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Echo-Planar Imaging-Based, J-Resolved Spectroscopic Imaging for Improved Metabolite Detection in Prostate Cancer

Purpose: 
1) To implement an echo-planar imaging (EPI)-based 2D J-resolved spectroscopy on a 3T MRI/MRS scanner; 
2) To evaluate the multi-voxel 2D J-resolved echo-planar spectroscopic imaging (EP-JRESI) in malignant PCa patients, benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH) patients and healthy prostates. 
3) To develop and further optimize the ProFit algorithm to post-process the multi-dimensional MRS data from different prostate pathologies.

Scope: Improved cancer detection (specificity) in differentiating malignant from benign prostate cancer will be achieved using a novel four-dimensional (4D) EP-JRESI. Improved spectroscopic imaging techniques will enable unambiguous detection of metabolites and the lipids in situ, which could potentially complement the existing diagnostic modalities commonly used in prostate cancer.

Progress and Major Findings: After successful implementation of the 4D EP-JRESI sequence on a 3T MRI scanner, the 4D EP-JRESI data were recorded in several patients and healthy males during the first three years. Thirteen more male subjects (10 patients and 3 healthy) were investigated using endorectal as well as external MRI coils and the findings are reproducible with that obtained in the past years. A peer-reviewed manuscript was published in a leading MR journal in 2015.
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**Introduction:** Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most common cancer in men in several countries, with the American Cancer Society (ACS) estimating 241,740 new cases of PCa to be diagnosed and deaths of 28,170 men of PCa (1). Due to its prevalence in the male population as well as its unpredictable clinical course, early detection and diagnosis have become a priority for many health care professionals. Another method for staging prostate cancer is through imaging techniques including ultrasound, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) with or without the help of dynamic contrast enhancement modeling (DCE-MRI), diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), and magnetic resonance spectroscopy (MRS) (2-5). MRS is a powerful tool for exploring the cellular chemistry of human tissues (3,5,6-11). There is a growing body of evidence that 1H MRS may contribute to the clinical evaluation of prostate cancer and also for evaluating the metabolic alterations due to therapy. There have been no reports on combining two spectral dimensions with two-dimensional (2D) or three dimensional (3D) spatial encoding applicable to prostate cancer. Acceleration of magnetic resonance spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) has been demonstrated using echo-planar imaging techniques (12-13). Recently, Schulte et al. have successfully developed an algorithm called prior-knowledge fitting (ProFit) to quantify metabolite concentrations using the JPRESS spectra recorded using a Philips 3T MRI scanner (14). It was demonstrated that metabolite quantitation of JPRESS spectra with ProFit was accurate, robust and yielding generally consistent results, both in vivo and in vitro. Their results suggest that the number of quantifiable prostate metabolites can be increased from 3-4 with 1D PRESS/LC-Model to more than 10 with JPRESS/ProFit (15-16).

**Body:**

1. **Proposed Task 1 (Months 1-6):** To implement a multi-voxel based extension of the JPRESS sequence, in which two spectral encodings will be combined with two spatial encodings using the new Siemens VB17a platform. This four-dimensional (4D) data acquisition scheme will be accomplished utilizing the EPI approach that is commonly used for spatial encoding in MRI.

   Completed and Reported in the 1st year Annual Report.

2. **Proposed Task 2:** To evaluate the EPI-based JPRESS using a prostate phantom containing several metabolites which have been reported in prostate tissues, and to optimize the EP-JRESI sequence and other acquisition parameters using the phantom (Months 6-12).

   Completed and Reported in the 1st year Annual Report.

3. **Proposed Task 3:** To record the 4D EP-JRESI spectra in the peripheral, central and transition zones of healthy prostates. (Months 6-18).

   Five more healthy males have been investigated.

4. **Proposed Task 4:** To develop, evaluate and optimize the prior-knowledge basis set spectra using the GAMMA-simulation and prostate phantom solutions as prior knowledge for the multi-voxel based JPRESS spectra recorded using the 3T MRI scanner (Months 6-12).
Accomplished during September 29, 2011-October 28 2012:

**Proposed Task 5:** To record the multi-voxel-based 2D spectra in the peripheral, central and transition zones of patients with BPH and malignant prostate cancer. The prostate metabolite concentrations calculated using the ProFit algorithm prepared for the multi-voxel data will be compared with LC-Model processed 1D spectral based MRSI data (Months 18-48).

