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Optimal Use of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy in Military Medical Setting
(STO-TR-HFM-192)

Executive Summary

Hyperbaric oxygen therapy is the treatment of patients with oxygen breathing while in a pressurized treatment chamber. The increased oxygen transport and delivery to the tissues has beneficial effects in a variety of diseases and conditions, some of which are pertinent to military (battlefield) injury. When administered timely and in a correct way, hyperbaric oxygen therapy improves the evolution and final outcome; however, because of the technical limitations of the treatment (necessity of a hyperbaric treatment chamber, of adequate oxygen and compressed air supplies, of competent medical and paramedical personnel), hyperbaric oxygen therapy centres are not very common, even in non-military setting.

This Task Group examined the possible military applications of hyperbaric oxygen therapy, and defined the conditions for its use. It appeared that while it is not realistic to suggest the placement of hyperbaric treatment centres close to operations theatres, it may be possible to organise the medical evacuation routes in such a way that military patients can be treated before returning to their homeland in a (civilian or military) hyperbaric centre “along the route”, for a short period, before being further evacuated to their final destination. This way, a rapid evacuation from the operations theatre could be combined with a timely hyperbaric treatment, thereby shortening total treatment time and optimising final recovery.

The surrounding conditions for hospitals with hyperbaric oxygen therapy centres have been defined. For a hyperbaric treatment to be effective, it is necessary that adjunctive therapies and medical conditions are optimal for the pathology. Centres can thus be classified as belonging to various categories of hospitals, and for each pathology, an appropriate hospital category has been defined. This must allow medical planners to design evacuation routes according to the expected case load.

Finally, the Task Group proposes further actions to be taken in order to aid medical planners in designing the appropriate evacuation routes, and has identified appropriate hospitals and knowledgeable contact persons in most NATO Nations.
Utilisation optimale de l’oxygénothérapie hyperbare dans le contexte militaire
(STO-TR-HFM-192)

Synthèse

L’oxygénothérapie hyperbare consiste à traiter les patients en leur faisant respirer de l’oxygène dans un caisson pressurisé. Le transport et l’apport accru d’oxygène aux tissus a des effets bénéfiques sur diverses maladies et divers états, pertinents pour certaines blessures reçues sur le champ de bataille. Lorsqu’elle est appliquée correctement et en temps opportun, l’oxygénothérapie hyperbare améliore l’évolution de la maladie et le résultat final. Cependant, étant donné les contraintes techniques de ce traitement (nécessité d’un caisson hyperbare, d’un approvisionnement adéquat en oxygène et en air comprimé et de personnel médical et paramédical compétent), les centres d’oxygénothérapie hyperbare ne sont pas très courants, même hors du contexte militaire.

Ce groupe de travail a examiné les applications militaires possibles de l’oxygénothérapie hyperbare et en a défini les conditions d'utilisation. Il est apparu que, même s’il n’est pas réaliste de suggérer l’implantation de centres de traitement hyperbare près des théâtres des opérations, il serait possible d’organiser les trajets d’évacuation sanitaire de façon à ce que les patients militaires puissent être traités avant de rentrer dans leur pays, dans un centre hyperbare civil ou militaire « sur le chemin », pendant une courte période, avant d’être évacués jusqu’à leur destination finale. Ainsi, une évacuation rapide du théâtre des opérations pourrait être associée à un traitement hyperbare en temps opportun, ce qui raccourcira la durée totale du traitement et optimiserait la récupération.

Les conditions associées des hôpitaux équipés de centres d’oxygénothérapie hyperbare ont été définies. Un traitement hyperbare efficace passe par des thérapies auxiliaires et des conditions médicales optimales pour la pathologie en question. Les centres entrent par conséquent dans diverses catégories d’hôpitaux et pour chaque pathologie, une catégorie appropriée a été définie. Cela doit permettre aux planificateurs médicaux de concevoir des trajets d’évacuation en fonction du nombre de cas attendus.

Pour finir, le groupe de travail propose des mesures supplémentaires afin d’aider les planificateurs médicaux à concevoir des trajets d’évacuation appropriés et identifie des hôpitaux adéquats et les interlocuteurs compétents dans la plupart des nations de l’OTAN.
Chapter 1 – BACKGROUND AND JUSTIFICATION

1.1 HYPERBARIC OXYGEN THERAPY

Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBO) has been used for the treatment of various diseases and illnesses since the 1960’s. Its use has been progressively expanding, based on experience and scientific studies. It is recognized that these scientific studies are difficult to perform and are generally not unequivocally accepted as “solid scientific proof” – this is partly due to the scarceness and variability of the diseases studied, but also to the lack of suitable therapeutic alternatives for many of these, hindering proper randomization and “sham control”. Also, the use of specific technical apparatus (the hyperbaric chamber) renders “blinding” of patients difficult if not impossible. Finally, the lack of commercial or government (social security) funding has kept the number of hyperbaric treatment facilities low, and the quality of care delivered in these, variable.

HBO involves respiration of pure oxygen under high atmospheric pressure. In order to be able to breathe any gas at pressures higher than 1 atmosphere, patients must be exposed to the same pressure externally – hence the need for a hyperbaric treatment “chamber” – essentially a pressure vessel.

While smaller and older hyperbaric chambers were filled with pressurized oxygen, most hyperbaric chambers today are using compressed air, while patients breathe oxygen via an orofacial mask, a “hood” (a clear plastic head tent) or via a tracheostomy tube or endotracheal tube.

Guidelines for HBO have been developed by “Scientific Societies”, both in the USA (Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society – UHMS) and Europe (European Committee for Hyperbaric Medicine – ECHM). These guidelines encompass both the selection of patients (“Indications for Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy”) and the proper execution of the treatment (“Code of Good Clinical Practice”).

1.2 ACCEPTED INDICATIONS FOR HBO

The close link with diving, aviation and space medicine has made hyperbaric medicine slightly better known with the general public over the last couple of years. Most of the clinical applications however lie in the field of complex trauma (combined vascular, muscular and neurological injury), anaerobic infections (gas gangrene), enhancement of wound-healing, decompression illness, acute acoustic trauma and carbon monoxide intoxication. All of these diseases or conditions require (often) urgent, comprehensive (multi-disciplinary) hyperbaric treatment to ensure a maximal efficiency.

Although for very few HBO indications a sufficient body of “level I” scientific evidence seems to be present to unequivocally have the treatment modality “accepted” by the entire medical community, “lower levels” of scientific evidence combined with physiological logic and “common sense” have resulted in periodically reviewed guidelines issued by the hyperbaric Scientific Societies. These can be downloaded from their respective websites (www.uhms.org; www.echm.org). A summary is listed below.
Table 1-1: Accepted Indications for HBO According to ECHM (Source: [www.achobel.be]).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONDITION</th>
<th>ACCEPTED</th>
<th>NOT ACCEPTED</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Level of Evidence</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type I</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO intoxication</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush Syndrome</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prevention of Osteoradionecrosis (dental extraction)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoradionecrosis (mandible)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft Tissue Radionecrosis (cystitis)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decompression Accident</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gas Embolism</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic or Mixed Bacterial Anaerobic Infections</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetic Foot Lesion</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compromised Skin Graft and Musculocutaneous Flap</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteoradionecrosis (other bones)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio-induced Proctitis / Enteritis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio-induced Lesions of Soft Tissues</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery and Implant in Irradiated Tissue (preventive action)</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sudden Deafness</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic Ulcer</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory Chronic Osteomyelitis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroblastoma Stage IV</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type III</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-anoxic Encephalopathy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larynx Radionecrosis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radio-induced CNS Lesions</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-vascular Procedure Reperfusion Syndrome</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limb Re-implantation</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burns &gt;20% of Surface Area and 2nd degree</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Ischemic Ophthalmologic Disorders</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selected Non-healing Wounds secondary to Inflammatory Processes</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pneumatisis Cystoides Intestinalalis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other indications</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-sternotomy Mediastinitis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sickle Cell Disease</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malignant Otitis Externa</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acute Myocardial Infarction</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Femoral Head Necrosis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinitis Pigmentosa</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinnitus</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interstitial Cystitis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial (Bell's) Palsy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral Palsy</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiple Sclerosis</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fetoplacental Insufficiency</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Level A: At least 2 concordant, large, double-blind, controlled randomized studies with no or little methodological bias.
- Level B: Double-blind controlled, randomized studies but with methodological flaws; studies with only small samples, or only a single study.
- Level C: Consensus opinion of experts.
- Level D: Only uncontrolled studies with no consensus opinion of expert.
- Level E: No evidence of beneficial action, or methodological or interpretation bias preclude any conclusion.
- Level F: Existing evidence favors not to use HBO₂.
Table 1-2: “Accepted Indications” for HBO According to UHMS (Source: [www.uhms.org]).

1) Air or Gas Embolism
2) Carbon Monoxide Poisoning
3) Carbon Monoxide Poisoning Complicated by Cyanide Poisoning
4) Clostridial Myositis and Myonecrosis (Gas Gangrene)
5) Crush Injury, Compartment Syndrome and Other Acute Traumatic Ischemias
6) Decompression Sickness
7) Arterial Insufficiencies:
   • Central Retinal Artery Occlusion
   • Enhancement of Healing in Selected Problem Wounds
8) Severe Anaemia
9) Intracranial Abscess
10) Necrotizing Soft Tissue Infections
11) Osteomyelitis (Refactory)
12) Delayed Radiation Injury (Soft Tissue and Bony Necrosis)
13) Compromised Grafts and Flaps
14) Acute Thermal Burn Injury
15) Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss

1.3 SPECIFIC MILITARY INDICATIONS

Whereas many “chronic” indications have no military specificity, the nature of warfare provides for some injuries which can be classified as “ideal candidates” for hyperbaric treatment. Indeed, trauma with combined bone/soft tissue/neurological injuries and often vascular compromise, infected wounds, decompression illness, altitude illness, thermal burns, carbon monoxide intoxication are frequent consequences of military activity in operation.

1.4 COMPLEXITY OF HBO IN MILITARY SETTINGS

Both European and US scientific hyperbaric organizations have confirmed that complex trauma care needs to be performed in a multi-disciplinary setting. Because of the often complex concomitant therapy needed, it would be necessary to direct these patients immediately to the most appropriate hospital offering both HBO and, for example, neurosurgery, trauma care or burn care. The optimal use of HBO and specialized care will result in a faster recovery and a reduction of overall treatment costs.

The relative isolation of military operation theatres, both geographically and because of enemy activity, makes this optimal “treatment path” difficult and often impossible. Hyperbaric chambers can (often) not be deployed close to the injury site (exception made perhaps for ship-mounted HBO chambers for diving operations support), so a primary evacuation to an optimal “combined facility” is, in the vast majority of cases, not possible. However, a “therapeutic window” can be defined, within which HBO should be started, and attempts should be made to ensure this therapeutic time-frame can be met. Multi-national cooperation, as exists already for military operations, including medical support on-site and for evacuation, is the key to this.
Chapter 2 – OBJECTIVES OF THIS REPORT

2.1 DEFINE MILITARY INDICATIONS FOR HBO

Whereas military personnel can benefit from HBO as part of classical healthcare when residing in their home country, the use of this treatment in case of injuries and diseases suffered while on deployment is seriously hampered by logistical difficulties and medical prioritizing. However, it appears that many combat-related injuries could, at least theoretically, benefit substantially from hyperbaric treatment if installed within the useful early timeframe. It has been the objective of this Working Group to define the medical conditions potentially encountered in operations, and also the optimal time frame during which addition of HBO to the “normal” care may be beneficial. The summaries and rationale can be found below and in Annex A.

2.2 DEFINE “CONDITIONS FOR USE”

Furthermore, as the addition of any treatment modality cannot be allowed to compromise the quality of the “usual care”, a list of conditions has been formulated for each indication, helping Medical Planning staff to decide whether this is a “viable” option.

In the modern military setting, many if not most of these diseases would happen in remote operational theatres and rapid and coordinated evacuation of these patients to the optimal higher echelon treatment centre is essential. It is unlikely that fully functional military HBO centres can be deployed in close proximity of the operational theatre (bar exceptions, see below), meaning that HBO should be administered early in the evacuation chain back to the home country.

Although in most NATO Nations, at least one military hyperbaric centre is available, either in-hospital or in a stand-alone (e.g. naval) setting, few of those centres can offer the various aspects of multi-disciplinary care. This implies that wounded soldiers will often not be evacuated to the best treatment facility, and only receive partial care. Although the need for hyperbaric readiness and coordination plans is partially addressed in the context of Submarine Escape and Rescue (SMER) planning, a more general approach is needed to encompass other indications for HBO.

Also, there is as yet no formal coordination between the various NATO Nations’ military hyperbaric centres, neither regarding clinical protocols nor operating or safety procedures, nor personnel training and education. Because of this lack of interoperability, collaboration in the context of multi-national operations is difficult to organize.

By working towards a concerted action, NATO Nations will be able to specifically focus the available hyperbaric medicine capacity of each member nation towards an optimal utilization in times of need. More practical, patients referred to one of the Member Nation’s hyperbaric centres will be guaranteed a standardized approach, both medical and administrative, and will thus minimize the time to optimal treatment, and reduce medical and evacuation costs for each NATO Nation.

Among the “conditions for use”, criteria have been developed to aid in identifying the suitable hospitals with (military and civilian) HBO facilities beforehand, so that evacuation routes, military-medical supervision and financial agreements may be elaborated during the planning phase of the medical support operation.

2.3 PROPOSE PROCEDURE FOR TREATMENT OF MILITARY INJURIES

Finally, this report will propose a “general workflow” for HBO treatment of military injuries, which can serve as a framework onto which specific procedures can be developed. Also, it is proposed to organize a
“Lecture Series” for military (para)medical NATO personnel, with the aim of providing a basic knowledge of HBO and its potential use in military setting.
Chapter 3 – MILITARY INDICATIONS

For each of the following diseases or conditions, the relevant characteristics have been summarized. Diseases and conditions have been listed roughly alphabetically; the order of discussion does not reflect relative importance or frequency of occurrence.

