

UNCLASSIFIED

AD NUMBER

AD005563

LIMITATION CHANGES

TO:

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

FROM:

Distribution authorized to DoD only; Administrative/Operational Use; 31 DEC 1952. Other requests shall be referred to Naval Air Systems Command, Washington, DC 20362. Pre-dates formal DoD distribution statements. Treat as DoD only.

AUTHORITY

USNSWC ltr dtd 28 Jan 1976

THIS PAGE IS UNCLASSIFIED

THIS REPORT HAS BEEN DECLASSIFIED
AND CLEARED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE.

DISTRIBUTION A
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE;
DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED.

UNCLASSIFIED

AD _____

DEFENSE DOCUMENTATION CENTER

FOR

SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL INFORMATION

CAMERON STATION ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA

DOWNGRADED AT 3 YEAR INTERVALS:
DECLASSIFIED AFTER 12 YEARS
DCD DIR 5200 10



UNCLASSIFIED

AD NO. 5563

ASTIA FILE COPY

A METHOD FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION
OF A HEAT CONDUCTION PROBLEM

31 December 1952



U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NAVCOR Report 2725
Aeroballistic Research Report No. 86

A METHOD FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION
OF A HEAT CONDUCTION PROBLEM

Prepared by

R. P. Eddy

ABSTRACT: A scheme, due to J. von Neumann, for the numerical integration of parabolic or hyperbolic partial differential equations is here set up for use in solving a particular problem in heat conduction. A crude stability analysis is given, showing that the effects of round-off errors tend to be damped out regardless of the size of the time step — a situation which does not hold true for some better known integration schemes.

U. S. NAVAL ORDNANCE LABORATORY
WHITE OAK, MARYLAND

NAWORD Report 2725

31 December 1952

This report contains a description of a scheme for numerical integration of partial differential equations of parabolic or of hyperbolic type, due to J. von Neumann. This report has been organized under Project NR-044-003, work in Numerical Analysis, sponsored by the Office of Naval Research.

EDWARD L. WOODYARD
Captain, USN
Commander

H. H. KURZWEG, Chief
Aeroballistic Research Department
By direction

A METHOD FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION
OF A HEAT CONDUCTION PROBLEM

1. Introduction

In the course of development of a certain high velocity projectile it became desirable to estimate the temperature of the projectile's outer shell or "skin," during the course of flight. One way of accomplishing this was to obtain a numerical solution of the partial differential equation which governs heat flow in an infinite plate, using appropriate boundary conditions. This equation was non linear due to the fact that the thermal properties of steel vary appreciably over the temperature range encountered. At the inner surface the boundary condition was taken to be no transfer of heat across the surface, while at the outer surface the boundary condition took into account heat transfer both by conduction to or from the boundary layer and by radiation into space. (The latter effect turned out to be almost negligible.)

A scheme for numerical integration suggested by Dr. John von Neumann of the Institute for Advanced Study was used for part of the calculations and will be described here. It is an "implicit method" where in the values of the dependent variable on the new time step are expressed in terms of each other and must be obtained by solving a simple system of linear algebraic equations; its chief interest lies in its stability: round-off errors are damped out regardless of the size of the time step.

Numerical results which have been obtained in connection with this study can be provided upon request.

2. Equations

The governing partial differential equation was taken to be

$$\rho c(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[K(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right] \quad (1)$$

with initial condition

$$T(x, 0) = 70^\circ\text{F} = 530^\circ\text{R} \quad (2)$$

and boundary conditions

$$\left[K(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right]_{x=l} = 0 \quad (3)$$


$$\left[K(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right]_{x=0} = h(t) [T(0,t) - G(t)] + b [(T(0,t))^4 - T_a^4] \quad (4)$$

where the meaning of the symbols is as follows:

- T temperature in degrees Rankine (absolute Fahrenheit)
- t time in hours
- x distance in feet
- $K(T)$ thermal conductivity in BTU/hr. ft. $^{\circ}F$
- $C(T)$ heat capacity in BTU/lb. $^{\circ}F$
- ρ density in lb/ft 3 . (assumed constant)
- $h(t)$ coefficient of heat transfer between gas boundary layer and steel skin
- $G(t)$ temperature of gas boundary layer
- T_a effective black body temperature of space, assumed for simplicity to be constant at $0^{\circ}F = 460^{\circ}R$.
- b net coefficient of radiation emissivity


The functions $h(t)$ and $G(t)$ had been calculated previously using the best data available for a number of different calculated trajectories.

