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Abstract—Most existing radar algorithms are developed under the assumption that the environment (clutter) is stationary. However, in practice, the characteristics of the clutter can vary enormously depending on the radar-operational scenarios. If unaccounted for, these nonstationary variabilities may drastically hinder the radar performance. Therefore, to overcome such shortcomings, we develop a data-driven method for target detection in nonstationary environments. In this method, the radar dynamically detects changes in the environment and adapts to these changes by learning the new statistical characteristics of the environment and by intelligibly updating its statistical detection algorithm. Specifically, we employ drift detection algorithms to detect changes in the environment; incremental learning, particularly learning under concept drift algorithms, to learn the new statistical characteristics of the environment from the new radar data that become available in batches over a period of time. The newly learned environment characteristics are then integrated in the detection algorithm. We use Monte Carlo simulations to demonstrate that the developed method provides a significant improvement in the detection performance compared with detection techniques that are not aware of the environmental changes.

Index Terms—Active drift learning, cognitive radar, data-driven adaptive radar, incremental learning, nonstationary environment.

I. INTRODUCTION

Increasing the accuracy of target detection and tracking is of great importance in military and coastal security operations, navigation, and maritime rescue operations. To guarantee the accuracy of both detection and estimation, clutter, interference, and noise must be suppressed to make the target signal distinguished. However, in practical scenarios, the characteristics of clutter backscattering can vary enormously depending on the region where the radar focuses its beam at a particular instant (or, maybe during a few successive instants). Even when the radar operation is restricted to a particular region, the change in weather conditions (temperature, wind speed, humidity, etc.) can drastically change the clutter statistics in maritime environments. Additionally, a highly dynamic target, e.g., moving through an urban canyon, can show high nonstationarity due to frequent appearance and disappearance in the radar coverage area.

A considerable amount of research work has been done to design radar systems that improve the performance of target detection and tracking in the presence of these various forms of nonstationarity. Particularly, in recent years, the desire for a radar system that can effectively sense its scenario, learn from its experience, and adapt to the changes in environment has been emphasized, and a conceptual framework for a cognitive fully adaptive radar that includes these three components has been laid out [1]–[3]. To implement this cognitive radar framework, several intelligent methods have been developed (mostly considering each component separately).

1) Practical methods to identify the distribution of the radar measurements using a prelearned dictionary of possible probability density functions (pdfs) representing the clutter characteristics [4]–[6], and to develop auto-regressive modeling of clutter distribution with a knowledge-aided Bayesian covariance estimation [7]–[10] for target detection.

2) Adaptive weighted sum of clutter covariance estimates with exponentially decaying weights and predetermined delay constants [11], [12], waveform design that depends on the clutter characteristics [13], and nonhomogeneity detector in training data [14] for spatio-temporal adaptive processing applications.

3) Target-state-dependent radar measurement models integrated in a fully adaptive cognitive radar framework [1], [15], [16] for target tracking.

These existing methods address important practical issues in the realization of the cognitive radar framework. However, these methods suffer from three shortcomings: 1) They have been developed under the assumption of parametric distribution for the clutter and noise processes; 2) A dictionary of possible pdfs is assumed to exist; and 3) The problem of estimating the time instant when the statistical characteristics of the data changes is not answered. To overcome these shortcomings, in
this letter, we develop machine-learning-based target detection algorithms that enable us to take a holistic approach to fully realize the cognitive radar framework. Our proposed radar system provides a unifying approach by autonomously learning and estimating the change-point in the statistical characteristics of the scenario, and by accordingly adapting to the environment with the incorporation of newly learned environment statistics into the target detection algorithm.

Specifically, we utilize incremental learning and drift detection algorithms for building a detection method that incrementally learns the environment and updates the system parameters on the fly. This becomes possible as the radar always provides labels or labeled data (e.g., labels for target presence or absence) along with different confidence measures from the received measurements in a sequential manner. Thus, we also bypass the requirement of obtaining training data for infinitely many representations of the nonstationary characteristics to devise an efficient supervised learning algorithm.

While incrementally learning in a nonstationary environment, one major problem that the proposed intelligent radar system encounters is concept drift. Concept drift refers to changes in the distributions of the measured data (correspondingly the environment model) that are used for incremental learning [17]–[30]. Therefore, in order to learn in the presence of a concept drift, the radar system needs to employ incremental learning together with the active drift detection algorithms for detecting the nonstationarities in the environment. This can be implemented in two different ways: 1) active and 2) passive concept drift learning [22], [23].

We consider an active drift learning setup in this letter. This implies that the radar system first estimates the time when a change occurs in the environment distribution from which the measurement data are drawn, and then modifies its detection algorithm with the new distribution to continue the sequential learning. Consequently, this data-driven approach complements the existing techniques as it is not limited to any specific clutter/environment type and to any parametric modeling approach.

