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### Abstract

This project developed analytical and computational tools to design integrated sensor-control systems, where the controller is part of the sensor and designed so as to maximize task-specific information. Within this broad umbrella, we have focused on visual sensors (EO imagery), inertial sensors (accelerometers and gyroimeters) and ranging sensors (structured light), and their integration in support of mobility task (exploration) and decisions (detection, localization, recognition, categorization of objects and scenes). The task informs what part of the data-formation process is a nuisance, i.e., it is irrelevant to the task but nevertheless aects the data. Obviously, the resulting sensor-control system depends on the data and it depends on the task. We have focused on tasks that require invariance or co-variance to illumination and to vantage point. Then the control reduces to mobility of the sensor platform, so as to overcome occlusion or scaling limitation in the passive version of the sensor. Therefore, the actuation, control, and sensing systems are collectively considered an active sensor, and algorithms for inference, planning and control can be co-designed so as to achieve maximum uncertainty reduction in the task, or maximum actionable information [11].
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1 Summary of Research Achievements

This project developed analytical and computational tools to design integrated sensor-control systems, where the controller is part of the sensor and designed so as to maximize task-specific information. Within this broad umbrella, we have focused on visual sensors (EO imagery), inertial sensors (accelerometers and gyrometers) and ranging sensors (structured light), and their integration in support of mobility task (exploration) and decisions (detection, localization, recognition, categorization of objects and scenes). The task informs what part of the data-formation process is a nuisance, i.e. it is irrelevant to the task but nevertheless affects the data. Obviously, the resulting sensor-control system depends on the data and it depends on the task. We have focused on tasks that require invariance or co-variance to illumination and to vantage point. Then the control reduces to mobility of the sensor platform, so as to overcome occlusion or scaling limitation in the passive version of the sensor. Therefore, the actuation, control, and sensing systems are collectively considered an active sensor, and algorithms for inference, planning and control can be co-designed so as to achieve maximum uncertainty reduction in the task, or maximum actionable information [11].

1.1 Modeling the agent

The first ingredient to establish an active remote sensor is the ability to move, which requires the ability to localize the sensor platform, or agent, relative to the surrounding environment.
Thus, inferring (causally, and in real-time) a representation of the environment and the agent’s location (position and orientation relative to it) is a key enabler and a fundamental and classical problem in a number of fields. It is well known [10], for instance, that pose (a trajectory in the Lie group $SE(3)$) can be inferred up to a spatial similarity transformation by a monocular EO sensor, under suitable conditions. However, knowledge of scale is essential for interaction, so EO-only approaches are not suitable for active sensing control. Traditionally, inertial navigation provides scaled-estimates of pose, but without reference to the surrounding environment and based on a doubly-integrated non-observable model that yields diverging error dynamics. Thus our first accomplishment is to study the integration of visual and inertial sensing, and the development of what we believe to be the most advanced platform for visual-inertial fusion: [9] shows feasibility, [15] shows flexibility, [14] shows robustness. Part of this work will be presented at the next ICRA (International Conference on Robotics and Automation) where the first is short-listed for Best Conference Paper. The critical element of this work is its focus on robustness, for what we have shown [12] is that most of visual data is useless for most tasks, and therefore one can expect – as indeed happens – that most of the data consists of outlier measurements. Unlike traditional filtering stemming from the field of robust statistics, in the scenario of interest it is typical to have a majority of outlier measurements. It has been necessary, therefore, to revisit classical robust filtering to handle these scenarios, which has been accomplished during the project. Specific accomplishments in this portion of the project includes:

- We have shown that commonly used models for visual inertial fusion are not observable/identifiable. While they would be identifiable if accel and gyro bias rates were known or constant, in general they are not. This (negative) result undermines much of the prior analysis of observability and identifiability of visual-inertial sensor fusion.

- While not observable, we have shown that the indistinguishable set of state trajectories is bounded, and we have computed it explicitly as a function of sensor characteristics and motion statistics.

