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Solar eruptions—coronal mass ejections (CMEs), flares, and prominence eruptions—have been conceptualized as processes consisting of quasi-static build-up and ensuing rapid release of stored magnetic energy, usually attributed to “some” catastrophic loss of equilibrium or instability. This possible catastrophic transition to an eruptive state has been studied using idealized two-dimensional (2-D) structures such as linear arcades\(^1\)–\(^3\) and flux ropes\(^4\)–\(^7\). The kink instability is most often invoked as the cause of the onset of eruptions. The early calculations used infinitely long straight flux ropes as an idealized model of large-aspect ratio flux ropes\(^8,9\), but extensions to straight flux ropes of finite length with line-tied ends have been made\(^10,11\).

Recent observations and theoretical calculations have shown that CMEs and eruptive prominences are consistent with being expanding toroidal magnetic flux ropes based on both morphological and dynamical properties\(^12\)–\(^14\), and observed CMEs are now interpreted as such\(^15,16\). The physical processes involved in the onset of flux-rope eruption have attracted renewed interest\(^17\)–\(^19\). Kliem and Török\(^18\) proposed the so-called “torus instability” (TI) as the mechanism that leads to the onset and acceleration of flux-rope CMEs. This condition has been widely used to interpret observations with some ambiguous results\(^19,20\).

Laboratory experiments were recently conducted to simulate the expansion of partial toroidal pinches with stationary footpoints that may be scalable to solar flux ropes\(^21,22\). The former studied the expansion of quasi-stationary flux ropes through a background plasma in response to injection of plasma and poloidal flux\(^21\), and the latter showed that the flux ropes can exhibit the so-called “failed” or “confined” eruption\(^22\). In such events on the Sun, prominence eruptions stop after reaching, say, 200–300 km s\(^{-1}\), becoming quasi-stationary at new heights\(^23,24\). In Myers et al.’s work\(^22\), the cessation of the eruption was attributed to a transient surge in the tension force of the toroidal (guide) field. They compared their results to the TI model and noted that the model lacks a toroidal magnetic field and its tension so that it cannot model failed eruptions on the Sun or in the experiment. By extension, this also raises issues regarding the TI onset condition based on unbalancing forces. More broadly, they identified this as an essential deficiency in the current theoretical CME models. Indeed, there is as yet no theory to self-consistently model slow pre-eruption evolution leading to eruption of CME-like flux ropes under quasi-static build-up of coronal magnetic energy, the long-standing paradigm of solar eruptions.

This paper presents the first theoretical model—a single consistent set of flux-rope equa-
FIG. 1. Solar flux rope. The footpoints are stationary \((S_f = \text{const})\). The current channel of major radius \(R\) and minor radius \(a\) has toroidal \((I_t)\) and poloidal \((I_p)\) currents, producing self-field components, \(B_p\) and \(B_t\), respectively. \(\theta\) is measured from the footpoint and \(Z\) from Sun center.

tions of motion—to describe as one continuous dynamical process the quasi-static flux rope evolution, catastrophic loss of equilibrium and onset of eruption, cessation of eruption, and the post-eruption quasi-equilibria. The solutions show that the three-dimensional (3-D) flux rope is stable to kink. Motivated by Ref.\(^{22}\), the forces and their evolution throughout the process are quantified.

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the model configuration with the following features. It is a toroidal flux rope embedded in the corona, with the two footpoints separated by \(S_f = \text{const}\). Here, “toroidal” refers to a section of a torus. The flux rope is defined by a current loop (major radius \(R\) and minor radius \(a\)) and its magnetic field. The centroid of the apex is at height \(Z\) (from Sun center). Major radius \(R(\theta)\) is taken to be uniform along the flux rope, but \(a(\theta)\) varies with \(\theta\): at the apex, \(a(\theta = \pi) = a_a\), and at the footpoints, \(a(\theta_f) = a_f\). If unsubscripted, \(a = a_a\) is understood.