Mathematical Notations: In the rest of the letter, we assume that $C_c$ for $c \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the two classes that represent the absence and presence of the target, respectively. In other words, $C_0$ and $C_1$ are equivalent to $H_0$ and $H_1$ of target detection hypotheses, respectively. For every $c \in \{0, 1\}$, $H_0^c$ and $H_1^c$ are the hypotheses corresponding to “no-change” and “change” in the distribution of data corresponding to class $C_c$.

We denote the calibration data set collected for both classes as $\mathcal{Y}_0 = \{y_t, r_t\}$ for $t = 1, \ldots, T_0$, with $y_t$ as the radar measurement, $T_0$ as the number of measurements in the calibration data, and $r_t = 0$ if $y_t \in C_0$ and $r_t = 1$ if $y_t \in C_1$.

II. PROPOSED DETECTION METHOD

In order to analyze the nonstationarity in the environment returns, we assume that some initial distribution models have already been estimated from the precollected data. In this letter, we refer to the precollected data as calibration data. We mention here that the calibration data are more general than the well-known radar secondary data; this is because the calibration data include measurements of both the target and nontarget components, whereas the conventional secondary data represent only the nontarget (clutter) data.

After modeling the initial distributions, our next task is to develop learning algorithms that can effectively model the nonstationarities caused by the changes in the environment. An important step in that endeavor is to detect whether or not there is any change in the statistical properties of the environmental measurements. Assuming the change point to be deterministic but unknown, we calculate it by minimizing the supremum of the average detection delay conditioned on the observed radar measurements with a constraint on the mean time between false alarms.

Mathematically, this means that using the batches of radar data observed up until the measurement time $n$, $Y_0^n, \ldots, Y_n^n$, $c \in \{0, 1\}$, we estimate the time when the change in the statistical characteristics of the data from class $c$ is occurring by employing the following constrained optimization problem [31], [32]

$$\arg\min_{\tau} \sup_{n \geq 1} \text{ess sup} E_n \left[ (\tau - n)^+ | \mathcal{Y}_0^n, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}^c \right]$$

such that $E_n[\tau] \geq \alpha$ (1)

where $\tau$ is the stopping time such that when it takes a value $k$ (it means that there is a change point at or prior to time $k$); sup stands for supremum; ess sup is the essential supremum of a set of random variables that we define below in more detail; $x^+ = \max(0, x)$ for any variable $x$; $E_n[\cdot]$ is the expectation taken with respect to a distribution $p_n^c$ (such that under $p_n^c$, $\mathcal{Y}_0^n, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}^c$ are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) with a fixed marginal distribution for $c \in \{0, 1\}$); $E_n[\tau]$ represents the mean time between false alarms assuming that change never happens in the data stream, and $\alpha$ is a predefined threshold. Essential supremum of a set of random variables $X$ is a random variable $Z$ with the following properties: 1) $P(Z \geq X) = 1 \forall X \in \mathcal{X}$; and 2) $\{P(Y \geq X) = 1 \forall X \in \mathcal{X}\} \rightarrow P(Y \geq Z) = 1 \forall X \in \mathcal{X}$, where $P(\cdot)$ represents the probability [31]. In light of the definitions above, the solution to the constraint optimization problem in (1) minimizes the supremum of the average delay conditioned on the worst case realization of $\mathcal{Y}_0^n, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_{n-1}^c$ over all $p_n$, $n \geq 1$ [31], [32].

Now, depending on the characterization of $p_n^c$, the solution of the problem in (1) can be further sub-categorized into two different cases: 1) known parametric distribution of $p_n^c$, i.e., when we have prior knowledge on the calibration data such that the radar scattering from the environment follows a specific parametric distribution family; and 2) unknown distribution of $p_n^c$, i.e., when we have no prior knowledge about the family of the distribution of the radar data. We consider the first case in this letter.

We obtain an optimal solution of (1) under the assumption that $\mathcal{Y}_0^n, \ldots, \mathcal{Y}_n^n$ for $c \in \{0, 1\}$ are i.i.d. samples that are drawn from a parametric distribution with pdf $p_{0\Theta}(y)$ [33]–[37]. When there is a change in the statistical characteristics of the new batch of radar data, we assume that the pdf model of the family does not change, but the change is modeled as a transition from one parameter $\Theta_0$ (the null hypothesis for
class $C_c$, $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{c}$) to another $\theta_1^c$ (alternative hypothesis for class $C_c$, $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{c}$). Recall that for a specific class $C_c$, $c \in \{0, 1\}$ represents the absence or presence of target in the area covered by the radar, and $\mathcal{H}_{0}^{0}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{1}^{1}$ are two hypotheses corresponding to “no-change” and “change” in the distribution of the radar data obtained for class $C_c$.

