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Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Process Goals

- Build a process that is
  - Adaptable to changing user needs
  - Maintainable to implement changes rapidly
  - Flexible to support a solid architectural framework
    - Modularized components
    - Reusable components
Process Goals Continued

- Build a process that is NOT

  - Cumbersome to understand (shelfware, big honkin’ binder)

  - Circumvented when schedule and cost pressures affect a program

  - Difficult to maintain
    - Changes require redesign
    - Fixing one error propagates more errors
    - No programmer wants to be assigned to the team
Build a process that supports

- Daily needs of the engineers and managers
- Various levels of expertise
- Multiple disciplines with a unified process
- Ownership by the engineers
Proven Approach – Overview

- Define the Level of Detail
- Define the Design
- Define the Environment

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Proven Approach – Level of Detail Expert

- Establish a 3-tiered approach to process definition:

  - Expert Level is defined by a Graphical Representation designed for highly experienced engineers that
    - Teach others how to apply the organization’s processes
    - Design and implement technical aspects of the programs
    - Improve the business objectives
 Establish a 3-tiered approach to process definition:

- Intermediate Level is defined by a Textual Representation with tailoring options designed for moderately experienced engineers that

  - **Execute** the organization’s processes
  - Design and implement technical aspects of the programs
  - **Apply** the business objectives
Proven Approach – Level of Detail Beginner

Establish a 3-tiered approach to process definition:

- Beginner Level is defined by a Textual Representation with tailoring options and checklists designed for inexperienced engineers that are
  - Learning the organization’s processes
  - Assisting with the design and implementation of technical aspects of the programs
  - Understanding the business objectives
Establish components to define the process:

- Graphical representation called Cartography
  - High level overview of the process to include link to policy, training, frequently asked questions, and Quality Assurance Tips
  - Web pages chosen as the method of implementation
  - Implemented using MS-Visio to create symbols (other tools are available)
Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused

Approach – Design
Sample Cartography Component

Requirements Management
Establish components to define the process:

- Textual representation called Step Action Tables (SAT)
  - Step by step details of the process
  - Web pages chosen as the method of implementation
  - Implemented using HTML and Java languages (other tools are available)
**Approach – Design**  
**Sample SAT Component**

### Requirements Management Step Action Table

(as of April 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Verify Entry Criteria</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Verify that Project initiation has been completed or system requirements allocated to software have been modified</td>
<td>Project Leader(PL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Record/review the project purpose and for Standard Software Process (SSP) projects, <strong>Scope, Goals, and Objectives</strong> in the <strong>Project Tracking Form (PTF)</strong> Description section, as needed</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Acquire the <strong>Software Requirements Specification (SRS) Package</strong> and record initial data and remaining data as tasks below are completed using the <strong>Requirements Checklist</strong> or an approved group-specific Requirements Checklist, and referencing the <strong>Requirements Compliance Checklist</strong></td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Record/review the following data in the <strong>PTF</strong> Schedule Breakdown section for the Requirements Management and Project Planning phases:</td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Requirements as a <strong>critical milestone</strong> or not</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Actual start date</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Adjusted effort and date estimates (may use calculate button in PDB to acquire adjusted estimate date based on the actual start date)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Development Team Members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Measurements

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Referencing the SRS Package, record the total number of initial requirements in the Requirement Volatility Metric in the Project Metrics sheet of the <strong>Automated Metric Analysis Tool (AMAT)</strong></td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>Verify/record actual hours in <strong>SERTS</strong> under the Requirements <strong>lifecycle activity</strong>, tailoring decisions in the <strong>PTF</strong> and requirements completion date in the Schedule Breakdown section of the <strong>PTF</strong></td>
<td>PL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused**
Approach – Design Components
Tabular Representation

- Establish components to define the process:
  
  - Tabular Representation (Decision Tables)
    
    - Column based artifact stating *when* y exists *then* perform x
    
    - Web pages chosen as the method of implementation
    
    - Implemented using HTML language (other tools are available)
    
    - Methodology to document tailoring options

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
# Approach – Design

## Sample DT Component

### Software Requirements Specification Package Decision Table

(as of April 2006)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When</th>
<th>Then</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Software Requirements Specification (SRS) Package</strong> exists</td>
<td>Acquire and modify SRS Package, as applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Package does not exist, and Customer or First Level Supervisor (FLS) / Group Leader (GL) does not require a formal requirements document</td>
<td>Acquire one of the following to complete the SRS Package:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The <em>Software Requirements Specification Form (SRSF)</em>, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• An approved SRS Database that captures all data items listed in the SRSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRS Package does not exist, and Customer or FLS/GL requires a formal requirements document</td>
<td>Acquire the <em>Requirements Traceability Matrix (RTM) Template</em> and one of the following to complete the SRS Package:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The <em>Condensed SRS (CSRS) Template</em>, or</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• The <em>SRS Template</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Establish artifacts to supplement the process:

- **Definitions**
  - Clarifies terminology based on the organization’s processes

- **Checklists**
  - Derived from a checklist template
  - Identifies detailed questions to elaborate on the process
  - Focuses on product and process quality
Approach – Design Artifacts

Forms

- Establish artifacts to supplement the process:
  - Forms
    - Provides a unified method to collect data consistently
    - Identifies minimum data required
    - Focuses on capturing the right data to measure progress and quality
Establish artifacts to supplement the process:

- Plans
  - Derived from a specific template (Requirements Specification, Test Plan)
    - Identifies formal information required by the customer
    - Focuses on product quality
Web-based Processes

- HTML Processes
  - Allows for centralized access and easy navigation

- XML Configuration Files
  - Allows for easy modifications of changing data and implementation of business rules
Development of In-House Tools

- **Standard Engineering Request Tracking System (SERTS)** – tracks change requests as well as estimated and actual hours expended

- **Process Database Tool (PDB)** – centralizes data, captures information to track progress and provides real-time insight into status

- **Automated Metric Analysis (AMAT)** – extracts data from PDB and SERTS to provide a means to track project goals against organizational goals and perform quantitative analysis on performance

- **Shared Knowledge Provider (SKP)** – provides access to process improvement tools and project data via a centralized web page
Statistical Analysis Methods

- Statistical Process Control with moving range to allow for analysis to determine if the processes are stable and under control

- Mini tab tool provides numerous charts and graphs to aide in data analysis
Produce Process Champions

- Believes their individual words and actions (when applied through disciplined processes that are continually improved) ultimately improve the project performance and build a more positive work environment.

- Displays a constant willingness to help others understand, utilize and improve the processes by effectively communicating the direct benefits they will receive.

- Transforms the engineer’s frustrations into positive energy and focus towards building a better organization.
Process Management Infrastructure - Overview

- Executive Steering Committee (ESC)
- Senior Management (SM)
- Standard Enterprise Process Group (SEPG)
- Project Support Office (PSO)
- Technical Work Group (TWG)
- User Group (UG)
- Target Group (TG)

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Executive Steering Committee (ESC)

- Membership includes 3 Senior Executives and 5 Senior Managers
- Identifies process improvement approach and allocates funding and resources
- Provides oversight of Organization Standard Process (OSP), policies, charters, Process Improvement Plan (PIP), and budget
- Supports ESC Meetings bi-monthly to review progress of planned versus actual tasks
Senior Management (SM)

- Membership includes 5 Senior Managers
- Guides and directs activities to achieve the strategic goals
- Supports SM Meetings bi-monthly to review SEPG status
- Addresses resource, budget, and training issues
Standard Enterprise Process Group (SEPG)

- Membership includes 7 to 10 Group Leaders and the Organization Quality Assurance (QA) Manager

- Facilitates the definition, execution, and improvement of policies and processes

- Represents each target group's interests, processes change requests and addresses action plans
Common Enterprise Process Group (SEPG)

- Oversees Technical Work Group, Project Support Office, User Group and Training activities
- Performs Defect Prevention, Quantitative Process Management, and Technology Change Management activities
- Provides training courses and status briefings to ESC and SM
Project Support Office (PSO)

- Composed of 5 regular and 2 rotating positions

- Performs QA activities, training management, and process maintenance

- Maintains/Creates applications supporting process improvement

- Provides support to the SEPG
Process Management
Infrastructure – TWG/UG

- **Technical Work Groups (TWG)**
  - Composed of engineers representing each target group
  - Develops processes and accompanying artifacts

- **User Group (UG)**
  - Composed of representatives from all groups
  - Resolves process issues at the engineering level

*Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused*
Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused

Process Management
Infrastructure - TG

Target Group (TG)

- Adheres to Organization Standard Process
- Addresses Compliance Issues
- Submits Change Requests
- Reports Project Status
- Attends User Group Meetings
- Supports Technical Work Groups
Process Execution – Overview

Process Development Process

- Maintenance vs New
- Cartography
- Step Action Table
- Artifacts
- Supporting Processes
- Evaluating Processes

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Process Execution – Maintenance vs New

- Maintenance
  - Process exists and is controlled
  - Must follow the Organization Standard Process to update the processes according to the processes

- New
  - Process does not exist
  - Must follow Process Development Process
Process Execution – Cartography

Process Development

Process Overview

New Process required

Analyze Process Requirements

Requirements List [initial]

Schedule [initial]

Develop/Update Process Components

Process Artifacts [initial]

Verify Work Products

Process Artifacts [reviewed]

Pilot Process [draft]

Lesson Learned Form [draft]

Execute Training

Training Database [updated]

Feedback [draft]

Measurements

April 2006

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Process Execution – Cartography Symbols

