

## TITLE II

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2015 Department of Defense operation and maintenance budget request totals \$166,002,818,000. The Committee recommendation provides \$164,631,638,000 for the operation and maintenance accounts. The table below summarizes the Committee recommendations:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....	5,909,487	5,984,680	+75,193
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	35,331,193	35,024,160	-307,033
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....	2,490,569	2,535,606	+45,037
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....	1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE.....	268,582	270,485	+1,903
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE.....	3,015,842	2,989,214	-26,628
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD.....	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,060
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT.....	5,000	---	-5,000
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES.....	13,723	13,723	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY.....	201,560	201,560	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY.....	277,294	277,294	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE.....	408,716	408,716	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	8,547	8,547	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEF. SITES.....	208,353	233,353	+25,000
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID.....	100,000	103,000	+3,000
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT.....	365,108	365,108	---
DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND.....	212,875	51,875	-161,000
	=====	=====	=====
GRAND TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE.....	166,002,818	164,631,638	-1,371,180
	=====	=====	=====

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
ACCOUNTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) for each of the fiscal year 2015 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. The Secretary of Defense is prohibited from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to use the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures to transfer funds in the Services' operation and maintenance accounts between budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000. In addition, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget sub-activities:

*Army:*

- Maneuver units
- Modular support brigades
- Land forces operations support
- Force readiness operations support
- Land forces depot maintenance
- Base operations support
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

*Navy:*

- Mission and other flight operations
- Aircraft depot maintenance
- Mission and other ship operations
- Ship depot maintenance
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

*Marine Corps:*

- Depot maintenance
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization

*Air Force:*

- Primary combat forces
- Combat enhancement forces
- Combat communications
- Facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization
- Operating forces depot maintenance
- Mobilization depot maintenance
- Training and recruiting depot maintenance
- Administration and service-wide depot maintenance

*Air Force Reserve:*

- Depot maintenance

*Air National Guard:*

- Depot maintenance

Finally, the Secretary of Defense should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 into the following budget sub-activity:

*Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:*

- Other personnel support/recruiting and advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense

agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days after the close of each quarter of the fiscal year, and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve, and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and sub-activity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

#### SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided or items for which funding has been reduced as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in the Committee report are congressional interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the Committee report. Below threshold reprogrammings may not be used to either restore or reduce funding from congressional interest items as identified on the DD Form 1414. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

#### READINESS

The Committee shares the concern of the Secretary of Defense and the Service Chiefs regarding reduced readiness levels across the military. A decade of war coupled with the impacts of sequestration and the current fiscal climate has limited the Services' ability to transition their focus from counter-insurgency operations to full spectrum training in preparation for future requirements. The Committee supports the Service Chiefs' commitment to improved readiness and recognizes that it will take time and stable budgets to restore full spectrum readiness.

The Committee recommendation includes \$1,000,000,000 in title VIII of the bill to be transferred to the operation and maintenance accounts for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components, to be used only for improving military readiness. The funding is to be divided proportionately amongst the Services and the National Guard and reserve components, and shall be used only to improve readiness, including increased training, depot maintenance, and base operations support. None of the funding provided may be used for recruiting, marketing, or advertising programs. The Committee believes that as the Services continue to reduce personnel levels, they must become more efficient and effec-

tive with the advertising and recruiting dollars provided in the base budget and should not use funds intended for readiness on increased advertising or recruiting efforts. Additional funds are also provided to the Army, Navy, and Air Force for depot maintenance in their respective depot maintenance sub-activity groups.

The funding provided is a congressional special interest item. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense and the Service Secretaries to submit a detailed spending plan by budget activity and sub-activity group to the congressional defense committees not less than 30 days prior to the obligation of these funds.

#### RELEASE OF DETAINEES

The Committee is deeply concerned with the Administration's handling of the recent transfer of five senior level Taliban leaders detained at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. It is clear that the Department violated Section 1035 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act, which requires a 30 day prior notification to the transfer of any detainees to a foreign country. While the debate of negotiating with terrorists will continue for some time, the violation of trust between the Department and Congress to use funds in violation of current law cannot be easily overlooked. In fact, the restriction on foreign transfers of detainees, which has been in place for five years, was modified in good faith on Congress' part just last year. Further, any notion that exigent circumstances prevented proper congressional notification rings hollow when the Administration clearly has been negotiating this transfer for several years. The Committee understands the unique nature of the events that led to this transfer, but believes that is exactly the reason why prior notification is so important. The decision to negotiate with terrorists for the release of prisoners is a dangerous precedent, one that has implications far beyond the Department of Defense, and one that Congress should have a voice in deciding. Therefore, to further clarify Congressional prerogatives which were ignored, the Committee includes a general provision which prohibits the Secretary from spending any funds in violation of Section 1035 of the fiscal year 2014 National Defense Authorization Act.

#### SERVICE AUDIT AGENCY VALIDATION

The Committee understands that in fiscal year 2014, the Secretary of Defense revised the guidance for reporting associated with section 2466 of title 10, United States Code, in a manner that suggests a renewed interest in enforcing intra-departmental policies on depot maintenance workloads and 50-50 reporting. However, this guidance, while more detailed in some ways than that issued in the past, directs that the validation effort focus on the 50-50 data collection process instead of on the actual data. It also appears to give the Services additional flexibility to use reviewers other than those associated with their respective audit agencies to validate their respective processes prior to submission of the data to the Secretary of Defense. The Committee has two concerns with regard to the new guidance. First, the Committee considers a review of the 50-50 data to be submitted to Congress as critical to ensuring compliance with section 2466. Second, given the results of past reviews,

the Committee considers Service audit agency involvement in the validation of the submissions essential to ensuring the accuracy of the 50–50 report.

The Committee directs each Service Secretary to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act on the respective Service plan to ensure that the Service audit agency is directly involved in the process of annually validating that the data submitted for the report to Congress on the Distribution of Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Workloads are accurate and complete, beginning with the report for fiscal years 2014 through 2016. The report produced by each Service Secretary shall provide details regarding the Service audit agency's plan to validate all data submitted for inclusion in future 50–50 reports, including a description of the approach that will be used to accomplish this data validation and a description of plans to review depot-level maintenance and repair workload estimates associated with contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, performance based logistics, and similar contractual arrangements. As a further means of enhancing the annual validation process, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a standard set of data fields to guide the Services and defense agencies in their annual collection of data for the 50–50 report and to establish a requirement that all depot maintenance expenditure data collected be retained at the Service or defense agency's headquarters for at least three years. Moreover, the Committee recommends that the Service Secretaries and the directors of the defense agencies work to automate their data collection processes wherever possible to improve the auditability of their data and reduce the likelihood of human errors in the calculations.

#### DEPOT-LEVEL MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR BUDGET EXHIBITS

The Committee remains frustrated with the quality of the annual budget justification materials related to the Department of Defense depot-level maintenance expenditures. The OP–30 budget exhibit provides useful details concerning the extent to which the operation and maintenance budget request meets the Services' requirements for depot-level maintenance and repair of end items. The OP–31 budget exhibit provides insight regarding estimated orders for depot-level repairables (DLRs). The fiscal year 2015 budget request also includes the PB–45 budget exhibit, which some Services provide in lieu of the OP–30; this document provides additional useful information about methods of accomplishment of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads. What is missing, however, is an overarching document that summarizes the request for all depot-level maintenance and repair workloads, regardless of whether the work pertains to end items or DLRs, and regardless of which appropriations account funds the work.

Therefore, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 request, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to include, in addition to the documentation referenced above, an exhibit that provides a comprehensive view of depot-level maintenance and repair workloads for each of the Services in future budget requests. Each Service shall submit with its budget request an exhibit that summarizes funded requirements for its active, re-

serve, and Guard components, where applicable, which shows the full range of depot-level maintenance and repair workload, including all end items and DLRs. This budget exhibit shall display major maintenance activities and their method of accomplishment (including contractor logistics support, interim contractor support, other contract, and organic) by appropriations account for the prior year, current year, and budget year. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) should ensure that these exhibits do not include expenditures for work other than depot-level maintenance and repair.

#### DEPOT MAINTENANCE

With the recent drawdowns in Iraq and Afghanistan, repair operations at the depots have increased as the Services rebalance for training and preparation for full-spectrum operations. The Committee is aware of the critical role that the depots play in maintaining readiness and recognizes that after a decade of war, capital investments and modernization of the repair facilities is required to support the reset of platforms needed for full-spectrum operations. Therefore, the Committee provides an additional \$90,000,000 specifically for depot maintenance for the Army, Navy, and Air Force.

#### FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION

The Committee has expressed concern for the last three fiscal years that base facility sustainment requirements are underfunded. The Committee believes that deferring this maintenance has a long-term detrimental impact on both military readiness and the quality of life and work environment of servicemembers. The Committee provides an additional \$720,000,000 to the facilities sustainment, restoration, and modernization programs to address the maintenance backlog for the active Services, the National Guard, and the reserve components.

#### SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Suicide remains an issue of concern in the Services, especially within the Guard and reserve components. Whereas servicemembers serving on active duty return from deployments to military bases which provide structured support networks, returning Guardsmen and reservists are frequently geographically isolated from their units and may not have the regular interactions with their peers and chain of command that their active duty counterparts experience.

The Committee is aware of programs for the reserve components, such as the National Guard Psychological Health Program, to improve access to mental health providers, and the Committee recommendation fully funds the budget request for this program. The Committee recommendation provides additional funding for suicide prevention in the Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account. In addition, the Committee recognizes the important role that programs such as Yellow Ribbon play in helping Guardsmen and reservists transition to civilian life upon returning from deployment.

The Committee acknowledges the steps that the Services have taken to implement suicide prevention training and outreach efforts and to lower the rate of suicide among servicemembers but believes that more must be done to identify at-risk servicemembers and to improve prevention and outreach efforts. The Committee urges the Service Secretaries to continue to make suicide prevention a key priority and to regularly update the Committee on actions being taken.

#### WORKING CONDITIONS IN BANGLADESH

The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 directed the Secretary of Defense to provide quarterly reports to the congressional defense committees that specify if any garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with the Accord for Fire and Building Safety in Bangladesh. The Committee is aware that there is a second organization of companies, the Alliance for Bangladesh Worker Safety, that is also concerned with ensuring compliance. The Committee supports the continued reporting on this issue and directs the Secretary of Defense to include in the quarterly submissions if any of the garments purchased by the military exchange system are manufactured in Bangladesh from suppliers that are not signatories or in compliance with either the Accord or the Alliance.

