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The central goal of this project is to identify mutations that alter sensitivity to hypomethylating agents. Initial studies indicated that TET2 mutant MDS cells are sensitive to these drugs. In the past year, we have used functional studies, as proposed in Aim 2, to find that cells with cohesin gene mutations are sensitized to hypomethylating agents. We used CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering of primary human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), the cells of origin for myeloid malignancies to study and validate this finding. In this model, we first validated that TET2 mutations increased the sensitivity of cells to azacitidine, and ASXL1 mutations decreased sensitivity to azacitidine, recapitulating the human genetic data described in Aim 1A. In addition, we found that cohesin-mutated hematopoietic cells were sensitive to azacitidine treatment. We have validated this finding in cell lines with engineered cohesin gene mutations. The establishment of insulated neighborhoods, key functional elements of chromatin structure, are defined by CTCF-cohesin complexes. Binding of CTCF is highly methylation sensitive. We are therefore examining whether hypomethylating agents alter CTCF binding and disrupt the aberrant insulated neighborhoods found in cohesin-mutated MDS.
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1. **INTRODUCTION:** Narrative that briefly (one paragraph) describes the subject, purpose and scope of the research.

Hypomethylating agents, 5-azacytidine and decitabine, are effective therapies for the treatment of myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS), but the genetic basis of response to these agents is unknown. We hypothesize that, given the heterogeneous clinical response to hypomethylating agents in MDS patients and the diversity of molecular lesions that drive the clinical phenotype of MDS, specific mutations alter response to therapy. The identification of mutations that predict response to therapy could have an immediate clinical impact, enabling physicians to select the patients most likely to respond, to determine the duration of treatment, and to monitor disease progression. Such therapy has the potential to alter the course of disease for patients with bone marrow failure and clonal somatic mutations that occur in myeloid malignancies.

2. **KEYWORDS:** Provide a brief list of keywords (limit to 20 words).

Myelodysplastic syndrome (MDS), acute myeloid leukemia (AML), hypomethylating agents (HMA), azacitidine, decitabine, TET2 mutations

3. **ACCOMPLISHMENTS:**

**What were the major goals of the project?**

*In Specific Aim 1, we propose to identify mutations in MDS that mediate sensitivity and resistance to hypomethylating agents.* In Aim 1, we proposed to examine the full spectrum of known MDS mutations in pre-treatment samples from MDS patients who received hypomethylating agents. The approach was to examine somatic mutations using hybrid capture followed by Illumina sequencing. Our goal was to identify mutations that can predict sensitivity or resistance to hypomethylating agents. In Aim 1B, genetic studies will be complemented with whole-genome analysis of methylation and hydroxymethylation status.

**Aim 1A: Identify mutations in MDS that mediate sensitivity and resistance to hypomethylating agents**

We completed the goal of this aim prior to initiation of funding. Progress was more rapid than expected and was under competition, so the proposed experiments were completed before funding for the project actually began. The results have been published, Bejar et al., Blood 2014.

**Aim 1B: Perform analysis of methylation and hydroxymethylation in MDS samples**

This has been the major focus of our work over the past year. We have completed reduced representation bisulfite sequencing of 66 MDS cases to analyze DNA methylation. We completed RNA Sequencing of the samples as well. Data analysis of the methylation is complete. Analysis of hydroxymethylation is ongoing.

*In Specific Aim 2, we propose an in vivo RNA interference screen to identify mutations that sensitize cells to hypomethylating agents.* Using RNA interference screening in primary human bone marrow progenitor with assays of hematopoietic differentiation, we identified RPS14 as a critical gene for the phenotype of the 5q-syndrome. More recently, we have developed approaches for pooled *in vitro* and *in vivo* RNA interference screens to detect genes that influence clonal dominance. In Specific Aim 2, we will employ these approaches to find mutations and therapeutic targets that alter sensitivity to hypomethylating agents. We will perform pooled,
in vivo shRNA screens, in the presence or absence of acacitidine. We will seek to identify and validate genes and therapeutic targets that later sensitivity to azacitidine in order to identify novel combination therapies that could have increased efficacy in MDS patients.

**Aim 2A: Using an in vivo shRNA screening approach, target genes with loss of function mutations and genes encoding therapeutic targets.**

We developed a novel model to screen loss of function mutations in MDS for response to azacitidine. We used CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering of primary human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), the cells of origin for myeloid malignancies, followed by culture in vitro or transplantation into immunodeficient mice, to generate models of clonal hematopoiesis and malignancy. Employing these models to investigate therapeutic efficacy, we first validated that TET2 mutations increased the sensitivity of cells to azacitidine, and ASXL1 mutations decreased sensitivity to azacitidine, recapitulating the human genetic data described in Aim 1A. In addition, we found that cohesin-mutated hematopoietic cells were sensitive to azacitidine treatment. A manuscript describing our novelexperimental model, genome-engineered human CD34+ cells, to study myeloid malignancies and response to azacitidine is currently in revision at *Science* with positive reviews from our initial submission.

**Aim 2B: Validate hits from the functional screen.**

Validation of the sensitivity of cohesin gene mutations in MDS to azacitidine is well underway. We have developed a series of cell line models with and without cohesin gene mutations. We have developed and are validating a specific biological hypothesis that explains the efficacy of azacitidine in this context. The cohesin proteins

**What was accomplished under these goals?**

For this reporting period describe: 1) major activities; 2) specific objectives; 3) significant results or key outcomes, including major findings, developments, or conclusions (both positive and negative); and/or 4) other achievements. Include a discussion of stated goals not met. Description shall include pertinent data and graphs in sufficient detail to explain any significant results achieved. A succinct description of the methodology used shall be provided. As the project progresses to completion, the emphasis in reporting in this section should shift from reporting activities to reporting accomplishments.

