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I. INTRODUCTION:
Previous MRI studies in multiple sclerosis (MS) have retrospectively analyzed normal-appearing brain tissue in locations where typical MS lesions ultimately appeared, finding pre-lesional changes in several MRI metrics. However, studies have not been entirely consistent and the development of a prototypical MS lesion cannot as yet be prospectively predicted. The primary objective of this project is to validate the “preactive” lesion hypothesis in MS by identifying the spatiotemporal imaging signature of white matter (WM) destined to undergo acute, focal inflammation and demyelination—specifically, one that will allow reliable, prospective detection of nascent lesions before they appear on conventional (non-quantitative) imaging. The specific aim is to acquire a longitudinal set of quantitative MRI metrics in MS patients and perform a multivariate spatiotemporal analysis of pre-lesional, normal-appearing white matter, seeking spatially clustered interval changes that presage the appearance of a typical MS plaque.

II. BODY:
The key accomplishments achieved over the course of the project are detailed below, keyed to the tasks outlined in the Statement of Work.

II.A. Subject enrollment:
Recruitment for the study was challenging as several disease-modifying therapies were approved by the FDA and made available to patients during this phase of the study; this made it difficult to find subjects with active disease (necessary for new lesions to study) who were willing to forego therapy for the duration of the study (required by inclusion criteria). Seven treatment-naïve MS patients meeting inclusion criteria were ultimately enrolled and completed the eight monthly MRI scans. As it happened, the delay in enrollment may have been a “blessing in disguise” as it allowed us to continue the preparatory development and optimization of MRI acquisition methods, leading to several key accomplishments as detailed in the next section.

II.B. MRI acquisition:
We made several technical advances in quantitative MRI acquisition methods for the project, specifically in quantitative magnetization transfer (MT) imaging [1-7].

We developed a novel method for simultaneous calibration of excitation flip angle and MT saturation power [1-2]; accounting for B1 and flip angle variations is critical for longitudinal stability of MT imaging which, in turn, is required for the tracking of changes that occur in lesions over time. Previous studies relied on either B1 maps or flip angle maps, but not both, to correct both excitation flip and MT saturation power, which may result in significant errors in MT parameters. Our new method enabled the accounting for both sources of errors and, as a result, yielded more accurate MT measures (Fig. 1).

Figure 1. Left: Bound pool fraction f in a homogeneous gel phantom calculated using old MT method AFI (FA) and new method (BS-AFI). Right: Representative profiles through the images showing the old method overestimating the key MT measure in the areas close to the edges.
We also developed a new quantitative MT imaging method, modified cross-relaxation imaging, (mCRI) [5], which decreases the number of acquired images and scan time relative to existing methods while yielding more accurate (by ~16%) values of macromolecular proton fraction (MPF), an imaging biomarker of myelin content. This was achieved via novel modeling of MT exchange processes in steady-state sequences, an existing method that was transformed into a fast, minimum-acquisition protocol capable of mapping a subset of qMTI measures including MPF and T1 using only three MRI scans [6-7] (up to 60% reduction over state-of-the-art techniques). This advance was achieved by applying physiologically relevant constraints on the remaining model parameters and optimizing acquisition to minimize the impact of these parameters on accuracy. **Fig. 2** shows results of fast mCRI and illustrates the limitations of \( R1 = 1/T1 \) as an indirect measure of myelin. **Fig. 3** favorably compares MPF with an alternative MT-based myelin marker based on inhomogeneous MT effect (ihMTR). The final 7 min version of the protocol was applied in study subjects.

MPF imaging in WM is illustrated by **Fig. 5** below. Note that two different lesions appearing similar on standard T2-FLAIR images demonstrate different levels of tissue damage on MPF (green arrow – lesion with high residual myelination, red arrow – lesion with virtually no residual myelination). **Fig. 6** illustrates the promise of MPF to improve detection of focal GM pathology compared to conventional MRI and state-of-the-art double inversion recovery (DIR).

**Figure 2.** MPF and R1=1/T1 maps using our fast three-measurement mCRI with flip angle correction. Note excellent myelin contrast on MPF map.

**Figure 3.** Comparison of MPF and ihMTR myelin-sensitive maps optimized to run in the same time (7 min; ihMTR: 1.9x1.9x3mm, 60 slices; MPF: 1.5 mm isotropic, 120 slices). Note much higher resolution/quality of MPF.

**Figure 5.** Two MS lesions appear similar on standard T2 FLAIR but different on MPF, presumably reflecting different levels of demyelination.

**Figure 6.** GM lesions on MPF, DIR (state-of-the-art GM imaging method in MS), and standard IR-SPGR and 3D T2 FLAIR. Note improved GM lesion depiction on MPF.
II.C. Image Processing:
We developed a semi-automated image processing pipeline for multiparametric images, with key stages including brain extraction, co-registration of images from different modalities and time-points, and segmentation of normal-appearing white matter (Figs. 7-8). All imaging data acquired in different orientations (axial and sagittal) were first converted to the NIFTI image format and their orientations changed to axial (orientation of the reference data). Skull stripping (brain extraction) was then performed on high-resolution 3D BRAVO T1-weighted images using the Brain Extraction Tool in the FSL software library (FMRIB, Oxford, UK). To correct intensity variations due to magnetic field inhomogeneity, non-uniformity correction was applied on the extracted brain BRAVO image using JIM analysis software (Xinapse Systems, UK). This reference BRAVO image was then co-registered to the MNI152 template (Montreal Neurological Institute) using the FLIRT (FMRIB Linear Image Registration Tool) brain image registration toolbox in FSL. Eddy current correction was applied to DTI data also using FSL software. All images for a given subject at all scan dates were co-registered to that subject’s reference BRAVO series and the BRAVO brain mask generated in the first step was applied to all co-registered images. In addition, non-uniformity correction was applied to high-resolution 3D Cube T2-FLAIR images.

Figure 7: Overview of post-processing pipeline
II.D. Data Analysis:
The image processing detailed above yielded a set of spatially and temporally co-registered, lesion-segmented, quantitative MRI maps that could be analyzed to identify any pre-lesional changes in MR parameters. An example is shown in Fig. 9. Lesions that appeared for the first time in any of the second-half MRI scans (i.e. with ≥4 pre-lesional scans available) were selected for analysis, seeking statistically significant differences in MRI parameters between the NAWM that evolved into a lesion versus the NAWM that did not.

Analyzed parameters included four HYDI metrics: fractional anisotropy (FA), axial (Da) and radial (Dr) diffusivity and zero-displacement probability (Po), and four MT metrics: magnetization transfer ratio (MTR), MT saturation (MTSat), bound pool fraction (f) and
III. KEY RESEARCH ACCOMPLISHMENTS:

- Developed novel method for simultaneous calibration of excitation flip angle and MT saturation power, thus improving the accuracy and longitudinal stability of MT imaging [1-2].
- Developed novel quantitative MT imaging method, “modified cross-relaxation imaging” (mCRI) [5], which decreases the number of acquired images and thus scan time relative to existing methods while yielding more accurate (by ~16%) values of macromolecular proton fraction, an imaging biomarker for myelin.
- Developed semi-automated image processing pipeline for longitudinal studies of multiparametric images, with key stages including co-registration across different modalities and time-points as well as tissue segmentation. This pipeline will be highly useful for any future studies involving longitudinal tracking of multiparametric imaging data.
- Achieved monthly tracking of multiple MRI metrics in treatment-naïve MS patients with active disease, allowing quantitative analysis of the natural history of lesion development.

IV. REPORTABLE OUTCOMES:

IV.A. Manuscripts:


IV.B. Abstracts:

IV.C. Patents:
- “Efficient and Deterministic Approach to Generating Optimal Ordering of Diffusion MRI Measurements.” Patent applied for and awarded to Samuel A. Hurley, the graduate student who worked on the project and was supported by the award during his development of the invention. This is a novel ordering scheme for diffusion encoding gradients used to acquire diffusion-weighted MR images. The invention achieves increased efficiency of image acquisition several orders of magnitude greater than previously existing schemes.

IV.D. Degrees and Employment Opportunities
The graduate student who worked on the project, Samuel A. Hurley, received his Ph.D. in Medical Physics while supported by the award. He also successfully applied for a post-doctoral research scientist position at the University of Oxford Centre for Functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging of the Brain (FMRIB).

V. CONCLUSION:
The specific aim of the project was to acquire a longitudinal set of quantitative MRI metrics in MS patients and perform a multivariate spatiotemporal analysis of pre-lesional, normal-appearing white matter, seeking spatially clustered interval changes that presage the appearance of a typical MS plaque. Some previous studies have found such changes while others have not, and to date there is no known method for reliably predicting the location of a plaque before it is
visibly manifest. We did not find any pre-lesional changes that would make such prediction possible. Assuming such changes are indeed occurring, there are several possible reasons for failing to detect them which might be addressed in future work: (1) changes occurring on a spatial scale below current limits of MRI resolution; (2) changes occurring on a time scale shorter than the monthly intervals used for this study; or (3) changes occurring of a magnitude not exceeding technical inter-scan variance in MRI measurements, all of which are inherently “noisy” to some degree even with state-of-the-art acquisition and processing methods.

Although we were unable to achieve a prediction of MS lesions before they are visibly manifest (which would have been a major, paradigm-shifting advance in the management of MS patients), we did achieve several significant improvements in quantitative MRI methods important to the study of MS that will benefit future work in the field.
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V. APPENDICES:

1. **Manuscript**: Simultaneous variable flip angle-actual flip angle imaging method for improved accuracy and precision of three-dimensional T1 and B1 measurements.
2. **Manuscript**: Analysis and correction of biases in cross-relaxation MRI due to biexponential longitudinal relaxation.

3. **Abstract**: Simultaneous mapping of B1 and flip angle by combined Bloch-Siegert, actual flip-angle imaging (BS-AFI).

4. **Abstract**: Improved accuracy of cross-relaxation imaging using on-resonance MT effect correction.

5. **Abstract**: Optimization strategies for accurate quantitative MT imaging.

6. **Abstract**: High resolution, motion corrected mapping of macromolecular proton fraction (MPF) in clinically acceptable time using 3D undersampled radials.

7. **Abstract**: Rapid and accurate variable flip angle T1 mapping with correction of on-resonance MT effects.
Simultaneous Variable Flip Angle–Actual Flip Angle Imaging Method for Improved Accuracy and Precision of Three-Dimensional $T_1$ and $B_1$ Measurements

Samuel A. Hurley,1 Vasily L. Yarnykh,2 Kevin M. Johnson,1 Aaron S. Field,3 Andrew L. Alexander,1,4 and Alexey A. Samsonov3*

A new time-efficient and accurate technique for simultaneous mapping of $T_1$ and $B_1$ is proposed based on a combination of the actual flip angle (FA) imaging and variable FA methods. Variable FA–actual FA imaging utilizes a single actual FA imaging and one or more spoiled gradient-echo acquisitions with a simultaneous nonlinear fitting procedure to yield accurate $T_1/B_1$ maps. The advantage of variable FA–actual FA imaging is high accuracy at either short $T_1$ times or long repetition times in the actual FA imaging sequence. Simulations show this method is accurate to 0.03% in FA and 0.07% in $T_1$ for ratios of repetition time to $T_1$ time over the range of 0.01–0.45. We show for the case of brain imaging that it is sufficient to use only one small FA spoiled gradient-echo acquisition, which results in reduced spoiling requirements and a significant scan time reduction compared to the original variable FA method. In vivo validation yielded high-quality 3D $T_1$ maps and $T_1$ measurements within 10% of previously published values and within a clinically acceptable scan time. The variable FA–actual FA imaging method will increase the accuracy and clinical feasibility of many quantitative MRI methods requiring $T_1/B_1$ mapping such as dynamic contrast enhanced perfusion and quantitative magnetization transfer imaging. Magn Reson Med 68:54–64, 2012. © 2011 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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Accurate measurements of longitudinal relaxation time ($T_1$) are essential to many quantitative MRI techniques and clinical applications. Traditional inversion recovery $T_1$ mapping methods often result in prohibitively long acquisitions due to a long repetition time (TR) (1). The Look–Locker method (2) may be used to accelerate the inversion recovery experiment by frequent sampling of the entire recovery curve. This two-dimensional (2D) approach can be modified to allow multislice volumetric coverage (3,4). A three-dimensional (3D) Look–Locker method (5) has also been proposed to improve the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and slice direction resolution of 2D techniques at the cost of blurring in phase encode directions due to segmented $k$-space acquisition.

The variable flip angle (VFA) method (6,7), also known as driven-equilibrium single-pulse observation of $T_1$ (DESPOT1) (7), uses several short TR spoiled gradient-echo (SPGR) acquisitions with varying flip angle (FA) to measure $T_1$. It has gained significant popularity over the past decades due to its superior time efficiency, allowing rapid and accurate 3D $T_1$ mapping with high resolution and large spatial coverage (8–10). Although the acceleration of any $T_1$ mapping method is possible by the use of fast readout sequences (e.g., echo-planar or spiral) (11,12), applications of such techniques are limited to a large extent due to image artifacts inherent to long gradient-echo signal readouts. In contrast, VFA is the only fast $T_1$ mapping method to date that affords clinically reasonable scan times and 3D spatial coverage without a multiecho readout and, therefore, provides an optimal solution for high-resolution anatomic applications. In spite of these benefits, the VFA method suffers from a strong dependence on an accurate knowledge of excitation FA, which leads to significant errors in the presence of a nonuniform radiofrequency excitation field ($B_1$), slab excitation pulse profiles, or FA miscalibration (9,10,13). This is particularly problematic at high field strengths, where additional wave effects in tissue may cause $B_1$ variations up to 30% at 3 T and in situ FA calibration and correction is necessary on a per-subject basis (14).

It is therefore commonly accepted that VFA $T_1$ mapping at 3 T or higher field strengths needs to be combined with an appropriate $B_1$ correction method (9,10), and many methods to measure FA have been developed and applied to the correction of VFA $T_1$ measurements. Similar to inversion recovery-based $T_1$ mapping techniques, the double angle method (15) uses a 2D multislice acquisition with a long TR to avoid $T_1$ weighting of the acquired maps. Scan time can be reduced by minimizing $T_1$ dependence with specialized radiofrequency presaturation pulses (16,17) to achieve a uniform saturation of magnetization over a large area prior to readout. However, the 2D implementation imposes restrictions on the use of double angle method as a correction technique for 3D VFA $T_1$ measurements due to slice profile effects.
It is important to note that a 3D $B_1$ mapping technique with matched geometry is preferable in the context of VFA $T_1$ mapping to simplify registration between data volumes. One 3D approach encodes the FA in the phase of the MR signal (18). This reduces the $T_1$ dependence due to previous magnetization history and can image over a large dynamic range of FA, but is sensitive to main field inhomogeneity and requires a long $TR$ relative to $T_1$ to avoid phase oscillations. Other fast techniques have recently been proposed based on a stimulated-echo echo-planar acquisition (19) and a modification of double angle method using a catalyzed preparative sequence (20). Methods that use a steady-state gradient echo readout are particularly well suited as these pulse sequences are closely related to the SPGR sequence used in VFA and can, therefore, be acquired with the same matrix size and readout scheme, greatly increasing the consistency between the FA and $T_1$ mapping steps. Such methods include a multipoint search of the signal null corresponding to the 180°-FA ($21,22$), a $B_1$-dependent shift in the spin resonance frequency (23), an inversion-recovery SPGR termed driven equilibrium single-pulse observation of $T_1$ with high-speed incorporation of radiofrequency (RF) field inhomogeneities (DESPOT1-HIFI) (24), and a dual $TR$ SPGR acquisition termed actual flip angle imaging (AFI) (25).

