

112TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT  
112-110

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2012

---

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 2219]



JUNE 16, 2011.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on  
the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

**DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2012**

112TH CONGRESS }  
*1st Session*

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

{ REPORT  
112-110

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE  
APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2012

---

R E P O R T

OF THE

COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[TO ACCOMPANY H.R. 2219]



JUNE 16, 2011.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on  
the State of the Union and ordered to be printed

---

U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE

66-874

WASHINGTON : 2011



# CONTENTS

---

	Page
Bill Totals .....	1
Committee Budget Review Process .....	3
Introduction .....	3
Funding Increases .....	3
Committee Recommendations by Major Category .....	3
Active, Reserve and National Guard Military Personnel .....	3
Operation and Maintenance .....	4
Procurement .....	4
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	5
Special Operations Command Unfunded Requirements .....	6
Defense Health Program .....	6
Classified Programs .....	6
Forces to be Supported .....	7
Department of the Army .....	7
Department of the Navy .....	8
Department of the Air Force .....	9
<b>TITLE I. MILITARY PERSONNEL</b> .....	<b>11</b>
Military Personnel Overview .....	13
Summary of End Strength .....	13
Overall Active End Strength .....	13
Overall Selected Reserve End Strength .....	13
Full-Time Support Strengths .....	14
Military Personnel Transfer Authority .....	14
High Risk Personnel Program Protective Service Details .....	15
Suicide Prevention Training and Outreach .....	16
Veterans Unemployment .....	16
Military Personnel, Army .....	16
Military Personnel, Navy .....	20
Military Personnel, Marine Corps .....	24
Military Personnel, Air Force .....	28
Creech Air Force Base Incentive Pay .....	32
Reserve Personnel, Army .....	32
Reserve Personnel, Navy .....	35
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps .....	38
Reserve Personnel, Air Force .....	41
National Guard Personnel, Army .....	44
National Guard Personnel, Air Force .....	47
<b>TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b> .....	<b>51</b>
Efficiency Initiatives .....	53
Civilian Personnel Pay .....	53
Contractor Logistics Support .....	53
Military Information Support Operations .....	55
Telecommunications Expense Management .....	56
Corrosion Control Material for Asphalt Preservation of Airfield Facilities ..	57

IV

	Page
TITLE II. OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE —Continued	
Civil-Military Training Exercises .....	57
Energy Requirements .....	57
Higher Education Training .....	57
Transportation Protective Services .....	58
Operation and Maintenance Reprogrammings .....	58
Operation and Maintenance Budget Execution Data .....	59
Operation and Maintenance, Army .....	59
Unique Identity .....	64
Facility Demolition to Promote Personnel Safety .....	64
Operation and Maintenance, Navy .....	64
Overhead Costs at Naval Shipyards .....	69
Next Generation Enterprise Network .....	69
Advanced Education .....	70
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps .....	70
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force .....	74
Visibility of Depot Maintenance Funding .....	80
Canine Explosive Detection Capabilities .....	80
Quick Reaction Capability .....	80
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	81
Common Food Management System .....	85
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve .....	85
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve .....	88
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve .....	91
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve .....	93
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard .....	96
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard .....	100
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund .....	103
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces .....	103
Environmental Restoration, Army .....	103
Environmental Restoration, Navy .....	103
Environmental Restoration, Air Force .....	103
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide .....	103
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites .....	104
Contract Oversight and Transparency .....	104
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid .....	105
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account .....	105
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....	105
Acquisition Workforce Development Fund .....	105
TITLE III. PROCUREMENT .....	107
Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile .....	109
Joint Strike Fighter .....	109
National Guard Aviation .....	109
Common Data Link .....	109
Special Interest Items .....	110
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts .....	110
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements .....	111
Funding Increases .....	111
Classified Annex .....	111
Aircraft Procurement, Army .....	111
Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System .....	115
Missile Procurement, Army .....	115
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army .....	118
M1 Tank .....	122

	Page
TITLE III. PROCUREMENT—Continued	
Procurement of Ammunition, Army .....	123
Other Procurement, Army .....	127
Aircraft Procurement, Navy .....	136
V-22 Osprey .....	143
Firescout .....	143
Weapons Procurement, Navy .....	144
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps .....	148
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy .....	152
Littoral Combat Ship .....	155
Shipbuilding Oversight .....	155
Other Procurement, Navy .....	155
Procurement, Marine Corps .....	167
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force .....	173
MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aerial Vehicle .....	180
Initial Spares and Repair Parts .....	180
Missile Procurement, Air Force .....	180
Space Acquisition and the Evolutionary Acquisition for Space Efficiency Proposal .....	185
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force .....	186
Other Procurement, Air Force .....	189
Procurement, Defense-Wide .....	194
Special Operations Command Aviation Foreign Internal Defense Program .....	200
Defense Production Act Purchases .....	200
Rare Earth Materials .....	201
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION .....	203
Medium Extended Air Defense System .....	205
Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions .....	205
Light Tactical Wheeled Vehicles .....	205
Department of Defense and Service Cyber Activities and Virtual Major Force Program .....	207
Information Security .....	208
Corrosion Prevention and Control .....	208
Integrated Weapons and Armament Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition .....	209
Test Facilities .....	209
Special Interest Items .....	209
Reprogramming Guidance for Acquisition Accounts .....	210
Reprogramming Reporting Requirements .....	210
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army .....	210
Ground Combat Vehicle .....	220
Sustainable Manufacturing .....	220
Environmental Quality Technology .....	220
Helicopter Situational Awareness .....	220
M1 Abrams Tank Engine Improvements .....	221
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy .....	221
Surface Combatant Topside Superstructure Cracking .....	232
Bone Marrow Registry .....	232
Critical Archived Data .....	232
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force .....	233
KC-46A Change Reporting .....	243
Rapid Attack, Identification, Detection, and Reporting System .....	243
Small and Disadvantaged Businesses .....	243

VI

	Page
TITLE IV. RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION—Continued	
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	243
Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency .....	253
U.S.-Israeli Short-Range Ballistic Missile Defense .....	253
Emerging Capabilities Technology Development .....	253
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense .....	253
TITLE V. REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS .....	255
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	255
National Defense Sealift Fund .....	255
TITLE VI. OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS .....	257
Defense Health Program .....	257
Defense Health Program Reprogramming Procedures .....	260
Carryover .....	260
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program .....	260
Prescription Medication .....	261
Peer-Reviewed Lung Cancer Research Program .....	261
Tri-Service Patient Acuity Staff Scheduling Program .....	261
Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program .....	262
Integration of Health Services with Department of Veterans Affairs ..	262
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense .....	263
Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility .....	265
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	265
National Guard Counter-Drug Program .....	267
Prescription Drug Testing .....	267
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	268
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	268
Office of the Inspector General .....	268
TITLE VII. RELATED AGENCIES .....	271
National and Military Intelligence Programs .....	271
Classified Annex .....	271
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund .....	271
Intelligence Community Management Account .....	271
TITLE VIII. GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	273
TITLE IX. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS .....	283
Committee Recommendation .....	283
Reporting Requirements .....	283
Base Budgeting for Contingencies .....	283
Afghanistan Report .....	284
Human Rights Abuses .....	284
Military Personnel .....	284
Operation and Maintenance .....	290
Overstatement of Fiscal Year 2012 Requirements .....	297
Commander's Emergency Response Program .....	298
Procurement .....	298
National Guard and Reserve Equipment .....	311
Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) and Mine Resistant Ambush Protected All Terrain Vehicles (M-ATV) .....	311
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation .....	311
ISR Innovations .....	314
Revolving and Management Funds .....	314
Defense Working Capital Funds .....	314
Other Department of Defense Programs .....	314
Defense Health Program .....	314
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense .....	316

VII

	Page
TITLE IX. OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS—Continued	
Other Department of Defense Programs—Continued	
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	318
Office of the Inspector General .....	318
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund .....	318
General Provisions .....	318
TITLE X. ADDITIONAL GENERAL PROVISIONS .....	321
HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS .....	321
Changes in the Application of Existing Law .....	321
Appropriations Not Authorized By Law .....	332
Transfer of Funds .....	335
Rescissions .....	337
Transfer of Unexpended Balances .....	337
Statement of General Performance Goals and Objectives .....	337
Constitutional Authority .....	338
Compliance with Rule XIII, Cl. 3(e) (Ramseyer Rule) .....	338
Earmark Disclosure Statement .....	338
Comparison with the Budget Resolution .....	338
Five-Year Outlay Projections .....	339
Financial Assistance to State and Local Governments .....	339
Full Committee Votes .....	339
Comparative Statement of New Budget Authority .....	340
Additional Views .....	353



DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2012

—————  
JUNE 16, 2011.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House on the State of  
the Union and ordered to be printed  
—————

Mr. YOUNG of Florida, from the Committee on Appropriations,  
submitted the following

R E P O R T

together with

ADDITIONAL VIEWS

[To accompany H.R. 2219]

The Committee on Appropriations submits the following report in explanation of the accompanying bill making appropriations for the Department of Defense, and for other purposes, for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2012.

BILL TOTALS

Appropriations for most military functions of the Department of Defense are provided for in the accompanying bill for fiscal year 2012. This bill does not provide appropriations for military construction, military family housing, civil defense, and military nuclear warheads, for which requirements are considered in connection with other appropriations Acts.

The President's fiscal year 2012 budget request for activities funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act totals \$538,940,292,000 in new budget obligational authority for the base military bill.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	126,739,756	132,096,541	132,092,225	+5,352,469	-4,316
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	165,560,124	170,759,313	169,979,661	+4,419,537	-779,652
Title III - Procurement.....	102,121,873	114,365,617	107,681,474	+5,459,601	-6,784,143
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	74,957,028	75,325,082	73,009,469	-1,947,559	-2,315,613
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,909,402	2,701,394	2,675,529	-233,873	-25,865
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	34,313,256	35,519,627	35,647,581	+1,334,325	+127,954
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	941,732	1,105,913	971,925	+30,193	-133,988
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	-5,117,461	29,000	-2,183,205	+2,934,256	-2,212,205
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (GNOT).....	157,680,120	117,725,751	118,567,277	-39,112,843	+841,526
Total, Department of Defense.....	660,105,830	649,628,238	638,341,936	-21,763,894	-11,286,302
Other defense appropriations.....	---	---	---	---	---
Total funding available (net).....	660,105,830	649,628,238	638,341,936	-21,763,894	-11,286,302
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	11,034,271	10,881,000	10,881,000	-153,271	---
Less appropriations for subsequent years....	---	-3,212,495	---	---	+3,212,495
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	671,140,101	657,296,743	649,222,936	-21,917,165	-8,073,807

## COMMITTEE BUDGET REVIEW PROCESS

During its review of the fiscal year 2012 budget request and execution of appropriations for fiscal year 2011, the Subcommittee on Defense held a total of nine hearings, three formal Subcommittee briefings, and numerous informal member and staff briefings during the period of February 2011 to May 2011. Testimony received by the Subcommittee totaled 808 pages of transcript. Hearings were held in open session, except when the security classification of the material to be discussed presented no alternative but to conduct those hearings in executive or closed session.

## INTRODUCTION

The Committee recommendation for the fiscal year 2012 Department of Defense base budget is \$530,024,959,000, which is \$8,915,333,000 below the request. The Committee recommendation for overseas contingency operations is \$118,684,277,000, which is \$841,526,000 above the request. This increase is mostly attributable to the transfer of the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund from the Subcommittee on State/Foreign Operations to the Subcommittee on Defense.

To reach the reduced base allocation, the Subcommittee has reviewed in detail the budget request and found areas and programs where reductions are possible without adversely impacting the warfighter or modernization and readiness efforts. Examples of such reductions include: programs which have been terminated or restructured since the budget was submitted, savings from favorable contract pricing adjustments, contract/schedule delays resulting in fiscal year 2012 savings, unjustified cost increases or funding requested ahead of need, anticipated or historical under execution, rescissions of unneeded prior year funds, and reductions that are authorized in the House passed fiscal year 2012 National Defense Authorization Act. Additionally, within this allocation, the Committee has focused on addressing Service identified unfunded requirements, including the Special Operations Command, and restoring unrealistic efficiencies included in the budget request.

## FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in the tables for each appropriation account shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

## COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS BY MAJOR CATEGORY

## ACTIVE, RESERVE, AND NATIONAL GUARD MILITARY PERSONNEL

In title I of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$132,092,225,000 for active, reserve, and National Guard military personnel, a decrease of \$4,316,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$5,352,469,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level. The recommendation supports the request to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.6 percent, effective January 1, 2012. The Committee also recommends full funding to support the requested end strength levels for active duty and Selected Reserve personnel.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

In title II of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$169,979,661,000 for operation and maintenance support to the Services and other Department of Defense entities, a decrease of \$779,652,000 below the budget request, and an increase of \$4,419,537,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level. The recommendation will robustly fund operational training programs in fiscal year 2012. Requests for unit and depot level maintenance; facilities sustainment, restoration and modernization; and base operations support programs have been fully supported.

## PROCUREMENT

In title III of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$107,581,474,000 for procurement.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$15,674,000 for the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance Systems program, \$523,900,000 below the President's request.

\$1,325,666,000 for the procurement of 71 UH-60 Blackhawk Helicopters, the same as the President's request.

\$1,305,360,000 for the procurement of 47 CH-47 Chinook Helicopters, the same as the President's request.

\$658,798,000 for the procurement of 36 MQ-1 Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, the same as the President's request.

\$662,231,000 for the procurement of 88 Patriot PAC-3 missiles, the same as the President's request.

\$453,329,000 for the procurement of additional M1A2 SEP upgraded Abrams tanks, an increase of \$272,000,000 above the President's request.

\$432,936,000 for the procurement of Family of Medium Tactical Vehicles, the same as the President's request.

\$627,294,000 for the procurement of Family of Heavy Tactical Vehicles, the same as the President's request.

\$1,001,596,000 for the procurement of 12 EA-18G Growler electronic attack aircraft, \$77,768,000 below the President's request.

\$2,303,264,000 for the procurement of 28 F/A-18E/F Super Hornet Tactical aircraft, \$63,488,000 below the President's request.

\$2,008,851,000 for the procurement of 11 P-8A Poseidon Multi-mission aircraft, \$10,000,000 below the President's request.

\$680,686,000 for the procurement of 25 UH-1Y/AH-1Z Helicopters, \$19,620,000 below the President's request.

\$5,930,644,000 for the procurement of 32 F-35 Lightning Aircraft: six Short Take-off and Vertical Landing variants for the Marine Corps, seven Carrier variants for the Navy, and 19 Conventional variants for the Air Force.

\$15,125,493,000 in Navy Shipbuilding and Conversion and the National Defense Sealift Fund for the procurement of ten Navy ships including one DDG-51 Guided Missile Destroyer, two SSN-774 Attack Submarines, four Littoral Combat Ships, one Intra-theater Connector Ship, one LPD-17 Amphibious Transport Dock, and one Mobile Landing Platform.

\$1,096,714,000 for the procurement of eleven C/HC/MC/AC-130J aircraft.

\$479,896,000 for the procurement of nine C-27J Joint Cargo Aircraft.