The functions $K(T)$ and $C(T)$ were approximated by piece-wise linear functions like those in Figure 1,



3. Finite difference formulation.

The finite difference mesh used is as shown in Figure 2. For convenience in satisfying boundary conditions, the mesh is laid out so that both boundaries fall



half way between mesh lines. The number of vertical mesh lines (size of Δx) was determined largely by the memory capacity of the computing machine used: the Mark II Relay Calculator at the Naval Proving Ground, Dahlgren, Virginia. A superscript on a symbol refers to the time coordinate, while a subscript refers to the space coordinate. Thus

$$T_{j-1/2}^i = T((j-1/2)\Delta x, i\Delta t) \quad (5)$$

The presence of non-integer indices is due partly to the fact that the first mesh line in from the left boundary (Figure 2) lies at $x = 1/2\Delta x$ instead of at $x = \Delta x$, and partly to the extensive use of averaging or forming linear combinations of the values of some variable at several mesh points in order to define the value of another variable at an intermediate point. The reason for the latter is, of course, to secure greater accuracy.

The following auxiliary quantities are introduced:

$$\eta_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} = \frac{1}{2} (T_{j-1/2}^{i+1} + T_{j-1/2}^i) \quad (j=1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \quad (6)$$

$$u_j^i = v_j^i = K \left(\frac{3}{4} (T_{j-1/2}^i + T_{j+1/2}^i) - \frac{1}{4} (T_{j-1/2}^{i-1} + T_{j+1/2}^{i-1}) \right) \quad (j=1, 2, 3, 4) \quad (7)$$

$$u_5^i = v_5^i = v_0^i = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$u_0^i = (\Delta x) h(t^{i+1/2}) \quad (9)$$

$$w_0^i = (\Delta x) \left(h(t^{i+1/2}) G(t^{i+1/2}) - (\eta_{1/2}^{i+1/2})^4 + \eta_a^4 \right) \quad (10)$$

$$w_j^i = 0 \quad (j=1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \quad (11)$$

$$\gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} = (2(\Delta x)^2/\Delta t) \rho C \left(\frac{3}{2} T_{j-1/2}^i - \frac{1}{2} T_{j-1/2}^{i-1} \right) \quad (j=1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \quad (12)$$

With these definitions one has

$$K(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \Big|_j^{i+1/2} = u_j^i (\eta_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} - \eta_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}) / \Delta x \quad (13)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(K(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) \Big|_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} = \left\{ u_j^i (\eta_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} - \eta_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}) / \Delta x \right. \\ \left. - v_{j-1}^i (\eta_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} - \eta_{j-3/2}^{i+1/2}) / \Delta x + w_{j-1}^i \right\} / \Delta x \quad (14)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \rho C(T) \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \Big|_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} &= \rho C(\gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}) \{ T_{j-1/2}^{i+1} - T_{j-1/2}^i \} / \Delta t \\ &= 2 \rho C(\gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2}) \{ \gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} - T_{j-1/2}^i \} / \Delta t \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Substitution of these into (1) leads to the following system of equations

$$\gamma_{j-3/2}^{i+1/2} = A_{j-3/2} \gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} - B_{j-3/2} \gamma_{j+1/2}^{i+1/2} - \Gamma_{j-3/2}^i \quad (16)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A_{j-3/2} &= (\gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} + \alpha_j^i + \nu_{j-1}^i) / \nu_{j-1}^i \\ B_{j-3/2} &= \alpha_j^i / \nu_{j-1}^i \\ \Gamma_{j-3/2} &= (\gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} T_{j-1/2}^i + \mu_{j-1}^i) / \nu_{j-1}^i \end{aligned} \right\} (j = 2, 3, 4, 5) \quad (17)$$