The accurate combination of a $B_1$ mapping technique with 3D VFA $T_1$ mapping requires consideration of additional factors affecting FA values, including excitation slab/slice profile and RF pulse properties (26). Two recently proposed methods, DESPOT1-HIFI (24) and AFI (25), measure FA using the exact same excitation pulse envelope and slab geometry as the VFA experiment, allowing measurements with a very similar FA distribution present in both sequences. DESPOT1-HIFI requires an additional $B_1$-insensitive adiabatic inversion preparation, which, with proper tuning of the inversion time to the expected $T_1$ range, enables fast and accurate FA mapping. To avoid $T_1$ weighting, the AFI method estimates FA maps using the ratio of two signals from two different TRs ($TR_{AFI1,2}$) using a linearized form of the signal equation (see next section), which holds under a main assumption that

$$TR_{AFI1,2} \ll T_1$$

The calculated FA map can then be applied as a precalibration step to a traditional VFA $T_1$ measurement. AFI is well suited for the correction of VFA measurements because of the identical readout scheme to the SPGR pulse sequence, the use of an identical RF excitation pulse, and lack of a need for additional magnetization preparation with specialized RF pulses (20,23,24).

In spite of the many benefits of AFI, recent studies have shown that large spoiler gradients are required for effective suppression (spoiling) of transverse magnetization and thus accurate FA measurements (27). Such large gradients require a considerable increase in the $TR$ compared to a standard short $TR$ SPGR sequence and, therefore, an overall increase in scan time. Although proper spoiling is essential for accurate FA quantification, increasing the $TR$ of the AFI sequence may lead to a violation of the main assumption (Eq. 1) (25), posing another significant problem with the accuracy and overall efficiency of the technique. Similarly, strong spoiler gradients are also necessary in VFA SPGR measurements for accurate $T_1$ mapping, especially at larger FAs (27,28). This further increases the overall time of the technique.

An independent mechanism for violation of the AFI assumption (Eq. 1) is $T_1$ shortening due to contrast agents, which may render postcontrast FA measurements highly inaccurate. Postcontrast $T_1$ measurements should therefore be corrected with a precontrast $B_1$ map. However, this is not feasible in some applications, such as manganese-enhanced MRI of axon pathways, which requires injection of a contrast agent $\sim 24$ h before the scan (29).

A wide range of applications would greatly benefit from a rapid and accurate method to measure $T_1$ and FA in the presence of $T_1$-shortening contrast agents (30,31).

AFI and VFA are highly complementary methods; AFI suffers inaccuracies due to an assumption about $T_1$, whereas VFA suffers inaccuracies due to an assumption about FA. In this article, we propose an efficient method to tackle the quantification of $T_1$ and $B_1$ in the problematic AFI regime ($TR_{AFI1,2} \approx T_1$) arising from a long AFI $TR$ due to spoiling requirements and/or short $T_1$ times. In our method, variable flip angle—actual flip angle imaging (VAFI), $T_1$ and $B_1$ are mapped simultaneously using an optimized combination of AFI and VFA SPGR acquisitions. By exploiting the close relationship of the SPGR and AFI signal equations, we demonstrate that the $TR_{AFI1,2} \ll T_1$ assumption is not necessary when $T_1$ and $B_1$ are mapped simultaneously, resulting in a high FA mapping accuracy over a broad range of $T_1$ (32), an improvement in the precision of $T_1$ and $B_1$ measurements, and increased time efficiency of such measurements. We also show that VAFI reduces the spoiling requirements of the SPGR portion of the method, allowing a shorter $TR$ and overall decrease in acquisition time.

**THEORY**

**Variable Flip Angle $T_1$ Mapping**

$T_1$ measurements based on VFA SPGR acquisitions have been previously described in (6-8,33). Given ideal spoiling of transverse magnetization, the SPGR signal can be described as follows:

$$S_{SPGR} = M_0 \sin(\alpha) \frac{1 - E_1}{1 - E_1 \cos(\alpha)},$$

where $TR$ and excitation FA $\alpha$ are control parameters prescribed from the operator console, and the equilibrium magnetization $M_0$ and $T_1$ are free parameters to be determined. Here, we assume that $M_0$ absorbs additional factors due to $T_2^*$ relaxation, receiver gains, and coil sensitivity profile. In VFA $T_1$ mapping, two or more acquisitions with varying $\alpha$ and fixed $TR$ are acquired and fitted to yield $M_0$ and $T_1$. The problem of data fitting can be conveniently casted into a linear form and fitted with least squares regression (8) or the curve can be fitted directly using nonlinear methods, with the latter approach in general providing better noise performance (34). In practice, two “ideal” FAs are enough to provide
optimized VFA $T_1$ mapping for a given $T_1$ value (33), the lower FA yielding an image with proton-density like contrast and higher FA with $T_1$-like contrast.

Actual Flip Angle Imaging

The AFI sequence is a spoiled gradient-recalled echo acquisition with two identical excitation RF pulses with FA $\alpha$ separated by two interleaved repetitions times $TR_{AFI1,2}$ (25). Given complete spoiling of transverse magnetization at the end of each $TR$ (25), the steady-state signal from the AFI sequence is described by the following equations:

$$S_{AFI1,2} = M_0 \sin(\alpha_{AFI}) \times$$
$$\frac{1 - E_1^{(AFI1,2)}}{1 - E_1^{(AFI1,2)} E_1^{(AFI1,2)}} \cos(\alpha_{AFI}) \cdot [3]$$

$$E_1^{(AFI1,2)} = \exp\left(\frac{-TR_{AFI1,2}}{T_1}\right)$$

It was shown in (25) that under the condition of Eq. 1 there exists an efficient first-order exponential approximation without an explicit dependence on $M_0$ or $T_1$. The signal then can be solved for the FA as follows:

$$\alpha_{AFI} = \arccos\left(\frac{r - 1}{n - r}\right)$$

$$n = TR_{AFI2}/TR_{AFI1}, \quad r = S_{AFI2}/S_{AFI1}$$

Variable Flip Angle–Actual Flip Angle Imaging

To avoid the main AFI assumption (Eq. 1), we propose a novel approach, VAFI, which takes as an input one AFI dataset and one or more VFA SPGR datasets. In VAFI, the dependence of the AFI FA on $T_1$ is not ignored, but instead coupled in a single nonlinear optimization procedure for an unbiased simultaneous estimation of both parameters. We assume that $M_0$ is consistent between SPGR and AFI acquisitions (Eqs. 2 and 3, respectively), which requires that the RF excitation pulse, slab selection gradient, and echo times be identical for both the SPGR and AFI pulse sequences, and that the signal from both pulse sequences is perfectly spoiled (25,27). Additionally, we assume that error in $\alpha$ scales linearly with transmit field across the range of FAs used in the VFA and AFI measurements. This assumption has been made in many previous FA mapping techniques (15–17), and recent Bloch equation simulation results have shown less than 4% deviation from linearity over a range of $2^\circ$–$70^\circ$ (35). For a set of SPGR FAs $\alpha_i$ and an AFI FA $\alpha_{AFI}$ with corresponding observed signals $S_{SPGR,i}$ and $S_{AFI1,2}$, the unknown parameters can be determined on a per-pixel basis by simultaneously fitting both the SPGR and AFI signal models using nonlinear least squares:

$$[M_0, T_1, \kappa] = \arg\min_{M_0, T_1, \kappa} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} (S_{AFI,i} - S_{AFI,i}(\alpha_{AFI} \cdot \kappa))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{Norm}} (S_{SPGR,i} - S_{SPGR}(\alpha_i \cdot \kappa))^2\right).$$

where $\arg\min(f(x))$ is the set of values $x$ for which $f(x)$ attains its smallest value.

This procedure simultaneously yields a solution for three unknown parameters: $M_0$, $T_1$, and $\kappa$, where $\kappa$ is a scaling factor between actual and prescribed FA. Theoretically, this sets the lower limit on the total number of required measurements to three. As a single AFI sequence yields two independent measurements, we anticipate the minimum number of SPGR measurements to be one. As AFI requires a large FA ($50^\circ$–$60^\circ$) to optimize the estimation of FA maps and yields images with $T_1$-like contrast, we anticipate that the SPGR measurement with the larger FA may be removed compared to the standard VFA experiment design (8,33).

As the transmit field varies slowly with spatial position, an additional term may be introduced to Eq. 5 to penalize the roughness of $\kappa(r)$ and improve the noise properties of reconstructed parametric maps in a way similar to regularized fat/water imaging (36), which may be computationally challenging for 3D reconstructions. To reduce computational time, we developed a simplified three-step version of the regularization procedure:

1. Solve Eq. 5 for spatial maps $[M_0, T_1, \kappa]$
2. Apply spatial smoothing to $\kappa(r)$ to yield $\kappa_s(r)$.
3. Solve $[M_0, T_1] = \arg \min_{M_0, T_1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{2} (S_{AFI,i} - S_{AFI}(\alpha_i \cdot \kappa_i))^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{N_{Norm}} (S_{SPGR,i} - S_{SPGR}(\alpha_i, \kappa_i))^2\right)$. 

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Implementation

The proposed VAFI fitting method was implemented in MATLAB (The Mathworks; Natick, MA) using a built-in optimization algorithm. As an initial guess, we used the maximum signal intensity for $M_0$, $T_1 = 1$ s, and $\kappa = 1$. VAFI converged properly for all simulations and experiments without a need to modify this initial guess. Typical processing time was $\sim 42$ ms per voxel (64-bit Intel Linux workstation, 4 cores, 32 GB RAM). In step 2 of the regularized VAFI and standard AFI approaches, a local polynomial fit was applied to generate smoothed and extrapolated FA maps (37) with a smoothing effect equivalent to a gaussian kernel with a standard deviation of four voxels. For comparison, $T_1$ and FA maps were generated using standard AFI-corrected VFA with linearized $T_1$ estimation and iterative reweighting (34).

An AFI pulse sequence was implemented on a clinical GE 3.0T Discovery MR750 (GE Healthcare; Waukesha, WI) based on a product 3D SPGR sequence with the addition of a second $TR$ as described in (25). Experiments were performed using a 32-element phased-array receive coil (in vivo experiments) and an eight-element torso coil (phantom experiments). Spoiler gradients were designed such that their areas $A_{G1,2}$ were related as $A_{G2} = nA_{G1}$ (Eq. 4). RF spoiling was used with a quadratic phase increment of $34^\circ$ (27). For all experiments, $n = TR_{AFI2}/TR_{AFI1} = 5$, as was suggested in previous work (25). The specific strengths of the spoiler gradients were...
chosen based on the completeness of spoiling desired, herein referred to as “spoiling regime.”

Simulations

Monte Carlo noise (simulations) were implemented to compare the accuracy (bias in the estimated values) and precision (noise in the estimated values) of standard two FA VFA with AFI correction (henceforth referred to as VFA) and VAFI. AFI and SPGR signals were generated using Eqs. 2 and 3, with simulation parameters chosen to match the in vivo experiments described in the next section (SPGR: \( TR = 10 \) ms, \( \alpha = [3 \, 18]°\); AFI: \( T_{RAFI1} = 30 \) ms, \( \alpha_{AFI} = 55°\)). Signals were generated over a range of \( TR/T_1 = 0.01–0.45 \), and for each \( T_1 \) time 250,000 noise realizations were created by adding zero-mean gaussian noise (standard deviation of \( 1e^{-4} \)) to the signals. VAFI was implemented using the smallest SPGR FA signal and, to allow an equivalent comparison with VFA, using both SPGR FAs. \( T_1 \) and FA were then estimated to assess the accuracy and precision of all three approaches, the latter being assessed in terms of the \( T_1 \)-to-noise and \( \alpha \)-to-noise ratios (\( T_1 \)NR and \( \alpha \)NR).

A second simulation was performed to investigate the effect of FA selection on the VAFI experiment. For two-angle VFA, a pair of “ideal angles” has been previously identified as a way to optimize experiment design for a specific \( T_1 \); however, these angles were derived based on the linearized version of the SPGR equation (33), which is no longer valid in the VAFI technique. To assess the noise performance of our new method, a set of 45,000 noise realizations (standard deviation of \( 1e^{-4} \)) were generated over a range of \( TR/T_1 = 0.02–0.15 \). In the first set of simulations, \( \alpha_{AFI} \) was held constant at 55° while the SPGR FA was varied over a range of \( 1°–20° \). In the second set, SPGR FA was held constant at 5° while \( \alpha_{AFI} \) was varied over a range of \( 40°–70° \). All other parameters match the previous simulation.

A third simulation was performed to evaluate the effect of gradient and RF spoiling on the accuracy of VAFI quantification. AFI and SPGR signals were generated using a combined isochromat summation and diffusion propagator model (27), which takes into account transverse signal dephasing due to both gradient and diffusion effects. Signals were generated for prototypical tissues at 3 T over a range of RF phase increments \( \phi_0 = 0°–180° \) (white matter (WM): \( T_1/T_2 = 1000/70 \) ms, diffusion coefficient \( D = 0.70 \times 10^{-3} \) mm²/s; gray matter (GM): \( T_1/T_2 = 1500/100 \) ms, \( D = 0.80 \times 10^{-3} \) mm²/s; cerebrospinal fluid (CSF): \( T_1/T_2 = 4000/1900 \) ms, \( D = 3.00 \times 10^{-3} \) mm²/s). SPGR signals were simulated using \( \alpha = [3 \, 18]°\) for three spoiling regimes: weak (\( TR = 10 \) ms, \( A_C = 38 \) mT·ms/m), strong (\( TR = 10 \) ms, \( A_C = 110 \) mT·ms/m), and nearly complete spoiling (\( TR = 15 \) ms, \( A_C = 280 \) mT·ms/m). AFI signals were generated using \( \alpha_{AFI} = 55°\) for weak (\( T_{RAFI1,2} = 10/50 \) ms, \( A_C = 38 \) mT·ms/m), intermediate (\( T_{RAFI1,2} = 10/50 \) ms, \( A_C = 110 \) mT·ms/m), strong (\( T_{RAFI1,2} = 15/75 \) ms, \( A_C = 280 \) mT·ms/m), and nearly complete spoiling (\( T_{RAFI1,2} = 30/150 \) ms, \( A_C = 450 \) mT·ms/m). The data were then fitted using the VFA and VAFI (single SPGR measurement, \( \alpha = 3°\)) methods in two ways, one assuming ideal AFI spoiling with nonideal SPGR data, and one assuming ideal SPGR spoiling using nonideal AFI data. The accuracy of \( T_1 \) estimates was then assessed.

