiopulmonary depression.

13. Ketamine has been used in combat medicine as a pre-hospital analgesic for trauma patients, not only due to its effectiveness, but also its stable hemodynamic profile and lack of causing respiratory depression.

a. However, central nervous system side effects and recent studies demonstrating the adverse effects of ketamine on intracranial pressure and cerebral blood flow, contributed to recommendation against its use for patients with brain injury. However, the alternative opioid analgesics may also cause respiratory depression, hypoxia, and worsening of TBI.

b. As noted above, whereas narcotic analgesics such as OTFC could cause cardiorespiratory depression, ketamine could also lead to emergence dysphoria.

c. Additional data are needed regarding the benefits and risks of using ketamine for pre-hospital analgesia in trauma patients to include the experience of MERT with this agent.
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Pre-Hospital Care Documentation and Databasing

14. At present, the documentation of in-theater pre-hospital trauma care is inconsistent and incomplete, and is often not transferred to either unit-based pre-hospital trauma registries (such as that pioneered by the 75th Ranger Regiment) or to a trauma system registry (such as the Joint Theater Trauma Registry (JTTR)).

Enhanced Electronic TCCC Training

15. TCCC-specific training is critical for those who provide in-theater care for Service members.

16. Since TCCC Guidelines are updated frequently, periodic TCCC training is required to ensure that providers are equipped with the most recent evidence-based best practices.

17. Enhanced methods are currently lacking for the transfer of combat trauma management skills to deploying personnel, as well as for ensuring that trauma management informatics are available to deployed medical personnel whenever and wherever they need it.

Truncal Tourniquet

18. Combat trauma that causes hemorrhage in junctional areas (groin and axilla) may be difficult to control with tourniquets and Combat Gauze™.

19. In August 2010, the FDA approved a prototype truncal tourniquet, the Combat Ready Clamp™, designed to assist in controlling external junctional hemorrhage within the tactical environment. The current price is $300.00 per unit. Although well-designed to minimize weight and cube space requirements for the medic, data are lacking that document the efficacy of this device in eliminating distal pulses on extremities, the ability of users to apply it effectively, and the outcomes from its use in pre-hospital trauma management.

Use and Outcomes Data for Individual Elements of TCCC

20. Variability regarding in-theater compliance with existing TCCC Guidelines and pre-hospital trauma interventions have been observed and reported. For example, at the January 8-9, 2011 U.S. Army Institute of Surgical Research (USAISR)-Medical Research and Materiel Command (MRMC) Fluid Resuscitation Conference, multiple attendees indicated that Lactated Ringer’s solution or normal saline is being used rather than Hextend® for the majority of in-theater large volume fluid resuscitation procedures.

a. Studies such as those performed by Kragh on tourniquet use are essential in documenting the success or failure of recommended TCCC interventions and identifying areas for improvement.

b. An observational TriService study is currently underway at USAISR that is examining pre-hospital trauma care interventions conducted in OPERATION IRAQI FREEDOM (OIF) and OPERATION ENDURING FREEDOM (OEF). The aim of the study is to
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identify whether an indicated intervention was or was not carried out; if an intervention that was not indicated was provided; and, how well the intervention was performed. The results of this study will highlight potential areas for TCCC training improvement

**Monitor-Driven Pre-Hospital Fluid Resuscitation**

21. Existing pre-hospital fluid resuscitation techniques have the potential to be both harmful and beneficial; therefore, the data to support specific fluid resuscitation protocols need to be stronger. The results of several existing projects may result in a better definition of optimal volumes to be used in fluid resuscitation.

   a. An evaluation of benefits associated with using electronic physiological monitors to better identify trauma patients who require pre-hospital fluid resuscitation is currently underway at Memorial Hermann Hospital in Houston, Texas, the results of which would also assist in fluid volume titrations.

   b. A study is being conducted at USAISR in order to determine whether changes in arterial pulse wave character may foretell impending cardiovascular decompensation.

**Comparison Testing of Celox™ Gauze, Combat Gauze™, and ChitoGauze™**

22. Several new hemostatic agents/dressings have become available since Combat Gauze™ was introduced several years ago. Although there have been favorable reports from both pre-hospital and in-hospital use of Combat Gauze™, it would be useful to compare the new agents to Combat Gauze™ in the consensus bleeding model developed at USAISR to gain an understanding of their relative efficacy.

