TARGETING PALMITOYL ACYLTRANSFERASES IN MUTANT NRAS-DRIVEN MELANOMA

**ABSTRACT**

Mutations in N-RAS are linked to ~20% of melanoma with no effective treatment. Targeting palmitoyl acyltransferases (PATs) involved in N-RAS regulation could be a novel strategy to treat N-RAS mutant melanoma. The objective of the project is to identify PATs responsible for NRAS activation in melanoma cells using chemical biology and functional genomic approaches. In the first year of the study, we have developed more potent chemical probes to profile PATs in cells, and have carried out PATs profiling in melanoma cells using chemical probes and mRNA profiling. We have identified candidate PATs highly expressed in NRAS melanoma cells. We have developed shRNA reagents to further study their functions.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Mutations in N-RAS are linked to ~20% of melanoma with no effective treatment, representing a large unmet medical need. Palmitoylation (post-translational modification by adding a 16-carbon palmitate) is required for N-RAS proper membrane localization and its oncocgenic activities. Recently, 23 of DHHC (Asp-His-His-Cys)-family proteins were discovered as protein palmitoyl acyltransferases (PATs). Targeting DHHC-PATs involved in N-RAS regulation could be a novel strategy to treat N-RAS mutant melanoma. We have developed chemical probes that covalently label the active sites of DHHC-PATs, allowing us to investigate the enzymatic activities of PATs that are responsible for N-RAS palmitoylation using Activity-Based Protein Profiling (ABPP) approaches. The first objective is to identify DHHC-PATs regulating palmitoylation of oncogenic N-RAS in melanoma cells using ABPP methods and compare with the mRNA expression profile to identify candidate PATs highly active in NRAS mutant melanoma cells. The second objective is to evaluate the effects of shRNAs targeting DHHC-PATs on N-RAS activity, melanoma cell proliferation and apoptosis. Finally, we aim to design and synthesize analogues of cerulenin, a natural product inhibitor of PATs to identify small molecule inhibitors of N-RAS palmitoylation.
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3. ACCOMPLISHMENTS

What were the major goals of the project?

The major goal of the project is to use chemical biology and functional genomics approaches to study palmitoyl acyltransferases involved in NRAS activation in melanoma cells. As we stated in the SOW of the grant, there are three major tasks of this project. Task1: To identify DHHC-PATs regulating palmitoylation of oncogenic N-RAS in melanoma cells (Month 1-12). Task2. To evaluate the effects of shRNAs targeting DHHC-PATs on NRAS activity, melanoma cell proliferation and apoptosis (Month 13-24). Task3. To design and synthesize analogues of cerulenin, a natural product inhibitor of palmitoyl acyltransferases to identify small molecule inhibitors of N-RAS palmitoylation. (in parallel with Task1 and 2, Month 0-24).

In the entire funding period, we have accomplished work proposed in Task 1, 2 and 3.

Below are the details of our activities regarding to the SOW.

What was accomplished under these goals?
Task 1a. Test the activity based probe (16C-BYA) for labeling efficiency in multiple NRAS mutant melanoma cell lines (SK-Mel30, SK-Mel2, MEL-JUSO, BL, etc.) and control cell lines (BRAF mutant melanoma, A375, U62, MalMe, M14, SK-Mel5 and primary human melanocytes) in 6-well format, optimize the concentration and labeling time (month 1-6).

Accomplishments: We have tested the labeling of the probe 16C-BYA (alkyne-2-BP) in NRAS melanoma cells (SK-Mel30, SK-Mel2 and 501Mel), and compared the pattern of labeling with the control cell line A375, which carries BRAF mutation. The concentration and time of labeling has been optimized to 50uM of probe for 8 hours labeling. We have carried out Click chemistry using Biotin-Azide or Rhodamine-Azide, and have compared the labeling efficiency and pattern of the cell lines that we have studied. We found that the probe specifically labeled several bands in NRAS mutant cell lines, which might represent potential candidate palmitoyl acyltransferases regulating NRAS activities.

Task 1b. Proteomic and mass spectrometry analysis of labeled proteins (month 6-12).

Accomplishments: We have carried out large-scale labeling experiments under the optimized condition (100uM probe for 8 hours labeling) using 5 dishes of 10cm dishes for SK-Mel2 and SK-Mel30 cells, which gave us sufficient proteins for follow-up proteomics studies. We carried out Click chemistry using Biotin-azide, and used Streptavidin bead to enrich the labeled protein. We carried out on-bead digestion using trysin and the peptides were submitted to Harvard Medical School Taplin Mass Spectrometry center for protein identification. We successfully finished the proteomics studies, and our studies suggested that 3 DHHC proteins were among the palmitoyl acyltransferases with high activities in the NRAS cell lines. These protein will be candidate PATs for further studies. We also carried out qRT-PCR studies of the NRAS mutant cell line (SK-Mel30) and BRAF mutant cell line (A375) of all 23 DHHC-palmitoyl acyltransferases. We developed qRT-PCR probes that allow us to detect all of them effectively. From our studies, we have found that 5 DHHC genes are highly expressed in the NRAS mutant cell lines. By comparing the mass spec results and the mRNA profiling, we have narrowed down to 2 candidate genes which are highly enriched in NRAS mutant melanoma.

Task 1c. Carry out bioinformatics studies of the expression profiles in a large set of melanoma cell lines from previously published datasets (month 3-6).

Accomplishments: We carried out bioinformatics studies by data mining of public available expression profiles. From NCBI GEO data bases, we have identified melanoma expression profiles. We found that DHHC4 and DHHC5 are among the highly expressed PATs in NRAS mutant cells (Figure 1). These results support some of our findings using qRT-PCR methods described above, but not necessarily be the only methods to provide candidate PATs.
Figure 1. Bioinformatic analysis of melanoma samples using NCBI GEO dataset (GSE17349). The studies included NRas (G12D) mutant cell line (501Mel) confirmed by sequencing, and other melanoma cell lines and patient-derived samples. The expression data were analyzed across all ZDHHC-family proteins. ZDHHC4 and ZDHHC5 are highly expressed in NRas mutant melanoma cell line, but not in other cell lines.

**Task 1d.** Histology studies of PATs in melanoma cells to confirm that the protein levels of PATs are elevated in N-RAS mutant melanoma cell (month 6-12).

**Accomplishments:** We have studied the staining of a commercially available antibody for one of the top candidate PATs. However, this antibody did not recognize the correct protein in Western blot or immunofluorescent staining. The antibody recognizes a different protein with a different size on the Western blot. The information showed on the vendor website is actually not correct. Therefore, there is no commercially available antibody could be used to study the protein level of the candidate PATs in histology. To address this issue, we will try to develop a new antibody that could recognize the protein. Alternatively, we will look at the expression level of the candidate PATs using data mining and bioinformatics methods as well as qRT-PCRs using primary tumor samples from a tissue array. This effort is on going and will likely to accomplished in the second year of the study.

**Task2.** To evaluate the effects of shRNAs targeting DHHC-PATs on NRAS activity, melanoma cell proliferation and apoptosis (Month 13-24):

We have carried out proposed work in Task 2 to evaluate the effects of DHHC-PATs in regulating NRAS signaling.

**2a.** We will co-transfect N-RAS and each of the candidate DHHC-PAT identified from ABPP in melanocytes or melanoma cells and evaluate whether any PATs can selectively activate N-RAS and drive N-RAS plasma membrane localization, and activation of downstream kinases (MEK, ERK etc.) by western blotting (month 13-15)
**Accomplishments:** Through proteomic studies, we previously found that DHHC5, DHHC17, DHHC18 and DHHC20 are the candidate PATs which showed elevated enzymatic activities in the NRAS mutant melanoma. To confirm with the chemical proteomic and expression profiling results in NRAS mutant melanoma cells, we first carried out qRT-PCR experiments to evaluate the expression levels of DHHC-PATs in NRAS mutant melanoma cells (Sk-Mel2 and Sk-Mel30), comparing with the non-NRAS mutant melanoma cells (501mel, IPC298 and A375). We have collected the total RNA samples from these cells and carried out qRT-PCR analysis using probes specific for each individual DHHC family members (23 of them). As shown in Figure 2, we found that DHHC3, DHHC6, DHHC13, and DHHC20 showed elevated the mRNA expressions in the NRAS mutant cells. Therefore, the mRNA expression level might not be correlating with the enzymatic activities.

![Image of Figure 2](image2.png)

**Figure 2. Quantitative RT-PCR examination of the mRNA expression levels of all DHHC-family PATs in melanoma cells.** SK-Mel2 and Sk-Mel30 are the NRAS mutant melanoma cells.

Next, we transfected each individual PATs together with GFP-NRAS in cells to evaluate whether any of PATs can promote NRAS membrane localization. The mutant NRAS-GFP localized at cytoplasm (golgi or ER) and on the plasma membrane. Upon overexpress of different DHHC proteins, however, we could not detect significant change of their localization changes. Therefore, overexpression experiments are not sufficient to confirm which DHHC proteins are involved in NRAS regulation.

**2b.** knockdown the candidate DHHC-PATs in N-RAS mutant melanoma cells (Sk-Mel3, SK-Mel30, 501Mel etc.) using shRNAs (month 15-18).

1) generate cell lines with either stable knock-down and Tet-inducible knockdown of the protein of interest.

2) evaluate whether N-RAS oncogenic activity can be blocked (MEK, ERK phosphorylation, NRAS membrane localization) in these cell lines.

**Accomplishments:** We have cloned and generated lenti-viral shRNA constructs of all DHHC-PATs (4 shRNA sequences per gene). We selected DHHC5, DHHC13, DHHC17, DHHC18 and DHHC20 as candidate PATs in this experiment. We generated the lentivirals carrying the shRNA constructs and infected SK-mel30 cells with these virus.
We carried out puromycin selection to generate cells stably infected with the shRNAs. After 5-7 days of selection, we have successfully derived cell lines stably transfected with shRNAs. We next evaluated the shRNA knockdown efficiency using qRT-PCR, and have identified 1-2 shRNA constructs per gene which showed >60% of knock-down efficiency.

