GPS-denied indoor mobile mapping has been an active area of research for many years. With applications such as historical preservation, entertainment, and augmented reality, the demand for both fast and accurate scanning technologies has dramatically increased. In this project, we developed two algorithmic pipelines for GPS-denied indoor mobile 3D mapping using an ambulatory backpack system. By mounting scanning equipment on a backpack system, a human operator can traverse the interior of a building to produce a high-quality 3D reconstruction. In each of our presented algorithmic pipelines, data from a number of 2D laser scanners, a camera, and an IMU is...
ABSTRACT

GPS-denied indoor mobile mapping has been an active area of research for many years. With applications such as historical preservation, entertainment, and augmented reality, the demand for both fast and accurate scanning technologies has dramatically increased. In this project, we developed two algorithmic pipelines for GPS-denied indoor mobile 3D mapping using an ambulatory backpack system. By mounting scanning equipment on a backpack system, a human operator can traverse the interior of a building to produce a high-quality 3D reconstruction. In each of our presented algorithmic pipelines, data from a number of 2D laser scanners, a camera, and an IMU is fused together to track the 3D position of the system as the operator traverses an unknown environment. This project presents a number of novel contributions for indoor GPS-denied 2.5 and 3D mobile mapping using a number of 2D laser scanners, a camera, and an IMU. First, for 3D mapping we develop a tightly coupled EKF estimator for fusing data from all sensors into a single optimized 3D trajectory. By formulating each sensor's contributions independently, we demonstrate a modular algorithm that easily scales to an arbitrary number of 2D laser scanners. In contrast to existing work that either assumes a known fixed map or limits the environment to a set of axis aligned planes, we demonstrate the ability to map environments containing horizontal and vertical planes of arbitrary orientation with no a priori information. Additionally, through timing and complexity analysis, we demonstrate that the runtime of the proposed EKF estimator is only linear in the acquisition time. Secondly, by including in our EKF estimator the laser scanner's spatial and temporal calibration parameters, we present a novel laser calibration methodology. Through simulated and real-world data, we validate that the proposed algorithms are capable of calibrating both the extrinsic and temporal misalignments present in our system's laser data. Lastly, we address the scalability of the proposed approach by utilizing a graph optimization post processing step that overcomes any accumulated drift in the EKF estimator. We then validate the proposed 3D end-to-end localization system using 3 multi-story datasets collected from real-world environments. The system's reconstructions are compared against CAD drawings of the buildings and are shown to achieve an intersection over union of over 96% on all datasets. Lastly, we demonstrate accuracy improvements over our 2.5D methods using a comparison test against data collected with a static scanner. In addition to 3D mapping, we also present a methodology for 2.5D mapping with three novel contributions. First, we present a method for automatically segmenting barometric pressure data based on the floor of the building it was collected from. Specifically, by using Bayesian non-parametrics we are able to demonstrate simultaneous floor detection and the corresponding data segmentation. The data segmentation is then used to extend classical 2D particle filtering across any number of discrete building stories. Secondly, we demonstrate a genetic scan matching algorithm used to estimate loop closure constraints even without an accurate initial condition. Through simulation and real-world experiments we show an improvement over state-of-the-art scan matching techniques. Next, we present two metrics that are used to validate the results of the genetic scan matching algorithm. We use both a correlation and shape metric to demonstrate robust and accurate validation of loop closure constraints in indoor environments. Lastly, we compare and characterize the performance of the proposed 3D and 2.5D mapping techniques developed in this project. Although the 2.5D mapping techniques are more computationally lightweight, we show that the accuracy of the system is significantly improved using the 3D mapping algorithm.
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I. Abstract