In the past year, we have made great progress towards completion of Aim 2, as well as studies to complete Aim 1. We have made major progress in completion of Aim 1. As noted above, Aim 1A was largely completed earlier than expected. We utilized targeted capture and highly parallel quantitative sequencing to examine samples from MDS and CMML patients collected prior to treatment with an azacitidine or decitabine containing regimen. Mutations were examined for association with response to treatment and overall survival. The overall response rate was 47% in this cohort, with no difference observed between treatment groups (p=0.96). *TET2* mutations predicted response (odds ratio of response [OR] 1.99, p = 0.036 [95% CI, 1.05-3.80], p = 0.036; adjusted OR [adjOR] 1.98 [1.02-3.85], p=0.044) when mutations unlikely to be detected by Sanger sequencing (allele fraction of < 10%) were treated as wildtype. The response rate was highest in the *ASXL1* wildtype subset of *TET2* mutant patients (OR 3.65, p = 0.009, adjOR 3.64 [1.35-9.79], p=0.011). Analysis of overall survival in 146 patients from cohorts with survival data collected identified mutations in *TP53* (n = 31; hazard ratio [HR] 2.01 [95% CI, 1.29-3.14], p = 0.002; adjusted HR [adjHR] 1.91 [1.20-3.05], p=0.007) and *PTPN11* (n = 6; HR 3.26 [1.41-7.58], p = 0.006, adjHR 2.47 [0.98-6.26], p=0.056) as adverse. Patients with complex karyotypes had a high rate of *TP53* mutation (63%) which was associated with shorter overall survival compared to *TP53* unmutated complex karyotype patients (median 0.9 years vs. 1.3 years, p=0.030). To test the hypothesis that *TET2* loss of function could result in sensitivity to hypomethylating agents, we performed murine competitive bone marrow transplant experiments followed by treatment with azacitidine.
**Aim 1B:** Analysis of DNA methylation and hydroxymethylation was the primary focus of this first year of funding. The findings from Aim 1A demonstrated that TET2 mutant MDS cases are sensitive to therapy with hypomethylating agents. We therefore sought to determine the methylation consequences of TET2 mutations.

We sought to characterize the effects of somatic TET2 mutation on DNA methylation in a well-annotated set of samples from a single disease, MDS, by reduced-representation bisulfite sequencing (RRBS). We analyzed genomic DNA isolated from total bone marrow mononuclear cells from 66 MDS cases matched for TET2 mutation status, somatic mutations in other genes. Analysis of all well-covered regions showed that, as expected, MDS samples were highly methylated regardless of genotype, but we observed a small, but significant, shift towards increased methylation (≥10% change across 1kb tiles) in the TET2-mutant samples versus WT.

We next sought to determine the specific genomic regions most affected by loss of TET2. To control for differences in region size, we normalized differential methylation in each region to CpG content of that region (Figure 1). While the majority of total CpGs were located in promoters, CpG islands, and enhancers, these regions contained only a small fraction of differentially methylated CpGs, and differential methylation in these regions was evenly split between the TET2-mutant and TET2-WT samples. Although promoters are enriched for hmC and Tet1 binding in murine ES cells, we found no significant difference in methylation between groups at promoters, which had low levels of methylation overall (Figure 1c, d). Methylation at enhancers has been implicated in regulation of transcriptional control5, but we saw no significant differences between TET2 mutant and WT groups in the overlap of our methylation data and two separate enhancer datasets. In contrast, non-promoter intron-exon boundaries showed marked enrichment for differential methylation despite containing a relatively low fraction of total CpGs (Figure 1e, f).

The finding that intron-exon boundaries contained the highest proportion of relative differential methylation in our samples is consistent with reports that 5-hmC is enriched at intron-exon boundaries in neuronal tissues and is depleted in gene bodies with loss of Tet2 in murine ES cells. We examined the precise location of differential methylation relative to the intron-exon boundary by plotting the relative methylation level of well-covered differentially methylated CpGs within 100bp of an intron-exon boundary relative to distance the intron exon boundary. We saw no bias in differential methylation towards 5’ versus 3’ boundaries, but observed a consistent gain in methylation in the TET2 mutant group across this region (Figure 1g).

We next sought to investigate whether sites of altered methylation occur at hydroxymethylated loci. We generated isogenic TF-1 cell line clones using CRISPR-Cas9 with and without targeted, homozygous disruption of the TET2 gene. The two clones generated with TET2 inactivation had reduced TET2 function as assessed by overall hmC content. To ensure that methylation changes were consistent between MDS samples and the TF1 lines, we validated a set of highly differentially methylated DMRs from MDS samples in TF1 lines by methyl-DNA-immunoprecipitation (MeDIP) followed by qPCR. To identify specific sites of altered hmC content, we performed hmC sequencing on genomic DNA using a reduced-representation hydroxymethylation profiling approach (RRHP, Zymo). Consistent with an overall loss of hmC in TET2-mutated cells, we observed a ten-fold decrease in the number of reads and unique sites captured in the TET2-mutant cells compared to TET2-WT. Interestingly, of the sites captured with significant coverage in the TET2-mutant samples (n=48), approximately half (n=22) mapped to the mitochondrial chromosome, which contains both mC and hmC.

