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SUMMARY

This paper contains a solution in series form for the stresses and displacements around a hemispherical pit at a free surface of an elastic body. The problem is idealized by considering a semi-infinite medium which is otherwise bounded by a plane. At infinity the body is assumed to be in a state of plane hydrostatic tension perpendicular to the axis of symmetry of the pit. The present method of solution may be generalized to loadings which are not rotationally symmetric. Numerical results are given for the variation along the axis of symmetry of the normal stress which is parallel to the tractions at infinity; these results are compared with the known corresponding numerical values appropriate to the two-dimensional analog of the present problem.
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STRESS CONCENTRATION DUE TO A HEMISPHERICAL PIT
AT A FREE SURFACE

I. INTRODUCTION, STATEMENT OF PROBLEM

In what follows we investigate the stress concentration produced by a hemispherical pit at a free surface of an elastic body. The problem is idealized by considering the medium to occupy a semi-infinite region containing a hemispherical indentation and otherwise bounded by a plane.

With reference to cartesian coordinates \((x, y, z)\), the region under consideration (Figure 1) is defined by \(z \geq 0, r = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2 + z^2} \leq 1\) if, for the sake of convenience, we assume the pit to have a radius of unity.

The body forces are assumed to vanish. So far as the loading is concerned, we confine ourselves to the axisymmetric case in which the tractions at infinity constitute a plane hydrostatic field of stress parallel to the plane \(z = 0\). It should be emphasized, however, that the method of solution adopted subsequently may be extended to the case in which the plane stress field at infinity is no longer rotationally symmetric. In the present instance, the boundary conditions at infinity assume the form,

\[
\sigma_x, \sigma_y \to 1, \quad \sigma_z, \tau_{xy}, \tau_{yz}, \tau_{zx} \to 0 \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty,
\]

where \((\sigma_x, \ldots, \tau_{xy}, \ldots)\) are the cartesian components of stress. With reference to spherical coordinates \((r, \theta, \gamma)\), introduced by the mapping,

\[
\begin{align*}
    x &= r \sin \theta \cos \gamma, \\
    y &= r \sin \theta \sin \gamma, \\
    z &= r \cos \theta,
\end{align*}
\]

\(0 \leq r < \infty, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq \pi;
\]

\(1\)
Figure 1
Meridional Cross-Section of the Pitted Region, Spherical Coordinates
the conditions to be met at the stress-free plane boundary appear as

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= \tau_{r\theta} = \tau_{r\gamma} = 0 \\
\text{for } 1 \leq r < \infty, \quad \theta = \pi/2, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi,
\end{align*}$$

(3)

whereas a traction-free surface of the pit requires

$$\begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= \tau_{r\theta} = \tau_{r\gamma} = 0 \\
\text{for } r = 1, \quad 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi,
\end{align*}$$

(4)
in which $(\sigma_r, \ldots, \tau_{r\theta}, \ldots)$ are the spherical components of stress.

The two-dimensional analog of this problem, that is, the problem presented by the stress concentration around a semi-circular notch in a semi-infinite plate which, at infinity, is in a state of uniaxial tension parallel to its straight edge, has received repeated attention. Maunsell [1] obtained a series solution to this plane problem by first extending the uniform stress field at infinity throughout the half-plane and then removing the tractions so arising on the notch with the aid of a doubly infinite sequence of particular solutions of the field equations. This sequence is constructed in such a manner that each of its members is singular at the center of the notch, and leaves the straight boundary, as well as infinity, free from tractions. Subsequently, Weinel [2] and C. B. Ling [3], using bipolar coordinates, arrived at more elegant integral representations of the solution to the plane problem. The application to the present space problem of analogous integral approaches, based

\[1\] Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of this paper.
on the use of toroidal coordinates, meets with great analytical difficulties. The method of solution adopted here may be regarded as the three-dimensional counterpart of that employed by Maunsell [1].