#### OVERESTIMATION AND REPORTING OF CIVILIAN WORKFORCE

The fiscal year 2014 budget request substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed by the Department of Defense during fiscal year 2014. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 used data provided by the Services and defense agencies to identify the actual civilian full time equivalents (FTE) that were recorded beginning in fiscal year 2014 and reduced funding in the operation and maintenance accounts based on this overestimation of civilian FTE levels. While the Committee supports a strong civilian workforce, the Department of the Army and the Department of the Air Force again substantially overestimated the number of civilians that would be employed during fiscal year 2015. For the Army and Air Force, including the reserve components but not the National Guard, the current fiscal year 2014 estimate for civilian FTEs is well below what was provided for in the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 and what is planned in the fiscal year 2015 budget request. As such, the Committee recommends reductions in fiscal year 2015 due to overestimation of civilian FTEs in these accounts.

The Committee has not received the Department of the Navy's projected fiscal year 2015 average civilian FTE strength. Additionally, the Navy has not provided that data to the Government Accountability Office (GAO) to enable it to complete its annual analysis of the Department of Defense civilian personnel budget request. Failure to provide information in a timely manner makes it difficult for the Committee and the GAO to conduct proper oversight. Therefore, the Committee again reduces the Navy, but not the Marine Corps, fiscal year 2015 request for civilian personnel

commensurate with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014.

To ensure that timely and accurate information is provided in future fiscal years, beginning in fiscal year 2016 the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to include the projected average civilian FTE strength as well as the civilian end strength in its quarterly end strength report.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET DOCUMENTATION

While the Department of Defense and the Departments of the Army and the Air Force all provide average annual civilian salary by sub-activity group (SAG) in the personnel summary exhibit in the OP-5, the Department of the Navy does not provide this information. The Committee is aware that the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) does not require this data as part of the budget justification materials. The Committee recommends that the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) modify the FMR to require that this information be provided at the SAG level of detail as part of all operation and maintenance account budget justification submissions. Further, until the FMR is amended to require this information be included in the annual budget justification submissions, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to provide the average annual civilian salary in the personnel summary exhibit for each sub-activity group for each Service and agency.

The Committee is disappointed that in many cases throughout the fiscal year 2015 budget justification materials, the Department of the Navy and the Department of Defense use the term “partially offset” rather than breaking out program changes by program increase and program decrease for every line item in the OP-5. This makes it difficult for the Committee to have full visibility over the changes occurring within programs. Therefore, beginning in fiscal year 2016, the Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to fully display all increases and decreases at the SAG level in the OP-5 exhibits.

Finally, in many of the workload exhibits in the operation and maintenance accounts, there is no accompanying explanation of the units of measurement. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Service Secretaries to properly annotate the exhibits to specify the units of measurement presented in the display.

#### OPERATIONAL RESERVE

The Committee has previously expressed concern regarding the Army's support for maintaining an operational reserve. After reviewing the fiscal year 2015 budget request, the Committee remains concerned that the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard readiness accounts are significantly underfunded. The Committee believes that this will have an immediate and lasting impact on the Army National Guard and the Army Reserve's readiness that will be difficult to restore in future fiscal years.

Significant taxpayer investment has been made to enable the Guard and reserve to become an integral part of the operational

force. The Committee supports the enduring vision of an operational reserve and encourages all Services to continue resourcing and utilizing the Guard and reserve components as key members of the operational force.

In addition to the \$1,000,000,000 provided for increased military readiness described elsewhere in the Committee report, of which the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard will receive a proportional share, \$135,000,000 is provided directly to the Army Reserve and the Army National Guard to be used specifically to further improve readiness of the operational reserve. The recommendation also includes \$68,000,000 for the Army National Guard to fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations in fiscal year 2015 as scheduled.

#### MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

The Committee appreciates the attention that the Department has given to the Committee's concern that funding for military information support operations (MISO) activities only be used to fulfill core military activities rather than duplicate programs and activities more appropriately conducted by other departments and agencies. The Committee believes that significant progress has been made and appreciates the Department's efforts in this regard. The Committee notes that there are some specific issues that warrant further review which are detailed in the classified annex accompanying the Committee report. The Committee looks forward to continuing to work with the Department to address these matters. The Committee recommendation reduces the base budget request for MISO funding by \$15,000,000. The allocation of funds by combatant command and funding levels for certain programs is specifically delineated in the classified annex. The delineation of this funding is a congressional special interest item and any deviations from the allocations are subject to sections 8005, 8006, and 9002 of this Act.

The Secretary of Defense is also directed to submit a report to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees not later than 30 days after the enactment of this Act that details the proposed execution of the funding provided for MISO programs. The report shall include the program name, activity, a description of service, target audience, goals and objectives, attribution, measures of effectiveness, prior year obligations and expenditures, and the estimated budget for the year of execution. This report, and the delineation of each program, project, and activity, shall serve as the basis for reprogramming in accordance with section 8006 of this Act.

#### NUCLEAR AND NATURAL DISASTER RESPONSE

The Committee understands that differences between Department of Defense and civilian guidelines regarding nuclear or radiological event disaster response created challenges during Operation Tomadachi, which provided humanitarian assistance following the earthquake and the tsunami, and the subsequent release of radioactive material from the Fukushima nuclear reactor in Japan. The Committee understands that more uniform methodologies are being considered to eliminate confusion among responders and improve

the decisionmaking process during such operations, and it encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider such proposals when reviewing guidelines for conducting nuclear, radiological, and natural disaster response and humanitarian assistance operations.

#### PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Committee supports efforts to include performance measures in the budget justification documents. These performance measures should be result oriented and demonstrate how prior year investments show progress toward achieving performance goals. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to work with the Comptroller General of the United States to develop result oriented performance measures that will assist the Department in the implementation of its strategic guidance.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$30,768,069,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	33,240,148,000
Committee recommendation .....	32,671,980,000
Change from budget request .....	-568,168,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$32,671,980,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
10	969,281	895,281	-74,000
MANEUVER UNITS.....			
20	61,990	61,990	---
MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....			
30	450,987	448,084	-2,903
ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....			
40	545,773	545,773	---
THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....			
50	1,057,453	1,057,453	---
LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....			
60	1,409,347	1,330,347	-79,000
AVIATION ASSETS.....			
LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	3,592,334	3,494,765	-97,569
FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....			
80	411,388	411,388	---
LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS.....			
90	1,001,232	1,031,232	+30,000
LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....			
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	7,428,972	7,201,507	-227,465
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....			
110	2,066,434	2,245,577	+179,143
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION....			
120	411,863	411,863	---
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS.....			
130	179,399	179,399	---
COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS.....			
170	432,281	432,281	---
COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS.....			
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	20,018,734	19,746,940	-271,794
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
180	316,776	316,776	---
STRATEGIC MOBILITY.....			
190	187,609	187,609	---
ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS.....			
200	6,463	6,463	---
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....			
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....			
	510,848	510,848	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
210 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	124,766	124,766	---
220 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	51,968	47,968	-4,000
230 ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.....	43,735	35,735	-8,000
240 SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	456,563	456,563	---
BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	886,529	858,529	-28,000
260 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	890,070	890,070	---
270 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	193,291	188,958	-4,333
280 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	552,359	552,359	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	466,927	466,927	---
300 EXAMINING.....	194,588	188,489	-6,099
310 OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	205,782	205,782	---
320 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	150,571	150,571	---
330 JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	169,784	169,784	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	4,386,933	4,336,501	-50,432
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
340 SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	1,030,411	1,029,474	-937
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	541,877	541,877	---
360 CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES.....	722,291	722,291	---
370 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	602,034	602,034	---
380 AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT.....	422,277	422,277	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
390 ADMINISTRATION.....	405,442	403,037	-2,405
400 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,624,742	1,624,742	---
410 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT.....	289,771	289,771	---
420 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	390,924	390,924	---
430 OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT.....	1,118,540	1,123,440	+4,900
440 ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES.....	241,234	241,234	---
450 REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT.....	243,509	243,509	---
460 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	200,615	200,615	---
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460 SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS.....	462,591	462,591	---
470 MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS.....	27,375	27,375	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	8,323,633	8,325,191	+1,558
OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS.....	---	-247,500	-247,500
	=====	=====	=====
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	33,240,148	32,671,980	-568,168
	=====	=====	=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
111	<b>MANEUVER UNITS</b> Unjustified program growth	969,281	895,281 -74,000	-74,000
113	<b>ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE</b> Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 costs for Unmanned Aircraft Systems - Flaven	450,987	448,084 -2,903	-2,903
116	<b>AVIATION ASSETS</b> Unjustified program growth	1,409,347	1,330,347 -79,000	-79,000
121	<b>FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b> Forward based mode radar program fully funded in Missile Defense Agency budget Fully fund two Combat Training Center rotations - Army requested transfer to OM,ARNG and MP,ARNG	3,592,334	3,494,765 -29,569 -68,000	-97,569
123	<b>LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b> Program increase	1,001,232	1,031,232 30,000	30,000
131	<b>BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b> Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for utilities Army Cemeteries - transfer to OM,AR not properly accounted	7,428,972	7,201,507 -227,200 -265	-227,465
132	<b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION AND MODERNIZATION</b> Arlington National Cemetery funded in Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations bill Program increase	2,066,434	2,245,577 -25,000 204,143	179,143
312	<b>RECRUIT TRAINING</b> Excess to requirement	51,968	47,968 -4,000	-4,000
313	<b>ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING</b> Excess to requirement	43,735	35,735 -8,000	-8,000
321	<b>SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING</b> Excess to requirement Language capabilities program increase	886,529	858,529 -38,000 10,000	-28,000
323	<b>PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION</b> Unjustified program growth	193,291	188,958 -4,333	-4,333
332	<b>EXAMINING</b> US MEPCOM test administrators - unjustified program growth	194,588	188,489 -6,099	-6,099
411	<b>SECURITY PROGRAMS</b> Cyber realignment of funds - transfer to SAG 121 not properly accounted	1,030,411	1,029,474 -937	-937
431	<b>ADMINISTRATION</b> Civilian Workforce Transformation - unjustified program growth	405,442	403,037 -2,405	-2,405
435	<b>OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT</b> Army support to Capitol 4th	1,118,540	1,123,440 4,900	4,900
	<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		-247,500	-247,500

## CEMETERIAL EXPENSES

The Committee notes that for the third year in a row, the fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$25,000,000 in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account to assist with the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery instead of requesting all funds within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account. As stated in the reports accompanying the House versions of the Department of Defense Appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2013 and 2014, the Committee does not support the proposal to fund Arlington National Cemetery through separate accounts contained in two different appropriations bills. Split funding undermines the federal budgeting principles requiring expenditures to be charged against the same account each year, once an account has been identified for such purpose. The Committee rejects the proposal to fund cemeterial expenses in the Operation and Maintenance, Army account and instead provides funding to address the maintenance backlog at Arlington National Cemetery in the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account in the House-passed Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2015. Further, the Committee directs that future budget requests include all funding proposed for Arlington National Cemetery within the Cemeterial Expenses, Army account.