From (3) and (13) one has $\gamma_{1/2} = \gamma_{9/2}$ so that (16) allows $\gamma_{7/2}$ to be expressed in the form $\gamma_{7/2} = \mu_{7/2} \gamma_{9/2} - \nu_{7/2}$ and, in general

$$\gamma_{j-3/2} = \mu_{j-3/2} \gamma_{9/2} - \nu_{j-3/2} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \mu_{j-3/2} &= A_{j-3/2} \mu_{j-1/2} - B_{j-3/2} \mu_{j+1/2} \\ \nu_{j-3/2} &= A_{j-3/2} \nu_{j-1/2} - B_{j-3/2} \nu_{j+1/2} + \Gamma_{j-3/2} \end{aligned} \right\} (j = 4, 3, 2) \quad (19)$$

when $j = 1$ this recursion scheme breaks down since $\nu_0 = 0$; however the equation obtained from (16) by multiplying through by ν_{j-1} , then putting $j = 1$ and $\nu_0 = 0$, gives

$$\alpha_0^i \gamma_{3/2}^{i+1/2} - (\gamma_{1/2}^{i+1/2} + \alpha_1^i) \gamma_{1/2}^{i+1/2} + \gamma_{1/2}^{i+1/2} T_{1/2}^i + \mu_0^i = 0 \quad (20)$$

Elimination of $\gamma_{3/2}^{i+1/2}$ and $\gamma_{1/2}^{i+1/2}$ by means of (18) with $j = 3$ and $j = 2$, respectively, leaves a single equation in $\gamma_{5/2}$ which can be solved immediately. Substitution of this value into the expressions already set up from (18) then gives the values of the remaining $\gamma_{j-3/2}^{i+1/2}$ for $j = 5, 4, 3, 2$. From this the desired temperatures are then found with the aid of (6):

$$T_{j-1/2}^{i+1} = 2 \gamma_{j-1/2}^{i+1/2} - T_{j-1/2}^i \quad (21)$$

4. Stability Argument

As mentioned in the introduction, one of the interesting features of this integration scheme is that errors due to round-off are damped out no matter how

large Δt is taken -- something which is not true of some simpler schemes. The following crude argument, although not a rigorous proof, is of a kind which has proved to be quite useful and fairly accurate in practice.

The first step is to ignore the variability of the coefficients of (1); this leads to a partial difference equation with constant coefficients and homogeneous boundary conditions, which is satisfied by any round-off error function, and which can be solved by separation of variables:

$$\left(T_{j+1/2}^{i+1} - 2\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{2r}\right) T_{j+1/2}^{i+1} + T_{j-3/2}^{i+1} \right) + \left(T_{j+1/2}^i - 2\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2r}\right) T_{j+1/2}^i + T_{j-3/2}^i \right) = 0 \quad (22)$$

where

$$r = \frac{K \Delta t}{2 \rho C \cdot (\Delta x)^2} \quad (23)$$

Let

$$T_{j+1/2}^i = U_j V^i \quad (24)$$

where U is a function of x alone and V is a function of t alone. Substituting (24) into (22) and writing $(\lambda-)/(\lambda+)$ for the separation constant, one has

$$V^{i+1} = \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \right) V^i \quad (25)$$

$$U_{j+1} - 2\left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{2r}\right) U_j + U_{j-1} = 0 \quad (26)$$

To solve (26), put

$$1 - \frac{\lambda}{2r} = \cos \varphi \quad (27)$$

$$U_j = \alpha^j \quad (28)$$

which leads to

$$\alpha = e^{\varphi \sqrt{-1}} \quad (29)$$

so that

$$U_j = \alpha \cos j\varphi + \beta \sin j\varphi \quad (30)$$

The boundary conditions then determine a set of values of φ_n such that (30) is an acceptable solution of (26). These, in turn, determine the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_n = 2r(1 - \cos \varphi_n) \quad (31)$$

which are never negative, since r is positive. Therefore

$$-1 < \frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} < 1 \quad (32)$$

NAVORD Report 2725

for all values of λ encountered so that the solution of (25)

$$V^i = V^0 \left(\frac{1-\lambda}{1+\lambda} \right)^i \quad (33)$$

remains bounded as i increases. This means that the finite difference scheme considered here is always stable, regardless of the size of Δt .