Next, we evaluated the localization of NRAS in these cells upon shRNA knock-down. We carried out immunofluorescent staining of NRAS in these cells, and evaluated its localization under microscopy. Interestingly, we found that shRNA targeting DHHC5 is able to block NRAS membrane localization (Figure 3). Therefore, DHHC5 is a strong candidate of PAT that regulates NRAS activities in melanoma cells.

**Figure 3. Imaging studies of NRAS membrane localization of Sk-Mel30 cells.** Cells are treated with DMSO control or 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP) for 6h are evaluated under microscope. NRAS localized at the membrane in DMSO control treated cells. Treatment of palmitoyl acyltransferase inhibitor (2-BP) blocked NRAS membrane localization. Similarly, shRNA targeting DHHC5 can also block the membrane localization of NRAS in these cells.

In addition, we found that shRNA targeting DHHC13 also has some effects on blocking NRAS localization, although much weaker than DHHC5. It is possible that both DHHC5 and DHHC13 are involved in regulating NRAS localization in melanoma cells.

2c. knock-down the candidate PATs using shRNA in N-RAS melanoma cell lines to evaluate their effects on cell proliferation, colony formation and apoptosis in vitro (month 18-24).

**Accomplishments:** We have generated SK-mel-2 and Sk-mel30 cells with stably knockdown of DHHC5 and DHHC13. We have also generated DOX inducible
knockdown of DHHC5 and DHHC13 (the shRNA expression can be induced under doxycycline treatment). We have evaluated whether knocking down of these genes can block downstream signaling of mutant NRAS (p-Akt, p-MEK and p-ERK). We found that knocking down of DHHC5 and DHHC13 can indeed inhibit p-ERK levels in melanoma cells, suggesting that inhibiting DHHC proteins can block NRAS signaling (Figure 4,5).

Figure 4. Knocking down of DHHC proteins affect NRAS signaling in melanoma cells. DOX inducible shRNA knocking down of DHHC13 can inhibit p-ERK levels in SK-mel-30 cells.

Figure 5. Knocking down of DHHC proteins affects p-AKT, p-MEK and p-ERK in melanoma cells. shRNA targeting DHHC5 can block p-AKT, p-MEK and p-ERK in SK-Mel30 cells.

We have tested the antiproliferation effects of shRNAs targeting the DHHC proteins. Our preliminary results suggest that knocking down either DHHC5 and DHHC13 can have only minor effects on proliferation. We will generate double-knockdown of DHHC5 and 13 simultaneously, and to evaluate that inhibiting both DHHC proteins are required to block the proliferation of melanoma cells.

Task 3. To design and synthesize analogues of cerulenin, a natural product inhibitor of palmitoyl acyltransferases to identify small molecule inhibitors of N-RAS palmitoylation. (in parallel with Task1 and 2, Month 0-24):

Task 3a. Synthesize compound libraries based on the structure of cerulenin. Modifications of their head and tail groups might improve their selectivity and potency. ~50 compounds will be synthesized in 0-12 month.
**Accomplishments:** We have designed cerulenin-based chemical probes to study palmitoyl acyltransferases. Cerulenin is a natural product inhibitor of fatty acid biosynthesis and protein palmitoylation. It has been shown that a palmitoyl analogue for cerulenin has good selectivity over fatty acid synthases. We have synthesized an alkyne analogue of cerulenin and a synthetic strategy has been developed (Figure 6).

![Figure 6. Design of Cerulenin-based chemical probes.](image)

Using the synthetic route that we developed in this task, we have synthesized several analogues of cerulenin with variations at the head groups. We have finished synthesis of 10 analogues, and will test their effects in melanoma cells.

**What opportunities for training and professional development have the project provided?**

The PI has strong background in chemistry and drug discovery. His career goal is to become a leading scientist and independent investigator in melanoma and skin cancer drug discovery. To achieve the career goal, the mentor (Dr. Fisher) has been guiding Dr. Wu’s melanoma research through routine meetings and discussions. Dr. Wu has participated the MGH melanoma program weekly meeting chaired by Dr. Fisher. Dr. Wu has attended the melanoma workshops sponsored by Dana-Farber/Harvard Cancer Center (DF/HCC) and the Koch Institute of Cancer Research at MIT, which was organized by Dr. Fisher. These workshops covered melanoma clinical trials studies, melanoma genomics and drug discovery, and will provide additional training and collaboration opportunities for young investigators. Dr. Wu has participated the melanoma journal club and seminars in MGH and Harvard community, where he will learn the progresses in melanoma research.

**How were the results disseminated to communities of interest?**

Nothing to report.
4. IMPACT

What was the impact on the development of the principle discipline of the project?

We have demonstrated that a chemical approach using Activity based protein profiling (ABPP) could study the palmitoyl acyltransferases in melanoma. We have developed multiple chemical tools to study PATs in cancer cells. Our study has identified candidate PATs possibly as new therapeutic targets, which could have significant impact in drug discovery and cancer research.

What was the impact on other disciplines?

Nothing to report

What was the impact on technology transfer?

Nothing to report

What was the impact on society beyond science and technology?

Nothing to report

5. CHANGES/PROBLEMS

Changes in approach and reasons for change:

Our results have shown that single knock-down of DHHC5 or DHHC13 could inhibit downstream NRAS signaling. However, knocking down DHHC5 or DHHC13 alone has only minor impact on the cell proliferation. It is likely that we need to knockdown both DHHC5 and DHHC13 at the same time to achieve the anticancer effects. We will generate cells with stably knocking down of both DHHC proteins to test the hypothesis.

6. PRODCUTS

Publications: Manuscripts describing the work have been published. The PDF files of have been included in this report.


“Autopalmitoylation of TEAD Proteins Regulates Transcriptional Output of the Hippo Pathway” PuiYee Chan, Xiao Han, Baohui Zheng, Michael DeRan, Jianzhong Yu,
(This work is not directly related to this funding, however, the probes made under this grant have been used in this paper, and we have acknowledged this funding).
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Clickable Analogue of Cerulenin as Chemical Probe to Explore Protein Palmitoylation

Baohui Zheng, Shunying Zhu, and Xu Wu*
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ABSTRACT: Dynamic palmitoylation is an important post-translational modification regulating protein localization, trafficking, and signaling activities. The Asp-His-His-Cys (DHHC) domain containing enzymes are evolutionarily conserved palmitoyl acyltransferases (PATs) mediating diverse protein S-palmitoylation. Cerulenin is a natural product inhibitor of fatty acid biosynthesis and protein palmitoylation, through irreversible alkylation of the cysteine residues in the enzymes. Here, we report the synthesis and characterization of a “Clickable” and long alkyl chain analogue of cerulenin as a chemical probe to investigate its cellular targets and to label and profile PATs in vitro and in live cells. Our results showed that the probe could stably label the DHHC-family PATs and enable mass spectrometry studies of PATs and other target proteins in the cellular proteome. Such probe provides a new chemical tool to dissect the functions of palmitoylating enzymes in cell signaling and diseases and reveals new cellular targets of the natural product cerulenin.

Protein S-palmitoylation is the post-translational attachment of the 16-carbon palmitate to the cysteine residues of proteins through a thioester linkage. In contrast to other lipid modifications of proteins, S-palmitoylation is a dynamic process. In response to extracellular or intracellular signals, the palmitoylation-depalmitoylation process precisely regulates the localization, trafficking, and cofactor binding properties of proteins. A large number of palmitoylated proteins have been identified by biochemical and proteomic methods. Palmitoylation is essential for the signaling functions of Src-family kinases, Ras GTPase, G-proteins, G-protein coupled receptors (GPCRs), and synaptic adhesion molecules. For example, palmitoylation of the two C-terminal cysteine residues is required for the proper trafficking and membrane localization of H-Ras and N-Ras. Disrupting the palmitoylation and depalmitoylation cycle could effectively block their oncogenic functions, suggesting that targeting protein palmitoylation could provide potential therapeutic options for diseases. Therefore, it is important to develop chemical tools to dissect the functions of palmitoylation in signaling and diseases.

Protein S-palmitoylation can be mediated by nonenzymatic or enzymatic processes. Some proteins bind to palmitoyl-Coenzyme A (CoA) directly, resulting in thioester exchange reactions with the proximal cysteine residues in the proteins. For example, purified Gsp protein could be autopalmitoylated when incubated with palmitoyl-CoA. Therefore, the concentration of cellular palmitoyl-CoA pool could greatly influence the level of autopalmitoylation. Yeast genetic studies have revealed that enzymatic palmitoylation involves a family of highly conserved protein palmitoyl acyltransferases (PATs). These enzymes are generally transmembrane proteins with a conserved Asp-His-His-Cys (DHHC) domain in the active site. The human genome encodes 23 DHHC-family PATs. These enzymes are usually localized in the ER, Golgi, or at the plasma membrane of cells and regulate the palmitoylation of diverse protein substrates. Genetic and biochemical studies have provided insights to the functions of many DHHC enzymes and their substrates. For example, mice with a loss-of-function mutation of DHHC13 showed multiple aging related phenotypes, including alopecia, osteoporosis, systemic amyloidosis, and early death. The mice display neurodegenerative phenotypes, highlighting the function of DHHC17 in regulation of synaptic and neuronal functions. A point mutation in DHHC21 was identified in the depilated (dep) mouse mutant, resulting in hair follicle degeneration. Furthermore, DHHC9, 11, 14, and 17 proteins are shown to be deregulated in bladder, lung, and colon cancers and in leukemia and could contribute to oncogenic processes. In addition to the S-palmitoylation, membrane-bound O-acyltransferases (MBOAT) such as Porcupine and Hedgehog acyltransferase (Hhat) could mediate the O- and N-palmitoylation of Wnt and Hedgehog proteins, respectively. Interestingly, acyltransferases, which were previously unrecognized as PATs, might catalyze protein palmitoylation. For example, lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 1 (Lpcat1) has been reported to mediate the O-
Figure 1. Chemical probes to study protein palmitoylation. (A) Structures of the substrate labeling probe 1, PATs activity probe 2 based on 2-bromopalmitate, and probe 3 based on cerulenin. (B) Labeling and detection of palmitoyl acyltransferases by probe 3.

palmitoylation of histone H4 protein. These reports highlight the importance of identifying new palmitoylating enzymes or autoacylating proteins and revealing their functions in signaling and diseases.