Phantom Experiments

To test the effects of the suboptimal AFI regime (\( TR \approx T_1 \)) on both VFA and VAFI, a set of 10 phantoms were created from deionized water doped with Gd-BOPTA to concentrations of \( C = [0.00 \, 0.10 \, 0.25 \, 0.50 \, 1.00 \, 2.00 \, 2.50 \, 3.00 \, 3.50 \, 4.00] \) mM. The phantoms were placed in a heated water bath tightly doped with Gd-BOPTA and held at 36.8°C ± 0.5°C for the duration of the experiment. SPGR scans were acquired with \( TR = 25 \) ms and \( \alpha = [4 \, 10 \, 20 \, 30 \, 40 \, 50]°\) and an AFI scan with \( T_{RAFI1} = 30 \) ms, \( \alpha_{AFI} = 55° \) in the nearly complete spoiling regime. Voxel size was 2.2 × 2.2 × 2 mm³. All SPGR FAs were used in VFA and VAFI to ensure a precise measurement over the wide range of expected \( T_1 \) times. The mean and standard deviation of \( R_1 (\approx 1/T_1) \) were calculated in a single slice region of interest encompassing each individual phantom and used to fit the equation:

\[
R_1(C) = R_{1,0} + r_1 \cdot C,
\]

where \( r_1 \) is the relaxivity, and \( R_{1,0} \) is longitudinal relaxation rate for free water. The linear fit was weighted according to the measured variance in the \( R_1 \) values.

It was shown before that simultaneously increasing \( TR \) and acceleration factor \( R \) while maintaining a constant acquisition time constant leads to an improvement of the overall SNR efficiency of several steady-state gradient-echo techniques (38). In the context of AFI, in addition to signal boost from longer \( TR \), this increase also offers an opportunity to increase the length of the spoiler gradient and improve the accuracy of FA quantification (27). To confirm this effect for the AFI sequence, a uniform bottle phantom doped with Gd-DTPA to a \( T_1 \) of 1.4 s was scanned using an eight-channel receive-only phased array knee coil. Two AFI scans were acquired (\( \alpha_{AFI} = 55° \), nearly complete spoiling with \( TR = 30 \) ms, and weak spoiling with \( TR = 10 \) ms, 1.5 mm³ voxel). The \( TR = 30 \) ms data was subsequently undersampled by a factor of 3 in the phase encode direction and reconstructed with sensitivity encoding (SENSE), such that the experiment time matched AFI with \( TR = 10 \) ms. FA maps using weakly spoiled AFI (\( TR = 10 \) ms) were also generated for comparison. Noise in the resulting FA maps was estimated by taking the standard deviation in a small region of interest over the center of the bottle to avoid regions of artifact in the poorly spoiled AFI image.

In Vivo Imaging

To demonstrate the application of VAFI to in vivo brain imaging, one healthy human volunteer was scanned. Informed written consent was obtained in accordance with the local institutional policy. SPGR scans were acquired with \( TR = 10 \) ms and \( \alpha_{SPGR} = [3 \, 18]°\), which is optimal for \( T_1 = 1.2 \) s as described in (33). To demonstrate the benefits of increased \( TR \) on spoiling, two AFI
scans were acquired with $\alpha_{\text{AFI}} = 55^\circ$ in the weak regime ($TR_{\text{AFI},1,2} = 10/50$ ms, $\Delta_{c,1} = 38$ mT*ms/m) and in the complete regime ($TR_{\text{AFI},1,2} = 30/150$ ms, $\Delta_{c,1} = 450$ mT*ms/m). The last AFI scan was repeated with SENSE (37) acceleration factor $R = 3$ to demonstrate the feasibility of an overall scan time reduction. All scans were acquired with a $128 \times 96 \times 44$ matrix and an isotropic resolution of $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm$^3$ placed near the center of the brain. The scan time was 1:30 min for AFI with $TR = 40$ ms, and 12:40 min for AFI with $TR_{\text{AFI},1,2} = 30/150$ ms and $R = 1$. For AFI with $R = 3$, the scan time was 4:13 min.

RESULTS
Simulations

Figure 1 shows the results of simulations comparing the accuracy and precision of the methods. Over the range investigated, the standard AFI method shows a systematic underestimation of FA with decreasing $T_1$ ($>5\%$ for $TR/T_1 > 0.275$). VAFI FA errors were less than $0.03\%$. $T_1$ estimates for VFA were systematically overestimated at small $TR/T_1$, due to propagation of AFI errors into VFA $T_1$ mapping ($>5\%$ for $TR/T_1 > 0.160$). VAFI was able to restore the accuracy of $T_1$ estimates (errors less than 0.02%). $T_1$NR of VAFI exceeded that of AFI for a $TR/T_1 < 0.085$, and $\alpha$NR was comparable for $TR/T_1 < 0.150$. For shorter $T_1$, the AFI method actually shows better noise properties of FA estimates than VAFI; however, this may be artifactual as in this region the FA and $T_1$ estimates are also highly inaccurate and generally not useful. The peak $T_1$NR with these particular settings was observed for a $TR/T_1$ of 0.06; however, it will be noted in the next paragraph that $T_1$NR can be optimized for a specific $T_1$ of interest by the choice of an appropriate SPGR FA. Remarkably, VAFI with two SPGR measurements shows more uniform noise performance in the range of $TR/T_1$ than VAFI with single SPGR measurements, which is consistent with improved uniformity of noise performance of VFA $T_1$ mapping with extended set of SPGR measurements (more than two) (39).

Figure 2 shows the results of VAFI $T_1$NR and $\alpha$NR as a function of $TR/T_1$ and SPGR FA. The choice of FA has an impact on the $T_1$NR, and just as the case for standard VFA, there exists an optimum angle that maximizes precision for a given $T_1$ time. The optimum angles for $T_1 = 200, 600, 1000, and 1400$ ms were 7.5°, 5.5°, 4°, and 3.5°, respectively ($TR = 10$ ms). As long as the SPGR FA is within a reasonable range about the optimum value, there is no significant impact on the precision of the FA estimate. The choice of AFI FA has considerable impact on the precision of both VAFI $T_1$ and FA measurements, although in this case there is no single optimum value for a specific $T_1$ time; the precision of both $T_1$ and FA increases linearly as AFI FA increases.

Figure 3 shows the results of simulations assuming ideal AFI spoiling and nonideal SPGR spoiling for WM and GM tissues. For standard VFA, increasing the gradient spoiling minimizes the dependence of $T_1$ accuracy on the RF phase increment. However, the choice of a proper phase increment (i.e., the choice of $\phi_0$ which crosses or approaches the zero axis) and its accuracy and stability remains essential for accurate quantification. In contrast, the use of VAFI greatly reduces spoiling-related errors over a wide range of phase increments, even in the weak SPGR spoiling regime. Specifically, in the weak SPGR spoiling regime, if one chooses a phase
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FIG. 1. Monte Carlo simulations of standard VFA (dotted line) and VAFI (dash line for two flip angle fit and solid line for one flip angle fit) over a range of $TR_{\text{AFI},1,2}$ for flip angle accuracy (a), flip angle-to-noise ratio (b), $T_1$ accuracy (c), and $T_1$NR-to-noise-ratio (d). Results show a significant underestimation of FA for short $T_1$ times, which will propagate into standard VFA measurements, causing an overestimation of $T_1$. VAFI remains accurate over the entire range investigated for both one and two SPGR flip angle options.
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FIG. 2. Monte Carlo simulations demonstrating the impact of flip angle selection in the VAFI technique on the $T_1$-to-noise-ratio (a, c) and flip angle-to-noise-ratio (b, d) for a $TR_{\text{AFI},1,2} = 0.150$ (dotted line), 0.050 (dot-dash line), 0.030 (dash line), and 0.021 (solid line). As is the case for VFA, there exists an SPGR flip angle which optimizes precision (a).
increment that does not fall near one of the sharp peaks (ex: $\varphi_o = 10^\circ$–$35^\circ$). VAFI with two SPGR FAs achieves an error in $T_1$ estimates of <0.80% (WM), <1.10% (GM), and <1.75% (CSF, not shown in plot) and an error in FA < 0.26% (all tissues). Remarkably, these errors and the dependency on the phase increment are further reduced in VAFI with single SPGR FA ($T_1$ errors: <0.54% in WM, <0.60% in GM, and <0.32% in CSF). The intermediate and strong spoiling regimes produce similar results, with fewer sharp peaks to avoid.

Figure 4 shows the results of simulations assuming nonideal AFI spoiling and ideal SPGR spoiling for WM and GM. The behavior of spoiling-related errors is similar for the VFA and VAFI. Unlike the case of SPGR spoiling, the choice of a proper AFI phase increment is essential for accurate FA and $T_1$ quantification for all techniques. The proper phase increment (i.e., the choice of $\varphi_o$ which crosses zero axis) depends on the spoiling regime, tissue type, and estimation technique used. For weak spoiled AFI, the optimal values of $\varphi_o$ are $29^\circ$ (WM), $20^\circ$ (GM), and $50^\circ$ (CSF), whereas in the nearly complete spoiling regime, these values are $39^\circ$ (WM), $41^\circ$ (GM), and any $\varphi_o$ for CSF (not shown in plot). For the VAFI technique in the weak regime, these values are $30^\circ$ (WM), $25^\circ$ (GM), and $55^\circ$ (CSF), whereas in the nearly complete regime these values are $43^\circ$ (WM), $44^\circ$ (GM), and any $\varphi_o$ for CSF. However, small deviations in $\varphi_o$ about the optimal value produce minimal errors in FA and $T_1$ accuracy for all tissues when the nearly complete AFI regime is used.

**Phantom Experiments**

Figure 5 compares FA maps in Gd phantoms estimated using standard AFI and VAFI. A significant discontinuity between the short $T_1$ vials (phantoms 6–10) and the background media (longer $T_1$) can be observed in the AFI FA map (Fig. 5a). These errors, which are due to a violation of the AFI assumption (Eq. 1), are minimized in the VAFI FA map (Fig. 5b), which agrees well with the expected slowly varying value of FA in the imaging plane. The growing differences between local FA values of AFI and VAFI with Gd concentration may be appreciated in Table 1. A similar trend may be observed in the calculated $T_1$ values, with $T_1$ errors reaching 19% for the highest Gd concentration. Corresponding $R_1$ measurements versus Gd concentration show expected linear relaxivity curves (Eq. 6) for VAFI (Fig. 6). The VAFI-derived relaxivity for the Gd-BOPTA solution was $3.9361 \text{s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ ($R^2 = 0.9996$), which compares well to the reference value of $4.0 \text{s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$ at 37°C (personal communication with Dr. Sophie Laurent, NMR and Molecular Imaging Laboratory, University of Mons, Belgium). This relaxivity measurement was significantly underestimated by standard AFI VFA ($R_1 = 3.5192 \text{s}^{-1} \text{mM}^{-1}$, $R^2 = 0.9945$).
Figure 7 illustrates the effect of increasing the SENSE acceleration factor on the accuracy and precision of AFI maps for the strong spoiling regime and a comparison with an AFI map in the short TR (weak spoiling) regime. Simulated AFI steady-state signals grow with increasing TR faster than the SNR loss due to R-factor, giving rise to an overall increase in SNR efficiency for realistic reduction factors ($R < 8$) and constant scan time (Fig. 7a). Indeed, long TR (strong spoiling) AFI (Fig. 7b) demonstrates improved precision over a short TR (weak spoiling) AFI (Fig. 7c) obtained in an equivalent scan time ($R = 3$). The weak spoiling regime in the short TR AFI also leads to significant artifacts in the estimated FA map (Fig. 7c).

**In Vivo Imaging**

Figure 8 shows a representative axial $T_1$ map from the in vivo experiment using fully sampled well-spoiled (Fig. 8a,b) and poorly spoiled (Fig. 8d,e) AFI sequences. Table 2 shows the values taken from region of interests positioned in WM, GM, and CSF. For the weak AFI spoiling regime ($TR_i = 10$ ms), incomplete spoiling leads to underestimated FA measurements and overestimated $T_1$ for all tissues, which is in accordance with theoretical predictions (see Fig. 4 and Ref. 27). In the complete spoiling regime, both VFA and VAFI compare similarly to previously published values in the selected WM/GM region of interests (40), while VAFI reaches comparable or even better precision in less time (using one less SPGR measurement). Remarkably, in CSF, VAFI provided superior accuracy of $T_1$ estimates based on the previously published reference CSF $T_1$ values at 3 T (41). The errors observed for the standard VFA method may be due to the fact that the spoiling regime used in this experiment resulted in incomplete spoiling for the higher SPGR FA and nearly complete spoiling for the smaller SPGR FA. Because VAFI uses only the smaller SPGR FA, the spoiling errors in SPGR are less likely to affect $T_1$ estimates, as also follows from the theoretical
simultaneously yields accurate T1VAFI, that provides a practical applications. We presented a novel technique, regimes that are problematic for the standard AFI tech-
described in (32), VAFI maintains high accuracy in
shows high image quality (Fig. 8c) and
FIG. 6. Plot of $R_1$ vs. gadolinium concentration for the vial phan-
toms, using standard VFA and VAFI. The standard method shows a signif-
ificant underestimation of $R_1$ at short $T_1$ times (due to errors in
the AFI FA map propagating into the $T_1$ estimate) and the relax-
ivity of Gd-BOPTA. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at wileyonlinelibrary.com.]

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vial #</th>
<th>Gd Conc. (mM)</th>
<th>T1 VFA (s) ± Stdev</th>
<th>T1 VAFI (s) ± Stdev</th>
<th>FA AFI () ± Stdev</th>
<th>FA VAFI () ± Stdev</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>4.181 ± 0.403</td>
<td>4.057 ± 0.269</td>
<td>54.1 ± 2.04</td>
<td>54.8 ± 1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>1.523 ± 0.085</td>
<td>1.494 ± 0.071</td>
<td>61.8 ± 1.04</td>
<td>62.0 ± 1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.811 ± 0.032</td>
<td>0.790 ± 0.021</td>
<td>61.6 ± 0.97</td>
<td>62.0 ± 0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.451 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.432 ± 0.012</td>
<td>49.3 ± 1.97</td>
<td>49.7 ± 2.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.247 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.230 ± 0.008</td>
<td>60.1 ± 0.51</td>
<td>61.4 ± 0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>0.137 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.122 ± 0.002</td>
<td>60.2 ± 0.24</td>
<td>63.1 ± 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.50</td>
<td>0.111 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.097 ± 0.002</td>
<td>50.9 ± 1.38</td>
<td>53.7 ± 1.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.093 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.001</td>
<td>48.6 ± 0.93</td>
<td>51.8 ± 1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>0.086 ± 0.003</td>
<td>0.072 ± 0.001</td>
<td>51.9 ± 0.72</td>
<td>56.6 ± 0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>0.079 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.001</td>
<td>46.6 ± 1.05</td>
<td>51.2 ± 1.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

analysis (see Fig. 3). Finally, we demonstrate a SENSE-accelerated, well-spoiled T1 map using VAFI, which shows high image quality (Fig. 8c) and $T_1$ values (Table 2) comparable to the nonaccelerated VAFI (Fig. 8b).