The current channel carries toroidal and poloidal currents, \(I_t\) and \(I_p\), producing \(B_p\) and \(B_t\), respectively, via Ampere’s law. The current is localized within the channel, \(\text{i.e., } \mathbf{J}(r \geq a) = 0\), where \(r\) is the minor radial coordinate. Thus, \(I_t \equiv 2\pi \int_0^a J_t(r)r\,dr\) and \(I_p \equiv 2\pi R \int_0^a J_p(r)\,dr\), with \(B_{pa} \equiv B_p(r = a) = 2I_t/ca\). Outside the current channel \((r > a)\), \(B_p(r) \sim B_{pa}a/r\). By fiat, the flux rope is taken to be limited to \(r \leq 2a\), beyond which \(B_p(r) \sim B_{pa}/2\) may be too weak to be distinguished from the ambient field. Representative magnetic field “lines” are drawn. The toroidal flux is \(\Phi_t = 2\pi \int_0^a B_t(r)r\,dr \equiv \pi B_t a^2\), and \(\Phi_t = \text{const}\) is assumed,
where $B_t$ is the minor radial average.

The flux rope has average internal pressure $\bar{p}$, embedded in the corona of pressure $p_c(Z)$ and magnetic field $B_c(Z)$. Here, $B_c$ is perpendicular to the plane of the flux rope. These system parameters have been defined elsewhere\textsuperscript{25}. There can be an external toroidal component $B_{ct}$, which will not be treated because of space limitation and the need to introduce a spatial model $B_{ct}(Z)$.

The ideal MHD force density is given by $f = (1/c)J \times B - \nabla p + \rho \nabla \phi_g$, $\rho$ is mass density, and $\phi_g$ is the gravitational potential. The volume-integrated major radial force per unit length at the apex is given by\textsuperscript{25,26}

$$M \frac{d^2Z}{dt^2} = \frac{\Phi_p^2(t)}{c^4 L^2} f_R(t) + F_d + F_g,$$

where $M \equiv \pi a^2 \bar{\rho}$ is the mass per unit length, and

$$f_R(t) \equiv \ln \left( \frac{8R}{a} \right) + \frac{\beta_p}{2} - \frac{B_t^2}{2B_{pa}^2} + \frac{2R}{a} \frac{B_c}{B_{pa}} - 1 + \frac{\xi_i}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Here, $\beta_p \equiv 8\pi(\bar{p} - p_c)/B_{pa}^2$ is pressure gradient ($\partial p/\partial r$) integrated over $a$. The minor radius $a(t)$ is governed by

$$M \frac{d^2a}{dt^2} = \frac{I_t^2}{c^2a} \left( \frac{\bar{B}_t^2}{B_{pa}^2} - 1 + \beta_p \right). \quad (3)$$

In equation (1), $\Phi_p(t) \equiv cL(t)I_t(t)$ is the poloidal flux of the flux rope, so that $\Phi_p^2/c^4 L^2 = I_t^2/c^2$. Neglecting $F_d$ and $F_g$ for now, the equation can be written as

$$\frac{d^2Z}{dt^2} = \frac{R}{\tau_R} f_R,$$

where $\tau_R \equiv R/V_{Ap}$ with $V_{Ap} \equiv B_{pa}/(4\pi \bar{\rho})^{1/2}$. Thus, the acceleration timescale is the Alfvén transit time $\tau_R$. For the main acceleration phase, $\tau_R$ depends on the initial equilibrium values of $\bar{\rho}_0$ and $B_{pa0}$.