To profile and study palmitoylation, analogues of palmitate, such as 15-hexadecynoic acid (1), have been widely used as chemical probes to metabolically label palmitoylated proteins (substrates). We sought to develop chemical probes to covalently label and profile PATs (enzymes) to elucidate their functions in signal transduction. Previously, we have reported the activity-based protein profiling (ABPP) probe (2) for PATs, based on the irreversible and pan-inhibitor 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP) (Figure 1A). Probe 2 (20–100 μM) could effectively label PATs in live cells, but not in cell lysates in vitro, suggesting that metabolic conversion to its CoA derivatives might significantly enhance its activity. We used probe 2 to label and profile endogenous palmitoyl acyltransferases in cells and have identified many acyltransferases and palmitoylated proteins, suggesting that 2 could also be incorporated into the cellular lipid pool and utilized as an acyl donor. To improve the efficiency and specificity of the labeling, we sought to synthesize and characterize new chemical probes for PATs and autopalmitoylated proteins.

The natural product cerulenin ([2R,3S]-2,3-epoxy-4-oxo-7,10-trans,trans-dodecadienoylamide) has been studied as an antifungal and antibacterial agent for nearly 30 years and known to inhibit fatty acid synthesis and protein palmitoylation. The structure of cerulenin is composed of an α-keto-epoxycarboxamide with an octadienyl side chain. It exists in an equilibrium between the open chain and a cyclized hydroxylactam form. The epoxycarboxamide moiety has been proposed as the “warhead” of the compound, which alkylates the cysteine residues in fatty acid synthase and palmitoyl acyltransferases, leading to irreversible inhibition. We recently found that cerulenin can compete with probe 2 in labeling of DHHC domain containing PATs, suggesting that it might occupy the same or an adjacent binding site of acyl-CoA and inhibit the acyl-enzyme adduct formation. Previously, the structure–activity relationship (SAR) studies of ~30 cerulenin analogues have shown that the α-keto-epoxide moiety is essential for the palmitoylation inhibition activity. Interestingly, analogues with a long saturated aliphatic chain (15–17 carbon chain) showed improved potency in inhibiting H-Ras and N-Ras palmitoylation, while not inhibiting fatty acid synthesis. On the basis of these reports, we hypothesized that a “clickable” long aliphatic chain analogue of cerulenin might serve as a chemical probe to directly label palmitoylating enzymes, allowing proteomic and imaging studies of palmitoyl acyltransferases in vitro and in cells (Figure 1B).

Toward this end, we synthesized the cerulenin analogue 3 (cis-2,3-epoxy-4-oxoctadec-17-ynamide) as a chemical probe for palmitoylating enzymes (Figure 1B). The synthesis of 3 was achieved as illustrated in Scheme S1 in Supporting Information, modified from previously reported methods. Notably, the key intermediate γ-lactone was synthesized through selenolactonization to introduce the terminal alkyne. Specifically, (E)-octadec-3-en-17-ynoic acid was added to PhSeCl solution with Et3N in CH3Cl2 at ~78 °C. The mixture was warmed to ~40 °C over 40 min to afford the intermediate, which was then oxidized by 35% of H2O2 for 40 min. The desired product octadec-2-en-17-ynoic acid γ-lactone can be synthesized with good yield (50%). The final product 3 can be readily made with 15% of overall yield. The detailed synthetic procedures and compound characterization are described in the Supporting Information.

We set out to test whether probe 3 could label exogenous PATs in cells first. We transfected HEK293 cells with expression vectors carrying hemagglutinin (HA)-tagged mouse DHHC4. Forty-eight hours after transfections, we treated the cells with various concentrations of probe 3 (0.5 to
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10 μM and incubated for 5 h. We isolate the cell lysates and subsequently carried out Cu-mediated 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (Click reaction) with biotin-azide. The labeling efficiency was evaluated by streptavidin blot. As shown in Figure 2A, we could detect the labeling of DHHC4 by probe 3 at concentrations as low as 2 μM, consistent with reported cellular activity of cerulenin in inhibition of palmitoylation. Probe 3 appeared to be more potent than previously reported 2-BP-based probe 2, which effectively labeled DHHC4 proteins at concentrations around 50–100 μM. The improved potency of labeling might be resulted from the stronger chemical reactivity of the epoxide warhead in the probe. We then tested the time dependency of the labeling. Similarly, we transfected cells with HA-DHHC4 expression vector, and then treated cells with 3 at 10 μM. We isolated the cell lysate at various time points (1 to 7 h), followed up with Click chemistry with biotin-azide and Western blotting. We observed that probe 3 could label exogenous DHHC4 after 1 h of incubation. The labeling efficiency increased with longer incubation time and peaked after 4–6 h of incubation (Figure 2B). Overall, probe 3 has better efficiency and potency to label DHHC4 protein in cells, compared to our previously reported probe 2.

It is known that 2-BP and probe 2 could be metabolically converted to the Coenzyme A (CoA) derivatives in live cells, and the CoA derivatives are much more potent and effective to alkylate DHHC4. Such properties might limit the in vitro application of probe 2, such as in enzyme-based high throughput assays, where purified enzymes in cell-free systems are preferred. In contrast, cerulenin might directly alkylate the protein by epoxide ring opening reactions in vitro, thus metabolic conversion in live cells are not required for its labeling activity. We isolated cell lysates from HEK293 cells expressing HA-DHHC4 using non-denaturing conditions and tested whether probe 3 could directly label PATs in vitro in cell lysates. We treated cell lysate or heat-inactivated lysate with probe 3 for 5 h. We immunoprecipitated HA-tagged DHHC4 with anti-HA antibody and then proceeded to perform the Click reaction with biotin-azide. The active DHHC4 enzyme in the lysate could be labeled, while heat-inactivated DHHC4 could not, suggesting that 3 could label native DHHC4 protein, but not the denatured enzyme in vitro (Figure 3A). In addition, the labeling required PAT’s in their native, non-denatured state, suggesting the labeling might not be a result of nonspecific alkylating of cysteine residues in the proteins, but rather through binding and alkylating specific residues around the binding site.

Cerulenin analogue 3 labeled HA-tagged DHHC4 protein in HEK293 cells. (A) HEK293 cells transfected with vector control or HA-DHHC4 expression vector were treated with different concentrations of probe 3 for 5 h. The labeling of DHHC4 was detected by Click chemistry using biotin azide followed by streptavidin blot. The expression of DHHC4 was confirmed by Western blot using anti-HA antibody. (B) HEK293 cell transfected with vector control or HA-DHHC4 were treated with 10 μM of probe 3 for the indicated time.

The DHHC family of PATs contain a cysteine-rich domain including the Asp-His-His-Cys (DHHC) catalytic motif. Mutations of the cysteine in the DHHC motif to serine or alanine residues abolished the acyl transferase activity and prevented enzyme autoacetylation.9,25,31 Previously, we have shown that the 2-BP-based probe 2 labels the wild-type enzyme but not the catalytically inactive mutant enzymes, suggesting that 2 is an activity-based probe for PATs and the conserved cysteine residue in the DHHC motif might be the site of labeling.26 To test whether the cerulenin analogue 3 has similar labeling activity, we transfected cells with HA-tagged wild-type DHHC3 and 4 or their mutants (DHHC3, C157S and C157A; DHHC4, C179S and C179A, respectively), where the conserved cysteine residues in the DHHC motif were mutated to serine or alanine residues. Interestingly, 10 μM 3 effectively labeled not only the wild-type proteins but also the mutants. These results strongly suggest that cerulenin or its analogue 3 alkylates other cysteine residues proximal to its binding site (Figure 3C), and the core cysteine residue at the DHHC motif might not be the sites of alkylating. Moreover, as the enzymatically inactive proteins can still be labeled, probe 3 would not be characterized as an activity-based probe, but rather a reactive chemical probe that could bind to and alkylate PAT’s. These results also confirmed previous speculation that cerulenin and probe 3 are not a...
mechanism-based irreversible inhibitor of PATs and mechanistically different from 2-BP and probe 2, offering different labeling tools for PATs. Several disease relevant mutations in DHHC proteins might disrupt their catalytic activities, such as the loss-of-function mutation of DHHC13 in the systemic amyloidosis mouse model. Such mutant PATs could be labeled by probe 3 but not probe 2. Profiling the samples with these two probes in parallel could provide an approach to study the abundance of catalytically defected vs catalytically active PATs in these disease-relevant models.