\$2,549,682,000 for the procurement of 30 MV-22 and five CV-22 Osprey aircraft.

\$323,964,000 for the procurement of three Global Hawk Unmanned Aerial Vehicles.

\$699,012,000 for the procurement of 48 MQ-9 Reaper Unmanned Aerial Vehicles, \$114,080,000 below the President's request.

\$158,549,000 for the procurement of nine Light Attack Armed Reconnaissance aircraft.

\$803,745,000 for the procurement of two Wideband Global System satellites, \$335,000,000 above the President's request.

\$107,689,000 for Global Positioning System IIF production readiness, \$40,000,000 above the President's request.

\$1,566,200,000 for the procurement of four Evolved Expendable Launch Vehicles, \$174,022,000 below the President's request.

\$164,489,000 for the procurement of additional communication equipment and electronics for Special Operations Forces, \$77,000,000 above the President's request.

\$148,459,000 for the procurement of tactical radio systems for Special Operations Forces, \$72,000,000 above the President's request.

\$70,899,000 for the procurement of combatant craft systems for Special Operations forces, \$64,000,000 above the President's request.

#### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

In title IV of the bill, the Committee recommends a total of \$73,009,469,000 for research, development, test and evaluation.

Major initiatives and modifications include:

\$297,955,000 for the development of the Warfighter Information Network—Tactical, the same as the President's request.

\$768,053,000 for the development of the Manned Ground Vehicle Ground Combat Vehicle, \$116,334,000 below the President's request.

\$50,000,000 for the development of survivability enhancements in High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicles, \$50,000,000 above the President's request.

\$257,105,000 for the development of the Medium Extended Air Defense System, \$149,500,000 below the President's request.

\$1,320,009,000 for the continuation of the development of the replacement for the Ohio class ballistic missile submarine, the same as the President's request.

\$110,994,000 for the continued development of the E-2D Advanced Hawkeye aircraft, the same as the President's request.

\$635,146,000 for the continued development of the Joint Tactical Radio System, \$53,000,000 below the President's request.

\$2,708,228,000 for the continued development of the F-35 Lightning Joint Strike Fighter aircraft, \$75,748,000 below the President's request.

\$632,713,000 for the continued development of the Multi-mission Maritime Aircraft, \$10,000,000 above the President's request.

\$297,023,000 for the development of a new penetrating bomber, \$100,000,000 above the President's request.

\$877,084,000 for the development of the Next Generation Aerial Refueling Aircraft.

\$225,000,000 for the development of the Defense Weather Satellite System, \$219,900,000 below the President's request.

\$621,629,000 for the continued development of the Space Based Infrared Satellite system, the same as the President's request.

\$350,889,000, for the development of the Global Positioning System III operational control segment, \$48,000,000 below the President's request.

\$138,729,000 for the development of the Family of Advanced Beyond Line-of-Sight-Terminals (FAB-T), \$100,000,000 below the President's request and offset by an increase of \$50,000,000 for the development of FAB-T alternatives.

\$2,884,920,000 for the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, \$100,000,000 below the President's request due to realignment of accounts.

\$235,700,000 for the Israeli Cooperative Program, \$129,600,000 above the President's request.

#### SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND UNFUNDED REQUIREMENTS

The Committee provides \$239,000,000 above the budget request for unfunded requirements for the Special Operations Command. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to continue to robustly fund the Special Operations Command in future budget submissions. Furthermore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Commander, Special Operations Command to fully support and fund the Theater Special Operations Commands.

#### DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends a total of \$32,317,459,000 for the Defense Health Program to support worldwide medical and dental services for active forces and other eligible beneficiaries, an increase of \$118,689,000 above the budget request and an increase of \$935,261,000 above the fiscal year 2011 enacted level.

The Committee recommends funding to augment the request for enduring Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health and Wounded, Ill, and Injured requirements. To address these challenges of the Defense Health Program, the Committee recommends the following:

Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health Research .....	\$125,000,000
Peer-Reviewed Spinal Cord Research .....	\$9,600,000
Peer-Reviewed Orthopedic Research .....	\$30,000,000
Hemorrhage Control Research .....	\$10,000,000
Restorative Transplantation Research .....	\$15,000,000

#### CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS

As described elsewhere in this report, the Committee's budget reviews are published in a separate, detailed, and comprehensive classified annex. Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in the classified annex accompanying this report.

## FORCES TO BE SUPPORTED

## DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY

The fiscal year 2012 budget request is designed to support the Army's continuing transformation of its Operating Forces to a modular design. Completing modular transformation is indicative of a unit's completion of initial reorganization and re-equipping to a modular design and is not an indicator of readiness (fully manned, trained, or equipped) or availability for deployment. Units are currently manned and equipped in accordance with the Army Force Generation Model, which prioritizes personnel fill and equipment fielding in a resource constrained environment to ensure deploying units have all equipment required to accomplish their assigned missions.

By the end of fiscal year 2012, the Active Component Modular Force will include six Army Service Component Command headquarters and one Army Component headquarters assigned to U.S. Forces Korea, four Corps headquarters, ten Division headquarters, 44 Brigade Combat Teams, and 38 Multi-Functional Support Brigades. Active Component structure yet to be transformed to a modular design is one Brigade Combat Team. By the end of fiscal year 2012, the Army Modular Force structure in the Army National Guard and the U.S. Army Reserve will include eight Army National Guard division headquarters, 28 Brigade Combat Teams, and 60 Multi-Functional Support Brigades (48 Army National Guard and 1,2 Army Reserve). These forces are the key elements of the minimum capabilities needed to execute the National Military Strategy and to meet enduring defense needs of the Global Force Demand. By fiscal year 2013, the Army Modular force conversion will be complete.

A summary of the major forces follows:

	Fiscal year		
	FY10	FY11	FY12
Active Component Forces:			
Headquarters (Legacy Structure):			
Army HQs .....	1	0	0
Corps HQs .....	1	1	1
Headquarters Total .....	2	1	1
Divisions (Legacy Structure):			
Armored .....	1	1	1
Divisions Total .....	1	1	1
Non Divisional Combat Units:			
Separate Brigades .....	2	2	2
Non Divisional Combat Units Total .....	2	2	2
Transformed Modular Forces:			
Modular Theater Army HQs .....	4	6	6
Modular Corps HQs .....	3	3	4
Modular Division HQs .....	9	10	10
Heavy Brigade Combat Team .....	17	16	16
Infantry Brigade Combat Team .....	20	20	20
Stryker Brigade Combat Team .....	6	7	8
Theater Aviation Brigade HQ .....	1	1	1
Combat Aviation Brigade .....	12	12	13
Sustainment Brigade HQ .....	13	13	13
Fires Brigade .....	5	6	7

	Fiscal year		
	FY10	FY11	FY12
Maneuver Enhancement Brigade HQ .....	3	2	2
Battlefield Surveillance Brigade .....	3	3	3
Transformed Forces Total .....	96	96	103
Army National Guard Forces:			
Transformed Modular Forces:			
Modular Division HQs .....	8	8	8
Heavy Brigade Combat Team .....	6	7	7
Infantry Brigade Combat Team .....	7	16	20
Stryker Brigade Combat Team .....	1	1	1
Theater Aviation Brigade HQ .....	5	5	5
Combat Aviation Brigade .....	8	8	8
Sustainment Brigade HQ .....	9	10	10
Fires Brigade .....	7	7	7
Maneuver Enhancement Brigade HQ .....	14	16	16
Battlefield Surveillance Brigade .....	6	7	7
Transformed Forces Total .....	71	71	89
U.S. Army Reserve Forces:			
Transformed Modular Forces:			
Theater Aviation Brigade HQ .....	1	1	1
Sustainment Brigade HQ .....	9	9	9
Maneuver Enhancement Brigade HQ .....	3	3	3
Transformed Forces Total .....	13	13	13

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY

The fiscal year 2012 budget request supports battle forces which will total 288 ships at the end of fiscal year 2012, including 14 fleet ballistic missile submarines, 11 aircraft carriers, 19 support ships, nine Reserve ships, 244 other battle force ships, 1,682 Navy/Marine Corps tactical/ASW aircraft, 601 Undergraduate Training aircraft, 517 Fleet Air Training aircraft, 225 Fleet Air Support aircraft, 266 Reserve aircraft, and 274 aircraft in the pipeline.

A summary of the major forces follows:

	Fiscal year		
	2010	2011	2012
Strategic Forces: .....	14	14	14
Fleet Ballistic Missile Submarines .....	14	14	14
General Purpose: .....	257	252	255
Aircraft Carriers .....	11	11	11
Surface Combatants .....	112	112	111
Submarines (attack) .....	53	53	54
Guided Missile (SSGN) Submarines .....	4	4	4
Amphibious Warfare Ships .....	31	29	30
Combat Logistics Ships .....	32	29	31
Mine Warfare .....	14	14	14
Support Forces: .....	17	18	19
Support Ships .....	17	18	19
Mobilization Cat. A (Reserve) .....	9	7	7
Surface Combatants .....	9	7	7
Mine Warfare .....	0	0	0
Total Ships, Battleforce .....	288	284	288
Auxiliaries/Sea Lift Forces: .....	89	90	92
Costal Defense (Patrol Combatants) .....	10	10	13
Maritime Preposition .....	16	17	18
MSC Reduced Operating Status .....	14	14	13
Ready Reserve Force .....	49	49	48

	Fiscal year		
	2010	2011	2012
<b>Naval Aircraft:</b>			
Primary Authorized (plus pipe) .....	3,544	3,558	3,564
Authorized Pipeline .....	274	279	274
Tactical/ASW Aircraft .....	1,632	1,668	1,682
Fleet Air Training .....	506	499	517
Fleet Air Support .....	219	224	225
Training (Undergraduate) .....	642	615	601
Reserves .....	271	273	266
<b>Naval Personnel:</b>			
<b>Active:</b>			
Navy .....	*328,303	*328,700	**325,700
Marine Corps .....	202,441	202,100	202,100
<b>Reserves Navy:</b>			
SELRES/Drilling Reserve .....	54,200	54,812	55,863
Fulltime Support .....	10,806	10,688	10,337
Navy Reserves Total .....	65,006	65,500	66,200
<b>Reserves Marine Corps:</b>			
SELRES/Drilling Reserve .....	37,016	37,339	37,339
Fulltime Support .....	2,206	2,261	2,261
Marine Corps Reserves Total .....	39,222	39,600	39,600

\*FY2010/2011 includes 4,400 non-core IA requested for temporary IA OCO missions.

\*\*FY2012 includes 3,836 non-core IA requested for temporary IA OCO missions.

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE

The fiscal year 2012 Air Force budget request is designed to support active, Guard, and reserve forces, including 61 combat coded fighter and attack squadrons and nine combat coded strategic bomber squadrons. The Inter-Continental Ballistic Missile force maintains 495 launch facilities/control centers with 450 Minuteman missiles. The budget also supports the critical airlift mission, including 26 active duty airlift squadrons. To accomplish the Air Force mission, the fiscal year 2012 budget supports a total force end strength of 693,099 (includes active duty, civilians, Guard, and reserve).

	FY10	FY11	FY12
<b>Strategic Airlift Squadrons:</b>			
Active .....	16	16	16
Guard .....	6	6	6
Reserve .....	16	16	16
<b>Tactical Airlift Squadrons:</b>			
Active .....	10	10	10
Guard .....	20	20	21
Reserve .....	9	9	9

A summary of the major forces follows:

	Fiscal year		
	2010	2011	2012
USAF Fighter and Attack Squadrons (Active, ANG, AFRC) .....	73	61	61
Active .....	39	33	32
ANG .....	30	24	25
AFRC .....	4	4	4
Strategic Bomber Squadrons (Active) .....	8	9	9*
Strategic Bomber Squadrons (AFRC) .....	1	0	0*
Flight Test Units (DT and OT Units with assigned aircraft) .....	12	12	12**
Fighter .....	9	9	9**
Bomber .....	3	3	3
ICBM Operational Launch Facilities/Control Centers .....	495	495	495

	Fiscal year		
	2010	2011	2012
ICBM Missile Inventory .....	450	450	450
USAF Airlift Squadrons (Active):			
Strategic Airlift Squadrons .....	15	15	15 **
Tactical Airlift Squadrons .....	9	8	8 **
Total Active Airlift Squadrons .....	24	23	23 **
Total Air Force Aircraft Inventory .....	5,688	5,566	5,292

\* FY10 transition of Active and AFRC squadrons reflected in FY11 numbers

\*\* Numbers of Squadrons above reflect combat coded units only (i.e. no training or test info except where noted)

End Strength	*FY10	**FY11	**FY12
Active Duty .....	334,196	332,200	332,800
Reserve Component .....	177,795	177,900	178,100
Air National Guard .....	107,676	106,700	106,700
Air Force Reserve .....	70,119	71,200	71,400

\* FY10 includes actual end strength numbers

\*\* FY11 and FY12 includes programmed end strength numbers as of FY11 PB and as of FY12 PB, and FY12 active duty end strength includes 4,000 Air Force Academy cadets

## TITLE I

### MILITARY PERSONNEL

The fiscal year 2012 budget request for programs funded in title I of the Committee bill, Military Personnel, is \$132,096,541,000 in new budget authority. These appropriations finance basic, incentive, and special pays for active, reserve and National Guard personnel, and Academy cadets; retired pay accrual; housing, subsistence, and other allowances; recruitment and retention initiatives; permanent change of station costs; and other military personnel costs such as survivor, unemployment, and education benefits. A summary of appropriations provided in title I, Military Personnel, follows:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	43,596,949	43,859,709	+262,760
MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	27,154,384	27,141,334	-13,050
MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	13,573,546	13,480,436	-93,110
MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	28,304,432	28,264,646	-39,786
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	4,386,077	4,333,507	-52,570
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	1,960,634	1,948,544	-12,090
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	653,212	645,422	-7,790
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,729,823	1,711,653	-18,170
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	7,623,335	7,607,345	-15,990
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,114,149	3,099,629	-14,520
GRAND TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL.....	132,096,541	132,092,225	-4,316
	=====	=====	=====

## MILITARY PERSONNEL OVERVIEW

The Committee recommendation provides \$132,092,225,000 for the military personnel accounts and continues to increase funding for military pay and allowances, recruitment and retention initiatives, and overall quality of life programs for active duty, reserve, and National Guard personnel. The recommendation fully supports the resource requirements needed to maintain the requested end strength levels for fiscal year 2012. The recommendation also provides funding to increase basic pay for all military personnel by 1.6 percent, effective January 1, 2012. The Committee continues to support and encourage constructive evaluations of recruitment and retention programs, bonus and special pay incentives, and personnel benefit programs for military personnel for fiscal year 2012. The Committee remains supportive of programs intended to enhance the morale and quality of life for our military personnel and their families.

## SUMMARY OF END STRENGTH

The fiscal year 2012 budget request includes a decrease of 2,400 in total end strength for the active forces and an increase of 900 in end strength for the Selected Reserve as compared to the fiscal year 2011 authorized levels. The following tables summarize the Committee recommendations for end strength levels, both in the aggregate and for each active and Selected Reserve component.