The toroidal geometry enters the equations via the constraint

$$R(t) = \frac{\tilde{Z}^2(t) + S_f^2/4}{2\tilde{Z}(t)}, \quad (5)$$

where $\tilde{Z} \equiv (Z - R_{\odot})$. That is, at any time, $R(t)$ is determined by $S_f = \text{const}$ and apex height above the photosphere $\tilde{Z}(t)$. The self-inductance $L(t)$ relates the current $I_t$ to the poloidal energy $U_p = (1/2)L I_t^2$ and is given by\textsuperscript{27}

$$L(t) = \frac{4\pi \Theta R}{c^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left[ \ln \left( \frac{8R}{a_f} \right) + \ln \left( \frac{8R}{a_a} \right) \right] - 2 + \frac{\xi_i}{2} + \Delta \right\} \quad (6)$$
where $2\pi\Theta(t)R(t)$ is the length of the evolving flux rope and $\Theta(t)$ is the fraction of a complete circle. The additive quantity $\Delta(t)$ is a geometrical factor and is determined by how $a(\theta)$ increases from $a_f$ to $a_a$, with $\Delta(t) = 0$ for $a(\theta) = \text{const}$ or $a(\theta) \sim \exp[(\theta - \theta_f)/s]$, where $s = \text{const}$. We will use exponential dependence so that $\Delta(t) = 0$. For CME-like flux ropes with linear $a(\theta)$, $|\Delta| \simeq 0.1-0.3$.

The drag force per unit length is modeled by

$$F_d = 4c_d\rho_c a\Delta V|\Delta V|,$$

(7)

where $\Delta V \equiv V_c - (V + 2w)$, $V \equiv dZ/dt$ is the speed of the centroid of the apex at $Z(t)$, $w(t) \equiv da(t)/dt$, and $c_d$ is the drag coefficient. The term $(V + 2w)$ is the speed of the outermost magnetic surface of the flux rope ($r = 2a$) and arises from the definition of the leading edge (LE), $Z_{LE} = Z + 2a$ (Figure 1), so that $V_{LE} = d(Z + 2a)/dt = V + 2w$. The values of $c_d$ suitable for coronal and solar wind applications have been found to be in the range of 0.1–3 in an MHD simulation study, and $c_d = 1$ is used in the calculations here.

The terms in $f_R$ were originally derived by Shafranov for axisymmetric tokamak equilibria in vacuum. Equations (1) and (3) were applied to the dynamics of non-axisymmetric solar flux ropes with $S_f = \text{const}$ and constraint (5), including drag coupling to the ambient corona. The hoop force for arbitrary $a/R \ll 1$ was later rigorously derived. The hoop force is now familiar in the solar context.

The initial coronal loop is defined by footpoint separation $S_f$, apex height $Z_0$, and aspect ratio $R_0/a_0$, with average internal pressure $\bar{p}_0$. Major radius $R_0$ is calculated from $S_f$ and $Z_0$. The structure is in equilibrium with coronal pressure $p_{c0} = p_c(Z_0)$ and overlying magnetic field $B_{c0} = B_c(Z_0)$. Given the initial geometry and coronal parameters $p_{c0}$ and $B_{c0}$, the equilibrium force balance conditions, $d^2Z/dt^2 = 0$ and $d^2a/dt^2 = 0$, are imposed. These conditions determine the initial equilibrium field $B_{p0} \equiv B_p(r = a|t = 0)$

$$B_{p0} = 2\left(\frac{R_0}{a_0}\right)[\Lambda_0 + \beta_{p0} - 4\pi m_i R_0 g_0(\bar{n}_0 - n_{c0})] |B_{c0}|,$$

(8)

so that $B_{p0} \propto (R_0/a_0)|B_{c0}| > |B_{c0}|$. Here, $\Lambda_0 \equiv \ln(8R_0/a_0) - (3/2) + (\xi_i/2)$. Equation (3) yields the toroidal field $\tilde{B}_{i0}^2 = (1 - \beta_{p0})B_{p0}^2$. Thus, $B_p$ and $\tilde{B}_t$ both critically depend on the toroidal geometry ($R/a$). With $B_c \neq 0$, it is possible to choose a force-free initial equilibrium. Thus, we will use $\beta_{p0} = 0$ in which case $\tilde{B}_{i0} = B_{p0}$. The structure, however, is not assumed to evolve force-free, i.e., $\beta_p(t) \neq 0$ for $t > 0$. 