DISCUSSION

The VFA SPGR $T_1$ mapping continues to be an accurate and efficient method for mapping $T_1$ over a large 3D volume; however, a large limitation to this technique is the need for an accurate estimate of an actual FA, especially at higher field strengths (3 T and above). Among many methods for measuring FA, AFI (25) has emerged as a very attractive choice for correcting VFA measurements because of its high time efficiency and similar pulse sequence design. In spite of these benefits, AFI often presents its own limitations due to the need for a short
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olution can be found even with only a single SPGR data
point. An interesting approach to yield both FA and
values was proposed in subsequent work, which general-
izes AFI into a pulse sequence of $N$ arbitrary $TR$ periods
(42). The practical advantage of the VAFI approach com-
pared to the multiple TR method is much shorter data
acquisition times, which are minimized when using a singe
SPGR measurement to augment the standard dual-
TR AFI scan.

Similar to VAFI, DESPOT1-HIFI (24) is a variation on
the SPGR sequence, which also utilizes simultaneous fit-
ting to map FA and $T_1$. To generate unique information to
fit for an additional FA parameter, DESPOT1-HIFI utilizes
an inversion pulse prior to SPGR readout. Both VAFI and
DESPOT1-HIFI require some assumptions about RF pulse
properties. In the case of DESPOT1-HIFI, it is assumed
that the adiabatic inversion pulse is completely insensi-
tive to any parameters affecting the spin system ($B_0$, $B_1$,
$T_1$), which requires careful pulse sequence design. In
VAFI, it is assumed that the pulse FA scales linearly with
transmit field. Previous work (35) has shown that FA line-
arity is a valid assumption over the range of values herein
investigated. DESPOT1-HIFI may be more time efficient
than VAFI as it avoids a longer $TR$ (for proper spoiling) or with $T_1$-shortening contrast agents (such as dynamic contrast-enhanced imaging). It was previously noted that the VFA tech-
nique alone provides no unique solution for
M, $T_1$, and
FA (13). With the addition of dual-TR AFI data, a unique
olution can be found even with only a single SPGR data
point. An interesting approach to yield both FA and
values was proposed in subsequent work, which general-
izes AFI into a pulse sequence of $N$ arbitrary $TR$ periods
(42). The practical advantage of the VAFI approach com-
pared to the multiple TR method is much shorter data
acquisition times, which are minimized when using a singe
SPGR measurement to augment the standard dual-
TR AFI scan.

We demonstrated that, with properly tuned parameters
(proper choice of AFI and SPGR FA, phase increment of
RF spoiling, and spoiler gradient area for the tissue of in-
terest), the accuracy and precision of VAFI fit can sur-
pass that of the standard VFA fit (when used with AFI
for FA calibration) (Fig. 1). This is true even when
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ificant underestimation of $R_1$ at short $T_1$ times (due to errors in
the AFI FA map propagating into the $T_1$ estimate) and the relax-
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one SPGR measurement is eliminated, further increasing the overall time efficiency of the technique. This improvement comes from the explicit utilization of least squares estimation for solving Eq. 9, a benefit which is not available with linearized calculation of the FA and $T_1$ in the standard AFI and VFA methods. However, this improvement is at the cost of longer processing times than those required for original AFI and VFA approaches. An alternative and potentially faster minimization approach is to solve VAFI Eq. 5 through interleaved estimations of FA by AFI and $T_1$ maps by VFA (43). However, this approach obviously requires a full set of SPGR measurements to allow a separate VFA fit, and its convergence and noise performance have yet to be studied. Image reconstruction can be easily performed after data acquisition, similar to the majority of quantitative parameter mapping methods. The current implementation uses a brute-force optimization method, and as a result the algorithm requires lengthy processing times ($\sim 42$ milliseconds per voxel or about 6 h for a high-resolution whole-brain dataset). An important next step will be to substantially reduce this time through the use of more efficient optimization methods utilizing a C-code implementation or commodity graphics processing unit hardware (44). An additional speed up is expected from initializing the algorithm using $T_1/FA$ values from the regular VFA/AFI fitting procedures.

A major advantage of the traditional VFA technique is the ease with which acquisition settings can be optimized, either for a single $T_1$ time using a pair of “ideal” FAs (33) or using sets of combined ideal angles to image over a wide range of $T_1$ (10). Our simulation results show that VAFI can be similarly optimized through the proper choice of FA for the proton-density-weighted SPGR measurement. Because of the nonlinear nature of VAFI, we found this optimal angle through a numerical solution instead of an empirical formula. It is important to note that the optimal choice of this FA for VAFI is neither the Ernst angle nor the same as the smallest ideal angle for a VFA experiment. However, we observed that the optimal SPGR FA is always close (within 1°) to the smallest of the “ideal” angles optimizing VFA experiment design (33). Therefore, the “ideal” angle formula may still be utilized as a close approximation to the optimal VAFI SPGR FA when designing experiments. Simulations also showed that VAFI with multiple SPGR FAs will result in improved efficiency in the wider $T_1$ range, similar to VFA $T_1$ mapping with optimized experiment designs (39,45).

A major limitation of both AFI and VFA is the need for proper spoiling parameters to ensure accurate

---

**FIG. 7.** a: Effect of SENSE on the SNR of the AFI sequence when simultaneously increasing reduction factor $R$ and $TR$. Losses due to coil geometry ($g$-factor) were not considered. b: Flip angle map acquired with AFI in strong spoiling regime (long TR) for SENSE acceleration factor $R = 3$. c: Flip angle map acquired with AFI in weak spoiling (short TR) regime. Standard deviation of FA measurements are 11.43 and 18.21, respectively, for (b, c), and scan times are equivalent.

**FIG. 8.** In vivo $T_1$ maps in units of seconds in a healthy human volunteer comparing nearly complete AFI spoiling (a–c) versus weak spoiling (d, e) using standard VFA versus single flip angle VAFI techniques. For a well-spoiled sequence, both VFA and VAFI produce $T_1$ maps of comparable quality (see Table 2 for region of interest measurements), even though VAFI uses one less SPGR acquisition. Accelerated VAFI demonstrates similar image quality (c). The result of a poorly spoiled AFI sequence is visibly elevated $T_1$ estimates. This is even worse in the case of VAFI, where inconsistent signal levels between the AFI and SPGR datasets produce visible artifacts near the center of the image (arrow in e).
quantification (27). Although strong AFI spoiling is still a necessity for VAFI, simulations and in vivo studies have shown that the need for strong SPGR spoiling in the case of VAFI may be greatly reduced if a single FA SPGR measurement is used. This may allow reduction of spoiling gradients for SPGR part of VAFI and, as a result, more time-efficient overall mapping of the FA and $T_1$ than that is available with AFI and VFA alone. Notably, the dependence of $T_1$ accuracy on the choice of RF phase increment becomes much smaller. This is similar to a previous modification of the VFA method, which proposed an SPGR RF phase increment near the plateau of the curve rather than near a zero crossing in order to reduce the sensitivity of $T_1$ to this value (46). However, the previous method requires an additional correction to the subsequent $T_1$ maps, which is not necessary in VAFI.

One must consider the time efficiency of VAFI compared to the traditional VFA and AFI approaches when used separately. In the context of $T_1$-insensitive FA mapping, VAFI requires a short $TR$ SPGR acquisition in addition to AFI, which may increase the overall scan time insignificantly (by 6% in our unaccelerated version compared to standard AFI scan time). In the context of $T_1$ mapping, VAFI requires that both the AFI and SPGR scans be matched in spatial resolution, at the resolution of interest for $T_1$ mapping. Although this eliminates the need for one SPGR measurement without a loss of precision, an $AFI$ scan with the optimal $n = TR_{AFI2}/TR_{AFI1} = 5$ is six times longer than a normal SPGR acquisition, which may make straightforward high resolution ($\sim 1 \text{ mm}^3$) $T_1$ mapping with VAFI less efficient than with alternative VFA-based $T_1$ mapping techniques (24). Although such full resolution VAFI may potentially improve accuracy of $FA/T_1$ mapping if there is a partial volume effect between very short (problematic AFI regime) and long $T_1$ components, several options may help minimize imaging times and simultaneously maintain accurate $FA/T_1$ mapping with VAFI. One approach is to acquire an AFI dataset at a lower spatial resolution along with a standard VFA acquisition of two SPGR images at the desired resolution and to use low-resolution VAFI to fit for FA. Such method would still benefit from high accuracy due to proper spoiling and $T_1$ independence of FA maps, but would not benefit from a reduced number of VFA SPGR measurements and a gain in $T_1$ precision from simultaneous least squares fitting. As we confirmed in this article, parallel imaging is also a very suitable option for acceleration of VAFI, as the signal boost from longer AFI $TR$ efficiently compensates SNR losses from parallel MRI (38), an effect mostly unavailable for inherently short $TR$ techniques (24). Parallel MRI was used to accelerate AFI ($R = 3$) resulting in the acquisition of a VAFI $T_1$ mapping in under 5 min with a minimal effect on the map quality and accuracy (<3.6% error in WM/GM $T_1$). The method can be further accelerated for increased coverage or higher resolution imaging using systems with larger numbers of receive channels or lower resolution VAFI mapping as discussed above. The other recommended parameters for AFI part of in vivo protocol are $TR_{AFI1} = 30 \text{ ms}$, $TR_{AFI2} = 150 \text{ ms}$, $\alpha_{AFI} = 55^\circ$, $A_G1 = 450 \text { mT}*\text{ms}/\text{m}$, $\varphi_0 = 44^\circ$, and a SENSE acceleration factor of 3. Recommended SPGR parameters are $TR = 10 \text{ ms}$, $\alpha_{SPGR} = 3^\circ$ $A_C = 38 \text { mT}*\text{ms}/\text{m}$, and $\varphi_0 = 30^\circ$.

**CONCLUSION**

The VFA method is a rapid and accurate method for measuring $T_1$, as long as it can be paired with an equally rapid and accurate method for measuring and correcting FA. In this study, we presented a method that combines VFA and AFI into a single procedure, named VAFI. This allows the $TR$ of the AFI sequence to be extended to accommodate a large spoiler gradient for proper quantification, while removing the main AFI requirement of $TR_{AFI2} \ll T_1$. We showed in both Monte Carlo simulations and phantom scans that this method is highly accurate at estimating both FA and $T_1$ in the presence of very short $T_1$ times, with greater precision than the traditional VFA approach. We showed in simulations and in vivo scans that proper spoiling of the AFI sequence is essential to accurate quantification, while the spoiling requirements of the SPGR portion of the experiment are considerably reduced, resulting in a much shorter SPGR acquisition time. The proposed VAFI method has a high potential to become a widely used $T_1$/FA mapping approach in a variety of high-field imaging applications, particularly those with shortened $T_1$ values such as dynamic contrast-enhanced perfusion MRI.
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Analysis and Correction of Biases in Cross-Relaxation MRI due to Biexponential Longitudinal Relaxation

Pouria Mossahebi, Vasily L. Yarnykh, and Alexey Samsonov

Purpose: Cross-relaxation imaging (CRI) is a family of quantitative magnetization transfer techniques that utilize images obtained with off-resonance saturation and longitudinal relaxation rate ($R_1$) maps reconstructed by the variable flip angle (VFA) method. It was demonstrated recently that a significant bias in an apparent VFA $R_1$ estimation occurs in macromolecule-rich tissues due to magnetization transfer (MT)-induced biexponential behavior of longitudinal relaxation of water protons. The purpose of this article is to characterize theoretically and experimentally the resulting bias in the CRI maps and propose methods to correct it.

Theory: The modified CRI algorithm is proposed, which corrects for such biases and yields accurate parametric bound pool fraction $f$, cross-relaxation rate $k$, and $R_1$ maps. Additionally, an analytical correction procedure is introduced to recalculate previously obtained parameter values.

Results: The systematic errors due to unaccounted MT-induced biexponential relaxation can be characterized as an overestimation of $R_1$, $f$, and $k$, with a relative bias comparable with the magnitude of $f$. The phantom and human in vivo experiments demonstrate that both proposed modified CRI and analytical correction approaches significantly improve the accuracy of the CRI method.

Conclusion: The accuracy of the CRI method can be considerably improved by taking into account the contribution of MT-induced biexponential longitudinal relaxation into variable flip angle $R_1$ measurements. Magn Reson Med 71:830–838, 2014. © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

Key words: magnetization transfer; two-pool model; cross-relaxation imaging; variable flip angle $T_1$ mapping

Magnetization transfer (MT) effect is commonly recognized as a source of important information about tissue microstructure due to its sensitivity to immobile macromolecular protons not detectable by the conventional MRI (e.g., those associated with proteins and lipid bilayers of myelin, collagen matrix in cartilage, muscle fibers, etc.) (1-2). Various approaches have been proposed to quantify the MT effect in vivo including empirical indexes characterizing signal saturation due to MT (1,3,4) and methods for quantitative mapping of specific parameters describing MT within the two-pool model (2,5-15). The key parameters of interest in this model are associated with the state of macromolecular protons, which is characterized by their molar fraction (bound pool fraction, $f$), the forward rate constant describing cross-relaxation with water protons ($k$), and the transverse relaxation time $T_2^p$. Particularly promising findings were reported for the bound pool fraction, which was found to be correlated with the myelin content in neural tissues (16-19) and was shown to be capable of tracking age-related changes of the white matter (WM) myelination in animal studies (20).

One group of quantitative MT methods termed cross-relaxation imaging (CRI) (6,10,12) is specifically targeted at mapping the parameters $f$, $k$, and $T_2^p$ or their subsets in the isolation from relaxation properties of the water proton magnetization. Collectively, these techniques rely on the approximate two-pool pulsed MT formalism (6,10) where the action of off-resonance saturation pulses on the macromolecular proton pool is described by an effective time-independent saturation rate calculated for a square pulse with the equivalent power and duration. Further, direct saturation of the free water proton pool is either neglected (6) or estimated within the stationary approximation sufficiently far from the resonance (10). These techniques allow three-dimensional (3D) acquisition with clinically acceptable scan times and resolution based on a limited number of MT-weighted images obtained with variable off-resonance saturation. The common feature of CRI and other off-resonance qMT techniques is the need for complementary $T_1$ mapping, which allows decoupling of the two-pool model parameters from the longitudinal relaxation rate $R_1 = 1/T_1$ (5-7,9,10,12). Because of the need for the fast 3D acquisition, $T_1$ maps are often generated using the variable flip angle (VFA) method with a spoiled gradient-echo (SPGR) sequence (21). It has been realized recently that the VFA method originally derived for the single-pool model inaccurately describes the SPGR signal, which often leads to biased $R_1$ estimation in tissues with rich macromolecular content. This bias is due to unaccounted cross-relaxation caused by MT effect between macromolecular and water protons, which leads to the biexponential behavior of longitudinal relaxation of water proton magnetization in such tissues (22). The cross-relaxation contribution was shown to dominate $R_1$ of water in hydrated collagen (22) and more recently in neural tissues (23). Deviation of longitudinal...
relaxation from a single-exponential behavior due to cross-relaxation significantly affects signal intensities a in the fast gradient-echo sequences (24,25) and introduces a bias in VFA $R_1$ measurements that depends on the pulse sequence parameters and may reach up to 14–15% in brain WM (24). Apart from biasing VFA $R_1$ estimation, systematic errors from unaccounted biexponential relaxation may further propagate into the two-pool model parameters estimated by quantitative MT techniques such as CRI. Conversely, an unbiased determination of $R_1$ values in tissues requires the knowledge about the rest of parameters of the two-pool model, which may not be practical for data correction within the VFA method alone (24). In this study, we propose the unified treatment of VFA and MT SPGR signals using a modified CRI (mCRI) analysis, which enables simultaneous corrections of $R_1$ and two-pool MT model parameters. In particular, we theoretically and experimentally characterize systematic errors in CRI caused by biexponential relaxation in VFA $R_1$ mapping and demonstrate a new processing algorithm, which corrects for such errors and yields accurate parameteric $f$, $k$, $T_B^2$, and $R_1$ maps. Additionally, we propose an analytical correction procedure allowing recalculation of previously obtained cross-relaxation parameter values with acceptable residual errors.

