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Fabrication, Characterization, and Energetic Properties of Metallized Nanofibers
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ABSTRACT: Polystyrene fibers loaded with an energetic blend of nanoaluminum (n-Al) and perfluoropolyether (PFPE) were successfully fabricated via electrospinning producing a nanothermite energetic material. Fibers were generated with loadings from 6 up to 25 wt% n-Al/PFPE incorporated into the fiber. Microscopy analysis by SEM and TEM confirm a uniform dispersion of PFPE treated n-Al on the outside and inside of the fibers. Metalized fibers were thermally active upon immediate ignition from a controlled flame source. Thermal analysis by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) found no change in glass transition temperature when comparing pure polystyrene fibers with fibers loaded up to 25 wt% n-Al/PFPE. Thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA) revealed a shift in decomposition temperatures to lower onsets upon increased loadings of n-Al/PFPE blends consistent with previous studies. Flame propagation studies confirmed that the metallized fibers are pyrolants. These metallized fibers are a recent development in metastable intermolecular composites (MICs) and details of their synthesis, characterization, and thermal properties are presented.
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Energetic fluorocarbons have been implemented in order to make energetic materials such as propellants and pyrolant flares since the 1950s. While metal powders are traditionally used as the fuel component in almost all conventional energetic materials, recent efforts have focused on optimizing surface interfaces given new processing practices for generating novel exothermic materials with more power output and decreased reaction times. Metastable intermolecular composites (MICs) such as nanothermites made of a mixture of oxidizer and fuel with nanometer-sized particles allow for energy dense components to be intimately mixed.
Thermite-based nitrocellulose nanofibers were also recently prepared by Yan et al. from electrospinning. These 1D nanocomposite energetic fibers led to higher flame propagation rates and increase reaction kinetics. An additional advantage of electrospinning these MICs is that traditional challenges associated with melt casting of nanometallized propellants, for example, pre- or post-burning aggregation and agglomeration, can be mitigated.

The technique of electrospinning has been around since the 1930s, but the mechanism behind the spinning was vaguely understood until the 1990s. For the most part, electrospinning is simple and versatile and ultrathin polymer, composite, and ceramic fibers can easily be produced. In general, the morphology and diameter of electrospun fibers are dependent on the intrinsic physical properties of the precursor solution (concentration, viscosity, electrical conductivity, etc.) and the operating conditions (strength of the applied electric field, distance between spinneret and substrate, flow rate of precursor solution, etc.).

We have recently reported the fabrication of metalized energetic epoxide-based composites. An energetic blend of nanoaluminum (n-Al) coated with perfluoropolyether (PFPE) (Figure 1) was successfully loaded into a partially cured epoxide and allowed to fully cure. The resulting rigid material did not degrease beyond its glass transition temperature, is indefinitely shelf-stable, and can be post-machined (drilled, milled, or buffed) into any desirable shape without igniting the component metal, in this case, nanometer-sized aluminum. The metalized epoxide composite exothermically decomposes into the predominating metal-mediated oxidized AlF₃ species as well as competing Al₂O₃ and Al₄C₃ as deflagration products. Based off of these initial findings, we were interested in studying if fibers loaded with the
energetic n-Al/PFPE blend could be electrospun in order optimize surface area between the fuel–oxidizer core–shell particles and the matrix fiber dimensions.

![Chemical Diagram](image)

**Figure 1.** TOP: Blending n-Al with PFPE produced coated n-Al particles. BOTTOM: (a) When n-Al pre-coated with PFPE is added to a solution of PS/DMF, a viable suspension is formed and metalized fibers can be electrospun. (b) If uncoated n-Al particles (no PFPE) are added to a solution of PS/DMF, the metal particles aggregate and settle out of solution so metalized fibers cannot be electrospun. (c) If PFPE and n-Al are added separately to the PS/DMF solution, separation still occurs and metalized fibers cannot be electrospun.