---

2 One is led to a system of dual integral equations the kernels of which involve the Legendre functions of the first kind of complex index, \( P_{-1/2 + it}(q) \), where the index parameter \( t \) is the variable of integration. These functions have not been investigated extensively; see [4], p. 451.
II. PARTICULAR SOLUTIONS IN SPHERICAL COORDINATES

The problem characterized by the boundary conditions (1), (3), and (4), may be attacked by any one of the various available stress-function approaches to axisymmetric problems in elasticity theory. We shall utilize the approach originated by Boussinesq [7], according to which the general solution of the displacement equations of equilibrium, in case of torsion-free rotational symmetry, and in the absence of body forces, is representable as the sum of the two displacement fields,

\[ 2G \begin{bmatrix} u_x, u_y, u_z \end{bmatrix} = \text{grad} \phi, \]

\[ 2G \begin{bmatrix} u_x, u_y, u_z \end{bmatrix} = \text{grad} (z \psi) - 4(1 - \nu) \begin{bmatrix} 0, 0, \psi \end{bmatrix}, \]

where

\[ \nabla^2 \phi(r, z) = 0, \quad \nabla^2 \psi(r, z) = 0. \]

Here \( u_x, u_y, u_z \) are cartesian components of displacement, \( r = (x^2 + y^2 + z^2)^{1/2} \), \( \nabla^2 \) is the Laplace operator, and \( G \) and \( \nu \) are the shear modulus and Poisson's ratio, respectively.

For our present purposes it is expedient to refer to the spherical coordinates \( (r, \Theta, \phi) \) defined by Equations (2). The basic displacement fields given in Equations (5), (6), and their associated fields of stress, were transformed into general orthogonal axisymmetric coordinates in [6]. The specific forms assumed by the Boussinesq solutions in spherical coordinates appear explicitly in [8], which also contains the particular solutions obtained upon introduction of the interior and exterior spherical harmonics of integral order as generating stress functions \( \phi \) and \( \psi \).

\footnote{See [5], Chapter VIII, and [6] for references.}
In view of the regularity requirements inherent in the current problem, we limit our attention to the two aggregates of harmonic stress functions,

\[
\Phi_n(r, \theta) = r^{-n-1} P_n(\cos \theta)
\]

\[
\Psi_n(r, \theta) = r^{-n-1} P_n(\cos \theta)
\]

\[n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,\] \hspace{1cm} (8)

in which \(P_n\) denotes the Legendre polynomial of order \(n\). The stress functions defined in Equations (8) represent exterior spherical harmonics and give rise to displacement and stress fields which are singular at the origin \(r = 0\), are otherwise regular, and vanish at infinity. The particular solutions of the field equations generated by \(\Phi_n\) and \(\Psi_n\) will be designated by \(\{A_n\}\) and \(\{C_n\}\), respectively. As explained in \([8]\), considerable simplifications arise from a replacement of solution \(\{C_n\}\) with the linear combination,

\[
\{B_n\} = (2n + 1) \{C_n\} - (n + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \nu) \{A_{n-1}\}.
\] \hspace{1cm} (9)_4

We now cite\(^5\) the displacement and stress fields appropriate to solutions \(\{A_n\}\) and \(\{B_n\}\). With the auxiliary notations,

\[
p = \cos \theta, \quad \tilde{p} = \sin \theta,
\] \hspace{1cm} (10)

we obtain for solution \(\{A_n\}\),

\[
20u_r = -\frac{(n + 1) P_n}{r^{n+2}}, \quad 20\psi = -\frac{\tilde{p} P_n'}{r^{n+2}},
\] \hspace{1cm} (11)_6

---

\(^4\)In Equation (9), as in subsequent equations, the letters in brackets denote either the displacement vector field or the stress tensor field, and equality, addition, as well as multiplication by a scalar, are to be interpreted accordingly.

\(^5\)See Equations (12), (13), (17), (18) of \([8]\).