**THEORY**

**Analytical Theory of the SPGR Signal in the Presence of Cross-Relaxation**

To estimate the effect of cross-relaxation on the apparent $R_1$ measured by the VFA method, we employ the previously described pulsed steady-state formalism (6,10) in the simplified form, where no off-resonance saturation is applied. The matrix model of the longitudinal magnetization (based on Eq. [1] in Ref. 10) can be rewritten as:

$$M_z = (I - EC)^{-1}(I - E)M_{eq},$$  \[1\]

where $M_z = [M_0^F \ M_0^B]^T$ corresponds to the longitudinal magnetization before the excitation pulse, $M_0^F$, $M_0^B$ are the longitudinal magnetizations of free and bound protons, respectively, $M_{eq} = M_0[1 - f f]^T$ is the vector of equilibrium magnetization, $I$ is the identity matrix, the matrix $E = \exp(CTR)$ describes relaxation during repetition time $T_R$, and the diagonal matrix $C = \text{diag}(S_f, S_b)$, $S_f = \cos x$, $S_b = 1$ corresponds to the instant rotation of the magnetization $M_z^o$ by an excitation pulse with the flip angle $x$. The relaxation matrix $R$ is defined as follows:

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1^F - k & k \cdot \frac{1-f}{f} \\ k & R_1^B - k \cdot \frac{1-f}{f} \end{bmatrix}$$  \[2\]

where $R_1^F$ and $R_1^B$ are the longitudinal relaxation rates of the free and bound pool, respectively. Applying the first-order approximation to the exponential terms, Eq. [1] can be simplified to

$$M_z \approx (R T_R + \ln C)^{-1}RM_{eq} T_R$$  \[3\]

Corresponding approximated expression for the observed signal can be explicitly written as follows:

$$S \approx \frac{M_0(1-f)(R_1^F R_1^B + R_1^B k(1-f)/f + R_1^F k)\sin \exp (-T_E/T_2)}{R_1^F R_1^B + R_1^B k(1-f)/f + R_1^F k - (R_1^F + k(1-f)/f)T_R^{-1}\ln(\cos x)}$$  \[4\]

We further assume that $R_1^F = R_1^B = R_1$ similar to earlier studies (6,12) that corresponds to the fast exchange conditions (26). With these assumptions, the signal intensity is expressed as:

$$S \approx \frac{M_0(1-f)R_1 T_R \sin \exp (-T_E/T_2)}{R_1 T_R^{-1}\ln(\cos x) + \frac{f}{R_1 + k}/f}$$  \[5\]

Finally, the relaxation rate $R_1$ can be neglected in the sum with a much larger term $k/f$, thus providing the signal equation

$$S \approx \frac{R_1 T_R}{R_1 T_R^{-1}(1-f)\ln(\cos x)} \sin \exp (-T_E/T_2)$$  \[6\]

By comparing Eq. [6] with the first-order approximation of the Ernst equation (27) (not shown for brevity), the relationship between the true $R_1$ and its apparent value $R_1^{app}$ estimated from VFA data becomes evident:

$$R_1^{app} \approx R_1/(1-f)$$  \[7\]

Equation [7] demonstrates that the bias in $R_1$ caused by cross-relaxation is on the order of $f$ and independent of the sequence parameters ($T_R$ and flip angles) if the first-order approximation is justified by a short $T_R$. More general treatment for arbitrary sequence parameters can be found in Ref. 24 and 25.

**Effect of Apparent $R_1$ on the CRI Parameters**

The next goal is to estimate systematic errors in the two-pool model parameters due to $R_1^{app}$ in the CRI method. We start our analysis with a simplified CRI model (6), which allows analytical investigation of errors in the estimated parameters in the regime where the direct saturation effect is negligible ($\Delta > 2.5$ kHz) (28). According to this model, the ratio of signals with and without off-resonance saturation in a pulsed MT experiment can be expressed as

$$\frac{M_F^o(W_B)}{M_F^o(W_B=0)} = \frac{P + (Q-1)sW_B}{P + QsW_B}$$  \[8\]

where

$$P = f^{-1}(R_1 - (1-f)T_R^{-1}\ln(\cos x))$$  \[9\]

$$Q = k^{-1}(R_1 - T_R^{-1}\ln(\cos x)) + 1$$  \[10\]

and $W_B$ is the saturation rate of the bound pool scaled by the duty cycle of the saturation pulse ($s = t_m/T_R$, $t_m$ is the on period of the MT pulses).
where $t_{\text{int}}$ is the pulse duration). The saturation rate $W^0$ depends on the offset frequency and the flip angle of the saturation pulse and is determined by the parameter $T_2^\text{app}$ based on an appropriate spectral line shape model [typically SuperLorentzian (29)]. If the data are fitted in the form given by Eq. [8], the fitting algorithm searches for the optimal parameters $P$, $Q$, and $T_2^\text{app}$ regardless of an actual $R_1$.

The effect of $R_1$ errors on the two-pool model parameters originates from the dependence of the coefficients $P$ and $Q$ on $R_1$ (Eqs. [9] and [10]). Accordingly, if $R_1^{\text{app}}$ is supplied as input information, apparent values $f^{\text{app}}$ and $k^{\text{app}}$ will be obtained. The relationships between the true $f$ and $k$ and their apparent values can be derived from the conditions $P(R_1^{\text{app}}, f^{\text{app}}) = P(R_1, f)$ and $Q(R_1^{\text{app}}, k^{\text{app}}) = Q(R_1, k)$, which result in the simple recalculation formulas:

$$f = Cf^{\text{app}}, \quad k = Ck^{\text{app}}$$  \hspace{1cm} [11]

where the correction coefficient $C$ is the same for both $f$ and $k$ and depends on $T_R$ and the flip angle:

$$C = \frac{(R_1^{\text{app}} - R_1^{-1}\ln(\cos z)) / (R_1^{\text{pp}} - R_1^{-1}\ln(\cos z) + f^{\text{pp}}R_1^{\text{pp}})}{[12]}

The above analysis also suggests that $R_1$ errors should not affect $T_2^\text{pp}$, since $W^0$ is independent of $R_1$ (6). We refer below to the recalculation formulas (Eqs. [11] and [12]) as CRI with the first-order correction.

**Standard CRI and mCRI Approaches**

In the original CRI approach (10), reconstruction of parametric maps is performed in two stages (Fig. 1). During the first stage, $R_1$ map is calculated by fitting the Ernst equation to VFA SPGR data (21). During the second step, the matrix model of the pulsed MT is fitted to MT-weighted data, while $R_1$ is supplied as an external parameter. Prior to the fit, the MT data are normalized pixel-wise by an arbitrary reference image typically obtained without saturation. The purpose of this normalization is to reduce the number of free parameters in the fit by excluding a multiplicative factor commonly referred to as proton density, which absorbs the effects of spin concentration, $T_2^\text{pp}$ decay, and the coil sensitivity. The normalized data are then fitted to the corresponding ratio of analytical signal expressions with and without saturation $M_2^{\text{app}}(W^0)/M_2^{\text{pp}}(W^0=0)$. As an alternative to the standard CRI approach, we propose the mCRI reconstruction algorithm to perform a global fit of VFA and MT SPGR data simultaneously (Fig. 1). On the first stage, similarly to the standard CRI approach, the normalization procedure is uniformly applied to both VFA and MT data to exclude the common proton density term. Then, the normalized images are simultaneously fitted to the corresponding ratios of analytical signal expressions with and without saturation using Eq. [1] from this paper and Eq. [1] from Ref. 10, respectively. Accordingly, the global fit simultaneously yields all the remaining parameters $(R_1, f, k, T_2^\text{pp})$ and automatically takes into account the cross-relaxation contribution into VFA data (Fig. 1).

**METHODS**

**Simulations**

To estimate errors in the two-pool model parameters caused by the unaccounted biexponential relaxation, synthetic VFA and MT SPGR signal intensities were generated using Eq. [1] from this paper and Eq. [1] from Ref. 10, respectively. Then, datasets were fitted using the original CRI algorithm. The first-order correction formulas (Eqs. [7], [11], and [12]) were also evaluated. Specific parameters used in the simulations were taken from regions-of-interest (ROI) measurements in the genu of corpus callosum (WM) and thalamus (GM) from full mCRI fit of the in vivo data presented below: 1) WM: $R_1 = 0.97$ s$^{-1}$; $f = 15.36$ %; $k = 2.70$ s$^{-1}$; $T_2^\text{pp} = 9.84$ ms; 2) GM: $R_1 = 0.71$ s$^{-1}$; $f = 8.8%$; $k = 1.57$ s$^{-1}$; $T_2^\text{pp} = 10.21$ ms. To study the effect of approximations of the first order
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FIG. 1. Original CRI and mCRI processing pipelines.
correction in the realistic imaging regime, pulse sequence parameters and sampling scheme in these simulations corresponded to those used in the in vivo experiments detailed below.

Phantom Preparation

Five crosslinked BSA samples (98% bovine serum albumin, essentially fatty acid free, Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO) were prepared with BSA percent weight of 10, 15, 20, 25, and 30 as described in Ref. 30. The BSA was dissolved in distilled water and was placed in the ice bath for 10 min. Then, 50 µL/mL of an ice-cold 25% glutaraldehyde solution (Sigma-Aldrich Corp., St. Louis, MO) were added to the BSA solution while stirring with a syringe needle. The samples were kept at the room temperature for two hours and then stored at 4°C.

Data Acquisition

Imaging experiments were carried out on a 3.0 T GE Discovery MR750 (GE Healthcare; Waukesha, WI) using either an eight-channel transmit/receive knee coil (for phantom scans) or eight-channel phased array head coil (for a volunteer scan). All data were acquired with the 3D MT-weighted SPGR sequence in a strong spoiling regime (31) (spoiling gradient area $A_c = 450$ mT$\cdot$m/s, RF phase increment 169°). In the phantom experiment, eight Z-spectroscopic datasets were acquired ($T_E/T_R = 37/2.3$ ms, excitation flip angle $z = 15°$) with the 18 ms Fermi saturation pulse applied at the offset frequencies $\Delta = 2.5, 10, 18, 26$ kHz with two nominal saturation flip angles $z_{MT} = 850°$ and $1300°$. Additionally, four VFA SPGR datasets were acquired using the same sequence with $\Delta = 250$ kHz to ensure that the transmitter operates with identical gain settings (no MT effect is observed at this frequency) and flip angles optimized for the range of $T_1$ values in the phantoms ($x = 6°, 15°, 35°$, and $50°$). The SPGR dataset with the highest signal-to-noise ratio ($x = 15°$) was used as a reference image to normalize both Z-spectroscopic and VFA data as explained in the previous section. All datasets were acquired with field of view $=140 \times 105 \times 48$ mm$^3$ and matrix $=128 \times 96 \times 24$. Single-slice 2D inversion-prepared spin-echo (IR) data were collected to determine reference $T_1$ values in the phantoms ($T_E/T_R = 5000/8.2$ ms, $T_1 = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.2, 1.6, 2$ s).

Informed written consent was obtained from a healthy volunteer in accordance with the local institutional policy. Eight Z-spectroscopic datasets were acquired using the same pulse sequences ($A = 2.5, 5, 9, 13$ kHz, $z_{MT} = 500°, 1100°, z = 10°$). Four VFA datasets were acquired with flip angles $x = 5°, 10°, 20°$, and $30°$. The image with $x = 10°$ was used as a reference dataset. All Z-spectroscopic and VFA data were acquired with $T_E/T_R = 40/2.0$ ms, field of view $=240 \times 180 \times 80$ mm$^3$ and matrix $=128 \times 96 \times 42$. The total scan time for this protocol was 35 min.

$B_0$ and $B_1$ maps were used to correct flip angle and local off-resonance frequency values in both phantom and volunteer studies. $B_1$ maps were acquired using the actual flip angle imaging method (32) ($T_{R_1}/T_{R_2}/T_E = 37/185/2.3$ ms, $z = 55°$, field of view $=240 \times 180 \times 80$ mm, matrix $=96 \times 72 \times 28$) with the strong spoiling regime (31). $B_0$ maps were calculated from the 3D fat-water separation method known as “iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation” with field of view $=240 \times 180 \times 80$ mm and matrix $=256 \times 256 \times 42$ (33). The scan time for these additional $B_0$ and $B_1$ mapping sequences was 10 min.

Image Processing and Analysis

The standard CRI processing workflow (Fig. 1) was implemented according to (10,34). In mCRI, all parametric maps were generated by fitting the normalized VFA and MT data simultaneously as described above (Fig. 1) using in-house-written C and MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA) software utilizing a standard “lsqnonlin” function for nonlinear least squares voxel-based fitting (http://www.medphysics.wisc.edu/~samsonov/qmap). All quantitative parameters estimated in the phantom scans and in vivo were obtained from parametric maps in the manually drawn ROIs.

To study the association between BSA content and the bound pool fraction determined by different processing approaches, we performed linear regression analysis using the following model:

$$f = \beta_1 \cdot \text{BSA} + \beta_0$$  [13]

The strength of anticipated linear relationships was assessed using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient. We additionally tested if the intercept $\beta_0$ is significantly different from zero (the anticipated value for $f$ at BSA% = 0). Statistical significance of differences between parameter measurements by CRI, mCRI, and CRI with the first-order correction was assessed using a paired two-tailed t-test.