## ARMY INVENTORY MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes the difficulty of efficiently managing the retrograde of equipment and material from Afghanistan to military installations overseas and in the United States. The Committee understands that problems also extend to the cataloging, inventorying, and tracking of equipment at domestic bases because much of the cataloging and tracking of the data plates and bar codes for this equipment is accomplished with pen and paper. This process is slow, expensive, and can lead to errors in which equipment is lost, left behind, or sent to the wrong destination. The Committee is aware that the Army is testing automated inventory tracking and management solutions to capture data plate and bar code information and supports further testing and evaluation to determine if these solutions can be cost effective and time saving replacements for the current systems. The Committee supports the Army's efforts to automate its inventory management activities and to achieve cost savings associated with modernized systems.

## DEFENSE GENERATOR AND RAIL EQUIPMENT CENTER AT HILL AIR FORCE BASE

The Committee understands that the Defense Generator and Rail Equipment Center (DGRC), located at Hill Air Force Base (AFB), needs significant renovations to address deficiencies, including some necessary for improved health and safety. Further, while DGRC is currently located within the boundaries of Hill AFB, the Committee is aware that after completion of the enhanced use lease project DGRC could be located outside of the boundaries and if so, would be required to provide its own force protection which would increase operational costs. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Army to work with the Secretary of the Air Force and

relevant state and local stakeholders to address concerns raised by the current DGRC location and to reach an agreement acceptable to all parties on the future status and location of the DGRC. The Committee is also concerned that obligating funds to perform non-safety related renovations of the DGRC before a consensus is reached would not be a good use of limited resources. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of the Army to limit renovations to those required only for health and safety until such an agreement is reached and to keep the congressional defense committees informed of all safety-related renovations performed, as well as a justification for why the renovations are required.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$36,311,160,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	39,316,857,000
Committee recommendation .....	39,073,543,000
Change from budget request .....	-243,314,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$39,073,543,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	4,947,202	4,732,371	-214,831
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING.....	1,647,943	1,637,808	-10,135
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES.....	37,050	36,828	-222
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT.....	96,139	93,647	-2,492
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	363,763	353,763	-10,000
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	814,770	824,770	+10,000
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	36,494	36,312	-182
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	350,641	344,239	-6,402
SHIP OPERATIONS				
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	3,865,379	3,865,379	---
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	711,243	710,544	-699
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	5,296,408	5,297,468	+1,060
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	1,339,077	1,337,162	-1,915
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT				
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	708,634	703,250	-5,384
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE.....	91,599	91,591	-8
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE.....	207,038	206,977	-61
160	WARFARE TACTICS.....	432,715	432,549	-166
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY.....	338,116	337,219	-897
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	892,316	889,500	-2,816
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE.....	128,486	128,369	-117
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	2,472	2,472	---
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS.....	101,200	97,909	-3,291
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT.....	188,920	188,623	-297

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230 CRUISE MISSILE.....	109,911	109,298	-613
240 FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	1,172,823	1,165,945	-6,878
250 IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	104,139	104,139	---
260 WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	490,911	490,031	-880
270 OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT .....	324,861	324,861	---
BASE SUPPORT			
280 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	936,743	936,442	-301
290 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	1,483,495	1,631,845	+148,350
300 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	4,398,667	4,357,389	-41,278
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	31,619,155	31,468,700	-150,455
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
320 SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE.....	526,926	526,906	-20
330 READY RESERVE FORCE.....	291,195	291,195	---
ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320 AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	6,704	6,704	---
330 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	251,538	251,513	-25
MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340 FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM.....	124,323	124,294	-29
350 INDUSTRIAL READINESS.....	2,323	2,323	---
360 COAST GUARD SUPPORT.....	20,333	20,333	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	1,223,342	1,223,268	-74

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
370			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	156,214	155,542	-672
380			
RECRUIT TRAINING.....	8,863	8,840	-23
390			
RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	148,150	148,082	-68
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400			
SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	601,501	600,489	-1,012
410			
FLIGHT TRAINING.....	8,239	8,221	-18
420			
PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	164,214	165,170	+956
430			
TRAINING SUPPORT.....	182,619	182,216	-403
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440			
RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	230,589	231,418	+829
450			
OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	115,595	115,472	-123
460			
CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	79,606	73,118	-6,488
470			
JUNIOR ROTC.....	41,664	41,652	-12
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....			
	1,737,254	1,730,220	-7,034
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480			
ADMINISTRATION.....	858,871	847,881	-10,990
490			
EXTERNAL RELATIONS.....	12,807	12,777	-30
500			
CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	119,863	119,243	-620
510			
MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	356,113	354,866	-1,247
520			
OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	255,605	252,145	-3,460
530			
SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	339,802	339,478	-324

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT				
550	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	172,203	172,203	---
570	PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.....	283,621	274,603	-9,018
580	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	1,111,464	1,106,994	-4,470
590	HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT.....	43,232	43,232	---
600	COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS.....	25,689	25,670	-19
610	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	73,159	73,047	-112
SECURITY PROGRAMS				
620	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.....	548,640	543,429	-5,211
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS				
680	INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES.....	4,713	4,713	---
OTHER PROGRAMS				
	OTHER PROGRAMS.....	531,324	529,524	-1,800
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	4,737,106	4,699,805	-37,301
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....	---	-48,450	-48,450
=====				
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,316,857	39,073,543	-243,314
=====				

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

<b>O-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>1A1A MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>4,947,202</b>	<b>4,732,371</b>	<b>-214,831</b>
Overestimation of flying hours		-82,701	
Fuel costs - unjustified growth		-100,000	
Transportation costs - unjustified growth		-20,000	
FTE - unjustified growth		-12,130	
<b>1A2A FLEET AIR TRAINING</b>	<b>1,647,943</b>	<b>1,637,808</b>	<b>-10,135</b>
Training - unjustified growth		-9,951	
FTE - unjustified growth		-184	
<b>1A3A AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING</b>	<b>37,050</b>	<b>36,828</b>	<b>-222</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-222	
<b>1A4A AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT</b>	<b>96,139</b>	<b>93,647</b>	<b>-2,492</b>
Other services - unjustified growth		-2,200	
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-292	
<b>1A4N AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT</b>	<b>363,763</b>	<b>353,763</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
Program related engineering logistics - unjustified growth		-10,000	
<b>1A5A AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>814,770</b>	<b>824,770</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program increase		10,000	
<b>1A6A AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>36,494</b>	<b>36,312</b>	<b>-182</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-137	
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-45	
<b>1A9A AVIATION LOGISTICS</b>	<b>350,641</b>	<b>344,239</b>	<b>-6,402</b>
Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-6,402	
<b>1B2B SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING</b>	<b>711,243</b>	<b>710,544</b>	<b>-699</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-699	
<b>1B4B SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>5,296,408</b>	<b>5,297,468</b>	<b>1,060</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-8,940	
Program increase		10,000	
<b>1B5B SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,339,077</b>	<b>1,337,162</b>	<b>-1,915</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-1,915	
<b>1C1C COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>708,634</b>	<b>703,250</b>	<b>-5,384</b>
Shore support - unjustified growth		-5,000	
FTE - unjustified growth		-384	
<b>1C2C ELECTRONIC WARFARE</b>	<b>91,599</b>	<b>91,591</b>	<b>-8</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-8	
<b>1C3C SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE</b>	<b>207,038</b>	<b>206,977</b>	<b>-61</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-61	
<b>1C4C WARFARE TACTICS</b>	<b>432,715</b>	<b>432,549</b>	<b>-166</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-166	
<b>1C5C OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY</b>	<b>338,116</b>	<b>337,219</b>	<b>-897</b>
FTE - unjustified growth		-897	

<b>O-1</b>		<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>1C6C</b>	<b>COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES</b>	<b>892,316</b>	<b>889,500</b>	<b>-2,816</b>
	Travel administration - unjustified growth		-2,816	
<b>1C7C</b>	<b>EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>128,486</b>	<b>128,369</b>	<b>-117</b>
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-117	
<b>1CCH</b>	<b>COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS</b>	<b>101,200</b>	<b>97,909</b>	<b>-3,291</b>
	DISA field security operations - unjustified growth		-1,500	
	Management and professional support services - unjustified growth		-1,470	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-321	
<b>1CCM</b>	<b>COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION</b>	<b>188,920</b>	<b>188,623</b>	<b>-297</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-297	
<b>1D1D</b>	<b>CRUISE MISSILE</b>	<b>109,911</b>	<b>109,298</b>	<b>-613</b>
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-613	
<b>1D2D</b>	<b>FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE</b>	<b>1,172,823</b>	<b>1,165,945</b>	<b>-6,878</b>
	Equipment maintenance by contract - unjustified growth		-6,000	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-878	
<b>1D4D</b>	<b>WEAPONS MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>490,911</b>	<b>490,031</b>	<b>-880</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-18	
	Consolidation of contract services - unjustified growth		-862	
<b>BSIT</b>	<b>ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>936,743</b>	<b>936,442</b>	<b>-301</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-301	
<b>BSM1</b>	<b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>1,483,495</b>	<b>1,631,845</b>	<b>148,350</b>
	Program increase		148,350	
<b>BSS1</b>	<b>BASE OPERATING SUPPORT</b>	<b>4,398,667</b>	<b>4,357,389</b>	<b>-41,278</b>
	Quality of service initiative - unjustified growth		-40,971	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-7,807	
	Non-NIP AFRICOM support		7,500	
<b>2A1F</b>	<b>SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE</b>	<b>526,926</b>	<b>526,906</b>	<b>-20</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-20	
<b>2B2G</b>	<b>SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS</b>	<b>251,538</b>	<b>251,513</b>	<b>-25</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-25	
<b>2C1H</b>	<b>FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM</b>	<b>124,323</b>	<b>124,294</b>	<b>-29</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-29	
<b>3A1J</b>	<b>OFFICER ACQUISITION</b>	<b>156,214</b>	<b>155,542</b>	<b>-672</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-672	
<b>3A2J</b>	<b>RECRUIT TRAINING</b>	<b>8,863</b>	<b>8,840</b>	<b>-23</b>
	FTE - unjustified growth		-23	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3A3J	<b>RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS</b> FTE - unjustified growth	148,150	148,082 -68	-68
3B1K	<b>SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING</b> FTE - unjustified growth	601,501	600,489 -1,012	-1,012
3B2K	<b>FLIGHT TRAINING</b> FTE - unjustified growth	8,239	8,221 -18	-18
3B3K	<b>PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION</b> FTE - unjustified growth SOCOM advanced education program - transfer from OM,DW	164,214	165,170 -657 1,613	956
3B4K	<b>TRAINING SUPPORT</b> FTE - unjustified growth	182,619	182,216 -403	-403
3C1L	<b>RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b> FTE - unjustified growth Naval Sea Cadet Corps	230,589	231,418 -319 1,148	829
3C3L	<b>OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION</b> FTE - unjustified growth	115,595	115,472 -123	-123
3C4L	<b>CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b> Workforce - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth	79,606	73,118 -5,900 -588	-6,488
3C5L	<b>JUNIOR ROTC</b> FTE - unjustified growth	41,664	41,652 -12	-12
4A1M	<b>ADMINISTRATION</b> Administrative functions - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth	858,871	847,881 -9,000 -1,990	-10,990
4A2M	<b>EXTERNAL RELATIONS</b> FTE - unjustified growth	12,807	12,777 -30	-30
4A3M	<b>CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL</b> FTE - unjustified growth	119,863	119,243 -620	-620
4A4M	<b>MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL</b> FTE - unjustified growth	356,113	354,866 -1,247	-1,247
4A5M	<b>OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT</b> Navy History and Heritage Command - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth	255,605	252,145 -2,862 -598	-3,460
4A6M	<b>SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS</b> FTE - unjustified growth	339,802	339,478 -324	-324
4B2N	<b>PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN</b> Expeditionary missions program office - unjustified growth FTE - unjustified growth	283,621	274,603 -7,490 -1,528	-9,018