As described previously, although 2-BP based probes could label most DHHC-PATs tested, they failed to label several important PATs, including DHHC1, 8, and 23. To evaluate whether cerulenin-based probe 3 could have better generality and versatility in labeling members of DHHC-family PATs, we expressed all 23 HA-tagged DHHCs in HEK293 cells and carried out labeling experiments with 10 μM 3 for 5 h. We immunoprecipitated the DHHC proteins using anti-HA antibodies and carried out the Click reaction with biotin-azide. The streptavidin blot in Figure 3D shows that all of the expressed PATs could be successfully labeled with 3. DHHC20 and DHHC21 were poorly expressed in HEK293 cells and have relatively weak labeling. We observed that the intensity of the labeling largely correlates with the expression levels of the proteins in the lysates. However, probe 3 has higher labeling efficiency for DHHC12 and DHHC18 but weaker efficiency for DHHC6 and DHHC8. Such differences in labeling activity might be resulted from the differences in binding affinity of the probe toward different DHHC-PATs or the reactivity of the proximal cysteine residues in the proteins. Nevertheless, DHHC1, 8, and 23 could be successfully labeled by 3, suggesting that probe 3 offers broader coverage of the DHHC family of PATs compared with previously reported probes. Taken together, we have shown that the probe 3 could be used as a chemical probe to label and profile the DHHC-family PATs and is superior to previously reported probes with broader labeling activity and higher potency.

Figure 3. (A) Ten micromolar probe 3 could label DHHC4 in vitro in cell lysates, but not in heat-inactivated lysate. (B) Probe 3 stably labeled DHHC4 and formed hydroxylamine-resistant adduct, whereas probe 1 forms a labile thioester intermediate with the enzyme. (C) Mutations of cysteine residue at the DHHC domain in DHHC3 and 4 do not disrupt the labeling of probe 3. (D) Probe 3 labeled DHHC family of palmitoyl acyltransferases. The red boxes indicated the labeled DHHC proteins based on their molecular weight and positions in the anti-HA Western blot.
Table 1. Representative Proteins Labeled by Probe 3 and Could Be Competed off by 2-BP and Cerulenin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>symbol</th>
<th>protein name</th>
<th>DMSO</th>
<th>probe 3</th>
<th>probe 3 + 2BP</th>
<th>probe 3 + cerulenin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RTN4</td>
<td>reticulon-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDAC2</td>
<td>voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein 2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FABP5</td>
<td>fatty acid-binding protein, epidermal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VDAC3</td>
<td>voltage-dependent anion-selective channel protein 3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HMOX2</td>
<td>heme oxygenase</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP2A2</td>
<td>sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYB5B</td>
<td>cytochrome b5 type B</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP2A1</td>
<td>sarcoplasmic/endoplasmic reticulum calcium ATPase 1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HM13</td>
<td>minor histocompatibility antigen H13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEC63</td>
<td>translocation protein SEC63 homologue</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TXNDC5</td>
<td>thioredoxin domain-containing protein 5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAPPC3</td>
<td>trafficking protein complex subunit 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ENDOD1</td>
<td>endonuclease domain-containing 1 protein</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTAP</td>
<td>S-methyl-5′-thiodenosine phosphorylase</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCCS</td>
<td>cytochrome c-type heme lyase</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APOL2</td>
<td>apolipoprotein L2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMX1</td>
<td>thioredoxin-related transmembrane protein 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MLANA</td>
<td>melanoma antigen recognized by T-cells 1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BACH1</td>
<td>transcription regulator protein BACH1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD81</td>
<td>CD81 antigen</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCAT2</td>
<td>lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COMT</td>
<td>catechol O-methyltransferase</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEATR3</td>
<td>HEAT repeat-containing protein 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZDHHC20</td>
<td>probable palmitoyltransferase ZDHHC20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>API1AR</td>
<td>AP-1 complex-associated regulatory protein</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLTP</td>
<td>glycolipid transfer protein</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALG6</td>
<td>dolichyl pyrophosphate Mat9GlcNAc2 alpha-1,3-glucosyltransferase</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIEN1</td>
<td>migration and invasion enhancer 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBR7</td>
<td>Putative E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase UBR7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCAT1</td>
<td>lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST6GALNAC3</td>
<td>alpha-N-acetylgalactosaminidase alpha-2,6-sialyltransferase 3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RABGCTT</td>
<td>geranylgeranyl transferase type-2 subunit beta</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C18orf32</td>
<td>UPF0729 protein C18orf32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VKORC1</td>
<td>vitamin K epoxide reductase complex subunit 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPT1</td>
<td>Ethanolaminophosphotransferase 1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

“The number of total matched peptide spectra of representative acyltransferases, PATs, and other abundant proteins is listed. The full list of identified proteins can be found in the Supporting Information.”

To identify the cellular targets of the cerulenin analogue 3, we carried out labeling experiments in 501Mel melanoma cells with 10 μM 3 for 5 h. The cell lysates were then subjected to Click chemistry using biotin-azide and enriched by streptavidin beads. The labeled proteins were digested on beads by trypsin and analyzed by mass spectrometry. We filtered the mass spectrometry results for proteins with >2 spectra counts in duplicate and with >5-fold enrichment over the DMSO control samples. We have identified >200 total proteins as putative cellular targets of probe 3, suggesting that the ceruleni analogue could alkylate diverse proteins in cells (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). Among them, we have identified endogenous palmitoyl acyltransferase ZDHHC20, ZDHHC5, ZDHHC4, and ZDHHC6 were also identified by mass spectrometry from these cells but did not pass the stringent threshold. Many other acyltransferases and acyl-CoA binding enzymes were also labeled by 3, including lysophosphatididylicholine acyltransferase 1 (LPCAT1), which is reported as O-palmitoylation enzyme for histone H4; and MBOAT7, a member of membrane-bound acyltransferases. The most abundant targets enriched by probe 3 include reticulon 4 (RTN4), heme oxygenase 2 (HMOX2), voltage-dependent anion channels (VDAC2 and VDAC3), and ER calcium ATPase (ATP2A2). Interestingly, these proteins are also targets of the 2-BP based probes, suggesting that these two probes have some overlapping cellular targets. However, several proteins, which are labeled by 2-BP probes, including carnitine O-palmitoylacyltransferase (CPT1), were not among the proteins identified by probe 3, suggesting that these probes have different labeling specificity toward certain acyltransferases. Several common targets, such as reticulon, cytochrome b5, FLOT2, and ESYT2 are also known as palmitoylated proteins (substrates). As cerulin and 2-BP could inhibit PATs activities in cells, it is interesting to further study whether these common targets were palmitoylated through nonenzymatic processes or palmitoylated by PATs, which were not inhibited by 2-BP and ceruleni. More importantly, we observed several proteins, which are uniquely labeled by probe 3. For example, probe 3 could label acyl protein thioesterase 1 (APT1/LYPLA1), which is the enzyme responsible for depalmitoylation. Furthermore, we did not identify fatty acid synthase complex proteins from the mass spectrometry studies, consistent with the notion that the long alkyl chain analogue
of cerulenin specifically inhibits palmitoylation but not fatty acid synthase.

To further reveal whether the protein targets labeled by probe 3 are common targets of cerulenin and 2-BP involved in palmitoylation, or cerulenin or probe 3 specific targets, we carry out competition labeling and mass spectrometry studies of probe 3. We pretreated 501Mel cells with 2-BP (100 μM) or cerulenin (10 μM) for 4 h, and then added probe 3 (5 μM) to the cells. We isolated the cell lysates after 4 h of probe incubation and carried out Click chemistry with biotin-azide as described previously. We observed that certain bands are indeed competed off by 2-BP and cerulenin (Supplementary Figure S1). To reveal the identities of common target proteins of 2-BP, cerulenin and probe 3, and protein targets that are specific for cerulenin, we enriched the labeled proteome using streptavidin beads and then carried out on-beads digestion by trypsin and then analyzed the proteins by mass spectrometry. We observed that ~140 proteins, which can be competed off by 2-BP and cerulenin (with >2-fold less total peptide spectra counts in the presence of competitors), suggesting that these proteins are common targets of these two palmitoylation inhibitors and probe 3. These common targets include the known palmitoylated protein or PATs, such as reticulon 4, VDAC2, ZDHHC20, and LPCAT1. Interestingly, we also observed that ~15 proteins can be competed off by cerulenin, but not 2-BP. These proteins, including thioredoxin reductase 1 (TXNRD1), exportin 1 (XPO1), and GPR143, might represent protein target specific for cerulenin and probe 3, which should have different reactivity and specificity than 2-BP and might label proteins not involved in palmitoylation. Furthermore, we have observed several proteins that can be competed off by 2-BP, but not cerulenin, including cholesterol ester hydrolase 1 (NCEH1), PML protein, and acyl protein thioesterase (LYPLA1/apt1). As the long alkyl chain moiety in probe 3 could improve its specificity toward palmitoylating proteins compared to cerulenin, these targets might represent palmitoylated proteins that cerulenin could not label. In summary, we could evaluate whether the proteins are cerulenin-specific targets or palmitoylation-related targets by competition assays.

To assess whether our methods could identify novel palmitoylated/palmitoylating proteins, we chose to validate whether lysophosphatidylcholine acyltransferase 2 (LPCAT2) and acyl protein thioesterase (LYPLA1/apt1) are indeed palmitoylated. We labeled the proteome of 501Mel cells for 8 h using the 15-hexadecynoic acid (1), which has been widely used as a reporter of palmitoylated proteins.\(^{23}\) We then carried out Click chemistry using biotin-azide and immunoprecipitated the palmitoylated proteins using streptavidin beads. The bounded proteins were eluted using biotin-containing buffer. We then used anti-LPCAT2 or anti-LYPLA1 antibodies in Western blot. We have successfully detected that endogenous LPCAT2 and LYPLA1/apt1 are indeed palmitoylated (Supplementary Figure S2). A recent report suggested that APT1 palmitoylation might be involved in its localization at the Golgi apparatus.\(^{34}\) Therefore, palmitoylation of APT1 could play important roles in regulating its biological functions. Further studies are needed to elucidate the detailed functions of palmitoylation in regulation of LPCAT2 and APT1.