Accomplished during October 29, 2014-October 28 2015: Ten malignant prostate cancer patients and three healthy males were investigated during the current year. The 4D EP-JRESI data were recorded using the following parameters: TR/TE=1.5s/30ms, 2 averages, 512 t2, oversampled 32kx, 64 increments along the indirect spectral (t1) and 16 spatial ky dimensions; the endorectal "receive" coil. The oversampled 32kx were processed to normal 16kx points. First, the 4D NUS EP-JRESI data was acquired in a 71 year old PCa patient; In our recent publication, we had included twenty two PCa patients with a mean age of 63.8 years (range: 46–79 years) who subsequently underwent radical prostatectomy. Patients’ Gleason scores varied between 6 and 9. Prostate-specific antigen (PSA) levels varied from 0.7 to 22.8 ng/mL (mean of 6.23 ng/mL).

![Figure 1](image1.png)

**Figure 1.** Spatial maps of (Ch +Cr) for TV (A) and MaxEnt (B) non-linear reconstruction methods of the 4D EP-JRESI data recorded in a 74 year old PCa patient. The EP-JRESI was overlaid on top of the T2 weighted MRI.

We were able to reproduce the above mentioned findings in more patients with known GS, and also, in remaining four patients whose histology findings were not known. 2D peak (cross and diagonal) volumes were defined by the operator in the frequency domain 2D JPRESS data for this pilot analysis. Two different non-linear reconstruction methods such as maximum entropy (MaxEnt) and total variation (TV). As
evident in Fig.2, selected ratios showed significant changes (p<0.05); however, this outcome from a small group of PCa patients

**Fig.2.** Metabolite ratios of Cit, Spm, mI and Glx in cancer and non-cancer locations processed by non-linear reconstruction methods using TV and MaxEnt.

needs to be treated with caution showing a necessity for further research using larger patient cohorts.

**Key Research Accomplishments**

- Continued evaluation of the 4D EP-JRESI sequence in 10 malignant prostate cancer patients and three healthy male subjects.
- We have made further progress on compressed sensing reconstruction of the non-uniformly undersampled 4D EP-JRESI sequence using different reconstruction methods such as maximum entropy, total variation (TV), etc. This will facilitate shortening the endorectal spectral acquisition and reducing the patient inconvenience during the scan. A peer-reviewed manuscript was published recently in the journal of ‘NMR in Biomedicine’.
- Using the preliminary results obtained using this IDEA grant, we had submitted a NIH R01 grant application submission entitled “Fast J-resolved Prostate MR Spectroscopic Imaging and Non-linear Reconstruction” was resubmitted on February 5, 2015 and we plan to resubmit this grant to NIH.

**Reportable Outcomes:**

**A. Peer-reviewed Publications:**

**B. Presentations:** During the 4th year, two abstracts were presented: (i) first at the 23rd International Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine (ISMRM), Toronto, Canada, May 30-June 5, 2015: the abstract entitled “Semi-Laser 5D Echo-Planar J-Resolved
Spectroscopic Imaging: Pilot Validation in Prostate Cancer.” (ePoster presentation), #2020; the 2nd abstract entitled “How Accurately Multiparametric MRI Detect Prostate Cancer?” (eposter), # LL-PHE118;


Conclusions: After successfully implementing the 4D EP-JRESI scanning protocol on the 3T MRI scanner and testing it in healthy controls and patients during the earlier 3 years, 13 more subjects have been investigated in the 4th year. We will continue to recruit 10 malignant and benign prostate cancer patients, and 5 healthy male subjects during the next year (2nd no-cost time extension). Two new manuscripts and few conference abstracts will be prepared to present the summary of findings.
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Accelerated echo planar J-resolved spectroscopic imaging in prostate cancer: a pilot validation of non-linear reconstruction using total variation and maximum entropy

Rajakumar Nagarajan, Zohaib Iqbal, Brian Burns, Neil E. Wilson, Manoj K. Sarma, Daniel A. Margolis, Robert E. Reiter, Steven S. Raman and M. Albert Thomas