**Comparison Testing of New Tourniquets**

23. Since 2005, new tourniquets have been developed and existing ones modified. Although there have been favorable reports from both pre-hospital and in-hospital use of Combat-Application-Tourniquet® (C-A-T®), SOF® Tactical Tourniquet (SOF®TT), and pneumatic Emergency Medical Tourniquet (EMT), it would be useful to compare the new tourniquets to these currently-fielded devices.

   a. Kragh’s studies of pre-hospital tourniquet use in OIF and OEF document its effectiveness in saving lives without causing preventable limb loss from tourniquet induced ischemia. Whether tourniquets should be used for massive hemorrhage control is no longer an issue; however, specific aspects of their use, such as identifying an optimal tourniquet for the battlefield environment, remain valid questions.

**Surgical Airway Training Methods**

24. Surgical airways have been shown in multiple case presentations at CoTCCC meetings and Joint Theater Trauma System (JTTS) Trauma Teleconferences to be the most technically difficult pre-hospital trauma skill to train and sustain.
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25. Comparison studies of different training modalities used to teach this skill are needed. Live tissue training should be included in the training modalities evaluated. Proposed “gold standards” for skills mastery are the ability to successfully perform a surgical airway on a human cadaver and the time spent in accomplishing this task.

a. Reports from both JTTS and Armed Forces Medical Examiner System (AFMES) have indicated multiple episodes of surgical airways being performed incorrectly.

b. Following a review of cricothyroidotomy training methods used in the four-day TCCC course taught at Naval Medical Center Portsmouth, the following five training gaps were identified: 1) limited airway anatomy instruction; 2) lack of "hands-on" human laryngeal anatomy demonstration; 3) non-standardized technique for the surgical airway procedure; 4) inferior anatomic detail in the cricothyroid membrane region on mannequins used to teach the cricothyroidotomy procedure; and 5) lack of standardized refresher training frequency.

Clinicopathological Review of Every U.S. Combat Fatality in Iraq and Afghanistan

26. Current process improvement efforts in pre-hospital care suffer from a lack of comprehensive data regarding the incidence of potentially preventable fatalities on the battlefield and how they might have been prevented.

a. The Armed Forces Medical Examiner performs autopsies on all U.S. Service members killed in theater. Information obtained from autopsy records for all fatalities in the current conflicts could assist in determining the causes of death and which deaths were potentially preventable.

b. A study conducted by Holcomb examined the causes of death among SOF during the Global War on Terror (GWOT) between 2001 and 2004, while a similar study conducted by Kelly compared injury severity and causes of death during OIF and OEF from 2003 to 2004, with those during 2006.

i) The findings of these studies demonstrate the potential for saving additional lives among our combat casualties through improvements in care; however, they only address a small fraction of the total fatalities sustained in the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. The remaining fatalities have yet to be reviewed and examined for preventable deaths.

Optimal Management of Traumatic Brain Injury in TCCC

27. The incidence of traumatic brain injury (TBI) among combat casualties has increased dramatically in recent years. JTTR data indicate that 19 percent of patients had incurred a traumatic head injury. In addition, more patients are surviving injuries that include severe neurologic disabilities due to improvements in personal protective equipment (PPE) and trauma care.
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28. The prevention of secondary brain injuries, and the presentation of complex combat-related injuries, including polytrauma, severe hemorrhage, and long evacuation times, present added complications to treating combat casualties with TBI.

a. There may be opportunities to improve TBI outcomes beyond current TCCC practice to emphasize hypoxia and hypotension prevention among casualties who incur both polytrauma and TBI, (for example the aggressive management of trauma-related coagulopathy).

Impact of TACEVAC Provider Level and Skill Sets on Survival

29. The transport of patients with serious casualties from the point of injury (POI) to the first medical treatment facility (Tactical Evacuation Care) is a critical step in the continuum of care.

a. Favorable combat casualty outcomes depend in part on the clinical skills and resources available for casualty management during TACEVAC. The complexities of providing in-flight care for polytrauma casualties are daunting and require a provider skilled in trauma management to achieve best outcomes.

b. There are at least three models of evacuation platform staffing in use in the United States Central Command (USCENTCOM) Area of Operations at present: the MERT model, which includes an emergency medicine consultant; U.S. air ambulance platforms with flight paramedics; and, U.S. air ambulance platforms with 68 Whiskey (Emergency Medical Technician-Basic (EMT-B) enhanced flight medics.

c. A recent study indicated a positive effect on survival when critical-care trained flight paramedics rather than EMT-B flight medics were present on evacuation aircraft.

d. Determining the optimal model for TACEVAC platform staffing would require an analysis of casualty outcomes obtained to date and the different provider levels employed.