## OVERALL ACTIVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2011 estimate .....	1,410,400
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,408,000
Fiscal year 2012 recommendation .....	1,408,000
Compared with fiscal year 2011 .....	- 2,400
Compared with fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	--

## OVERALL SELECTED RESERVE END STRENGTH

Fiscal year 2011 estimate .....	846,200
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	847,100
Fiscal year 2012 recommendation .....	847,100
Compared with fiscal year 2011 .....	+900
Compared with fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	--

## SUMMARY OF MILITARY PERSONNEL END STRENGTH

	Fiscal year 2011 authorized	Fiscal year 2012			
		Budget request	Recommenda- tion	Change from request	Change from FY2011
Active Forces (End Strength):					
Army .....	547,400	547,400	547,400	—	—
Navy .....	328,700	325,700	325,700	—	- 3,000
Marine Corps .....	202,100	202,100	202,100	—	—
Air Force .....	332,200	332,800	332,800	—	600
Total Active Forces .....	1,410,400	1,408,000	1,408,000	—	- 2,400
Guard and Reserve Forces (End Strength):					
Army Reserve .....	205,000	205,000	205,000	—	—
Navy Reserve .....	65,500	66,200	66,200	—	700
Marine Corps Reserve .....	39,600	39,600	39,600	—	—
Air Force Reserve .....	71,200	71,400	71,400	—	200
Army National Guard .....	358,200	358,200	358,200	—	—
Air National Guard .....	106,700	106,700	106,700	—	—

	Fiscal year 2011 authorized	Fiscal year 2012			
		Budget request	Recommenda- tion	Change from request	Change from FY2011
Total, Selected Reserve .....	846,200	847,100	847,100	—	900
Total, Military Personnel .....	2,256,600	2,255,100	2,255,100	—	-1,500

#### FULL-TIME SUPPORT STRENGTHS

There are four categories of full-time support in the National Guard and reserve components: military (civilian) technicians, Active Guard and Reserve (AGR), non-technician civilians, and active component personnel.

Full-time support personnel organize, recruit, train, maintain, and administer the reserve components. Military (civilian) technicians directly support units and are critical to helping units maintain readiness and meet the wartime mission of the Army and Air Force.

The following table summarizes National Guard and reserve full-time support end strengths:

#### SUMMARY OF GUARD AND RESERVE FULL-TIME SUPPORT

	Fiscal year 2011 authorized	Fiscal year 2012			
		Budget request	Recommenda- tion	Change from request	Change from FY2011
Army Reserve:					
AGR .....	16,261	16,261	16,261	—	—
Technicians .....	8,395	8,395	8,395	—	—
Navy Reserve:					
AR .....	10,688	10,337	10,337	—	-351
Marine Corps Reserve:					
AR .....	2,261	2,261	2,261	—	—
Air Force Reserve:					
AGR .....	2,992	2,662	2,662	—	-330
Technicians .....	10,720	10,777	10,777	—	57
Army National Guard:					
AGR .....	32,060	32,060	32,060	—	—
Technicians .....	27,210	27,210	27,210	—	—
Air National Guard:					
AGR .....	14,584	14,833	14,833	—	249
Technicians .....	22,394	22,509	22,509	—	115
Totals:					
AGR/AR .....	78,846	78,414	78,414	—	-432
Technicians .....	68,719	68,891	68,891	—	172
Total Full-Time Support .....	147,565	147,305	147,305	—	-260

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL TRANSFER AUTHORITY

The recommendation includes a new provision to provide the Department of Defense with the authority to carry over up to one percent of the amounts appropriated for the military personnel accounts under title I from fiscal year 2012 to fiscal year 2013. Since 2001, the Department has had 11 violations of the Anti-deficiency Act in the military personnel accounts. The Committee has repeatedly expressed its concern over the Department's failure to adequately budget for military personnel. The Committee recognizes

that the entitlement based nature of the military personnel accounts and the sizable volume of obligations in the last quarter of the fiscal year create a unique budgeting challenge for the Department. The Committee commends the Department's efforts to improve its budgeting and oversight of the military personnel accounts by improving its cost models and obligation methods and by establishing formal management oversight of budget execution. The Committee believes the additional flexibility provided by the new provision should enable the Department to better manage the military personnel appropriation and avoid further Anti-deficiency Act violations. The authority provided is limited only to transfers within the military personnel appropriation. The Department should not request authority for reprogramming for other requirements in other appropriation accounts.

#### HIGH RISK PERSONNEL PROGRAM PROTECTIVE SERVICE DETAILS

The Department of Defense High Risk Personnel Program provides protective service details for physical protection and personal security to Department of Defense personnel in high risk billets facing potential threats both domestically and overseas. It does not apply to combat zones or expeditionary operations. In addition, protection is provided to certain foreign senior military officials and dignitaries visiting the United States on official business. Protective service details are provided primarily by active duty servicemembers.

The number of Department of Defense personnel requiring protection and the number of personnel assigned to provide protective services has declined since 2005. In 2009, an independent review of Department of Defense security measures implemented post September 11, 2001 recommended standardizing the following methods to determine high risk billets: risk assessments to determine protection levels, levels of protection needed when traveling overseas, levels of protection needed for similar positions, and size of security details necessary for the High Risk Personnel Program. The review found that the number of personnel assigned to protection details could be reduced significantly from current levels, which would both provide significant savings to the program and enable servicemembers to be reassigned to other critical security functions. The Department has acknowledged the need to reform the program, but the matter has been under review for over a year, and the Department has yet to act on the recommendations.

The Committee is concerned that protective service details have become viewed as a status symbol for Department personnel, and that decisions to provide service details are based on position rather than potential threat or risk level. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to implement the report recommendations, including the standardization of levels of protection for like positions, size of personnel protection details, risk assessments used to determine protection levels, and levels of protection needed for travel outside of the United States. The Secretary of Defense should direct reductions in protective service details where appropriate and based on the recommendations of the report. Additionally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the implementation of the report and status

of the High Risk Personnel Program, not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act. This report should include the Secretary's recommendation for the number of personnel and personnel positions to be assigned protective service details as well as the number of personnel recommended to be assigned to provide those protective service details.

SUICIDE PREVENTION TRAINING AND OUTREACH

Suicide remains a very serious problem in the Services, particularly in the National Guard and reserve components. From calendar year 2009 to 2010, the National Guard and reserve components saw the number of suicides increase dramatically. National Guard and reserve personnel are often geographically isolated from their units and may not have the constant interaction with their peers and guidance from their chain of command that their active duty counterparts experience. The Committee acknowledges the steps the Services have taken to implement suicide prevention training and outreach efforts and to lower the rate of suicide among servicemembers. In addition, the Committee recognizes the important role the Yellow Ribbon program plays in helping National Guardsmen and reservists transition to civilian life upon returning from deployments. The Committee is aware that suicide remains a problem among servicemembers who have never deployed as well as those who have deployed once or multiple times. The Committee believes that more must be done to identify potential at-risk soldiers and to improve prevention and outreach efforts. The Committee urges the Services to continue to make suicide prevention a key priority and to regularly update the Committee on the actions being taken.

VETERANS UNEMPLOYMENT

The Committee is deeply concerned about the rate of unemployment facing Service personnel who have returned from the wars in Iraq and Afghanistan. Nationwide 873,000 veterans are unemployed, and amongst Post-9/11 veterans the unemployment rate is 10.9 percent, or 214,000 Post-9/11 veterans. Post-9/11 veterans age 18–24 suffer the highest unemployment rate of any veteran group, 26.8 percent, with 50,000 unemployed.

Not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of Veterans Affairs, is directed to submit a report in writing to the congressional defense committees on recommendations for programmatic, regulatory, legislative, and administrative actions that can be taken to address this national crisis.

MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$41,403,653,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	43,596,949,000
Committee recommendation .....	43,859,709,000
Change from budget request .....	262,760,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$43,859,709,000 for Military Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
50 MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY			
100 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
150 BASIC PAY.....	6,661,748	6,661,748	---
200 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,281,003	2,281,003	---
250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,943,067	1,943,067	---
300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	263,635	263,635	---
350 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	101,439	101,439	---
400 SPECIAL PAYS.....	333,397	333,397	---
450 ALLOWANCES.....	215,169	215,169	---
500 SEPARATION PAY.....	57,643	57,643	---
550 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	506,640	506,640	---
600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	12,363,741	12,363,741	---
650 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
700 BASIC PAY.....	14,014,149	14,014,149	---
750 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	4,804,856	4,804,856	---
800 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	4,887,446	4,887,446	---
850 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	106,737	106,737	---
900 SPECIAL PAYS.....	1,011,026	1,011,026	---
950 ALLOWANCES.....	841,913	841,913	---
1000 SEPARATION PAY.....	269,392	269,392	---
1050 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	1,072,082	1,072,082	---
1100 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	27,007,601	27,007,601	---
1150 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOW OF CADETS			
1200 ACADEMY CADETS.....	76,314	76,314	---
1250 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
1300 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	1,320,077	1,320,077	---
1350 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	770,190	770,190	---
1400 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	1,466	1,466	---
1450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	2,091,733	2,091,733	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
1500 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
1550 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	209,465	209,465	---
1600 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	147,724	147,724	---
1650 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	493,242	493,242	---
1700 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	668,440	668,440	---
1750 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	240,342	240,342	---
1800 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	9,247	9,247	---
1850 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	11,406	11,406	---
1900 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	71,459	71,459	---
1950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,851,325	1,851,325	---
-----			
2000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
2050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	1,829	1,829	---
2100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	648	648	---
2150 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	65,100	65,100	---
2200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	196,569	196,569	---
2210 SURVIVOR BENEFITS.....	1,125	---	-1,125
2250 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	12,845	12,845	---
2300 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	430	430	---
2350 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	14,976	14,976	---
2360 RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM.....	---	---	---
2400 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	422	422	---
2450 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	121,141	121,141	---
2500 JUNIOR ROTC.....	36,401	36,401	---
2510 PREVENTATIVE HEALTH ALLOWANCE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT...	---	1,125	+1,125
2550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	451,486	451,486	---
2600 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-245,251	-245,251	---
2650 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	262,760	+262,760
=====			
2700 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, ARMY.....	43,596,949	43,859,709	+262,760
-----			
6300 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	43,596,949	43,859,709	+262,760
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
**[in thousands of dollars]**

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
<b>SURVIVOR BENEFITS</b>	<b>1,125</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-1,125</b>
Requested transfer to Preventative Health Demonstration Project		-1,125	
<b>PREVENTIVE HEALTH ALLOWANCE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT</b>		<b>1,125</b>	<b>1,125</b>
Requested transfer from Survivor Benefits		1,125	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>262,760</b>	<b>262,760</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-19,940	
Undistributed transfer from title IX		282,700	

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$25,912,449,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	27,154,384,000
Committee recommendation .....	27,141,334,000
Change from budget request .....	- 13,050,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$27,141,334,000 for Military Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
6400 MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY			
6450 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
6500 BASIC PAY.....	3,815,973	3,815,973	---
6550 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,307,307	1,307,307	---
6600 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,346,794	1,346,794	---
6650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	146,021	146,021	---
6700 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	153,376	153,376	---
6750 SPECIAL PAYS.....	411,258	411,258	---
6800 ALLOWANCES.....	106,422	106,422	---
6850 SEPARATION PAY.....	34,098	34,098	---
6900 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	290,117	290,117	---
6950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	7,611,366	7,611,366	---
7000 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7050 BASIC PAY.....	8,392,897	8,392,897	---
7100 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,878,334	2,878,334	---
7150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	3,902,086	3,902,086	---
7200 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	104,846	104,846	---
7250 SPECIAL PAYS.....	749,564	749,564	---
7300 ALLOWANCES.....	515,986	515,986	---
7350 SEPARATION PAY.....	243,913	243,913	---
7400 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	642,053	642,053	---
7450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	17,429,679	17,429,679	---
7500 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF MIDSHIPMEN			
7550 MIDSHIPMEN.....	76,385	76,385	---
7600 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
7650 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	705,147	705,147	---
7700 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	386,265	386,265	---
7750 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	12	12	---
7800 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,091,424	1,091,424	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
7850 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
7900 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	93,020	93,020	---
7950 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	106,365	106,365	---
8000 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	286,405	286,405	---
8050 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	364,345	364,345	---
8100 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	140,238	140,238	---
8150 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	26,204	26,204	---
8200 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	5,791	5,791	---
8250 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	6,551	6,551	---
8300 OTHER.....	8,852	8,852	---
8350 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,037,771	1,037,771	---
8400 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
8450 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	256	256	---
8500 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	1,715	1,715	---
8550 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	15,200	15,200	---
8600 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	122,832	122,832	---
8650 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	20,852	20,852	---
8700 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	286	286	---
8750 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	6,822	6,822	---
8800 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	37	37	---
8900 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	24,513	24,513	---
8950 JUNIOR R.O.T.C.....	14,027	14,027	---
8960 PREVENTIVE HEALTH ALLOWANCE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.....	1,125	1,125	---
9000 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	207,665	207,665	---
9050 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-299,906	-299,906	---
9100 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-13,050	-13,050
9200 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, NAVY.....	27,154,384	27,141,334	-13,050
11000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	27,154,384	27,141,334	-13,050

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-13,050	-13,050
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-13,050	

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$13,210,161,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	13,573,546,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,480,436,000
Change from budget request .....	- 93,110,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,480,436,000 for Military Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
12000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
12050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
12100 BASIC PAY.....	1,477,775	1,477,775	---
12150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	505,668	505,668	---
12200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	492,003	492,003	---
12250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	60,654	60,654	---
12300 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	53,004	53,004	---
12350 SPECIAL PAYS.....	32,074	32,074	---
12400 ALLOWANCES.....	35,483	35,483	---
12450 SEPARATION PAY.....	14,799	14,799	---
12500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	111,978	111,978	---
12550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	2,783,438	2,783,438	---
12600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
12650 BASIC PAY.....	5,007,453	5,007,453	---
12700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,713,360	1,713,360	---
12750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,609,726	1,609,726	---
12800 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	10,136	10,136	---
12850 SPECIAL PAYS.....	235,273	235,273	---
12900 ALLOWANCES.....	308,183	308,183	---
12950 SEPARATION PAY.....	66,081	66,081	---
13000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	382,118	382,118	---
13050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	9,332,330	9,332,330	---
13100 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
13150 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	467,210	467,210	---
13200 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	327,923	327,923	---
13250 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	50	50	---
13300 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	795,183	795,183	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
13350 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
13400 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	92,021	92,021	---
13450 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	9,356	9,356	---
13500 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	257,483	257,483	---
13550 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	130,752	130,752	---
13600 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	64,688	64,688	---
13650 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	754	754	---
13700 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	6,442	6,442	---
13750 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	14,317	14,317	---
13800 OTHER.....	2,726	2,726	---
13850 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	578,539	578,539	---
13900 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
13950 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	1,551	1,551	---
14000 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	19	19	---
14050 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	17,200	17,200	---
14100 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	72,488	72,488	---
14150 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	5,002	5,002	---
14200 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	152	152	---
14250 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	2,908	2,908	---
14300 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	283	283	---
14400 JUNIOR R.O.T.C.....	5,813	5,813	---
14410 PREVENTIVE HEALTH ALLOWANCE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.....	1,125	1,125	---
14450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	106,541	106,541	---
14500 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-22,485	-22,485	---
14600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-93,110	-93,110
14650 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, MARINE CORPS.....	13,573,546	13,480,436	-93,110
16000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	13,573,546	13,480,436	-93,110