The overlap of metabolites is a major limitation in one-dimensional (1D) spectral-based single-voxel MRS and multivoxel-based MRSI. By combining echo planar spectroscopic imaging (EPSI) with a two-dimensional (2D) J-resolved spectroscopic (JPRESS) sequence, 2D spectra can be recorded in multiple locations in a single slice of prostate using four-dimensional (4D) echo planar J-resolved spectroscopic imaging (EP-JRESI). The goal of the present work was to validate two different non-linear reconstruction methods independently using compressed sensing-based 4D EP-JRESI in prostate cancer (PCa): maximum entropy (MaxEnt) and total variation (TV). Twenty-two patients with PCa with a mean age of 63.8 years (range, 46–79 years) were investigated in this study. A 4D non-uniformly undersampled (NUS) EP-JRESI sequence was implemented on a Siemens 3-T MRI scanner. The NUS data were reconstructed using two non-linear reconstruction methods, namely MaxEnt and TV. Using both TV and MaxEnt reconstruction methods, the following observations were made in cancerous compared with non-cancerous locations: (i) higher mean (choline + creatine)/citrate metabolite ratios; (ii) increased levels of (choline + creatine)/spermine and (choline + creatine)/myo-inositol; and (iii) decreased levels of (choline + creatine)/(glutamine + glutamate). We have shown that it is possible to accelerate the 4D EP-JRESI sequence by four times and that the data can be reliably reconstructed using the TV and MaxEnt methods. The total acquisition duration was less than 13 min and we were able to detect and quantify several metabolites. Copyright © 2015 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

Prostate cancer (PCa) is the most commonly diagnosed non-cutaneous malignancy in the USA and is the second leading cause of cancer-related death in men (1). One in six men will be diagnosed with PCa during their lifetime, but only one in 36 will die of this disease. Currently, the annual prostate-specific antigen (PSA) test and digital rectal examination (DRE) are routinely performed (2) for screening. The PSA screening test measures the serum level of PSA in blood samples. However, it is a controversial test because 65–75% of PSA screening gives false-positive results leading to overdiagnosis (3). The use of systematic transrectal biopsy can miss significant cancer lesions because of random sampling error (4) and the observation that one-third of significant tumors lie in the anterior part of the gland, based on studies of radical prostatectomy specimens (5). Hence, there is an immediate need for early, yet accurate, detection of PCa to improve disease outcomes.

$^1$H MRS enables the detection of a range of biochemicals in the prostate by making use of the proton signals in these molecules. The detection of biochemicals in vivo is limited to concentrations of more than 0.5–1 mM. Signals of citrate (Cit), creatine (Cr), choline (Ch) and spermine (Spm) can be detected throughout the prostate, with increased levels of Ch and decreased levels of Cit being indicative of cancer (6–8).
Current limitations of single-voxel-based MRS and MRSI in the prostate are caused by the overlap of metabolite resonances, allowing the quantification of only a few metabolites (Cit, Ch, Cr and 5pm) and the use of long TEs. The conventional MRSI technique can be accelerated by echo planar spectroscopic imaging (EPSI) (9–13). EPSI speeds up MRSI using an echo planar readout of one spectral and one spatial dimension, thereby achieving an acceleration factor equal to the number of points along one of the spatial dimensions. For example, a two-dimensional (2D) spatial matrix array (16 × 16) would be acquired 16 times faster with EPSI than with conventional MRSI. However, the acceleration may be at the cost of the signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) (11) and the spectra could be affected by Nyquist ghost artifacts (14).

A single-voxel-based 2D J-resolved spectroscopic (JPRESS) sequence has been evaluated in PCa, and has shown improved spectral dispersion because of the added spectral dimension (15,16). New computational methods have made compressed sensing feasible to accelerate MRI by exploiting the sparsity of the images in a known transform domain to reconstruct non-uniformly undersampled (NUS) k-space data (17). For further acceleration, the application of compressed sensing for MRSI is apt, exploiting sparsity in multiple dimensions of frequency and space in transform domains of wavelets and total variation (TV) (18–20). By combining EPSI with JPRESS, 2D spectra can be recorded in multiple locations in the prostate using four-dimensional (4D) echo planar J-resolved spectroscopic imaging (EP-JRESI), which combines two spectral with two spatial dimensions. A pilot feasibility has been demonstrated recently to map metabolites in the healthy human prostate and brain (21,22).