To understand the relationship between 5-hmC and methylation in TET2 mutant cells, we examined the overlap of RRHP and WGBS sequencing data. The majority of overlapping sites occurred in regions identified as non-differentially methylated between TET2-mutant and -WT samples by bisulfite sequencing (Supplemental Figure 6), which may reflect the inability of WGBS to discriminate between hmC and mC. We then focused on intron-
exon regions within the overlapping dataset, and observed a significant increase in hmC in TET2-WT cells at

Figure 1: Overall and intragenic hypermethylation in TET2-mutant samples. Overall and intragenic hypermethylation in TET2-mutant samples. Well-covered CpGs were binned according to level of relative methylation and statistics were performed between TET2 mutant and TET2 WT groups for each bin (e; paired t-test, * indicates p<0.05) (a); Differential methylation was normalized to region size for the regions indicated, and was calculated as the fraction of differentially methylated CpGs in a given region relative to the fraction of total CpGs in that region (b); Promoter methylation (c,d) and intron-exon methylation (e,f) shown as comparison of average methylation between TET2 mutant and TET2 WT groups (c,e) and relative methylation of each group as a function of density (d,f); Differential methylation across the promoter region and the intron-exon region was averaged and plotted as a function of distance from the TSS and the 5’ and 3’ exon boundary, respectively.

intron-exon regions that were also hypermethylated in TET2-mutant samples (Figure 2b). While the influence of hmC on methylation was modest, though highly significant, the hmC mark may have a short half-life relative
to DNA methylation. Within individual genes, regions highly differentially methylated between TET2 wildtype and TET2-mutant groups by WGBS correspond to hmC peaks in TET2 wildtype cells, suggesting that increased methylation in TET2 mutant samples is directly due to loss of TET2 function (Figure 2c).

Figure 2: Sites of intragenic hypermethylation in TET2-mutant MDS samples are enriched for hydroxymethylcytosine in TET2-WT samples. Comparison of intron-exon WGBS results between TET2-wildtype and TET2-mutant groups as a function of density (a). hmC reads by RRHS in TET2-wildtype TF1 cells were compared to hypermethylated intron-exon regions (“DMR tiles”) and all intron exon regions (“All Tiles”) (b; two sample t-test, *** indicates p ≤ 0.0001). WGBS methylation data and RRHS hmC data (in TET2-wildtype) are shown for selected regions (c).

Aim 2A: We have completed a screen of loss of function mutations in MDS for response to azacitidine. We used CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering of primary human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs), the cells of origin for myeloid malignancies, followed by culture in vitro or transplantation into immunodeficient mice, to generate models of clonal hematopoiesis and malignancy. Employing these models to investigate therapeutic efficacy, we first validated that TET2 mutations increased the sensitivity of cells to azacitidine, and ASXL1 mutations decreased sensitivity to azacitidine, recapitulating the human genetic data described in Aim 1A. In addition, we found that cohesin-mutated hematopoietic cells were sensitive to azacitidine treatment (Figure 3). A manuscript describing our novel experimental model, genome-engineered human CD34+ cells, to study myeloid malignancies and response to azacitidine is currently in revision at Science with positive reviews from our initial submission.
**Aim 2B:** Multiple components of the multimeric cohesin complex have recently been identified to be among the most commonly mutated genes in human cancer. Recurrent loss of function (LOF) mutations in the cohesin genes *STAG2, SMC3, SMC1A* and *RAD21* have been found in myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) and acute myeloid leukemia (AML). The ability of enhancers to contact promoters is constrained by chromosome structures formed by structural regulatory proteins. Therefore, mapping the 3D chromosome structure within the nucleus is important for understanding the regulation of gene expression. Insulated neighborhoods are chromatin loops that are formed by a CTCF-CTCF homodimer, co-bound with cohesin, and contain at least one gene. CTCF binding is highly sensitive to DNA methylation.

We hypothesize that hypomethylating agents decrease DNA methylation at CTCF binding sites and thereby alter chromatin structure, leading to selective targeting of cohesin-mutated cells. We are validating in multiple isogenic cell lines that cohesin mutations sensitize cells to treatment with hypomethylating agents. We are examining the basis for this activity by performing ChIP-Seq and RNA Seq experiments in the presence and absence of hypomethylating agents in order to identify oncogenic insulated neighborhoods that are present in the setting of cohesin mutations and reversed in the presence of hypomethylating agents.

We have generated over 24 different cohesin-mutated human AML cell lines by engineering 4 parental AML lines (U937, TF1, THP1 and K562) with CRISPR/Cas9. All parental AML cell lines used in our studies were previously confirmed to be wildtype (WT) for all cohesin components(19). In parallel, we transfected the same parental Cas9 cell lines with plasmids coding for non-targeting (NT) gRNAs, which served as our “WT” controls for all studies. We single cell sorted transfected cells into 96 well plates, expanded cells as single cell clones, and confirmed knockout using Sanger sequencing of the PCR amplified endogenous locus and WB. We will use multiple isogenic single cell derived clones generated using at least 2-3 different gRNAs in all of our experiments described in this proposal. These cell lines recapitulate the sensitivity of cohesin-mutated cells to hypomethylating agents (Figure 4).

In order to examine the role of the cohesin complex in mediating insulated neighborhood structures and promoter-enhancer loops under homeostatic conditions and with STAG2 loss, we optimized conditions for ChIP-Seq using anti-SMC1A, STAG1 and STAG2 antibodies in U937 cells. RNA sequencing from these cell lines have been performed as well.