Hypothermia Prevention Equipment Comparative Studies

30. Current TCCC Guidelines recommend the Ready-Heat Blanket™ and Heat-Reflective Shell™ for the hypothermia prevention among combat casualties. However, new and improved technologies for hypothermia prevention are currently being developed. To date, a program dedicated to providing ongoing evaluations of these technologies as they evolve has not been established.

Combat Medic/Corpsman/PJ Equipment Evaluations

31. Formal data are lacking regarding the experience of seasoned combat medics/corpsmen and Pararescuemen (PJs) with the battlefield trauma care equipment they, carry, despite nine years into the current conflicts.
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32. Equipment after-action evaluations conducted through such venues as the biannual refresher training for Special Operations Forces (SOF) medics offered at the Joint Special Operations Medical Training Center and for arriving new instructors at the U.S. Army Medical Department, Department of Combat Medic Training, would allow for quantitative evaluations and specific comments about the merits of currently fielded combat medical equipment to be obtained from individuals who are experienced in using these items for in-theater casualty care.

Focused Analysis of JTTR Data Regarding Specific TCCC Interventions

33. The JTTR serves as a data repository capturing information for every serious casualty event in OIF and OEF, from the point of injury to patient outcome. These data permit evaluations that address various issues such as the effectiveness of current combat casualty care practices and help ensure that the TCCC Guidelines reflect the most up to date information and knowledge.

34. Analysis of JTTR data may yield valuable insight regarding either successes or deficiencies related to current TCCC Guidelines.

a. Examples of such studies are the airway study recently performed by LTC Robert Mabry, Director of the Prehospital Division of the Joint Theater Trauma System, in regard to surgical airways, and the tourniquet studies performed by COL John Kragh at ISR.

Veres Needle for Needle Thoracostomy

35. The Veres Needle is a spring-loaded needle used in laparoscopic surgical procedures that is designed to allow entry into body cavities without trauma to underlying organs.

36. Data are needed regarding its use for needle thoracostomy that will help determine whether it reduces the potential for iatrogenic injury during needle decompression of tension pneumothorax.

Improved Suction Devices

37. Combat medics have voiced the need for improved suction devices for battlefield use. Several tactical suction devices are currently available; however, their performance has not been comparatively assessed.

Improved TCCC Training Metrics

38. Current metrics used to assess the effectiveness of various training methodologies (including presentations, buddy practice, simulators, and Live Tissue Training) have not been shown to adequately address the full range of skills required in TCCC, to include both technical skills such as establishing surgical airways, and cognitive skills, such as decision-making in a complex tactical casualty scenario.
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**Spinal Cord Protection in Casualties with Suspected Spine Injury in Tactical Settings**

39. The increased incidence of IED attacks in Iraq and Afghanistan has produced an increasing number of spinal injuries. Advancements in PPE and vehicle armor, as well as improved TCCC techniques and surgical care, have contributed to increased survival rates among casualties who incur spinal trauma.

40. Current Advanced Trauma Life Support protocols indicate that spinal precautions should be in place when a casualty has sustained trauma from a significant mechanism of injury likely to damage the cervical spine.

   a. In hostile environments, adherence to these precautions may place pre-hospital medical teams at significant or prolonged risk. Current techniques used to manage suspected spinal cord trauma are not well-supported by the literature, especially for penetrating trauma.

   b. In addition, cervical collars may hide potential life-threatening conditions.

41. Additional data are required regarding tactically-appropriate techniques that should be used by combat medics when moving casualties with suspected spinal cord injuries on the battlefield. The benefit of current techniques for spinal cord protection during pre-hospital transport of casualties with suspected spinal fractures are not well supported by existing data.

**Enhanced Pelvic Protection in PPE**

42. Deployed forces currently sustain severe blast injuries to the pelvic, urogenital, and perineal areas, predominantly from dismounted IED blasts. IED explosions have significantly increased as a mechanism or cause of injury, and have contributed to greater injury severity as well as number of simultaneous injuries.