\(^6\)The argument of \(P_n\) is henceforth understood to be \(p\) and \(P_n' = \frac{dP_n}{dp}\).
\[
\sigma_r = \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{r^{n+3}} P_n, \\
\tau_\theta = \frac{1}{r^{n+3}} \left[ P_{n+1} - (n+1)(n+2) P_n \right], \\
\sigma_\gamma = -\frac{P_{n+1}}{r^{n+3}}, \\
\tau_\theta = \frac{n+2}{r^{n+3}} P_n. \\
\]

(12)

On the other hand, for \([B_n] \) there results

\[
2G u_r = -\frac{(n+1)(n+1 - 4\nu)}{r^{n+1}} P_{n+1}, \\
2G u_\theta = -\frac{P_{n+1}}{r^{n+1}} \left[ P_{n+1} - (n-3+4\nu) P_n \right], \\
\sigma_r = (n+1) \left[ (n+1)(n+4) - 2\nu \right] \frac{P_{n+1}}{r^{n+2}}, \\
\tau_\theta = \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \left[ (n+1)(n^2 - n+1 - 2\nu) P_{n+1} - (n-3+4\nu) P_n \right], \\
\sigma_\gamma = \frac{1}{r^{n+2}} \left[ (1-2\nu)(n+1)(2n+1) P_{n+1} + (n-3+4\nu) P_n \right], \\
\tau_\theta = \frac{n^2+2n-1+2\nu}{r^{n+2}} P_{n+1}. \\
\]

(13)

(14)

In the preceding equations \( u_r, u_\theta \) and \( \sigma_r, \tau_\theta, \sigma_\gamma, \tau_\theta \) denote spherical components of displacement and stress, respectively; the displacement of \( u_\gamma \) and the stresses \( \tau_\gamma, \tau_\theta \), which vanish identically by virtue of rotational symmetry, have been omitted.

The physical significance of the sequences of solutions \([A_n], [B_n], \)
\((n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\), was examined in Reference [8]. Solution \([A_0] \) may be identified as that appropriate to a center of compression at the origin, whereas \([B_0] \) is the solution corresponding to a concentrated force acting at \( r = 0 \) in an infinite body, in the direction of the
negative z-axis. Moreover, in cartesian coordinates,

$$\[ A_n \] = \frac{(-1)^n}{n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \left[ A_0 \right],$$

$$\[ B_n \] = \frac{(-1)^n(2n + 1)}{n} \frac{\partial^n}{\partial z^n} \left[ B_0 \right] + (n - 3 + \frac{1}{4} \nu) \left[ A_{n-1} \right],$$

$$\quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots). \quad (15)$$

Hence, these two aggregates represent sequences of successively higher singularities which may be obtained by suitable limit processes applied to the basic axisymmetrical singularities corresponding to solutions $\left[ A_0 \right]$ and $\left[ B_0 \right]$. In particular, $\left[ B_1 \right]$ represents a force doublet along the z-axis together with a center of compression, both located at the origin. We note that solutions $\left[ A_n \right] (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$, and $\left[ B_n \right] (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots)$, are self-equilibrated in the sense that the associated tractions on any closed surface surrounding the origin are statically equivalent to null.

In the specific problem to be treated presently we need to construct particular solutions which leave the plane $z = 0 (p = 0)$ free from surface tractions. To this end, we recall that

$$P_{2n+1}(0) = 0, \quad P'_n(0) = 0, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, Equations (16), by virtue of the Legendre recurrence relations,

$$(2n + 1)p \, P_n = (n + 1) \, P_{n+1} + nP_{n-1},$$

$$p^2 \, P'_n = nP_{n-1} - np_n, \quad (17)$$

imply

---

7See [y], pp. 185-187.
\[ P_{2n+1}(0) = (2n + 1) P_{2n}(0) \]
\[ P_{2n+2}(0) = -\frac{2n + 1}{2n + 2} P_{2n}(0) \]
\[ P_{2n}(0) = (-1)^n \frac{2n}{2^n (n!)^2} \]

\[ (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \] (18)

Substitution of (16), (18) into (12), (14) thus yields for the normal and shearing stresses of solutions \([A_n] \) and \([B_n] \), on the plane \( p = 0 \), the following values:

For solution \([A_{2n}]\),
\[ \sigma_\theta = -\frac{(2n + 1)^2}{r^{2n+3}} P_{2n}(0), \quad \tau_r = 0; \] (19)

for solution \([A_{2n+1}]\),
\[ \sigma_\theta = 0, \quad \tau_r = \frac{(2n + 1)(2n + 3)}{r^{2n+4}} P_{2n}(0); \] (20)

for solution \([B_{2n+1}]\),
\[ \sigma_\theta = \frac{(2n+1)(4n^2 + 4n - 1 + 2\nu)}{r^{2n+3}} P_{2n}(0), \quad \tau_r = 0; \] (21)

for solution \([B_{2n+2}]\),
\[ \sigma_\theta = 0, \quad \tau_r = -\frac{(2n+1)(2n+3)(4n^2 + 12n + 7 + 2\nu)}{(2n + 2)^{2n+4}} P_{2n}(0). \] (22)