**What opportunities for training and professional development has the project provided?**
Our finding that cohesin-mutated cells are sensitive to hypomethylating agents has profound implications both for the biology of gene expression and the treatment of MDS. We will continue our validation studies as described in Aim 2B during the next year. As noted above, we hypothesize that these agents decrease DNA methylation at CTCF binding sites and thereby alter oncogenic insulated neighborhoods, leading to selective targeting of cohesin-mutated cells. We will validate in multiple isogenic cell lines that cohesin mutations sensitize cells to treatment with hypomethylating agents. We will examine the basis for this activity by performing ChIP-Seq and RNA Seq experiments in the presence and absence of hypomethylating agents in order to identify oncogenic insulated neighborhoods that are present in the setting of cohesin mutations and reversed in the presence of hypomethylating agents. Finally, we will validate the efficacy of hypomethylating agents on cohesin-mutated hematopoietic cells in vivo.

4. IMPACT: This component is used to describe ways in which the work, findings, and specific products of the project have had an impact during this reporting period. Describe distinctive contributions, major accomplishments, innovations, successes, or any change in practice or behavior that has come about as a result of the project relative to:

The results of our studies have the potential to influence clinical practice. Patients with mutations that have high sensitivity to hypomethylating agents may be prioritized for treatment with these agents. This has the potential to increase the overall therapeutic efficacy of hypomethylating agents in clinical practice. Specifically, we have found that TET2 mutations sensitize cells to hypomethylating agents based on human genetics studies, and that TET2 mutations cause increased methylation at intragenic regions that may cause altered mRNA splicing. These areas of hypermethylation would be expected to be demethylated following exposure to azacitidine or decitabine, resulting in reversal of the oncogenic effects of TET2 mutation. This informs biology of TET2 mutations, the mechanism of action of hypomethylating agents, and the identification of myelodysplastic syndrome patients most likely to respond to these drugs.

Our experiments demonstrating the sensitivity of cohesin-mutated cells to hypomethylating agenes also have the potential for immediate clinical impact. The activity of hypomethylating agents would enable the targeting of MDS and AML patients with cohesin mutations to treatment with hypomethylating agents, alone or in combination with other agents.

What was the impact on the development of the principal discipline(s) of the project?

Nothing to report

What was the impact on other disciplines?

Nothing to report

What was the impact on technology transfer?

Nothing to report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?
Nothing to report

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS:
Nothing to report

6. PRODUCTS: List any products resulting from the project during the reporting period. Examples of products include:

- Publications, conference papers, and presentations
  No new publications during this reporting period.


- Website(s) or other Internet site(s)
  Nothing to report

- Technologies or techniques
  We have developed an approach using combinations of genetic lesions that have been difficult to model in human cells. We used CRISPR/Cas9 genome engineering of primary human hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPCs) to test the efficacy of azacitidine in the primary human cells bearing mutations in specific genes. The use of this approach to model human myeloid malignancies and their response to azacitidine is currently in revision at Science.

- Inventions, patent applications, and/or licenses

- Other Products
  Nothing to report
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Introduction

DNA hypomethylating agents (HMAs) are the only class of drugs approved for the treatment of patients with higher-risk myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS). Azacitidine (AZA) was approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for MDS in 2004 and was later shown to confer an overall survival benefit compared with supportive care in a randomized phase 3 study.1 Decitabine (DEC), the deoxynucleotide analog of AZA, was approved for the treatment of MDS in 2006 based on its ability to improve blood counts and decrease bone marrow blasts proportions.2 However, only 40% to 50% of patients treated with either AZA or DEC experience hematologic improvement (HI) with these agents, and complete responses (CRs) occur in as few as 10% to 15% of treated patients.3,4 Effective methods for identifying patients who are most likely to respond to treatment with an HMA would be of immediate clinical utility. Clinical features and patient characteristics may help stratify patients according to their response rates, but these models are not sufficiently conclusive to deny eligible patients a trial of AZA or DEC based on their predictions alone.5,6 Better biomarkers of response to HMAs are needed.

Since the FDA approval of AZA and DEC, our understanding of the molecular genetic basis for MDS has expanded dramatically. Recurrent somatic mutations have been identified in more than 40 genes, and many of these mutated genes have been associated with important clinical measures including overall survival.7-9 Because mutated genes underlie the pathogenic mechanisms driving the initiation and progression of MDS, they may represent molecular biomarkers of drug sensitivity or resistance. This is exemplified by the observation that MDS with deletions of the long arm of chromosome 5 (del[5q]) have a striking sensitivity to lenalidomide, whereas MDS patients without this lesion are less likely to have a hematologic response and are much less likely to have a cytogenetic or prolonged response.10 No such cytogenetic correlate has been found for the HMAs, but single-gene mutations involving the pathways targeted by these drugs may be better candidates.

DEC and AZA (which is metabolized into DEC intracellularly) inhibit DNA methyltransferases and decrease the methylation of cytosine residues. Several of the most frequently mutated genes in...
MDS encode proteins involved in the epigenetic regulation of gene expression such as TET2, DNMT3A, and ASXL1. DNMT3A is a de novo DNA methyltransferase and is a potential target of the HMAs. Somatic mutations of DNMT3A have been shown to decrease its activity, suggesting that pharmacologic targets other than DNMT3A are likely mediators of response to AZA and DEC. Loss of function mutations in TET2 impair the ability of this enzyme to oxidize 5-methylcytosine residues and are associated with altered DNA methylation patterns and decreased 5-hydroxymethylcytosine levels in MDS patient samples. A small study of AZA-treated MDS patients using Sanger sequencing to determine the mutation status of TET2 found that mutations of this gene were associated with a slightly higher rate of response than in wild-type (WT) TET2 patients. However, the investigators did not examine these samples for additional mutations that might have modified this result and did not use techniques sensitive enough to identify mutations in small-disease subclones. Subclonal mutations in genes associated with an adverse prognosis, including ASXL1, RUNXI, and NRRAS, have been shown to have clinical relevance regardless of their abundance within the dysplastic clone. These adverse mutations are often associated with disease progression and may mitigate the value of a sensitizing abnormality if they confer resistance to treatment.