Maximum entropy (MaxEnt) reconstruction finds the spectrum that maximizes entropy whilst maintaining consistency with the measured data. MaxEnt reconstruction is an alternative non-linear reconstruction technique to compressed sensing. MaxEnt has been successfully used to reconstruct undersampled images in astronomy and multidimensional spectra in NMR (23–25), but has not been applied to the spatial–spectral domain using four-dimensional (4D) echo planar J-resolved spectroscopic imaging (EP-JRESI) of PCa. MaxEnt and TV algorithms have been used to reconstruct the NUS indirect spectral and spatial dimensions (21,26).

The TV algorithm was first proposed by Rudin et al. (27) for image denoising and, since then, has been successfully used for image restoration. In the TV algorithm, an objective function using the TV norm is minimized subject to a data fidelity term posed by the acquired projection data. Minimization of the image gradient essentially suppresses those high spatial frequency parts, such as streaking artifacts and noise, in the reconstructed images.

The goal of the present work was to validate the MaxEnt and TV non-linear reconstruction algorithms separately in patients with PCa using compressed sensing-based 4D EP-JRESI data.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

Between March 2012 and May 2013, twenty-two patients with PCa with a mean age of 63.8 years (range, 46–79 years), who subsequently underwent radical prostatectomy, were selected for the study. The patients’ Gleason scores varied between 6 and 9. Their PSA levels varied from 0.7 to 22.8 ng/mL (mean, 6.23 ng/mL). These patients were scanned using a 3-T Siemens (Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany) MRI scanner with an endorectal ‘receive’ coil. The protocol combining MRI and MRS was performed at least 8 weeks after transrectal ultrasound-guided sextant biopsy. The entire protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board, and informed consent was obtained from each patient. PCa was histopathologically confirmed after radical prostatectomy. The voxels covering the tumorous lesions from the peripheral zone (PZ) were selected and indicated as tumor voxels, which was confirmed by the pathology report. After reconstruction, the EP-JRESI data were overlaid onto MRI images.

**MRI and MRSI**

A body matrix phased-array coil assembly, combined with an endorectal coil, was used in the ‘receive’ mode, whereas a quadrature body ‘transmit’ coil was used. All patients were imaged in the supine (feet-first) position. Axial images were oriented to be perpendicular to the long axis of the prostate, which was guided by the sagittal images. Axial, coronal and sagittal T$_2$-weighted (T$_2$W) turbo spin echo images were recorded using the following parameters: TR/TE = 3850–4200 ms/96–101 ms; slice thickness, 3 mm; field of view, 20 × 20 cm$^2$; echo train length, 13; data matrix, 320 × 256.

A compressed sensing-based 4D EP-JRESI sequence was validated on the 3-T MRI scanner and the volume of interest (VOI) was localized using three slice-selective radiofrequency (RF) pulses (90°–180°–180°) (Fig. 1). The total time for the acquisition of a fully sampled 4D EP-JRESI scan (TR = 1.5 s, 16$_k$ × 16$_k$, 64–100$_t$, 512$_f$) can be more than 25 min. The parameters for EP-JRESI were as follows: TR/TE/Avg = 1500 ms/30 ms/2; 16 phase-encoding steps; 512 complex points with an $F_2$ bandwidth of 1190 Hz along the detected dimension. For the second dimension ($F_1$), 64 increments with bandwidths of 1000 Hz were used. The in-plane spatial resolution and slice thickness were 1 × 1 cm$^2$ and 1 cm, respectively. As the EPSI readout simultaneously acquires one spatially encoded dimension ($k_2$) and one temporal dimension ($t_2$), we propose the use of NUS in the remaining $k_2$–$t_2$ plane, followed by compressed sensing reconstruction (MaxEnt and TV). A 4 × NUS was imposed along the plane containing the incremented spectral and spatial dimensions ($t_1$ and $k_2$). Despite the mixing of spatial and spectral dimensions in the reconstruction, the sparsity requirement for reconstruction is shown to be satisfied, as required by compressed sensing. As the $k_2$–$t_2$ values are incremented, NUS can be applied along the $k_2$–$t_1$ plane.

