Final Report: Applying signature extraction and classification algorithms on express on profiles of CD markers and toll like receptors to classify and predict exposures to various pathogens.

To classify a disease samples using high throughput genomic and proteomic data, it is essential to decide which toll like receptors and CD marker should be included in a predictor list. Too few markers may not be enough to discriminate and classify an exposure. Having too many Markers is not optimal either, as some of these markers may be irrelevant to the diagnosis and may reduce the information decisive factor due to adding noise. Efforts are made to select an optimal set of targets for which to start the training of a set of predictors. This is accomplished by a variety of means such as the neighborhood analysis (Golub et al 1999), principal component analysis (Khan et al).
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ABSTRACT
To classify a disease samples using high throughput genomic and proteomic data, it is essential to decide which toll like receptors and CD marker should be included in a predictor list. Too few markers may not be enough to discriminate and classify an exposure. Having too many Markers is not optimal either, as some of these markers may be irrelevant to the diagnosis and may reduce the information decisive factor due to adding noise. Efforts are made to select an optimal set of targets for which to start the training of a set of predictors. This is accomplished by a variety of means such as the neighborhood analysis (Golub et al 1999), principal component analysis (Khan et al 2000), and gene shaving (Hastie et al 2000).

Various algorithms and tools are developed and described in the literature. These algorithms will serve as a foundation for the development of the statistical classification tool.

We will examine these algorithms for best and optimal prediction model and feature extraction. Initially, data generated using cDNA microarrays will be processed, filtered and analyzed using in house data analysis tools. Expression profiles for the toll like receptors and CD markers for each pathogen at various time points will be extracted. These profiles will be used to identify the markers that are good discriminators for certain pathogen at certain time point. In the process of analyzing the data, we consider two assumptions: 1) The distribution of the gene intensities in a sample is normal and 2) A gene is a good discriminator if it is present at a consistently high level in one class and absent or present at a consistently low level in the other class.

To validate each list of predictors, we will use our database of gene expression as a training set and add some blinded samples to see whether these predictors are able to identify an exposure by analyzing the expression profiles of toll like receptors and CD markers correlated with this exposure.
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Scientific Progress

Technology Transfer
Applying signature extraction and classification algorithms on express on profiles of CD markers and toll like receptors to classify and predict exposures to various pathogens

- Statement of the problem studied
Pathogen detection and identification tools developed are not always effective especially in early stages post exposure. Host response to biological threat agents has been a very important issue in the case of an outbreak. Identification of signature markers for exposures to various biological threat agents provides a vital tool for classification of outbreaks.

This project attempts to address this need by exploring the feasibility of employing computational methods to determine predictors and classifiers of various pathogens.

We have obtained a large body of experimental data characterizing effects over time for exposure to various biological threat agents. We are establishing a database of gene expression profiles at multiple time points for thousands of genes. In order to diagnose and treat not only known biothreats, but also newly engineered ones, it is important to identify biomolecular unique signatures underlying the observed host response to a pathogen. Computational approaches are essential to organize and visualize the variety of data and to facilitate feature extraction and prediction of an exposure.

- Summary of the most important results
We developed an algorithm to apply predictive modeling and feature extraction using our continuously growing microarray gene expression database obtained by exposing PBMCs to various classes of pathogens (virus, toxin, gram negative and gram positive bacteria) at various time points. We carried out carrying out gene expression analysis for SEB, Dengue, Plague, VEE, Bot toxin, at various time points in more than three replicates each.

**Host gene expression in vitro:** Microarray analysis was carried out at 3-6 time periods post exposure of PBMC to each pathogen or vehicle. Prior studies [11] showed specific gene sets related to sex, age and other parameters, therefore it was important to first identify genes that are normally variant among healthy humans. Data from only the control samples of these healthy donors were subjected to ANOVA (p<0.05) and 6% of the genes varied widely among the individuals who were healthy human donors. These genes that showed inconsistent expression profiles were excluded from further comparisons among the data sets from both control and exposed samples. This provided a baseline to confidently identify transcriptional responses induced by bacteria (anthrax, plague, *Brucella*), toxins (CT, SEB, BoNTA), or viruses (Dengue, VEE).