Annex A elaborates for each condition the scientific rationale and available evidence. Because this report does not pretend to be scientifically complete, reference is made to published reviews.

DEFINITIONS USED IN THIS SUMMARY

Vital or Non-Vital Emergency
Determines whether the condition, or its immediate to short-term evolution, may or may not compromise the victim’s life. Conditions that may have a severe functional impact (e.g. necessitating major amputations) are – in this military operational setting – NOT CLASSIFIED as “life-threatening” – this is opposed to standard NATO P-classification (see below).

Maximum Delay of Useful HBO Therapy for this Condition
Determines directly the decision to evacuate or not, depending on the local possibilities. If HBO is started beyond this time point, the added benefit of the treatment probably does not outweigh the extra effort or (healthcare or tactical) risks of evacuating the patient.

Condition of Evacuation
Here, NATO classification is adhered to, as far as Priority (NATO Priority – P-factor) and Medical Support needed (NATO Dependency – D-factor). Also, special considerations for transport may be listed.

NATO Priority

P1 Life-threatening: life, limb, eyesight
   Aircraft launch < 12 hrs – pt return to Europe < 24 hrs

P2 Priority
   Aircraft launch < 24 hrs – pt return 24 – 48 hrs

P3 Routine
   Aircraft launch > 24 hrs

NATO Dependency

D1 – Full intensive care support (ICU) needed
D2 – Intermediate care (full monitoring, perfusions, drains, etc.) needs (para)medical care during flight
D3 – Low care (urine catheter, IV, pain meds, etc.) need (para)medical attention
D4 – No care (ambulatory)

* See also Annex A.
**Conditions for HBO Therapy**

In this item is determined whether the HBO treatment should be performed (or capable of being performed) with intensive care support (ICU) or not; whether the patient would be mandatorily hospitalized for care or could be ambulatory; and finally an estimate of the expected maximal duration of emergency HBO – after this, the patient either would not need further HBO or could be transferred further, implying an interruption of daily HBO for a number of days.

**Minimal Specialized Medicine Needed**

As HBO is in many cases an adjunctive treatment, it is important that patients receive proper “classical” medical care as a priority. Whether this “specialized medical care” is needed on site – in the institution/hospital that provides HBO – or not (available for outpatient consultation), is listed in the next item.

**Type of Hyperbaric Facility (see CGP)**

Reference is made here to the definitions of HBO facilities as described in the European Code of Good Practice (CGT) in HBO, published by ECHM and available for download on [www.echm.org](http://www.echm.org). In short, a hyperbaric chamber system consists of the hyperbaric chamber(s) including the support equipment (gas and energy supplies, etc.). A hyperbaric facility consists of the therapeutic hyperbaric system(s) together with associated plant, buildings, staff (both technical and medical), and a specific administrative organization. Two kinds of hyperbaric facilities exist:

- Hospital based; and
- Standalone.

However, in each and every hyperbaric facility there should be an area adequately equipped to receive and care for medical emergencies. A Centre for Hyperbaric Medicine is a medical facility that provides HBO for patients and additional treatments, surveillance and attention to the medical conditions of the patient. The centre for hyperbaric medicine must be physically located in or functionally linked to a hospital.

**Recommended HBO Protocol**

Although pressure and duration of HBO sessions may vary dependent on the country, local possibilities and personal rationale, it is possible to define a “standard” HBO session as:

- Having a duration of minimum 60 minutes of oxygen breathing at pressure (Note: Commonly used protocols have between 70 – 90 minutes of oxygen breathing).
- At a pressure of minimum 2.4 atmospheres absolute pressure (ATA) (Note: Commonly used protocols vary between 2.4 and 2.5 ATA).
- With a maximum of two “air breaks” during the session (short periods where the oxygen mask or hood is taken off, so that the patient can breathe freely, but breathes air, not oxygen).

For diving emergencies and certain anaerobic infections, different schedules are available, and this is indicated when appropriate. The frequency and duration of HBO, as well as short recommendations for adjunctive treatments that are considered essential are given.

**Directions for Future Research**

As indicated above, often there is only a limited volume of high-grade scientific evidence for the efficacy of HBO in these (any) conditions. The treatment of military patients presents a unique opportunity to increase the data volume, and contribution of patient data to existing registries should be done whenever possible.
In case no specific data collection exists, it is recommended to document each case as completely as possible, for future pooling and analysis.

### 3.1 ACOUSTIC TRAUMA

1. **Vital or non-vital emergency?**
   - Non-vital.

2. **Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?**
   - HBO treatment should be started < 48 hrs.

3. **Condition of evacuation?**
   - a) P3 (but P2 if already available transport < 48 hrs); and
   - b) D4.

4. **Conditions for HBO therapy:**
   - a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): Non ICU;
   - b) Status of patient: Ambulatory; and
   - c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: < 10 days.

5. **Minimal specialized medicine needed:**
   - ENT.

6. **Specialized medicine needed on site:**
   - None.

7. **Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):**
   - Facility.

8. **Recommended HBO protocol:**
   - a) 1 standard HBO treatment per day; and
   - b) Add treatment with high dose cortisone from day 1.

9. **Scientific rationale – see Annex A.**

10. **Directions for future research:**
    - Inclusion in on-going RCT on AAT (Pilot: Centre for Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy, Brussels, Belgium – medhyper@mil.be).
3.2 (IATROGENIC) ARTERIAL GAS EMBOLISM

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
    HBO treatment should be started ASAP, < 48 hrs.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
    a) Priority = Life-saving interventions; and
    b) P2 – D1 Emergency evacuation.

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
    a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): ICU;
    b) Status of patient: ICU hospitalization; and
    c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: 2 – 3 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
   Intensive care.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
    Intensive care.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
    Centre.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
    USN TT6 or equivalent.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
    Collect clinical data in systematic way.
3.3 BURN INJURY – LIFE-THREATENING (HIGH TBSA OR RESPIRATORY BURNS)

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
   HBO treatment should be started within 12 hrs, or not at all (Forward or Tactical Evacuation only).

iii) Condition of evacuation?
   a) P1/P2 – D1; and
   b) Emergency evacuation: prioritization = life-saving, not HBO.

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
   a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): ICU;
   b) Status of patient: ICU Burn ward hospitalization; and
   c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: 3 – 4 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
   Burn centre.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
   Burn centre.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
   Centre.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
   2 standard HBO sessions per day for the first 2 – 3 days.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
   a) Collect clinical data in systematic way; and
   b) Data collection coordination between participating burn centers (outcome parameters comparison between HBO and non-HBO treated patients).
3.4 BURN INJURY – NON-LIFE-THREATENING

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Non-vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
    HBO treatment should be started ASAP, < 5 days.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
   a) P2 – D2 – D3; and
   b) Emergency evacuation only if risk of permanent disability (face, hands, perineum).

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
   a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): Non ICU;
   b) Status of patient: Burn ward hospitalization; and
   c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: < 7 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
   Burn specialist.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
    None.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
    Facility.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
    2 standard HBO sessions / day if possible.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
    a) Collect clinical data in systematic way; and
    b) Data collection coordination between participating burn centers (outcome parameters comparison between HBO and non-HBO treated patients).
3.5 CARBON MONOXIDE POISONING  
(WHERE HBO IS INDICATED – SEE SCIENTIFIC RATIONALE)

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?  
Vital, in case of evidence of end-organ dysfunction
   a) Impaired consciousness;
   b) Cardiac instability with/without ECG ischemic changes;
   c) Metabolic acidosis; and
   d) Pregnancy (with evidence of foetal distress, or prolonged > 1 hour symptomatic maternal intoxication).

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?  
6 hrs from diagnosis and initiation of treatment with normobaric oxygen.

iii) Condition of evacuation?  
Emergency evacuation (Forward and Tactical Evacuation only); and  
Provide 100% oxygen as soon as possible and during transfer.

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:  
   a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): ICU;
   b) Status of patient: Hospitalized (medium care or ICU); and
   c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: < 2 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:  
Emergency medicine.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:  
Emergency medicine.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):  
Facility.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:  
   a) Standard HBO protocol, 1 or 2 sessions depending on the neurologic recovery. In case a treatment pressure of 3 ATA is possible to achieve, this might be preferred;
   b) Consider combined toxicological exposure (cyanide, alcohol, etc.) and treat accordingly; and
   c) Recommend formal neurologic follow-up for late neurological effects.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:  
Collect clinical data in systematic way.
3.6 CRUSH INJURY (COMBINED TRAUMA TO BONES, SOFT TISSUE, VESSELS, OR NERVES)

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Non-vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
    HBO treatment should be started at maximum 48 hrs.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
     Emergency evacuation only if risk of permanent disability P2 – D2 D3.

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
    a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): Non ICU (but depends on general condition);
    b) Status of patient: Hospitalized (surgery ward); and
    c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: < 7 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
   Trauma centre.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
    No, unless life-threatening injury.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
     Depends on the condition of the patient.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
      2 standard HBO treatments / day for 2 – 3 days, then 1 treatment / day.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
    a) Collect clinical data in systematic way;
    b) Classify patients according to international trauma scores (Gustilo); and
    c) Adding (anonymous) data to German Trauma Net database (Coordinator: Centre for Hyperbaric Oxygen – Military Hospital Ulm, Germany).
3.7 DECOMPRESSION SICKNESS – LIFE-THREATENING

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
    HBO treatment should be started ASAP, < 48 hrs.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
    a) P1 – D1 Emergency evacuation indicated (Forward and Tactical Evacuation); and
    b) Transfer with 100% oxygen and maximum pressurization (< 1000 ft cabin altitude).

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
    a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): ICU;
    b) Status of patient: Hospitalized ICU; and
    c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: < 7 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
    Intensive care.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
    Intensive care.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
    Centre.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
    a) Follow directions in ADivP 2;
    b) Minimum treatment pressure 2.8 ATA; and
    c) Aggressive fluid management needed.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
    Collect clinical data in systematic way.
3.8 DECOMPRESSION SICKNESS – NON-LIFE-THREATENING

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Non-vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
    HBO treatment should be started ASAP, < 5 days.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
    a) P2 – 3 – D2 – 3 (Emergency evacuation only if risk of permanent disability); and
    b) Transfer while breathing 100% oxygen and cabin altitude restriction (< 1500 ft cabin pressure).

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
    a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): Non ICU;
    b) Status of patient: Preferably in-patient; and
    c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: < 3 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
   Medical imaging (chest X-ray) (MS: why? pulm. barotrauma then 2).

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
    None.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
     Facility.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
      a) Follow directions in ADivP 2; and
      b) Minimum treatment pressure 2.8 ATA.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
   a) Collect clinical data in systematic way; and
   b) Implement or at least, collect sufficient clinical data, to categorize patients according to different injury severity scoring systems (e.g. the Boussuges scale scoring system for DCS).
3.9 FROSTBITE

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Non-vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
    HBO treatment should be started within 2 – 3 days.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
    P2 – D2 D3.

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
    a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): non ICU;
    b) Status of patient: In-patient; and
    c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: 5 – 7 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
    Surgery.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
    No.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
    Facility.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
    2 standard HBO treatments /day for 2 – 3 days, then once daily.

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
    Collect clinical data in systematic way.
3.10  SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS – LIFE-THREATENING

i) Vital or non-vital emergency?
   Vital.

ii) Maximum delay of useful HBO therapy for this condition?
   HBO treatment should be started ASAP, < 48 hrs.

iii) Condition of evacuation?
   P1 – D1.

iv) Conditions for HBO therapy:
   a) Type of HBO sessions (ICU or non ICU): ICU;
   b) Status of patient: Hospitalized in ICU department; and
   c) Expected duration of emergency HBO: 7 days.

v) Minimal specialized medicine needed:
   a) Intensive care with infectious isolation; and
   b) (Septic) surgery.

vi) Specialized medicine needed on site:
   a) Intensive care; and
   b) (Septic) surgery.

vii) Type of hyperbaric facility (see CGP):
   Centre.

viii) Recommended HBO protocol:
   a) Boerema schedule (3 ATA) if gas gangrene suspected and patient in vital compromise for the first session;
   b) 2 standard HBO treatments / day for 2 – 3 days, then 1 treatment / day; and
   c) Bacterial culture in order to adapt antibiotic treatment accordingly (anaerobic germs!).

ix) Scientific rationale – see Annex A.

x) Directions for future research:
   a) Collect clinical data in systematic way; and
   b) Gram test on wound fluid should be reported.
Chapter 4 – CONDITIONS FOR OPTIMAL USE*

In order to aid Medevac Planners in selecting appropriate HBO treatment facilities based on the summary listing above, a description has been provided of the necessary capabilities of a HBO Centre. This will enable a selection of HBO facilities by type of indication, permitting a quick evaluation whether evacuation for HBO is feasible and practical.

First, the definition of “hospital-based” HBO chambers need further detail. The categories of HBO chambers, as defined in the CGP, fall slightly short on the actual characteristics: a Hyperbaric Facility may or may not be “hospital-based”, and the next category would then be a “Centre for Hyperbaric Medicine”.

For the purpose of military HBO indications, four categories have been defined:

0) The HBO Facility is located outside of the premises of a hospital, or physically distant on the hospital grounds (e.g. another building on the hospital campus grounds) so that ambulance transport is necessary to bring the patient from the ward to the HBO Facility.

I) The HBO Facility is based in a small, local hospital, which may or may not have a limited intensive care facility, but without the possibility of intensive care support during the HBO.

II) The HBO Facility/Centre is based in a larger, regional hospital with full EMS (emergency medical services) and intensive care ward(s); intensive care support is possible during HBO.

III) The HBO Facility/Centre is based in a larger hospital as in II), but the hospital provides additional specialized care.