Taken together, here we report synthesis and characterization of a novel chemical probe for protein palmitoylation and palmitoyl acyltransferases. Compared to previously reported PATs probes, probe 3 has several advantages. It has an improved potency and could be used at much lower concentration \textit{in vitro} and in cell culture. In addition, probe 3 does not require metabolic conversion in live cells and thus can directly label PATs \textit{in vitro}. This feature allows its applications in enzymatic assays or developing cell-free assays for high throughput screening. More importantly, it labeled all the DHHC-PAT family members we have tested, suggesting it is a much broader and more universal chemical probe to profile PATs. We also successfully enriched the cellular targets of this probe and identified endogenous PATs and thioesterase from the proteome. Therefore, it could be used as a chemical probe to facilitate the identification of additional palmitoylating enzymes and autoacylated proteins involved in signaling and diseases. From our mass spectrometry studies, we found that probe 3 could also label proteins that are not previously known to be involved in palmitoylation. These proteins could be the new cellular targets of cerulenin. This is not surprising for irreversible chemical probes, which often target multiple proteins of different classes. For example, an alkyne-aspirin probe has been shown to label more than 120 proteins, and a majority of them were not previously identified as targets of aspirin.\(^{35}\) Nevertheless, such covalent probes significantly expand our knowledge of new cellular targets of known drugs and natural products, and such polypharmacological effects might be the underlying mode-of-action of these compounds. Previously, epoxide containing oxirane probes were also reported to explore antibiotic targets in bacteria.\(^{36}\) The long alkyl chain of cerulenin is a unique structural feature, which improves its specificity toward lipid binding and palmitoylating proteins in mammalian cells. This feature distinguishes it from a generic “epoxide” chemical probe, which might nonspecifically alkylate many cellular proteins. In summary, the clickable analogue of cerulenin represents a new chemical probe to explore palmitoylation and PATs in signal transduction. Further investigation of the functions of the identified target proteins could reveal new insights to this important posttranslational modification.

## METHODS

Material, synthetic procedures, and labeling methods are described in the Supporting Information.
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Autopalmitoylation of TEAD proteins regulates transcriptional output of the Hippo pathway

PuiYee Chan¹,²,⁷, Xiao Han¹,²,⁴,⁷, Baohui Zheng¹, Michael DeRan¹, Jianzhong Yu⁵,⁶, Gopala K Jarugumilli¹, Hua Deng⁵,⁶, Duojia Pan⁵,⁶, Xuelian Luo³,⁴,* & Xu Wu*¹

TEA domain (TEAD) transcription factors bind to the coactivators YAP and TAZ and regulate the transcriptional output of the Hippo pathway, playing critical roles in organ size control and tumorigenesis. Protein S-palmitoylation attatches a fatty acid, palmitate, to cysteine residues and regulates protein trafficking, membrane localization and signaling activities. Using activity-based chemical probes, we discovered that human TEADs possess intrinsic palmitoylating enzyme-like activities and undergo autopalmitoylation at evolutionarily conserved cysteine residues under physiological conditions. We determined the crystal structures of lipid-bound TEADs and found that the lipid chain of palmitate inserts into a conserved deep hydrophobic pocket. Strikingly, palmitoylation did not alter TEAD's localization, but it was required for TEAD's binding to YAP and TAZ and was dispensable for its binding to the Vgll4 tumor suppressor. Moreover, palmitoylation-deficient TEAD mutants impaired TAZ-mediated muscle differentiation in vitro and tissue overgrowth mediated by the Drosophila YAP homolog Yorkie in vivo. Our study directly links autopalmitoylation to the transcriptional regulation of the Hippo pathway.

Hippo signaling plays key roles in organ size control and tumor suppression. The signal transduction involves a core kinase cascade, including the MST1/MST2 and Lats1/Lats2 kinases, leading to phosphorylation, cytoplasmic retention and inhibition of YAP and TAZ (hereafter YAP/TAZ). Physiological or pathological inactivation of these kinases leads to YAP/TAZ dephosphorylation and nuclear accumulation. Subsequently, nuclear YAP/TAZ binds to TEA/TEF (transcriptional enhancer factor)-domain transcription factors (TEAD1–TEAD4 in mammals and Scalloped in Drosophila) to mediate target gene expression. The TEAD–YAP complex regulates normal development of skin, muscle, lung and liver and is also an oncogenic factor that is amplified in many human cancers. TEADs can also bind to Vgll4, which has been implicated as a tumor suppressor through its ability to compete with YAP/TAZ for TEAD binding. Therefore, TEADs are essential in regulating the transcriptional output of the Hippo pathway. Although targeting TEAD–YAP could be a promising therapeutic approach for diseases involving deregulation of the Hippo pathway, it remains challenging to directly inhibit transcription factors with small molecules. Therefore, understanding the regulation of TEADs might reveal new therapeutic opportunities for drug discovery.

Post-translational S-palmitoylation attaches a 16-carbon fatty acid, palmitate, to the cysteine residue through a reversible thioester bond. Numerous palmitoylated proteins have been identified through proteomic studies. Dynamic S-palmitoylation is critical in regulating the trafficking, membrane localization and functions of many proteins, including Src-family kinases, GTPases and synaptic adhesion molecules. Asp-His-His-Cys (DHHC) family proteins are evolutionarily conserved protein palmitoyl acyltransferases (PATs) that mediate enzymatic S-palmitoylation. In addition, some proteins can bind to palmitoyl–coenzyme A (palmitoyl-CoA) directly and undergo PAT-independent autopalmitoylation. However, autopalmitoylation is poorly characterized. Most reported examples have been observed under nonphysiological high concentration of palmitoyl-CoA (>100 μM), and to date only a few proteins, including the yeast transporter Bet3, have been found to be autopalmitoylated under physiological concentrations of palmitoyl-CoA (<10 μM). Therefore, it will be important to identify additional autopalmitoylated proteins and to understand their regulation and functions.

Toward this end, we have developed activity-based chemical probes based on irreversible inhibitors of PATs, 2-bromopalmitate (2-BP) and cerulenin, which inhibit palmitoylating activities by alkylating the active site cysteines of the enzymes or autopalmitoylated proteins. We have synthesized analogs of 2-BP and cerulenin with an alkynyl tail, which serve as bioorthogonal chemical reporters for covalently labeling and profiling PATs and autopalmitoylated proteins. Through proteomic and biochemical studies, we have determined that the TEAD transcription factors are palmitoylated at evolutionarily conserved cysteine residues. We found that TEADs undergo PAT-independent autopalmitoylation at physiological concentrations of palmitoyl-CoA. We determined the crystal structures of the lipid-bound TEADs and revealed a new ligand-binding site in TEADs. Furthermore, we found that autopalmitoylation plays critical roles in regulating TEAD–YAP association and their physiological functions in vitro and in vivo. Therefore, palmitoylation of TEADs plays important roles in regulating Hippo pathway transcriptional complexes.

RESULTS

TEAD transcription factors are palmitoylated

To detect protein palmitoylation, analogs of palmitate, such as 15-hexadecynoic acid (Fig. 1a, 1), have been widely used as chemical reporters to metabolically label palmitoylated proteins (substrates)²⁴,²⁵. To explore PATs and autopalmitoylated proteins, we synthesized the activity-based chemical probes 2-bromohexadec-15-ynoic acid (2) and cis-2,3-epoxy-4-oxooctadec-17-ynamide.

¹Cutaneous Biology Research Center, Massachusetts General Hospital, Harvard Medical School, Charlestown, Massachusetts, USA. ²Key Laboratory for Molecular Enzymology & Engineering, Ministry of Education, School of Life Sciences, Jilin University, Changchun, China. ³Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA. ⁴Department of Biophysics, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA. ⁵Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. ⁶Department of Molecular Biology & Genetics, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. *These authors contributed equally to this work. *e-mail: xuelian.luo@utsouthwestern.edu or xwu@cbrc2.mgh.harvard.edu
We performed labeling, enrichment and proteomic analysis of the probe-labeled proteins and found that 2 and 3 can covalently label >300 proteins, including several known PATs and acyltransferases.[21,23]

Among the hits from chemo-proteomic studies, we identified the TEAD transcription factors TEAD1 and TEAD3, which had multiple unique matching peptides in proteomic studies (Supplementary Results. Supplementary Fig. 1a). TEADs bind to the transcription coactivators YAP and TAZ and regulate the transcriptional output of the Hippo pathway,[6,20], which plays critical roles in organ size control, regeneration and tumorigenesis. To validate that TEADs are palmitoylated, we transfected Myc-TEAD1 and TEAD4 constructs into HEK293A cells, labeled the cells with 50 μM of 1 or 2, and then performed copper-catalyzed 1,3-dipolar cycloaddition (click reaction) with biotin-azide followed by detection with streptavidin blots. Myc-TEAD1 and TEAD4 were indeed labeled by both probes (Fig. 1b), suggesting that TEADs are palmitoylated.

To characterize whether endogenous TEAD proteins are palmitoylated, we metabolically labeled HEK293A and MCF10A cells with 1, performed a click reaction with biotin-azide and then enriched for palmitoylated proteins by streptavidin bead pulldown. We successfully detected all four endogenous human TEADs (TEAD1–TEAD4) in the pulldown samples by western blotting (Fig. 1a), indicating that they were indeed palmitoylated in cells. Although TEAD2 and TEAD4 had not been among the hits in chemical proteomics studies, possibly due to their low abundance and our stringent criteria for mass spectroscopic analysis, our detailed biochemical experiments confirmed that all four TEADs should be palmitoylated in cells. Similarly, the Drosophila Scalloped protein is palmitoylated (Supplementary Fig. 1b), suggesting that TEAD palmitoylation is evolutionarily conserved. In addition, TEAD1 can also be labeled by 3 (Supplementary Fig. 1c). Furthermore, treatment with hydroxylamine dramatically reduced the palmitoylation levels in TEAD1, suggesting that TEADs are S-palmitoylated through a reversible thioester linkage (Fig. 1d). Taken together, our results indicate that TEAD family transcription factors are S-palmitoylated.