In view of Equations (19) to (22), it is clear that the linear combinations,

\[ [D_n] = \alpha_{2n} [A_{2n}] + (2n + 1) [B_{2n+1}] \]
\[ [E_n] = \alpha_{2n+2} [A_{2n+1}] + (2n + 2) [B_{2n+2}] \]

\[ (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \] (23)
where

\[ \alpha_{2n} = (2n + 1)^2 - 2 + 2 \nu, \]  

(24)

satisfy Equations (3), that is, clear the plane \( z = 0 \) from tractions.

From Equations (11), (12), (13), (14), we obtain for \( [T_n] \),

\[
\begin{align*}
20 u_r &= - \frac{2n + 1}{r^{2n+2}} \left[ \alpha_{2n} P_{2n} + (2n + 2)(2n + 5 - 4 \nu) P_{2n+2} \right] \\
20 u_\theta &= - \frac{\bar{P}}{r^{2n+2}} \left[ \alpha_{2n} P_{2n} + (2n - 2 + 4 \nu)(2n + 1) P_{2n+2} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(25)

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= \frac{(2n + 1)(2n + 2)}{r^{2n+3}} \left[ \alpha_{2n} P_{2n} + \beta_{2n} P_{2n+2} \right] \\
\sigma_\theta &= \frac{1}{r^{2n+3}} \left[ \left[ \alpha_{2n} + (2n + 1)(2n - 2 + 4 \nu) \right] P_{2n+1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (2n + 1)(2n + 2) \left[ \alpha_{2n} P_{2n} + (\alpha_{2n} - 2n + 2 - 4 \nu) P_{2n+2} \right] \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(26)

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_\gamma &= - \frac{\ln + 3}{r^{2n+3}} \left[ (2n + 1)(2n + 2)(1 - 2 \nu) P_{2n+2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (2n - 1 + 2 \nu) P_{2n+1} \right] \\
\tau_{r\theta} &= \frac{\bar{P}}{r^{2n+3}} \left[ (2n + 2) \alpha_{2n} P_{2n} + (2n + 1) \alpha_{2n+1} P_{2n+2} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

and for \( [E_n] \),

\[
\begin{align*}
20 u_r &= - \frac{2n + 2}{r^{2n+3}} \left[ \alpha_{2n+2} P_{2n+1} + (2n + 3)(2n + 6 - 4 \nu) P_{2n+3} \right] \\
20 u_\theta &= - \frac{\bar{P}}{r^{2n+3}} \left[ \alpha_{2n+2} P_{2n+1} + (2n + 2)(2n - 1 + 4 \nu) P_{2n+3} \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(27)
\[
\sigma_r = \frac{(2n+2)(2n+3)}{r^{2n+4}} \left[ \alpha_{2n+2} P_{2n+1} + \beta_{2n+1} P_{2n+3} \right] \\
\sigma_\theta = \frac{1}{r^{2n+4}} \left[ \alpha_{2n+2} (2n+2)(2n+3) P_{2n+2} - (2n+2)(2n+3) \left( \alpha_{2n+2} P_{2n} + 1 \right) + (\alpha_{2n+1} - 2n+1 - 4) P_{2n+3} \right] \\
\sigma_y = -\frac{1}{r^{2n+4}} \left[ (2n+1+2\nu) P_{2n+2} + (1-2\nu)(2n+2)(2n+3) P_{2n+3} \right] \\
\tau_{r\theta} = \frac{\bar{p}}{r^{2n+4}} \alpha_{2n+2} \left[ (2n+2)P_{2n+3} + (2n+3) P_{2n+1} \right],
\]

where

\[
\beta_n \equiv (n+2)(n+5) - 2\nu.
\]