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4B3N	<b>ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT</b>	1,111,464	1,106,994	-4,470
	FTE - unjustified growth		-4,470	
4B6N	<b>COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS</b>	25,689	25,670	-19
	FTE - unjustified growth		-19	
4B7N	<b>SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS</b>	73,159	73,047	-112
	FTE - unjustified growth		-112	
4C1P	<b>NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE</b>	548,640	543,429	-5,211
	Travel - unjustified growth		-3,350	
	FTE - unjustified growth		-1,861	
9999	<b>OTHER PROGRAMS</b>	531,324	529,524	-1,800
	Classified adjustment		-1,800	
	<b>CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG</b>		-48,450	-48,450

## TIRE SUCCESSOR INITIATIVE

The Defense Logistics Agency established the Tire Successor Initiative (TSI) to contract with a non-tire manufacturer for tire contract management. However, the Department of the Navy received an exemption from TSI for their existing tire contract. As a result of the exemption, the existing Navy tire contract is administered by a tire manufacturer, creating a perception of a lack of competition. Now that the Navy's existing tire contracts are set to expire, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to administer tire procurement through the Tire Successor Initiative as administered by the Defense Logistics Agency.

## SHIPBOARD LIGHTING SYSTEMS

The Navy is researching how to increase light-emitting diode supply and vendor capacity, decrease costs, and rapidly field solid state lighting on ships. The lighting program replaces existing fixtures on various ship classes to continue the savings associated with more efficient lighting methods. The Committee encourages the Secretary of the Navy to continue to replace outdated and inefficient fixtures and urges the Secretary of the Navy to update the lighting specification standards.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$5,397,605,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	5,909,487,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,984,680,000
Change from budget request .....	+75,193,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$5,984,680,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
10	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATIONAL FORCES.....	905,744	939,544	+33,800
20	FIELD LOGISTICS.....	921,543	921,543	---
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	229,058	239,058	+10,000
40	USMC PREPOSITIONING MARITIME PREPOSITIONING.....	87,660	87,660	---
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	573,926	631,319	+57,393
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	1,983,118	1,983,118	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	4,701,049	4,802,242	+101,193
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING				
80	ACCESSION TRAINING RECRUIT TRAINING.....	18,227	18,227	---
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	948	948	---
100	BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING.....	98,448	98,448	---
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	42,305	42,305	---
130	TRAINING SUPPORT.....	330,156	330,156	---
140	RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	161,752	161,752	---
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	19,137	19,137	---
160	JUNIOR ROTC.....	23,277	23,277	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	694,250	694,250	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
180	SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	36,359	36,359	---
190	ADMINISTRATION.....	362,608	362,608	---
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	70,515	70,515	---
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	44,706	44,706	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	514,188	514,188	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....	---	-26,000	-26,000
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....	5,909,487	5,984,680	+75,193

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1A1A OPERATIONAL FORCES</b>	<b>905,744</b>	<b>939,544</b>	<b>33,800</b>
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for CENTCOM - Marine Corps unfunded requirement		28,600	
Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force for SOUTHCOM - Marine Corps unfunded requirement		5,200	
<b>1A3A DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>229,058</b>	<b>239,058</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program increase		10,000	
<b>BSM1 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>573,926</b>	<b>631,319</b>	<b>57,393</b>
Program increase		57,393	
<b>CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG</b>		<b>-26,000</b>	<b>-26,000</b>

## SPECIAL PURPOSE MARINE AIR GROUND TASK FORCE

Since its inception, the Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force—Crisis Response teams have responded successfully in several locations in the United States Africa Command area of responsibility. Their missions have included supporting local security operations, acting as a quick reaction force, conducting limited offensive and defensive operations, providing tactical recovery of aircraft and personnel, providing limited humanitarian assistance, and conducting limited non-combatant evacuation operations missions. The Committee is highly supportive of the Commandant's plan to provide Special Purpose Marine Air Ground Task Force support to all combatant commands and has provided funding to establish teams in the Central Command and the Southern Command areas of responsibility.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$33,248,618,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	35,331,193,000
Committee recommendation .....	35,024,160,000
Change from budget request .....	-307,033,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$35,024,160,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	3,163,457	3,073,802	-89,655
PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES.....			
20	1,694,339	1,689,320	-5,019
COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES.....			
30	1,579,178	1,560,540	-18,638
AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING.....			
50	6,119,522	6,051,400	-68,122
DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....			
60	1,453,589	1,598,948	+145,359
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....			
70	2,599,419	2,549,844	-49,575
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....			
COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
80	908,790	869,894	-38,896
GLOBAL CSI AND EARLY WARNING.....			
90	856,306	856,306	---
OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT PROGRAMS.....			
100	800,689	800,689	---
TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES.....			
SPACE OPERATIONS			
110	282,710	282,710	---
LAUNCH FACILITIES.....			
120	397,818	397,818	---
SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS.....			
130	871,840	852,840	-19,000
COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT.....			
140	237,348	237,348	---
COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS.....			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	20,965,005	20,821,459	-143,546

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
140 AIRLIFT OPERATIONS.....	1,968,810	1,939,173	-29,637
150 MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS.....	139,743	125,670	-14,073
160 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,534,560	1,549,560	+15,000
170 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	173,627	189,089	+15,462
180 BASE SUPPORT.....	688,801	688,801	---
	-----		
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	4,505,541	4,492,293	-13,248
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
190 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	82,396	82,396	---
200 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	19,852	19,852	---
210 RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	76,134	76,134	---
220 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	212,226	233,449	+21,223
230 BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY).....	759,809	759,809	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
240 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	356,157	356,157	---
250 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	697,594	697,594	---
260 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	219,441	219,441	---
270 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	91,001	91,001	---
280 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	316,688	316,688	---
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	73,920	73,920	---
300 EXAMINING.....	3,121	3,121	---
310 OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	181,718	181,718	---
320 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	147,667	147,667	---
330 JUNIOR ROTC.....	63,250	63,250	---
	-----		
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	3,300,974	3,322,197	+21,223

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
340	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS LOGISTICS OPERATIONS.....	1,003,513	997,379	-6,134
350	TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	843,449	836,210	-7,239
360	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	78,126	78,126	---
370	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....	247,677	272,445	+24,768
380	BASE SUPPORT.....	1,103,442	1,103,442	---
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
390	ADMINISTRATION.....	597,234	597,234	---
400	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	506,840	506,840	---
410	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	892,256	892,256	---
420	CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION.....	24,981	27,400	+2,419
	SECURITY PROGRAMS SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	1,169,736	1,152,260	-17,476
SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS				
450	INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.....	92,419	92,419	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	6,559,673	6,556,011	-3,662
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS.....	---	-224,000	-224,000
	AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE.....	---	34,600	+34,600
	NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM .....	---	21,600	+21,600
=====				
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	35,331,193	35,024,160	-307,033
=====				

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

<b>O-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	<b>3,163,457</b>	<b>3,073,802</b>	<b>-89,655</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-14,655	
Unjustified program growth		-75,000	
<b>011C COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES</b>	<b>1,694,339</b>	<b>1,689,320</b>	<b>-5,019</b>
Studies, Analysis, and Evaluations - unjustified program growth		-2,640	
Retain U-2 - funds requested for divestiture early to need		-2,379	
<b>011D AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING (OJT, MAINTAIN SKILLS)</b>	<b>1,579,178</b>	<b>1,560,540</b>	<b>-18,638</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-18,638	
<b>011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>6,119,522</b>	<b>6,051,400</b>	<b>-68,122</b>
Unjustified program growth		-83,122	
Program increase		15,000	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>1,453,589</b>	<b>1,598,948</b>	<b>145,359</b>
Program increase		145,359	
<b>011Z BASE SUPPORT</b>	<b>2,599,419</b>	<b>2,549,844</b>	<b>-49,575</b>
Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
Civilian Pay Program - unjustified program growth		-34,732	
Foreign currency fluctuation pricing requested as program growth for utilities		-4,843	
<b>012A GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING</b>	<b>908,790</b>	<b>869,894</b>	<b>-38,896</b>
CYBERCOM direct mission support line - unjustified growth		-9,507	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for other intra-governmental purchases		-15,389	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for Studies, Analysis and Evaluations		-14,000	
<b>015A COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT</b>	<b>871,840</b>	<b>852,840</b>	<b>-19,000</b>
Classified program reduction		-19,000	
<b>021A AIRLIFT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>1,968,810</b>	<b>1,939,173</b>	<b>-29,637</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for flying hour program		-13,187	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for non-DWCF equipment		-16,450	
<b>021D MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS</b>	<b>139,743</b>	<b>125,670</b>	<b>-14,073</b>
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-14,073	
<b>021M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>1,534,560</b>	<b>1,549,560</b>	<b>15,000</b>
Program increase		15,000	
<b>021R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>173,627</b>	<b>189,089</b>	<b>15,462</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay program		-1,900	
Program increase		17,362	