- We have used the analysis to derive a model for a nonlinear filter that is then used to converge to a state in a set, and we have bounds for said set.

- We have designed an outlier rejection algorithm based on a finite whiteness test (Box-Ljung) computed on a temporal sliding window, and a causal smoothing scheme to support its computation, which is shown to approximate the optimal (Neymann-Pearson) discriminant.

- We have demonstrated the system live at CVPR, benchmarked against Google Tango – a project that benefits from corporate backing and over 20 engineers working full-time on it for over 2 years – outperforming it despite a single graduate student effort.

- The paper is a finalist for Best Conference Paper at the next ICRA.
1.2 Modeling the scene

Localization is only the first step to enable spatial interaction and decision tasks concerning the scene. The representation of the underlying environment sufficient to support localization is typically a sparse point cloud. This is clearly insufficient for most other tasks that require at least the topology of the scene to determine what surfaces or “objects” are neighbors. For instance, for navigation, it is vital to know whether the empty space between two points is occupied by their supporting surfaces, or whether it is empty space, as in the latter case it is traversable, in the former it is not.

To this end, we have developed methods for topology estimation and regularization, as well as coupling between location estimation and coarse geometry: [4] couples the two, [3] uses technique for range imagery, [7] develops robust methods for densification and reconstruction, [8] regularizes with the structure tensor. Furthermore, [5] develops first second-order method for geometric inverse problems.

As part of this effort, we have performed analysis and design of co-variant detectors and their associated invariant descriptors (low-level, local descriptors [6]) and dynamic scene analysis [13], leveraging work on occlusion detection [1, 2]. Specific achievements include:

- We have shown that surface topology and geometry can be computed without minimal surface bias, yielding water-tight surfaces and accurate volume measurements. These have been used by neuroscientists to study the perceptual bias in the relation between size and weight of objects.

- We have developed novel regularizers that respect the surface geometry without the need to know their topology, exploiting instead the (trivial) image topology. This means that we can run dense reconstruction in real time.

- We have developed the first second-order (Newton-like) optimization scheme on geometric shape spaces.

- We have leveraged on prior work on occlusion detection to develop scene partition schemes that can account for individual objects’ motion and relative occlusion, while maintaining persistent tracking.

1.3 Controlling the sensor

In [16] we have shown how the controller can be part of the sensor and collectively make a system “the best sensor it can be”, in the sense of controlling the data acquisition process so as to minimize task uncertainty. While in the early phases of the project this construction was restricted to cartoon two-dimensional objects, as the ensuing optimization problem becomes quickly intractable and in any case beyond real-time low-latency implementation suitable to closing the loop, during the latter phase of the project we have developed efficient computational approximations based on extended using Poisson sampling that have enabled
us not only to extend it to 3D but also to allow non-compact domains, essential in remote sensing such as vision and ranging. Specific achievements include

- We have extended the optimal exploratory control work, previously developed, to non-compact domains. In order to compute uncertainty reduction, one needs to compute the “probability of visibility,” which is the probability of sensing portions of the scene that are occluded. This requires a prior. If the domain is not compact, the uncertainty is infinite, and therefore the uncertainty reduction (information) is not defined. We have developed a method based on Poisson Sampling that makes this sound mathematically, and efficient to compute, with Poisson-Voronoi partitions.

- We have tested Poisson-Voronoi based planning and (pseudo-)optimal control in simulated environments in 2D and 3D

While all the milestones foreseen in the original proposal have been met, new paths forward have opened during the investigation. Specifically, now that the formalization of the problem of maximizing “actionable information” has been done, there remains the need to derive tractable approximations that come with some kind of performance guarantee. The work on Poisson-Voronoi sampling is one such example, but much more work is needed to extend this work to more complex tasks, and to higher level of abstractions of the scene, where the interaction and control is not only based on geometry and topology, but on the semantics of the scene, that is its partition into objects and the description of their relations.