R.P. Eddy

Aeroballistic Research Department
External Distribution List for Applied Math. (X 3)

<u>No. of Copies</u>		<u>No. of Copies</u>	
	Chief, Bureau of Ordnance Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		Air Targets Division Directorate of Intelligence Headquarters, USAF Washington 25, D. C.
1	Attn: Rea		
1	Attn: Re9a	1	Attn: Chief, Physical Vulnerability Br.
3		1	Attn: AFDRD-RE
	Chief, Bureau of Aeronautics Department of the Navy Washington 25, D. C.		Commanding General Hdqts, Wright Air Development Center Wright-Patterson Air Force Base Dayton, Ohio
1	Attn: AER-TD-414	1	Attn: WCSO
1	Attn: RS-7	7	Attn: WCAPD
	Commander U.S. Naval Ordnance Test Station Inyokern P.O. China Lake, California	2	Attn: WCRR
2	Attn: Technical Library		Physics Branch Division of Research U. S. Atomic Energy Commission Washington 25, D. C.
1	Attn: Code 5003	1	
	Commander U.S. Naval Air Missile Test Center Point Mugu, California		Director Maxwell Air Force Base, Alabama
2	Attn: Technical Library	1	Attn: Air University Library
	Superintendent U.S. Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California		The Artillery School Antiaircraft and Guided Missiles Br. Fort Bliss, Texas
1	Attn: Librarian	2	Attn: Research and Analysis Sec.
	Commanding Officer and Director David Taylor Model Basin Washington 7, D. C.	1	Attn: Pres. Army Field Forces, Board #4
1	Attn: Hydrodynamics Laboratory		Ballistic Research Laboratories Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, Maryland
	Chief of Naval Research Navy Research Section Library of Congress Washington 25, D. C.	2	Attn: D.S. Dederick
2			National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C.
	Office of Naval Research Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: Nat'l Applied Math. Lab.
1	Attn: Code 452	1	Attn: W. Ramberg
1	Attn: Code 438	1	Attn: Librarian(Ord. Dev. Div.)
1	Attn: Code 463		National Bureau of Standards Corona Laboratories (Ord. Dev. Div.) Corona, California
	Director Naval Research Laboratory Washington 25, D. C.	1	Attn: Dr. Harold Thomas
1	Attn: Code 2021		National Bureau of Standards Building 3U, UCLA Campus 405 Hilgard Avenue Los Angeles 24, California
1	Attn: Code 3800	1	Attn: Librarian
	Research and Development Board Library Branch Pentagon, 3D1041 Washington 25, D. C.		University of California Berkeley 4, California
1		1	Attn: G. J. Maslach
	Chief, AFSWP P.O. Box 2610 Washington, D. C.	1	Attn: Dr. S.A. Schaaf
1	Attn: Technical Library		VIA: InsMat
			California Institute of Technology Pasadena 4, California
		2	Attn: Librarians(Guggenheim Aero Lab)
		1	Attn: Prof. M.S. Plesset
			VIA: BuAero Rep.

No. of
Copies

1 University of Illinois
202 E. R. L.
Urbana, Illinois
Attn: Prof. A.H. Taub
VIA: InsMat

1 Director
Fluid Dynamics and Applied Math.
University of Maryland
College Park, Maryland
VIA: InsMat

1 University of Michigan
Engineering Research Institute
Ypsilanti, Michigan
Attn: J.W. Carr, III

1 Willow Run Research Center
Willow Run Airport
University of Michigan,
Ypsilanti, Michigan
Attn: L.R. Biasell
VIA: InsMat

1 University of Minnesota
Rosemount, Minnesota
Attn: J. Leonard Frame
VIA: Ass't InsMat

1 Dept. of Aero. Engr.
The Ohio State University
Columbus, Ohio
Attn: G.L. Von Eschen
VIA: Ass't InsMat

1 Polytechnic Institute of Brooklyn
99 Livingston Street
Brooklyn 2, New York
Attn: Dr. Antonio Ferris
VIA: ONR

1 University of Wisconsin
Naval Research Laboratory
42 Farm Place
Madison 6, Wisconsin
VIA: InsMat

1 Princeton University
Forrestal Research Center Library
Project Squid
Princeton, New Jersey
Attn: M. H. Smith

1 Armour Research Foundation
35 West 33rd Street
Chicago 16, Illinois
Attn: Engr. Mech. Div.

1 Applied Physics Laboratory
The Johns Hopkins University
8621 Georgia Avenue
Silver Spring, Maryland
Attn: Arthur G. Norris
VIA: NIO