5
The discussion below will treat a CME-like flux rope to illustrate the theory in real units so that the theoretical results may be directly related to observations. The initial flux rope is specified by \(S_f = 1 \times 10^5\) km, \(Z_0 = 8.0 \times 10^4\) km, and \(R_0/a_0 = 2\) (\(a_0 = 2.8 \times 10^4\) km), giving \(R_0 = 5.6 \times 10^4\) km. The coronal parameters at \(Z = Z_0\) are \(B_{c0} = -4.0\) G and \(p_{c0} = 0.32\) dyn cm\(^{-2}\). With \(\bar{T}_0 = T_{c0} = 2 \times 10^6\) K, we have \(\bar{n}(0) = 5.8 \times 10^8\) cm\(^{-3}\). These values yield \(B_{pa}(0) = \bar{B}_{t0} = 8.64\) G, so that \(\tau_R = R_0/V_{Ap0} = 72\) sec with \(V_{Ap0} = 783\) km s\(^{-1}\). The total mass of this flux rope is \(M_T = 1.1 \times 10^{15}\) g. The initial poloidal flux is \(\Phi_p(0) = 7.4 \times 10^{20}\) Mx (cgs) and \(\Phi_t = 2.1 \times 10^{20}\) Mx.

We now slowly increase \(\Phi_p\), i.e., the magnetic field “twist,” by specifying \(d\Phi_p(t)/dt\) as shown in Figure 2a. The maximum rate is \((d\Phi_p/dt)_{max} = 5.8 \times 10^{15}\) Mx s\(^{-1}\), which is sustained for approximately 40 hours, with \(\Phi_p(t = 60) \approx 2.3\Phi_{p0}\). This is designated as the reference profile (solid curve “1”). The injection rate is “slow” on the acceleration timescale \(\tau_R\), satisfying \((d\Phi_p/dt)_{max} \ll (\Phi_{p0}/\tau_R) \approx 10^{19}\) Mx s\(^{-1}\). As \(\Phi_p(t)\) increases, the terms in \(f_R\)
become unbalanced \( (f_R > 0) \), and the flux rope rises. Panels 2b and 2c show the evolution of the flux rope. The solution shows that the apex of the flux rope \( Z \) slowly rises until about \( t = 36 \) hrs when it starts to erupt. This eruption, however, “fails” to sustain itself after reaching the peak speed of \( V \approx 200 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). The apex velocity \( V(t) \) for this solution is shown in panel c (curve “1”). The value of \( \Phi_p \) remains virtually unchanged during the eruption. Post-eruption, the flux rope reverts to quasi-equilibrium evolution at greater heights and tends to a new equilibrium as \( d\Phi_p/dt \rightarrow 0 \). The full-width-at-half-maximum (FWHM) duration of the velocity peak is \( \Delta t \approx 30 \text{ min} \).

Another solution was obtained for the same initial flux rope subject to a faster rate of flux injection (“2”, panel a): \( (d\Phi_p/dtd)_{max} = 7.0 \times 10^{15} \text{ Mx s}^{-1} \). The pre-eruption rise is slightly faster, reaching the same critical point earlier. The apex speed is shown in Figure 2c (dashed, “2”). Except for the shift in time, the profile of \( V(t) \) is indistinguishable from that of solution 1. The eruption is insensitive to the value of \( d\Phi_p/dt \) provided \( (d\Phi_p/dtd)_{max} \ll \Phi_{p0}/\tau_R \). This is because \( \tau_R \) does not depend on \( d\Phi_p/dt \). Profile “3” (dotted) has a slower rate \( (d\Phi_p/dtd)_{max} = 4.2 \times 10^{15} \text{ Mx s}^{-1} \). The apex velocity profile, labeled “3” (dotted) in Figure 2c is again unchanged except that \( Z_{crt} \) is reached later. The same profile of failed eruption is obtained as the rate \( (d\Phi_p/dtd)_{max} \) is made smaller (not shown).