We hypothesize that mutations of individual genes may serve as biomarkers of response for MDS patients treated with HMAs. We used massively parallel sequencing to examine 40 recurrently mutated genes in disease samples from 213 MDS patients treated with AZA or DEC. We examined the association of mutational patterns at different mutant allele fractions with response to treatment and overall survival. We used a competitive murine bone marrow transplant model to test the sensitivity of Tet2-null and Tet2 wild-type (Tet2-WT) hematopoietic cells to treatment with AZA.

Materials and methods

Patient samples and response assessment

A total of 213 MDS patients treated with AZA or DEC were included in this study. Samples were obtained from patients treated at the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute (2003-2010, N = 42), the MD Anderson Cancer Center (2003-2010, N = 104), and as part of the DACO-020 (ADOPT) clinical trial of DEC (2005-2006, N = 67). All samples were collected with patient consent under institutional review board–approved protocols in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Response to treatment was assessed using International Working Group (IWG) response criteria revised in 2006. Patients with either a CR, partial response (PR), or HI were considered as responders (R, n = 100, 47%), whereas patients described as having “no response,” “stable disease,” “progressive disease,” “death” before response assessment, or “not evaluable” were considered “nonresponders” (NR, n = 113, 53%).

Sample processing, DNA sequencing, and mutation analysis

DNA was extracted from bone marrow mononuclear cells or peripheral blood samples collected before treatment (median 18 days, range 9-119). Whole-genome amplification of DNA for each sample was performed using the REPLI-g kit from QIAGEN. A genotype fingerprint of 22 common single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for each sample was generated by MALDI-TOF genotyping (Sequenom). Target regions of 40 genes (supplemental Table 1, available on the Blood Web site) and genotype fingerprint regions were enriched using the Agilent SureSelect hybrid capture system according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Barcoded samples were pooled in equimolar amounts and subjected to 100 nucleotide paired-end sequencing on an Illumina HiSeqation 2000. Sequence reads were aligned to the human genome (Build 37) using the Burroughs-Wheeler algorithm. The Genome Analysis Toolkit was used to clean and locally realign reads before calling missense and insertion/deletion variants using MuTect. Sample identity was confirmed by matching fingerprint genotype calls. Synonymous variants, noncoding variants more than 6 bases from splice junctions, or variants present in databases of “normal” genomes (dbSNP 132 or NHLBI Exome Sequencing Project) at a population frequency of 1% or more were discarded. Remaining variants were considered candidate somatic mutations.

Competitive murine bone marrow transplants

Age-matched Tet2−/−:Mx-Cre+ and Tet2−/−:Mx-Cre− donor animals (CD45.2) were treated with pCP (15 μg/kg intraperitoneally [IP]) for 3 nonconsecutive days to induce excision of exon 3 of Tet2. Donor bone marrow was harvested 2 weeks post-pCP and mixed in a 1:2 ratio with bone marrow harvested from 45.1 WT donors (B6.SJL-Ptprc Pepck−/−BoyJ; Jackson Labs), and was then transplanted into 45.1 recipients for a total of 1 million cells per recipient. Peripheral blood engraftment was assessed by fluorescence-activated cell sorting at 2 weeks posttransplant, at which point recipient mice were divided into treatment groups (n = 7 per group) and treated with either 5-AZA (2.5 mg/kg IP; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or vehicle control on the following schedule: 2 weeks on, 2 weeks off. Peripheral blood chimerism and complete blood count were assessed after each round of treatment.

Statistical methods

Categorical variables were compared using the Fisher exact test or χ2 test as appropriate, whereas continuous variables were compared using the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. A Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel test was used to test for differences in response rate by mutational status controlling for treatment. Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models were used to predict response to therapy. Models were adjusted for covariates including age (≥70 y vs <70 y), sex, International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) risk group (low/intermediate 1 vs intermediate 2/high) and treatment (AZA vs DEC alone vs DEC ± other). The odds ratio (OR) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were estimated for the risk group (mutated) and compared with the reference group (WT). The Hosmer and Lemeshow goodness-of-fit test was used to assess model fit of logistic regression models. Overall survival was calculated from the time of treatment to the time of death from any cause, or was censored at the date last known alive and was compared using a log-rank test. Unadjusted and adjusted univariate Cox models were also constructed using the same covariates. For the competitive murine experiments, the percent 45.2 chimerism was calculated for each time point. Error bars indicate standard error of the mean (SEM) for each group, and P values for each time point were calculated using a 2-sample Student t test. All P values reported are 2-sided and considered significant at .05. No adjustments were made for multiple hypothesis testing.

Results

Spectrum of mutations

We examined tumor samples collected from 213 patients from 3 different sites before treatment with AZA, DEC, or DEC + another agent. There were no significant differences in pretreatment patient characteristics by treatment site (Table 1) or baseline characteristics as shown in Table 2. Frequently mutated regions of 40 genes previously shown to be somatically mutated in patients with MDS were subject to hybrid capture and massively parallel sequencing (supplemental Table 1). These include the most frequently mutated splicing factors, kinase signaling genes, transcription factors, and epigenetic regulators such as TET2, DNMT3A, ASXL1, and EZH2.