The individual voxel volume in human prostate was 1 mL. Two sets of data were collected: one water-suppressed (WS) scan with a total scan time of 12 min and a second non-water-suppressed (NWS) scan using one average and one $t_1$ increment (30 s). The NWS scan was used for phase corrections (eddy current corrections). The full width at half-maximum of the water peak in the cancerous and non-cancerous locations was between 20 and 25 Hz.

**Data analysis**

The NUS data were reconstructed by MaxEnt and TV separately. A modified Split–Bregman algorithm (28) solves the unconstrained TV optimization problem as:

$$\min_{m} \left\| V m \right\|_1 + \lambda \left\| F_0 m - y \right\|_2$$

where $V$ is the gradient operator, $m$ is the reconstructed data, $\left\| x \right\|_1$ is the $l_1$-norm, $\lambda$ is a regularization parameter, $F_0$ is the...
The undersampled Fourier transform and y is the undersampled data. The above equation removes the incoherent artifacts caused by NUS by minimizing TV, whilst maintaining consistency with the sampled measurements. The TV regularization parameters were the same as reported by Burns et al. (29). We have used the Split–Bregman reconstruction method primarily for its robustness against the regularization parameters chosen. Because of the use of Bregman parameters in the reconstruction algorithm, which are calculated using the difference between the reconstruction and the sampled data at each iteration, the influence of the regularization parameters is greatly lessened compared with the use of other algorithms that solve the TV problems. Although the choice of parameters can influence the reconstruction, the algorithm allows for a wider range of possible values in order to achieve roughly the same results.

MaxEnt is a constrained convex optimization algorithm that uses a variant of the conjugate gradient method to iteratively solve the inverse problem (23,25,28):

\[
\text{maximize } S_{1/2}(f) \text{ such that } \| F^{-1} Kf - D \|_2 \leq \sigma \quad [2]
\]

where \( F \) is the estimated fully sampled spectrum at each iteration, \( F^{-1} \) is the inverse Fourier transform, \( K \) is the NUS matrix, \( D \) is the measured time domain data, \( \sigma \) is the noise standard deviation and \( S_{1/2}(f) \) is the spin – 1/2 entropy of the estimated spectrum (24). All compressed sensing 4D EP-JRESI data were processed using TV and MaxEnt reconstruction with custom MATLAB software. The reconstruction time for each method took about 25 min using an 8 GB RAM, Intel Core i7-2600 CPU @ 3.40 GHz. For the 2D data processing, the raw matrix was apodized with phase shifted and squared sine bell functions along \( t_1 \) and \( t_2 \), and zero filled to \( 128 \times 1024 \) prior to fast Fourier transformation along the two dimensions. All 2D spectra were presented as contour plots, and the 2D spectral matrices were not skewed by 45° about \( F_1 = 0 \) Hz.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Using logistic regression analysis, areas under the curve (AUCs) of the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) were calculated for various metabolites to discriminate between MaxEnt and TV reconstruction methods. In addition, the paired \( t \)-test was used to determine the various metabolite ratios in cancerous and non-cancerous locations. \( p < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

RESULTS

Using this pilot validation, 2D peaks attributed to Cit, Ch, Cr, Spm, myo-inositol (mI) and glutamate (Glu) plus glutamine (Gln) (Glu + Gln = Glx) were quantified in cancerous and non-cancerous locations using the peak integration MATLAB code. Figure 2 shows (Ch + Cr)/Cit, (Ch + Cr)/Spm, (Ch + Cr)/ml and (Ch + Cr)/Glx of cancerous and non-cancerous locations processed by TV and MaxEnt. The mean metabolite ratios (± standard deviation, SD) of Cit, Spm, ml and Glx of the non-cancerous locations, processed using TV, were 1.158 ± 0.830, 2.396 ± 1.95, 5.325 ± 2.42 and 5.404 ± 2.74, respectively. In the cancerous locations, the corresponding metabolite ratios were: 4.209 ± 2.132, 2.808 ± 1.77, 5.640 ± 2.18 and 5.275 ± 2.80. Similarly, the mean metabolite ratios (±SD) of Cit, Spm, ml and Glx of the non-cancerous locations, calculated using the MaxEnt-reconstructed data, were 1.079 ± 0.795, 2.096 ± 1.06, 4.967 ± 2.114 and 5.902 ± 3.40, respectively. In the cancerous locations, the corresponding metabolite ratios were 3.620 ± 1.759, 2.727 ± 1.46, 6.008 ± 2.57 and 5.275 ± 3.19.