RESULTS

Simulations

Table 1 shows the systematic errors in the estimated parameters in WM and GM obtained from the original CRI method, mCRI, and CRI with the first-order correction. As predicted by the theory, the $R_1$ bias propagates into $f$ and $k$ measurements but does not affect $T_2^*$. Additionally, four VFA datasets were acquired using the same sequence with $\Delta = 250$ kHz to ensure that the transmitter operates with identical gain settings (no MT effect is observed at this frequency) and flip angles optimized for the range of $T_1$ values in the phantoms ($x = 6°, 15°, 35°$, and $50°$). The SPGR dataset with the highest signal-to-noise ratio ($x = 15°$) was used as a reference image to normalize both Z-spectroscopic and VFA data as explained in the previous section. All datasets were acquired with field of view $=140 \times 105 \times 48$ mm$^3$ and matrix $=128 \times 96 \times 24$. Single-slice 2D inversion-prepared spin-echo (IR) data were collected to determine reference $T_1$ values in the phantoms ($T_E/T_R = 5000/8.2$ ms, $T_1 = 0.3, 0.5, 0.7, 0.9, 1.2, 1.6, 2$ s).

Informed written consent was obtained from a healthy volunteer in accordance with the local institutional policy. Eight Z-spectroscopic datasets were acquired using the same pulse sequences ($A = 2.5, 5, 9, 13$ kHz, $z_{MT} = 500°, 1100°, z = 10°$). Four VFA datasets were acquired with flip angles $x = 5°, 10°, 20°$, and $30°$. The image with $x = 10°$ was used as a reference dataset. All Z-spectroscopic and VFA data were acquired with $T_E/T_R = 40/2.0$ ms, field of view $=240 \times 180 \times 80$ mm$^3$ and matrix $=128 \times 96 \times 42$. The total scan time for this protocol was 35 min.

$B_0$ and $B_1$ maps were used to correct flip angle and local off-resonance frequency values in both phantom and volunteer studies. $B_1$ maps were acquired using the actual flip angle imaging method (32) ($T_{R_1}/T_{R_2}/T_E = 37/185/2.3$ ms, $z = 55°$, field of view $=240 \times 180 \times 80$ mm, matrix $=96 \times 72 \times 28$) with the strong spoiling regime (31). $B_0$ maps were calculated from the 3D fat-water separation method known as “iterative decomposition of water and fat with echo asymmetry and least squares estimation” with field of view $=240 \times 180 \times 80$ mm and matrix $=256 \times 256 \times 42$ (33). The scan time for these additional $B_0$ and $B_1$ mapping sequences was 10 min.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Original CRI</th>
<th>First-order correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_1$ (%)</td>
<td>$f$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>16.92</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>8.88</td>
<td>5.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the original CRI method. These errors affect most significantly $R_1$ values, then $k$ and $f$ values. They are steadily growing with the bound pool fraction as predicted by Eqs. [7] and [11]. The first-order correction (Eqs. [11] and [12]) removes most of the bias for a wide range of $f$ values, while the level of residual errors increases with an increase in $f$. The first-order correction is less accurate for $k$ values where the residual bias may reach up to ~5% for tissues with a higher macromolecular content.

Phantom Studies

Figure 3 shows estimates of the two-pool MT model parameters in the BSA phantoms obtained by the original CRI, mCRI, and CRI with the first-order correction, as well as $R_1$ values from the reference IR experiment. Both mCRI fit and the first-order correction of CRI fit provide a good agreement with the reference IR $R_1$ measurements, while the standard VFA method results in substantial discrepancy with IR $R_1$ estimates. The difference between $R_1$ values measured by the VFA method and IR method increases with BSA concentration as predicted by Eq. [7] and the simulations (Fig. 2).

Similarly, the original CRI tends to overestimate bound pool fraction $f$ and cross-relaxation rate $k$ in the phantoms with a higher concentration of BSA. Although the first-order correction of $f$ provides a good agreement with the mCRI fit for all concentrations, an increase in the macromolecular content leads to a poorer first-order correction for $k$, as predicted by the simulations (Fig. 2). $T_2^B$ is consistent among different concentrations of BSA.

Figure 4 and Table 2 show results of a linear regression analysis of $f$ from phantom data. The bound pool fraction strongly correlates with BSA concentration for both CRI and mCRI, though the original CRI estimation lead to a somewhat weaker correlation (Table 2). The intercept of the fitted line for mCRI and the first-order correction is not significantly different from zero, thus

![Comparison of qMT parameters estimated using original CRI (without and with first-order correction) and mCRI in phants with different BSA concentrations. a: $R_1$ measurements. IR $R_1$ values are shown for reference. b–d: $f$, $k$, and $T_2^B$ measurements, respectively. Error bars indicate standard deviations in ROI measurements taken from parameter maps.](image)
reflecting the anticipated absence of the bound proton pool at 0% BSA concentration. At the same time, the intercept of the fitted line for original CRI is significantly different from zero and appears in the physically unrealistic range (\(-2.5\)%).

**In Vivo Results**

Figure 5 compares parametric maps obtained using the mCRI processing approach with original CRI and its first-order correction. Table 3 provides quantitative comparisons of qMT parameters in several WM and GM regions of interest. Processing with mCRI resulted in structurally similar, but quantitatively different parametric maps. For all parameters except for \(T_2\), the error images reveal dependence of the bias on the macromolecular content as predicted by simulations and phantom studies. First-order correction efficiently minimizes the errors in \(f\) and \(R_1\). However, there is still a visible residual error for \(k\) values after the first-order correction, with most appreciable residue in WM regions, which is in agreement with simulations and phantom experiments (Figs. 2 and 3, Table 1). Statistical analysis of ROI data detected significant differences between CRI and mCRI for all parameters except for \(T_2\) in gray matter (Table 4). The effect of the first-order correction was also significant for \(R_1\), \(f\), and \(k\). There were significant differences between mCRI and the first-order correction for \(R_1\) and \(k\). These systematic errors as well as bias between mCRI and the first-order correction (Table 4) are consistent with the estimates predicted in simulations (Table 1).

**DISCUSSION**

MT imaging offers unique sensitivity to macromolecular content in tissues that cannot be assessed with conventional MRL. Accurate modeling of MT processes using the two-pool model is essential to yield specific parameters characterizing the macromolecular fraction in both normal and pathological conditions. This study demonstrates on the example of CRI, one of qMT methods based on SPGR pulse sequence, that separate treatment of VFA and MT data may cause non-negligible systematic errors in both \(R_1\) and quantitative MT parameters such as bound pool fraction \(f\) and cross-relaxation rate \(k\). Specifically, this type of errors can be characterized as an overestimation of \(R_1\), \(f\), and \(k\) with a relative bias comparable with magnitude of \(f\) (Fig. 2, Tables 1, 3, and 4). As the effect of the MT-induced biexponential longitudinal relaxation on the parameters estimation strongly depends on the macromolecular content in tissues (Fig. 2), the original CRI method and other qMT analysis techniques that do not take into account this effect may make interpretation of quantitative parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Results of Linear Regression Analysis for Bound Pool Fraction (f) in BSA Phantoms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \beta_0 ) (P-value)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-order correction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCRI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Asterisk (*) indicated that the difference between the intercept of the fitted line and zero is statistically significant.
inconsistent for different tissue types in different pathological conditions. It is also important to emphasize that apparent $R_1$ values obtained from the standard single-compartment VFA technique are biased proportionally to $f$, and hence represent nonspecific measures containing contributions from both the intrinsic $T_1$ relaxation properties of water protons in tissues and the cross-relaxation between two proton pools.

We demonstrated that the accuracy of CRI estimates can be noticeably improved with the proposed combined data fit algorithm. Our mCRI data processing approach does not require any additional measurements, thus maintaining the same time-efficiency as the original CRI technique. The mCRI method yields unbiased $VFA_R$ $R_1$ estimation, resulting in a decrease in $R_1$ values by approximately 15% in WM and 8% in GM (Tables 3 and 4), which agrees well with the bias predicted earlier (24). In addition to the mCRI fit, we have proposed a simple analytical first-order approximated correction formulas allowing recalculation of original CRI-based parameters. The residual bias of such correction was shown both theoretically and experimentally, with the bias predicted earlier (24).

In Table 4, we have proposed a simple analytical first-order approximation of multiple exchanging compartments in biological tissues (36,37). Another is a specific assumption about the longitudinal relaxation rate of the bound pool $R_1^B$. Particularly, a recent study (26) demonstrated that widely adapted approximations $R_1^B = 1$ s$^{-1}$ (2,5) or $R_1^B = R_1^0$ (6,12) may considerably underestimate actual values of this parameter. Accordingly, this may cause an additional bias in the two-pool model parameters obtained using all qMT techniques based on the above assumptions about $R_1^B$. However, it remains unclear at this point whether a faster longitudinal relaxation rate of the bound pool protons is an intrinsic property of macromolecular protons or a manifestation of more complex equilibria involving water proton fractions with different mobilities. The later hypothesis is logical in view of a shorter $T_1$ found for the short-$T_2$ water fraction commonly termed “myelin water” (36,38–41). However, another limitation is the assumption about a negligible effect of the excitation pulse on the bound pool. This approximation is common for off-resonance pulsed quantitative MT methods employing low-angle excitation pulses (5–7,10,12), which causes negligible on-resonance saturation of the bound pool magnetization. However, as was shown earlier (25),

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regions-of-interest</th>
<th>mCRI</th>
<th>Original CRI</th>
<th>First-order correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_1$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$f$ (%)</td>
<td>$k$ (s$^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral peduncle</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>14.81</td>
<td>2.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corona radiata</td>
<td>0.894</td>
<td>13.87</td>
<td>1.979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum, genu</td>
<td>0.966</td>
<td>15.36</td>
<td>2.702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corpus callosum, splenium</td>
<td>0.942</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>2.517</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal white matter</td>
<td>0.898</td>
<td>13.93</td>
<td>2.161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule, anterior limb</td>
<td>0.932</td>
<td>13.88</td>
<td>2.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal capsule, posterior limb</td>
<td>0.903</td>
<td>15.33</td>
<td>2.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle cerebellar peduncle</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>1.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital white matter</td>
<td>0.924</td>
<td>14.79</td>
<td>1.898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gray matter</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral cortex</td>
<td>0.739</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate nucleus</td>
<td>0.655</td>
<td>6.42</td>
<td>1.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>7.29</td>
<td>1.432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantia nigra</td>
<td>0.788</td>
<td>8.59</td>
<td>1.371</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>8.77</td>
<td>1.569</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Average Relative Bias Between mCRI and CRI Without and With First-Order Correction Calculated from In Vivo ROI Measurements (Table 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CRI</th>
<th>First-order correction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$R_1$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>15.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GM</td>
<td>7.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bold font indicates significantly different results ($P < 0.05$).
the effect of on-resonance saturation should be taken into account for sequences with very short $T_1$ and high flip angles, the conditions typical for the balanced steady state precession imaging. In the two-pool formalism with pulsed excitation (Eq. [1]), on-resonance saturation of the bound pool can be taken into account by assigning an actual saturation factor $S_0 < 1$ in the diagonal matrix $C$. Corresponding $S_0$ values can be estimated from the properties of the excitation pulse (duration and flip angle) and a spectral line shape of the bound pool (11,22). Based on this approach, we found for a particular pulse used in our sequence that on-resonance saturation of the bound pool results in an almost negligible effect on the accuracy of the two-pool model parameters determined by mCRI ($<1\%$ relative error for comparison between fits with actual $S_0$ vs. $S_0 = 1$). However, this aspect of the technique could be important in the context of its standardization for future multicenter clinical studies since variations in the shape, duration, and flip angle of the excitation pulse may introduce a small but non-negligible bias dependent on a particular sequence implementation. Similarly, the approximation of the effect of the excitation pulse on the free pool by the term $\cos z$ in the matrix $C$ (Eq. [1]) may not be absolutely correct due to relaxation during RF pulses of finite duration. Such an effect has been recently described (42), and its role for both VFA $R_1$ and CRI parameter measurements remains to be investigated. In summary, while this study relies on the commonly accepted in the quantitative MT methodology approximations, future refinements of CRI accuracy seem to be possible based on accommodation of multicompartment magnetization exchange schemes and a more rigorous analysis of magnetization dynamics during RF pulses.

CONCLUSIONS

This study demonstrates on the example of CRI that the accuracy of quantitative MT imaging can be considerably improved by taking into account the contribution of MT-induced biexponential longitudinal relaxation into VFA $R_1$ measurements. We have developed the two technical approaches allowing correction of biases in CRI parameter estimates caused by the MT-induced biexponential behavior of longitudinal relaxation of water spins based on either the global fit of both VFA and MT SPGR data to the two-pool signal model or the simple analytical recalculation formulas for key cross-relaxation parameters. Although the choice between these techniques depends on a tradeoff between the correction accuracy and image processing speed, both approaches do not require extra data compared to the original CRI method.
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Simultaneous Mapping of B1 and Flip Angle by Combined Bloch-Siegert, Actual Flip-angle Imaging (BS-AFI)
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Introduction: The accuracy of many quantitative MRI (qMRI) methods depends critically on accurate knowledge of radiofrequency transmit field amplitude (B1) and flip angle (FA). While the terms B1 and FA are sometimes used interchangeably, FA depends not only on B1 but also additional factors such as RF pulse envelope, slab profile, tissue relaxation parameters, and B0 effects that can lead to substantial errors when correcting qMRI methods [1]. In general, B1 correction is most appropriate for nonselective pulses (e.g., off-resonance pulse in magnetization transfer (MT) or a hard 180° pulse in inversion recovery) while FA correction is appropriate for selective excitation pulses (e.g., variable flip angle T1 mapping). Many methods such as quantitative MT [2,3] and Look-Locker T1 mapping utilize both types of pulses in the same experiment, however to date no consideration has been made of separate B1 and FA effects. Therefore, the measurement and correction of both parameters may increase the overall accuracy of such methods.

Bloch-Siegert B1 mapping (BS) is a recently proposed phase-based method to rapidly map B1 with an off-resonant nonselective pulse [4], while Actual Flip-angle Imaging (AFI) is a method to map FA using the ratio of signals from two interleaved steady-state TRs [5]. We propose a novel combination of these two sequences, BS-AFI, to map FA using image magnitude and encode B1 into the image phase with zero time penalty over AFI. Improved MT accuracy is demonstrated in phantom validations.

Methods: The BS-AFI pulse sequence was implemented on a GE MR750 (fig. 1). A standard AFI pulse sequence was implemented by the addition of a second TR (=5*TR1) to an SPGR sequence; BS mapping was then added through the introduction of an 8ms Fermi-shaped off-resonance pulse just after the excitation pulse and just before phase encoding. As BS requires the phase differences of two ±80° off-resonance pulses, a pulse at ±4kHz is played during TR1 and ±4kHz during TR2. Four additional alternating pulses are played during TR2 to maintain a pulsed steady-state saturation. A potential issue of this implementation is if MT saturation effects are different between TR1 and TR2 due to variation in MT factor with B0. However, since the TR of the BS-AFI sequence is shorter than the timescale of MT exchange, we hypothesize that these alternating pulses will create an averaging effect and generate the same MT contrast in both images, eliminating any confounds to FA quantification. The BS-1 dependent phase precession may also interfere with RF spoiling, however it was shown that the use of large spoiler gradients eliminates sensitivity to RF spoiling effects [6].