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-93,110	-93,110
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-93,110	

## MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$27,105,755,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	28,304,432,000
Committee recommendation .....	28,264,646,000
Change from budget request .....	- 39,786,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$28,264,646,000 for Military Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
17000 MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
17050 ACTIVITY 1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
17100 BASIC PAY.....	4,836,070	4,836,070	---
17150 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	1,649,202	1,649,202	---
17200 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	1,487,084	1,487,084	---
17250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	185,137	185,137	---
17300 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	230,777	230,777	---
17350 SPECIAL PAYS.....	320,672	319,129	-1,543
17400 ALLOWANCES.....	125,585	125,585	---
17450 SEPARATION PAY.....	154,367	154,367	---
17500 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	368,392	368,392	---
17550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	9,357,286	9,355,743	-1,543
17600 ACTIVITY 2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
17650 BASIC PAY.....	8,610,579	8,610,579	---
17700 RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL.....	2,943,338	2,943,338	---
17750 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING.....	3,451,800	3,451,800	---
17800 INCENTIVE PAYS.....	42,074	42,074	---
17850 SPECIAL PAYS.....	387,659	362,806	-24,853
17900 ALLOWANCES.....	554,120	554,120	---
17950 SEPARATION PAY.....	141,359	141,359	---
18000 SOCIAL SECURITY TAX.....	658,708	658,708	---
18050 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	16,789,637	16,764,784	-24,853
18100 ACTIVITY 3: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF CADETS			
18150 ACADEMY CADETS.....	74,316	74,316	---
18200 ACTIVITY 4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
18250 BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE.....	910,540	910,540	---
18300 SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND.....	176,751	176,751	---
18350 FAMILY SUBSISTENCE SUPPLEMENTAL ALLOWANCE.....	34	34	---
18400 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	1,087,325	1,087,325	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
18450 ACTIVITY 5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION			
18500 ACCESSION TRAVEL.....	79,929	79,929	---
18550 TRAINING TRAVEL.....	73,677	73,677	---
18600 OPERATIONAL TRAVEL.....	318,829	318,829	---
18650 ROTATIONAL TRAVEL.....	524,244	524,244	---
18700 SEPARATION TRAVEL.....	157,173	157,173	---
18750 TRAVEL OF ORGANIZED UNITS.....	15,448	15,448	---
18800 NON-TEMPORARY STORAGE.....	39,968	39,968	---
18850 TEMPORARY LODGING EXPENSE.....	29,707	29,707	---
18950 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 5.....	1,238,975	1,238,975	---
19000 ACTIVITY 6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
19050 APPREHENSION OF MILITARY DESERTERS.....	134	134	---
19100 INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS.....	3,234	3,234	---
19150 DEATH GRATUITIES.....	16,000	16,000	---
19200 UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS.....	62,151	62,151	---
19250 SURVIVOR BENEFITS.....	1,574	1,574	---
19300 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	403	403	---
19350 ADOPTION EXPENSES.....	520	520	---
19400 TRANSPORTATION SUBSIDY.....	7,520	7,520	---
19450 PARTIAL DISLOCATION ALLOWANCE.....	2,008	2,008	---
19550 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	40,081	40,081	---
19600 JUNIOR ROTC.....	16,933	16,933	---
19610 PREVENTIVE HEALTH ALLOWANCE DEMONSTRATION PROJECT.....	1,125	1,125	---
19650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 6.....	151,683	151,683	---
19700 LESS REIMBURSABLES.....	-394,790	-394,790	---
19750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-13,390	-13,390
19800 TOTAL, ACTIVE FORCES, AIR FORCE.....	28,304,432	28,264,646	-39,786
21000 TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	28,304,432	28,264,646	-39,786

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
**[in thousands of dollars]**

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	<b>320,672</b>	<b>319,129</b>	<b>-1,543</b>
OSD Discontinuation of Creech Incentive Pay		-1,543	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
<b>SPECIAL PAYS</b>	<b>387,659</b>	<b>362,806</b>	<b>-24,853</b>
Re-enlistment Bonuses - Excess to Requirement		-16,000	
OSD Discontinuation of Creech Incentive Pay		-8,853	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		<b>-13,390</b>	<b>-13,390</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-13,390	

## CREECH AIR FORCE BASE INCENTIVE PAY

The Bob Stump National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2003 authorized the Service secretaries to pay a monthly incentive to servicemembers serving in designated assignments. The Senate report accompanying the Act noted that this pay had significant potential to provide an incentive for servicemembers to volunteer for the most challenging duty stations and enhance the ability of the Services to fill key billets with the best qualified personnel.

In fiscal year 2008, the Air Force authorized Creech Assignment Incentive Pay for personnel assigned to Creech Air Force Base, Nevada to support manning of the Remotely Piloted Aircraft mission. The Air Force described the pay as necessary because Creech Air Force Base was an austere location with limited infrastructure. Airmen stationed at Creech are eligible to receive \$300 per month for the first 36 months and \$750 per month for service beyond 36 months. Since Creech Assignment Incentive Pay has been authorized, new facilities have been built, and conditions at the base have improved. In addition, the Air Force has since authorized an additional Assignment Incentive Pay for operators of Remotely Piloted Aircraft, many of whom are stationed at Creech. The Air Force estimates that 534 officers and 333 enlisted members will receive both Creech Assignment Incentive Pay and Remotely Piloted Aircraft Pay in fiscal year 2011 at a cost of \$4,500,000 to the Department.

The Committee is concerned that the Air Force has not adequately reviewed its use of special pays to ensure that incentives are focused on key missions and current needs. The Committee is concerned that Creech Assignment Incentive Pay exemplifies a situation in which special pays are seen as entitlements similar to basic pay and allowances, rather than incentives to be utilized when necessary to fill critical recruiting and retention needs.

The Committee is pleased to learn that the Secretary of Defense is reviewing Creech Assignment Incentive Pay and has stated that it will not be extended beyond December 31, 2011 without substantive and compelling econometric analysis to validate continuation. Therefore, the recommendation provides funding for Creech Assignment Incentive Pay through December 31, 2011, in accordance with the Secretary's decision. The Committee urges all the Services to regularly review and evaluate their Special Pays programs to ensure that special pays and bonuses are being used only when needed to fill critical recruiting and retention needs.

## RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$4,333,165,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	4,386,077,000
Committee recommendation .....	4,333,507,000
Change from budget request .....	-52,570,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$4,333,507,000 for Reserve Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
23000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
23050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
23100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	1,294,413	1,294,413	---
23150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY).....	53,726	53,726	---
23200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	262,018	262,018	---
23250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	7,844	7,844	---
23300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING .....	5,620	5,620	---
23350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	187,198	187,198	---
23400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	271,470	271,470	---
23450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	2,138,347	2,138,347	---
23500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	39,925	39,925	---
23550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP .....	69,939	69,939	---
23600 OTHER PROGRAMS .....	55,577	55,577	---
23650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	4,386,077	4,386,077	---
23800 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-52,570	-52,570
24000 TOTAL RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	4,386,077	4,333,507	-52,570
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-52,570	-52,570
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-52,570	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,940,191,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,960,634,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,948,544,000
Change from budget request .....	- 12,090,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,948,544,000 for Reserve Personnel, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
26000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
26050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
26100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	627,505	627,505	---
26150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACTIVE DUTY).....	9,321	9,321	---
26200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	50,649	50,649	---
26250 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	8,727	8,727	---
26300 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	52,322	52,322	---
26350 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	114,610	114,610	---
26400 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	1,037,649	1,037,649	---
26450 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	1,719	1,719	---
26500 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	58,132	58,132	---
-----			
26550 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,960,634	1,960,634	---
26600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-12,090	-12,090
-----			
27000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY.....	1,960,634	1,948,544	-12,090
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-12,090	-12,090
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-12,090	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$612,191,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	653,212,000
Committee recommendation .....	645,422,000
Change from budget request .....	- 7,790,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$645,422,000 for Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
28000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
28050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
28100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	209,450	209,450	---
28150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY).....	37,538	37,538	---
28200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	116,241	116,241	---
28300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	4,073	4,073	---
28350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	14,226	14,226	---
28400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	23,666	23,666	---
28450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	226,902	226,902	---
28500 PLATOON LEADER CLASS.....	11,859	11,859	---
28550 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	9,257	9,257	---
-----			
28600 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	653,212	653,212	---
28700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-7,790	-7,790
-----			
29000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS.....	653,212	645,422	-7,790
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-7,790	-7,790
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-7,790	

RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,650,797,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,729,823,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,711,653,000
Change from budget request .....	- 18,170,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,711,653,000 for Reserve Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
30000 RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
30050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
30100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	681,477	681,477	---
30150 PAY GROUP B TRAINING (BACKFILL FOR ACT DUTY).....	105,605	105,605	---
30200 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	68,658	68,658	---
30250 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	64	64	---
30300 MOBILIZATION TRAINING.....	555	555	---
30350 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	140,801	140,801	---
30400 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	296,895	296,895	---
30450 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	362,893	362,893	---
30500 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	16,244	16,244	---
30550 HEALTH PROFESSION SCHOLARSHIP.....	51,743	51,743	---
30600 OTHER PROGRAMS (ADMIN & SUPPORT).....	4,888	4,888	---
30650 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,729,823	1,729,823	---
30750 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-18,170	-18,170
31000 TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	1,729,823	1,711,653	-18,170
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-18,170	-18,170
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-18,170	

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$7,511,296,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	7,623,335,000
Committee recommendation .....	7,607,345,000
Change from budget request .....	- 15,990,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$7,607,345,000 for National Guard Personnel, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
32000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
32050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
32100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	2,076,946	2,141,946	+65,000
32150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	573,577	573,577	---
32200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	46,049	46,049	---
32250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	428,000	428,000	---
32300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	447,567	447,567	---
32350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	3,925,062	3,925,062	---
32400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	126,134	126,134	---
32450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	7,623,335	7,688,335	+65,000
32600 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-80,990	-80,990
33000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY.....	7,623,335	7,607,345	-15,990
	=====	=====	=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
**[in thousands of dollars]**

<b>M-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)</b>	<b>2,076,946</b>	<b>2,141,946</b>	<b>65,000</b>
Additional Requirement Due to Lower than Budgeted Mobilization Rate		65,000	
<b>UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT</b>		<b>-80,990</b>	<b>-80,990</b>
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-80,990	

## NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$3,060,098,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	3,114,149,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,099,629,000
Change from budget request .....	- 14,520,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,099,629,000 for National Guard Personnel, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
34000 NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
34050 ACTIVITY 1: RESERVE COMPONENT TRAINING AND SUPPORT			
34100 PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS & DRILLS 24/48).....	967,468	967,468	---
34150 PAY GROUP F TRAINING (RECRUITS).....	103,958	103,958	---
34200 PAY GROUP P TRAINING (PIPELINE RECRUITS).....	3,211	3,211	---
34250 SCHOOL TRAINING.....	234,909	234,909	---
34300 SPECIAL TRAINING.....	134,244	134,244	---
34350 ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT.....	1,642,998	1,642,998	---
34400 EDUCATION BENEFITS.....	27,361	27,361	---
34450 TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	3,114,149	3,114,149	---
34700 UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT.....	---	-14,520	-14,520
35000 TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE.....	3,114,149	3,099,629	-14,520
	=====	=====	=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENT		-14,520	-14,520
Unobligated/Unexpended Balances		-14,520	



## TITLE II

### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The fiscal year 2012 budget request for programs funded in title II of the Committee bill, Operation and Maintenance, is \$170,759,313,000 in new budget authority. These appropriations finance the costs of operating and maintaining the Armed Forces, including the reserve components and related support activities of the Department of Defense. Included is pay for civilians, services for maintenance of equipment and fuel, supplies, and spare parts for weapons and equipment. Financial requirements are influenced by many factors, including force levels such as the number of aircraft squadrons, Army and Marine Corps divisions, installations, military personnel end strength and deployments, rates of operational activity, and the quantity, complexity, and age of equipment such as aircraft, ships, missiles, and tanks.

The table below summarizes the Committee's recommendations.

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RECAPITULATION			
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	34,735,216	34,581,321	-153,895
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,364,688	39,385,685	+20,997
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....	5,960,437	6,036,996	+76,559
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	36,195,133	36,065,107	-130,026
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	30,940,409	30,682,265	-258,144
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....	3,109,176	3,047,033	-62,143
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....	1,323,134	1,323,134	---
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE.....	271,443	271,443	---
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE.....	3,274,359	3,310,459	+36,100
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....	7,041,432	6,979,232	-62,200
OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD.....	6,136,280	6,094,380	-41,900
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT.....	5,000	---	-5,000
UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES...	13,861	13,861	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY.....	346,031	346,031	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY.....	308,668	308,668	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE.....	525,453	525,453	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	10,716	10,716	---
ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED DEF. SITES...	276,495	276,495	---
OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID.....	107,662	107,662	---
COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT.....	508,219	508,219	---
DOD ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND.....	305,501	105,501	-200,000
GRAND TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE.....	170,759,313	169,979,661	-779,652

## EFFICIENCY INITIATIVES

One of the major themes of the Department's fiscal year 2012 budget submission has been the generation of efficiency savings by reduced overhead costs and improved business practices. The Department's fiscal year 2012 budget request includes \$10,700,000,000 in efficiency savings and the Department's program plan includes \$100,200,000,000 in efficiency savings over the five year period from 2012 through 2016. While the Committee applauds the Department's attempt to reduce costs through efficiencies, the Committee finds that the majority of these savings have been taken in the broad categories of better business practices and reorganizations and believes that such savings often times never materialize.

Further, upon a careful examination of the budget request, the Committee is dismayed to discover several instances where no action is necessary to achieve the specified efficiency savings underway or planned. For example, the budget request assumes a savings of \$250,000,000 in fiscal year 2012 by eliminating 400 internally generated reports. However, the Committee has repeatedly requested a list of these reports which will be eliminated, as well as an explanation of the derivation of the savings estimate, but the Department has yet to supply the list or explain the derivation of the estimate.

More troubling were instances in which underfunding valid requirements were claimed as efficiencies. For example, the Services were directed to underfund base facility sustainment requirements and claim this reduction as infrastructure savings. In total, the Committee has identified \$884,700,000 in unrealistic efficiencies. In this instance, the Committee specifically identified the error and restored funding. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to reassess the efficiency savings estimates, restore funding when required, and develop contingency plans to mitigate the effect on operations and readiness if the savings do not materialize.

## CIVILIAN PERSONNEL PAY

The Department has not responded to the Committee's repeated requests for a monthly report of the number of civilian personnel end strength by appropriation account. Therefore, the bill includes a general provision which requires such a report be submitted to the congressional defense committees.

Further, the budget request includes discrepancies among the Services in the price growth budgeted for civilian personnel pay. The Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) is directed to ensure consistency across the Department for civilian personnel pay rates in future budget submissions.

## CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT

Although there is no uniform definition of Contractor Logistics Support (CLS) throughout the Department of Defense, it is broadly defined as contracted weapon system sustainment that occurs over the life of the weapon system. Examples of CLS include contractor provided aircraft and engine overhaul, repair and replenishment of parts, sustaining engineering, and supply chain management. The

Committee has numerous concerns with the Department of Defense's increasing reliance on CLS: actual costs are not known, CLS cost growth far outpaces overall operation and maintenance cost growth for no discernable reason, and contract oversight is inadequate.

The cost of CLS is not discreetly visible in the Department's budget request, and there is no central collection of CLS costs anywhere in the Department. The Committee has asked for a report of CLS costs from the Services, and each Service has a varying degree of ability to report these costs. The Army reported CLS costs by year for fiscal years 2008 to 2012, by program, with a footnote of programs for which CLS costs were not known. The CLS funds that the Army was able to identify in the fiscal year 2012 budget request total \$3,597,382,000. The Navy reported actual CLS costs for fiscal years 2009 and 2010, estimated costs for fiscal year 2011, and the CLS funding requested for fiscal year 2012, by program. The CLS funding in the Navy's fiscal year 2012 budget request totals \$6,142,486,843. The Air Force has no clear definition of CLS so while the Air Force has reported CLS costs by year for fiscal years 2008 to 2012, by program, it is not clear that these costs are accurate. The CLS funds that the Air Force identified in the fiscal year 2012 budget request total \$6,304,400,000.

The RAND Corporation also had difficulty identifying Air Force CLS costs. A 2009 RAND Corporation Report titled, "Contractor Logistics Support in the U.S. Air Force" which had been requested by the Air Force states:

"The Air Force does not collect detailed or uniform data on CLS costs. Air Force Total Ownership Cost (AFTOC), the official Air Force operation and support management information system, contains only total CLS costs per program and offers no further detail. For insight into CLS tasks and costs, we had to rely on CLS brochures, which are budget-requirement documents that provide estimated costs for the fiscal year in which they are prepared and budget requests for future years. One of the implications for cost analysts of the data limitations is that the CLS costs will not be recorded in AFTOC in the appropriate operation and support Cost Analysis Improvement Group (CAIG) cost element, and the detailed commodity transaction costs for consumables and depot-level repairables will not be recorded because these transactions are conducted outside of the government supply system."

While actual CLS costs are not known, they appear to be substantial and increasing at a rate which outpaces overall growth of the operation and maintenance accounts for no apparent reason. The CLS costs as reported by the Services increase by \$3,010,500,000 (twenty-three percent) from fiscal year 2009 to 2012. Over the same time period, operation and maintenance funding grows by only ten percent. The Services have yet to explain the reason that CLS cost growth outpaces the overall growth of operation and maintenance funding.

While the Department of Defense has increasingly relied on CLS for weapon system sustainment contracts, the acquisition workforce and number of contract managers has not kept pace. Further, in conjunction with the move toward CLS, the Department is also

adopting performance based logistics (PBLs) in which program managers establish flexible performance and funding ranges to link contract incentives to sustainment goals such as weapon system availability. However, even under a PBL contract, seventy-five to ninety percent of the contract cost is fixed and only ten to twenty-five percent can vary based on performance. Finally, some performance goals appear lax; for example, certain aircraft CLS contracts set aircraft availability goals of only sixty percent, and award fees are granted if aircraft availability exceeds this goal. Additionally, the Committee is aware of instances in which CLS contracts are essentially sole source contracts with the original equipment manufacturer on weapon systems for which the government does not own the technical data and therefore the contractor need not worry about realistic competition in order to be awarded the CLS contracts. Finally, the Committee is concerned that in some instances “inherently governmental” and “critical functions” have been performed by private sector CLS contractors. The RAND Corporation report cited above states, “The increasing use of public-private partnership arrangements . . . in which organic depots perform work that contractors plan and supervise . . . blurs the distinction among tasks.”

For these reasons, the Committee strongly urges the Department to carefully look at the management and oversight of Contractor Logistics Support and expects that the Department will find efficiencies, cost savings, and cost avoidances through better management of CLS. The Committee has adjusted funding accordingly.

#### MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS

The budget request includes \$300,570,000 for fiscal year 2012 Department of Defense Information Operations programs. Of this amount, \$120,570,000 is requested as base funding and \$180,000,000 is requested as Overseas Contingency Operations funding. The Committee’s recommendation reduces the total request by \$123,995,000. The allocation of funds by Combatant Command and funding levels for certain programs is specifically delineated in the classified annex to this report. These delineations shall be considered a congressional special interest item and any deviations from the allocations are subject to sections 8005, 8006, and 9002 of this Act.

The Committee’s recommendation provides no funding within the base for these activities and instead provides for these activities within the Overseas Contingency Operations funding as these activities do not reflect an enduring military requirement. The Committee remains concerned that many of the activities being conducted under the guise of “information operations” or “military information support operations” do not represent traditional or appropriate military roles or responsibilities. Many of the activities being funded under information operations are duplicative of, or operate at cross purposes with, other federal agencies’ activities, particularly the Department of State. Finally, based on the Department of Defense’s significant usage of contractors to plan and execute these programs, the Committee questions whether the Department has the technical expertise or capacity to effectively manage and execute these types of programs in a cost effective manner. In

an era of declining budgets, the Committee does not believe that the Department can afford to fund activities that do not fulfill core military requirements and are more appropriately funded by those other federal agencies which are statutorily authorized and traditionally charged with developing and administering such programs.

Additionally, the Committee remains concerned that the official budget justification materials do not include the level of detailed information necessary to provide proper analysis and oversight of the activities funded under Information Operations. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to develop a format for improving the budget submission for fiscal year 2013 for these programs.

In the interim, not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report in writing to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees detailing the proposed execution of the funding provided for these programs. This report shall include: the program name, activity, description of service, target audience, goals/objectives, attribution, measures of effectiveness, prior year obligations and expenditures, and the estimated budget for year of execution. The report shall include an annex for the inclusion of necessary explanatory and supporting classified information. Such a report, and the delineation of each program, project and activity, shall serve as the basis for re-programming in accordance with section 8006 of this Act.

#### TELECOMMUNICATIONS EXPENSE MANAGEMENT

The Committee has observed the rapidly growing use of mobile communications and computing devices across all of the military Services and commands within the Department. The Committee is concerned that the thousands of mobile devices each require a wide assortment of significant long-term network service commitments to telecommunications industry service providers. Additionally, the Committee believes this rapidly growing number of telecom services poses a serious cost and accountability management challenge to service telecom management operations that are still using manual or outdated cost management systems, resulting in the potential for significant unnecessary and inaccurate telecom service costs to users within the Department.

Further, it is not clear that the systems in place to manage telecom services and the inventory of communication and computing devices are managed as efficiently as possible. The Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense find efficiencies through methods such as independent or third party verification to ensure the government is not paying for services it does not need or does not actually use, and/or the use of commercially available telecom expense management systems used by Fortune 500 companies. The use of such management solutions can significantly reduce costs, in some cases saving as much as thirty percent annually. Modern telecommunications expense management systems monitor daily business processes in detail, to include purchasing and managing telephone and communications inventories and services for phone lines, phones, wireless devices, wireless services, and internet services.

The Committee directs in Section 8117 of the bill that the Secretary of Defense complete a study and assessment of the feasibility of using commercial off-the-shelf (COTS) telecommunication expense management solutions to improve enterprise visibility over the Department's telecommunications expenses and identify possible efficiencies in this growing technological area. Further, the Secretary is directed to provide the results of this report to the congressional defense committees not later than March 1, 2012. The report shall include an implementation plan to pilot this capability and demonstrate how the Department might begin to utilize COTS expense management solutions and whether the technology might be implemented throughout the Department.

#### CORROSION CONTROL MATERIAL FOR ASPHALT PRESERVATION OF AIRFIELD FACILITIES

In fiscal year 2005, the Office of Naval Research conducted an evaluation of asphalt preservation seal coatings on Department of Defense and Federal Aviation Administration airfields. Since that time, both Army and Air Force pavement specialists have evaluated asphalt preservation seal coats and preservation processes. The Committee urges the Department to robustly fund a program whereby mission-critical asphalt pavement, such as airfield runways, are systematically subjected to the preservation methods and materials recently proven effective in an extended test and evaluation study.

#### CIVIL-MILITARY TRAINING EXERCISES

The Committee finds that civil-military training can simultaneously enhance military readiness while meeting civil needs of the communities where the units train. Therefore, the Committee has fully funded the Department's requirement for such training exercises in fiscal year 2012.

#### ENERGY REQUIREMENTS

The Committee strongly supports efforts to reduce the Department's dependence on fossil fuels, increase the supply of renewable energy, and develop energy technology that will make servicemen and women safer and more effective in the field. According to the Department, for every 24 fuel convoys that go into Afghanistan and Iraq, one warfighter is killed or wounded and for every extra dollar in the price of a barrel of oil, the Department's fuel costs increase by \$130 million. The Committee is deeply concerned with the strategic, fiscal, tactical and human costs associated with the Department's energy needs and recognizes that investments are necessary to mitigate these challenges. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to report to the congressional defense committees within 90 days on the funding and programming included in the Fiscal Year 2012 budget and the Future Years Defense Plan to address energy requirements.

#### HIGHER EDUCATION TRAINING

The Committee encourages the Department of Defense to support the training of Weapons of Mass Destruction nonproliferation spe-

cialists at U.S. institutions of higher education. The Department should cooperate with colleges and universities to expand the curricula offering in this field of study. Emphasis should also be placed on institutions that would provide on-the-job nonproliferation training and internship activities on campuses and at U.S. government agencies.

#### TRANSPORTATION PROTECTIVE SERVICES

The Committee believes that once the DOD Inspector General's review of the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR) concerning freight contracts is concluded the results of that review should be considered before DOD makes procurement changes affecting Transportation Protective Services (TPS). The Committee therefore instructs DOD to postpone the shift to FAR until the Inspector General's report is completed.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE REPROGRAMMINGS

The Committee recommends a provision identical to the provision enacted in fiscal year 2009 that requires the Department of Defense to submit the DD Form 1414, Base for Reprogramming Actions, for each of the fiscal year 2012 appropriation accounts not later than 60 days after the enactment of this Act. This provision prohibits the Department from executing any reprogramming or transfer of funds for any purpose other than originally appropriated until the aforementioned report is submitted to the House and Senate Appropriations Committees.

The Committee directs that proposed transfers of funds between O-1 budget activities in excess of \$15,000,000 be subject to the normal prior approval reprogramming procedures. In addition, the Department should follow prior approval reprogramming procedures for transfers in excess of \$15,000,000 out of the following budget subactivities:

##### *Army:*

- Maneuver units
- Modular support brigades
- Land forces operations support
- Force readiness operations support
- Land forces depot maintenance
- Base operations support
- Facilities Sustainment, Repair, and Modernization

##### *Navy:*

- Aircraft depot maintenance
- Ship depot maintenance
- Facilities Sustainment, Repair, and Modernization

##### *Marine Corps:*

- Depot maintenance
- Facilities Sustainment, Repair, and Modernization

##### *Air Force:*

- Operating Forces depot maintenance
- Mobilization depot maintenance
- Training and Recruiting depot maintenance
- Administration and Servicewide depot maintenance
- Primary combat forces
- Combat enhancement forces

Combat communications  
 Facilities Sustainment, Repair, and Modernization  
*Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard:*  
 Other Personnel Support/Recruiting and Advertising

With respect to Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide, proposed transfers of funds to or from the levels specified for defense agencies in excess of \$15,000,000 shall be subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE BUDGET EXECUTION DATA

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to continue to provide the congressional defense committees with quarterly budget execution data. Such data should be provided not later than 45 days past the close of each quarter for the fiscal year and should be provided for each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and subactivity group for each of the active, defense-wide, reserve and National Guard components. For each O-1 budget activity, activity group, and subactivity group, these reports should include the budget request and actual obligation amount, the distribution of unallocated congressional adjustments to the budget request, all adjustments made by the Department of Defense in establishing the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414) report, all adjustments resulting from below threshold reprogrammings, and all adjustments resulting from prior approval reprogramming requests.

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$33,306,117,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	34,735,216,000
Committee recommendation .....	34,581,321,000
Change from budget request .....	- 153,895,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$34,581,321,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES				
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	1,399,804	1,399,804	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	104,629	104,629	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....	815,920	815,920	---
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	825,587	825,587	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	1,245,231	1,245,231	---
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	1,199,340	1,199,340	---
LAND FORCES READINESS				
70	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	2,939,455	2,939,455	---
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS.....	451,228	451,228	---
90	LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,179,675	1,179,675	---
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	7,637,052	7,990,052	+353,000
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION, & MODERNIZATION..	2,495,667	2,495,667	---
120	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS.....	397,952	397,952	---
130	COMBATANT COMMANDER'S CORE OPERATIONS.....	171,179	171,179	---
140	CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT.....	---	-50,000	-50,000
170	COMBATANT COMMANDERS ANCILLARY MISSIONS.....	459,585	439,112	-20,473
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
		21,322,304	21,604,831	+282,527
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION				
MOBILITY OPERATIONS				
180	STRATEGIC MOBILITY.....	390,394	390,394	---
190	ARMY PREPOSITIONED STOCKS.....	169,535	169,535	---
200	INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	6,675	6,675	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....				
		566,604	566,604	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
210 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	113,262	113,262	---
220 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	71,012	71,012	---
230 ONE STATION UNIT TRAINING.....	49,275	49,275	---
240 SENIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	417,071	451,071	+34,000
BASIC SKILL AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	1,045,948	1,045,948	---
260 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	1,083,808	1,083,808	---
270 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	191,073	191,073	---
280 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	607,896	607,896	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
290 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	523,501	523,501	---
300 EXAMINING.....	139,159	139,159	---
310 OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	238,978	238,978	---
320 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	221,156	221,156	---
330 JUNIOR RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	170,889	170,889	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	4,873,028	4,907,028	+34,000
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
340 SECURITY PROGRAMS.....	995,161	992,161	-3,000
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	524,334	524,334	---
360 CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES.....	705,668	708,668	+3,000
370 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	484,075	484,075	---
380 AMMUNITION MANAGEMENT.....	457,741	457,741	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
SERVICEMANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
390 ADMINISTRATION.....	775,313	775,313	---
400 SERVICEMANAGEMENT COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,534,706	1,569,384	+34,678
410 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT.....	316,924	316,924	---
420 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	214,356	214,356	---
430 OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT.....	1,093,877	1,098,777	+4,900
440 ARMY CLAIMS ACTIVITIES.....	216,621	216,621	---
450 REAL ESTATE MANAGEMENT.....	180,717	180,717	---
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
460 SUPPORT OF NATO OPERATIONS.....	449,901	449,901	---
470 MISC. SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS.....	23,886	23,886	---
IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF TELECOM SERVICES.....	---	-10,000	-10,000
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	7,973,280	8,002,858	+29,578
EXCESS GROWTH IN SUPPLY PURCHASES.....	---	-500,000	-500,000
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY.....	34,735,216	34,581,321	-153,895
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>131 BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>7,637,052</b>	<b>7,990,052</b>	<b>353,000</b>
Restore Army Requested Reduction to Family Programs		75,000	
Restore Underfunding Attributed to Efficiency Savings		278,000	
<b>138 COMBATANT COMMANDER'S DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT</b>	<b>459,585</b>	<b>439,112</b>	<b>-20,473</b>
Military Information Support Operations		-20,473	
<b>CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT</b>		<b>-50,000</b>	<b>-50,000</b>
<b>314 SENIOR RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORP</b>	<b>417,071</b>	<b>451,071</b>	<b>34,000</b>
Restore Army Requested Reduction to ROTC Maintains Ratio of Public to Private Colleges		34,000	
<b>411 SECURITY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>995,161</b>	<b>992,161</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
Military Information Support Operations		-3,000	
<b>422 CENTRAL SUPPLY ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>705,668</b>	<b>708,668</b>	<b>3,000</b>
Army Requested Transfer for AESIP from Other Procurement, Army line 116		3,000	
<b>432 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>1,534,706</b>	<b>1,569,384</b>	<b>34,678</b>
Transfer Automated Biometric Identification System (ABIS) from Title IX		26,200	
Expand ABIS to Improve Data Sharing with Federal Partner Agencies - Unique Identity Task Force		3,800	
Army Requested Transfer for GCSS-A from Other Procurement, Army line 116		2,883	
Army Requested Transfer for GFEBs from Other Procurement, Army line 116		1,795	
<b>435 OTHER SERVICE SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,093,877</b>	<b>1,098,777</b>	<b>4,900</b>
Army Support to the Capital 4 <sup>th</sup>		4,900	
<b>IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF TELECOM SERVICES</b>		<b>-10,000</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
<b>EXCESS GROWTH IN SUPPLY PURCHASES</b>		<b>-500,000</b>	<b>-500,000</b>