Intensive care support during HBO needs to be defined as well; for the purpose of this document, a working definition of “ICU HBO” has been made:

- A mechanical ventilator for providing artificial respiration is placed inside the HBO chamber, and is adapted or designed for functioning in hyperbaric environments;
- Hyperbaric-tested or -designed drug infusion pump(s) are placed inside the HBO chamber;
- During the HBO, a minimum of the following patient parameters can be monitored continuously: ECG, blood pressure;
- Arterial Blood Gas measurements can be taken inside the HBO chamber, during treatment, and can be analyzed on-site;
- ICU-competent HBO personnel (as defined in the EBAss curriculum for HBO-ICU-nurse – www.ebass.org – or an ICU-competent MD) is present inside the HBO chamber during the complete treatment; and
- Advanced Life Support (ALS) equipment is readily available at the site of the HBO chamber.

Finally, for each condition discussed above, the required hospital capabilities can be defined.

* See also Annex B.
### Table 4-1: Required Hospital Capabilities for Treatment of Military HBO Indications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Hosp Based (0 – I – II – III)</th>
<th>ICU HBO</th>
<th>24/24 HBO</th>
<th>7/7 HBO</th>
<th>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1) Acoustic Trauma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2) Iatrogenic AGE</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3) Burns – Life-threatening</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Burn Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) Burns – Non-life-threatening</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III – Burn Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5) CO-intoxication</td>
<td>I or II</td>
<td>– or +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6) Crush</td>
<td>0 or III</td>
<td>– or +</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>III – Trauma Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) DCS – Life-threatening</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8) DCS – Non-life-threatening</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9) Frostbite (extremities)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10) Soft Tissue Infections – Life-threatening</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Septic Surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Annex B lists the hospitals that have been identified as of December 2012 with their capabilities to treat the indications/conditions discussed above. This list is not necessarily complete and not static, meaning that it can only serve as a starting point for Evacuation Planners to identify for each projected military support contingency plan the most appropriate HBO Facility and hospital.

Annex C lists contact information for regional or national reference persons/institutions in order to provide a quick and easy way for obtaining up-to-date information regarding existence and availability of these HBO Centres. Likewise, Annex C needs to be updated itself as time goes by, however, web links may persist for a longer time.
Chapter 5 – RECOMMENDATIONS

5.1 PLANNING

On NATO level, Nations usually either perform planning and Airevac operations with their own means and assets, or collaborate in a more or less structured way with partner Nations for “burden sharing”. This can be only for a specific mission or on a more permanent basis. An example is the AECC (Aeromedical Evacuation Control Centre) set up within EATC (European Air Transport Command), a multi-national command (Netherlands, Belgium, France, Germany and Luxemburg) established in 2010 with the goal of providing a single headquarters for air transport, air-to-air refueling and aeromedical evacuation, thus setting an example of successful military pooling and sharing in Europe. Within EATC, the AECC is capable of planning and executing medical evacuations in a fast and efficient way. Within the participating Nations, a PECC (Patient Evacuation Control Centre) is both at the “requesting end” and at the “receiving end” of the patient evacuation chain, with AECC organizing the most efficient means and schedule of transport.

Whether the contingency plan for medical evacuation is established through EATC/AECC or independently by individual NATO Nations, preliminary contacts should be made between the (military or civilian) HBO centers and the military Medevac Planner.

As “emergency transfer for HBO” needs to be considered a “primary” emergency, this should possibly be included in existing NATO agreements between partners.

As the medical-surgical and HBO capabilities of a HBO Centre and/or its associated hospital may change, a systematic yearly renewal of the agreement must be provided for. The agreement should include costs for hospitalization and HBO treatment, and must include an obligation to report back to the recognized military HBO expert of the patient’s Nation.

5.2 ROUTING

For each military operation where any NATO Nation sends troops, and by extension for each NATO Nation over whose territory possible Medevac of any other NATO Nations’ military personnel might take place, it is recommended that evacuation route(s) be established to the selected/appropriate HBO Centers. The responsibility for establishing these routes lies with the Patient Evacuation Coordination Centre (PECC) of each (potential) patient’s Nation if such a PECC exists. Alternatively, the coordinating Nation may prospectively establish the shortest (fastest) route and most appropriate transport means from the receiving airfield to the HBO Centre. In Annex C, the current military HBO experts from most European Nations are listed, as a reference. This list needs annual updating.

Other resources available to PECC or equivalent include the following websites:

- www.echm.org
- www.uhms.org
- www.eubs.org
- www.oxyenet.org

5.3 PRACTICAL ISSUES TO BE RESOLVED

5.3.1 Evacuation Routing

As availability of civilian and military HBO centres and their associated hospitals may vary in time, no fixed routes can be proposed. For each military operation theatre, these routes need to be prepared and
5.3.2 Financial Agreements

Existing financial agreements between NATO Nations’ Defence Departments and civilian health care institutions should encompass the emergency HBO care of wounded military personnel, as they would emergency neurosurgery or burn wound care.

The responsibility for these financial agreements should thus be transferred from the Medevac planners to each nation’s Defence Department. However, in the process of planning, it is recommended to negotiate a fixed day-price for medical care, including HBO therapy, beforehand. In the current context of civilian health care financing, most civilian hospitals would not oppose such an “a priori” agreement.

5.3.3 Evaluation of Efficacy

As for most of the “accepted HBO indications”, the scientific Level Of Evidence (LOE) can still be improved, it is recommended that a systematic data collection be undertaken for each treated case. In cases where no HBO can be administered, ideally the same information should be collected in order to ultimately permit a post-hoc analysis of efficacy of treatment. While this cannot replace a true randomized controlled prospective trial, it is acknowledged that in the specific military context with multi-national – multi-theatre patients, such trials are unrealistic.

5.4 PROPOSAL FOR LECTURE SERIES

5.4.1 Objectives

It is apparent that in almost none of the Western countries, academic medical education in the rationale, the effects and the indications for HBO therapy exist. This implies that, unless specific medical post-graduate training is or has been accomplished, military healthcare personnel (with medical doctors as an example) have had no or very little theoretical knowledge of the possibilities and benefits of HBO therapy for the wounded under their care.

Furthermore, the indications for HBO therapy depend largely on a “cost-benefit” or “risk-benefit” evaluation, and civilian “rules and guidelines” cannot be extrapolated simply to the military operational medical setting.

Therefore, this RTG proposes the setting up and conduction of a Lecture Series, aimed at NATO military medical officers, in order to gain a proper basic knowledge and applicability of HBO therapy in the context outlined above.

5.4.2 Proposal

A STO Lecture Series (LS) is proposed, where in a two day program, essential principles of pathophysiology and therapeutic rationale of HBO therapy will be taught. The LS will be held on three occasions over a two-year period, within the framework and with the support of STO.

Lecturers will be chosen so as not only to be able to provide expert medical knowledge, but also to “make the link” with the specific military situations and “cost/risk-benefit” evaluations encountered in this field.

A certificate of attendance will be issued upon completion of the course – however, the LS in itself does not substitute for proper academic training.
Annex A – SCIENTIFIC BACKGROUND AND RATIONALE FOR THE USE OF HYPERBARIC OXYGEN THERAPY IN DISCUSSED DISEASES AND CONDITIONS

A.1 ACOUSTIC TRAUMA

A.1.1 Pathophysiology of the Condition

Acoustic trauma is defined as injury to the “hearing structures” in the inner ear due to very loud noise.

Damage to the hearing mechanisms may be caused by an explosion near the ear, gunshots, or by long-term exposure to loud noises. Symptoms are hearing loss (usually partial and involving high-pitched sounds) and noises, ringing in the ear (tinnitus). The higher the intensity of the sound, the greater its potential to cause hearing damage. The sound pressure levels capable of causing acoustic trauma vary among individuals on the average around 130 – 140 dB. Single exposures to impulse noises above 140 decibels have the potential to cause permanent damage. A gunner on a 105 mm towed howitzer experiences an impulse noise of 183 dB [1]. A service member who shoots a rifle is exposed to 157 – 163 dB and a gunner with a machine gun, 145 dB. Military men suffering from an improvised explosive device are exposed to impulse noise in excess of 180 dB.

Exposure to noise from firearm use during military service is probably the most frequent etiology of acute acoustic trauma worldwide; it is most commonly regarded as a professional disease in military populations. The hearing loss is sudden, sometimes painful, and is often followed by a (newly) onset of tinnitus. Soldiers sent to battle zones are over 50 times more likely to suffer hearing loss and/or tinnitus than soldiers who do not deploy. As a result of ongoing combat operations, one in three post-deploying soldiers report acute acoustic trauma and one in four reports hearing loss and/or hearing complaints, including tinnitus.

The number of US service members on disability because of hearing damage is expected to grow up by 18% per year, with disability payments totaling $1.1 billion annually in 2011. Hearing loss is the fourth leading reason for medical referral for combatants routinely returning from their deployments [2]. From more than 5,000 post-deployment soldiers from Iraq and Afghanistan who were referred to audiologists, 1,550 reported exposure to acute acoustic blast trauma. Of those, 72% had resulting hearing loss. Among all post-deploying personnel who received hearing evaluations, 28% have some degree of hearing loss. More than two-thirds of British troops returning from Afghanistan are suffering severe and permanent hearing damage [3].

A.1.2 Rationale for HBO Therapy

A.1.2.1 Theoretical Benefit of HBO Therapy

Direct mechanical injury to the sensory cells of the cochlea is thought to be the main mechanism of injury in acoustic trauma. The cochlear activity is dependent on energy supply which is itself directed by oxygen metabolism [4]. The stria vascularis and the organ of Corti, as well as organs with high metabolic activity, have high oxygen consumption. Arterial oxygen diffuses from the capillary into the inner ear fluids; and increased partial oxygen saturation influences the oxygen tension of the inner ear. The use of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBO) has long been proposed as a good way of increasing perilymphatic oxygen pressure: the vastly increased arterial and capillary oxygen tension increases the oxygen tension of the perilymphatic fluid by more than 400% of its initial value, and this state persists for one hour after termination of HBO. This high partial oxygen pressure restores oxygenation to the hypoxic areas of the cochlea and accelerates the biological mechanisms involved in functional recovery. Furthermore, oxygen diffusion from the middle
ear through the round window exerts its rheological effects in the cochlear region independently of haematocrit and blood viscosity.

The rationale in treating acoustic trauma with HBO is not only based on its general effects (massive increase in dissolved oxygen, vasoconstriction leading to oedema reduction, restoration of blood flow, deformability of red blood cells), but also on the potential for specific local effects. HBO may have an effect in restoration of oxidative metabolism in the stria vascularis and in protection of neurosensory cells whose metabolism has slowed down and thus secondarily initiate the recovery of physiological energy metabolism. In improving oxygenation in the inner ear, HBO increases transmembrane potential and ATP synthesis, and activates cell metabolism and the Na+/K+ pump, leading to a restoration of ionic balance and of electrophysiological function in the labyrinth.

A.1.2.2 Animal Experiments

Using animal experiments, it was established that HBO leads to an important increase in the oxygen partial pressure of the perilymph of the guinea pig cochlea [5]. It was shown that 60 hours after damage by acoustic trauma, the number of inner ear sensory cells that had suffered morphological damage in the animal was lower in those treated with HBO than without it. Cochlear blood flow, perilymphatic partial pressure of oxygen, cochlear microphonics, compound action potentials of the auditory nerve, and auditory brainstem responses were studied in noise-exposed guinea pigs during and after the additional treatments [6]. The best therapeutic effect on noise-induced hearing loss was achieved with a combination of HBO and prednisolone. All other therapies were significantly less effective or did not improve noise-induced reduction of auditory evoked potentials. The actual efficiency of the present medical treatments of acoustic trauma of guinea pigs indicated that in some animals the recovery of the threshold shifts are complete despite the fact that significant areas of hair cells are damaged [7]. Results indicated that pure oxygen and carbogen seem ineffective, and corticoids and combined treatment with corticoid/hyperbaric oxygen improve functional and morphological recovery.

After the exposure of Wistar rats to 60 impulses of 162 dB from a 7.62 mm assault rifle, animals were exposed to HBO for 90 min daily for 10 consecutive days at 0.25 MPa [8]. After 4 weeks, auditory brainstem responses were measured and cochleae were processed by light microscopy. The impulse noise caused permanent damage to the cochlea, but a significantly smaller number of hair cells were missing in the HBO group. The morphological damage was also reflected in function, as measured by auditory brainstem responses. Signal-to-noise ratios of rats were significantly decreased after the acoustic trauma [9]. HBO was started at different time after noise exposure. The evaluation on the third day showed that recovery had begun in all groups except the group in which the HBO was started 1 hour after exposure.

The influence of HBO on regeneration processes which take place in the inner ear of chickens after exposure to wide-band noise at the level 120 dB for 48 hours was found [10]. HBO applied once a day after exposure to the noise restricted extent of damage and decreased the dynamics of hair cells injury. The effects of HBO on guinea pigs exposed to noise in the 4 kHz range with intensity of 110 dB sound level pressure for 72 h showed significant difference in the signal-to-noise ratio of the distortion product otoacoustic emission and the scanning electron microscopy findings revealed damaged outer hair cells after exposure to noise, with recovery after HBO [11].

A.1.2.3 Human Data

Victims of acoustic traumata occurring in military service were treated with HBO [12]. A statistically significant amelioration of this hearing-loss was found. The results are more convincing when HBO could be started as soon as possible. The effect of HBO on 122 soldiers suffering from acute acoustic trauma showed that HBO shortened the course of healing with respect to high-pitch perception dysacusis [13]. The results of treatment after an observation period of 6 weeks were also more favourable when patients were treated with
HBO in comparison to patients given only infusions or vasoactive substances. Similarly, the use of HBO also reduces the frequency of relapse following discharge from hospital. In contrast, the vasoactive substance chosen (betahistine) failed to have a favourable effect on the course of healing. No method could be compared with HBO in eliminating tinnitus following acoustic trauma.

Therapeutic results confirmed that 65% of miscellaneous treated patients demonstrated a hearing improvement [14]. In the cases with no hearing improvement, HBO was administered after unsuccessful conventional therapy. If HBO had started from 2 to 6 weeks since acoustic trauma, one half of the cases showed a marked hearing gain, one-third of patients showed a moderate improvement. 4% of patients no longer experienced tinnitus, 81% observed a significant decrease and only 1% an intensity increase of their tinnitus. If HBO was administered at a later stage, but still within 3 months following a trauma, 13% of patients showed a definite improvement in hearing and 25% a moderate improvement. 7% of them no longer suffered from tinnitus, 44% reported an intensity decrease. If HBO was started longer than 3 months up to several years, no hearing improvement was found in the majority of patients; however, one third of the cases reported an intensity decrease of tinnitus. It may be deduced that HBO is recommended and warranted within 3 months after onset of disorder.