Solutions \([D_n]\) and \([E_n]\) are self-equilibrated in the upper half-space, in the sense that the resultant of the corresponding tractions vanishes on a hemisphere centered at \(r = 0\) and lying in the region \(z \geq 0\). To show this, we observe that for any axisymmetric stress field this resultant is a single force coincident with the z-axis, the magnitude of which is given by

\[
Z(r) = -2\pi r^2 \int_0^1 \left[ \rho \sigma_r - \bar{\rho} \tau_{r\theta} \right] dp,
\]

where \(Z(r)\) is positive if its sense is that of the positive z-axis. Equation (30), after a trivial computation, yields for the first Bousinesq solution, defined by Equation (5),

\[
Z(r) = 2\pi r \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \bigg|_{p=0},
\]
whereas for the second Boussinesq solution, defined by Equation (6), we reach

$$Z(r) = 4\pi(1 - \nu)r^2 \int_0^1 \frac{3}{2r} \, dp.$$  \hspace{1cm} (32)

Equations (31), (32), together with (8), (9), (23), (24), confirm the self-equilibriance in the upper half-space of $[D_n]$, $[E_n]$. We note that self-equilibriance, in the present sense, is not a necessary consequence of the requirement that the tractions of a solution be zero on the plane $p = 0$, with the exception of the origin. The well known solution of Boussinesq corresponding to a concentrated normal load on the half-space\(^8\) conforms to this requirement but is, evidently, not self-equilibrated.

The physical significance of the singular solutions $[D_n]$, $[E_n]$ can be exhibited readily. For example, $[D_0]$ corresponds\(^9\) to two mutually perpendicular tangential force doublets, acting at the origin, on the plane $p = 0$. The physical significance of the other members of these two aggregates can, similarly, be established through suitable limit processes applied to the solutions corresponding to various configurations of normal and tangential forces acting on the plane boundary of the half-space.

\(^8\)See [9], p. 191.

\(^9\)See [10].
III. SOLUTION OF PROBLEM

We assume the solution \([S]\) to the problem characterized by the boundary conditions (1), (3), (4) in the form,

\[ [S] = [U] + [R]. \] (33)

Solution \([U]\) corresponds to the uniform stress field,

\[ \sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1, \quad \sigma_z = \tau_{xy} = \tau_{yz} = \tau_{zx} = 0, \] (34)

or, with reference to spherical coordinates, to

\[ \begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= \bar{p}^2, \\
\sigma_\theta &= \bar{p}^2, \\
\sigma_\phi &= 1, \\
\tau_{r\theta} &= \bar{p}p,
\end{align*} \] (35)\textsuperscript{10}

where \(p, \bar{p}\) are defined in Equations (10), while \([R]\) is the solution to the "residual" problem, the definition of which is implicit in Equation (33). Thus, \([R]\) is characterized by the boundary conditions (3), in addition to the requirement,

\[ \sigma_r, \sigma_\theta, \sigma_\phi, \tau_{r\theta} \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty, \] (36)\textsuperscript{10}

and must satisfy

\[ \begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= -\bar{p}^2, \\
\tau_{r\theta} &= -\bar{p}p,
\end{align*} \] (37)

for \(r = 1, \ 0 \leq p \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi.\)

\textsuperscript{10} The stresses \(\tau_{r\theta}\) and \(\tau_{\phi\phi}\) are zero by virtue of rotational symmetry and have been omitted.
The tractions of \([R]\) on the surface of the pit have zero resultant.

Since, furthermore, \([R]\) must leave the surface \(1 \leq r < \infty\), \(p = 0\) free from tractions, we are led to expand \([R]\) in terms of the two aggregates of solutions \([D_n], [E_n]\), Equations (23) to (29), which possess both of these properties. Thus, we assume

\[
[R] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ a_n [D_n] + b_n [E_n] \right\}, \tag{38}
\]

where \(a_n, b_n (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\) are, as yet, arbitrary.