TEADs undergo PATs-independent autopalmitoylation

Because TEADs could be labeled by probes 2 and 3 (Fig. 1b, Supplementary Fig. 1c), we hypothesized that TEADs might possess palmitoylating enzyme–like activities and undergo autopalmitoylation. We had previously purified recombinant TEAD2 protein[27], allowing us to readily carry out in vitro experiments using TEAD2. We incubated recombinant human TEAD2 (full-length protein or the YAP-binding domain (YBD), TEAD2 residues 217–447) with a clickable analog of palmitoyl-CoA (15-hexadecyenoic CoA) at neutral pH in vitro and then carried out a click reaction with biotin-azide followed by streptavidin blotting. Both full-length TEAD2 and the YBD were palmitoylated in vitro in the absence of PATs (Fig. 2c, Supplementary Fig. 2b). In addition, overexpressing each of the DHHC-family PATs did not significantly alter the palmitoylation levels of TEAD1 in cells (Supplementary Fig. 2c), confirming that TEAD palmitoylation is independent of PATs. We then carried out intact MS analysis of the recombinant TEAD2 YBD. We identified the peak corresponding to unmodified TEAD2 (26,497 Da) and also, interestingly, a small side peak (26,736 Da) (Fig. 2d) that is consistent with a palmitate modification to the protein. These results suggest that a small fraction of the recombinant TEAD2-YBD is palmitoylated when expressed in bacteria. In addition, after incubating TEAD2 YBD with palmitoyl-CoA in vitro, we observed that the abundance of the 26,736 Da palmitoylated TEAD2 peak increased significantly (Supplementary Fig. 3a), further confirming that TEAD2 can be autopalmitoylated. Moreover,
autopalmitoylation of TEAD2 YBD was confirmed by an acyl-biotin exchange (ABE) assay, which converts S-palmitoylation to stable biotinylation for detection (Fig. 2e). With 1 μM of palmitoyl-CoA at neutral pH, TEAD2 YBD was autopalmitoylated within 2 min, and the palmitoylation levels reached saturation after 10 min (Supplementary Fig. 3b). To determine the dose-dependency of palmitoyl-CoA, we incubated recombinant TEAD2 YBD with various concentrations of alkynyl palmitoyl-CoA for 3 min and determined the reaction rate by quantifying the intensities of streptavidin blots (Supplementary Fig. 3c). We estimated that the apparent $K_m$ of palmitoyl-CoA in TEAD2 autopalmitoylation is around 0.8 μM (Fig. 2f), which is comparable to the $K_m$ of DHHC-family PATs26. Physiological palmitoyl-CoA concentrations within cells range from 100 nM to 10 μM27. Therefore, our results suggested that TEAD palmitoylation could indeed occur under normal physiological conditions. To the best of our knowledge, TEADs are the first autopalmitoylated transcription factors identified, linking cellular palmitoyl-CoA levels directly to transcription factor regulation.

**Structural analysis of palmitoylation**

To reveal the structural basis for the lipid modification of TEADs, we carried out X-ray crystallography studies of TEAD2 YBD (TEAD2m–4d). We expressed and purified native human TEAD2 YBD from bacteria and determined its structure to a resolution of 2.0 Å (PDB code 5HGU) by molecular replacement with the selenomethionine-labeled TEAD2 YBD (PDB code 3L15)27 as the search model (Supplementary Table 1). We observed clear electron density in a deep hydrophobic pocket adjacent to C380 (corresponding to C359 of TEAD1), indicating that TEAD2 binds to an unknown small-molecule ligand. Consistent with our results concerning TEAD2 palmitoylation obtained by the chemical biology methods and MS (Fig. 2d), we found that the extra electron density indeed corresponds to a 16-carbon fatty acid (palmitate) (Fig. 3a). The lipid chain of palmitate inserts deeply into the pocket, with the free carboxyl group pointing to, but not covalently attached to, C380 of TEAD2. We reasoned that the palmitate might initially be covalently attached to C380, but the labile thioester bond might then be cleaved during purification and crystallization under slightly basic conditions. Consistently, surface drawings of TEAD2 reveal that the carboxyl group of palmitate is solvent accessible through an opening adjacent to C380 (Fig. 3b). This opening is also large enough to allow free palmitate to diffuse in and out of the pocket. Interestingly, a recent report on the structure of TEAD2 obtained using slightly different purification conditions resulted in a higher yield of palmitoylated TEAD2, and the covalent bond can be observed in the crystal structures28.

To explore whether covalent palmitoylation could be observed in other TEAD structures, we revisited the previously reported crystal structures of human TEAD1–YAP complex (PDB code 3KYS), mouse TEAD4–YAP (PDB code 3JUA) and human TEAD1–cyclic YAP (PDB code 4REI)31–33. We have observed that similar lipid-like electron densities are present in all of the conserved deep pocket of these structures. In mTEAD4–YAP, the electron density appeared to be covalently connected to C360 of TEAD4. However, the electron densities in TEAD4–YAP and TEAD1–cyclic YAP are truncated, making it difficult to assign any to palmitate without prior knowledge of palmitoylation (Supplementary Fig. 4a,b). The TEAD1–YAP complex, which was coexpressed in bacteria and purified as a complex, showed the highest quality of electron density in the hydrophobic pocket. We refined the structure and found that the electron density indeed corresponds to a palmitate, covalently linked to C359 of TEAD1 (Fig. 3c). These results are consistent with our biochemical findings that TEAD1 C359 is palmitoylated. Interestingly, the surface opening observed in the TEAD2-alone structure is blocked by the β1 segment of the YAP peptide in the TEAD1–YAP complex (Fig. 3d). Therefore, the thioester bond is solvent inaccessible in the complex, a feature that, together with the mild purification and crystallization conditions, might help to preserve the covalent linkage. As there are no PATs present in bacteria, these findings also confirmed our results indicating that TEAD1 is autopalmitoylated. Taking these data together, we have shown that
TEADs have a conserved hydrophobic pocket occupied by a palmitate, revealing a new structural feature of these transcription factors. The lipid-binding pocket is highly conserved among other TEADs. Therefore, palmitate binding could be an important regulatory mechanism for all TEADs.

The structural studies suggested that palmitoylation of TEAD1 C359 (corresponding to TEAD2 C380) is stable and can be crystallized. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that C327 (corresponding to TEAD2 C348) is partially or transiently palmitoylated in cells as shown in our in cell labeling experiments. We purified recombinant the TEAD2 C380S and C348/380S (2CS) mutants. Consistent with the mutagenesis studies in Figure 2a,b, TEAD2 C380S could still be autopalmitoylated in vitro, but TEAD2 2CS could not (Supplementary Fig. 5). These results suggest that both C348 and C380 are involved in palmitoylation, and C380 palmitoylation is more stable.

It has been noted before that TEADs are structurally related to phosphodiesterase 5 (PDE5, PDB codes 1KSH and 3T7J)23,24, with two β-sheets packing against each other to form a β-sandwich motif. Interestingly, PDE5 has a similar hydrophobic pocket inside the β-sandwich motif, which binds to the farnesyl chain of GTPases25,26,27 (Supplementary Fig. 6). It is possible that such structural motifs represent a common lipid-binding site and that other proteins with similar motif might also bind to lipid ligands. Interestingly, small-molecule inhibitors of PDE5 can indeed bind to this pocket and inhibit the association of PDE5 and farnesylated Ras proteins, leading to inhibition of Ras activities28. Therefore, targeting such lipid-binding sites might lead to new small-molecule inhibitors of important biological pathways.

Palmitoylation regulates TEAD-YAP/TAZ association

Although all four TEAD proteins are palmitoylated, we focused on our functional studies on TEAD1 because it is one of the most abundant TEAD proteins ubiquitously expressed. As the palmitoylated cysteine (C359 of TEAD1) is located near the TEAD-YAP interface, we tested whether palmitoylation could allosterically regulate TEAD-YAP association. Indeed, we found that YAP could co-immunoprecipitate with wild-type (WT) TEAD1, but the association was significantly reduced with the palmitoylation-deficient mutants (C359S, 2CS and 3CS) (Fig. 4a). In addition, we tested the interaction of TEADs and YAP/TAZ using Gal4-TEAD1 or Gal4-TEAD2 fusion proteins, which can activate a Gal4-responsive luciferase reporter upon YAP or TAZ binding.26-27,31 We found that WT Gal4-TEAD1 and Gal4-TEAD2 could each activate the Gal4-responsive luciferase in the presence of YAP or TAZ, indicating the formation of active transcription complexes. However, the palmitoylation-deficient mutants (C359S, 2CS and 3CS) had significantly lower activity (Fig. 4b, Supplementary Fig. 7a,b), with the TEAD 2CS and 3CS mutants in particular showing very little activity. Furthermore, a FRET-based binding assay (Alpha Screen) between TEAD1 and YAP also confirmed that the TEAD mutant (C359S) had weaker association with YAP than WT, and the palmitoylation-deficient mutants (2CS and 3CS) showed almost no binding to YAP (Fig. 4c). Taken together, our results indicated that the palmitoylation of TEAD plays important roles in regulating its binding to transcription coactivators. We next examined the functional roles of TEAD palmitoylation. We observed that TEAD1 C359S mutant is partially defective in YAP-induced transcriptional activities. Consistently, TEAD1 2CS or 3CS mutant lost the activities in TEAD-binding element reporter (8xGTIIC-Luc) assays (Fig. 4d), suggesting that blocking TEAD palmitoylation impairs its transcriptional activity.

In addition, WT and 3CS mutant TEAD1 localized similarly in the nucleus (Supplementary Fig. 7c-e), suggesting that palmitoylation does not alter TEAD1 localization. These findings were consistent with our observations that palmitate binds to a deep pocket inside of TEAD. Unlike other palmitoylated proteins, palmitate might not serve as a membrane anchor for TEADs. Therefore, our results have uncovered new functions of protein palmitoylation in regulating transcription factor complexes.