43. Historically, injuries to the genitalia account for 0.5 to 4.2 percent of all war injuries. In 2007, a retrospective review was conducted of casualties who incurred trauma to the external genitalia during OIF, and found that patients with these injuries composed 4.7 percent of all combat casualties, in line with historical data.

44. However, the incidence of dismounted complex blast injuries has increased significantly since January 2010 in the Afghanistan Theater of Operations (ATO), particularly multiple amputations and genital injuries.

   a. Both genitalia injury and amputation rates for evacuated Marines have increased among patients admitted to Landstuhl Regional Medical Center (LRMC) between January 2009 and December 2010, particularly between June and December 2010.

      i) Within this period, amputation rates increased by 200 percent over baseline among this population, with an increase of 295 percent in double amputation rates over
baseline. Of all LRMC admissions, 38 percent received an amputation, most of which were significantly disabling high proximal injuries.

ii) Genital injuries increased 175 percent over baseline during this time.

iii) Typically not fatal, these injuries result in severe complications, including long-term urinary, hormonal, and sexual dysfunction, as well as concomitant acute psychosocial distress.

45. Data are currently needed that would facilitate the identification of optimal interventions to protect the genital region while minimizing additional weight and discomfort to the combatant.

CONCLUSION

46. Battlefield trauma care research performed by the U.S. military should focus on issues that possess the greatest potential benefit for U.S. casualties. Since the greatest percentage of fatalities occur in the pre-hospital phase of care, this should be an area of increased emphasis.

RECOMMENDATIONS

47. The Board advises the Department to endorse the following high-priority medical RDT&E issues and forward them to the Armed Services Biomedical Research and Evaluation Management Committee and Service Surgeons General as high priority RDT&E efforts for improving battlefield trauma care. Note that some projects are ongoing at present. There is no intent to prioritize this list of research topics in regard to other DoD research efforts.

a. Non-Compressible Hemorrhage Control: Follow-Up Tranexamic Acid Studies

i) Follow-up studies should be conducted to determine the benefits and risks of using tranexamic acid for trauma patients with non-compressible hemorrhage.

b. Tactical Damage Control/Hypotensive Resuscitation Studies

i) The German experience with FDP should be documented in both the pre-hospital and hospital settings, since it would help to define the potential benefits that might be obtained by the use of this agent in the pre-hospital setting by U.S. Forces.

ii) A prospective study should be conducted using FDA-approved plasma alone for pre-hospital resuscitation fluid for patients with non-compressible hemorrhage.

a) This study would provide a basis for judging the benefit to be gained from fielding a dried plasma product when one becomes available in the U.S.
b) Because the primary use of dried plasma alone in a military setting would be in a delayed evacuation scenario, this study would best be done in EMS systems that have relatively long pre-hospital evacuation times. Innovations in pre-hospital resuscitation fluids may be less likely to improve outcomes with the short 10 or 15-minute transport time seen in many urban trauma centers in the United States.

iii) With British MERT teams routinely giving PRBCs and plasma in a 1:1 ratio during TACEVAC, the outcomes from their experience should be studied and compared to outcomes using Hextend alone during evacuation.

c. Improved Battlefield Analgesia: Ketamine

i) Additional case series detailing the benefits and risks of using ketamine for pre-hospital analgesia in trauma patients are needed, to include the experience of the British MERT with this agent.

d. Pre-Hospital Care Documentation and Databasing

i) Research and transition efforts are needed to aid in the capture of battlefield trauma care rendered and the transfer of this information to both unit-based pre-hospital trauma registries, such as that pioneered by the 75th Ranger Regiment, and to a trauma system registry, such as the JTTS's JTTR.

e. Enhanced Electronic TCCC Training

i) Training to encompass enhanced methods for accomplishing combat trauma management skills transfer to deploying personnel to include: presenting tactical medical personnel with complex casualty scenarios to help develop sound tactical decision-making skills, e-training designed to teach physicians and nurses the principles of TCCC, and information technology to make trauma management informatics available to deployed medical personnel whenever and wherever they need it.

f. Truncal Tourniquet Studies

i) Studies documenting the efficacy of truncal tourniquets as well as the ability of users to apply it effectively are needed. Case series describing outcomes from using this device in pre-hospital trauma management would also be useful.