In view of the fact that each \([D_n], [E_n] (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\) satisfies the boundary conditions (3), (36), the same is true of \([R]\) provided the series in Equation (38) converge suitably. With a view toward meeting the boundary conditions (37), we observe that they may be written as

\[
\begin{align*}
\sigma_r &= -\frac{2}{3} \rho + \frac{2}{3} \rho^2, \\
\tau_r^{\theta} &= -\frac{1}{3} \rho^2 \\
& \quad \text{for } r = 1, 0 \leq p \leq 1, 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi.
\end{align*}
\tag{39}
\]

Furthermore, the expansions, \(^{11}\)

\[
\begin{align*}
P_{2k+1}(p) &= (2k + 1) P_{2k}(0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_k^{(n)} P_{2n}(p), \\
P_{2k+1}^{'}(p) &= (2k + 1) P_{2k}(0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \omega_k^{(n)} P_{2n}^{'}(p), \\
\omega_k^{(n)} &= \frac{(2n + 1) P_{2n}(0)}{(2k + 1 - 2n)(2k + 2 + 2n)}, 0 < p \leq 1,
\end{align*}
\tag{40}
\]

with the aid of Equations (28), lead to the following Legendre series representation of \(\sigma_r, \tau_r^{\theta}\) of \([E_k]\) on \(r = 1, 0 \leq p \leq 1,\)

\(^{11}\)See, for example, [L], pp. 38, 39.
\[ \sigma_r = (2k+1)(2k+3)P_{2k}(0) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{(2k+2)\alpha_{2k+2}}{\omega_k} \omega_k^{(n)} - (2k+1)\beta_{2k+1} \omega_k^{(n)} \right] P_{2n}(p), \]

\[ \tau_{r\theta} = (2k+1)(2k+3)P_{2k}(0) \alpha_{2k+2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{\omega_k^{(n)} - \omega_{k+1}^{(n)}}{\omega_k^{(n)}} \right] P_{2n}(p), \]

for \( r = 1, 0 \leq p \leq 1, \quad 0 \leq \gamma \leq 2\pi. \)

Application of the boundary conditions (37) to \([R]\) in Equation (38), by aid of Equations (26) and (41), yields a doubly infinite system of equations for the coefficients of superposition \(a_n, b_n\) \((n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)\).

These equations are equivalent to the following set:

\[ a_{n-1} = \frac{(2n+2)\alpha_{2n}}{\Delta_n} \left[ \frac{5}{3} \delta_1^{(n)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( (2n-2k-1)\alpha_{2k+2} \omega_k^{(n)} + \left[ (2k+3)\beta_{2k+1} - (2n+1)\alpha_{2k+2} \right] \omega_{k+1}^{(n)} \right) c_k \right] \]

\((n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \)

\[ a_n = \frac{(2n-1)\alpha_{2n-1}}{\Delta_n} \left[ \frac{2}{3} \delta_0^{(n)} - 2 \delta_1^{(n)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ (2k+2)\alpha_{2n-1} - 2n\beta_{2n-2} \alpha_{2k+2} \omega_k^{(n)} + \left[ 2n\beta_{2n-2} \alpha_{2k+2} - (2k+3)\alpha_{2n+1} \beta_{2k+1} \right] \omega_{k+1}^{(n)} \right] c_k \right] \]

\((n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \)

Note that although Equations (40) hold only for the open interval \(0 < p \leq 1\), Equations (41) are valid for the closed interval since \(\tau_{r\theta}\) of \([E_k]\) vanishes for \(p = 0\).
where $\delta_m^{(n)}$ is the Kronecker delta and

$$c_k = (2k + 1)(2k + 3) \frac{P_{2k}(0)}{2} b_k, \quad \alpha_{-1} = 1,$$

$$\Delta_n = (2n-1)(2n+2)\alpha_{2n} \left[ 2n\beta_{2n-2} - (2n+1)\alpha_{2n-1} \right].$$

(43)

The elimination of $a_n$ between the two systems of equations given in Equations (42) yields an infinite system of equations for the unknowns $c_k$ ($k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$). Since these equations are at once obtainable from Equations (42), they may be omitted here. Once the $c_k$ have been determined, the coefficients of superposition $a_n, b_n$ follow from Equations (42), (43). The values of these coefficients, together with Equations (24) to (29), (33), (35), (38), constitute the complete solution of the problem.
IV. STRESS EVALUATIONS, ACCELERATION OF CONVERGENCE, NUMERICAL RESULTS