We found that the mean Cit metabolite ratios were significantly higher in cancerous locations relative to non-cancerous locations in both the TV and MaxEnt reconstructions (\( p < 0.005 \)). Increased levels of Spm (\( p = 0.46 \)) and ml (\( p = 0.65 \)) ratios, and decreased levels of Glx (\( p = 0.08 \)) ratios, were observed in cancerous locations relative to non-cancerous locations in the TV reconstruction. Similarly, in the MaxEnt reconstruction, increased levels of Spm (\( p = 0.25 \)) and ml (\( p = 0.15 \)) ratios, and decreased levels of Glx (\( p = 0.81 \)) ratios, were observed in cancerous locations relative to non-cancerous locations. None of the ratios could discriminate significantly between differing grades (Gleason scores) of PCa because of overlap of the ratio values.

Figure 3 shows spatial maps of (Ch + Cr) for the TV and MaxEnt reconstructed data acquired in a 74-year-old patient with PCa. The MaxEnt and TV reconstructions of the cancerous (Fig. 4B, D) and non-cancerous (Fig. 4C, E) locations extracted from the...
JPRESS spectrum, obtained from the 4D EP-JRESI data, are shown in Fig. 4. Figure 4C illustrates the regions of interest used for peak integration. We compared and correlated the TV and MaxEnt reconstruction methods for Cit, Spm, mI and Glx in the cancerous and non-cancerous locations. The correlation of the (Ch + Cr)/Cit, (Ch + Cr)/Spm, (Ch + Cr)/mI and (Ch + Cr)/Glx ratios for the MaxEnt and TV reconstructions in the cancerous locations are shown in Fig. 5. For each patient, two to three voxels were selected in the PZ of the cancerous and non-cancerous locations, and the average values for each location were reported. A positive correlation was found for the following metabolites in the cancerous locations: (Ch + Cr)/Cit ($R^2 = 0.85$), (Ch + Cr)/Glx ($R^2 = 0.96$), (Ch + Cr)/Spm ($R^2 = 0.86$) and (Ch + Cr)/mI ($R^2 = 0.95$). The concentration of Cit is higher in healthy prostate. Hence, if the Cit peak was higher than the Ch peak, the voxel was considered to be non-cancerous for (Ch + Cr)/Cit values below 0.5 and malignant for (Ch + Cr)/Cit values above 0.5. These values were selected manually on each subject.

The results of the logistic regression analysis and consequent ROC curve analyses are given in Table 1, including the sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV), AUC and accuracy for the classification of the MaxEnt and TV methods. ROC curve analyses for differentiating the metabolite ratios of cancerous and non-cancerous locations in MaxEnt suggest that the Cit ratio gives the best predictability, with a sensitivity of 86.4%, specificity of 90.0%, accuracy of 88.6% and AUC = 94.0%. In addition, the overall sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and AUC of mI and Spm were slightly better in MaxEnt compared with TV.

**DISCUSSION**

Using the NUS data with non-linear iterative reconstruction, we have validated the TV and MaxEnt reconstruction methods independently in patients with PCa using EP-JRESI in a clinically feasible time. In addition to a significantly increased Cit ratio in cancerous locations, increased metabolite ratios of Spm and mI, and decreased ratios of Glx, were found in cancerous locations compared with non-cancerous locations. Although TV and...
MaxEnt reconstruction methods showed comparable results in cancerous and non-cancerous locations, the sensitivity, accuracy and AUC were slightly increased in the MaxEnt reconstruction.

In the present study, we report the ratios of \((\text{Ch} + \text{Cr})/\text{Cit}\), \((\text{Ch} + \text{Cr})/\text{Spm}\), \((\text{Ch} + \text{Cr})/\text{mI}\) and \((\text{Ch} + \text{Cr})/\text{Glx}\), because of the proximity of the total Cr peak (3.0 ppm) to the total Ch peak (3.2 ppm) in these in vivo MR spectra, which were therefore not always separable. In this study, significantly higher ratios of Cit were observed in the PZ of cancerous locations.