When first approaching the idea of electrospinning fibers and loading them with an energetic blend of n-Al and PFPE, Teflon AF® was chosen as the precursor polymer to enable electrospinning of the suspension in order to maximize fluorine content of the bulk composite system. Pantoya and researchers showed that reaction of micron- and nanometer-sized aluminum blended with polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE or Teflon®) is driven to proceed by extraction of fluorine from the PTFE and formation of the thermodynamically stable species AlF₃.⁷⁻⁹ This reaction is exothermic in nature and the reaction kinetics are well understood and
extensively documented in the literature by numerous groups.\textsuperscript{1,10-13} Teflon AF®, a copolymer of Teflon, seemed like a logical choice for fiber fabrication because it is a solution processable, amorphous thermoplastic. However, in practice, Teflon® and Teflon AF® are not conducive to electrospinning because of their low dielectric constant values.\textsuperscript{14} Initially, our attempts failed to effectively electrospin Teflon AF® dissolved in a variety of fluorinated solvents (hexafluorobenzene, Asahiklin® AK-225, 3M Fluorinert FC-75). It has been demonstrated that room temperature ionic liquids such as 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium hexafluorophosphate (BMIM-PF₆) can be added to the precursor suspension with a similar partially fluorinated, amorphous polymer in low amounts (>1.5 wt%) to make the suspension more electrospinnable.\textsuperscript{15} BMIM-PF₆ was added to a suspension of Teflon AF® yet generation of fibers via electrospinning was still unsuccessful. Teflon AF® has successfully been electrospun by implementing a coaxial electrospinning technique where an electrospinnable polymer is used as a so-called guiding material to facilitate spinning.\textsuperscript{14,16,17} In one case, poly(vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) was used as a guiding material but only 1 wt% Teflon AF® was successfully incorporated into fibers.\textsuperscript{14} Instead of attempting coaxial electrospinning, polystyrene (PS) was chosen as the precursor polymer to be electrospun into fibers. Lacking fluorocarbon substitution, PS has a higher dielectric constant and has been employed in the commercial manufacture of electrospun fibers; it was determined PS could serve as a suitable matrix material for this model study.

To prepare the PS solution, 30 wt% bulk PS pellets (commercially available, $M_n$ of 270000 g/mol by GPC) were dissolved in $N,N$-dimethylformamide (DMF, anhydrous, 99.8%, Acros Organics) overnight, at 50 °C in a heater/shaker. Before incorporation into the PS carrier
solution, 30 wt% n-Al (US Army Armament Research, Development, and Engineering Center-ARDEC, ca. 80 nm, 70% active, ca. 2–5 nm oxide shell) was blended with PFPE (Sigma-Aldrich, Fomblin® Y LVAC 25/6, 3300 g/mol) in a glove box (MBraun Labmaster) under nitrogen. Blends of n-Al/PFPE are weighed directly into a glass screw cap vial and are typically prepared on the 1-g scale. Blends are manually mixed with a spatula for 5 min, capped, and finally removed from the glove box for fiber preparation. Previous experimental studies employing PFPEs to coat different wt% of n-Al revealed the optimized stoichiometric ratio producing the largest enthalpy of reaction (ΔH) to be 30 wt% n-Al fuel to 70 wt% PFPE oxidizer. Thus, a blend of 30 wt% n-Al/PFPE is implemented in the current study to prepare fibers. The desired amount of n-Al/PFPE blend (15–25 wt% of blend) is added to the PS/DMF solution. The suspension is manually mixed with a spatula for 5 min and then ultrasonicated for 5 mins. The suspension is immediately electrospun within 10 min. If the suspension is not immediately used for fiber preparation, the solids irreversibly precipitate out of the DMF solution as a solid block within 12–24 h. An in-house electrospinning setup in a fume hood is used to electrospin the metalized suspension equipped with syringe pump (KD Scientific model 100 syringe pump), target plate, and accompanying voltage supply (EL Glassman High Voltage source). In order to reduce the chance of spark ignition while electrospinning, a low working voltage of 12–15 kV, is applied to a stainless steel needle (17–27 gauge) thereby charging the n-Al/PFPE loaded PS/DMF suspension. The syringe pump is set at a flow rate of 0.5–1.25 mL/h and non-woven fiber mats are collected 7.6–10 cm from the needle tip onto a square target plate (7.5 cm x 7.5 cm) covered in aluminum foil. Control PS fibers without any n-Al or PFPE were also electrospun using the conditions discussed above in order to validate the reproducibility of the
electrospinning apparatus. When loaded with a blend of PFPE coated n-Al particles, the fibers become dark grey and appear denser than the control PS fibers without any additives (Figure 2).