GPS-denied indoor mobile mapping has been an active area of research for many years. With applications such as historical preservation, entertainment, and augmented reality, the demand for both fast and accurate scanning technologies has dramatically increased. In this project, we developed two algorithmic pipelines for GPS-denied indoor mobile 3D mapping using an ambulatory backpack system. By mounting scanning equipment on a backpack system, a human operator can traverse the interior of a building to produce a high-quality 3D reconstruction. In each of our presented algorithmic pipelines, data from a number of 2D laser scanners, a camera, and an IMU is fused together to track the 3D position of the system as the operator traverses an unknown environment. This project presents a number of novel contributions for indoor GPS-denied 2.5 and 3D mobile mapping using a number of 2D laser scanners, a camera, and an IMU. First, for 3D mapping we develop a tightly coupled EKF estimator for fusing data from all sensors into a single optimized 3D trajectory. By formulating each sensor's contributions independently, we demonstrate a modular algorithm that easily scales to an arbitrary number of 2D laser scanners. In contrast to existing work that either assumes a known fixed map or limits the environment to a set of axis aligned planes, we demonstrate the ability to map environments containing horizontal and vertical planes of arbitrary orientation with no a priori information. Additionally, through timing and complexity analysis, we demonstrate that the runtime of the proposed EKF estimator is only linear in the acquisition time. Secondly, by including in our EKF estimator the laser scanner's spatial and temporal calibration parameters, we present a novel laser calibration methodology. Through simulated and real-world data, we validate that the proposed algorithms are capable of calibrating both the extrinsic and temporal misalignments present in our system's laser data. Lastly, we address the scalability of the proposed approach by utilizing a graph optimization post processing step that overcomes any accumulated drift in the EKF estimator. We then validate the proposed 3D end-to-end localization system using 3 multi-story datasets collected from real-world environments. The system's reconstructions are compared against CAD drawings of the buildings and are shown to achieve an intersection over union of over 96% on all datasets. Lastly, we demonstrate accuracy improvements over our 2.5D methods using a comparison test against data collected with a static scanner. In addition to 3D mapping, we also present a methodology for 2.5D mapping with three novel contributions. First, we present a method for automatically segmenting barometric pressure data based on the floor of the building it was collected from. Specifically, by using Bayesian non-parametrics we are able to demonstrate simultaneous floor detection and the corresponding data segmentation. The data segmentation is then used to extend classical 2D particle filtering across any number of discrete building stories. Secondly, we demonstrate a genetic scan matching algorithm used to estimate loop closure constraints even without an accurate initial condition. Through simulation and real-world experiments we show an improvement over state of the art scan matching techniques. Next, we present two metrics that are used to validate the results of the genetic scan matching algorithm. We use both a correlation and shape metric to demonstrate robust and accurate validation of loop closure constraints in indoor environments. Lastly, we compare and
characterize the performance of the proposed 3D and 2.5D mapping techniques developed in this project. Although the 2.5D mapping techniques are more computationally lightweight, we show that the accuracy of system is significantly improved using the 3D mapping algorithm.
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IV. IN THE NEWS

October 29, 2015 - KQED: "Mapping Your World with a Backpack"
KQED feature on the 3D mapping project

June 8, 2015 - Berkeley Engineering: "The Mapping Backpack"
Berkeley engineering discuss our energy audit research.

June 4, 2015 - BBC Arabic: "Backpack Device Performs Three-Dimensional Scanning for any Building Design"

Our lab was visited by BBC Arabic to look at our backpack scanning system.

America shows off our latest backpack hardware at the ARPA-E summit.

February 12, 2015 - BERC: "Berkeley Based Startups Win Big at ARPA-E"

Indoor Reality was among three winners of a start-up pitch competition to a panel of four investors.

February 11, 2015 - Avideh Zakhor Featured in ARPA-E Inspiring Innovators Showcase

November 13, 2014 - SWARM Lab Seminar: "Professor Zakhor's talk on image based localization"

February 28, 2014 - U.C. Berkeley NewsCenter: "Berkeley Team Takes its Energy Innovation to Capitol Hill"

A public affairs story of our visit to a Capitol Tech Showcase, held by ARPA-E.

February 26, 2014 - Energy Manager Today: Backpack Creates Thermal Maps

Energy Manager today ran a story about our latest backpack system.
February 26, 2014 - KTVU News Segment on 3D Mapping Backpack

The Channel 2 News ran a segment for our indoor modeling project.

February 26, 2014 - EnergyWire: "All-Seeing Backpack Homes in on Energy Waste"

EnergyWire article by David Ferris, E&E reporter

February 25, 2014 - LBNL Newsletter on RAPMOD at ARPA-E Tech Showcase
Lawrence Berkeley Lab's monthly newsletter showcased us presenting our latest hardware for our indoor modeling project.

December 10, 2013 - FierceWirelessTech: "UC Berkeley Pursues Indoor Positioning Via Smartphone Photos"

This article showcases our research in positioning systems using just smartphone cameras.

August 29, 2012 - A Backpack for BIM

GeoDataPoint report by Christine Grahl
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1. E. Turner, "WATERTIGHT FLOOR PLANS GENERATED FROM LASER RANGE DATA," Master's Project, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, May 2013. [Adobe PDF]

2. N. Corso, "LOOP CLOSURE TRANSFORMATION ESTIMATION AND VERIFICATION USING 2D LiDAR SCANNERS," Master's Project, Department of Electrical Engineering and Computer Sciences, University of California, Berkeley, May 2013. [Adobe PDF]


XI. SCIENTIFIC PROGRESS AND ACCOMPLISHMENTS

1.1 Automatic Indoor 3D Surface Reconstruction with Segmented Building and Object Elements

Automatic generation of 3D indoor building models is important for applications in augmented and virtual reality, indoor navigation, and building simulation software. This paper presents a method to generate high-detail watertight models from laser range data taken by an ambulatory scanning device. Our approach can be used to segment the permanent structure of the building from the objects within the building. We use distinct techniques to mesh the building structure and the objects to efficiently represent large planar surfaces, such as walls and floors, while still preserving the fine detail of segmented objects, such as furniture or light fixtures. Our approach is scalable enough to be applied on large models composed of several dozen rooms, spanning over 14,000 square feet. We experimentally verify this method on several datasets from diverse building environments.

(a)  (b)  (c)  (d)

Figure 1: An area modeled by our technique: (a) a photo of the room; (b) the volumetric boundary of room; (c) final mesh with room and objects modeled; (d) final mesh of room only, colored by planar region.