A cylindrical gelatin phantom was scanned at 64x44x52 matrix and 1.3 mm isotropic resolution in the coronal plane, then re-formatted to axial to visualize slab profile (fig. 4). BS-AFI and standard AFI scans were acquired with TR1/TR2 = 36/180ms and a = 55°. Spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) scans were acquired with TR = 36ms and a = [5 10 20 30 40]º. Ten MT-weighted SPGR were acquired with TR = 36ms, a = 10º, and offset frequency ±ω = [1.25 4.9 13] kHz for MT flip angles 500º and 900º. The SPGR and MT data were then fitted to a five-parameter model of MT (bound pool fraction, exchange rate, T1 of bound pools, PD, and T1 of free pool) [7]. The MT data were corrected in three ways: using only the FA map to correct both excitation and MT pulse flip angles (FA only), using only the B1 map to correct both (B1 only), and using the BS-AFI FA to correct the excitation flip angle and B1 to correct MT flip angle. B1 correction was done using IDEAL [8].

Results: Mean error for the BS-AFI method, compared to separate AFI and Bloch-Siegert mapping, was 3.0 ± 2.2% in B1 and 2.0 ± 1.1% in FA (fig. 2). Spatially varying differences between techniques were not observed, even in the presence of B0 inhomogeneity (0−200 Hz within slice, as measured with IDEAL). SNR of the B1 map was also improved (variance σB1standard = 0.0048 G, σB1IDEAL = 0.0037 G; small ROI in center of image) due to increased signal to a longer AFI TR1; qMT parameter maps using only FA or B1 show distinct errors due to slab profile (fig. 3, see caption). BS-AFI maps show uniform measures along the slab direction (fig. 4).

Discussion & Conclusions: Simultaneous knowledge of B1 and FA may be useful for a wide range of qMRI applications that employ both selective and nonselective RF pulses, including quantitative MTI, Look-Locker T1 mapping, and the correction of stimulated echoes in a multi-exponential T2 CPMG experiment [9]. Although errors in FA due to slab profile can be minimized in other ways, such as the use of a longer optimized RF pulse or nonselective 3D imaging, such approaches are not always feasible. Nonselective imaging is only acceptable in applications where the object is sufficiently small to be covered by two phase encoding directions in a reasonable scan time, and may lead to additional errors due to increased sensitivity to B0 variations. Pulses that are optimized for slab selection may still have residual errors in a significant number of slices, as we have observed errors greater than 5% in quantitative maps occur in 1/3 of our slices. These approaches also do not consider variations in FA due to B0 or the relaxation properties of tissue (e.g. T2 relaxation in ultrashort TE sequences).

In contrast, the BS-AFI method enables rapid and accurate qMRI with sequences employing any combination of selective or nonselective RF pulse types. The key feature of the pulse sequence is encoding the two alternating off-resonance shifts into two AFI TRs. This may potentially influence contrast of FA images differently if TR is long, however no variations were observed in our experiment using typical AFI parameters. [3,6]. This averaging effect may be beneficial not only in the context of BS-AFI, but also B1-sensitive qMT or MT ratio measurements, and will be the subject of future work. In conclusion, we present a method to simultaneously map B1 and FA that is robust to B0 and MT errors and incurs no scan time penalty over the traditional AFI method. In context of MT, separate measurement of FA for excitation flip angle correction and B1 for MT power correction led to an increased accuracy in the bound pool fraction. In addition to enlarged scan times, separate acquisition of such maps may result in reduced consistency of B1 and FA mapping due to patient motion.
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INTRODUCTION: Over past years, several methods have been proposed for quantitative mapping of molecular parameters describing the magnetization transfer (MT) effect within the two-pool model (bound pool fraction $f$, cross-relaxation rate $k$, and transverse relaxation times of the bound pool $T_{2b}$ and free pool $T_{2f}$) from a series of images with variable off-resonance saturation [1-4]. Resulting parametric maps are expected to provide new biomarkers in various neurological conditions. Particularly encouraging results were reported for the bound pool fraction, which provides strong associations with myelin content in neural tissues [5,6]. The common feature of the above methods is the need for complementary $T_{2}$ mapping, which allows decoupling of the two-pool model parameters from the longitudinal relaxation rate $R_1 = 1/T_1$. One of such methods, cross-relaxation imaging (CRI) [4] allows 3D acquisition with excellent speed and resolution based on combination of a limited number of MT-weighted images and variable flip angle (VFA) $T_1$ maps obtained using a fast spoiled gradient-echo (SPGR) sequence. However, recent studies showed that the on-resonance MT effect may cause a significant bias in the steady-state MR signal [7,8], if the model does not account for bi-exponential behavior of longitudinal relaxation occurring due to MT. This bias unreasonably introduces errors in VFA $R_1$ measurements [8], which may propagate into the two-pool model parameters measured by CRI. In this study, we theoretically and experimentally characterize systematic errors in CRI caused by MT-related bias in VFA $R_1$ mapping and propose a modified processing algorithm, which corrects for such errors and yields accurate quantitative MT parametric maps.

THEORY: In the standard CRI approach, reconstruction of parametric maps is performed in two steps [4]. During the first step, $R_1$ and proton density (PD) maps are calculated from VFA data by fitting the Ernst equation. This approach ignores coupling between $R_1$ and the rest of two-pool model parameters. During the second step, the matrix model of pulsed MT [4] is fitted to MT-weighted data, while $R_1$ is supplied as an external parameter. Prior to fit, MT data are normalized to the signal intensity obtained without saturation to exclude $PD$. Depending on the sampling scheme and constraints imposed on certain two-pool model parameters, either all four parameters ($f, k, T_{2b}, T_{2f}$) or their subsets can be fitted [4,5,9]. As an alternative to this approach, we modified the CRI model to simultaneously perform global fit of VFA and MT data, which yields all parameters ($PD, R_1, f, k, T_{2b}, T_{2f}$) with full consideration of coupling between them. Theoretically, $R_1$-related errors in $R_1$ measured by the VFA method can be caused by the two mechanisms unaccounted within the standard single-pool signal model. These are on-resonance saturation of the bound pool by the excitation pulse and bi-exponential relaxation during TR, where a contribution of the fast-relaxing component becomes more significant at short TR. To estimate relative roles of these factors, the model was further modified to include an additional term to account for the effect of excitation pulse on semisolid pool [7] calculated by Bloch equation simulations.

METHODS: Volunteer data were obtained from a 3.0T GE MR750 (Waukesha, WI) scanner. To correct for B1 and B0 inhomogeneity, flip angle (B1) and field (B0) maps were measured by optimized AFI method [10] and IDEAL [11], respectively. 3D VFA data were acquired with FA angles $\alpha = [5,10,20,30]^{\circ}$. Ten MT SPGR datasets were acquired ($\alpha = 10^{\circ}, \Delta = 0.8 \times 2.5, 5.9, 13 \mathrm{kHz}$, $\alpha_\mathrm{in} = [500,1100]^{\circ}$, 18ms Fermi pulse). Additional dataset without saturation was acquired at off-resonance frequency $2008 \mathrm{~Hz}$ to normalize MT data to original CRI approach. All data were acquired with TR/TE $= 40/2.0, 40 \times 180/80 \mathrm{~ms}$, FOV, $128 	imes 96 \times 40$ matrix. The standard CRI processing workflow was implemented according to [4,9]. In modified CRI, all parametric maps were generated by fitting the SPGR and MT data simultaneously to the general signal equation based on the two-pool matrix steady-state MT model [2,4] using in-house-written C and MATLAB (MathWorks, Natick, MA) software utilizing a trust-region-reflective algorithm for nonlinear least squares fitting of each voxel.

RESULTS: Synthetic MT and SPGR data for white matter (WM) and gray matter (GM) ROIs were generated based on the parameters given in [9]. Table 1 shows that on-resonance MT effect introduces significant bias to all quantitative MT parameters except $T_{2f}$ when estimated with original CRI method. The dominant cause of errors was found to originate from effective shortening of $T_{1}$ in the two-pool system due to bi-exponential relaxation, whereas saturation of the bound pool by the excitation pulse produced an almost negligible effect ($\leq 1\%$). Qualitative (Table 2) and quantitative in vivo comparisons (Fig. 1) of original and modified CRI methods are consistent with simulations and demonstrate compatible effect sizes in all parameters.

DISCUSSION: We showed that errors caused by unaccounted effect of MT on VFA $R_1$ measurements result in significant bias in estimates of CRI parameters. Generally, this bias depends on the values of the two-pool model parameters, which makes it inconsistent for different tissue types and in different pathological conditions. Approximately, this type of errors can be characterized as overestimation of $R_1$, $f$ and $k$, and underestimation of $T_{2f}$ with a relative effect size on the order of $\%$. Our results show that accuracy of the original CRI approach is noticeably improved with the proposed combined data fit to the modified CRI model, which accounts for MT effects on the apparent $R_1$. In brain imaging, the method also corrected VFA $R_1$ values by approximately 15% in WM and 6% in GM, which agrees well with the bias predicted by [8]. Finally, the modified CRI fit does not require acquisition of normalizing

CONCLUSIONS: This study demonstrates that separate treatment of VFA and MT data in the CRI method causes non-negligible systematic errors in both $R_1$ and cross-relaxation parameters. Our modified CRI data processing approach effectively corrects these errors and does not require any additional measurements, thus maintaining time-efficiency of the original CRI technique.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modified CRI</th>
<th>Original CRI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$ ($s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$f$ (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ ($s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$T_{2b}$ ($\mu$s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{2f}$ ($\mu$s)</td>
<td>$R_1$ ($s^{-1}$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k$ ($s^{-1}$)</td>
<td>$T_{2b}$ ($\mu$s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Errors in two-pool MT model parameters in original CRI fit due to unaccounted on-resonance MT effects (simulations).
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Table 2. In vivo measurements of CRI parameters using modified and original CRI.
Optimization Strategies for Accurate Quantitative MT Imaging
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INTRODUCTION: Quantification of two-pool MT (qMT) model parameters (longitudinal relaxation rate $R_1$, bound pool fraction $f$, cross relaxation rate $k$) transverse relaxation times of the bound pool $T_2^B$, and free pool $T_2^F$ allows inferring useful information about MRI-invisible macromolecular tissue content such as myelin [1,2]. Many qMT methods such as cross-relaxation imaging (CRI) [3] use efficient pulsed MT in steady state, however data acquisition time may be too long for clinical applications. One CRI acceleration approach is to exclude $T_2^F$ from estimation by sampling high offset frequencies ($\Delta \geq 2.5$ kHz) where direct saturation of free water is minimized. Going from 1.5T, the system used in early qMT applications [1-3], to 3T may improve CRI by utilizing the field-dependent SNR increase [4]. However, translation to 3T faces an increased specific absorption rate (SAR); the related decrease in highest attainable MT flip angle may lead to SNR loss in parametric maps. This work studies challenges of CRI at 3T and proposes several optimizations at both 1.5 and 3T field strengths.

METHODS AND RESULTS: Effect of Experiment Design: Two families of experiment designs were studied: 1) Standard CRI with higher $\Delta=2.5, 5, 9, 13$ kHz (only $R_1, f, k, T_2^B$ are estimated). 2) Extended design with additional optimized low $\Delta=0.8$ kHz ($T_2^F$ is also estimated). Data were synthesized for white matter for 18ms Fermi MT pulse with flip angles $500^\circ$ (1.5T and 3T) and $1100^\circ$ (3T) (/160/1.5T) (maximal allowed values on our GE Signa 1.5T and MR750 3T for the given patient weight and coil type). Monte-Carlo simulations were performed to find noise level $\sigma$ in qMT estimates (Fig. 1). Efficiency was defined as $1-\sigma$ and did not include field dependent SNR gain. Using low $\Delta$ significantly improved estimation of CRI measures (especially $k$) despite dimensionality increase ($T_2^F$ estimation).

Effect of MT Pulse Shape: The effect of using MT pulses with low (Gaussian) and high (Fermi) SAR-efficiency [6] on the qMT mapping performance were examined for Design #2. The pulses had same duration and peak SAR. Fermi pulse was more SNR-efficient for parameter estimation than Gaussian pulse with most gain observed for $k$ (Table 1).

Effect of MT Pulse Bandwidth: Higher BW (shorter) Fermi pulse may cause large errors in qMT maps using low offset frequencies (Table 2). The standard approach is to use Lorentzian lineshape to model the effect of MT pulse on free pool. The approach fails to adequately describe the behavior of free pool in the range=1kHz (Fig. 2c). The deviation is due to Rabi oscillations arising in near-resonance excitation regime, the effect highly dependent on local B1 distribution (Fig. 2a) not accounted for in realistic qMT models [5]. The MT signal is much more sensitive to changes in B0 and B1 for shorter pulse (Figs. 2a,b). The effect is hard to account for in realistic qMT models [5] because it is highly dependent on local distribution of transmit field B1 (Fig. 2). The accuracy loss for 20 ms pulse (narrower BW) was much less for most measures ($R_1, f, k, T_2^F$) while still significant for $T_2^F$ (Table 2).

Phantom Results: MT weighted data of FBRIN phantom [7] were acquired at offset frequencies 0.6 and 20kHz with 8ms Fermi MT pulse with flip angle 750°. Range of offset frequency in the phantom was provided using shim gradients and measured using IDEAL [8]. Flip angle map was measured by optimized AFI method [9]. The 3D images of MT ratio (SMT(0.6kHz)/SMT(20kHz)) were plotted against local flip angle (~B1) and B0 values (Fig. 2d). The observed oscillations were consistent with simulations for free water magnetization (Fig. 2b) (full quantitative comparison between theoretical and prediction phantom data was not made because of additional MT effect in the agar phantom and uncertainties in B1 field determination from actual flip angle values).

DISCUSSION: We studied several ways to optimize efficiency of CRI at both 1.5 and 3T. We showed (for 3T case) that the CRI efficiency may be improved by including extra sample(s) from low $\Delta$ range (<1 kHz). Further, we demonstrated that SAR-efficient pulses may provide additional large efficiency gains in CRI. At the same time, high BW SAR-efficient pulses may lead to a significant error in qMT measures for the low offset frequency designs. One simple solution is to resort to longer SAR-efficient pulses. We found the reasonable tradeoff to be 16-20 ms Fermi pulses. We are currently working on MT pulse BW correction for more efficient imaging with shorter pulses. We conclude that CRI and other related qMT methods may be optimized as proposed here to significantly improve their accuracy and efficiency.

| $R_1$ | $f$ | $k$ | $T_2^B$ | $T_2^F$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.0413</td>
<td>1.5820</td>
<td>2.9149</td>
<td>1.7558</td>
<td>1.9361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Efficiency of Fermi relative to that of Gaussian MT pulses ($\sigma_{\text{Gauss}}/\sigma_{\text{Fermi}}$).

| $R_1$ | $f$ | $k$ | $T_2^B$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8ms Fermi</td>
<td>-11.33</td>
<td>40.7</td>
<td>-48.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20ms Fermi</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>-3.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Percent of error in CRI parameters for different MT pulse widths (Design #2).
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Fig. 1: Relative efficiency of CRI parameter mapping at 3T using regular (#1) and extended (#2) designs (normalized to 1.5T values).
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Introduction: Two-pool modeling of magnetization transfer (MT) effects yields a unique set of measures sensitive to different tissue composition properties [1-4]. The key parameter of interest in this model is a macromolecular pool fraction (MPF), a potential biomarker of myelin in neural tissues [5-7]. Particularly promising findings were recently reported on the utility of MT-based measures including MPF for assessment of white and gray matter (WM/GM) myelin status in multiple sclerosis (MS) [7-8]. In particular, MPF in GM was found to be the strongest independent predictor of disability in MS patients [7,9]. Given that cortical demyelination has been recognized as an important pathological substrate in MS [10,11], the availability of such a myelin biomarker may greatly facilitate our understanding of GM involvement in MS disease course. However, traditional MPF mapping within clinical scan time is a relatively low-resolution methodology not fully compatible with current standards for imaging of cortical structures (~1 mm isotropic) or achieving higher fidelity in detection of demyelinating lesions in WM. Longer scan times needed to attain the resolution makes MPF mapping susceptible to small patient motion.