With this panel, we identified one or more mutations in 39 genes.
similar to those identified in other MDS patient cohorts. Only ASXL1 mutations were more frequent compared with prior studies, many of which examined a greater proportion of lower-risk patients without transfusion dependence; used less sensitive Sanger sequencing of ASXL1; excluded unannotated missense mutations; or excluded insertions in a homopolymeric tract near amino acid 642.7,9 Other previously observed patterns of mutations were identified in this cohort including the paucity of ASXL1 mutations in SF3B1 mutant samples, the near mutual exclusivity of splicing factor mutations, and the lower rate of other gene mutations in patients with TP53 mutations (supplemental Figure 1).20,22 As expected, mutations of TET2, ASXL1, NRAS, EZH2, and SF3B1 were overrepresented in chronic myelomonocytic leukemia (CMML) cases, SF3B1 mutations were predominantly in refractory anemia with ring sideroblasts (RARS) cases, and mutations of TP53, IDH1, and IDH2 were relatively underrepresented in refractory anemia (RA)/RARS patients (supplemental Figure 1). The variant allele fractions (VAFs) of mutations were not uniform and varied greatly for individual genes (Figure 2). For example, splicing factor abnormalities had higher median variant allele fractions, whereas the VAFs for mutations in tyrosine kinase signaling genes were lower, indicative of their frequent presence in disease subclones. Mutations of all genes included some variants present only at low abundance.

---

Table 1. Patient characteristics and treatments received by TET2 mutational status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N (%)</th>
<th>TET2-WT</th>
<th>TET2-mut</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatments received

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZA alone</td>
<td>42 (20)</td>
<td>30 (19)</td>
<td>12 (21)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC alone</td>
<td>144 (68)</td>
<td>103 (66)</td>
<td>41 (71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC + other</td>
<td>27 (13)</td>
<td>22 (14)</td>
<td>5 (9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age, ≥70 y

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>103 (48)</td>
<td>72 (46)</td>
<td>31 (53)</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>155 (73)</td>
<td>118 (76)</td>
<td>37 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58 (27)</td>
<td>37 (24)</td>
<td>21 (36)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAB

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>30 (14)</td>
<td>19 (12)</td>
<td>11 (19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARS</td>
<td>24 (11)</td>
<td>15 (10)</td>
<td>9 (16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAEB</td>
<td>125 (59)</td>
<td>97 (63)</td>
<td>28 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAEB-t</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMML</td>
<td>21 (10)</td>
<td>13 (8)</td>
<td>8 (14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPSS risk group

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>5 (3)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int-1</td>
<td>86 (40)</td>
<td>56 (36)</td>
<td>30 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int-2</td>
<td>76 (36)</td>
<td>61 (39)</td>
<td>15 (26)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High</td>
<td>37 (17)</td>
<td>31 (20)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyto genetics

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal or −Y alone</td>
<td>107 (50)</td>
<td>68 (44)</td>
<td>39 (67)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>51 (24)</td>
<td>45 (29)</td>
<td>6 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>−7/7q isolated or +1</td>
<td>14 (7)</td>
<td>12 (8)</td>
<td>2 (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+8 isolated</td>
<td>11 (5)</td>
<td>8 (5)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20q isolated</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>6 (4)</td>
<td>1 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sq isolated or +1</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>2 (2)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>13 (6)</td>
<td>9 (6)</td>
<td>4 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>4 (3)</td>
<td>3 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test includes only known categories, χ² test used for cyto genetics.

Clinical findings, variant allele fraction, and response rates

The overall response rate of patients in the study was 47%, with 31% achieving CR according to IWG criteria revised in 2006 (Table 2). There was no significant difference in response by treatment regimen (P = .96) or source of sample (P = .36). IPSS risk groups and cytogenetic abnormalities were not associated with response rate. The only clinical feature significantly associated with response rate was FAB classification (P = .008), driven largely by the high response rate of CMML patients (17/21, 81%). Thirty-five percent of RA/RARS patients achieved a response compared with 47% of refractory anemia with excess blasts (RAEB) patients. No differences were detected in the time to response for each mutation.

In a prior study by Itzykson et al, mutations of TET2 detected by Sanger sequencing were found to predict a nearly twofold greater response rate with AZA.14 In our cohort, TET2 mutant patients showed only a trend toward increased response rates compared with WT (55% vs 44%; OR 1.58 [0.86-2.89], P = .14) and no other mutated gene was associated with a significantly improved overall response rate in univariate analyses (Table 3, supplemental Table 2, and supplemental Figure 2). However, the VAF for mutations of TET2 and several other genes spanned a wide range of values including many likely to be below the detection limit for Sanger sequencing (Figure 2). We hypothesized that mutations capable of sensitizing cells to HMAs are more likely to be associated with a clinical response to treatment when they are present in a major disease clone. For example, even complete elimination of a clone representing <20% of bone marrow cells might not have any effect on the assessment of clinical response. Therefore, we repeated our analysis with mutations present at a VAF of <10% treated as if they

---

Table 2. Response vs patient characteristics and treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Nonresponders, n (%)</th>
<th>Responders, n (%)</th>
<th>P value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>113 (53)</td>
<td>100 (47)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Treatment

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AZA alone</td>
<td>42 (20)</td>
<td>22 (52)</td>
<td>20 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC alone</td>
<td>144 (68)</td>
<td>76 (53)</td>
<td>68 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DEC + other</td>
<td>27 (13)</td>
<td>15 (56)</td>
<td>12 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sex

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>155 (73)</td>
<td>82 (53)</td>
<td>73 (47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>58 (27)</td>
<td>31 (53)</td>
<td>27 (47)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Age