The optimum stopping time $\tau$ for the problem in (1) is found using CUmulative SUM (CUSUM) method which is calculated as follows [33]–[37]:

$$\tau = \inf \left\{ n \geq 1 : \left( g(Y_0^n, \ldots, Y_n^n) = R_n - \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} R_k \right) \geq b \right\}$$  

(2)

where $n$ is the batch index as defined before, $b$ is a pre-defined threshold, and $R_k = \sum_{t=1}^{k} \frac{p(y_t | Y_0^n)}{p(y_t | \theta_0)}$ [31]–[33]. Before a change point, the accumulated log-likelihood sum $R_n$ moves toward $-\infty$; whereas after the change point, if the change happened in the favor of $\theta_1^c$, $R_n$ starts to move toward $\infty$. Therefore, the condition $(R_n - \min_{1 \leq k \leq n} R_k) \geq b$ optimally estimates if and when the change in the behavior of $R_n$ occurs within $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Calculating $\tau$ from (2) requires the knowledge of the parameters $\theta_0^c$, $\theta_1^c$, and threshold value $b$. We use the calibration data $Y_0^n$ for every class $c \in \{0, 1\}$, and the knowledge of the parametric distribution underlying the data to find an estimate of $\theta_0^c$. We then compute the confidence intervals for the estimation of the parameters $\theta_0^c$ and assign the confidence interval extrems to $\theta_1^c$. Once we have the parameter sets $\theta_0^c$ and $\theta_1^c$, we compute (2) using the calibration data and assign $b = \max_{1 \leq k \leq T_0} g(Y_0^n)$ recalling that $Y_0^n = y_t | r_t = c$ for $t = 1, \ldots, T_0$ [33]. Following the approach described above, when there is a change in the statistical characteristics of the environment from one known parametric distribution to another known parametric distribution, we illustrate the performance of CUSUM on change point detection and the effect of adapting the detection algorithm to the new environment model on a target detection problem in Section III.

III. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we present simulation results of target detection in the presence of nonstationary interference. We show improved performance by using a detector that applies the CUSUM method to detect and estimate the change in the clutter distribution.

To setup the problem, we assume that the radar is collecting measurements from multiple range cells (indexed by $j$) over a sequence of coherent processing intervals (indexed by $k$). In each interval, it receives and processes $N$ temporal samples. Without loss of generality, the target is assumed to be present in the $j = 1$ range cell, and it remains in that cell during the entire processing of $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$ coherent intervals. Furthermore, the target response is considered to be known and constant, which we denote as $a$. Then, the detection problem of the $j$th range cell at the $k$th coherent interval can be expressed as

$$\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_0 : y^{(j)}_k &= n^{(j)}_k \\
\mathcal{H}_1 : y^{(j)}_k &= a1 + n^{(j)}_k
\end{align*}$$

(5)

for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, K$, and $j = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, where each vector is of dimension $N \times 1$. We model the nonstationarity in the clutter returns $n^{(j)}_k$ by representing a change of distribution from Gaussian to count-Gaussian at the processing interval $k = k_0$. Therefore, for $k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_0$, we have $n^{(j)}_k \sim N(0, \sigma^2 I)$ with a known $\sigma^2$. However, for $k = k_0 + 1, \ldots, K$, the clutter distribution modifies to $n^{(j)}_k \sim N(0, (1/\nu_k)\sigma^2 I)$, where $(1/\nu_k)$ follows a gamma distribution with unit mean and a known shape parameter $\nu > 0$.

To deal with such a nonstationary clutter characteristics, our proposed machine learning based radar first checks for any change in the clutter distribution by employing the CUSUM test, and then modifies the detection algorithm in accordance with the changed (if any) distribution.

We detect the change point of the clutter distribution by testing for the confidence intervals for the sample mean and sample variance. Specifically, we assume the availability of a batch of $N_t$ training measurements $\{Y_0^{c,n}, \ldots, Y_{n}^{c,n}\}$, where $c \in \{0, 1\}$ denote the two classes that represent the absence and presence of the target, respectively; and $Y_0^{c,n} = \{y_0^{c,n}, r_0^{c,n}\}$ with $y_0^{c,n}$ belongs to the target-absent class $N(0, \sigma^2 I)$, and $r_0^{c,n}$ is $1$ when $y_0^{c,n}$ belongs to the target-present class $N(a1, \sigma^2 I)$. Then, for each class $c \in \{0, 1\}$, we compute the
log-likelihood ratio computation from \( \ln \) is declared when sample mean \( \hat{\mu}^c \) and sample variance \( s^2c \) as

\[
\hat{\mu}^c = \frac{1}{N_t N} \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} 1^T y^c_n
\]
\[
s^2c = \frac{1}{N_t N - 1} \sum_{n=1}^{N_t} (y^c_n - \hat{\mu}^c 1)^T (y^c_n - \hat{\mu}^c 1).
\]