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>031R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>212,226</b>	<b>233,449</b>	<b>21,223</b>
Program increase		21,223	.
<b>041A LOGISTICS OPERATIONS</b>	<b>1,003,513</b>	<b>997,379</b>	<b>-6,134</b>
Inflation pricing requested as program growth		-6,134	
<b>041B TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>843,449</b>	<b>836,210</b>	<b>-7,239</b>
Defense Finance and Accounting Services rate adjustment requested as program growth		-7,239	
<b>041R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>247,677</b>	<b>272,445</b>	<b>24,768</b>
Program increase		24,768	
<b>042I CIVIL AIR PATROL</b>	<b>24,981</b>	<b>27,400</b>	<b>2,419</b>
Civil Air Patrol - program increase		2,419	
<b>43A SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>1,169,736</b>	<b>1,152,260</b>	<b>-17,476</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian pay program		-13,976	
Classified adjustment		-3,500	
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		<b>-224,000</b>	<b>-224,000</b>
<b>AWACS FORCE STRUCTURE PROGRAM INCREASE</b>		<b>34,600</b>	<b>34,600</b>
<b>NUCLEAR FORCE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM</b>		<b>21,600</b>	<b>21,600</b>

## AIR FORCE FLYING HOUR METRICS

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not developed a cost effective, goal oriented, metric based program on which to base its flying hour requirements and annual funding request. In fiscal year 2015, the Air Force requests significant increases for air operations and states that the requested funding for flying hours is based on available funds rather than any stated requirement. Further, a review of execution of previous fiscal years' flying hour programs shows that the Air Force consistently underexecutes its flying hour program. In these fiscally constrained times, ensuring that every dollar is spent to its maximum effectiveness means that flying to the budget instead of the readiness requirement risks significant waste of taxpayer resources. In addition, the lack of objective criteria or performance goals makes conducting objective, data driven analysis by the Committee impossible.

The Committee strongly supports robust funding for flying hours and other training required to achieve pilot competence, safety, and combat readiness, and recognizes that providing sufficient funding for the flying hour program to achieve these goals requires significant investment and resources. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of the air operations program and to report to the congressional defense committees at the time of its fiscal year 2016 budget submission the results of this review. As part of the review of the flying hour program, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to consult with experts including, but not limited to, the Under Secretary for Personnel and Readiness, the Director of Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation, and the leadership at the Federally Funded Research and Development Centers, in order to establish metrics and goals for the flying hour program which will directly relate the flying hours requested in the budget to a clear, requirement-based training curriculum which will leverage limited resources in order to achieve maximum readiness. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the flying hours sub-activity groups in order to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

## AIR FORCE WEAPON SYSTEM SUSTAINMENT

The lack of objective data-driven metrics for the flying hour program has budget implications throughout the Operation and Maintenance, Air Force account. Within the Weapon System Sustainment (WSS) program, funding requirements for flying hours and depot maintenance are interrelated and can vary significantly depending on the number of flying hours executed. While the Air Force states that the WSS program is managed as an "enterprise level concept" and claims to regularly review the program in the year of execution to determine the actual requirement, the type and number of systems being inducted or work being performed can vary tremendously between what was included in the budget justification materials and what is actually executed.

Further, while the Air Force has improved its effort to capture all funding requested for depot maintenance, including contractor logistics support, in the depot maintenance sub-activity groups (SAGs), it is not yet able to fully differentiate between flight-line activities, for which funding should be requested in the aircraft operations and mission support SAGs, and depot maintenance activities, for which funding should be requested in the depot maintenance SAGs. The Committee remains concerned that the lack of fidelity and quality of the WSS and depot maintenance justification materials prevents the Committee from conducting meaningful analysis or oversight of either program. The Air Force acknowledges that its current justification materials could be seen as misleading, and the Committee understands that the Air Force will attempt to provide more useful, complete, and meaningful justification material for the WSS program in future fiscal years. While the Committee recognizes that requirements can change due to unexpected changes in mission or requirements during the fiscal year, it also believes that the lack of program goals and metrics for the flying hour program drives much of the lack of fidelity in funding requirements in other areas, including WSS and depot maintenance funding. In addition to the review of the budget justification materials for the flying hours SAGs directed in previous report language, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to review and revise the budget justification materials for the mission support and depot maintenance SAGs to improve the clarity and completeness of those materials in future fiscal years, beginning with the fiscal year 2016 budget submission.

#### AIR EDUCATION AND TRAINING COMMAND INFRASTRUCTURE

The Committee recognizes the important role that the Air Education and Training Command plays in recruiting, training, and educating airmen. The Committee understands that flooding and other infrastructure challenges have adversely impacted facilities, equipment, operations, and training for airmen and encourages the Secretary of the Air Force to take steps to address these deficiencies.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$31,450,068,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	31,198,232,000
Committee recommendation .....	30,896,741,000
Change from budget request .....	-301,491,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,896,741,000, for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.....	462,107	431,607	-30,500
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	4,762,245	4,645,029	-117,216
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	5,224,352	5,076,636	-147,716
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING				
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY.....	135,437	135,437	---
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY.....	80,082	80,082	---
50	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	371,620	360,443	-11,177
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	587,139	575,962	-11,177
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
60	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS.....	119,888	154,888	+35,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY.....	556,493	556,493	---
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY.....	1,340,374	1,299,874	-40,500
100	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY.....	633,300	650,225	+16,925
110	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY.....	1,263,678	1,260,833	-2,845
130	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY.....	26,710	26,710	---
140	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY.....	381,470	391,470	+10,000
150	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY.....	194,520	190,820	-3,700
160	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE.....	21,485	21,485	---
170	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY.....	544,786	514,189	-30,597
180	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE.....	532,930	532,338	-592
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY.....	32,787	32,787	---
0	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY.....	414,371	414,371	---
230	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY.....	2,566,424	2,561,424	-5,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
240 MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY.....	416,644	452,242	+35,598
260 OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT.....	186,987	186,987	---
270 OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.....	1,891,163	1,869,931	-21,232
280 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	87,915	81,915	-6,000
290 WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES.....	610,982	587,262	-23,720
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	13,563,834	13,417,899	-145,935
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	25,366,741	25,204,143	-182,598
IMPACT AID.....	---	40,000	+40,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	31,198,232	30,896,741	-301,491

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1PL1 JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF</b>	<b>462,107</b>	<b>431,607</b>	<b>-30,500</b>
Headquarters - unjustified growth		-15,000	
Joint Staff Information Network - unjustified growth		-4,000	
Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund - Justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-11,500	
<b>1PL2 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>4,762,245</b>	<b>4,645,029</b>	<b>-117,216</b>
Base support - transfer to FSRM		-3,213	
Combat development activities - classified adjustments		-51,520	
Flight operations - AVFID and ISR operations unjustified growth		-2,718	
Flight operations - USASOC unjustified growth		-1,313	
Flight operations - human performance program consolidation		-11,300	
Flight operations - family readiness coordinators unauthorized		-2,300	
Flight operations - restore flying hours for readiness training		124,729	
Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment excess to need		-2,908	
Other operations - MARSOC collateral equipment early to need		-2,602	
Other operations - USASOC collateral equipment early to need		-2,538	
Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to need		-3,797	
Other operations - AFSOC collateral equipment early to need		-918	
Other operations - USASOC fiscal year 2014 collateral equipment schedule delay		10,467	
Other operations - NAVSPECWARCOM fiscal year 2014 collateral equipment schedule delay		5,321	
Other operations - FOSOV operations unjustified growth		-2,123	
Other operations - USASOC 4th battalion restructuring excess growth		-6,220	
Other operations - family readiness coordinators unauthorized		-2,700	
Other operations - human performance program - transfer to DHP		-14,800	
Other operations - human performance program - transfer to DHRA		-4,000	
Other operations - human performance program - transfer to RDTE,N line 23		-4,200	
Other operations - transfer to FSRM		-9,472	
Other operations - psychological operations excess contractor price growth		-3,000	
Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,937	
Other operations - unjustified growth		-9,214	
Other operations - SOCAF excess growth		-2,000	
Other operations - persistent engagement - rent, utilities, ICASS excess growth		-7,000	
Other operations - regional security cooperation centers authorization adjustment		-3,600	
Other operations - SOCOM NCR authorization adjustment		-5,000	
Ship operations - maritime support vessel authorization adjustment		-20,298	
Ship operations - NSW riverine program restore reduction		2,826	
Communications - C4IAS unjustified growth		-21,134	
Communications - HQ C4 SITEC unjustified growth		-9,126	
Communications - SOF deployable nodes excess growth		-4,336	
Communications - SOF tactical communications excess growth		-2,500	
Intelligence - DCGS-SOF authorization adjustment		-3,900	
Intelligence - civilian growth - transfer to DIA MIP		-1,402	
Intelligence - classified program unjustified		-4,900	
Intelligence - unjustified growth		-4,214	
Intelligence - human performance program consolidation		-4,000	
Management/operational HQ - care coalition restore reduction		2,259	
Operational support - 4th Battalion transformation excess growth		-5,300	

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
		12,685	
		-26,000	
<b>3EV7 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>371,620</b>	<b>360,443</b>	<b>-11,177</b>
Professional development - advanced education program unjustified growth		-2,000	
Professional development - JSOU accreditation unauthorized		-7,564	
Advanced education program - transfer to OM,N		-1,613	
<b>4GT3 CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>119,888</b>	<b>154,888</b>	<b>35,000</b>
Youth Challenge		10,000	
STARBASE		25,000	
<b>4GTO DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY</b>	<b>1,340,374</b>	<b>1,299,874</b>	<b>-40,500</b>
Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-20,500	
Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-20,000	
<b>4GT8 DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY</b>	<b>633,300</b>	<b>650,225</b>	<b>16,925</b>
Defense Civilian Personnel Advisory Service - unjustified growth		-5,845	
Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-1,230	
Suicide Prevention Office - program increase		20,000	
Suicide Prevention - transfer from SOCOM		4,000	
<b>4GT9 DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY</b>	<b>1,263,678</b>	<b>1,260,833</b>	<b>-2,845</b>
Pentagon Reservation Management Revolving Fund - justification does not match summary of price and program change		-2,845	
<b>4GTB DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>	<b>381,470</b>	<b>391,470</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Procurement Technical Assistance Program		10,000	
<b>ES18 DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY</b>	<b>194,520</b>	<b>190,820</b>	<b>-3,700</b>
Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-3,700	
<b>4GTD DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY</b>	<b>544,786</b>	<b>514,189</b>	<b>-30,597</b>
Administration expenses - unjustified growth		-2,500	
Fellowship programs - unjustified growth		-2,400	
Global Security Cooperation Management Information		-497	
Civilian personnel compensation - justification does not		-5,200	
Global Security Contingency Fund - adjustment for		-30,000	
Warsaw Initiative Fund/Partnership for Peace Program		10,000	
<b>4GTE DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE</b>	<b>532,930</b>	<b>532,338</b>	<b>-592</b>
Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-592	
<b>4GTJ DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY</b>	<b>2,566,424</b>	<b>2,561,424</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
Consolidation efforts - unjustified growth		-5,000	
<b>011A MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY</b>	<b>416,644</b>	<b>452,242</b>	<b>35,598</b>
Transfer from RDTE,DW line 179		36,998	
Unjustified growth		-1,400	
<b>4GTN OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE</b>	<b>1,891,163</b>	<b>1,869,931</b>	<b>-21,232</b>
Headquarters support for other programs and initiatives - unjustified growth		-430	