Figure 3 shows the magnitude of the external magnetic field \( |B_c(Z)| \) (solid curve), which produces a downward force \( (I_t B_c) \) so that the peak in \( |B_c(Z)| \) represents an effective potential barrier. The initial apex position of the flux rope, \( Z_0 \approx 1.11 R_\odot \), is indicated by the open circle. This shows that the flux rope, which is stable to major radial expansion, must overcome the barrier. As \( \Phi_p \) increases slowly, the flux rope rises (Figure 2b). The solid circle indicates \( Z_{crt} \), height of the “onset” of the eruption, which is arbitrarily chosen to be...
FIG. 4. Dynamics during the failed eruption. (a) \( V(t) \) for solutions “1” (solid) and “2” (dashed). Curve “1” magnified by 100 (dotted). Diamond: \( V = 1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). (2) Forces. \( F_{hf} \): hoop force. \( F_{Bc} \): \( I_t B_c \). \( F_{tens} \): tension, the \( \dot{B}_t^2/B_{pa}^2 \) term in equation (2). The net force \( F_{tot} \) is magnified by 50.

when \( V = 5 \text{ km s}^{-1} \) is reached. For solution 1, \( Z_{crt} = 1.20 R_\odot \), which occurs at \( T_{crt} = 36.1 \text{ hr} \). To show the relationship of \( V \) to \( B_c(Z) \), the apex velocity is shown as a function of \( Z \) (dashed, right vertical axis). The onset height \( Z_{crt} \), well past the peak of \( |B_c| \), is the same for all three solutions shown in Figure 2c.

The apex velocity \( V(t) \) and the forces on the flux rope are shown on an expanded timescale in Figure 4. The curves marked “1” (solid) and “2” (dashed) are the respective curves in Figure 2c. \( T_{crt} \) for solution 1 is marked. Figure 4a also shows velocity curve “1” multiplied by 100 (dotted). The open diamond designates the time before which \( V < 1 \text{ km s}^{-1} \). This is much earlier than \( t = T_{crt} \). Figure 4b shows the various force terms in equation (1): the hoop force \( F_{hf} \) arises from \( J_t B_p \), tension \( F_{tens} \propto -B_t^2/|B_{pa}| \) from the self field \( B_t \), \( F_{Bc}(<0) \) from \( I_t B_c \) term, and \( F_p \propto \beta_p \) from \( dp/dr \neq 0 \). The net major radial force is denoted by \( F_{tot} \). The initial equilibrium (\( F_{tot} = 0 \)) is established by \( F_{hf} = -(F_{Bc} + F_{tens}) \), with \( F_{tens} \) playing a relatively minor role. Because \( \beta_{p0} = 0 \) is chosen, \( F_{p0} = 0 \) at \( t = 0 \). Except during the eruption, \( F_d \) is negligible because \( \Delta V \approx 0 \) in equation (7). Gravity is negligible.

Figure 5 shows forces on an expanded vertical scale. The net force \( F_{tot} \) driving the failed eruption is a relatively small imbalance between \( F_{Bc} \) and \( F_{hf} \) (Figure 4b), but the post-
FIG. 5. Evolution of forces. All forces are shown in real units. $F_{\text{tot}}$ includes all terms in equation (1), coupled to equation (3). $|F_p|$ increases during the eruption, establishing a new non-force-free equilibrium, $F_p \neq 0$. $F_{\text{Be}}$ rapidly vanishes before $t = 36.6$ and, for clarity, is not shown.