Moreover, we found that the TEAD2 2CS and 3CS mutants were properly folded. It has been reported that TEADs can bind to Vgl4, a tumor suppressor that competes with YAP for TEAD binding and consequently inhibits YAP oncogenic activity. In the co-immunoprecipitation assay, we found that TEAD1 WT and the palmitoylation-deficient mutants C359S, 2CS and 3CS each bound to Vgl4 (Fig. 4e). Consistently, in the FRET-based (Alpha Screen) binding assay, WT and C359S, 2CS and 3CS mutant TEAD1 all bound to Vgl4 similarly (Fig. 4f). Taken together, these results showed that palmitoylation is required for TEAD1–YAP binding but is dispensable for TEAD1–Vgl4 binding. In addition, as C359S, 2CS and 3CS TEAD1 mutants are still capable of binding to Vgl4, the loss of YAP binding is not due to misfolding.

In crystal structures, palmitate does not directly interact with YAP. Therefore, palmitate allosterically regulates YAP binding. It has been shown that YAP binds to TEAD through three interfaces31,32. Mutations of TEAD residues at interface III greatly inhibit YAP but not Vgl4 binding, suggesting that interface III is more critical for
YAP binding\(^1,1,2\). We hypothesize that palmitoylation allosterically changes the conformation of TEAD at or near interface III, thus regulating YAP binding but not Vgl14 binding. Our results and a recent report\(^20\) have suggested that binding of palmitate rigidifies the structure of TEAD. We speculate that it might affect the local side chain dynamics around binding interface III, which are required for YAP binding. Further structural and protein side chain dynamic studies using NMR spectrometry will provide more details about how palmitate allosterically regulates TEAD protein dynamics. Interestingly, fatty acylation has been shown previously to allosterically regulate TEAD protein dynamics. For example, N-terminal myristoylation of c-Abi binds to the kinase domain and induces conformational changes of the protein, resulting in autoinhibition of c-Abi kinase activity\(^39,40\).

**Palmitoylation regulates TEAD physiological functions**

We next examined the physiological roles of TEAD palmitoylation. TAZ has been shown to promote terminal differentiation and myotube fusion of skeletal muscle cells through TEAD1 and TEAD4. Loss of TEAD palmitoylation might impair myogenesis. Therefore, TEAD–TAZ association is critical for myogenesis. As TEAD palmitoylation is required for TAZ binding, we speculate that loss of TEAD palmitoylation might impair myogenesis.
To test this hypothesis, we stably transfected C2C12 myoblast cells with WT or 3CS mutant TEAD1 and then induced them to differentiate. We evaluated muscle differentiation by immunostaining of myosin heavy chain (MHC). The TEAD1 3CS strongly inhibited muscle differentiation and myotube fusion as compared to vector control and WT TEAD1 (Fig. 5a, Supplementary Fig. 8a). C2C12 cells expressing TEAD1 3CS showed significantly lower differentiation index and fusion index (Fig. 5b,c). In addition, we observed that expression of the TEAD1 3CS mutant blocked the expression of muscle differentiation genes (MyoD, MyoG, Myh4), as well as TEAD-specific target genes (Ctgf and Cyr61), as assessed by qRT-PCR (Fig. 5d,e, Supplementary Fig. 8b,c). Taken together, our results suggested that palmitoylation is required for TEADs’ normal physiological functions in muscle differentiation in vitro.

To further corroborate the functional significance of TEAD palmitoylation, we compared the ability of WT Drosophila Scalloped (Sd) or a palmitoylation-deficient (2CS) mutant (both constructs targeted to the same genetic locus so as to avoid positional target of the transgene insertion) to cooperate with Yorkie (Yki) in promoting tissue overgrowth using a sensitive in vivo assay. Differential splicing of the Yki transcript results in two isoforms containing two WW domains (Yki-PG and Yki-PF, Flybase) or a single WW domain (Yki-PD, Flybase). Unlike Yki-PG, whose overexpression resulted in eye overgrowth, overexpression of Yki-PD alone resulted in only slightly (but not statistically significantly) larger eye sizes (Fig. 6a–d). Nevertheless, coexpression of Yki-PD and Sd (WT) caused a significant enlargement of eye size (Fig. 6e), providing a very sensitive assay for the Scalloped–Yki complex in driving tissue overgrowth. Interestingly, this overgrowth phenotype was significantly compromised when Yki-PD was coexpressed with the palmitoylation-deficient 2CS Sd mutant (Fig. 6f). We quantified the eye sizes in all the flies and performed statistical analysis of the results (Fig. 6g). In addition, the top views of the flies (Supplementary Fig. 9a–f) showed the size of eyes from a different angle. Interestingly, both Sd WT and Sd 2CS have statistically significant reduction of eye growth compared to WT flies (Fig. 6b,c,g), which is consistent with the default repressor functions of Scalloped44. The difference between Sd WT and the 2CS mutant is not statistically significant. Therefore, it is likely that loss of palmitoylation in 2CS Sd does not affect its default repressor functions. This result is consistent with our findings in human cells, where 2CS TEAD1 can still bind to Vgll4. To better evaluate the effects on Yki-Sd target genes, we performed qRT-PCR analysis of Dia1p and Expanded in fly S2 cells expressing the 2CS mutant or WT Scalloped. Consistently, expression of Yki and WT Scalloped induced the expression of both genes, while expression of Yki and Scalloped 2CS mutant significantly compromised their expression (Supplementary Fig. 9g,h). Taken together, our results suggest that palmitoylation is required for the physiological function of the TEAD transcription factors.

**DISCUSSION**

In summary, using chemical approaches, we have determined that TEADs are specifically autopalmitoylated at evolutionarily conserved cysteine residues. Autopalmitoylation has been considered to be a nonspecific reaction of surface cysteine residues with high concentration of palmitoyl-CoA. However, our studies, together with studies of yeast Bet3 protein, have shown that autopalmitoylation can happen under physiological conditions, with specific cysteine residues being modified. As there are only 23 DHHC-family PATs, it is unlikely that they are responsible for all the palmitoylation activities in cells (more than 1,000 protein substrates are S-palmitoylated). Therefore, it is possible that many S-palmitoylated proteins are modified through PAT-independent processes, and autopalmitoylation could play important roles in regulating protein functions. Our studies have demonstrated, for the first time, the ability to systematically identify autopalmitoylated proteins using chemical tools.

Palmitoylation has commonly been linked to membrane attachment and protein trafficking45,46. Our results show that palmitate binds to a hydrophobic pocket in the core of the protein and does not regulate protein membrane binding. It has been noted that in the crystal structures of yeast Bet3, the covalently attached palmitate also binds in a hydrophobic pocket in the protein44. Palmitoylation of Bet3 stabilizes the protein and is involved in regulating Bet3 degradation and cofactor binding44. Therefore, in addition to providing a membrane-binding moiety, palmitoylation of proteins indeed has other important functions. Further studies of additional autopalmitoylated proteins is likely to reveal new functions of this modification.

We have observed that TEAD1 C359 is the major and stable site of palmitoylation, which is located at the opening of the lipid-binding pocket. We could not purify and crystallize palmitate-free TEAD2, and it is likely that binding of palmitate stabilized the conformation of TEAD, allowing the protein to be crystallized. Nevertheless, TEAD proteins might exist as both palmitoylated and non-palmitoylated species in cells. We have also observed that TEAD2 C380S remains autopalmitoylated, but the C348/380S (2CS) mutant does not, consistent with the observation that TEAD 2CS and 3CS mutants have more significant loss of activity than the C380S mutant. Although we did not observe the lipid
modification of C348 in the crystal structures, which are only snapshots of the most stable conformations of the protein, both C348 and C380 should be involved in palmitoylation. It is possible that C348-palmitoylated TEAD2 has a different conformation, allowing palmitate to bind to the conserved deep pocket. In addition, another hydrophobic pocket near the surface is close to C348 in TEAD2 structure, which could accommodate the binding of hydrophobic ligands, such as bromofenamic acid (BFA)45. Further studies would be needed to reveal the detailed structures of C348-palmitoylated TEAD2.

As the levels of TEAD autopalmitoylation are highly relevant to the palmitoyl-CoA concentrations in cells, the cellular palmitoyl-CoA pool might be an upstream regulator of TEADs’ activities and the Hippo pathway. Fatty acid synthase (FASN) is the key enzyme that synthesizes palmitoyl-CoA from acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA46. FASN has been proposed as a potential oncogene, which is upregulated in breast cancers and whose expression is associated with poor prognosis47. High level of FASN might lead to high intracellular palmitoyl-CoA, thus promoting TEAD–YAP-mediated oncogenic processes. Further studies would be needed to test whether TEAD–YAP activities are responsible for tumorigenesis in FASN-overexpressed cancer cells. Currently, we do not have evidence showing that TEAD proteins can be palmitoylated and depalmitoylated in a dynamic fashion. Two potential depalmitoylating enzyme families, acylprotein thioesterases (APT1 and APT2) and protein palmitoylthioesterases (PPT1 and PPT2), have been reported48,49. It would be interesting to investigate whether these enzymes contribute to TEAD depalmitoylation.

The development of potent and selective small-molecule inhibitors to disrupt TEAD–YAP interaction remains challenging, as the interaction interface is shallow and spans a large area on the surface. Our results showed that the palmitate-binding pocket of TEADs is deep and hydrophobic, ideal for inhibitor binding. Indeed, a recent study has shown that this pocket is accessible to small molecules, although their potency and selectivity are not optimal50. Taken together, these results suggest that targeting autopalmitoylation of TEADs by small molecules could be a new strategy for drug discovery.
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METHODS

Methods and any associated references are available in the online version of the paper.