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Capital security cost sharing - unjustified growth		-49,051	
Boards, Commissions and Task Force - unjustified growth		-1,365	
OSD Policy - unjustified growth		-3,623	
OSD Comptroller - unjustified growth		-2,483	
OSD Personnel and Readiness - unjustified growth		-3,100	
BRAC 2015 round planning and analyses - early to need		-4,800	
OSD AT&L, RDTE Oversight - unjustified growth		-1,380	
Office of Net Assessment - program increase		20,000	
ASD SOLIC program increase for USSOCOM oversight		2,000	
Readiness environmental protection initiative - program increase		15,000	
OSD Comptroller - audit readiness program increase		8,000	
<b>4GT1 SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>87,915</b>	<b>81,915</b>	<b>-6,000</b>
Specialized Skills Training - Special Warfare Center excess growth		-6,000	
<b>4GTQ WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES</b>	<b>610,982</b>	<b>587,262</b>	<b>-23,720</b>
Civilian personnel compensation hiring lag		-2,520	
Facilities - justification does not match summary of price and program changes		-21,200	
<b>9999 OTHER PROGRAMS</b>	<b>13,563,834</b>	<b>13,417,899</b>	<b>-145,935</b>
Classified adjustment		-145,935	
<b>IMPACT AID</b>		<b>40,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE  
FUNDING

The Committee recommends a total of \$5,087,384,000 for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) operation and maintenance funding, an increase of \$305,441,000, or six percent over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. In addition, the recommendation transfers \$32,000,000 originally requested within the SOCOM budget to other Department of Defense agencies better equipped to address the needs of the special operations community. The recommendation reflects the Committee's continued commitment to ensure that the necessary resources are provided to maintain and support the readiness of special operators.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—FLYING HOURS RESTORATION

The Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$124,729,000 above the request for the flying hour program of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). The Committee is disappointed that, again this year, the fiscal year 2015 base budget for SOCOM proposes a reduction to baseline flying hours which would result in only 67 percent of the training requirement being met. The Committee is concerned that while the overall SOCOM budget request represents a nine percent increase over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level, flying hour requirements were not prioritized within the request but rather listed as SOCOM's top unfunded priority. With anticipated reductions in operational flying hours funded in the overseas contingency operations budget due to the Afghanistan drawdown, it is important that baseline SOCOM flying hours training be preserved to maintain pilot proficiency. Therefore, the Committee recommendation restores the proposed flying hours reduction and provides the full amount identified on SOCOM's unfunded priority list.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—BUDGET JUSTIFICATION

The Committee is pleased with the progress made to address concerns regarding the annual congressional budget justification submission. The fiscal year 2015 budget submission was a marked improvement over previous years. The Committee appreciates that changes to the submission require a tremendous effort on the part of the staffs of the Comptroller, Special Operations for Financial Management (SOFM), the individual components, and the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and they are to be commended for their work.

After consultation with the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller), the Committee agreed to a phased approach to conform the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) budget justification materials to the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation (FMR) Volume 2A, Chapter 3, as it applies to the Services. A two year transition was proposed to establish sub-activity groups and line item/program elements as part of the budget justification submission. The Committee appreciates that it received this level of detail informally from SOCOM during the fiscal year 2015 budget review process. The Committee directs that the fiscal year 2016 submission delineate SOCOM's operation and maintenance budget

at this level of detail in the formal justification material. The Committee further directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Comptroller, SOFM to consult with the Committee during development of the fiscal year 2016 budget submission on actions being taken to make these necessary changes not later than October 1, 2014.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE

The Committee is concerned that the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) has not complied with longstanding Department of Defense regulations requiring submission of a prior approval reprogramming request prior to the initiation of a new start as it pertains to operation and maintenance programs. Over the last two years, SOCOM has initiated significant new programs, contracts, and activities that were not previously identified or explicitly justified in budget justification materials without submitting a reprogramming request for approval. SOCOM has also started programs and activities prior to receiving funding approval in the applicable appropriations Acts.

The Committee understands that the characteristics of operation and maintenance programs are such that new starts do not occur with the same frequency as acquisition programs. However, there are new starts in operation and maintenance accounts and, as such, established reprogramming procedures must be followed. Specifically, Department of Defense Federal Management Regulation, Volume 3, Chapter 6, section 060903D states that for operation and maintenance accounts “new starts would be significant new programs, contracts, or activities that have not been explicitly justified to the Congress in budget justification material.” The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to review this matter and issue any necessary clarifying guidance to SOCOM and report actions taken to the Committee not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act to ensure full compliance with established regulations. The Committee strongly encourages the Commander of SOCOM to consult with the Committee as necessary.

Finally, the Committee notes that language is included elsewhere in the Committee report reminding the Secretary of Defense that reductions included in the project level tables are to be treated as congressional interest items and thus cannot be restored through the use of below threshold reprogramming actions.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—USE OF MAJOR FORCE PROGRAM-11 FUNDS

In fiscal year 2014, the Committee raised concerns regarding the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) use of Major Force Program-11 (MFP-11) funds for non-MFP-11 activities. MFP-11 funds are to be used only to acquire and/or develop special operations forces (SOF)-peculiar materiel, supplies, and/or services, rather than to supplement or supplant activities that are or should be provided by the military Services. The Committee’s review of the report provided in accordance with the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 has raised concerns that SOCOM used a waiver process to use MFP-11 funds for otherwise ineligible activities in a manner inconsistent with existing Department of Defense

directives and regulations. The Committee appreciates the actions being taken by the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) and the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict to revise the process used to grant waivers from the restrictions on the use of MFP-11 funds, including the requirement for quarterly notifications. The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act that describes the revised process and to submit a quarterly report listing all waivers granted and the justification for each waiver.

The Committee also directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to ensure that the fiscal year 2016 congressional budget justification clearly identifies and justifies all MFP-11 operation and maintenance funding for programs and activities for which the Services or other defense agencies previously had responsibility for funding, including those related to special operations, the justification for the use of MFP-11 funds, and/or the funds that would be transferred between the MFP-11 budget and other Major Force Program budgets and the justification for such transfers.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—OVERSIGHT

Over the years, concerns have been raised regarding the ability of the Department of Defense to conduct the same level of oversight over Special Operations Command (SOCOM) activities and funding as is applied to the Services and other defense agencies. In an era of declining resources and when faced with difficult choices to preserve the most critical capabilities of the Department of Defense, vigorous oversight of all programs and activities is required. The Committee notes that at a time when the Services are facing significant reductions in their manpower and budget requests, SOCOM manpower and budget requests increase in fiscal year 2015. The Committee appreciates the commitment of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Special Operations and Low Intensity Conflict (ASD SOLIC) to provide oversight and looks forward to his recommendations for ways to improve the oversight of SOCOM. The Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$2,000,000 under the Office of the Secretary of Defense (Policy) for the Office of ASD SOLIC for additional staffing to provide necessary oversight.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—NATIONAL CAPITAL REGION

Subsequent to the fiscal year 2015 budget request submission, the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) notified the Committee that it no longer intended to pursue a waiver of section 8018 of the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 in order to establish an additional SOCOM office in the Washington, D.C. area. Therefore, the Committee recommendation does not include \$5,000,000 to establish a Special Operations Command—National Capital Region entity.

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—SUICIDE PREVENTION AND  
PSYCHOLOGICAL HEALTH

The Committee understands the toll that more than a decade of war has taken on all servicemembers and their families, including special operators. The Committee has always made the care of all servicemembers and their families its highest priority, including the special operations community.

The Committee is extremely concerned that the suicide rate for special operators has continued to increase since calendar year 2010, and for the past two years the suicide rate within the special operations force has surpassed those of the Services. The Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014 provided \$17,800,000, the full amount requested by the Special Operations Command (SOCOM), under the Defense Health Program to accelerate the expansion of the embedded behavioral health program to the entire special operations community in an effort to address the psychological health of the force.

While SOCOM has requested significant increases in both fiscal years 2014 and 2015 for the Command's Preservation of the Force and Family Initiative, the Committee notes that this initiative and the corresponding budget requests have been heavily focused on a human performance program. The human performance program has emphasized physical readiness with costly military construction and multi-year service contracts for physical therapists, strength and conditioning specialists, athletic trainers, and sports dietitians. At the same time, SOCOM has not requested funding for targeted suicide prevention programs. According to SOCOM, suicide prevention efforts have been minimal and met as unfunded requirements. Further, the Committee is aware that SOCOM only recently signed and promulgated a force-wide Suicide Prevention Policy Memorandum dated March 31, 2014.

The Committee shares the concerns expressed in the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 regarding the current emphasis on the human performance program and agrees that suicide prevention and the psychological health of the force must be given the highest priority. Therefore, the Committee recommends a transfer of \$18,800,000 from the amount requested for the human performance program to bolster the psychological health of the force as follows: \$14,800,000 to the Defense Health Program for behavioral health and warrior care management, providing a total of \$29,600,000; and \$4,000,000 to the Office of Suicide Prevention to implement the recommendations of the review and report on suicide among members of the special operations forces as mandated in section 581 of the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015.

The Committee also provides \$1,200,000 for chaplain training, as requested, and expects that training emphasis will be placed on suicide prevention training and awareness efforts within the special operations community.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—HUMAN PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$24,200,000 to support the physical training programs for the Special Operations Command (SOCOM). While the budget justification documentation included funding within multiple sub-activity groups for the human performance program, the Committee recommendation consolidates all funding for human performance contract personnel across the entire special operations force (SOF) enterprise within the other operations sub-activity group. The recommendation provides a total of \$20,000,000 for the SOF enterprise for human performance contracts, an increase of \$3,500,000 above the fiscal year 2014 enacted level.