eruption equilibria are established by $F_{hf} = -(F_p + F_{\text{tens}})$ with comparable $F_p$ and $F_{\text{tens}}$ and $F_{\text{Be}} \approx 0$. (For clarity, $F_{\text{Be}}$ is not shown; it becomes negligible—$|F_{\text{Be}}| \ll |F_{\text{tens}} + F_p|$—by $t \approx 36.6$ hrs.) Although the initial flux rope is force-free ($F_{J \times B} = F_p = 0$), it becomes strongly non-force-free ($F_p \sim F_{\text{tens}}$). Thus, it is essential to include the $\beta_p$ term in the equation of motion. Note that $F_{p0} = 0$ at $t = 0$ is possible because $B_c \neq 0$. If $B_c = 0$, then $F_p \neq 0$ is necessary for equilibrium\textsuperscript{32}.

The expansion prior to and after the failed eruption is quasi-static: it can be made arbitrarily slow by taking the limit $(d\Phi_p/dt)_{\text{max}} \to 0$ and increasing the duration of flux injection. The three solutions in Figure 2c illustrates this limiting process. Given $B_c(Z)$ and $p_c(Z)$, the velocity and timescale $\tau_R$ are independent of how the limit is taken (Figure 4a), being determined by the intrinsic flux rope properties such as the geometry and self magnetic field. The transition to eruption is \textit{catastrophic} in that $\tau_R$ is finite.

Numerical simulations of the evolution of arcades under “slow” driving of footpoints have been carried out\textsuperscript{33–35}. In such studies, the lower boundaries are placed at the base of the corona, and for numerical tractability, the footpoints are driven much faster than the photospheric speeds of observed magnetic features (e.g., up to tens of kilometers per second in the above simulations). To the extent that large coronal structures and photospheric magnetogram features (e.g., active regions) nearly co-rotate, such high speeds on the scale of eruptive arcades would require comparably fast speeds the photosphere. These simulations
show coronal response on the Alfvén transit time similar to $\tau_R$. In these simulations, the onset of eruption depends on various “tether cutting” processes via reconnection, which dynamically reduces the effective potential barrier.

To examine the kink stability of the flux rope with no guide field, we apply the Kadomtsev condition based on an energy principle, which yields the eigenfrequency:

$$\omega^2 = V_{Ap}^2 k^2 \left[ 1 - \Gamma^2(t) \ln \left( \frac{1}{ka} \right) \right],$$

where $\Gamma(t) \equiv B_{pa}(t)/\bar{B}_t(t)$ is the characteristic magnetic pitch and $k$ the toroidal wavenumber. The flux rope is stable if $\omega^2 > 0$. For the longest wavelength between the stationary footpoints, we have $1/ka = \Theta R/a$. Figure 6 shows $\Omega^2(t) \equiv \omega^2/V_{Ap}^2 k^2 > 0$ (solid). This shows that the flux rope is stable to the kink ($\Omega^2 > 0$) at $t = 0$ and throughout the quasi-equilibrium expansion. The flux rope evolves to a more stable configuration (more positive $\Omega^2$) during the failed eruption. There is no external toroidal guide field ($B_{ct} = 0$).

Also plotted in Figure 6 is magnetic pitch $\Gamma(t)$ (dashed); it decreases during the eruption but is otherwise virtually constant. This is equivalent to tension, $B_t(t)/B_p(t) = \Gamma^{-1}(t) \sim \Theta R/a$, increasing relative to the hoop force. Both render the flux rope even more kink stable. These two effects are coupled, due to a number of dynamical effects: $R(t)$ and therefore $L(t)$ increase so that $I_t(t) \propto 1/L \sim 1/R(t)$ decreases; $a(t)$ expands rapidly, causing $B_p \propto I_t/a$ and $\bar{B}_t(t) \propto \Phi_t/a^2(t)$ to decrease; $F_{hf}$ does work against $F_{\text{tens}}$ during the expansion, equation (1), so that $B_t$ gains energy from $B_p$. The expansion in $a(t)$ causes $\bar{p}(t)$ to decrease independently of $p_c(Z)$, and $F_p \propto \beta_p$ becomes nonzero (non-force-free). These dynamical quantities evolve self-consistently according to equations (1) and (3), which determine the inductance $L(t)$.
and therefore the evolution of the magnetic field. This is a fully 3-D ideal MHD process.