The purpose of this work was to develop a clinically feasible, high resolution, motion corrected MPF mapping protocol compatible with clinical standards for GM assessment.

Methods: Three Point MPF Mapping: Fast cross-relaxation imaging (CRI) [13] was proposed as an efficient strategy for MPF mapping with reduced number of acquisition points including spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) with (MTTR) and without (MTR) MT weightings, and two variable flip angle (VFA) SPGR datasets for pre-requisite R mapping. This method imposes realistic constraints onto the subset of two-pool MT model parameters (exchange rate R and T2 of bound protons) and prescribes an optimized experimental design to provide least sensitivity to these constrained quantities. We combined the constrained approach with a modified CRI (mCRI) fit [13] to remove the bias in estimation due to on-resonance MT effects and compute fractional macromolecular content by 25% (from four to three). Our approach (3p-mCRI) performs simultaneous fitting of two VFA and one MT SPGR datasets to the unified signal model, which allowed estimation of MPF, R1, and proton density terms without the MTR dataset [14]. 3D Undersampled Motion-Corrected Radial Acquisition: To study feasibility of high resolution, motion-corrected MPF mapping in clinically feasible time, the method was implemented with 3D radial undersampled acquisitions. 3D radial sampling trajectory provides mild undersampling artifacts allowing accelerated imaging without noise-amplifying matrix-inverse-based parallel MRI techniques (pMRI). Additionally, a notable advantage of radials is the ability to implement interleaved projection ordering to acquire low-resolution motion-tracking navigators from the oversampled k-space center. We implemented 2D bit reversed scheduling of projections to acquire such navigators to enable retrospective motion correction as described in [15]. As non-uniform k-space sampling density of 3D radials incurs additional scan time penalty (a factor of ~1.57), we designed variable density readout (VDS) to partially compensate for this efficiency loss, which theoretically allows improving SNR efficiency by ~1.37 compared to uniform readout trajectory of equivalent length [16]. Data Acquisition: Cartesian Protocols: SPGR: TR=2.3ms, α=4°,24°; MT SPGR: TR=37ms, α=12°, Δ=4 kHz, αMT=[850], 18ms Fermi pulse; Common parameters: TE=3.7 ms, 2s GRAPPA, 8 channel coil. 3D Radials: SPGR: TR=18ms, α=4°,24°; MT SPGR: TR=33ms, α=13°, Δ=4 kHz, αMT=[750], 12ms Fermi pulse; Common parameters: 22,000 projections (undersampling factor R=3.45), FOV=220, TE=6.2 ms, 32 channel coil. All protocols included AFI flip angle mapping [17]. Total scan time was: 15.5/29 min (Cartesian scans with 1.25/1 mm isotropic resolution, respectively), and 28 min for radial scans. Data were acquired using a 3.0T GE MR750 (Waukesha, WI). Processing was done in in-house written software [18].

Results: One healthy volunteer and one relapsing-remitting (RR) MS patient were scanned with the developed protocols. 3p-mCRI MPF mapping was first validated in Cartesian scans. Figure 1 compares cortical thickness measured with different imaging techniques. 3p-mCRI MPF outperforms the standard IR-SPGR sequence used most often for measurements of cortical atrophy. Figure 2 shows improved visualization of deep GM structures with MPF compared to IR-SPGR and R1 maps (all 1 mm isotropic). The longitudinal stability of 3p-mCRI MPF was tested in a RR MS patient during multiple visits. Figure 3 demonstrates excellent longitudinal consistency of 3p-mCRI MPF mapping. Figure 4a shows performance of 3D radial implementation of 3p-MRI MPF mapping. The protocol provides excellent depiction of cortical and deep GM structures illustrates its motion correction capabilities of the radial protocol.

Discussion: We presented a series of methodological and technical developments aimed to robust methodology for high resolution MPF mapping. Compared to early published protocols for fast MPF mapping [13,14], our 3D radial approach decreases voxel size by a factor of ~7-11 at the expense of ~2-2.5 times scan time increase, while maintaining adequate SNR (Fig. 4) and clinically acceptable scan time (~30 min). The imaging protocol derives from several sources, including minimalist 3-point constrained mCRI approach, SNR-optimized 3D radials, and acquisition scheduling for motion correction. Acquisition of undersampled radials with 32-channel array did not require specialized pMRI reconstruction (well known property of radial sampling at low accelerations) and hence avoided noise amplifications common in matrix-inverse based pMRI techniques. However, the dedicated pMRI reconstruction may be required when imaging is performed with fewer coil elements. Motion correction factors are generated per protocol provides motion-corrected (and R1) maps, which may establish it as a tool for high precision morphometric applications and GM/WM segmentation in longitudinal and cross-sectional studies (Figs. 1,3). Myelin-specific contrast obtained with MPF may be especially important to study diffusible changes in both GM and WM. Reduced partial voluming with CSF due to higher resolution may be important to provide imaging assessment of subapical GM involvement in MS, while stronger WM/GM contrast in MPF may help with detection/classification of demyelinating lesions at GM/WM junction.
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Figure 1. a: Cortical thickness estimated from IR-SPGR and MPF (both 1 mm3). IR-SPGR underestimates the thickness in areas of reduced WM/GM contrast arising due to T1 field variations typical for high field MRI. Note increased spatial uniformity of cortical thickness measured with the quantitative myelin-sensitive MPF. The B1 effect is illustrated the motion makes MPF mapping susceptible to small patient motion. b: Reduced IR-SPGR WM/GM contrast in the right hemisphere (B1) corresponds to the areas of elevated R1 (e).

Figure 2. Improved visualization of deep GM structures on high resolution, myelin-sensitive MPF compared to the resolution-matched quantitative R1 and inhomogeneity corrected anatomical IR-SPGR maps (arrows).

Figure 3. Whole-brain histograms of MPF obtained by 3p-mCRI protocol in an MS subject during 5 monthly visits.

Figure 4. Results of MPF mapping with 3D undersampled radials at 1x1x1 mm resolution. a: MPF from non-corrupted dataset (two axial and two sagittal slices are shown). b: MPF from motion-corrupted dataset before and after motion correction (two coronal slices are shown; motion caused by intentional head movement of the healthy volunteer). Note significant improvement in tissue conspicuity in motion-corrected MPF.

3337
Rapid and Accurate Variable Flip Angle T1 Mapping with Correction of On-Resonance MT Effects  
Pouria Mossehabi1, and Alexey A. Samsonov2  
1Biomedical Engineering, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, United States, 2Radiology, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, WI, United States

INTRODUCTION: Variable flip angle (VFA) acquisition using spoiled gradient echo (SPGR) [1] has become a widely accepted approach for fast high resolution mapping of longitudinal relaxation rate $R_1=1/T_1$. Recent studies have shown that magnetization transfer (MT) between free and bound protons due to the application of an off-resonance excitation pulse causes a significant bias in steady state MR signal in tissues with natural abundance of macromolecular content [2]. Ou et al predict up to 14% error in white matter (WM) VFA $T_1$ values [3], an effect comparable to white matter $T_1$ changes observed in multiple sclerosis [4]. Explicit consideration of a two-pool MT model in VFA experiments may improve the accuracy of $T_1$ estimation [3]. However, due to very low sensitivity of the modified VFA signal model to parameters of the two pool MT model such as bound pool fraction ($f$), cross-relaxation rate ($k$), transverse relaxation times of the bound pool $T_2^b$, and free pool $T_2^f$, the feasibility of MT-corrected in vivo $T_1$ mapping using VFA measurements only is limited. Cross-relaxation imaging (CRI) provides a convenient framework to model the effect of both off-resonance MT and on-resonance excitation pulses on bound and free proton pools [5]. It was recently demonstrated that combination of VFA and pulsed MT SPGR measurements within modified CRI framework may be used to remove bias due to on-resonance MT in both VFA $R_1$ values and two-pool MT parameters [6]. However, this approach requires auxiliary VFA measurements with multiple MT acquisitions to allow estimation of the full set of parameters. Here, we propose a method to obtain accurate $T_1$ maps using a simple VFA dataset augmented with a single off-resonance MT SPGR scan (VFA-MT).

METHODS: The simultaneous fit of SPGR data (VFA part) and off-resonance MT SPGR (MT part) data to the modified CRI equation accurately yields all parameters of the model $(PD,DR,f,k,T_2^b,T_2^f)$ [6]. To achieve fast $T_1$ mapping, we simplify the full model by setting $T_2^b,T_2^f,R$, and $R_{eff}=k/(1-f)$ to constrained values as proposed in [7] $(1.1 \mu$s, 0.022, and $19^\circ$, respectively), which leaves only three free parameters $(PD,DR,f)$ for estimation. These parameters may be in principle determined using only three measurements (two VFA and one MT). The accuracy of this simplified 3-parameters/3-measurements approach (VFA-MT) was studied in simulations, phantom imaging, and in vivo. Simulations: We run simulations to identify the ranges of an offset frequency and an MT flip angle of a VFA-augmenting MT scan for which the effect of such constraints on accuracy of $R_1$ and $f$ is minimal. Phantom Studies: Phantom (25% gelatin) and in vivo experiments were run on a 3.0T GE MR750 (Waukesha, WI), and flip angle and field maps for all data were measured by an optimized AFI method [7] and IDEAL [8], respectively. Phantom protocol included acquisition of 3D VFA data FA=7,15,35,50° and MT SPGR data FA=15°, $\Delta=2.5,5.9,13$ kHz, $\alpha_{MT}=[850,1300]$, 18ms Fermi pulse, 120x120x88 mm FOV, 128x128x44 matrix, TR/TE=37/2.3ms. Single-slice, 2D inversion-prepared spin-echo (IR) data was collected to determine a reference $T_1$ value in the phantom (TR/TE=5000/8.2ms, $T_0=0.05,1.0,2.0,3.0,5.0,7.0,9.1,2,1.6,2$s). In Vivo Studies: Volunteer brain data included 3D VFA (FA=[5,10,20,30]°) and MT SPGR(FA=10°, $\Delta=2.5,5.9,13$ kHz, $\alpha_{MT}=[500,1100]$, 18ms Fermi pulse, 240x180x80 mm FOV, 128x96x40 matrix, TR/TE=40/2.0ms). The reference MT-corrected $T_1$ maps were obtained by simultaneous fit of all VFA and MT data to the modified CRI model [6]. All processing was done by in-house nonlinear optimization routines.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: Simulations: Figure 1 illustrates the effect of two-pool MT model parameters on observable $T_1$ values when calculated using the standard VFA method. The error in $T_1$ increases almost linearly with $f$ for typical values of $k$ observed in neural tissues (0.1) and hence is expected to be most biased in macromolecular-rich tissues such as white matter. The sensitivity of $T_1$ to $T_2^b$ was negligible. Figure 2 shows the effect of off-resonance frequency and MT flip angle of a VFA-augmenting MT scan on the average loss in $R_1$ and $f$ for the proposed simultaneous constrained estimation of these parameters. Similar to observations in [7], there is a range of $\Delta$ and MT flip angle with low sensitivity to the fixed parameters ($\Delta=6$ kHz, $\alpha_{MT}=400-600^\circ$). Therefore, the optimized set of measurements (SPGR $\alpha=[5,30]^\circ$; $\Delta=5$ kHz, $\alpha_{MT}=500^\circ$) were used for in vivo validation of the constrained model. Phantom Studies: The proposed method was applied to correct $T_1$ mapping in the phantom ($f=4\%$). Examination of mean phantom $T_1$ values obtained with full CRI (12 measurements), proposed constrained VFA-MT (3 measurements) and VFA (2 measurements) confirmed that both full CRI and VFA-MT provide excellent agreement with the reference IR $T_1$ measurement (Fig. 3). At the same time, VFA $T_1$ was biased by approximately $5\%$, which is consistent with simulations in Fig. 1. In Vivo Studies: Images in Fig. 4 reveal that there is a visible bias in $T_1$ map obtained with regular VFA compared to $T_1$ maps obtained with on-resonance MT effect correction. The MT-corrected values $(T1_{CORR}=1050\pm25, T1_{CAP}=1537\pm25$ agree well with literature [10] $(T1_{CAP}=1600, T1_{CAP}=1630)$, while VFA underestimated them $(T1_{CORR}=931\pm34, T1_{CAP}=1462\pm28)$. The whole brain $T1$ histograms (Fig. 5) show excellent correlation between reference full CRI values and proposed VFA-MT approach. The method corrected VFA $T1$ values by 11.5% in WM and 6.2% in GM, which agrees well with the bias predicted by [3]. Analysis of ROI $T1$ measurements (Table 2) demonstrated excellent preservation of $T1$ mapping accuracy in both WM and GM (errors<1%) when moving from full CRI (12 measurements) to constrained VFA-MT (three measurements) estimation. The errors in $f$ maps (not shown here) were more significant but always <10%, which is consistent with results in [7].

CONCLUSIONS: Accurate estimation of $T1$ values from VFA measurements requires consideration of MT effects, which may introduce $T1$ bias roughly proportional to the macromolecular content. The fast $T1$ correction protocol developed in this work (VFA-MT) requires a single MT scan in addition to regular VFA measurements for accurate $T1$ mapping. The presented approach is promising for fast high-resolution whole brain $T1$ mapping within clinically acceptable scan times.
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Table 1: Errors of constrained method in brain structures (CC: Corpus Callosum, IC: Internal Capsule, PT: Putamen, CN: Caudate Nucleus)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>CC</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>PT</th>
<th>CN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$T1$</td>
<td>0.77%</td>
<td>0.73%</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>0.43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>3.05%</td>
<td>5.89%</td>
<td>4.22%</td>
<td>9.73%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: Error of VFA $T1$ values versus quantitative MT parameters $f$ and $k$.  
Fig. 2: Dependence of error in estimation of $R_1=1/T1$ (left) and $f$ (right) due to constraining vs. offset frequency and MT flip angle of a MT SPGR acquisition of proposed 3-point protocol.  
Fig. 3: Phantom $T1$ values calculated by different methods.  
Fig. 4: In vivo $T1$ maps estimated by modified full (a) and constrained CRI (b), and VFA (c).  
Fig. 5: Brain $T1$ histograms.