Cartography symbols

- Entry Criteria defines conditions that must be met to initiate the process
- Activity Box defines action that results in the creation/modification of an artifact to the next higher state
- Artifact is the direct result of an activity taking place and displays an associated state as the artifact progresses within the development cycle
- Process measurements are collection points for process data
- Dashed lines indicate the component is optional. Activity boxes, artifact boxes and measurement components can be optional
Use predefined cartography symbols

Include entry criteria, activity box, artifact box and measurement at a minimum

Include no more than 7 activity boxes per process

Start Activity Boxes with a verb in the description

Display optional components with dashed lines

Link to the process to information about the policy, training, frequently asked questions and quality assurance tips

Must have a last updated date
Process Execution – Step Action Table

- Process Development Process Steps
  - Verify Entry Criteria
  - Analyze Process Requirements
  - Develop/Update Process Components
  - Verify Work Products
  - Pilot Process
  - Execute Training
  - Measurements
Process Execution –
Step Action Table Continued

- Verify Entry Criteria
  - Project Leader (PL) verifies a Standard Engineering Request Form (SERF) is received stating a new process must be developed

- Analyze Process Requirements
  - PL reviews existing process artifacts based upon current requirements
  - PL reviews the Lessons Learned Forms (LLFs) in the Generic Lessons Learned, Risks and Waivers project to gain insight on process and management lessons learned for process development
  - PL identifies resources required (i.e., tools, person hours, hardware, etc.) and provides estimated hours for updating/developing the process to the applicable Configuration Control Board (CCB) and the First Level Supervisor (FLS)/Group Leader (GL)
Develop/Update Process Components

PL develops/updates the following process artifacts according to the Process Development section of the Standards and Style Guide:

- Cartography
- Step Action Table (SATs)
- Forms
- Checklists, as required
- Decision Tables (DTs), as required
- Templates, as required

PL updates the Standard Definitions, as required
Verify Work Products

- PL verifies the process artifacts are complete and correct
- PL provides the process artifacts to the CCB and FLS/GL for review
- PL updates the process artifacts based on the CCB and FLS/GL feedback
- PL submits the process artifacts to CCB for piloting
Pilot Process

- Configuration Control Board (CCB) selects project(s)/group(s) to pilot the process artifacts

- CCB defines the piloting period start date and completion date

- CCB trains the Project Leaders (PLs) and Development Team Members (DTMs) on the process being piloted

- CCB solicits feedback from piloting projects/groups after the piloting period has ended and provides to the PL
## Pilot Process

- PL updates the process artifacts based on the piloting feedback and generates lessons learned using the LLFs in the Generic Lessons Learned, Risks, and Waivers project.

- PL submits the final process artifacts and the lessons learned to CCB for baseline approval.

- CCB submits finalized process artifacts and lessons learned to the SEPG and the QA Managers, if the process needs to be incorporated into the OSP.
Process Execution – Step Action Table Continued

- Execute Training
  - CCB executes the Training Process with affected individuals

- Measurements
  - PL, CCB verifies/records actual hours in Standard Engineering Request Tracking System (SERTS) under the Implementation lifecycle activity to develop, review, update and train the process
Process Execution – Artifacts

- Requirements List
- Schedule
- Process Artifacts
- Feedback
- Lessons Learned Form
- Updated Training Database

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Process Execution – Supporting Processes

Training Process

Executed by the Organization Training Manager to

- Identify training needs
- Schedule classes
- Track attendance
- Acquire feedback on training effectiveness
Process Execution – Evaluating Processes

- Capture the estimated and actual effort expended to define, implement, review and test the new process.

- Capture the estimated and actual start and completion dates for the new process.

- Capture the resources (number of people, tools, training, etc.) utilized on the new process.

- Capture number of post release defects associated with the new process.
Capture the number of compliance issues encountered while executing the Process Development Process.
Applying Techniques

- Demonstrate Shared Knowledge Provider functionality

- Define a process using a real-world scenario
Questions
Acronyms

- AMAT – Automated Metric Analysis Tool
- CCB – Configuration Control Board
- CG – Communications Group
- CM – Configuration Management
- DT – Decision Table
- DTM – Development Team Member
- ESC – Executive Steering Committee
- FLS – First Level Supervisor
- GL – Group Leader
- LLF – Lessons Learned Form
- OSP – Organization Standard Process
- PDB – Process Database

Operationally Oriented; Customer Focused
Acronyms

- PIP – Process Improvement Plan
- PL – Project Leader
- PSO – Project Support Office
- QA – Quality Assurance
- SAT – Step Action Table
- SEPG – Standard Enterprise Process Group
- SERTS – Standard Engineering Request Tracking System
- SKP – Shared Knowledge Provider
- SM – Senior Management
- TG – Target Group
- TWG – Technical Work Group
- UG – User Group
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