The above discussion shows that the minor radial dynamics $a(t)$ are fundamental to the evolution of major radial forces. Figure 7 gives the dynamics of $a(t)$ and $R(t)/a(t)$, where $a(t)$ and $R(r)$ are determined by coupled equations (1)–(6). It shows that $a(t)$ (solid) rapidly expands during the failed eruption while $R(t)/a(t)$ (dashed) undergoes a slight decrease when $V(t)$ peaks: $a(t)$ expands faster than $R(t)$ during this time. Past this point, $a(t)$ and $R(t)/a(t)$ both increase, showing that major radial expansion dominates again. Throughout the process, $R(t)/a(t) \neq \text{const}$. This is in contrast to the assumption of $R(t)/a(t) = \text{const}$ in the TI model\textsuperscript{18}, which is not made in the calculations of Ref.\textsuperscript{31}. The nontrivial variation in $R(t)/a(t)$ shows that it is essential to solve the coupled equations (1) and (3).

The eruption described above is consistent with failed eruptions on the Sun\textsuperscript{23,24}. The velocity profile in Figure 4a resembles the observed profile reported in Figure 2e of Ref.\textsuperscript{24}, including a damped oscillation. The FWHM duration $\Delta t$ of the velocity peak is several minutes\textsuperscript{23} to a few tens of minutes\textsuperscript{24}. The failed eruption was interpreted as “probably due to the strong strapping field.” The strength of this field is not measured but should be weaker at the new height. For the model flux rope, the strapping field $B_c$ vanishes, and it is $\vec{B}_t$ and $dp/dr$ that provide the equilibrium.

For the flux ropes in the experiment\textsuperscript{22}, Myers et al. analyzed the forces in terms of integrated quantities as in equations (1) and (3). In one regime, the flux ropes stabilized against the kink by a sufficiently strong toroidal guide field exhibited failed eruption, returning to nearly the original height after the eruption. They observed a transient surge in the toroidal guide field and its tension force during the eruption, which was identified as the cause of the failed eruption. Based on their analysis and the lack of toroidal fields in the TI equation, Myers et al. concluded that the TI model—by extension other models with no toroidal fields—cannot explain the laboratory or observed failed eruptions, or the onset condition. The TI equation is a special case of equation (1) with $\beta_p = 0$ and $B_t = 0$. In this limit, both $F_{\text{tens}}$ and $F_p$ are absent, the two forces that determine the failed eruption and subsequent equilibria. This is also reflected in the fact TI solutions do not exhibit any oscillations that are often observed\textsuperscript{24}. The forces and the length $S_f = \text{const}$ in the experiment better correspond to those in equations (1)–(5) than the TI equation.

It is noted that no external guide field ($B_{ct}$) or strapping field ($B_c$) is required to confine the eruption: the forces involved in the failed eruption and the subsequent non-force-free
equilibrium discussed herein are due to self fields and pressure gradient.

The results of the present work are consistent with the argument of Myers et al. that toroidal tension force is the cause of failed eruptions\textsuperscript{22}. There are, however, significant differences. The model system has no conducting vessel nor an external magnetic field. The self \(B_t\) and its tension exhibit no surge during the eruption, and the equilibrium flux rope organized by self fields and ambient pressure is kink stable. Indeed, pressure force, \(F_p \propto \beta_p\)—not considered in TI and interpretations of observations and the experiment results—is comparable to \(F_{\text{tens}}\). In the experiment, a conversion of \(\Phi_p\) to \(\Phi_t\) was inferred. This implies the presence of non-ideal or inductive effects. In the theory, there is no flux conversion because \(\Phi_t = \text{const}\), but \(B_p\) does work on \(B_t\). The surge detected in the experiment is attributed to the interaction between the expanding flux rope and the applied guide field. This interaction may involve the conducting wall (e.g., image currents) and external circuit. Assessment of such laboratory factors is identified as an open question for further work.