## UNIQUE IDENTITY

The Automated Biometric Identification System (ABIS) was originally implemented to collect and track biometric information taken from Improvised Explosive Devices in Iraq and Afghanistan. Since then, the Army has shared ABIS data with other federal agencies as a member of the Unique Identity program. The Unique Identity program was established to collect 10-print biometric information from travelers to the United States; share and compare biometric information collected and held by the Army in the ABIS, the Department of Justice Integrated Automated Fingerprint Identification System, as well as other law enforcement agencies; and enhance multi-modal capabilities for all users. In the past three years, the Army has become an increasingly significant partner in this effort.

The Committee is pleased with the excellent coordination and cooperation among federal agencies in enhancing interoperability, accelerating the response times, and sharing biometric information for national security and law enforcement purposes.

The Committee finds that the ABIS program has an enduring requirement and therefore shifts \$26,200,000 from the Army's Overseas Contingency Operations budget request to the Army's baseline funding account and increases funding for ABIS by \$3,800,000. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to work with federal agency counterparts to continue providing quarterly briefings on the progress made in implementing system interoperability, operational impacts resulting from remaining gaps, and steps being taken to close such gaps.

## FACILITY DEMOLITION TO PROMOTE PERSONNEL SAFETY

As written earlier in this report, the Committee restores funding to correct a funding shortfall of \$884,700,000, including \$278,000,000 in Army base operations, caused by unrealistic efficiency savings reductions assumed in the fiscal year 2012 budget request. The Committee also encourages full funding of Facilities Sustainment, Restoration, and Modernization projects for high priority demolition projects to promote personnel safety on Army installations.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$37,809,239,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	39,364,688,000
Committee recommendation .....	39,385,685,000
Change from budget request .....	20,997,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$39,385,685,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	4,762,887	4,762,887	---
20	FLEET AIR TRAINING.....	1,771,644	1,771,644	---
30	AVIATION TECHNICAL DATA AND ENGINEERING SERVICES.....	46,321	46,321	---
40	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT.....	104,751	104,751	---
50	AIR SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	431,576	431,576	---
60	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	1,030,303	1,030,303	---
70	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	37,403	37,403	---
80	AVIATION LOGISTICS.....	238,007	238,007	---
SHIP OPERATIONS				
90	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	3,820,186	3,795,186	-25,000
100	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	734,866	734,866	---
110	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	4,972,609	5,337,609	+365,000
120	SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	1,304,271	1,089,271	-215,000
COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS/SUPPORT				
130	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	583,659	556,259	-27,400
140	ELECTRONIC WARFARE.....	97,011	97,011	---
150	SPACE SYSTEMS AND SURVEILLANCE.....	162,303	162,303	---
160	WARFARE TACTICS.....	423,187	413,187	-10,000
170	OPERATIONAL METEOROLOGY AND OCEANOGRAPHY.....	320,141	320,141	---
180	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	1,076,478	1,076,478	---
190	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE.....	187,037	187,037	---
200	DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	4,352	4,352	---
210	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS.....	103,830	103,830	---
220	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT.....	180,800	174,700	-6,100

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
WEAPONS SUPPORT			
230 CRUISE MISSILE.....	125,333	125,333	---
240 FLEET BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	1,209,410	1,209,410	---
250 IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	99,063	99,063	---
260 WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	450,454	465,454	+15,000
270 OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT.....	358,002	358,002	---
BASE SUPPORT			
280 ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	971,189	971,189	---
290 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	1,946,779	2,094,916	+148,137
300 BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	4,610,525	4,610,525	---
140 CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT.....	---	-150,000	-150,000
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	32,164,377	32,259,014	+94,637
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION READY RESERVE AND PREPOSITIONING FORCES			
310 SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE.....	493,326	493,326	---
ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS			
320 AIRCRAFT ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	6,228	6,228	---
330 SHIP ACTIVATIONS/INACTIVATIONS.....	205,898	205,898	---
MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS			
340 FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM.....	68,634	68,634	---
350 INDUSTRIAL READINESS.....	2,684	2,684	---
360 COAST GUARD SUPPORT.....	25,192	25,192	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	801,962	801,962	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
370 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	147,540	147,540	---
380 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	10,655	10,655	---
390 RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS.....	151,147	148,361	-2,786
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
400 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	594,799	547,278	-47,521
410 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	9,034	9,034	---
420 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	173,452	159,136	-14,316
430 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	168,025	168,025	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
440 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	254,860	255,843	+983
450 OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	140,279	140,279	---
460 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	107,561	107,561	---
470 JUNIOR ROTC.....	52,689	52,689	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	1,810,041	1,746,401	-63,640
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT			
480 ADMINISTRATION.....	754,483	754,483	---
490 EXTERNAL RELATIONS.....	14,275	14,275	---
500 CIVILIAN MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	112,616	112,616	---
510 MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT.....	216,483	216,483	---
520 OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT.....	282,295	282,295	---
530 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	534,873	534,873	---
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS AND TECHNICAL SUPPORT			
550 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	190,662	190,662	---
570 PLANNING, ENGINEERING AND DESIGN.....	303,636	303,636	---
580 ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	903,885	903,885	---
590 HULL, MECHANICAL AND ELECTRICAL SUPPORT.....	54,880	54,880	---
600 COMBAT/WEAPONS SYSTEMS.....	20,687	20,687	---
610 SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	68,374	68,374	---
SECURITY PROGRAMS			
620 NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE.....	572,928	572,928	---
SUPPORT OF OTHER NATIONS			
680 INTERNATIONAL HEADQUARTERS AND AGENCIES.....	5,516	5,516	---
OTHER PROGRAMS			
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	552,715	552,715	---
IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF TELECOM SERVICES.....	---	-10,000	-10,000
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	4,588,308	4,578,308	-10,000
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY.....	39,364,688	39,385,685	+20,997
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request	
1B1B	<b>MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS</b>	<b>3,820,186</b>	<b>3,795,186</b>	<b>-25,000</b>
	Reduced Number of Deployed Steaming Days		-25,000	
1B4B	<b>SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>4,972,609</b>	<b>5,337,609</b>	<b>365,000</b>
	Increase Percentage of Required Ship Maintenance Funded	150,000		
	Additional Ship Maintenance Performed at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard	120,000		
	Additional Ship Maintenance Performed at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	60,000		
	Additional Ship Maintenance Performed at Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	35,000		
1B5B	<b>SHIP DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT</b>	<b>1,304,271</b>	<b>1,089,271</b>	<b>-215,000</b>
	Excess Overhead at Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard	-120,000		
	Excess Overhead at Puget Sound Naval Shipyard	-60,000		
	Excess Overhead at Portsmouth Naval Shipyard	-35,000		
1C1C	<b>COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>583,659</b>	<b>556,259</b>	<b>-27,400</b>
	Eliminate Requested Growth of Contractor Full-time Equivalents		-27,400	
1C4C	<b>WARFARE TACTICS</b>	<b>423,187</b>	<b>413,187</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
	Denies Funding Requested to Stand-up a new Center of Excellence		-10,000	
1CCM	<b>COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT</b>	<b>180,800</b>	<b>174,700</b>	<b>-6,100</b>
	Military Information Support Operations		-6,100	
1D4D	<b>WEAPONS MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>450,454</b>	<b>465,454</b>	<b>15,000</b>
	Ship Self Defense Program Increase		15,000	
BSM1	<b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	<b>1,946,779</b>	<b>2,094,916</b>	<b>148,137</b>
	Restores Underfunding Attributed to Efficiency Savings		148,137	
	<b>CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT</b>		-150,000	-150,000
3A3J	<b>RESERVE OFFICERS TRAINING CORPS</b>	<b>151,147</b>	<b>148,361</b>	<b>-2,786</b>
	Excessive Program Increase for GSA Lease Cost		-2,786	
3B1K	<b>SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING</b>	<b>594,799</b>	<b>547,278</b>	<b>-47,521</b>
	Unjustified Growth in Moored and Tech Training		-47,521	
3B3K	<b>PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION</b>	<b>173,452</b>	<b>159,136</b>	<b>-14,316</b>
	Denies Facilities Sustainment, Restoration & Modernization Request in this Subactivity group		-7,988	
	Request Increase of Distance Learning is Not Supported with Performance Data		-6,328	
3C1L	<b>RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING</b>	<b>254,860</b>	<b>255,843</b>	<b>983</b>
	Naval Sea Cadet Corps		983	
	<b>IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF TELECOM SERVICES</b>		-10,000	-10,000

## OVERHEAD COSTS AT NAVAL SHIPYARDS

The Navy owns and operates four shipyards: the Norfolk Naval Shipyard in Portsmouth, Virginia; the Portsmouth Naval Shipyard in Kittery, Maine; the Puget Sound Naval Shipyard in Bremerton, Washington; and the Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard in Pearl Harbor, Hawaii. From the 1950s until very recently, the Navy financed these shipyards through the Navy Working Capital Fund (NWCF). Under the NWCF's revolving-fund approach, the shipyards set prices for maintenance and repair services that were intended to cover their full operating costs, and the Navy's Atlantic and Pacific Fleets as well as its other customers paid for those services out of their appropriated funds. The benefits of the NWCF financing is that costs are transparent and there is an incentive for efficient operations in order to keep cost to the customers competitively low.

In recent years, the Navy has changed the mechanism it uses to fund each of the shipyards by shifting from the NWCF to direct appropriations, a financing mechanism known as mission funding.

That lack of transparency and efficiency incentives has resulted in large overhead costs. An examination of the Navy's detailed budget justification indicates that the difference in percentage of Naval Shipyard overhead funding between shipyards is significant. Overhead rates range from twenty-nine percent at the Norfolk Naval Shipyard to forty-three percent at the Pearl Harbor Naval Shipyard, as displayed below:

	Pearl Harbor	Puget Sound	Portsmouth	Norfolk
Direct costs (\$s millions) .....	340	912	388	720
Overhead costs (\$s millions) .....	259	426	190	296
Shipyard Funding (\$s millions) .....	599	1,339	579	1,016
Percentage of direct .....	57%	68%	67%	71%
Percentage of overhead .....	43%	32%	33%	29%

The Committee is concerned that the overhead costs are excessive and is puzzled by the wide disparity. The recommendation adjusts funding to reduce overhead costs such that they do not exceed the Norfolk Naval Shipyard's rate of twenty-nine percent. These funds have been redistributed from overhead to depot maintenance funding. The Committee recommends that the Secretary of the Navy carefully review Naval Shipyard operations and eliminate all unnecessary overhead costs.

## NEXT GENERATION ENTERPRISE NETWORK

The Committee has concerns with the Navy's proposed program to transition from the Navy Marine Corps Intranet (NMCI) to its Next Generation Enterprise Network (NGEN). A March 2011 Government Accountability Office (GAO) study of the program questioned the Navy's current acquisition approach and recommended that the Navy immediately limit further investment in Next Generation Enterprise Network pending a comprehensive review of the acquisition strategy. In the study, the GAO determined the Navy's current acquisition strategy is \$4,700,000,000 more costly and introduces more risk than other alternatives. In addition, Next Gen-

eration Enterprise Network program execution has not been based on the kind of reliably derived integrated master schedule that is essential to overall program success.

The Navy's fiscal year 2012 budget requests \$1,737,000,000 for the Next Generation Enterprise Network. The Committee believes that greater oversight of the program is required by the Office of the Secretary of Defense. Given the scope and nature of the program's significance to the Navy's operational and cybersecurity capabilities, it is important that action is taken to ensure that risks and costs have been fully addressed. Accordingly, the Committee recommends that the Secretary of Defense conduct an Independent Cost Estimate (ICE) of the Navy's NGEN acquisition strategy and a risk analysis of the impact that program transition from the Navy and Marine Corps Intranet to NGEN will have on network support to Navy and Marine Corps operations.

#### ADVANCED EDUCATION

The Committee has long recognized the value of advanced education in science and technology to support improved combat effectiveness of U.S. military forces. Therefore, the Committee has fully funded the Navy's fiscal year 2012 request for the Naval Postgraduate School.

#### OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$5,539,740,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	5,960,437,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,036,996,000
Change from budget request .....	76,559,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,036,996,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES				
10	OPERATIONAL FORCES.....	715,196	791,755	+76,559
20	FIELD LOGISTICS.....	677,608	677,608	---
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	190,713	190,713	---
USMC PREPOSITIONING				
40	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING.....	101,464	101,464	---
60	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	823,390	823,390	---
70	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	2,208,949	2,208,949	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
		4,717,320	4,793,879	+76,559
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING				
ACCESSION TRAINING				
80	RECRUIT TRAINING.....	18,280	18,280	---
90	OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	820	820	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING				
100	SPECIALIZED SKILLS TRAINING.....	85,816	85,816	---
120	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	33,142	33,142	---
130	TRAINING SUPPORT.....	324,643	324,643	---
RECRUITING AND OTHER TRAINING EDUCATION				
140	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	184,432	184,432	---
150	OFF-DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	43,708	43,708	---
160	JUNIOR ROTC.....	19,671	19,671	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....				
		710,512	710,512	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
-----				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
SERVICEWIDE SUPPORT				
180	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	36,021	36,021	---
190	ADMINISTRATION.....	405,431	405,431	---
200	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	91,153	91,153	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	532,605	532,605	---
=====				
	TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS.....	5,960,437	6,036,996	+76,559
=====				

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<sup>1A1A</sup> OPERATIONAL FORCES	715,196	791,755	76,559
Restores Underfunding Attributed to Efficiency Savings		49,559	
Marine Corps Requested Transfer for Family of Shelters from Procurement, Marine Corps line 38		27,000	

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$36,062,989,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	36,195,133,000
Committee recommendation .....	36,065,107,000
Change from budget request .....	- 130,026,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$36,065,107,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	4,224,400	1,739,346	-2,485,054
20	3,417,731	1,717,723	-1,700,008
30	1,482,814	828,756	-654,058
50	2,204,131	7,017,516	+4,813,385
60	1,652,318	1,952,318	+300,000
70	2,507,179	2,507,179	---
COMBAT RELATED OPERATIONS			
80	1,492,459	1,492,459	---
90	1,046,226	1,046,226	---
100	696,188	676,188	-20,000
SPACE OPERATIONS			
110	321,484	321,484	---
120	633,738	611,620	-22,118
130	735,488	699,641	-35,847
140	170,481	170,481	---
140	---	-200,000	-200,000
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	20,584,637	20,580,937	-3,700

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 2: MOBILIZATION			
MOBILITY OPERATIONS			
150 AIRLIFT OPERATIONS.....	2,988,221	1,834,060	-1,154,161
160 MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS.....	150,724	139,282	-11,442
170 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	373,568	1,529,171	+1,155,603
180 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	388,103	388,103	---
190 BASE SUPPORT.....	674,230	674,230	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 2.....	4,574,846	4,564,846	-10,000
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING			
ACCESSION TRAINING			
200 OFFICER ACQUISITION.....	114,448	114,448	---
210 RECRUIT TRAINING.....	22,192	22,192	---
220 RESERVE OFFICER TRAINING CORPS (ROTC).....	90,545	90,545	---
230 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	430,090	430,090	---
240 BASE SUPPORT (ACADEMIES ONLY).....	789,654	789,654	---
BASIC SKILLS AND ADVANCED TRAINING			
250 SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING.....	481,357	188,281	-293,076
260 FLIGHT TRAINING.....	957,538	957,538	---
270 PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION.....	198,897	198,897	---
280 TRAINING SUPPORT.....	108,248	108,248	---
290 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	6,386	299,462	+293,076
RECRUITING, AND OTHER TRAINING AND EDUCATION			
300 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	136,102	136,102	---
310 EXAMINING.....	3,079	3,079	---
320 OFF DUTY AND VOLUNTARY EDUCATION.....	167,660	167,660	---
330 CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	202,767	189,767	-13,000
340 JUNIOR ROTC.....	75,259	75,259	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	3,784,222	3,771,222	-13,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
LOGISTICS OPERATIONS			
350 LOGISTICS OPERATIONS.....	1,112,878	1,043,596	-69,282
360 TECHNICAL SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	785,150	785,150	---
370 DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	14,356	15,812	+1,456
380 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	416,588	416,588	---
390 BASE SUPPORT.....	1,219,043	1,219,043	---
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
400 ADMINISTRATION.....	662,180	662,180	---
410 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	650,689	650,689	---
420 OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	1,078,769	1,067,769	-11,000
430 CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION.....	23,338	27,838	+4,500
SUPPORT TO OTHER NATIONS			
460 INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.....	72,589	53,589	-19,000
OTHER PROGRAMS.....			
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	1,215,848	1,215,848	---
IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF TELECOM SERVICES.....	---	-10,000	-10,000
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	7,251,428	7,148,102	-103,326
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE.....	36,195,133	36,065,107	-130,026
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
011A	<b>PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	4,224,400	1,739,346	-2,485,054
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-2,485,054	
011C	<b>COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES</b>	3,417,731	1,717,723	-1,700,008
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-1,700,008	
	Unjustified Increase in Travel		-10,000	
011D	<b>AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING</b>	1,482,814	828,756	-654,058
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-654,058	
011M	<b>DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	2,204,131	7,017,516	4,813,385
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		2,485,054	
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		1,700,008	
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		654,058	
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		22,118	
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		2,147	
	Efficiency Due to Consolidation of Management of Depot Maintenance		-50,000	
011R	<b>FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION &amp; MODERNIZATION</b>	1,652,318	1,952,318	300,000
	Restores Underfunding Attributed to Efficiency Savings		300,000	
012F	<b>TACTICAL INTELLIGENCE AND SPECIAL ACTIVITIES</b>	696,188	676,188	-20,000
	Classified Adjustment		-20,000	
013C	<b>SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS</b>	633,738	611,620	-22,118
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-22,118	
015A	<b>COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT</b>	735,488	699,641	-35,847
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-2,147	
	Military Information Support Operations		-33,700	
	<b>CONTRACTOR LOGISTICS SUPPORT</b>		-200,000	-200,000
021A	<b>AIRLIFT OPERATIONS</b>	2,988,221	1,834,060	-1,154,161
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-1,154,161	
021D	<b>MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS</b>	150,724	139,282	-11,442
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-11,442	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
021M	<b>DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	373,568	1,529,171	1,155,603
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		1,154,161	
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		11,442	
	Efficiency Due to Consolidation of Management of Depot Maintenance		-10,000	
032A	<b>SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING</b>	481,357	188,281	-293,076
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-293,076	
032M	<b>DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	6,386	299,462	293,076
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		293,076	
033D	<b>CIVILIAN EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b>	202,767	189,767	-13,000
	Maintain Service Contracts at the fiscal year 2011 Level		-13,000	
041A	<b>LOGISTICS OPERATIONS</b>	1,112,878	1,043,596	-69,282
	Unjustified Program Growth for Expeditionary Combat Support System Sustainment		-67,826	
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-1,456	
041M	<b>DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	14,356	15,812	1,456
	Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		1,456	
042G	<b>OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES</b>	1,078,769	1,067,769	-11,000
	Revised Transportation Estimate		-11,000	
042I	<b>CIVIL AIR PATROL CORPORATION</b>	23,338	27,838	4,500
	Civil Air Patrol		4,500	
044A	<b>INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT</b>	72,589	53,589	-19,000
	Unjustified Establishment of a New Strategic Airlift Consortium		-19,000	
	<b>IMPROVED MANAGEMENT OF TELECOM SERVICES</b>		-10,000	-10,000

## VISIBILITY OF DEPOT MAINTENANCE FUNDING

The Air Force has not properly justified \$6,398,905,000 of depot maintenance funding contained in the Air Force's fiscal year 2012 budget request by not correctly reflecting the requested funding for weapon system depot maintenance in depot maintenance funding lines. The Air Force justification material displays \$2,598,441,000 for weapon system depot maintenance on the following fiscal year 2012 budget exhibits:

- O-1 "O&M Funding by Budget Activity, Activity Group, and Subactivity Group";
- OP-5 "Detail by Subactivity Group"; and
- OP-30 "Depot Maintenance Program."

However, the OP-32 "Summary of Price and Program Changes" and the "Appendix to the Budget of the U.S Government, FY2012" indicates that the Air Force's fiscal year 2012 budget request contains \$8,997,346,000 for weapon system depot maintenance, a difference of \$6,398,905,000. Further, the Committee notes that, as well as understating the depot maintenance funding request by \$6,398,905,000, the OP-30 "Depot Maintenance Program" budget exhibit contains additional errors.

In addition to the serious concern that the Air Force's depot maintenance funding request is not fully justified, the Committee is deeply concerned that lack of visibility of depot maintenance funding is an obstacle to effective management. Proper management of the depot maintenance program is critical to military readiness. Therefore, the Committee identifies and consolidates all depot maintenance funding contained in the Air Force's fiscal year 2012 budget request in the "Depot Maintenance" Subactivity Group, and adjusts funding to reflect the improved management of the depot maintenance program that can occur with central visibility of all depot maintenance funding.

## CANINE EXPLOSIVE DETECTION CAPABILITIES

The Department of Defense's use of canines to help locate and clear Improvised Explosive Devices has been highly successful and has contributed to the success of the counterinsurgency mission. The Committee urges the Secretary of the Air Force, the executive agent for the military working dog program, to robustly fund the canine explosive detection capabilities program, continue training military working dog handlers and their dogs, and deploy trained dog handlers and their dogs to Afghanistan to find buried improvised explosive devices.

## QUICK REACTION CAPABILITY

The Committee finds that the Quick Reaction Capability systems have significantly enhanced the effectiveness of the Air Force's military operations in the U.S. Central Command. The Committee recommends that the Air Force seek a waiver to Section 2401 of Title 10 United States Code regarding the lease of aircraft, so that these systems can continue to be deployed in direct support of combat operations.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$30,210,810,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	30,940,409,000
Committee recommendation .....	30,682,265,000
Change from budget request .....	-258,144,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$30,682,265,000, for Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
10	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF.....	563,787	563,787	---
20	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....	3,986,766	3,903,859	-82,907
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	4,550,553	4,467,646	-82,907
BUDGET ACTIVITY 3: TRAINING AND RECRUITING				
30	DEFENSE ACQUISITION UNIVERSITY.....	124,075	124,075	---
40	NATIONAL DEFENSE UNIVERSITY.....	93,348	93,348	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 3.....	217,423	217,423	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
50	CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS.....	159,692	169,692	+10,000
80	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY.....	508,822	495,722	-13,100
100	DEFENSE FINANCE AND ACCOUNTING SERVICE.....	12,000	12,000	---
120	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY.....	1,360,392	1,360,392	---
140	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY.....	37,367	37,367	---
150	DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY.....	450,863	432,263	-18,600
160	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY.....	256,133	256,133	---
170	DEFENSE POW /MISSING PERSONS OFFICE.....	22,372	22,372	---
200	DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY SECURITY AGENCY.....	33,848	33,848	---
210	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY.....	432,133	432,133	---
220	DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE EDUCATION ACTIVITY.....	2,768,677	2,768,677	---
110	DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY.....	676,419	676,289	-130
90	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY.....	1,147,366	1,147,366	---
180	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY.....	682,831	682,831	---
190	DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE.....	505,366	505,366	---
230	MISSILE DEFENSE AGENCY.....	202,758	202,758	---
250	OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT.....	81,754	91,754	+10,000
260	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE.....	2,201,964	2,165,564	-36,400
270	WASHINGTON HEADQUARTERS SERVICES.....	563,184	563,184	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	12,103,941	12,055,711	-48,230

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
IMPACT AID.....	---	40,000	+40,000
OTHER PROGRAMS.....	14,068,492	13,901,485	-167,007
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	30,940,409	30,682,265	-258,144

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND</b>	<b>3,986,766</b>	<b>3,903,859</b>	<b>-82,907</b>
Sustaining Base Communications - Excessive Growth		-8,000	
Aviation Foreign Internal Defense		-17,607	
Military Information Support Operations		-57,300	
<b>CIVIL MILITARY PROGRAMS</b>	<b>159,692</b>	<b>169,692</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Youth ChalleNGe		5,000	
STARBASE Youth Program		5,000	
<b>DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY</b>	<b>508,822</b>	<b>495,722</b>	<b>-13,100</b>
Transfer from Excess Support Overhead Costs for Additional Contract Oversight		26,100	
Reduction in Non-Pay Personnel Support Overhead Costs		-39,200	
<b>DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY</b>	<b>450,863</b>	<b>432,263</b>	<b>-18,600</b>
Unjustified Request for the Defense Property Accountability System Program Office		-1,600	
Efficiencies in the Continuity of Operations Policy		-3,000	
Overstatement DoD Enterprise Systems Development and Demonstration Costs		-23,000	
Procurement Technical Assistance Program		9,000	
<b>DEFENSE HUMAN RESOURCES ACTIVITY</b>	<b>676,419</b>	<b>676,289</b>	<b>-130</b>
Fully Fund Wounded Care and Transition Policy Office Unjustified Increase for the Request for Defense Advisory Committee on Women in the Services Program Reporting		300 -430	
<b>OFFICE OF ECONOMIC ADJUSTMENT</b>	<b>81,754</b>	<b>91,754</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program Increase		10,000	
<b>OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE</b>	<b>2,201,964</b>	<b>2,165,564</b>	<b>-36,400</b>
Duplicative Funding Request - Army also requested Funding for the Synchronization Pre-deployment and Operational Tracker		-19,000	
Unjustified Growth for Boards and Commissions		-7,300	
Unjustified Growth for the Office of the Under Secretary of Defense, Policy and for other OSD Programs		-10,100	
<b>OTHER PROGRAMS</b>	<b>14,068,492</b>	<b>13,901,485</b>	<b>-167,007</b>
Classified Adjustment		-167,007	
<b>IMPACT AID</b>		<b>40,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>

## COMMON FOOD MANAGEMENT SYSTEM

The Committee understands that the Common Food Management System (CFMS) will offer one integrated food management system across the Armed Services, replacing the unique services that are currently utilized by each of the services, and are in need of modernization. The Committee also understands that significant progress has been made in the implementation of the CFMS since the program was restructured in 2010, and supports the Defense Logistics Agency's efforts to fully deploy the system by fiscal year 2015. Accordingly, the Committee directs the Defense Logistics Agency to provide a report within 60 days of enactment of this Act on the status of CFMS, including any obstacles that may prevent or impede deployment, the steps being taken to resolve those issues, and a timeline for full deployment. The Committee also requests that the Defense Logistics Agency provide to the Committee a copy of any recent reports which analyze the cost-effectiveness of the CFMS program.