Significant difference in audiometry results obtained before and after HBO was noted in 4 kHz when considering all damaging factors that caused acoustic trauma and in 6 kHz only for damage resulting from shooting [15]. 4 days was the mean time interval between acoustic trauma and starting the pharmacological treatment, 7 days was the mean time interval for the HBO commencement. Statistically significant difference was noted in 4, 6, 8 kHz when HBO was started within 5 days since the acoustic trauma. HBO combined with steroids was an effective method of sensorineural hearing loss treatment following acute acoustic trauma.

The average recovery of hearing and cessation of tinnitus was significantly better after HBO than after normobaric oxygen therapy [16]. The recovery from hearing impairment and tinnitus treated with HBO was compared with ears treated with normobaric oxygen. Both were applied daily for 1 – 8 days. The average recovery of hearing both at high and speech frequencies was significantly better and tinnitus persisted less commonly after HBO.

A comparative review of three different treatment regimens in Belgian military personnel suffering from acute acoustic trauma was reported in 2011. Patients were unique in that a baseline audiometry result was available often less than one year old. Depending on the possibility and timing of HBO treatment, patients were treated with high-dose cortisone and piracetam (a rheological agent), either alone (Group 1), associated with one standard HBO session per day for 10 days (Group 2) or with two HBO sessions and intravenous cortisone therapy (Group 3). Both treatment Groups 2 and 3 showed significantly better hearing gain than when no HBO was associated [17].

There is evidence that the prompt use of HBO in patients, who have lost their hearing suddenly (ISSHL – Idiopathic Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss), may reduce the duration and extent of hearing loss. This is the subject of current research [18]. There is a general consensus that the sooner any treatment is started, the better is the prognosis. HBO implies the administration of oxygen under pressures not lower than 0.2 MPa and for durations not less than 60 min [19]. HBO must be seen as part of a therapeutic continuum, without any interruption of the chain of treatment. It cannot be considered as an isolated treatment modality. In accord with the opinion of experts and with the assistance of literature reviewers, the 7th European Consensus Conference on Hyperbaric Medicine has graded HBO in sudden deafness to Level C of evidence (Consensus opinion of experts).
A.2 ARTERIAL GAS EMBOLISM

A.2.1 Pathophysiology of the Condition

Arterial gas embolism, the presence of air or another gas in the arteries, can occur as a result of pulmonary overpressure (usually as a result of uncontrolled ascent, even possible from very shallow depths, as little as 1 meter depth), [20] but also as a result of blast injury (in an out of water) [21], penetrating chest trauma [22], lacerating liver trauma [23], and passive entry of air into wounds that are elevated above the heart level [24]. Intravenous air entry may be asymptomatic unless a Patent Foramen Ovale (PFO) or Atrial Septal Defect (ASD) is present [25] or when massive amounts of air enter the vessels [26], as the lungs act as a very efficient bubble filter [27].

There are several possible mechanisms of injury. Massive venous air embolism may cause an intracardiac “vapour lock” when the right heart chambers are completely filled with gas. Large quantities of gas cause direct arterial occlusion. However, animal studies have shown that even if no vessel occlusion existed, bubbles cause a progressive decline in cerebral blood flow [28], by neutrophil activation subsequent to endothelial damage by the bubble. In many cases of cerebral gas embolism there is clinical improvement after the initial symptoms, followed by a delayed deterioration a few hours later [29].

Venous gas embolism manifests as hypotension, tachypnea, hypocapnia, pulmonary oedema or cardiac arrest. Arterial gas embolism presents as brutal loss of consciousness, confusion, focal neurological deficits, cardiac arrhythmias or ischemia (due to coronary embolisation of gas). The diagnosis is mainly clinical, with possible evidence of intravascular gas using ultrasound or by direct venous aspiration of gas. Brain imaging, even in the presence of severe neurological abnormalities, may be demonstrating no gas in the cerebral vessels, as these gas bubbles are usually quickly fragmented by the pulse waves and the reactive hypertension [30].

A.2.2 Theoretical Benefit of HBO

Application of hyperbaric pressure reduces the volume of the gas embolus (Boyle’s Law); it enables gas removal by denitrogenation (effect of the hyperoxygenation) [31]; it maintains oxygenation in the ischemic tissues and it decreases intracranial pressure and cerebral oedema formation [32].

A.2.3 Clinical Scientific Evidence

Recompression treatment with oxygen has been considered the standard of care since the early 1960’s [33],[34]. Human randomised prospective trials obviously are lacking, although retrospective reviews have been conducted, revealing significantly better outcomes with the use of recompression treatment versus non-recompression therapy only [35],[36],[37],[38]. Retrospective data published in 1964 showed a decrease in mortality from 93% with no treatment to 33% with conventional aggressive treatment (left lateral decubitus position, vasopressors, and oxygen by positive pressure). A later study showed a mortality rate of only 7% in 30 patients treated when hyperbaric oxygen was utilized [39]. Animal studies document the superiority of HBO above conventional treatment [40],[42].

As the pathophysiology of the condition involves tissue ischemia, treatment should be started as soon as possible after the clinical diagnosis has been made [44]. However, hyperbaric oxygen therapy has been reported successful in persistent symptoms even after a significant delay [36]. HBO therapy is indicated even if the patient appears to have recovered completely, because of the risk of secondary deterioration [29].

Repeat hyperbaric treatment, usually two or three but occasionally up to 10 sessions, can be performed as long as there is stepwise improvement after each session [43]. As patients can be hemodynamically highly unstable, appropriate medical intensive care and monitoring is mandatory [36],[45]. Adjunctive therapies
include adequate fluid management (in case of concomitant decompression sickness there may be significant hemoconcentration), along with possibly lidocaine [40],[41], NSAID and Low-Molecular Weight Heparin in case of immobilisation for more than 24 hours [46].

A.3 BURN INJURY – LIFE-THREATENING (HIGH TBSA OR RESPIRATORY BURNS)

See Section A.4.

A.4 BURN INJURY – NON-LIFE-THREATENING

A.4.1 Introduction

When soft tissues (such as skin and muscle) are damaged by burning, the blood flow in the damaged area is sharply reduced. This causes an area of swelling which radiates away from the area of damage, in all directions. The swelling may extend deep into muscles, and over the surrounding skin, and cause more damage than the initial injury.

HBO can help to reduce this swelling. The extra oxygen slows down the leak of fluid out of the damaged blood vessels. It also reaches tissues in the damaged area, allowing them to recover. The extent of tissue removal, and the need for amputation, is therefore reduced. In order to work, however, HBO must be used as early as possible.

A.4.2 Pathophysiology of the Condition

Thermal energy transfer to cutaneous cells cause cell and tissue destruction by direct coagulation and cell lysis. In the area surrounding the burn injury, interstitial oedema occurs, causing a microvascular compromise, with red blood cell sludging and finally capillary stasis [84]. The maximum of this microvascular compromise has been shown to occur within 24 hours [54],[55],[56].

Tissular hypoxia and ischemia occurs as a result of this stasis [82], which increase oedema by loss of integrity of the capillary wall (endothelial cell contraction). This fluid loss, by changing the oncotic pressure gradient across the capillary vessel wall, further decreases the intracapillary fluid pressure and thus increases stasis [57],[58],[59].

As a result, areas of burnt tissue that were initially second degree (partial thickness burn), are observed to progress within the first 24 hours after the burn, into deep second degree or third degree (full thickness) burns, needing early excision and grafting in order to heal. This happens even with optimal fluid resuscitation protocols [50],[53].

The tissue lesion caused by thermal energy induces a massive inflammatory reaction, with leucocyte stimulation, margination and activation. The resultant production of oxygen free radicals is further enhanced in the second (reperfusion) stage of the burn wound evolution [83]. This phenomenon is partly responsible for the generalized inflammatory reactions occurring in the bowel and lungs of severely burnt patients. This may lead to multi-organ failure and semi-delayed death [63],[64],[65],[66].

The third cause of death in burn patients is related to systemic infection during their hospital stay. Not only is there a large possible port of entry by loss of the epithelial barrier, also the immunologic state of a severely burnt patient is depressed, making him/her much more susceptible to infections [60],[61],[62],[90].

The mainstay of treatment of deep partial thickness or full thickness burns is the excision of the affected skin and coverage with a temporary (cadaver skin, synthetic skin equivalent) or permanent (autograft) epithelial
layer [48],[49]. In order for such a skin graft to “take” the underlying wound bed must be well perfused and “healthy”. In cases where the oxygenation of the avascular skin graft cannot be ensured by diffusion of underlying wound bed (either by insufficient vascularisation or by utilisation of molecular oxygen by infectious microorganisms) the skin graft will fail, necessitating a renewed surgical intervention, possibly after a delay of approximately 10 – 14 days, needed for healing of the donor site. This increases the risk of infection and systemic complications [47],[51].

In all of these pathophysiological mechanisms, hypoxia plays a pivotal role. Oxygenation of ischemic tissues must be done in a rapid and massive way, in order to decrease paradoxical tissue damage by ischemia-reperfusion phenomena. Hyperbaric oxygenation is the only therapeutic means capable of ensuring this [98],[99].

A.4.3 Theoretical Benefit of HBO

A.4.3.1 In Vitro Studies

Antibacterial effect:

- Oxygen pressures as high as 200 mmHg have been shown to effectively inhibit growth and proliferation of anaerobic and facultative aerobic bacteria [86].

- A synergistic effect of oxygen and antibiotics has been demonstrated for clindamycin, aminoglycosids, amoxycillin/clavulanate and quinolones; this effect is not apparent for metronidazol, an antibiotic specifically developed for anaerobic infections [87].

- The bactericidal activity of polynuclear leukocytes is severely impaired in case of low surrounding oxygen tensions, limiting the capacity for “oxidative burst” of those cells. In fact, it has been shown that at “normal” tissue tensions of 40 – 50 mmHg, polynuclear leukocytes only function at half-maximal oxidant killing capacity, and that this capacity is maximal at around 300 mmHg [88],[89].

A.4.3.2 In Vivo (Animal – Human)

A.4.3.2.1 Fluid Loss

In a canine burn model of 40% TBSA, a reduction of the plasma loss of about 40% has been observed when HBO was administered in the early phase after injury (3.0 ATA, twice daily) [68]. A similar effect has been observed in a human – prospective, randomized – study, illustrating not only the pre-capillary vasoconstriction induced by HBO but even more importantly, the preservation of the integrity of the capillary vessel wall: in the first 24 hours after the burn, HBO-treated patients needed an average volume resuscitation of 2.2 ml/kg per %TBSA, whereas the control group needed 3.4 ml/kg % – a reduction of 35% [69]. A retrospective human study of 21 patients, of which 10 received HBO (2.0 ATA, 90 minutes, twice daily) in the acute phase, confirmed this reduction in necessary perfusion volumes [70].

A.4.3.2.2 Preservation of Dermal Elements [72],[76],[79]

In study in 1996, a “deep partial thickness” burn of 5% TBSA was created in rats which progressed, in a reproducible way, towards “full thickness” after 24 hours. Comparing two groups of animals, one who received a “classic” burn treatment and the other who received the same treatment plus two sessions of HBO (2.0 ATA, 60 minutes) per day, a preservation of deep dermal elements was observed, classifying the burn still as “second degree” at day 5 in the HBO-treated animals [73]. Very recently, a similar study report was published, confirming the effects of HBO on the preservation of regenerative active follicles (p = 0.009) and on the rapidity of epithelial regeneration (p = 0.048) [74].
A.4.3.2.3 Antibacterial Effects

The antibacterial effects of HBO which have been known by its use in other pathologies have been confirmed in an animal burn wound model, even though its effect was less than that of silver sulfadiazine [75],[85]. This is not surprising, since molecular oxygen does not have a direct antibacterial effect at the pressures obtained in tissues under HBO. However, HBO restores the oxido-reduction potential in the (burned) tissues, thereby maintaining the leukocyte killing capacity of PMN and preserving the natural resistance against infection [102],[103].

A.4.3.2.4 Reduction of Ischemia-Reperfusion Effects

Several animal studies have demonstrated the reduction of inflammatory (leukocyte) infiltration in the burnt tissues as well as in distant tissues (lung and bowel) [96]. Oxidative damage has been evaluated in HBO-treated animals compared to classically treated animals, showing a reduction in free radical end products, TNFa and complement activation. In humans, this has been further confirmed with a reduction in soluble IL-2 receptor and preservation of fibronectin in burn patients [100].

Considerable attention has been given to the use of HBO in inhalation injury. There is currently a fear that it may cause worsening of pulmonary damage, particularly in those patients maintained on high levels of inspired O₂ [52]. Grim and colleagues from the University of Chicago Burn Center [101] reported no evidence of oxidative stress in HBO treated burn patients, using exhaled products of lipid peroxidation as a marker. Ray and colleagues [110] have analyzed serious burns being treated for concurrent inhalation injury, thermal injury, and adult respiratory distress syndrome, and noted no deleterious effect in those patients on continuously high-inspired oxygen. More rapid weaning from the ventilator was possible in the HBO treated group (p < 0.05). A significant savings in cost of care was achieved through the use of hyperbaric oxygen in this study (p < 0.05). There is presently no evidence to controvert these data [104],[105].

A.4.4 Clinical Scientific Evidence

Although a number of very convincing prospective and retrospective studies have been published [80],[81],[94],[95], demonstrating a reduced need for surgical interventions, a reduced mortality, a reduced duration of hospital stay, and reduced cost of treatment when systematically using HBO therapy adjunctive to classical therapy, to date no randomized controlled prospective, placebo-controlled trial has been published to unequivocally prove the effect of HBO therapy [77],[78],[106],[107]. Therefore, the acceptance of HBO as a valuable adjunctive treatment remains limited to those burn centers that are capable of providing early, intensive care HBO treatments, without adding supplementary (infectious, hemodynamic) risks to the patient’s condition [67],[71],[108].