No. of
Copies

1 Defense Research Laboratory
University of Texas
Box 1, University Station
Austin, Texas
Attn: InsMat

2 Eastman Kodak Company
50 West Main Street
Rochester 4, New York
Attn: Dr. H. Trotter, Jr.
VIA: NIO

1 General Electric Company
Bldg. #1, Campbell Avenue Plant
Schenectady, New York
Attn: Joseph C. Hoffman
VIA: InsMach.

1 The Rand Corporation
1500 Fourth Street
Santa Monica, California
Attn: Librarian
VIA: InsMat

1 Douglas Aircraft Company, Inc.
3000 Ocean Park Boulevard
Santa Monica, California
Attn: E.F. Burton
VIA: BuAero Rep.

2 North American Aviation, Inc.
12214 Lakewood Boulevard
Downey, California
Attn: Aerophysics Library
VIA: BuAero Rep.

5 National Advisory Committee for Aero
1724 F Street Northwest
Washington 25, D. C.
Attn: E.B. Jackson

2 Ames Aeronautical Laboratory
Moffett Field, California
Attn: Dr. A. C. Charter

1 Langley Aeronautical Laboratory
Langley Field, Virginia
Attn: Theoretical Aerodynamics Div.

1 Attn: Dr. A. Busemann

1 Attn: J. Stack

1 NACA Lewis Flight Propulsion Lab.
Cleveland Hopkins Airport
Cleveland, 11, Ohio
Attn: Dr. John C. Eppard

1 Harvard University
41 Vanserg Building
Cambridge 38, Massachusetts
Attn: Prof. G. Birkhoff

1 Johns Hopkins University
Charles and 34th Streets
Baltimore 18, Maryland
Attn: Dr. F. H. Clauser

No. of
Copies

1 New York University
45 Fourth Avenue
New York 3, New York
Attn: Dr. R. Courant

1 California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California
Attn: Dr. Hans W. Liepmann

1 University of Toronto
Institute of Aerophysics
Toronto 5, Ontario
Attn: Dr. G.N. Patterson
VIA: BuOrd (Ad8)

1 Hughes Aircraft Company
Missile Aerodynamics Department
Culver City, California
Attn: Dr. Allen E. Puckett

1 Institute for Advanced Study
Professor John von Neumann
Princeton, New Jersey

1 University of Michigan
Willow Run Research Center
Ypsilanti, Michigan

Aeroballistic Research Department
External Distribution List for Applied Math. (X 3a)

<u>No. of Copies</u>	
8	Office of Naval Research Branch Office, Navy 100 Fleet Post Office New York, New York
1	Commanding General Aberdeen Proving Ground Aberdeen, Maryland Attn: C. L. Poor
1	Attn: Dr. B. L. Hicks
1	National Bureau of Standards Washington 25, D. C. Attn: G. B. Schubauer
1	Ames Aeronautical Laboratory Moffett Field, California Attn: W. G. Vincenti
1	Brown University Providence 12, Rhode Island Attn: Prof. Wm. Prager VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	University of California Observatory 21 Berkeley 4, California Attn: Leland E. Cunningham VIA: InsMat
1	Cornell University Ithaca, New York Attn: W. R. Sears VIA: ONR
1	Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana Attn: T. Y. Thomas VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	Massachusetts Institute of Technology 77 Massachusetts Avenue Cambridge 39, Massachusetts Attn: Prof. Eric Reissner VIA: InsMat
1	Stanford University Stanford, California Attn: R. J. Langle VIA: Ass't InsMat
1	Case Institute of Technology Cleveland, Ohio Attn: Prof. G. Kuerti VIA: ONR
1	Harvard University 109 Pierce Hall Cambridge 38, Massachusetts Attn: Prof. R. von Mises