**Consistency of responses:** We used PBMC from at least 3 different donors, exposing cells to pathogen or vehicle for specified periods of time.
Unique gene patterns induced by BTAs: The gene responses were dissected to identify sets of genes that will differentiate one agent from another based on the patterns of host gene induction. The GeneSpring (Silicon Genetics, California) clustering diagram illustrates gene expression patterns that can discriminate among the various pathogenic agents by identification of sets of genes where up regulation and down regulation is seen for specific pathogens. The combination of these selected genes can be the foundation for designing specific diagnostic assays for exposure to one or more agents. Additionally, gene patterns for the earliest exposure for SEB or CT clustered less closely with the later exposure times, but when observed relative to all pathogens, the four exposure time periods for SEB were relatively closely clustered. A striking observation is that for all pathogens except SEB, the longest exposure times differ markedly from the clusters of the early time periods. For *B. anthracis, Y. pestis, B. melitensis*, and CT, those late exposure times cluster together for these various pathogens. This loss of pathogen-specific responses in vitro after lengthy exposure was not seen for the in vivo studies.

Use of training and test data sets for classifying test exposures: To determine whether the microarray data obtained in this study can be used to predict the exposure type of an uncharacterized sample or condition, we applied a supervised learning method for class prediction (GeneSpring) that uses the k-nearest neighbor algorithm. When algorithm was applied on the data set (training set) to predict the exposure type of a data set obtained from an exposure to *Y. pestis* (test set), we were able to correctly predict the type of exposure with a p<0.02. We previously reported that a set of predictor genes was identified when samples from exposures of piglets to SEB were used as test sets [12, 13].

Functional classification of genes differentially regulated:

Gene ontological analysis was carried out for the genes that were differentially expressed. Comparison of gene responses, based on functional similarities, not surprisingly, showed many up regulated genes coding for inflammatory mediators. We clustered and sorted the differentially expressed genes by their functional classification. For gene group (i) “Growth Factor, Cytokines & Chemokines,” anthrax, *Brucella* and SEB showed major up regulation of most genes coding for inflammatory mediators; the other 5 agents had mixed or modest effects. Similarly, categories (iii) “Interleukins and Interferon Receptors” and (iv) “Interleukins” showed up regulation by most pathogens, notable exceptions being the viruses. Down regulated genes, though seen extensively throughout the study, displayed functional clustering for each pathogenic agent such as (ii) “Homeostasis & detoxification,” (v) “Ligand-gated ion channels,” and so forth. Plague induced high levels
of interleukin-6, macrophage inflammatory protein-1 beta, tumor necrosis factor–alpha (TNF-α), and granulocyte macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) when compared with Brucella and anthrax. Not surprisingly, the superantigen SEB displayed kinetic patterns for over expression of interferon-γ, IL-2, IL-6, MIP-1α, and GM-CSF. There are major differences in expression of death receptors, homeostasis, and caspases, examples of which include defensins and certain oxidases (homeostasis) that are down regulated by plague and SEB. A large number of transcription factors are down regulated by anthrax, Brucella, and SEB, but plague consistently down regulated the widest range of these genes.

**Gene responses induced by BTAs in vivo; comparison with in vitro changes:** To determine gene changes induced by BTAs in an animal model, NHP were exposed to *B. anthracis* spores by aerosol challenge. This model has been characterized previously to mimic inhalation anthrax in humans. Blood samples were collected 24 h, 48 h, and 72 h post exposure (by 72 h the NHP were beginning to show signs of the illness, which progresses very rapidly to lethality). The gene expression profiles for in vitro exposure of PBMC to anthrax spores were compared with those found in isolated PBMC at various time periods from NHP. Even by 24 h, a robust response was observed, showing up regulation of genes coding for proteases; proteasome components c2, c3, c5; various cytokines; pro-apoptotic genes; cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP)-related kinases, cAMP regulated transcription factors; and hypoxia inducible factor-1 (HIF-1). Down regulated genes included tyrosine kinases, cytokine receptors, growth factors, and adenosine diphosphate (ADP) ribosylation factors. Comparison of the in vivo results with the in vitro changes induced by anthrax, showed remarkable similarities in gene patterns. Clearly many more changes were observed in vivo than in vitro. Certain surface antigens showed significant alteration that was unique to anthrax exposure. Diagrams were constructed to identify sets of genes that were up regulated at either 24 or 72 h; other gene sets showed up regulation at both time periods.

A few genes were selected that showed changes induced by *B. anthracis* exposure were confirmed by RT-PCR, and the level of expression was compared both in vitro and in vivo after anthrax exposure. Altered regulation of that G-protein was not seen with the other pathogenic agents. In an experiment of SEB exposure to NHP, IL-6 and guanylate binding protein GBP-2 were up regulated (6- and 65-fold, respectively) by 30 min post-exposure and the increased expression persisted through 24 h.
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