The Committee has long supported physical training programs to ensure that special operators are in top physical condition to achieve their mission. As the Committee noted in fiscal year 2014, past training approaches used by SOCOM varied by component and were not scientifically based to address the unique requirements of special operators. Further, the training needs vary significantly between special operators based on their mission set. In fiscal year 2007, at the request of SOCOM, the Committee began to fund the Tactical Athlete Program within the Department of the Navy to provide the necessary scientific research for each individual type of SOF operator in order to design appropriate physical training programs to meet those specialized needs. This approach was previously used by the Department of the Army to successfully develop a training program for the 101st Airborne Division. The Committee continues to believe that the completion of this research program for all SOF components and its corresponding results will provide research-based injury prevention and performance optimization solutions and will enable the design of training programs uniquely tailored to the needs of special operators in the most productive and cost effective manner possible. The Committee is disappointed that while SOCOM has publicly endorsed this research effort, it has not requested funds to complete the multi-year research programs being conducted for Air Force, Army, and Marine Corps special operations components. Therefore, the recommendation transfers \$4,200,000 from SOCOM's human performance program to the Department of the Navy to complete these ongoing assessments.

## SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND—ADVANCED EDUCATION PROGRAM

The fiscal year 2015 budget request includes \$4,473,000 for Advanced Education programs for special operations forces (SOF), an increase of \$3,473,000 over the fiscal year 2014 enacted level. The Committee supports professional military education opportunities for all servicemembers, including those in the SOF community. However, professional military education is a Service-managed and funded responsibility to ensure equitable opportunities for all servicemembers given that the Services are responsible for managing career promotion and command selection decisions. The Committee continues to question the request of the Special Operations Command (SOCOM) to use SOF funding to augment SOF partici-

pation in established Service and civilian programs outside of the normal funding and selection process. The Committee also questions whether SOCOM's proposal to expand education programs to fellowship, doctorate, and executive programs with the private sector meets existing Department of Defense regulations.

The Committee notes that the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 directs the Secretary of Defense to submit an assessment of SOCOM's master's degree requirements. The Committee believes that the results of that review will assist in providing a better understanding of SOF advanced education requirements, as well as how existing programs are addressing these requirements.

Finally, the Committee is concerned that SOCOM began the establishment of several new programs in fiscal year 2013 prior to seeking and receiving the necessary congressional approvals for these programs. For example, SOCOM executed an agreement with the Naval Postgraduate School (NPS) to provide Major Force Program-11 funds for expanded SOF participation when no funds were appropriated for this effort. This resulted in unnecessary stress and confusion on the part of the impacted servicemembers. Therefore, the Committee recommendation transfers \$1,613,000 from the SOCOM operation and maintenance account to the Naval Postgraduate School account to ensure appropriate program and budget oversight of NPS programs. Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes a reduction of \$2,000,000 from the request based on concerns regarding duplication and unvalidated requirements. The remaining \$860,000 is not to be obligated until 30 days after the congressional defense committees have received the Secretary's assessment as directed by the House-passed National Defense Authorization Act for fiscal year 2015 and SOCOM has provided a spend plan for the use of the funds which explains the SOF-unique requirements for which no other Department of Defense or Service program exists.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND-CLASSIFIED ADJUSTMENTS

Further explanation and direction regarding the classified programs and recommendations for the Special Operations Command is contained in the classified annex accompanying this report.

#### ENERGY EFFICIENCY

The Committee is concerned about the perceived lack of progress on energy efficiency initiatives within the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after the enactment of this Act on the energy use and energy efficiency projects at the Pentagon and the ten largest Department of Defense facilities. The report shall include, but is not limited to, an analysis of energy use at the Pentagon Reservation and the ten largest facilities; an accounting, including dates, of when energy audits and energy efficiency projects have been conducted at such facilities; and an accounting of energy savings projects that could be achieved by enacting a consistent, timely, and proper mechanical insulation maintenance program and upgrading mechanical insulation at such facilities.

## COMMERCIAL JOINT MAPPING TOOLKIT

The Committee is concerned with the ability of combatant commanders to exploit data provided by the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) in environments with limited bandwidths. The Committee directs the Director of NGA, in coordination with the Under Secretary of Defense (Intelligence), to submit a report not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act, to the congressional defense and intelligence committees, that details an acquisition strategy, which includes cost and schedule data, for a competitive Commercial Joint Mapping Toolkit follow-on program that supports the combatant commands' requirements for exploitation and dissemination of NGA data in limited bandwidth operational environments.

## AUTOMATED CONTINUOUS EVALUATION SYSTEM

The Committee understands that the Automated Continuous Evaluation System (ACES) was developed by the Defense Personnel Security Research Center and is the program that the Department of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget recommend as the enterprise solution for government-wide continuous personnel security evaluations. The Committee further understands that there is an Army pilot program on personnel security evaluation that is being used interchangeably with ACES. The Committee believes that these are two different programs and should be referred to as such.

The Committee believes that the granting of a security clearance for Department of Defense personnel is an inherently governmental function of the Department of Defense. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act, on the feasibility and costs associated with the Department of Defense assuming the responsibilities of conducting security clearance investigation activities for all Department of Defense personnel.

The Committee also encourages the Secretary of Defense to consider measures to optimize and streamline data sharing and best practices for continuous personnel security evaluations and threat analysis.

## OVERSEAS MILITARY INSTALLATION INVENTORY

The Committee looks forward to receiving the Secretary of Defense's report on the inventory of United States military installations located overseas as directed in the explanatory statement accompanying the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee expects that the report will include an assessment of the requirements for overseas military force structure and infrastructure, an inventory of potentially excess infrastructure, and any opportunities for infrastructure consolidation.

## SPARE PARTS MANAGEMENT

The Committee understands that the Department of Defense is implementing its Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan to address inefficiencies and unnecessary expenditures

in the management of spare parts. The Committee supports these efforts but continues to monitor the progress of implementation.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 120 days after the enactment of this Act on further implementation of the Comprehensive Inventory Management Improvement Plan. The report should include details of the efforts to strengthen demand forecasting, visibility of on-hand inventory, reviews of on-order excess inventory, and management of inventory held for economic and contingency reasons. Additionally, the report should include a summary of the efforts to establish a standardized set of supply chain and inventory management metrics to measure key attributes including materiel readiness, responsiveness, reliability, cost, and planning and precision of supply chain management operations.

#### TRANSPORTABLE, MODULAR, RENEWABLE ENERGY PLATFORMS

The Committee supports efforts by the Department of Defense to invest in cost-saving energy measures, including transportable, modular, renewable energy platforms that reduce the warfighter's reliance on traditional fuel supplies in theater.

#### NATIONAL SECURITY EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Committee understands that the National Security Education Program (NSEP) plays an important role in increasing the pool of cultural and linguistic experts in government, and it supports Department of Defense and other government agencies efforts to partner with higher education institutions to achieve the goals of the NSEP. The Committee believes that these efforts should continue to consider minority serving institutions, such as Historically Black Colleges and Universities, to ensure diversity within the intelligence community and to increase the number of analysts with proficiency in critical languages and cultural studies, including Chinese, Farsi, and Arabic.

#### AUDIT READINESS

By September 30, 2017, the Department of Defense is required to validate its financial statements as ready for audit. To prepare for this milestone, the Department has focused its efforts in two broad areas. First, processes, controls, and systems that produce budgetary information and support the Statement of Budgetary Resources have been strengthened. Second, the accuracy and reliability of management information in several critical areas, including military equipment and real property, has been improved. The Government Accountability Office highlighted remaining challenges associated with identifying and mitigating risks to achieving the goals of the Department's Financial Improvement and Audit Readiness (FIAR) effort in a May 2013 review. Successful implementation of the FIAR guidance by the Services and defense agencies, modernizing business information systems, and improving the financial management workforce are essential to preparing for the September 2017 milestone. Accordingly, the Committee provides \$8,000,000 above the request for the Under Secretary of Defense

(Comptroller) to improve business and financial systems throughout the Department to achieve audit readiness.

CLOUD COMPUTING SERVICES

The Committee supports the Department of Defense’s investments in cloud computing services for the Joint Information Environment. The Committee is aware of many options for cloud computing services and payment strategies for users to purchase cloud computing services. In order to provide further insight into the Department’s cloud computing strategy, the Committee directs the Chief Information Officer of the Department of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act on the adoption and potential expansion of cloud computing within the Department, Services and defense agencies. The report shall include an update on the use of commercial cloud computing services in the Department of Defense, the current plans for the expansion of cloud computing, the purchasing and billing methodologies being proposed, an analysis of the costs, and if applicable, the savings associated with the utilization of cloud computing within the Department over the future years defense plan.

TRANSPORTATION COSTS FOR COMMISSARY GOODS

The Committee supports the Defense Commissary system and the benefits it yields to servicemembers worldwide. Historically, the Department of Defense has subsidized the secondary transportation costs for commissary goods shipped overseas. The Committee now understands that the Defense Commissary Agency plans to re-compete the contracts for delivery of fresh fruits and vegetables and will require that proposed prices for goods include secondary transportation costs, thereby passing the costs for these goods on to commissary customers.

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the proposed change in procurement and transportation policies for commissary goods. Further, the Committee directs that the current policies and procedures associated with second destination shipping for commissaries cannot be modified until the report is delivered and the committees have 30 days to review and approve the proposed changes included in the report.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$2,940,936,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	2,490,569,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,535,606,000
Change from budget request .....	+45,037,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,535,606,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	---	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	15,200	15,200
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....	502,664	499,275
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	107,489	105,242
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	543,989	530,162
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	72,963	64,463
LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	360,082	360,082
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS.....	72,491	72,491
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	58,873	58,873
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	388,961	388,961
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	228,597	251,457
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS HEADQUARTERS.....	39,590	39,590
-----			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	2,390,899	2,385,796
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	10,608	10,608
140	ADMINISTRATION.....	18,587	17,527
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	6,681	6,681
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION .....	9,192	9,192
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	54,602	54,602
-----			
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	99,670	98,610
	OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS.....	---	-13,800
	RESTORE READINESS.....	---	65,000
=====			
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....	2,490,569	2,535,606
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>113 ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES</b>	<b>502,664</b>	<b>499,275</b>	<b>-3,389</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-3,389	
<b>114 THEATER LEVEL ASSETS</b>	<b>107,489</b>	<b>105,242</b>	<b>-2,247</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-2,247	
<b>115 LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>543,989</b>	<b>530,162</b>	<b>-13,827</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for disability compensation		-2,860	
Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,604	
Management and professional support services - unjustified growth		-3,522	
Regional Training Sites-Medical advisory and assistance services contract - transfer to SAG 121 not properly accounted		-5,841	
<b>116 AVIATION ASSETS</b>	<b>72,963</b>	<b>64,463</b>	<b>-8,500</b>
Flying hour program - unjustified program growth		-8,500	
<b>132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>228,597</b>	<b>251,457</b>	<b>22,860</b>
Program increase		22,860	
<b>431 ADMINISTRATION</b>	<b>18,587</b>	<b>17,527</b>	<b>-1,060</b>
Travel - unjustified program growth		-1,060	
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		<b>-13,800</b>	<b>-13,800</b>
<b>RESTORE READINESS</b>		<b>65,000</b>	<b>65,000</b>