We also attempted to electrospin fibers loaded with n-Al only (no PFPE), but the metal particles would not remain as a stable suspension in PS/DMF. Interestingly, when n-Al and PFPE were added to the PS/DMF solution independently (i.e., were not pre-coated), the constituents of the suspension would also separate. Polystyrene fibers loaded with a uniform distribution of PFPE coated n-Al fibers can only be produced when the pre-coating method is followed to prevent aggregation/agglomeration of the particles. The results are pictorially summarized in Figure 1; suspension separation was observed by eye; however, when photographed, the pictures failed to produce evident contrast. By not pre-coating the n-Al with PFPE, this causes separation of the suspension, clogging the syringe needle, and ultimately resulting in the inability to electrospin uniform metalized fibers. The requirement of pre-coating the n-Al with the PFPE to make the particles miscible with the matrix was also observed when n-Al/PFPE blends were incorporated into epoxide matrices.6
Figure 2. Electrospun control polystyrene fibers (white) and PS fibers loaded with 11 wt% n-Al/PFPE (dark grey) (top left). SEM images of: pure PS fibers (top right), and PS fibers electrospun with 6 wt% n-Al/PFPE (lower left). TEM image of PS fibers electrospun with 17 wt% n-Al/PFPE (lower right).

Fiber diameters were determined via scanning electron microscopy (SEM, Hitachi S-4800 or JEOL 6460 LV) and representative diameters for a range of fiber loadings are listed in Table 1. SEM images are shown in Figure 2. The pure PS fibers appear to have a smooth and regular surface morphology. Pure PS nanometer-sized fibers were consistently achieved; however, upon loading with n-Al/PFPE, micro-fibers up to 5400 nm diameter were repeatedly achieved with no apparent correlation with wt% loading. A larger variation in diameter was observed for the 17 wt% n-Al/PFPE formulated fibers (1900–7900 nm) whereas electrospinning pure PS consistently produced fibers < 100 nm. The relatively wide range of fiber diameters suggests that while stock solutions appear as homogeneous suspensions, there is inhomogeneity with PFPE treated n-Al, leading to a size distribution of agglomerates. Figure 2 shows a SEM image of PS fibers loaded with 6 wt% n-Al/PFPE that have smooth surfaces and a
varying range of diameters (3100–4300 nm). Interestingly, fibers with 10 wt% n-Al/PFPE loadings produced consistently the smallest size distribution (400–1600 nm). Transmission electron microscopy (TEM, Hitachi H-7600) analysis showed that the nanoparticles are uniformly distributed and embedded in and on the surface of the fibers. A representative TEM image is shown in Figure 2 with a loading of 17 wt% n-Al/PFPE. The particles appear to be evenly distributed along the edges of the fiber and are also embedded in the core of the fiber. PS fibers with loadings higher than 25 wt% n-Al/PFPE could not be generated. Varying experimental parameters (viscosity of suspension, working voltage, flow rate, substrate distance, needle gauge) still failed to produce uniform fibers, but rather formed an agglomerated material at higher concentrations. Yan et al. observed similar agglomeration when electrospinning nitrocellulose based fibers and trying to maximize the mass loading of Al/CuO to make energetic thermite textiles. They found that at loadings above 50 wt% of the nanothermite, the Taylor cone became increasingly unstable thereby causing severe particle agglomeration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Avg fiber diameter[a] (SEM/nm)</th>
<th>$T_d$[b] [°C]</th>
<th>n-Al bal[c] (%)</th>
<th>$T_g$[d] [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PS standard</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS fibers</td>
<td>80 ± 20</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS 6 wt% Al/PFPE</td>
<td>3900 ± 400</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS 10 wt% Al/PFPE</td>
<td>1100 ± 400</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS 17 wt% Al/PFPE</td>
<td>5400 ± 2000</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