It is likely that the drop in Cit levels precedes malignant transformation (30). It has been suggested that, as a result of a metabolic switch, neoplastic cells oxidize Cit, whereas normal prostatic cells show a low Cit oxidizing capability (31). Decreased levels of zinc, which would relieve \(m\)-aconitase from inhibition, has been proposed as one of the reasons for the decreased level of Cit in PCa (30).

Ch is an essential component of cell membrane synthesis and phospholipid metabolism, and functions as an important methyl donor. Ch-containing molecules are an essential component of cell membranes, which are more highly concentrated in tumorous areas within the prostate than in healthy prostate tissue (32,33). Ch groups are precursors and breakdown products of the phospholipid phosphatidylcholine, a major cell membrane compound (34). Increased Ch is observed as a result of altered phospholipid metabolism in PCa cell lines (33). This alteration is most probably a result of an increased expression and activity of choline kinase, a higher rate of Ch transport and an increased phospholipase activity (34).

The polyamines Spm, spermidine and putrescine are essential for the differentiation and proliferation of cells, the synthesis of DNA, RNA and proteins, and the stabilization of cell membranes and cytoskeletal structures (35). Previous studies have observed high levels of Spm in healthy prostate tissue and benign prostatic hyperplasia, and reduced Spm levels in malignant prostate tissue (16,36–38).

The osmoregulator mI is expressed in a variety of tissues, and its decrease was observed in PCa within human expressed prostatic secretions using high-resolution NMR (39) and in breast tumors (40). In our study, slightly increased mI ratios were observed in cancerous locations, but were not statistically significant.

Glu and Gln are difficult to resolve owing to resonance overlap. As a result, most MRS studies use the sum of Glu and Gln (expressed as Glx or Glu + Gln). Glu is extensively involved in metabolic and oncogenic pathways. Koochekpour (41) showed that serum Glu levels correlated directly with Gleason scores.
In our study, decreased Glx ratios were found in cancerous locations, but were not statistically significant. There was an overlap between cancerous and non-cancerous locations, possibly as a result of the low SNR of the Cr peak. As a result of patient movement and $B_0$ inhomogeneity, the resonances of Ch, Cr and Spm are difficult to resolve, especially in cancerous locations, adding to the uncertainty in quantification. However, the use of prior knowledge fitting (ProFit) may improve accurate metabolite (42) quantification, which warrants future investigation.

### Table 1. Measures of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV), negative predictive value (NPV) and accuracy of maximum entropy (MaxEnt) and total variation (TV) methods using receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>AUC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cit</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>90.0</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>94.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx</td>
<td>66.6</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>48.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>56.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI</td>
<td>54.5</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>65.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spm</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>72.7</td>
<td>61.4</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>59.3</td>
<td>64.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metabolite</th>
<th>Sensitivity (%)</th>
<th>Specificity (%)</th>
<th>Accuracy (%)</th>
<th>PPV (%)</th>
<th>NPV (%)</th>
<th>AUC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cit</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>90.9</td>
<td>88.6</td>
<td>90.5</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>92.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glx</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>57.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mI</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spm</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>63.6</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>56.0</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cit, citrate; Glx, glutamine + glutamate; mI, myo-inositol; Spm, spermine.
investigation. As a result of the limited patient population, we did not find any significant changes in other metabolites. In addition, this study focused on the PZ of the prostate, where only 80% of cancer occurs. The advantage of the compressed sensing-based 4D EP-JRESI sequence is that it records short TE-based spectra from multiple regions of human prostate, and additional metabolites, such as ml, Spm and Glx, to the normally detected Cit, Cr and Ch.

This pilot work demonstrated the use of slice-based 4D EP-JRESI, and future work will focus on volume-based, five-dimensional (5D) EP-JRESI in PCs (43). Compressed sensing-based 4D EP-JRESI shortens the total acquisition time, effectively enabling future potential to extend to pathological evaluations in a clinical set-up. The current validation method may require further optimization to improve the overall performance. As reported by Burns et al. (26), the sample mask is crucial to the SNR of each reconstructed prostate metabolite for the 4D EP-JRESI data. Further optimization of the reduction in non-linearity of the reconstructed peaks may enable accurate quantification of metabolites. In addition, future work will address the use of Poison gap versus deterministic sample masks, and the optimization of the modulation functions for specific metabolites relevant to PCs.