Subsequently, noting that the sample mean and variance follow Gaussian distribution and chi-square distribution with \( N_t N - 1 \) degrees of freedom, respectively, the lower and upper limits on the 95% confidence intervals of mean and variance under \( \mathcal{H}_1 \) are calculated as

\[
\alpha_{ll} = \hat{\mu}^1 - 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N_t N}}, \quad \alpha_{ul} = \hat{\mu}^1 + 1.96 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{N_t N}}
\]
\[
\sigma_{ll}^2 = \frac{(N_t N - 1)s^2}{\chi^2_{N_t N}(\alpha/2)}, \quad \sigma_{ul}^2 = \frac{(N_t N - 1)s^2}{\chi^2_{N_t N}(1 - \alpha/2)}
\]

where \( \alpha = 0.05 \). Next, we evaluate \( R_k = \sum_{n=1}^{k} \ln \frac{p(y^c_n | \theta^1)}{p(y^c_n | \theta^2)} \) and \( g_n = R_n - \min_{1 \leq n \leq N} R_k \), and use the CUSUM method of (2) to determine the change in the distribution with parameters from \( \theta^1 = [\alpha, \sigma^2] \) to either \( \theta^1 = [\alpha_{ll}, \sigma_{ll}^2] \), or \( \theta^1 = [\alpha_{ul}, \sigma_{ul}^2] \), or \( \theta^1 = [\alpha_{ll}, \sigma_{ul}^2] \), or \( \theta^1 = [\alpha_{ul}, \sigma_{ll}^2] \). The change in the distribution is declared when \( g_n \geq b \), where \( b \) is chosen from the training data as \( b = \max_{1 \leq n \leq N} g_n \). Similar training is also done with the data obtained for \( \mathcal{H}_0 \). A change is declared in the statistical conditions of environment when change is detected in the distributions under \( \mathcal{H}_0 \) and/or \( \mathcal{H}_1 \).

The performance characteristic of the change point detection and estimation is shown in Fig. 1 in terms of the cumulative distribution function of the estimation delay.

Once such a change in the clutter distribution is detected at \( k = k_0 \), the proposed radar accordingly modifies the log-likelihood ratio computation from \( \ln \frac{p(y^c_j | \mu, \sigma^2; \mathcal{H}_1)}{p(y^c_j | \sigma^2; \mathcal{H}_0)} \), for \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_0 \), to \( \ln \frac{p(y^c_j | \mu, \nu, \sigma^2; \mathcal{H}_1)}{p(y^c_j | \sigma^2; \mathcal{H}_0)} \) for \( k = k_0 + 1, \ldots, K \). This corresponds to the modification of the test statistic from \( \{1^T y^c_j, k = 1, 2, \ldots, k_0 \} \to \{\ln |y^c_j|^T (y^c_j + \sigma^2 \nu) - \ln |y^c_j - \alpha 1|^T (y^c_j - \alpha 1) + \sigma^2 \nu\}, k = k_0 + 1, \ldots, K \) for every range cell \( j \).

The detection performance of the proposed algorithm is shown in Fig. 2 in terms of the receiver operating characteristics (ROCs) at two different SNR values. This plot additionally includes the ROCs of a conventional radar detector that does not understand the change in the clutter distribution and applies the standard log-likelihood ratio \( \ln \frac{p(y^c_j | \mu, \sigma^2; \mathcal{H}_1)}{p(y^c_j | \sigma^2; \mathcal{H}_0)} \) for all the processing intervals \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, K \). Comparing the blue with red curves, particularly in lower probability of false alarm regions where a radar system typically operates, a substantial improvement in the detection performance of the proposed radar due to the incorporation of the modified clutter characteristics is clearly evident from these ROC plots.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this letter, we developed a machine-learning-based detection algorithm to detect a target in the presence of nonstationary environment (clutter). It is not possible to devise a supervised learning algorithm to model infinitely many representations of the nonstationary characteristics. Therefore, we employed the incremental learning and drift detection algorithms for building a detection algorithm that incrementally learns the environment and updates the system parameters on the fly. In addition to incremental learning, we used an active drift learning technique to detect and estimate any change-point (if present) in the environment distribution. Our numerical examples showed that the proposed method is able to quickly detect a change in the underlying clutter distribution, and as a consequence produced a substantially improved detection performance compared to a conventional algorithm that was not aware of any environmental change. In our future work, we will extend our model to incorporate a Bayesian formulation of the change point parameter. We will also explore the active drift learning under noisy labels and passive drift leaning methodologies. Additionally, we will validate the performance of our proposed technique with real data.
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