The infinite system of equations for the $c_k$, which is immediate from Equations (42), was dealt with by the usual segmentation process, for $\nu = \frac{1}{4}$. Thus, systems of $n$ equations in $n$ unknowns, which correspond to principle sub-matrices of rank $n$, were solved for values of $n$ ranging from 10 to 26. The systems of equations for $n = 25$ and $n = 26$ yield coefficients $c_k$ which agree to the number of figures shown in Table 1. These values were used in the subsequent stress computations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$c_n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.158,199,1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.046,745,3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.006,036,9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.001,551,8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.000,491,7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.000,163,5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.000,047,3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The series, Equations (42), for $a_n$, converge slowly and, consequently, the same applies to the series for the individual stress components. For the purpose of accelerating the convergence, the following method of stress computation was adopted: Let $s_n(r, \Theta)$ be a representative stress component of $[\mathbf{D}_n]$. If the first of Equations (42) is written in the form,

$$ a_{n-1} = f_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_n^{(k)} c_k, \quad (n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \quad (44) $$
the corresponding representative stress component $s(r, \Theta)$ of

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} \left[ D_{n-1} \right]$$

becomes

$$s = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_{n-1} s_{n-1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ f_n + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g_n^{(k)} c_k \right] s_{n-1}$$

$$(45)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n s_{n-1} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{(k)} s_{n-1} \right] c_k.$$  

The slow convergence of the double series in Equations (45) is readily traced to the slow convergence of the series,

$$q^{(k)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} g_n^{(k)} s_{n-1}.$$  

$$(46)$$

Since the general term in the series for $q^{(k)}$ is available from Equations (26), (42), (44), Kummer's transformation$^{13}$ can be used to accelerate the convergence. Thus, a sequence of functions $h_n^{(k)}(r, \Theta)$ is determined such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g_n^{(k)} s_{n-1}}{h_n^{(k)}} = 1, \ (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),$$  

$$(47)$$

and

$$H^{(k)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n^{(k)}$$  

$$(48)$$

admits a closed representation. One then has

$$q^{(k)} = H^{(k)} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ g_n^{(k)} s_{n-1} - h_n^{(k)} \right].$$  

$$(49)$$

$^{13}$See, for example, [11], p. 247.
The series in Equation (49) converges faster than that in Equation (46).

We illustrate the foregoing procedure with the stress component \( \sigma_\Theta \), on the axis of symmetry, which is of primary interest in the present investigation. Thus, let \( s \) in the preceding discussion be \( \sigma_\Theta \) for \( p = 1 \). Since

\[
P_n(1) = 1, \quad p_n'(1) = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}, \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots), \quad (50)_{14}
\]

we have from Equations (26), (42), (43), and (50), with \( \nu = 1/4 \),

\[
\varepsilon_n^{(k)}_{s_{n-1}} = \frac{n(4n-3)(16n^2-1)(2n \xi_k + \zeta_k) P_{2n}(0)}{(16n^2 + 1n + 3)(2n + 2k + 2)(2n - 2k - 3)(2n + 2k + 4)r^{2n+3}}
\]

\[
\xi_k = (2k + 3) \beta_{2k+1} - (2k + 2) \omega_{2k+2}
\]

\[
\zeta_k = (2k + 3)(2k + 2) \beta_{2k+1} - (2k^2 + 8k + 7) \omega_{2k+2}
\]

\[
(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \quad (51)
\]

for the general term in Equations (46). We now choose \( h^{(k)}_n \) in Equation (47) in the form,

\[
h^{(k)}_n = \frac{(4n^2 \xi_k + 2nL_k + M_k) P_{2n}(0)}{(2n + 1)(2n + 2) r^{2n+3}}, \quad (52)
\]

\[
(n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots), \quad (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots),
\]

where \( L_k, M_k \) are independent of \( n \). Equation (52) evidently conforms to the limit condition (47) for arbitrary choice of the sequences \( L_k, M_k \) and, as will be seen later, the corresponding \( R^{(k)} \) in Equations (48) admits a closed representation. It is apparent from Equation (47) that the best possible convergence, within the present choice of \( h^{(k)}_n \), of the

\[\text{See [12], p. 553.}\]
series in Equation (46), is secured by determining \( I_k, M_k \) in such a way that the coefficients of the highest power of \( n \) in the numerator of

\[
\frac{\xi_n^{(k)} s_{n-1} - h_n^{(k)}}{h_n^{(k)}}
\]

vanish. This requirement leads to

\[
\begin{align*}
I_k &= \xi_k - (2k + 2) \xi_k \\
M_k &= (16k^2 + 44k + 24) \xi_k - (2k + 2) \xi_k
\end{align*}
\]

\[ (k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots). \] (54)