CONCLUSION

We were able to detect metabolites in PCs using compressed sensing-based 4D EP-JRESI data acquired in clinically acceptable times (~12 min). We have shown that it is possible to undersample the 4D EP-JRESI sequence with an acceleration factor of four times, and that the data can be reliably reconstructed using the TV and MaxEnt methods. Both non-linear reconstruction methods provided comparable results.
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Target Audience: Basic scientists interested in Compressed Sensing (CS) reconstruction of semi-laser based multi-dimensional spectroscopic imaging (3 spatial and 2 spectral dimensions), as well as clinicians interested in spectroscopic imaging of in vivo prostate.

Purpose: Alongside lung cancer, prostate cancer is one of the leading causes of cancer death in men [1]. J-resolved spectroscopy (PRESS) is a technique that utilizes a two-dimensional (2D) acquisition to spread overlapping resonances into a second spectral dimension, and has been shown to be useful in assessing severity of prostate cancer [2, 3]. Spectroscopic imaging of this technique provides greater spatial coverage [4], however in order to acquire data in a clinically feasible time, an echo-planar readout becomes a necessity to acquire one spatial and one spectral domain simultaneously [5]. In order to further reduce scan time, a non-uniform undersampling (NUS) scheme can be applied to the incremented dimensions, and the resulting data can be reconstructed using CS reconstruction [6]. Due to the limited bandwidth of classical 180° pulses, chemical shift displacement error (CSDE) is apparent. Using a pair of adiabatic 180° pulses to replace these smaller bandwidth pulses has shown to limit CSDE [7]. The purpose of this study was to develop a 5 dimensional (5D) technique (3 spatial and 2 spectral dimensions), as well as clinicians interested in spectroscopic imaging of in vivo prostate.

Methods: A semi-Laser 5D EP-JRESI sequence with a maximum echo sampling scheme was developed and used to scan four healthy volunteers (mean age = 54.5 years old) and two prostate cancer patients (mean age = 54 years old) using a multi-channel body array coil for healthy or a single channel endorectal coil for patients. For the semi-laser based sequence, the overall structure was similar to the 5D EP-JRESI sequence [8], however each 180° pulse was replaced with a pair of 180° adiabatic pulses [7]. The t1 increment was placed in between the two pairs of adiabatic pulses. The pulse sequence diagram can be seen in Figure 1. The following parameters were used for data acquisition: TE=41 ms, TR=1000 ms, spectral bandwidth=1190/1000 Hz, number of t1 points = 256, number of t2 points = 64, FOV = 16x16x12 cm^3, and resolution = 1x1x1.5 cm^3, and the total scan time was approximately 17 minutes. The echo planar readout was used to simultaneously acquire 32 (oversampled) kx points and 256 t1 points, and the other spatial dimensions were acquired using 16 points for kx and 8 points for ky. A NUS scheme was applied to achieve an acceleration factor of 8x. Before the data were reconstructed, the data were truncated to only include the spectral region of interest (f2 = 1.2-4.3 ppm) in order to ensure minimal water contamination. The data was reconstructed using a modified split Bregman algorithm that minimizes total variation (TV) [6]. Select voxels from healthy and unhealthy regions in the prostate, as determined from biopsy, were qualitatively assessed.

Results: Qualitative analysis of the 2D spectra from the 51 year old cancer patient, displayed on the right in Figure 2, shows that lower citrate and higher choline are present in the voxel that corresponds to the unhealthy region of the prostate (as determined from a biopsy).

Discussion: The results show that for this patient, healthy and unhealthy prostate regions could be differentiated based on the 2D spectra. However, due to motion during the scan, several voxels contained severe lipid contamination (hyper-intense regions on the citrate metabolite map). This contamination may be minimized by using an inversion pulse for lipid suppression [9], and will be implemented in the future.

Conclusion: Semi-Laser 5D EP-JRESI has been implemented in healthy volunteers and prostate cancer patients, and is capable of full prostate coverage. Future work will focus on recruiting more healthy volunteers and patients, quantifying the 2D spectra using prior knowledge fitting, and further optimizing the sequence to avoid lipid contamination.
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