Over the past 20 years, the pendulum swung to an aggressive surgical management of the burn wound, i.e. tangential excision and early grafting of the deep second-degree, probable third-degree burns, especially to functionally important parts of the body. Hyperbaric oxygen, as adjunctive therapy, offers the surgeon yet another modality of treatment for these deep second-degree burns to the hands and fingers, face and ears, and other areas where the surgical technique of excision and coverage is often imprecise. These wounds, not obvious third degree, are then best treated with topical antimicrobial agents, bedside debridement, and adjunctive HBO, allowing the surgeon more time for healing to take place and to better define the extent and depth of injury. Adjunctive HBO can drastically reduce the healing time in the major burn injury, especially if the wounds are deep second degree [91],[92],[93],[94],[95].

There is some theoretical benefit of hyperbaric oxygen therapy for obviously less well-defined third-degree burns. Fourth-degree burns, most commonly seen in high voltage electrical injuries, benefit from several
processes, including reduced fascial compartmental pressures, reduced swelling of injured muscle due to preservation of aerobic glycolysis, and greatly reduced anaerobic infection.

Finally, reconstruction utilizing flaps and composite grafts, e.g. ear to nose grafts, can be greatly facilitated with HBO [97]. Often the decision to use HBO will be made intraoperatively because a surgeon is concerned about a compromised cutaneous or musculocutaneous flap.

In summary, HBO should be used in life-threatening burn injuries only when it can be applied early and aggressively, in order to limit the secondary tissue destruction caused by the thermal injury and its consequences.

In non-life-threatening burn injuries, especially to “difficult” areas such as ears, nose, hand, perineum, HBO should also be applied early in order to limit the early debridements and progressive tissue destruction [111].

A.5 CARBON MONOXIDE POISONING

A.5.1 Introduction

Carbon monoxide (CO) is a colorless, odorless and tasteless gas produced by the incomplete combustion of carbon-based compounds. While the heme catabolism is an endogenous source of CO, most frequent exogenous sources are house fires, poorly functioning heaters, chimneys, gas–powered electrical generators, automobile and cigarette smoke. Several occupational groups such as fire fighters and miners tend to be most at risk for CO poisoning. Some age groups and particular conditions such as the elderly, unborn babies, infants, children and pregnant women are also more susceptible to poisoning [112],[113]. Additionally, individuals with chronic heart disease or respiratory insufficiency and patients with decreased oxygen-carrying capacity (i.e. anemia, blood cancer) are more prone to suffer severe poisoning.

Cardiac and brain tissues are the most vulnerable tissues in CO poisoning [114]. Signs and symptoms associated with cardiotoxicity or brain injury are usually observed in the severely poisoned patient. While cardiotoxicity is usually responsible for acute death, brain injury is mostly responsible for delayed signs and symptoms. Pathologies implicated with cardiotoxicity are tissue hypoxia causing cellular damage, CO binding to cytochrome-c oxidase leading to impaired cellular metabolism, ROS mediated lipid peroxidation and cellular death. CO induced cardiotoxicity causes decreased myocardial function (pump failure) due to myocardial ischemia. Additionally, CO cardiotoxicity may lead to several cardiac rhythm abnormalities [115]. The central nervous system is particularly sensitive to the toxic effects of CO poisoning. While petechial hemorrhage associated with severe CO poisoning may cause death in the acute phase, brain edema accounts for the majority of CO related deaths in the sub-acute phase. The most common clinical scenario affecting the central nervous system in CO poisoning, however, is Delayed Neurologic Sequelae (DNS). CO poisoning may cause demyelination of the nerves and may lead to necrosis of the globus pallidus, substantia nigra, thalamus or putamen, namely the basal ganglia [116]. The more severe the acute state the higher the risk of DNS development. Neurological deterioration may occur over a wide span of time, but commonly occurs within 2 to 40 days. The prevalence varies from 1 to 47%. Older people are more susceptible. While complete resolution usually occurs within 2 months in patients with mild CO poisoning, it may take up to one year in patients with severe poisoning [117].

A.5.2 Pathophysiology of the Condition

There are 3 main pathways implicated with carbon monoxide poisoning:

• Hypoxia;
• Perivascular injury; and
• Excitotoxicity.

A.5.2.1 Hypoxia

CO rapidly binds to hemoglobin and forms carboxyhemoglobin (CO-Hb). Compared with oxygen, CO binds to hemoglobin with a 200 fold higher affinity. As the level of CO-Hb increases the oxygen hemoglobin dissociation curve shifts to the left and increases its affinity for oxygen ultimately causing tissue hypoxia. CO causes hypoxia through several mechanisms, as detailed in the sub-sections below.

A.5.2.1.1 Anemic Hypoxia

Anemic hypoxia is the earliest and most frequent consequence of CO poisoning. Following the binding of CO, hemoglobins are almost non-functional and can neither bind nor release oxygen. Although this condition is termed as anemic hypoxia, it significantly differs in that in carbon monoxide poisoning, in contrast to anemia, the oxygen dissociation curve displays a leftward shift and therefore the affinity of hemoglobin for oxygen is increased, further limiting oxygen delivery to the tissues.

A.5.2.1.2 Histotoxic Hypoxia

Carbon monoxide does not merely bind to hemoglobin but also to a critical enzyme functioning normally in the electron transport chain, i.e. cytochrome a,a3 enzyme. This binding incapacitates oxidative phosphorylation and thereby deprives body systems of their energy source.

A.5.2.1.3 Oligemic Hypoxia

Oligemic hypoxia occurs due to the binding of carbon monoxide to myoglobin. The resulting non-functioning compound is termed as carboxymyoglobin (Mb-CO). Mb-CO is, in great part, responsible for carbon monoxide induced cardiotoxicity. Severe poisoning with Mb-CO may cause ischemic myocardial damage and impair cardiac output thereby leading to oligemic hypoxia. Additionally arrhythmias are frequently observed in patients with Mb-CO induced cardiotoxicity.

A.5.2.2 Perivascular Injury

CO binds to the heme proteins in platelets. This binding activates platelets, which release Nitric Oxide (NO) to plasma [118]. NO reacts with neutrophil derived superoxide and form the peroxynitrite (ONOO-) molecule, which is a potent nitrating and oxidizing agent. Peroxynitrite activates platelet adhesion molecules and causes platelet-neutrophil aggregation [119]. Neutrophil interaction with platelets or with the endothelium is a strong stimulus for degranulation. While primary granules of neutrophils comprise several deleterious enzymes such as elastase, Myeloperoxidase (MPO) and lipase, secondary or tertiary granules involve metalloproteinases and β2 integrins. MPO release promotes endothelial oxidative stress and induces the synthesis of adhesion molecules for neutrophils, which lead to additional neutrophil aggregation. Neutrophil-derived proteases react with Xanthine Dehydrogenase (XDH) to form Xanthine Oxidase (XO), which is the major source of Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS), particularly superoxide, in the sub-acute phase.

A.5.2.3 Excitotoxicity

CO poisoning causes neutrophil diapedesis and brain lipid peroxidation by activating neutrophils [120]. Endogenous protective mechanisms against oxidative stress are impaired due to XO activation and lipid peroxidation occurs within the brain tissue. Lipid peroxidation products such as malondialdehyde interact
with Myelin Basic Protein (MBP) and change its three-dimensional structure and stimulate lymphatic immune reaction, which in turn induces microglia expression and activation, eventually starting an inflammatory process. Lipid peroxidation products cause injury to neural membranes and are believed to be responsible for neurologic symptoms and sequelae.

Glutamate is an important neurotransmitter implicated with neural toxicity [121]. Glutamate induces N-Methyl D Aspartate (NMDA) activation and Ca\(^{2+}\) influx into the cell cytoplasm. Ca\(^{2+}\) activates several deleterious enzymes such as protease, phospholipase and endonuclease, which together cause cellular membrane damage and DNA injury.

**A.5.3 Theoretical Benefit of HBO**

While the half-life of oxygen is around 320 minutes in room air (21% oxygen), it is approximately 60 minutes while inspiring 100% oxygen from a non-rebreather mask and only about 23 minutes while inspiring 100% oxygen inside a hyperbaric chamber at 3 atm abs. The effects of HBO on hypoxia associated with CO poisoning is well established.

Given the fact that lipid peroxidation is an important aspect of CO-induced neurological injury, the inhibition of Beta-2 integrins on the cell surfaces of neutrophils by HBO treatment is recognized as a significant benefit of HBO treatment. HBO treatment at 3.0 atm abs has been shown to prevent the adherence of neutrophils to the endothelium and thereby blocked leukocyte-mediated inflammatory changes and alleviated Reactive Oxygen Species (ROS)-related oxidative stress [124].

CO binds to the cytochrome a,a3 enzyme of the electron transport chain in the outer membrane of the mitochondria and impairs electron transport, thus oxidative phosphorylation and ATP production. This causes histotoxic hypoxia as well as an increase in ROS formation. HBO has been shown to improve mitochondrial function and increase adenosine triphosphate production [122].

Last, but not least, HBO resolves cerebral edema through vasoconstriction [123]. Indeed, HBO treatment is unique in increasing oxygenation while causing vasoconstriction.

**A.5.4 Literature**

Experimental studies have shown that in addition to resolving tissue hypoxia, HBO treatment restores mitochondrial oxidative metabolism [122] prevents lipid peroxidation [124] and impedes leukocyte-endothelium adhesion [125].

The majority of clinical studies with HBO have demonstrated favorable outcomes as compared to treatment protocols without HBO. Weaver et al. in a randomized, double-blinded, placebo-controlled study conducted on 152 patients, administered either HBO or normobaric oxygen to patients with CO poisoning. Almost half of the patients had a history of loss of consciousness 8% of whom were intubated. Of note, patients in the HBO group received 3 sessions within 24 h of which the first comprised treatment at 3.0 atm abs pressure for 50 min and treatment at 2.0 atm abs for 60 min, followed by two additional sessions at 2.0 atm abs for 90 min oxygen breathing, each. At 6-weeks follow-up, neurologic examinations revealed that 25% of patients who received HBO versus 46.1% who did not had still signs of neurologic sequelae [odds ratio: 0.39; 95% CI 0.20 to 0.78] [117].

In another randomized controlled study, 65 patients with CO poisoning received either HBO or normobaric oxygen. Patients with a history of loss of consciousness were excluded and hence the study assessed merely patients with mild to moderate CO poisoning. Patients in the HBO group received only 1 HBO session with a maximum pressure at 2.8 atm abs. While none of the HBO treated patients experienced neurologic sequelae at follow-up, 23% of those who did not receive HBO suffered various symptoms related to neurologic deterioration [126].
Gorman et al. [127] in a non-randomized longitudinal study reported their results from 100 patients with CO poisoning. Of these 8 received normobaric oxygen alone, 24 one HBO session and 68 two or more HBO sessions. At study completion data analysis revealed that while patients who received NBO or a single HBO displayed similar rates of neurologic sequelae at both discharge (63 and 46 %) and one month follow-up (67 and 50 %); a significantly lower rate of neurologic sequelae, at discharge and one month follow-up, was observed in patients who received 2 or more HBO sessions (13 and 18 %, respectively).

In contrast to these trials, several others failed to demonstrate a benefit of HBO in CO poisoning. Rapahel et al. [128] in an unblinded study randomized a total of 343 patients to receive either NBO or 1 session of HBO administered at 2.0 atm abs. None of the patients had a history of loss of consciousness. Outcome results assessed at one month follow-up revealed similar rates of persisting neurologic symptoms (32.1% vs. 33.8%, respectively) for both groups. Patients received additional HBO sessions, up to 6, until neurological recovery.

Scheinkestel et al. [129] enrolled a total of 191 patients, 73% of whom suffered severe CO poisoning, and almost half were comatose. Patients were randomly assigned into either HBO or sham HBO groups and received continuous oxygen by face mask for 3 days between the sessions. Outcomes were assessed at completion of study and at one month follow-up. While 74% of the patients in the HBO group displayed persistent neurologic sequelae at treatment completion, 68% in the sham HBO group displayed similar symptoms. Unfortunately a large number of the patients were lost to follow-up at 1 month (54%), rendering reported results controversial. Nonetheless, study results revealed similar outcomes for each treatment arms at one month follow-up. This study was criticized on the basis that patients in the control arm received high oxygen doses and hence results could not be generalized.

Annane et al. [130] recently conducted two randomized single blind controlled trials. While the first trial included 179 patients who suffered a transient loss of consciousness (malaise, syncope) the second included 206 patients with coma. In the first trial patients were treated with 6 h of NBO or with 4 h of NBO plus one HBO session. In the second, patients received either 4 h of NBO plus one or two HBO sessions. Of note HBO sessions were administered at 2 atm abs. In summary, the authors failed to demonstrate a significant benefit of one session HBO therapy over NBO therapy in patients with transient loss of consciousness. Similarly, two sessions of HBO therapy did not yield any benefit over one HBO session in comatose patients.

A.6 CRUSH INJURY (COMBINED TRAUMA TO BONES, SOFT TISSUE, VESSELS, OR NERVES)

A.6.1 Pathophysiology

The term crush injury summarizes traumatic vascular injuries that cause severe tissue damage and make tissue survival questionable. The injuries result in local hypoxia (and possible systemic effects, e.g. shock). Ischemia leads to edema and vice versa. Ischemia is caused by direct vascular trauma, fluid leakage or compartment syndrome. All these changes lead to tissue hypoxia.

Edema is either vasogenic or cytogenic and it enhances the diffusion distance from oxygen to the cells. Edema also leads to capillary collapse and thus extends the degree of hypoxia.