[a] Average of 3 electrospinning runs. [b] TGA onset of decomposition (5 °C/min) in nitrogen. [c] Residual n-Al balance recorded after 500 °C using TGA (5 °C/min) in nitrogen. [d] DSC (5 °C/min) in nitrogen determined by third heating cycle.
The thermal stability of the composite fiber system was studied employing thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA, TA Q500) and differential scanning calorimetry (DSC, TA Q20) and resulting measurements for representative fibers are summarized in Table 1. TGA plots of standard PS compared to PS fibers and fibers loaded with n-Al/PFPE blends are shown in Figure 3. Onset of decomposition (T_d) of PS fibers occurs at 376 °C, which agrees with the observed decomposition of standard PS at 373 °C. Low loadings of 6 wt% n-Al/PFPE blends did not affect the decomposition temperatures of the fibers. However, higher loadings of n-Al/PFPE blends result in a shift of T_d to lower onset temperatures. The largest shift (-17 °C) was observed with the highest loading, 25 wt% n-Al/PFPE, to 359 °C. PS and PFPEs are well known to decompose at temperatures > 350 °C into volatile aromatic compounds producing char yields < 1%.18-20 For samples loaded with n-Al/PFPE, remaining mass balances are equivalent to the amount of n-Al loaded in the fibers. As seen in Figure 3, at temperatures above the melt of Al (T_m of 660 °C), the metal begins to oxidize and the mass balance increases.21 DSC analysis was performed on all samples and selected plots are also shown in Figure 3. The glass transition temperature (T_g) did not change upon addition of n-Al/PFPE blend (T_g of 102 °C) which is consistent with previous studies on metalized PFPE epoxides.6 Unlike previous studies, ∆H could not be determined via DSC. Plots are normalized with respect to T_g, yet a discrete exothermic event could not be distinguished even when comparing pure PS fibers with fibers loaded with the fuel-oxidizer blend. It is also interesting to note that even though the presence of aluminum in the highest loadings (25 wt% n-Al/PFPE) serves to catalyze degradation of the fibers to an onset of 359 °C; this composite system would appear to be a suitable for high temperature service conditions.
Figure 3. TOP: TGA in N\textsubscript{2} of the standard PS bullets (blue), electrospun PS fibers (red), fibers loaded with 6 wt\% n-Al/PFPE blend (black), and fibers loaded with 17 wt\% n-Al/PFPE blend (green). BOTTOM: DSC plots of PS fibers (red), fibers loaded with 6 wt\% n-Al/PFPE blend (black), and fibers loaded with 17 wt\% n-Al/PFPE blend (green). Y-axis plotted as exotherm up and plots have been normalized to zero at $T_g$. 
Since thermal analysis did not provide insight into the exothermic nature of the degradation of the samples, flame propagation velocities of composite fibers were determined in addition to thermal analysis. Flame propagation velocities of different samples with varying weight percent loadings of n-Al/PFPE (8-25 wt% n-Al/PFPE) were determined. A schematic of the experimental set-up employed for the determination of the flame propagation velocity of the aluminum-fluoropolymer-epoxy fiber composite is shown in Figure 4. Rectangular strips of the fibers with 10 cm length and 1 cm width were cut and rolled to obtain a cylindrical cross section along the length of the fiber. These samples were then placed inside a steel combustion chamber (48 x 48 x 48 cm) at room temperature and pressure and ignited using a match. A Phantom v7 (Vision Research, Inc., Wayne, NJ) with a Nikon AF Nikkor 52 mm 1:2.8 lens was used to record ignition and flame propagation of the mixtures. The camera captured images of the burning fiber composite, perpendicular to the direction of flame propagation, with a resolution of 256 x 128 pixels. Figure 4 shows sequential snapshots of the progression of the flame front of the fibers burning in the air.
Figure 4. **LEFT:** Schematic for flame propagation experiments. **RIGHT:** High speed video camera footage displaying sequential snapshots of 25 wt\% n-Al/PFPE fibers burning. Fibers are ignited on the right and the flame front propagates to the left.

The Vision Research software was used to post-process the recorded photographic data. With an established reference, the software determined flame velocity based on a distance between sequential time frames. Using a “find edge” image filter that identified preset variations in pixel intensity, the flame front location (which was assumed as the region of the flame with the maximum radiance) was identified and marked for velocity measurements. Three tests were conducted on each sample to ensure repeatability of flame propagation velocity measurements. Polystyrene fibers loaded with low amounts of n-Al/PFPE (≤8 wt\%) were found to have a combustion velocity of $1.885 \pm 0.07$ mm/s and increasing the n-Al/PFPE loading to 25 wt\% increased the flame propagation velocity to $2.308 \pm 0.15$ mm/s. Based on
the determined flame propagation velocities, the loaded fiber composites are slow burning pyrolants reacting at subsonic speeds <1 m/s.\textsuperscript{1} As a comparison with the only recent report of electrospun pyrolant-based fibers, electrospun nitrocellulose fibers loaded with 50 wt% n-Al/CuO produced reactive textiles that were also found to react at subsonic speeds, albeit about an order of magnitude faster than n-Al/PFPE fibers at ca. 100 cm/s.\textsuperscript{4} This separation implies usefulness for very different applications where propulsion versus localized energy generation (as in the case for Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems-MEMS, for example) may be required.

In summary, we were able to successfully electrospin polystyrene fibers loaded with an energetic formulation of n-Al particles pre-coated with PFPE. Thermal analysis revealed that increased loadings of n-Al/PFPE results in a decrease in temperatures of decomposition consistent with previous work on epoxy-based metallized bulk composites. Flame propagation studies confirm increased combustion rates with increased loadings of the energetic blend into the fibers. Electrospinning of energetic fibers is a novel way to prepare pyrolant mats. Selecting other polymer systems to electrospin with n-Al/PFPE blends in order to explore other morphologies may increase the flame propagation velocities of fibers, and would be the next logical concentration for on-going work.
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