Figure 2: The scanned volume is meshed using two approaches that are combined to separate room geometry and object geometry. The complex geometry from the octree (upper left, in red) and the simple geometry from the 2.5D model (lower left, in blue) are combined to extract the object volume (upper center, in green) and the building volume (lower center, in grey). These volumes are meshed separately and exported (right, in black).

(a)  (b)  (c)

Figure 7: Example meshing output of residential area: (a) photo of area; (b) all reconstructed geometry; (c) geometry of room surfaces only, colored by planar region.
1.2 Loop closure transformation estimation and validation

In many simultaneous localization and mapping (SLAM) systems, it is desirable to exploit the fact that the system is traversing though a previously visited environment. Once these locations, commonly known as loop closures, have been detected the system must be able to both compute and verify the relative transformation between proposed locations. In this paper we present two independent algorithms, using 2D LiDAR scanners, for robustly computing the transformation between arbitrary locations with overlapping geometry and validating the resulting transforms. First, a scan matching algorithm based on a genetic search and a fractional distance metric is presented. Secondly, two metrics are proposed to verify the recovered transforms. Through experimental results the proposed algorithms are shown to robustly estimate and validate loop closure transformations for both manually and automatically defined candidates.
Figure 17. Results of applying the end-to-end system. (a),(d),(e) The occupancy grid maps that result from the RBPF algorithm. (b),(e),(h) The dead reckoning trajectories with validated loop closure constraints overlain. (c),(f),(i) The 3D paths viewed from the top down after optimization has been applied.
1.3 Simplified Floor Plan Modeling and Room Labeling [3]

Automatic generation of building floor plans is useful in many emerging applications, including indoor navigation, augmented and virtual reality, as well as building energy simulation software. These applications require watertight models with limited complexity. In this paper, we present an approach that produces 2.5D extruded watertight models of building interiors from either 2D particle filter grid maps or full 3D point-clouds captured by mobile mapping systems. Our approach is to triangulate a 2D sampling of wall positions and separate these triangles into interior and exterior sets. We partition the interior volume of the building model by rooms, then simplify the model to reduce noise. Such labels are useful for building energy simulations involving thermal models, as well as for ensuring geometric accuracy of the resulting 3D model. We experimentally verify the performance of our proposed approach on a wide variety of buildings. Our approach is efficient enough to be used in real-time in conjunction with Simultaneous Localization and Mapping (SLAM) applications. Examples of this approach are shown in the figures below.
Figure 2: Floor plan construction from estimated position of wall samples. Left column indicates wall samples, middle column indicates generated 2D floor plan, and right column indicates 3D extrusion of building model from floor plan. (a) Mid-sized cubicle area, (b) hotel
lobby and hallways, (c) student offices and cubicles, (d) small office complex, (d) academic building hallways and cubicles.

1.4 Floor Plan Generation from 3D point clouds [8]:

We have developed an algorithm that generates as-built architectural floor plans by separating the floors of the Li-DAR scan of a building, selecting a representative sampling of wall scans for each floor, and triangulating these samplings to develop a watertight representation of the walls for each of the scanned areas. Curves and straight line segments are fit to these walls, in order to mitigate any registration errors from the original scans. This method is not dependent on the scanning system and can successfully process noisy scans with non-zero registration error. Most of the processing is performed after a dramatic dimensionality reduction, yielding a scalable approach. We demonstrate the effectiveness of our approach on a three story point cloud from a commercial building as well as on the lobby and hallways of a hotel. An example of the application of this method is shown in the figure below:
1.3 Watertight Planar Surface Reconstruction with Voxel Carving [4]

3D modeling of building architecture from point-cloud scans is a rapidly advancing field. These models are used in augmented reality, navigation, and energy simulation applications. State-of-the-art scanning produces accurate pointclouds of building interiors containing hundreds of millions of points. Current surface reconstruction techniques either do not preserve sharp
features common in a man-made structures, do not guarantee watertightness, or are not constructed in a scalable manner. We have developed an approach that generates watertight triangulated surfaces from input point-clouds, preserving the sharp features common in buildings. The input point-cloud is converted into a voxelized representation, utilizing a memory-efficient data structure. The triangulation is produced by analyzing planar regions within the model. These regions are represented with an efficient number of elements, while still preserving triangle quality. This approach can be applied to data of arbitrary size to result in detailed models. We apply this technique to several data sets of building interiors and analyze the accuracy of the resulting surfaces with respect to the input point-clouds. An example of this method is shown in the figure below:

Figure 2: Top-down view of surface reconstruction of a warehouse-sized retail shopping center. Each planar region given a random color. Generated with resolution of 10 cm.
Figure 3: On left, surface reconstruction of a 10.5 m x 9.5 m conference room with table and chairs, at resolution of 5 cm. On right, the input point cloud.

Figure 4: Input point cloud of construction area, colored by depth from camera

Figure 5: Generated surface reconstruction, showing triangle elements. Resolution: 5 cm.