If reperfusion can be established this leads to excessive production of oxygen radicals that cause vasoconstriction and finally even more hypoxia [159],[170].
### Table A-1: Patient Assessment and Recommendations for HBO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factors</th>
<th>Scoring Criteria (0 → 10 scale)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>2 points 1 point 0 points</td>
<td>1) Use ½ points when the severity of involvement is between two scoring criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulation</td>
<td>Community Household None</td>
<td>2) For ambulation scoring criteria subtract ½ point if walking aids (e.g. walkerette or crutches) are required.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking / Steroid Use</td>
<td>None Over 5 years ago Current</td>
<td>3) Where two factors such as 'smoking/steroid use' are listed, use the scoring criteria, which reflects the more severe involvement.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac / Renal</td>
<td>Normal Compensated with medications Decompensated even with medications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropathy / Deformity</td>
<td>None Mild-to-moderate Severe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Recommendations for HBO after patient assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Severity of Compromise</th>
<th>HBO Indication</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8 – 10</td>
<td>Normal Host</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 – 7</td>
<td>Impaired Host</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 or Less</td>
<td>Severely Compromised Host</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Clinical stages: the most used classification for crush injury is the Gustilo classification [143].

### Table A-2: Gustilo Classification and Recommendations for HBO.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Use of HBO and Host Status (see Table A-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Small (&lt; 1 cm) laceration from inside to outside</td>
<td>Usually no different from a closed fracture</td>
<td>Normal Host (score 8 – 10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impaired Host (score 4 – 7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Severely Compromised Host (score 3 or less)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Large laceration, but minimal soft tissue damage</td>
<td>Usually no different from a closed fracture</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Crush Injuries</td>
<td>Infections and/or nonunion rates &lt; 10%</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) Sufficient soft tissue to close wound (primary or delayed)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of HBO for Open Fractures – Crush Injuries (Gustilo Classification)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Expected Outcome</th>
<th>Use of HBO and Host Status (see Table A-1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>b)</td>
<td>Flaps or grafts required to obtain soft tissue coverage</td>
<td>About 50% incidence of complications (infections, nonunion)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c)</td>
<td>Major (macrovascular) vessel injury</td>
<td>About 50% incidence of complications (infections, nonunion)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Consider primary amputation with adjunctive HBO for wound healing and flap survival.

A.6.2 Theoretical Benefit of HBO

The main goal of hyperbaric oxygenation in crush injuries is to establish tissue hyperoxygenation. When pressurized to more than 2,4 bar almost all oxygen becomes dissolved in plasma, thus tissue cells could remain alive without any other oxygen carriers (reduced hemoglobin and/or reduced perfusion) [133].

Secondly, an important effect of HBO is vasoconstriction, which leads to significant reduction of edema (more than 20%).

Third, hyperoxygenation improves the anti-bacterial action of neutrophils and is inhibitory to anaerobic microorganisms [160].

Fourth, HBO protects from reperfusion injuries due to the antagonism of lipid peroxidation and other cellular or humoral reactions [170].

Fifth, HBO improves the work of fibroblasts, some authors postulate a promotion of neurogenesis or even angiogenesis due to HBO. It may improve the outcome of skin grafts.

A.6.2.1 In Vivo

Animal models (rodents, dogs) of crush injury studies have shown significant effects of HBO therapy: reduction of muscle necrosis, improved wound healing, fewer amputations, improvement of osteogenesis, improvement of microvascular blood flow, less muscular edema and better neutrophil adherence to vascular walls. It has no effect on myogenic transcription factors [132].

A.6.2.2 In Vitro

HBO also regulates inflammatory gene expression in endothelial cells and can lead to decrease in apoptosis expression.

A.6.3 Clinical Scientific Evidence

A Medline search was performed for the items “HBO and crush injury” back until 1969. There are well-established animal models of crush injury; however data on human casualties are rare. There only 28 hits for both items (HBO and crush injury) in Medline. Most of the data result from case reports or series of up to 35 patients. There is only one RCT that compares crush injuries with and without HBO therapy [134], where HBO improved healing and reduced surgical procedures significantly. Especially patients over 40 profited from HBO treatment.
Several clinical scales have been proposed to select patients suitable for HBO treatment. The items included age, limb ischemia, shock, skeletal and soft tissue injury.

For best results on human crush injuries the following recommendations exist:

- Gustilo grades IIIb and IIIc;
- HBO should be administered “as soon as possible”;
- If surgery is delayed for more than one hour, HBO could/should be administered first; and
- 3 – 4 HBO sessions/day for 3 days, then 2 sessions/day for 2 days, 1 session/day for 3 more days [139],[152].

Management is two-fold:

- Firstly, direct interventions are required (surgical); and
- Secondly, management of all indirect effects of the injury (fluid replacement, antibiotics, adequate oxygenation) is needed.

Data are rare, levels of evidence are low (“Expert Opinion”). There is an obvious need for more studies [141]. From the existing data the experts conclude that the adjunctive use of HBO in crush injuries may result in a favorable outcome for selected patients [139],[141].

A.7 DECOMPRESSION SICKNESS – LIFE-THREATENING

See Section A.8.

A.8 DECOMPRESSION SICKNESS – NON-LIFE-THREATENING

A.8.1 Introduction

Decompression Sickness (DCS) is a clinical entity caused by the rapid desaturation of tissues due to a reduction in surrounding (atmospheric) pressure. The formation of inert gas bubbles in tissues and or in the blood stream causes mechanical damage (compression of tissue structures) and biochemical effects by the inflammatory responses between the blood – tissue fluids and the gas phase interface. This may cause pain or organ dysfunction which is essentially ischemic in nature [172]. Usually, symptoms arise within 24 hours after the pressure reduction – this may be after surfacing from a dive or after rapid pressure reduction while at altitude (e.g. loss of pressurisation in an aircraft). Cases have been described of DCS after repetitive deep breath-hold diving, but usually, DCS only arises when compressed gas has been breathed while at depth.

In underwater diving, decompression procedures have been developed since over a century ago [173], designed to allow divers to exit the water after a dive as quickly as possible with low risk of developing symptoms of DCS. However, these “dive tables” were calculated on the basis of a number of theoretical assumptions, derived from animal experiments, and are thus not completely physiologically accurate. Although they have been refined over the years, allowing more flexibility while maintaining a similar safety profile, it is generally accepted that they have a “failure rate” of approximately 0.5%. This of course depends not only on the actual profile of the dive, but also on the time and depth of the previous dive(s) as desaturation is not complete upon surfacing and residual inert gas is present in the tissues for as long as 12 hours after the dive. Decompression obligation calculations for repetitive dives and multi-day diving are less reliable than those for a single dive, and incidences as high as 1/1000 have been recorded in a cohort of live-aboard dive instructors [174].
Symptoms of DCS depend on the territory where decompression gas bubbles are lodged. They are typically classified as “mild” or “Type I” DCS – joint pains, cutaneous rashes, lymphatic obstruction, general fatigue; and “serious” or “Type II” DCS – neurological dysfunction (paralysis, paresthesias, bladder dysfunction), inner ear symptoms (vertigo, hearing loss), cardiorespiratory symptoms (the “chokes”) and shock [175].

A.8.2 Theoretical Benefit of HBO

Emergency first aid of DCS consists of general cardiorespiratory support, with adequate fluid resuscitation, as well as the administration of 100% oxygen. In some cases, especially mild or equivocal DCS, and in altitude induced DCS, this may be sufficient and constitute the definite treatment [176],[177].

A return to increased pressure has been observed to alleviate the symptoms of DCS and has been used as a treatment since the mid-19th century. However, recompression with pure oxygen breathing has only been advocated since 1937 [178]. Whereas initially, recompression depth was considered the most important factor, and oxygen use was limited by its toxicity at the commonly used pressures, in 1965 lower pressure, pure oxygen tables were proposed that have been since considered the most efficient treatment for the majority of cases [179].

Hyperbaric oxygen treatment of DCS is now considered the standard of care [180]. A rapid return to elevated atmospheric pressure not only reduces the size of gas bubbles in the body, but the high partial pressure of oxygen increases desaturation and nitrogen wash-out, increases the oxygen diffusion in the tissues and thus reduces the ischemic effects of trapped gas emboli. It is likely that other effects of hyperbaric oxygen therapy play a role in the therapeutic effects, such as a reduction of oedema and of neutrophil adhesion to the capillary endothelium with subsequent extravasation and inflammation [181].

A.8.3 Scientific Evidence

Whereas animal experiments confirm that rapid recompression with oxygen results in prompt cure of DCS, it is by no means easy to extrapolate animal data to humans, as no single animal has been proven to be a perfect model. In humans, no prospective, randomised studies have been performed to compare recompression with expectant management; however, non-randomised outcome studies comparing the “historical” US Navy recompression treatment tables (the US Navy Recompression Tables 3 and 4) tested and recommended since 1945 with “minimal pressure oxygen-breathing” tables in 1965 showed a reduction in failure rate of over 50% [179]. Epidemiological data indicate, as expected, that early hyperbaric treatment yield more likely a complete resolution of symptoms [182],[183]. Current recommendations indicate that, unless recompression can be done within a few minutes, a time frame within 6 hours yields is a reasonable objective [182],[187],[188]. Current data have not established a maximum time after which recompression would be ineffective [184],[185],[186]. US Navy oxygen recompression tables (and similar Royal Navy – UK and Comex – FR tables) are most widely used and have achieved a high degree of success in the treatment of DCS [189],[190],[191],[192]. Higher pressure mixed-gas tables (such as the Comex 30 Heliox treatment table) present some theoretical and (animal-)experimental benefits over oxygen-only tables [193],[194], but necessitate more complex logistics and are thus reserved for facilities and personnel with the appropriate experience, expertise and hardware [195].

Repetitive treatments may be necessary in divers who do not respond satisfactorily to a single hyperbaric recompression treatment, although the efficacy of more than 5 – 10 additional (typically shorter, classical HBO treatments) has not been documented statistically in large series [196].

Adjunctive treatments, except for adequate fluid resuscitation and prophylaxis of venous thrombo-embolism for patients with leg immobility, have not been proven substantially contributing to a better outcome [197],[198],[199].
A.9 FROSTBITE

A.9.1 Introduction

Like thermal burns, frostbite has a profound impact on the local microcirculation. It can be defined either as a hyper-acute cold injury or a prolonged exposure. It has been shown that after thawing, a protracted injury phase occurs, which bears striking resemblance to ischemia-reperfusion injury as has been seen in thermal burns. Theoretically at least, hyperbaric oxygen therapy could represent the following benefits in frostbite injury:

- Reduction of secondary cell death and tissue damage by reducing ischemia-reperfusion injury;
- Early demarcation of viable versus non-viable tissue, allowing for early definite surgical intervention and more rapid rehabilitation; and
- Prevention of secondary infection of vulnerable frostbitten tissues.

These potential benefits of HBO are not unique to frostbite or burns, in fact, most acute traumatic peripheral ischemia injuries (crush, compartment syndrome, flaps and grafts, re-implantations) share pathophysiological elements with these two.

A.9.2 Literature Review

The first case report of the use of HBO in frostbite dates back from 1963 [200], but in the period 1963 – 1974, only 14 more cases have been reported in the literature. Some animal experiments were performed between 1968 and 1972, but since then, no more cases were reported until 2001 [201],[202].

Okuboye et al. [203] demonstrated in the rabbit model (rabbit foot, slow freezing in ethylene bath, rapid thawing) that the use of HBO (3.0 ATA) could reduce tissue loss from 75% in control animals to 25%. On the other hand, Gage et al., [204] using a rapid freezing model to -30°C, also in the rabbit’s foot, with active and passive rewarming, could show no effect from HBO. A similarly very cold (-30°C) mouse model (Hardenbergh, 1972), demonstrated a limited benefit of HBO [205].

These differing results indicate that rapid, profound freezing injury may represent some important differences in pathogenesis and tissue injury from slow, moderately cold freezing. In fact, frostbite probably comprises a range of tissue injury from Freezing Cold Injury (FCI) to Non-Freezing Cold Injury (NFCI) to normal but cold tissue. Based on these animal data, HBO would probably only be of use in NFCI.

These animal experiments used early application of HBO, during or immediately after rewarming. Some scattered case reports seem to hint that even a long time after rewarming, HBO may be of some benefit – although, since these are uncontrolled and single reports, the evidence backing these claims is not very strong. In 2001, von Heimburg reported on a case where HBO was only applied after 4 days in a young boy whose both hands were frozen, saving all fingers [206]. Similarly, cases were reported 12 and 22 days after cold injury, where the microcirculation clinically improved dramatically after application of HBO, and this treatment was considered a key factor in the preservation of all toes and fingers [207],[208].

A.9.3 Mechanism of Action of HBO

Based on these animal experiments and human case reports, elements of beneficial action can be defined in all stadia of the injury and recovery:

- Tissue survival (capillary stasis);
- Oedema reduction;
- Infection prevention;
• Necrotic tissue demarcation; and
• Faster granulation and epithelialisation.

It follows that, at least from a pathophysiological point of view, HBO should be initiated as soon as possible, even during rewarming, to limit the ischemia-reperfusion time. However, as impairment of microcirculation persists for many days after thawing [209],[210], and as it has been shown that the accompanying inflammatory cascade effects can be mitigated by HBO (as demonstrated in several models of ischemia-reperfusion injury [211]), the effects of delayed HBO can be considered “logical”, even so that it is not possible to define a time period where HBO is to be considered “beyond utility” [212],[213].

A.9.4 Clinical Experience

Our own experience is relatively limited, as HBO is not generally recognised as a standard adjunctive treatment for frostbite. Over the past 15 years, only 8 cases were treated. All of them presented late, and had already advanced demarcation and sloughing of necrotic tissue. Cases referred to our hospital are primarily Belgian soldiers suffering injury during (sub)arctic training exercises, with a typical delay of 3 – 5 days, and civilian mountaineers who suffered injury either in the Himalayas or the Alps – with similar or longer delays to HBO. Our own therapeutic results are thus not any more significant than what has been reported before. However, we can confirm that HBO, when applied “lege artis”, is a low-risk, well-tolerated treatment; a conservative treatment attitude (wait and see, amputate late) is still warranted. Early HBO clinically seems to provide a good and rapid separation of viable versus non-viable tissue. Magnetic resonance angiography one week after onset of injury seems promising in estimating the possibility of recovery of fingers or toes [109]. No randomised controlled human trials are available, and probably never will be.