There is an ambient medium \(p_c \neq 0\) so that \(\beta_p < 0\) in the post-eruption equilibria. The dynamics shown in Figure 4 are critically determined by the self fields \(B_t(t), B_p(t)\), and pressure gradient \(dp(t)/dr\), with the drag force being significant only during the eruption. The model flux rope reaches a new equilibrium height while the flux ropes in the experiment return to the initial positions. In observed failed eruptions, prominences typically do not return to the initial heights.

The TI model defines the onset condition for an axisymmetric torus with no footpoints using the so-called decay index \(n \equiv -[(Z/B_c)dB_c/dZ]\_\text{crit}\). In the experiment, the failed eruptions occupy the \(n-q\) parameter space region, \(0.8 < n < 4\) (TI unstable) and \(0.8 < q < 1.8\) (kink stable), where \(q \equiv (a/\Theta R)\bar{B}_t/B_{pa}\) is the safety factor. Because of the different form
of $B_c(Z)$ and force terms in the TI equation, there are no directly comparable quantities here. Nevertheless, formally, the above quantities are $q \approx 0.5$ and $n \approx 2$ for the present flux rope. Here, the characteristic value of $q$ is based on $B_t$ because there is no external guide field. The TI prediction is $n_{cr} \approx 0.8$. The difference arises from the toroidal magnetic tension force $F_{tens}$ due to the self field $B_t$. The onset condition given by the more general treatment$^{31,37}$, having $F_p \neq 0$, $F_{tens} \neq 0$, and $S_f = \text{const}$, are applicable to the model and solar flux ropes.

An observed failed filament eruption$^{23}$ was simulated using an MHD model$^{38}$. The initial structure is a kink-unstable flux rope with fixed footpoints. There is an applied toroidal field specified by a fictitious subphotospheric line current. The structure is assumed to be force-free. The simulation, with increased diffusion to prevent numerical instabilities, shows that the kink instability grows and then saturates, which is attributed to (numerical) reconnection above the flux rope. No evolution to the unstable initial state is given. The failed eruption here is non-force-free and kink stable, and requires no reconnection. Nevertheless, the major radial forces (without the external field) should be describable by equations (1) and (3).

There are several straight 2-D models of catastrophic transition$^{5–7}$. They typically use force-free ($F_p = 0$) cylindrical flux ropes with no internal toroidal field, in which the upward force arises from the repulsion from photospheric image currents. In 3-D flux ropes, the force from the photospheric return current is insignificant relative to the hoop force unless $Z/S_f \ll 1^{30}$. There is no 2-D counterpart of the major radial forces.

The paper has presented the first theoretical calculation of quasi-static evolution, catastrophic loss of equilibrium, failed eruption, and re-establishment of new toroidal equilibrium. The driver of the evolution is a quasi-static increase in the poloidal magnetic energy ($\propto \Phi_p^2$). Physically, this may arise from slow differential twist in the footpoints or changes in the current below the photosphere. The key findings are: (1) an initial force-free flux rope evolves into a non-force-free flux rope maintaining kink stability and (2) the onset of eruption, cessation of the eruption, and the post-eruption equilibria are critically determined by the toroidal self field and pressure gradient, i.e., by $F_{hf} - (F_{tens} + F_p)$, which have been neglected in previous theoretical models. The rapid decrease in $F_{hf}$ is governed by $L(t) \propto R(t) \ln(R/a_f)$. The model dynamics are idealized but are fully 3-D, with equations (1)–(8) coupling the features in Figure 1.
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