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;70 y</td>
<td>110 (52)</td>
<td>64 (58)</td>
<td>46 (42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥70 y</td>
<td>103 (48)</td>
<td>49 (48)</td>
<td>54 (52)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FAB

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>30 (14)</td>
<td>20 (67)</td>
<td>10 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARS</td>
<td>24 (11)</td>
<td>15 (63)</td>
<td>9 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAEB</td>
<td>125 (59)</td>
<td>65 (52)</td>
<td>60 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAEB-t</td>
<td>6 (3)</td>
<td>4 (67)</td>
<td>2 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMML</td>
<td>21 (10)</td>
<td>4 (19)</td>
<td>17 (81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>5 (71)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPSS risk group

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low/Int-1</td>
<td>97 (46)</td>
<td>53 (55)</td>
<td>44 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Int-2/High</td>
<td>113 (53)</td>
<td>59 (50)</td>
<td>54 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>3 (1)</td>
<td>1 (33)</td>
<td>2 (67)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cyto genetics

<p>| | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>104 (49)</td>
<td>49 (47)</td>
<td>55 (53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complex</td>
<td>51 (24)</td>
<td>28 (55)</td>
<td>23 (45)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>51 (24)</td>
<td>31 (61)</td>
<td>20 (39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>7 (3)</td>
<td>5 (71)</td>
<td>2 (29)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test includes only known categories.
†No difference was observed between the 4 individual IPSS categories (P = .24).
were unmutated. For heterozygous mutations, this cutoff represents variants present in <20% of the sample cellularity and is at the estimated limit of sensitivity for Sanger sequencing. In this revised analysis considering VAF, mutations of TET2 were associated with a significantly higher response rate compared with WT (60% vs 43%; OR 1.99 [1.05-3.80], P = .036; adjusted OR 1.98 [1.02-3.85], P = .044), and comparable with that shown by Itzykson et al (Table 3, supplemental Table 2, and supplemental Figure 2).

When all VAFs were considered, only mutations of CBL, which were often of low VAF, were associated with a lower rate of response compared with WT (14% vs 49%) in this analysis (OR 0.17 [0.04-0.79], P = .023; adjusted OR 0.18 [0.04-0.82], P = .027) but was not significant when low VAF mutations were considered WT (20% vs 48%) (OR 0.27 [0.06-1.30], P = .10).

By sequencing multiple genes, we had the opportunity to determine whether mutations in additional genes could modulate the response rates of TET2-mutant patients. We focused on the subset of patients defined by their TET2 and ASXL1 mutation status (at any VAF) because these contained enough patients for a meaningful statistical analysis. Patients with mutated TET2 and unmutated ASXL1 demonstrated an increased overall response rate compared with all others (65% vs 44%; OR 2.37, [1.00-5.58], P = .049) (Table 3). This effect was more pronounced when mutations were required to have a VAF ≥10% (74% vs 44%; OR 3.65, [1.38-9.67], P = .009), representing >10% of patients in this cohort (Table 3).

In vivo model of AZA response in Tet2<sup>-/-</sup> cells

The observed association between TET2 mutations and response to treatment could be mediated directly by TET2 loss-of-function or by indirect or cell-extrinsic effects. To test whether TET2 loss-of-function can sensitize cells to HMAs, we performed a competitive murine bone marrow transplant experiment using hematopoietic cells from Tet2-null and WT littermate donors. As expected, equal numbers of CD45.2<sup>+</sup> cells transplanted into CD45.1<sup>+</sup> recipients resulted in greater engraftment of Tet2-null cells by 2.5 weeks posttransplant, before treatment with AZA. There was no difference in peripheral blood counts between groups at this time point. Treatment with AZA (2.5 mg/kg M-F x 2 weeks) or vehicle was begun on day 20 posttransplant and repeated starting on days 48, 76, and 104. Regardless of genotype, AZA-treated animals exhibited significant decreases in white blood cell and hematocrit levels and an initial drop in peripheral blood chimerism. For several subsequent cycles, AZA-treated Tet2-null cells maintained a significantly decreased representation in peripheral blood, whereas Tet2-WT cells did not (Figure 3).

Associations with overall survival

Traditional prognostic models like the IPSS and Revised IPSS (IPSS-R) are based on patient cohorts examined only until they receive disease-modifying therapies such as HMAs or they undergo stem cell transplantation. Response to specific treatments could significantly alter the prognostic impact of adverse disease
features or genetic alterations. We explored the relationship between mutation status and overall survival in the subset of patients with available survival data. Of these 146 patients (69%) in our cohort, 119 died during follow-up. The median follow-up for patients remaining alive was 3.8 years (95% CI, 3.1-5.8). Despite its association with response, TET2 mutation status was not associated with overall survival (P = .56), consistent with the finding in Itzykson et al (Figure 4A). Mutations of TP53 were associated with lower overall survival (21% of patients; HR 2.01 [1.29-3.14], P = .002; adjusted HR 1.91 [1.20-3.05], P = .007; Figure 4B) as were the much rarer mutations of PTP11 (4% of patients, HR 3.26 [1.41-7.58], P = .006; adjusted HR 2.47 [0.98-6.26], P = .056; Figure 4C).

Overall survival in patients with complex karyotypes was strongly associated with TP53 mutation status (Figure 4D). Patients with both a complex karyotype and a TP53 mutation had a median survival of only 0.9 years. In contrast, patients with complex cytogenetics and no TP53 mutation had an overall survival of 1.3 years, which was not different from patients with karyotypes other than complex (median 1.8 years, P = .28). This indicates that the adverse prognostic value ascribed to the complex karyotype is largely driven by its frequent association with TP53 mutations, which could be used to better refine disease risk in this patient population.