A.10 SOFT TISSUE INFECTIONS – LIFE-THREATENING

A.10.1 Gas Gangrene

Gas gangrene is mostly caused by C. perfringens (80 – 90 %).

30% of all wounds are contaminated with C. perfringens, but only a few patients develop gas gangrene. Additionally, a decreased oxygen-reduction potential in the wound is required. This is mostly caused by circulatory failure. Most tissue destruction can be found in “high velocity”- wounds, e.g. bullet and blast wounds and vehicle accidents [144],[145],[146],[150]. Mechanisms responsible for the rapid tissue destruction in gas gangrene are not well understood. The most important factor for this is tissue hypoxia [137].

C. perfringens grows freely in oxygen tensions under 30mmHg. It produces 6 toxins. Alphatoxin is the most important one. It causes tissue necrosis. Thetatoxin leads to hemolysis, necrosis and cardiotoxicity [163],[164]. Clinical features are: pain, tachycardia, crepitus, hemolysis, low grade fever, bronzing of the skin, bullae formation, obtunded sensation [164].

A.10.1.1 Benefit of HBO

There are only a few studies as to the effects of HBO on gas gangrene. Animal models have not been established – except for one mouse model [158]. Studies on isolated bacteria have shown suppression of clostridial growth when oxygen pressure of 40 mmHg or more is applied. Oxygen pressure of 80 mmHg inhibits toxin synthesis. Spore formation is also suppressed [148],[151]. On the mouse model HBO did not improve the survival rate of patients with gas gangrene.
A.10.1.2 Clinical Scientific Evidence

Most data results from case reports, a lot from Eastern Europe and Russia. They are mostly on the scientific level of case reports or retrospective studies [131],[152]. When established within 24 hours after symptom onset HBO reduces the fatality of gas gangrene to about 5% [156]. Therapeutic regimen is the Boerema table: 20 m, 2 x 45 minutes [136]. HBO can be recommended on the basis of sound experimental evidence and favorable clinical experience [139],[147]. Additionally the therapy includes antibiotics, surgical debridements and intensive care therapy [155],[156].

A.10.2 Soft Tissue Infections and HBO

Soft tissue infections are an increasing medical problem. They occur after trauma, around foreign bodies and even spontaneously [162]. Clinical pictures vary widely (necrotizing fasciitis, Fournier gangrene, non-clostridial myonecrosis, etc.) and their etiology is multi-factorial. These infections are mostly caused by gram negative bacteria and anaerobic microorganisms. Streptococci play an important role [157].

Due to varying causes of infection the pathophysiology is not easy to describe. A common factor is tissue hypoxia that suppresses immunologic defence mechanisms [154]. Additionally there are host factors (reduced defence, vascular insufficiency, vein thrombosis). Bacteroidaceae have been shown to interfere with the host’s interferon production and influence phagocytic activity.

A.10.2.1 Theoretical Benefit of HBO

In vitro HBO showed several effects on soft tissue infections:

- Bacteriostatic effects on anaerobic microorganisms (due to their inability to fight oxygen radicals), bactericidal effects (injury by free radicals) [151];
- Improvement of tissue oxygenation [154];
- Preventing extension of invading microorganisms [147];
- Improvement of phagocytic killing activity [154];
- Edema reduction [169];
- Increased function of capillaries [170]; and
- Increased efficacy of antibiotics (e.g. aminoglycosides, linezolid) [153].

In animal models (mouse, rat, rabbit) the mortality rates were significantly lower when treated with antibiotics and HBO. But (depending on the specific type of bacteria) there were also some harmful effects to be seen: streptococci infections worsen under high oxygen tensions [146].

A.10.2.2 Clinical Scientific Evidence

The level of evidence on soft tissue infections and HBO is low. There are only case reports and retrospective studies, no RCT. All most all study patients were compromised hosts [165],[166],[167],[168],[135].

HBO is one part of a multi-modal therapy and is only to be used for certain patients. The main therapeutic interventions for these patients are surgery, antimicrobial drugs and intensive care therapy. Data are contradictory. Risemann and lots of other authors found significantly reduced fatality and improved outcome, less number of debridements [138],[140],[161]. They recommend HBO should be used routinely in the treatment of necrotizing fasciitis. Some recent studies however showed no benefits for patients treated with HBO (no difference in survival, length of hospital stay or duration of antibiotic therapy) [142],[149]. This is supported by three studies from the early 1980’s [171]. Further studies are required [141].
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Annex B – HYPERBARIC CENTRES IDENTIFIED AS “SUITEABLE” FOR TREATMENT OF MILITARY HBO INDICATIONS*

B.1 DEFINITIONS AND METHODS

The HBO centres and hospitals identified in the list below have been selected on the basis of personal knowledge of the local/regional situation, by the participants to the RTG-192 in the period between September and December 2012.

Wherever doubt existed as to the medical treatment capacities of the HBO centre (intensive HBO care, adjunctive care) or the adjoining/associated hospital, personal contact has been made in order to ascertain these facts. No formal agreements have been discussed at this stage as to the willingness of these HBO centres and/or hospitals to accept military patients and under which conditions of medical “control” and financial settlement, as these should be discussed on a case-by-case basis.

B.2 OTHER SOURCES

When identifying “new” HBO centres or updating information about centres already listed, the following resources may be useful.

B.2.1 Europe

- The OXYNET website, maintained by the European Committee for Hyperbaric Medicine (ECHM)
  http://www.oxynet.org
  http://www.echm.org
  Contact Email: office@echm.org
  Contact Telephone – Dr. J. KOT, Secretary General: +48 58 69 98 632

- Divers Alert Network Europe
  http://www.daneurope.org
  Contact Email: medical@daneurope.org
  Contact Telephone: +39 085 893 0333

- German Society for Diving and Hyperbaric Medicine (GTUeM)
  http://www.gtuem.org/33/Druckkammern.html
  Contact Email: gtuem@gtuem.org
  Contact Telephone: +49 8841 48 2167

- Advisory Committee for Hyperbaric Oxygen in Belgium (ACHOBEL)
  http://www.achobel.be/MemberList.htm
  Contact Email: mail@achobel.be

- Société de Médecine Hyperbare et Subaquatique (MedSubHyp)
  http://www.medsubhyp.com/site/caissons_militaires.htm

* List up-to-date as to December 2012.
• British Hyperbaric Association (BHA)
  http://www.hyperbaric.org.uk

B.2.2 United States
• Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society (UHMS)
  http://membership.uhms.org/?page=Accd_Facilities
  Contact Email: uhms@uhms.org
  Contact Telephone: +1 919 490 5140

B.3 HYPERBARIC FACILITIES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBO-Centre Name and Location (City)</th>
<th>Hosp. Based (Y / N)</th>
<th>Cooperating Hosp. Level</th>
<th>ICU</th>
<th>24/24</th>
<th>7/7</th>
<th>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graz University Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brussels, Military Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Burn Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charleroi, University Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aalst, Onze-Lieve-Vrouw Ziekenhuis</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antwerpen, University Hospital UZA</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brugge, AZ St.Jan Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genk, Z.O.L. Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liège, Citadelle Regional Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ostrava City Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Septic surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copenhagen</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aarhus</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Monoplace chamber ! University Hospital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: www.achobel.be)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBO-Centre Name and Location (City)</th>
<th>Hosp. Based (Y / N)</th>
<th>Cooperating Hosp. Level</th>
<th>ICU</th>
<th>24/24</th>
<th>7/7</th>
<th>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Egypt</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marsa Alam, Baromedical</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Ambulatory-Diving Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safaga, General Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I (II)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Regional Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharm-el Sheikh</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>I (II)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>El Nour Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sharm-el Sheikh</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Private Chamber</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>France</strong> (Source: <a href="http://www.oxy.net.org">www.oxy.net.org</a> and <a href="http://www.medsubhyp.com">http://www.medsubhyp.com</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lille, Centre Régional de Médecine Hyperbare – Hôpital Albert Calmette</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Medical ICU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marseille, CHU Sainte Marguerite</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paris, Military Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lyon, Hôpital Eduard Herriot</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Neurosurgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toulon, HIA St Anne (Naval Hospital)</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toulouse, CHU Purpan</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Finland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turku University Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsinki, Medioxygen</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Germany</strong> (Source: <a href="http://www.gtuem.org">www.gtuem.org</a> and <a href="http://www.oxy.net.org">www.oxy.net.org</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murnau Trauma Center</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Trauma Center / Burn Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulm Military Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Trauma Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Berlin Vivantes-Klinikum Friedrichshain</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Düsseldorf University Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traunstein Kreiskrankenhaus</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO-Centre Name and Location (City)</td>
<td>Hosp. Based (Y / N)</td>
<td>Cooperating Hosp. Level</td>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>24/24</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany (cont’d) (Source: <a href="http://www.gtuem.org">www.gtuem.org</a> and <a href="http://www.oxynet.org">www.oxynet.org</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halle University Hospital</td>
<td>N (20 km)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kiel Naval Institute</td>
<td>N (5 km)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stuttgart DCS 1</td>
<td>N (5 km)</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coop. with 2 Hospitals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>München Druckkammer (Fire Brigade)</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Coop. with 3 large Hosp.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minden Medicox</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No Hospital coop.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Münster Amb. HBO</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regensburg</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>No emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greece (Source: <a href="http://www.oxynet.org">www.oxynet.org</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thessaloniki, St. Paul’s General Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Athens Naval Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Israel (Source: <a href="http://www.oxynet.org">www.oxynet.org</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tel Aviv – Assaf Harofeh Medical Centre</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haifa, Israel Naval Medical Institute</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haifa, Elisha and Rambam Hospital’s Hyperbaric and Diving Medical Centre</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eilat, Yoseftal Medical Center</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Augusta (SR) Istituto Ortopedico “Villa Salus”</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bari A.U.S.L. BA/4, O/C S. Paolo, Unit Operativa di Medicina Iperbarica</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolzano Iperbarico di Bolzano</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO-Centre Name and Location (City)</td>
<td>Hosp. Based (Y / N)</td>
<td>Cooperating Hosp. Level</td>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>24/24</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Italy (cont’d)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brescia (BS) Servizio OTI – Istituto Clinico Citt di Brescia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cagliari Centro di Medicina Iperbarica “G.Boero”</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grosseto (GR) Servizio di Medicina Subacquea ed Iperbarica</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pisa Azienda Ospedaliera Pisa</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ravenna (RA) Centro Iperbarico srl</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roma (RM) Centro di Medicina Iperbarica</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siracusa A.O. Umberto I UOC di Anestesia Rianimazione e Medicina Iperbarica</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torino Servizio di Anestesia e Rianimazione</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Luxembourg</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Esch s/Alzette</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maldives</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandos Medical Centre</td>
<td>N (boat)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Regional Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kuramathhi</td>
<td>N (air)</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Regional Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Norway</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bergen, Hyperbaric Medical Unit</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Haukeland University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oslo, Unit of Hyperbaric Medicine</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Oslo University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Poland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Centre for Hyperbaric Medicine Gdynia</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Septic surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# ANNEX B – HYPERBARIC CENTRES IDENTIFIED AS “SUITABLE” FOR TREATMENT OF MILITARY HBO INDICATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HBO-Centre Name and Location (City)</th>
<th>Hosp. Based (Y / N)</th>
<th>Cooperating Hosp. Level</th>
<th>ICU</th>
<th>24/24</th>
<th>7/7</th>
<th>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Portugal (Source: <a href="http://www.oxynet.org">www.oxynet.org</a>)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lisbon, Naval Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Matosinhos, Hospital Pedro Hispano</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funchal, Madeira</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spain (Source: <a href="http://www.oxynet.org">www.oxynet.org</a> and <a href="http://www.cccmh.com/">http://www.cccmh.com/</a>)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRIS-UTH Barcelona</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Red Cross Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital General Castellon</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medibarox Alicante</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuestra Senora Del Rosario Baleares</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinica El Angel – Malaga</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medisub – Palma de Mallorca</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valdecilla – Santander</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sweden</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stockholm, Karolinska Institutet</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Burn Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gothenburg, Sahlgrenska University Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helsingborg, Hyperbaric Unit</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Switzerland</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geneva University HBO Center</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basel Hyperbaric Centre</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>No emergency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Netherlands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amsterdam, Academic Medical Centre</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Den Helder, Navy hyperbaric centre</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO-Centre Name and Location (City)</td>
<td>Hosp. Based (Y / N)</td>
<td>Cooperating Hosp. Level</td>
<td>ICU</td>
<td>24/24</td>
<td>7/7</td>
<td>Special “Capabilities” of the Treating Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Netherlands (cont’d)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goes, Admiraal De Ruyter Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Turkey</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>İ.Ü. İstanbul Tip Fakültesi, Sualtı Hekimliği ve Hiperbarik Tip Anabilim Dahi Çapa, İstanbul</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>University Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATA Haydarpaşa Hastanesi, Sualtı Hekimliği ve Hiperbarik Tip Servisi Selimiye, İstanbul</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Teaching Hospital / Military</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sağlık Bakanlığı, Dr. Lütfi Kırdar Kartal Eğitim ve Araştırma Hastanesi Şemsi Denizer Cd. E5 Karayolu Cevizli Mevki, 34890 Kartal / İstanbul</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Teaching Hospital / Burn Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GATA Sualtı Hekimliği ve Hiperbarik Tip Anabilim Dahi Etilik / Ankara</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Academy Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>United Kingdom</strong> (Source: <a href="http://www.hyperbaric.org.uk">http://www.hyperbaric.org.uk</a>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hull, North of England Medical Hyperbaric Unit</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>London, Whipps Cross University Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aberdeen Royal Infirmary</td>
<td>N</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chichester Hyperbaric Unit St Richard’s Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Great Yarmouth East of England Hyperbaric Unit, James Paget Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wirral, North West Emergency Recompression Unit, Murrayfield Hospital</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td>Y</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Centre for Hyperbaric Medicine
Military Hospital Brussels
Bruynstraat 1
B-1120 Brussels
Tel.: +32 2 264 4868
Email: peter.germonpre@mil.be