We now proceed to establish \( H^{(k)} \) in closed form. To this end we recall\(^{15}\) that

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{2n} P_{2n}(0)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2}} - 1, \quad 0 \leq \mu \leq 1. \quad (55)
\]

Two successive integrations with respect to \( \mu \) of Equation (55), and subsequent division by \( \mu^2 \), yield

\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu^{2n} P_{2n}(0)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} = \sinh^{-1} \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{\sqrt{1 + \mu^2}}{\mu^2} + \frac{1}{\mu^2} - \frac{1}{2}. \quad (56)
\]

Similarly we obtain, by successive differentiation with respect to \( \mu \) of Equation (56),

---

\(^{15}\)See [4], p. 15.
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{2n\mu^{2n} P_{2n}(0)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} = -\frac{\sinh^{-1} \mu - 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\mu^2}{\mu^2}}}{\mu^2} - \frac{2}{\mu^2}, \\
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2n)^2 \mu^{2n} P_{2n}(0)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} = \frac{\sinh^{-1} \mu - (\frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{3\mu^2}{2})}{\mu^2} + \frac{\mu}{\mu^2}.
\]

(57)

In view of Equations (48), (52), \( H^{(k)} \) in the present instance is obtained by replacing \( \mu \) in Equations (56), (57) with \( r^{-1} \), subsequently dividing these equations by \( r^3 \), and forming the linear combination apparent from Equation (52). This computation results in

\[
H^{(k)} = (\frac{\xi_k - l_k + m_k}{r^2} \frac{\sinh^{-1} \frac{1}{r}}{r^2} + \frac{\xi_k}{r^2} \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + 1}}{r^2} + \frac{\xi_k}{r^2} \frac{\sqrt{r^2 + 1}}{r^2} \frac{m_k}{2r^3} + \frac{l_k}{r^3},
\]

(58)

\( k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \).

To demonstrate the improvement in the convergence of the original series for \( q^{(k)} \), Equations (48), in the present instance, we observe that the general term in this series is of the order \( (r^{2n+3} n^{3/2})^{-1} \) as \( n \to \infty \), whereas \( q^{(k)}_n \) in Equations (49) here is of the order \( (r^{2n+3} n^{9/2})^{-1} \).

An analogous procedure was used in the determination of \( \sigma_r \) for \( r = 1, p = 1 \), which was computed merely as a check on the satisfaction of the boundary conditions. Within the number of terms considered in the numerical evaluations of the series solution established earlier, this value of \( \sigma_r \), which should be zero, was found to be \( -4 \times 10^{-5} \) for \( \nu = \frac{1}{4} \). This indicates satisfaction of the boundary conditions to at least four significant figures. The variation of the maximum stress \( \sigma_\theta \) along the axis of symmetry is shown in Table 2. These results are com-
pared in Figure 2 with the corresponding stress values given by Maunseil [1] for the plane analog of the present space problem. As usual, the stress concentration in the space problem is found to be less severe in intensity and more localized in character than it is in its two-dimensional counterpart.

Table 2. The Stress $\sigma_p$ for $p = 1$, $\nu = 1/4$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>r</th>
<th>$\sigma_p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is interesting to note that the maximum value 2.23 of $\sigma_p$ at the bottom of the pit is 7 per cent larger than the maximum stress concentration induced by a spherical cavity in an infinite medium under the same loading conditions, and for $\nu = 1/4$. In contrast, the maximum stress concentration at the bottom of a semi-circular notch in a plate under unit tension at infinity is only 2 per cent larger than the corresponding value appropriate to the infinite plate with a circular hole.\(^{17}\)

---

\(^{16}\) See [13], pp. 359-362.

\(^{17}\) See [1], [2], [3].
Figure 2

Decay of the Maximum Stress, $\sigma_\theta$, along the Axis of Symmetry

$\sigma_x = \sigma_y = 1$ at Infinity
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