Accession codes. Protein Data Bank (PDB): coordinates for the TEAD2–palmitate complex have been deposited with accession code 5HGU.
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ONLINE METHODS

Labeling, click reactions and streptavidin pulldown. HEK293A or MCF10A cells were labeled with DMSO or probe 1, 2 or 3 overnight. Cells were lysed in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% Triton X-100, 0.2% SDS, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitors) followed by Click reaction with biotin-azide\(^2\). Proteins were precipitated with 9 volumes of 100% methanol for 2 h or overnight at –20 °C. Proteins were recovered by centrifugation at 14,000 \( \times \) g for 10 min and the precipitates were suspended in suspension buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.7, 150 mM NaCl, 10 mM EDTA, 1% SDS, 0.5% NP-40). Labeled cellular proteins were enriched using streptavidin agarose (Life Technologies) at room temperature with rotation overnight. Protein-bound streptavidin agarose beads were washed three times with suspension buffer without NP-40 and bound proteins were eluted with elution buffer (30 mM \( \beta \)-mercaptoethanol, 2% SDS, 6 M urea). Samples were processed with SDS-PAGE sample buffer and proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE. TEAD1-ΔTEAD4 in these samples were detected using TEAD-specific antibodies and streptavidin HRP. Blots were probed with anti-TEAD1 (8526, 1:0,000, Cell Signaling), anti-TEAD2 (8870, 1:0,000, Cell Signaling), anti-TEAD3 (13224, 1:000, Cell Signaling), anti-TEAD4 (ab58310, 1:0,000, Abcam) and Streptavidin HRP (1:5,000, Life Technologies). Full images of blots are shown in the supplementary information (Supplementary Figs. 10–16).

Cell culture. HEK293A, Phoenix, MCF10A and C2C12 cell lines (obtained from ATCC, Manassas, VA) were grown at 37 °C with 5% CO\(_2\), HEK293A, Phoenix, and C2C12 cell lines were cultured in Dulbecco's modified Eagles media (DMEM) (Life Technologies) supplemented with 10% FBS (FBS) (Thermo/Hyclone, Waltham, MA) and 50 ng/mL penicillin/streptomycin. MCF10A cells were cultured in DMEM/F12 (Life Technologies) supplemented with 5% horse serum, 20 ng/ml EGF, 0.5 mM hydrocortisone, 100 ng/mL cholera toxin, 10 \( \mu \)g/mL insulin and 50 \( \mu \)g/mL penicillin/streptomycin. None of cell lines used in this paper listed in the database of commonly misidentified cell lines maintained by ICLAC. All cell lines are free of mycoplasma contamination.

Transfection and transduction. Plasmids were transfected with jetPRIME (Polyplus transfection) or XtremeGene HP (Roche) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

For retrovirus production, Phoenix cells were transfected with VSV-G and empty pBABe hygro or pBABe hygro containing TEAD1 wild type or 3CS mutant cDNA. Supernatants were collected by centrifugation and filtered through a 0.45 µm syringe filter (Corning) 48 h post-transfection. Cells were infected with 2 mL viral supernatant in the presence of 10 µg/mL polybrene (Millipore). Cells were incubated for 24–48 h before splitting into selection medium.

Site-directed mutagenesis. Mutagenesis was performed using the QuikChange II Site-Directed Mutagenesis kit (Agilent) following manufacturer's instructions.

Co-immunoprecipitation. HEK-293A cells were transfected with the indicated constructs. After 48 h, cells were lysed with lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.3, 150 mM NaCl, 0.5 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, PhosSTOP phosphatase inhibitor cocktail, cOmplete EDTA-free protease inhibitors cocktail). Flag-YAP or Myc-TEAD1 was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG M2 magnetic beads (Sigma-Aldrich) or anti-c-Myc antibody (M4439, Sigma-Aldrich), respectively, overnight with rotation at 4 °C. TEAD1 was captured using Protein A/G magnetic resins (Life Technologies). Protein-bound resins were incubated with or without 0.5 M hydroxylamine (Sigma-Aldrich) for 1 h at room temperature and then incubated with 1 µM Biotin-BMCC (Pierce Biotechnology, Inc.) for 1 h at room temperature. Samples were washed and processed with SDS-sample buffer. Proteins were resolved by SDS-PAGE and visualized by immunoblotting with streptavidin HRP, anti-His antibody (1:1,000, SAB1035805, Sigma-Aldrich) or Coomassie blue staining.

C2C12 cell differentiation. C2C12 cells were transduced using retrovirus containing vector control (pBABe hygro), or wild type or 3CS TEAD1. Stable expression was selected initially using 600 µg/mL hygromycin B (Life Technologies) and then decreased to 300 µg/mL for 2 weeks. To induce differentiation, the culture condition was replaced by differentiation medium (DMEM + 2% horse serum + 50 µg/mL penicillin/streptomycin) with medium change everyday.

Immunofluorescence. Cells were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde and then permeabilized and blocked with 3% (v/v) BSA/PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 at room temperature for 30 min. Cells were immunostained with anti-mycosin (skeletal, fast) chain (M4276, 1:500, Sigma-Aldrich), anti-Yap (1:1,000, Abgent) or anti-c-myc (1:500, Sigma-Aldrich) antibody overnight at 4 °C. Cells were washed three times with PBS + 0.1% Triton X-100 followed by incubation with Alexa Fluor 488 conjugated anti-mouse secondary antibody (1:500, Life technologies) and Hoechst 33258 (1:2,500, Life Technologies) at room temperature for 2 h. Cells were washed again and images were captured using Nikon Digital Sight microscope.

Drosophila genetics. UAS-yki\(^{596}\) construct was generated by cloning the yki single WW domain isoform (Yki-PD) cDNA into the pUAST vector. UAS-sd\(^{57}\) and UAS-sd\(^{55}\) constructs were generated by cloning wild-type scalloped (sd) or sd palmitoylation-deficient (2CS) mutant cDNA into the pUAST-at8B vectors. UAS-yki\(^{596}\) transgenic fly was created by conventional transposon-mediated transformation. UAS-sd\(^{57}\) and UAS-sd\(^{55}\) transgenic flies were created by phiC31-mediated site-specific transformation, using the attP2 site at 51C. GMR-Gal4 was used for overexpression analysis. All crosses were done at 25 °C. The quantification of fly eyes were carried out by analyzing the eye area in the images\(^{44}\), and normalized to the control wild type flies. n = 10 for each genotypes. The qRT-PCR analysis of Diap-1 and Expanded was carried out using primer sequences previously reported\(^{44}\).

Protein purification and crystallization. The cDNA encoding human TEAD2 (residues 217–447, TEAD2\(_{217–447}\)) was cloned into a pET29 vector (EMD Biosciences) that included a C-terminal His\(_{10}\)-tag. The construct was verified by DNA sequencing. The pET29-TEAD2\(_{217–447}\) plasmid was transformed into the E. coli strain BL21 (DE3)-T7\(^{\ast}\) cells (Sigma) for protein expression. His\(_{10}\)-tagged TEAD2\(_{217–447}\) was purified with Ni\(^{2+}\)-NTA agarose resin (Qiagen) and then purified by anion exchange chromatography with a Superox 75 column (GE Healthcare). Purified TEAD2\(_{217–447}\) was concentrated to 4 mg/mL in a buffer containing 20 mM Tris (pH 8.0), 100 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl\(_{2}\), 1 mM TCEP and 5% glycerol.

Crystals of TEAD2\(_{217–447}\) were grown at 20 °C using the hanging-drop vapor-diffusion method with a reservoir solution containing 0.1 M HEPES (pH 7.2) and 2.4 M sodium formiate. The crystals were cryo-protected with reservoir solution supplemented with 25% glycerol and then flash-cooled in liquid nitrogen.

In vitro palmitoylation. Recombinant GST-TEAD2 or His\(_{10}\)-TEAD2 (500 ng) protein was incubated with the indicated concentrations of alkyne palmitoyl-CoA (Cayman Chemical) for 2 h or the indicated time in 50 mM MES, pH 6.4.
The reaction was quenched with 1% SDS followed by Click reaction as described previously. Samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and streptavidin HRP. Band intensities obtained from streptavidin blots were quantified using ImageJ (NIH) and the rate of palmitoylation in arbitrary unit was plotted against the concentration of palmitoyl-CoA. The data was fitted to the Michaelis-Menten equation using Prism v.6.0 (GraphPad). For mass spectrometry analysis, recombinant TEAD2 YBD (1 mg/ml) was incubated with 1 eq. of palmitoyl-CoA for 30 min at room temperature in a buffer containing 50 mM MES, pH 6.4.

Data collection and structure determination. Diffraction data were collected at beamline 19-ID (SBC-CAT) at the Advanced Photon Source (Argonne National Laboratory) at the wavelength of 0.9791 Å at 100 K and processed with HKL3000. Phases were obtained by molecular replacement with Phaser using the crystal structure of human TEAD2 (PDB code 3L15) as the search model. Iterative model building and refinements were carried out with COOT and Phenix, respectively. MolProbity was used for structure validation to show that all models have good geometry. Data collection and structure refinement statistics are summarized in Supplementary Table 1. Ramachandran statistics (favored/allowed/outlier (%)) are 97.4/2.6/0.0. The crystal structure of palmitate-bound TEAD1–YAP was obtained by building two thioester-linked palmitate molecules into TEAD1–YAP (PDB code 3KYS) with COOT using the electron density map calculated from 3KYS structure factor. The final model was refined with Phenix.

Statistical analysis. No statistical method was used to predetermine sample size. The experiments were not randomized. For biochemical experiments we performed the experiments at least three independent times. Experiments for which we showed representative images were performed successfully at least 3 independent times. No samples or animal were excluded from the analysis. The investigators were not blinded to allocation during experiments and outcome assessment. All P values were determined using two-tailed t-tests and statistical significance was set at \( P = 0.05 \). The variance was similar between groups that we compared.