CZECH REPUBLIC
Michal Hajek, MD
Head of the Centre of Hyperbaric Medicine
City Hospital Ostrava
St. Nemocnici 20
728 80 Ostrava
Tel.: +420 596 192 483, +420 596 192 484
Email: michal.hajek@mnof.cz
Petr Dosel, MD
Deputy Director of the Institute of Aviation Medicine
Hyperbaric Facility located in Central Military Hospital
St. Gen. Piky 1
160 60 Prague 6
Tel.: +420 973 208 118 or +420 973 208 126
Email: petrdosel@atlas.cz

* List up-to-date as to December 2012.
DENMARK
Erik Jansen, MD
University Hospital-Rigshospitalet
Department of Anaesthesiology
2100 Copenhagen
Tel.: +45 3545 3545
Email: erik.jansen@rh.regionh.dk

Mr. Peter Ric-Hansen
Naval and Diving Medical Section
Naval Station Copenhagen
Ved Sixtusbatteriet 2
1439 Copenhagen
Tel.: +45 32 66 45 01
Email: ric-hansen@dadmnet.dk

FINLAND
Mr. Tomi Wuorimaa
Diving Medical Centre
Centre of Military Medicine
P.O. Box 5
FI-02471 Upinniemi
Tel.: +358 299 580 370
Fax: +358 299 580 379
Email: tomi.wuorimaa@mil.fi

FRANCE
Pierre Louge, MD
Hôpital d’Instruction des Armées Sainte Anne
2 boulevard Sainte Anne
BCRM Toulon
BP 600
83800 Toulon Cedex 9
Tel.: +33 483 162342
Fax: +33 483 162705
Email: pierre.louge@orange.fr

Prof. Daniel Mathieu, MD, PhD
Urgence Respiratoire et Réanimation Médicale
Centre Hospitalier Régional Universitaire de Lille
2, avenue Oscar Lambret
59037 Lille Cedex
Tel.: +33 320 445550 or +33 320 445491
Fax: +33 320 444317
Email: dmathieu@chru-lille.fr
ANNEX C – HYPERBARIC REFERENCE PERSONS FOR MILITARY HBO

GERMANY
LtCol MC Dietmar M. Fischer, MD
Dept. of Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine
Federal Armed Forces Hospital Ulm
Oberer Eselsberg 40
89081 Ulm
Tel.: +49 731 1710 2053 (ICU) or +49 731 1710 2001
Email: dietmarmanfredfischer@bundeswehr.org

ITALY
Gerardo Bosco
Universita degli Studie di Padova
Via Ugo Bassi
58/B, 35131 Padova
Tel.: +49 049 8275297
Email: gerardo.bosco@unipd.it

Dr. Med. Pasquale Longobardi
c/o Centro Iperbarico SRL
Via Augusto Torre 3
48126 Ravenna
Tel.: +39 0544 500152
Fax: +39 0544 500148
Mobile: +39 335 369120
Email: divedoc@libero.it

NETHERLANDS
Dr. P.J.A.M. van Ooij, MD, PhD
Department Diving and Submarine Medical Center
Royal Netherlands Navy
P.O. Box 10.000
1780 CA Den Helder
Tel.: +31 223 65 3076
Fax: +31 223 65 3148
Email: pjam.v.ooij.01@mindef.nl or duikerarts@home.nl

NORWAY
Professor Marit Grønning
National Centre for Diving Medicine
Dept. of Occupational Medicine
Haukeland University Hospital
5021 Bergen
Tel.: +47 55 97 3875
Mobile: +47 918 00 169
Email: marit.gronning@helse-bergen.no
POLOGNE
Dr. Med. Jacek Kot
National Centre for Hyperbaric Medicine
Institute of Maritime and Tropical Medicine
Medical University of Gdansk
Powstania Styczniowego 9B
81-519 Gdynia
Tel.: +48 586 998 632
Fax: +48 586 222 789
Email: jkot@gumed.edu.pl

PORTUGAL
CFR MN Francisco Guerreiro, MD
Hospital da Marinha
Campo de Santa Clara
1149 Lisboa
Tel.: +351 21 884 0800
Email: quaresma.guerreiro@marinha.pt

ESPAGNE
Dr. Emilio Salas Pardo
Servicio de Medicine Subacuatica
C/Capitan Conforto S/N
Hospital Naval San Carlos
San Fernando, Cadiz E-11100
Tel.: +34 9 56 59 81 00
Fax.: +34 9 56 59 81 25
Email: esalpar@oc.mde.es

SUEDE
Surgeon Commander Carl Johanson
Swedish Armed Forces Medical Centre
P.O. Box 5155
Vastra Frolunda SE-426 0
Tel.: +46 31 69 26 62
Fax: +46 31 69 20 42
Email: carl.johanson@mil.se

TURQUIE
LT(N) Gunalp Uzun, MD
Department of Underwater and Hyperbaric Medicine
Gulhane Military Medical Academy
Haydarpasa Teaching Hospital
34668 Uskudar, Istanbul
Tel.: +90 533 66 777 82
Email: gunalpuzun@gmail.com
Mesut Mutluoglu, MD  
Department of Underwater and Hyperbaric Medicine  
Gulhane Military Medical Academy  
Haydarpasa Teaching Hospital  
34668 Uskudar, Istanbul  
Tel.: +90 533 66 777 82  
Email: drmutluoglu@gmail.com

UNITED KINGDOM  
Dr. Donald Thomas  
Aberdeen Royal Infirmary  
Foresterhill, Aberdeen AB25 2ZN  
Tel.: +44 1224 553144  
Email: donald.thomas@nhs.net

UNITED STATES  
Capt Edward T. Waters, MC, USN  
Director of Undersea Medicine and Radiation Health  
Undersea Medicine Specialty Leader – Bureau of Medicine and Surgery  
Undersea Medicine and Radiation Health (M3B3)  
7700 Arlington Blvd.  
Falls Church, VA 22042  
Tel. (Office): +1 703-681-9275  
Tel. (Cell): +1 202-445-0905  
Fax: +1 703-681-5403  
Email: edward.waters@med.navy.mil
Optimal Use of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy in Military Medical Setting

The Task Group on Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy in military medical setting explored the usefulness of hyperbaric oxygenation for medical conditions encountered in operational military settings; taking into account the technical specificities and constraints of hyperbaric oxygen therapy administration, recommendations are made as to the optimal implementation of this treatment.

Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy (HBO) is a treatment based on the respiration of high concentrations (up to 100%) of oxygen, while patients are exposed to high environmental pressures in a “hyperbaric chamber”. This treatment has been shown to be beneficial in a number of conditions/injuries, some of which are pertinent to military-type injuries.

When administered timely and in a correct way, HBO improves the evolution and final outcome; however, because of the technical limitations of the treatment (necessity of a hyperbaric chamber, adequate oxygen and compressed air supplies, competent medical and paramedical personnel), HBO centers are not common, even in non-military setting.

The RTG-192 examined the possible military applications of HBO, and defined the conditions for its use. While not realistic to suggest the placement of HBO centers close to operations theatres, it may be possible to organize the medical evacuation routes in such a way that military patients can be treated in a (civilian or military) hyperbaric center “along the route”, for a short period, before being further evacuated to their final destination.

Conditions and modalities for efficient use have been formulated, and recommendations have been made as to medical planning and education of military medical personnel.
Les publications de l’AGARD, de la RTO et de la STO peuvent parfois être obtenues auprès des centres nationaux de distribution indiqués ci-dessous.


CENTRES DE DIFFUSION NATIONAUX

FRANCE
O.N.E.R.A. (ISP)
29, Avenue de la Division Leclerc
BP 72
92322 Châtillon Cedex

GRECE (Correspondant)
Defence Industry & Research General
Directorate, Research Directorate
Fakinos Base Camp, S.T.G. 1020
Holargos, Athens

HONGRIE
Hungarian Ministry of Defence
Development and Logistics Agency
P.O.B. 25
H-1885 Budapest

ITALIE
Centro Gestione Conoscenza
Secretariat General of Defence
National Armaments Directorate
Via XX Settembre 123/A
00187 Roma

LUXEMBOURG « Your Belgique »

NORVEGE
Norwegian Defence Research Establishment
Attn: Biblioteke
P.O. Box 25
NO-2007 Kjeller

PAYS-BAS
Royal Netherlands Military Academy Library
P.O. Box 90.002
4800 PA Breda

POLogne
Centralna Biblioteka Wojskowa
ul. Ostrobamska 109
04-041 Warszawa

PORTUGAL
Estado Maior da Força Aérea
SDFA – Centro de Documentação
Alfragide
P-2720 Amadora

REPUBLIQUE TCHÉQUE
Vojenský technický ústav s.p.
CZ Distribution Information Centre
Mladoboleslavská 944
PO Box 18
197 06 Praha 9

ROUMANIE
Romanian National Distribution Centre
Armaments Department
9-11, Drumul Taberei Street
Sector 6
061353 Bucharest

ROYAUME-UNI
Dstl Records Centre
Rm G02, ISAT F, Building 5
Dstl Porton Down
Salisbury SP4 0JQ

SLOVAQUIE
Ministry of Defence
Central Registry for EU & NATO
Vojkova 55
1000 Ljubljana

SLOVÉNIE
Ministry of Defence
Central Registry for EU & NATO
Vojkova 55
1000 Ljubljana

TURQUIE
Milli Savunma Bakanlığı (MSB)
ARGE ve Teknoloji Dairesi
Başkanlığı
06650 Bakanliklar

AGENCES DE VENTE

The British Library Document
Supply Centre
Boston Spa, Wetherby
West Yorkshire LS23 7BQ
ROYAUME-UNI

Canada Institute for Scientific and Technical Information (CISTI)
National Research Council Acquisitions
Montreal Road, Building M-55
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S2
CANADA
AGARD, RTO & STO publications are sometimes available from the National Distribution Centres listed below. If you wish to receive all STO reports, or just those relating to one or more specific STO Panels, they may be willing to include you (or your Organisation) in their distribution. STO, RTO and AGARD reports may also be purchased from the Sales Agencies listed below.

Requests for STO, RTO or AGARD documents should include the word ‘STO’, ‘RTO’ or ‘AGARD’, as appropriate, followed by the serial number. Collateral information such as title and publication date is desirable. Full bibliographical references and abstracts of STO, RTO and AGARD publications are given in “NTIS Publications Database” (http://www.ntis.gov).

If you wish to receive electronic notification of STO reports as they are published, please visit our website (http://www.sto.nato.int/) from where you can register for this service.

**NATIONAL DISTRIBUTION CENTRES**

**BELGIUM**
Royal High Institute for Defence – KHID/IRSD/ RHID
Management of Scientific & Technological Research for Defence, National STO Coordinator
Royal Military Academy – Campus Renaissance Renaissancheaen 30
1000 Brussels

**BULGARIA**
Ministry of Defence
Defence Institute “Prof. Tsvetan Lazarov”
“Tsvetan Lazarov” bul no.2
1592 Sofia

**CANADA**
DSTKIM
Defence Research and Development Canada
101 Colonel By Drive, 6 CBS
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0K2

**CZECH REPUBLIC**
Vojenský technický ústav s.p.
CZ Distribution Information Centre
Mladoboleslavská 944
PO Box 18
197 06 Praha 9

**DENMARK**
Danish Acquisition and Logistics Organization (DALO)
Lautrupbjerg 1-5
2750 Ballerup

**ESTONIA**
Estonian National Defence College
Centre for Applied Research
Riiia str 12
Tartu 51013

**FRANCE**
O.N.E.R.A. (ISP)
29, Avenue de la Division Leclerc – BP 72
92322 Châtillon Cedex

**GERMANY**
Streitkräfteamt / Abteilung III
Fachinformationszentrum der Bundeswehr (FIZBw)
Gorch-Fock-Straße 7
D-53229 Bonn

**GREECE (Point of Contact)**
Defence Industry & Research General Directorate, Research Directorate
Fakinos Base Camp, S.T.G. 1020
Holargos, Athens

**HUNGARY**
Hungarian Ministry of Defence
Development and Logistics Agency
P.O.B. 25
H-1885 Budapest

**ITALY**
Centro Gestione Conoscenza
Secretariat General of Defence
National Armaments Directorate
Via XX Settembre 123/A
00187 Roma

**LUXEMBOURG**
Soc Belgium

**NETHERLANDS**
Royal Netherlands Military Academy Library
P.O. Box 90.002
4800 PA Breda

**NETHERLANDS**
Norwegian Defence Research Establishment, Attn: Biblioteket
P.O. Box 25
NO-2007 Kjeller

**POLAND**
Centralna Biblioteka Wojskowa
ul. Ostrobamska 109
04-041 Warszawa

**PORTUGAL**
Estado Maior da Força Aérea
SDFA – Centro de Documentação
Alfragide
P-2720 Amadora

**ROMANIA**
Romanian National Distribution Centre
Armaments Department
9-11, Drumul Taberei Street
Sector 6
061353 Bucharest

**SLOVAKIA**
Ministry of Defence
Central Registry for EU & NATO
Vojkova 55
1000 Ljubljana

**SPAIN**
SDGTECIN (DGAM)
C/ Arturo Soria 289
Madrid 28033

**TURKEY**
Milli Savunma Bakanlığı (MSB)
ARGE ve Teknoloji Dairesi Başkanlığı
06650 Bakanliklar – Ankara

**UNITED KINGDOM**
Dstl Records Centre
Rm G02, ISAT F, Building 5
Dstl Porton Down, Salisbury SP4 0JQ

**UNITED STATES**
Defense Technical Information Center
8725 John J. Kingman Road
Fort Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

**SALES AGENCIES**

The British Library Document Supply Centre
Boston Spa, Wetherby
West Yorkshire LS23 7BQ
UNITED KINGDOM

Canada Institute for Scientific and Technical Information (CISTI)
National Research Council Acquisitions
Montreal Road, Building M-55
Ottawa, Ontario K1A 0S2
CANADA