g. Use and Outcomes Data for Individual Elements of TCCC

i) Studies concerning tourniquet use are essential to documenting the success or failure of recommended TCCC interventions and identifying areas for improvement.
h. Monitor-Driven Pre-Hospital Fluid Resuscitation

i) Pre-hospital fluid resuscitation has the potential to cause both harm and benefit. Stronger data are needed to support specific fluid resuscitation protocols.

i. Comparison Testing of Celox™ Gauze, Combat Gauze™, and ChitoGauze™

i) Although there have been favorable reports from both pre-hospital and in-hospital use of Combat Gauze™, it would be useful to compare the new agents to Combat Gauze™ in the consensus bleeding model developed at USAISR to gain an understanding of their relative efficacy.

j. Comparison Testing of New Tourniquets

i) A comparative analysis should be conducted between currently-fielded tourniquets (C-A-T®, SOF® TT, and pneumatic EMT) with new tourniquets.

k. Surgical Airway Training Methods

i) Comparison studies of different training modalities used to teach this skill are needed. Live tissue training should be included in the training modalities evaluated.

l. Clinicopathological Review of Every U.S. Combat Fatality in Iraq and Afghanistan

i) A multidisciplinary team to include trauma surgeons, forensic pathologists, and combat medics should review AFME autopsy records for all fatalities in the current conflicts in order to determine the causes of fatality and potentially preventable deaths.

m. Optimal Management of Traumatic Brain Injury in TCCC

i) Studies should be conducted that better define optimal airway and fluid resuscitation management for casualties with polytrauma in addition to their TBI, in order to provide the potential to enhance both survival and the clinical outcomes.

n. Impact of TACEVAC Provider Level and Skill Sets on Survival

i) Determination of the optimal model for TACEVAC platform staffing should follow an analysis of the outcomes obtained to date using these different options.

o. Hypothermia Prevention Equipment Comparative Studies

i) An ongoing program should be established to evaluate new hypothermia prevention technologies as they evolve.
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p. Combat Medic/Corpsman/PJ Equipment Evaluations

i) Equipment after-action evaluations could be conducted through such venues as the biannual refresher training for United States SOF medics at the Joint Special Operations Medical Training Center and for arriving new instructors at the Army Department of Combat Medic Training. It would enable both quantitative evaluations and specific comments regarding the merits of currently fielded combat medical equipment to be obtained from individuals with experience using these items.

q. Focused Analysis of JTTR Data Regarding Specific TCCC Interventions

i) Analysis of the information contained in the trauma system trauma registry may yield valuable insights about the success or deficiencies of the current TCCC Guidelines.

ii) Examples of such studies are the recent study by LTC Bob Mabry regarding surgical airways and the tourniquet studies published by COL John Kragh.

r. Veres Needle for Needle Thoracostomy

i) Studies using this needle for needle thoracostomy should be conducted to determine if it reduces the potential for iatrogenic injury during needle decompression of tension pneumothorax.

s. Improved Battlefield Tactical Suction Devices

i) A market survey should be conducted and followed by testing of the currently available tactical suction devices.

t. Improved TCCC Training Metrics

i) A better definition of “gold standard” used to evaluate training methodologies (including presentations, buddy practice, simulators, and Live Tissue Training) for TCCC-recommended interventions and skills should be established. These metrics should include decision-making, cognitive training, and technical skill-building.

u. Spinal Cord Protection in Casualties with Suspected Spine Injury in Tactical Settings

i) Research should be conducted and data collected regarding tactically-appropriate techniques for combat medics to use when moving these casualties on the battlefield.

v. Enhanced Pelvic Protection in Personal Protective Equipment

i) Research should be carried out that would identify options to protect the pelvic region, while minimizing additional weight and discomfort to the combatant. Although not a clinical issue, it is highly recommended for review and appropriate
SUBJECT: Pre-Hospital Battlefield Trauma Care Research, Development, Test and Evaluation Priorities 2011-01

action by those responsible for oversight and development of personal protective equipment.

48. The Board recommends the Department track the research regarding these priority issues and provide regular updates concerning their status to the DHB.

49. The above recommendations were unanimously approved.

FOR THE DEFENSE HEALTH BOARD:

Nancy Dickey, M.D.
DHB President

Donald Jenkins, M.D.
Chair, Trauma and Injury Subcommittee

Frank K. Butler, M.D.
Chair, Committee on Tactical Combat Casualty Care
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