Discussion

In our study, the presence of TET2 mutation at >10% allele burden predicted an increased response to HMA, particularly in the subset that lacked similarly abundant mutations of ASXL1. To achieve this result, we examined tumor samples from 213 MDS patients collected before treatment with HMA for mutations in 40 genes known to be recurrently mutated in MDS. The patients in our cohort were representative of those studied in clinical trials of AZA and DEC in terms of predicted disease risk and severity of cytopenias. Overall response rates were just under 50% and did not differ by the type of drug patients received. Using sensitive quantitative sequencing
techniques, we were able to identify mutations in >90% of patients in patterns similar to those seen in prior multigene studies of MDS.

Our findings are consistent with those of Itzykson et al, who previously reported that 11 of 13 (85%) MDS patients with TET2 mutations detected by Sanger sequencing responded to treatment with AZA compared with a 52% response rate for their overall cohort of 86 patients. In that study, mutations in other genes were not examined, and small subclonal TET2 mutations likely went undetected. Our broader and more sensitive multigene analysis similarly identified TET2 mutations as predictive of response to HMA in a larger cohort of patients. Surprisingly, consideration of mutations in other genes did not reveal additional predictors of favorable response, and inclusion of low VAF mutations weakened the association between TET2 mutation status and response rate.

However, our approach identified the 10% of patients with mutated TET2 and WT ASXL1 as the group most likely to respond to treatment. Potential explanations for this finding include partial resistance to HMA caused by ASXL1 mutations. In this model, the ASXL1-mutated subclone would be expected to grow in size during disease progression, or relapse, and might confer primary resistance. ASXL1-mutated patients with WT TET2 did have a lower likelihood of response, but this was not statistically significant (OR 0.63 [0.35-1.15], P = .13). Alternatively, we observed that ASXL1 mutations were often subclonal or at a lower VAF than TET2 mutations in comutated patients (Figure 2C). The acquisition of secondary mutations (of which ASXL1 was the most frequent) could indicate more clonally progressive disease that might be inherently more resistant to treatment.

Figure 4. Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival in the 146 out of 213 study patients with survival data. (A) Survival of patients with and without TET2 mutations. (B) Survival of patients with and without TP53 mutations. (C) Survival of patients with and without PTPN11 mutations. (D) Survival of complex karyotype patients with and without TP53 mutations vs patients without complex karyotypes.

The mechanism by which TET2 mutations might influence response to HMA is not clear. Altered methylation has been observed in patients with TET2 mutations and in animal models of Tet2 loss. However, measurement of pretreatment DNA methylation by itself has not been found to be predictive of response to HMA.

In our murine bone marrow transplant experiment, exposure to AZA preferentially decreased the clonal advantage associated with loss of Tet2 function. This effect may be associated with a greater AZA sensitivity in more actively cycling cells because AZA results in cell division–dependent passive demethylation of DNA. Mice with hematopoietic Tet2 loss are known to have increased myeloid progenitor proliferation.

An important finding of our study was that no pattern of mutation was strongly associated with a lack of response to treatment. Responses to HMA were observed even in patients with mutations that confer a very poor prognosis. Therefore, our data indicate that mutation information alone should not be used as a basis for denying therapy with an HMA if treatment is indicated. Studies examining samples collected at multiple time points are needed to identify mutations predictive of acquired resistance or relapsed disease.
The association between molecular or clinical biomarkers and HMA response may be confounded by the variations in enzymes responsible for the activation and metabolism of AZA and DEC. Patients who demonstrate significant hypomethylation of blood-cell DNA after treatment with AZA or DEC (indicating sufficient exposure to target DNA methyltransferases) may be more likely to have a clinically significant response. It is possible that the predictive value of cell-intrinsic somatic mutations may be enhanced if controlled for cell-extrinsic variables such as effective dose of HMAs received and activated in cells.

Survival data were collected for more than two-thirds of our cohort. Mutation profiles capable of predicting response to HMAs were not associated with differences in overall survival (Figure 3). However, mutations in 2 genes that were not predictive of response, TP53 and the rarer PTPN11, were each associated with decreased overall survival. The majority of TP53 mutant patients had a complex karyotype, a known adverse risk factor associated with shorter overall survival. More than half of our complex-karyotype patients harbored a TP53 mutation (32/51), and these patients had a very short overall survival (median 0.9 years). However, complex karyotype patients without a detectable TP53 mutation had an overall survival that was different from the group of patients with noncomplex karyotypes. This indicates that the negative prognostic significance attributed the complex karyotype can be better explained by the TP53 mutation status of these patients and validates the results of recent studies in MDS and AML. In addition to TP53, mutations in any of 4 additional genes—RUNX1, ASXL1, EZH2, or ETV6—were found to predict shorter overall survival than expected by examining clinical features alone. However, mutations of these 4 genes were not found to be prognostically adverse in this cohort of treated patients (supplemental Figure 3). In contrast, samples for our previous study were collected before the approval of AZA and DEC and therefore were reliably strong predictors of primary resistance to treatment in a large number of patients. Therefore, there is no genetic rationale for denying MDS patients the opportunity to be treated with AZA or DEC based on our findings, particularly because there are a few alternative therapies approved for this patient population.

In conclusion, means of reliably predicting response to HMAs would be of clinical benefit in the care of patients with MDS. Our study demonstrates that mutation profiles can help in this effort to some extent. Studies examining the mechanism by which these biomarkers might mediate sensitivity or resistance to treatment would be of clinical value and could lead to the discovery of additional therapeutic targets in MDS.
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