This is part of future work that we intend to commence now.

Acknowledgment/Disclaimer

This work was sponsored in part by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, USAF, under grant number FA9550-09-1-0427. The views and conclusions contained herein are those of the author and should not be interpreted as necessarily representing the official policies or endorsements, either expressed or implied, of the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, or the U.S. Government.

References


Personnel Supported During Duration of Grant

Stefano Soatto (PI)
Jingming Dong (Graduate Student)
Konstantine Tsotsos (Graduate Student)
Zhaoking Bu (Graduate Student)
Jonathan Baler (Postdoc)
Vasiliy Karasev (Graduate Student)

Publications (see section “References” above)

Honors & Awards Received


AFRL Point of Contact

Dr. Fariba Fahroo, Program Manager, Computational Mathematics, AFOSR/NL, 875 North Randolph Street, Suite 325, Room 3112, Arlington, VA 22203, (703) 696-8429, Fax (703) 696-8450, DSN 426-8429, fariba.fahroo@afosr.af.mil

Transitions: Software developed during the project was integrated within the open-source repository “VlFeat” (www.vlfeat.org). Software for visual-inertial navigation has been distributed to numerous academic and government laboratories, including ONR China Lake.

New Discoveries: Most publications have been supplemented by open-source code and distributed freely for non-commercial purposes. No patented disclosures were submitted as part of the research conducted in this project.
Abstract
This project developed analytical and computational tools to design integrated sensor-control systems, where the controller is part of the sensor and designed so as to maximize task-specific information. Within this broad umbrella, we have focused on visual sensors (EO imagery), inertial sensors (accelerometers and gyrometers) and ranging sensors (structured light), and their integration in support of mobility task (exploration) and decisions (detection, localization, recognition, categorization of objects and scenes). The task informs what part of the data-formation process is a nuisance, i.e. it is irrelevant to the task but nevertheless affects the data. Obviously, the resulting sensor-control system depends on the data and it depends on the task. We have focused on tasks that require invariance or co-variance to illumination and to vantage point. Then the control reduces to mobility of the sensor platform, so as to overcome occlusion or scaling limitation in the passive version of the sensor. Therefore, the actuation, control, and sensing systems are collectively considered an active sensor, and algorithms for inference, planning and control can be co-designed so as to achieve maximum uncertainty reduction in the task, or maximum actionable information [11].

Distribution Statement
This is block 12 on the SF298 form.
Distribution A - Approved for Public Release

Explanation for Distribution Statement
If this is not approved for public release, please provide a short explanation. E.g., contains proprietary information.

SF298 Form
Please attach your SF298 form. A blank SF298 can be found here. Please do not password protect or secure the PDF. The maximum file size for an SF298 is 50MB.

AFD-070820-035.pdf

Upload the Report Document. File must be a PDF. Please do not password protect or secure the PDF. The maximum file size for the Report Document is 50MB.

FinalReport2015Soatto.pdf

Upload a Report Document, if any. The maximum file size for the Report Document is 50MB.

Archival Publications (published) during reporting period:
see uploaded PDF

Changes in research objectives (if any):
n/a

Change in AFOSR Program Manager, if any:
n/a

Extensions granted or milestones slipped, if any:
n/a

AFOSR LRIR Number

LRIR Title

Reporting Period

Laboratory Task Manager

Program Officer

Research Objectives

Technical Summary

Funding Summary by Cost Category (by FY, $K)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Starting FY</th>
<th>FY+1</th>
<th>FY+2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Salary</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Equipment/Facilities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supplies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Report Document

Report Document - Text Analysis

Report Document - Text Analysis

Appendix Documents

2. Thank You

E-mail user

Sep 03, 2015 21:36:15 Success: Email Sent to: soatto@ucla.edu

DISTRIBUTION A: Distribution approved for public release.