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$1,158,382,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	1,007,100,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,011,827,000
Change from budget request .....	+4,727,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,011,827,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

		BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
10	RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	565,842	565,842	---
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE.....	5,948	5,948	---
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	82,636	82,636	---
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	353	353	---
60	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	7,007	7,007	---
RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS				
70	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	8,190	8,190	---
80	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	556	556	---
90	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	4,571	4,571	---
RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT				
100	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	14,472	14,472	---
110	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	119,056	119,056	---
RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT				
120	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	1,852	1,852	---
130	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	25,354	25,354	---
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT				
140	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	48,271	53,098	+4,827
150	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	101,921	101,921	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....		986,029	990,856	+4,827
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
160	ADMINISTRATION.....	1,520	1,520	---
170	MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL.....	12,998	12,998	---
180	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	3,395	3,395	---
190	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	3,158	3,158	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....		21,071	21,071	---
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....		---	-100	-100
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....		1,007,100	1,011,827	+4,727

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & BSMR MODERNIZATION	48,271	53,098	4,827
Program increase		4,827	
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-100	100

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$255,317,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	268,582,000
Committee recommendation .....	270,485,000
Change from budget request .....	+1,903,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$270,485,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
10	EXPEDITIONARY FORCES OPERATING FORCES.....	93,093	93,093	---
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	18,377	18,377	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	29,232	32,155	+2,923
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	106,447	106,447	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	247,149	250,072	+2,923
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
70	ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	914	914	---
80	ADMINISTRATION.....	11,831	11,831	---
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	8,688	8,688	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	21,433	21,433	---
	CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG.....	---	-1,020	-1,020
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE..	268,582	270,485	+1,903
	=====	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & BSM1 MODERNIZATION	29,232	32,155	2,923
Program increase		2,923	
CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION HIRING LAG		-1,020	-1,020

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$3,062,207,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	3,015,842,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,989,214,000
Change from budget request .....	-26,628,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$2,989,214,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	1,719,467	1,709,067	-10,400
			PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES .....
20	211,132	208,332	-2,800
			MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS .....
30	530,301	530,301	---
			DEPOT MAINTENANCE .....
40	85,672	94,239	+8,567
			FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION .....
50	367,966	355,839	-12,127
			BASE OPERATING SUPPORT .....
	2,914,538	2,897,778	-16,760
			TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1 .....
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	59,899	57,596	-2,303
			ADMINISTRATION .....
70	14,509	14,044	-465
			RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING .....
80	20,345	20,345	---
			MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT .....
90	6,551	6,551	---
			OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT .....
	101,304	98,536	-2,768
			TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4 .....
		-7,100	-7,100
			OVERESTIMATE OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS .....
	3,015,842	2,989,214	-26,628
			TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE ...
			=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

<b>O-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	<b>1,719,467</b>	<b>1,709,067</b>	<b>-10,400</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-10,400	
<b>011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>211,132</b>	<b>208,332</b>	<b>-2,800</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-2,800	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>85,672</b>	<b>94,239</b>	<b>8,567</b>
Program increase		8,567	
<b>011Z BASE OPERATING SUPPORT</b>	<b>367,966</b>	<b>355,839</b>	<b>-12,127</b>
Unjustified program growth		-8,799	
Inactive Duty Training Lodging - unjustified program growth		-3,328	
<b>042A ADMINISTRATION</b>	<b>59,899</b>	<b>57,596</b>	<b>-2,303</b>
Unjustified program growth		-2,303	
<b>042J RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b>	<b>14,509</b>	<b>14,044</b>	<b>-465</b>
Unjustified program growth		-465	
<b>OVERESTIMATION OF CIVILIAN FTE TARGETS</b>		<b>-7,100</b>	<b>-7,100</b>

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$6,857,530,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	6,030,773,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,116,307,000
Change from budget request .....	+85,534,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,116,307,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
10	660,648	660,648	---
20	165,942	165,942	---
30	733,800	733,800	---
40	83,084	83,084	---
50	22,005	22,005	---
60	920,085	920,085	---
70	680,887	680,887	---
80	69,726	68,552	-1,174
90	138,263	138,263	---
100	804,517	787,353	-17,164
110	490,205	539,226	+49,021
120	872,140	846,243	-25,897
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			
	5,641,302	5,646,088	+4,786
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
130	6,690	6,690	---
150	63,075	63,075	---
160	37,372	37,372	---
170	6,484	1,197	-5,287
180	274,085	270,823	-3,262
140	1,765	1,765	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....			
	389,471	380,922	-8,549
	---	-3,703	-3,703
	---	23,000	+23,000
	---	70,000	+70,000
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD...	6,030,773	6,116,307	+85,534
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>122 LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS</b>	<b>69,726</b>	<b>68,552</b>	<b>-1,174</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for printing and reproduction		-1,174	
<b>131 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>804,517</b>	<b>787,353</b>	<b>-17,164</b>
Remove one-time fiscal year 2014 funding increase		-10,000	
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for travel		-7,164	
<b>132 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>490,205</b>	<b>539,226</b>	<b>49,021</b>
Program increase		49,021	
<b>133 MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS</b>	<b>872,140</b>	<b>846,243</b>	<b>-25,897</b>
Justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-25,897	
<b>433 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>6,484</b>	<b>1,197</b>	<b>-5,287</b>
Office of the Deputy Chief of Staff - unjustified program growth		-131	
Supplies and materials - unjustified program growth		-5,156	
<b>434 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b>	<b>274,085</b>	<b>270,823</b>	<b>-3,262</b>
Marketing and Advertising programs - unjustified program growth		-3,262	
<b>CIVILIAN PERSONNEL COMPENSATION - UNJUSTIFIED PROGRAM GROWTH</b>		<b>-3,703</b>	<b>-3,703</b>
<b>FULLY FUND TWO COMBAT TRAINING CENTER ROTATIONS - ARMY REQUESTED TRANSFER FROM OM,A SAG 121</b>		<b>23,000</b>	<b>23,000</b>
<b>RESTORE READINESS</b>		<b>70,000</b>	<b>70,000</b>

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$6,392,304,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	6,392,859,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,393,919,000
Change from budget request .....	+1,060,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,393,919,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2015:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10 AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS.....	3,367,729	3,366,229	-1,500
20 MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS.....	718,295	707,095	-11,200
30 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,528,695	1,528,695	---
40 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ....	137,604	151,364	+13,760
50 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	581,536	581,536	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	6,333,859	6,334,919	+1,060
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60 ADMINISTRATION.....	27,812	27,812	---
70 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	31,188	31,188	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	59,000	59,000	---
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD....	6,392,859	6,393,919	+1,060
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011F AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>3,367,729</b>	<b>3,366,229</b>	<b>-1,500</b>
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for flying hour program		-1,500	
<b>011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>718,295</b>	<b>707,095</b>	<b>-11,200</b>
Budget justification does not match summary of price and program changes for civilian personnel compensation		-11,200	
<b>011R FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>137,604</b>	<b>151,364</b>	<b>13,760</b>
Program increase		13,760	

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER  
ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	---
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	\$5,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	---
Change from budget request .....	-5,000,000

The Committee recommends no funding for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED  
FORCES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$13,606,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	13,723,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,723,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,723,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$298,815,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	201,560,000
Committee recommendation .....	201,560,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$201,560,000 for Environmental Restoration, Army.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$316,103,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	277,294,000
Committee recommendation .....	277,294,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$277,294,000 for Environmental Restoration, Navy.

VIEQUES AND CULEBRA ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION

The Committee remains concerned about the pace of environmental restoration on the islands of Vieques and Culebra. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act detailing a plan and schedule for the completion of the clean-up of the contaminated areas of Vieques and Culebra.

The Committee also notes that there are gaps in information about the types and amounts of ordnance used on Vieques and Culebra and potential links between such ordnance and present threats to public health. The Committee further directs the Secretary of the Navy, in coordination with the Secretary of the Army, to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 90 days after the enactment of this Act that details the types of weapons deployed on these islands, as well as the estimated level of use of these types of ordnance at these two sites. Fi-

nally, the Committee also urges the Secretary of Defense to make the relevant documents available to the public.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$439,820,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	408,716,000
Committee recommendation .....	408,716,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$408,716,000 for Environmental Restoration, Air Force.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$10,757,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	8,547,000
Committee recommendation .....	8,547,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$8,547,000 for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEFENSE SITES

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$287,443,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	208,353,000
Committee recommendation .....	233,353,000
Change from budget request .....	+25,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$233,353,000 for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION ANNUAL REPORT TO CONGRESS

The Committee believes that improved oversight and management of the Department of Defense Environmental Restoration programs will yield continued benefits. The Committee awaits the completion and release of the Defense Environmental Program's Annual Report to Congress and looks forward to receiving and reviewing the report and the additional data required by the Consolidated Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2014. The Committee reminds the Secretary of Defense that the additional reporting requirements should be considered an ongoing annual requirement.

#### OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$109,500,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	100,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	103,000,000
Change from budget request .....	+3,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$103,000,000 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.

#### HUMANITARIAN MINE ACTION PROGRAM

Explosive remnants of war such as mines, unexploded ordnance, and small arms ammunition disrupt innocent civilian populations in unimaginable ways, including maiming, disfiguring, and possibly causing death. The Humanitarian Mine Action (HMA) program provides training and readiness-enhancing benefits to military

forces. The HMA program aids in the development skills for host country personnel to sustain their mine action programs after military trainers have redeployed. To enhance the effectiveness of this program, the Committee recommendation provides an increase of \$3,000,000.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$500,455,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	365,108,000
Committee recommendation .....	365,108,000
Change from budget request .....	---

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$365,108,000 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.

ASSISTANCE TO UKRAINE

The Committee recognizes that the Department of Defense has been involved with assisting the people of Ukraine through funding provided in the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account. Additionally, the Committee notes the continued suffering of the Ukrainian people. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act describing additional assistance that the Department may provide to Ukraine.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2014 appropriation .....	\$51,031,000
Fiscal year 2015 budget request .....	212,875,000
Committee recommendation .....	51,875,000
Change from budget request .....	- 161,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$51,875,000 for the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.