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$2,840,427,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	3,109,176,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,047,033,000
Change from budget request .....	-62,143,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,047,033,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
LAND FORCES				
10	MANEUVER UNITS.....	1,091	1,091	---
20	MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....	18,129	18,129	---
30	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADES.....	492,705	492,705	---
40	THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....	137,304	137,304	---
50	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	597,786	597,786	---
60	AVIATION ASSETS.....	67,366	67,366	---
LAND FORCES READINESS				
70	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	474,966	454,523	-20,443
80	LAND FORCES SYSTEM READINESS.....	69,841	69,841	---
90	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	247,010	247,010	---
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT				
100	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	590,078	590,078	---
110	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	255,618	255,618	---
-----				
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....				
	2,951,894	2,931,451	-20,443	
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
130	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	14,447	14,447	---
140	ADMINISTRATION.....	76,393	76,393	---
150	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	3,844	3,844	---
160	PERSONNEL/FINANCIAL ADMINISTRATION .....	9,033	9,033	---
170	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	53,565	53,565	---
-----				
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....				
	157,282	157,282	---	
OVERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS.....				
	---	-41,700	-41,700	
=====				
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE.....				
	3,109,176	3,047,033	-62,143	
=====				

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
121	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	474,966	454,523	-20,443
	Denies Requested Funding for Milcon Planning and Design in Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve		-20,443	
	OVERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS		-41,700	-41,700

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,344,264,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,323,134,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,323,134,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,323,134,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
RESERVE AIR OPERATIONS				
10	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS.....	622,868	622,868	---
20	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE.....	16,041	16,041	---
30	AIR OPERATIONS AND SAFETY SUPPORT.....	1,511	1,511	---
40	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	123,547	123,547	---
50	AIRCRAFT DEPOT OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....	379	379	---
RESERVE SHIP OPERATIONS				
60	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS.....	49,701	49,701	---
70	SHIP OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AND TRAINING.....	593	593	---
80	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	53,916	53,916	---
RESERVE COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT				
90	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS.....	15,445	15,445	---
100	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES.....	153,942	153,942	---
RESERVE WEAPONS SUPPORT				
110	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE.....	7,292	7,292	---
120	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	75,131	75,131	---
BASE OPERATING SUPPORT				
130	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	72,083	72,083	---
140	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	109,024	109,024	---
-----				
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	1,301,473	1,301,473	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
150 ADMINISTRATION.....	1,857	1,857	---
160 MILITARY MANPOWER & PERSONNEL.....	14,438	14,438	---
170 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	2,394	2,394	---
180 ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT.....	2,972	2,972	---
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	21,661	21,661	---
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE.....	1,323,134	1,323,134	---
=====			

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$275,484,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	271,443,000
Committee recommendation .....	271,443,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$271,443,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
EXPEDITIONARY FORCES				
10	OPERATING FORCES.....	94,604	94,604	---
20	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	16,382	16,382	---
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	31,520	31,520	---
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	105,809	105,809	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	248,315	248,315	---
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
70	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	852	852	---
80	ADMINISTRATION.....	13,257	13,257	---
90	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	9,019	9,019	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	23,128	23,128	---
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE	271,443	271,443	---

## OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$3,291,027,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	3,274,359,000
Committee recommendation .....	3,310,459,000
Change from budget request .....	36,100,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$3,310,459,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
AIR OPERATIONS			
10	2,171,853	1,748,507	-423,346
20	116,513	115,518	-995
30	471,707	891,048	+419,341
40	77,161	77,161	---
50	308,974	308,974	---
	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1	3,146,208	3,141,208	-5,000
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
60	84,423	84,423	---
70	17,076	17,076	---
80	19,688	19,688	---
90	6,170	6,170	---
100	794	794	---
	-----	-----	-----
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4	128,151	128,151	---
UNDERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS	---	41,100	+41,100
	=====	=====	=====
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE	3,274,359	3,310,459	+36,100
	=====	=====	=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
**[In thousands of dollars]**

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011A PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES</b>	<b>2,171,853</b>	<b>1,748,507</b>	<b>-423,346</b>
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-423,346	
<b>011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>471,707</b>	<b>890,053</b>	<b>418,346</b>
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		423,346	
Efficiency Due to Consolidation of Management of Depot Maintenance		-5,000	
<b>UNDERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS</b>		<b>41,100</b>	<b>41,100</b>

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$6,454,624,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	7,041,432,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,979,232,000
Change from budget request .....	-62,200,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,979,232,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES			
LAND FORCES			
10	634,181	634,181	---
MANEUVER UNITS.....			
20	189,899	189,899	---
MODULAR SUPPORT BRIGADES.....			
30	751,899	751,899	---
ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE.....			
40	112,971	112,971	---
THEATER LEVEL ASSETS.....			
50	33,972	33,972	---
LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....			
60	854,048	854,048	---
AVIATION ASSETS.....			
LAND FORCES READINESS			
70	706,299	706,299	---
FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....			
80	50,453	50,453	---
LAND FORCES SYSTEMS READINESS.....			
90	646,608	646,608	---
LAND FORCES DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....			
LAND FORCES READINESS SUPPORT			
100	1,028,126	1,028,126	---
BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT.....			
110	618,513	618,513	---
FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..			
120	792,575	792,575	---
MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS.....			
	---	-25,000	-25,000
UNEXECUTABLE OPTEMPO GROWTH.....			
-----			
	6,419,544	6,394,544	-25,000
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....			

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
ADMINISTRATION AND SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES			
140 SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION.....	11,703	11,703	---
150 ADMINISTRATION.....	178,655	178,655	---
160 SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS.....	42,073	42,073	---
170 MANPOWER MANAGEMENT.....	6,789	6,789	---
180 RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	382,668	382,668	---
-----			
TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	621,888	621,888	---
OVERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS.....	---	-37,200	-37,200
=====			
TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD.....	7,041,432	6,979,232	-62,200
=====			

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
		-25,000	-25,000
		-37,200	-37,200

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$5,963,839,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	6,136,280,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,094,380,000
Change from budget request .....	- 41,900,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$6,094,380,000 for Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD				
BUDGET ACTIVITY 1: OPERATING FORCES				
AIR OPERATIONS				
10	AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS.....	3,651,900	2,464,362	-1,187,538
20	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS.....	751,519	696,158	-55,361
30	DEPOT MAINTENANCE.....	753,525	1,986,424	+1,232,899
40	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION ..	284,348	284,348	---
50	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT.....	621,942	621,942	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 1.....	6,063,234	6,053,234	-10,000
BUDGET ACTIVITY 4: ADMIN & SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES				
60	ADMINISTRATION.....	39,387	39,387	---
70	RECRUITING AND ADVERTISING.....	33,659	33,659	---
	TOTAL, BUDGET ACTIVITY 4.....	73,046	73,046	---
	OVERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS.....	---	-31,900	-31,900
	TOTAL, OPERATION & MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD..	6,136,280	6,094,380	-41,900

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>011F AIRCRAFT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>3,651,900</b>	<b>2,464,362</b>	<b>-1,187,538</b>
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-665,012	
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-522,526	
<b>011G MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS</b>	<b>751,519</b>	<b>696,158</b>	<b>-55,361</b>
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-14,850	
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		-40,511	
<b>011M DEPOT MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>753,525</b>	<b>1,986,424</b>	<b>1,232,899</b>
Consolidate Depot Maintenance Funding in the Depot Maintenance SAG		1,242,899	
Efficiency Due to Consolidation of Management of Depot Maintenance		-10,000	
<b>OVERSTATEMENT OF AUTHORIZED MILITARY TECHNICIANS</b>		<b>-31,900</b>	<b>-31,900</b>

## OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	--
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	\$5,000,000
Committee recommendation .....	--
Change from budget request .....	-5,000,000

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account given the account's unobligated balance and lack of justification.

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ARMED FORCES

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$14,068,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	13,861,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,861,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$13,861,000 for the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$464,581,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	346,031,000
Committee recommendation .....	346,031,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$346,031,000 for Environmental Restoration, Army.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$304,867,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	308,668,000
Committee recommendation .....	308,668,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$308,668,000 for Environmental Restoration, Navy.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$502,653,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	525,453,000
Committee recommendation .....	525,453,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$525,453,000 for Environmental Restoration, Air Force.

## ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$10,744,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	10,716,000
Committee recommendation .....	10,716,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$10,716,000 for Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION, FORMERLY USED  
DEFENSE SITES

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$316,546,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	276,495,000
Committee recommendation .....	276,495,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$276,495,000 for Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites.

CONTRACT OVERSIGHT AND TRANSPARENCY

The Department of Defense Environmental Restoration program provides for the identification, investigation, and cleanup of contamination and military munitions associated with activities at active military installations located in the continental United States, Hawaii, Alaska, Puerto Rico, and Guam, as well as Formerly Used Defense Sites. According to the Department, the Environmental Restoration program currently oversees 34,058 sites on 1,907 current and closing sites and 2,691 former defense sites. The Committee has provided the Environmental Restoration program with over \$14,000,000,000 since fiscal year 2002 to fulfill its cleanup responsibility. The identification, investigation, and cleanup of sites are accomplished primarily through contracted services.

Over the last six years, the Committee has repeatedly directed the Secretary of Defense to improve accountability and management of contracted services. Despite this direction, not even the most basic information regarding the Environmental Restoration program contracts for fiscal year 2012 or prior years has been provided. Responsibility for contracts is spread across individual sites and installations, and the Department appears to have limited knowledge of how funding is spent or deliverables are met across the program. The Department is unable to provide information regarding the number of program contracts funded in a given fiscal year, contract costs in a given fiscal year, or how much of the funding provided is directed to cleanup versus oversight, administrative costs, and overhead. Further, the Department is unable to respond to queries regarding contract deliverables, fee structures, contract requirements, or the number of sole source contracts awarded.

The Committee is concerned by the lack of accountability and oversight of the Environmental Restoration program contracted services. The budget justification materials provide very little visibility into the program and therefore preclude the Committee from exercising proper congressional oversight of the program. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to implement measures to improve management of the program and to institute a process by which oversight can be conducted of the contracting process, including, but not limited to, contract deliverables, fee structures, management and overhead costs, and the competitive bidding process. Additionally, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a report to the congressional defense committees, not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, on the management and process improvements being implemented. This report should include the following information: the number of program contracts funded by fiscal year, contract costs in a given year, requirements for contracts,

contract deliverables, fee structures, administrative and overhead costs, oversight costs, competitive bidding processes, and duration of contracts.

OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN, DISASTER, AND CIVIC AID

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$108,032,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	107,662,000
Committee recommendation .....	107,662,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$107,662,000 for Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.

COOPERATIVE THREAT REDUCTION ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$522,512,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	508,219,000
Committee recommendation .....	508,219,000
Change from budget request .....	--

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$508,219,000 for the Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$217,561,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	305,501,000
Committee recommendation .....	105,501,000
Change from budget request .....	-200,000,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$105,501,000 for the Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

ACQUISITION WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT FUND

The acquisition workforce development fund was established to increase the end strength and quality of the Department's acquisition workforce. The effort is financed with a myriad of funding to include direct appropriated funding and a taxing of other appropriated funds. Since its inception, the fund has executed only a portion of the total funding available in any given fiscal year. The forecast for fiscal year 2011 is no exception. The Department plans to carry over approximately \$346,000,000 of funding available in fiscal year 2011 to fiscal year 2012. The Committee understands that the Department is developing a proposal to reduce the statutory required amounts available by fiscal year and fully supports this proposal. This proposal is especially timely in the face of likely decreasing budgets and acquisitions. Therefore, the recommendation provides \$105,501,000, a decrease of \$200,000,000 below the request.



TITLE III  
PROCUREMENT

The fiscal year 2012 Department of Defense procurement budget request totals \$114,365,617,000. The table below summarizes the budget estimates and the Committee's recommendations.

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
SUMMARY			
ARMY			
AIRCRAFT.....	7,061,381	6,487,481	-573,900
MISSILES.....	1,478,718	1,464,223	-14,495
WEAPONS, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES.....	1,933,512	2,178,886	+245,374
AMMUNITION.....	1,992,625	1,952,625	-40,000
OTHER.....	9,682,592	9,371,952	-310,640
TOTAL, ARMY.....	22,148,828	21,455,167	-693,661
NAVY			
AIRCRAFT.....	18,587,033	17,804,750	-782,283
WEAPONS.....	3,408,478	2,975,749	-432,729
AMMUNITION.....	719,952	633,048	-86,904
SHIPS.....	14,928,921	14,725,493	-203,428
OTHER.....	6,285,451	5,996,459	-288,992
MARINE CORPS.....	1,391,602	1,453,602	+62,000
TOTAL, NAVY.....	45,321,437	43,589,101	-1,732,336
AIR FORCE			
AIRCRAFT.....	14,082,527	13,987,613	-94,914
MISSILES.....	6,074,017	5,689,998	-384,019
AMMUNITION.....	539,065	522,565	-16,500
OTHER.....	17,602,036	17,260,619	-341,417
TOTAL, AIR FORCE.....	38,297,645	37,460,795	-836,850
DEFENSE-WIDE			
DEFENSE-WIDE.....	5,365,248	5,046,447	-318,801
NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT.....	---	---	---
DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES.....	19,964	29,964	+10,000
TOTAL PROCUREMENT.....	111,153,122	107,581,474	-3,571,648
	=====	=====	=====

## ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR TO AIR MISSILE

The AIM-120D Advanced Medium Range Air to Air Missile (AMRAAM) program is the next generation all-weather, all-environment radar guided missile, developed jointly by the Navy and Air Force. This newest variant of the AMRAAM will provide improved navigation and guidance, improving overall missile performance and effectiveness. This complex development effort has resulted in testing problems and delays in missile production. Currently, missile production is experiencing a growing backlog of more than 100 missiles and the fiscal year 2011 production contract has been delayed. The fiscal year 2011 budget request assumed that the 2011 production contract would award in February 2011, but the most recent information from the Department indicates the contract will award as late as August 2011, making a schedule slip into fiscal year 2012 more likely. Therefore, the recommendation removes 161 missiles and \$172,358,000 from Weapons Procurement, Navy, and 218 missiles and \$262,242,000 from Missile Procurement, Air Force. The Committee finds that the combination of the delayed fiscal year 2011 contract and the lag in production allows the requested fiscal year 2012 funding to be used for higher priorities.

## JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER

The Committee remains committed to the success of the F-35 Joint Strike Fighter (JSF) program. The recommendation provides funding for the procurement of 32 JSF aircraft, the same as the President's request. Additionally, with the exception of \$75,748,000 for the premature development of the Block IV mission system software, the recommendation provides funding at the requested level for the continuation of the development effort for the aircraft.

The Committee understands the importance of this program to the future of the Nation's tactical aircraft inventory and our future national security. The F-35 will provide the United States and our allies the advanced sensor, precision strike, firepower, and stealth capabilities that are required well into the future.

The F-35B variant, which will be flown by the Marine Corps, has shown a very positive trend in flight testing thus far in fiscal year 2011 relative to its accomplishments in fiscal year 2010. Accordingly, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to continue to closely monitor the progress of the F-35B test program and increase the production of the F-35B variant if the positive trend continues.

The Committee will continue to provide strong support and oversight for the JSF program and is committed to working with the Secretary of Defense to ensure the success of this program.

## NATIONAL GUARD AVIATION

The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense has failed to adequately consider the role of the National Guard in its long term plans for the procurement and stationing of both fixed-wing and rotary-wing aviation assets. The Committee is aware that a combination of factors, including delays in the Joint Strike Fighter program, aircraft retirements, base realignment and closure ac-

tions, individual aircraft reassignments, and decisions such as the truncation of C-27 Joint Cargo Aircraft acquisition, render uncertain the futures of various Guard aviation units and call into question the commitment of the Department and the Services to ensure that National Guard aviation remains an integral component of the national security and homeland defense strategies. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretaries of the Army and Air Force and the Chief of the National Guard Bureau, to submit not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act a report regarding the Department's future plans for National Guard aviation. This report shall include, but not be limited to, the present laydown of Guard aviation assets and units, projected retirement or divestiture dates for aircraft assigned to Guard units, projected delivery and initial operational capability dates for new aircraft that will be assigned to Guard units, and the identification of unique or preponderant aviation skill sets and mission capabilities within the Guard.

#### COMMON DATA LINK

Common Data Link (CDL) is the multi-service program to define and upgrade the Department of Defense standard for line of sight wideband data links used by all intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance platforms. CDL provides the largest bandwidth data link within the Department and is a critical enabler for the dissemination of signals, imagery, and measurements and signatures intelligence. The Committee is concerned that proprietary terminal control interfaces are inhibiting competition in CDL procurement, with potential loss in cost savings and foregone capability. The Committee urges the Department to utilize all available means of preserving options for competitive sourcing of CDL systems and to communicate the need for such competition to the system program offices responsible for CDL procurement.

#### SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the stated amount specifically addressed in the committee report. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

#### REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

It is the intent of the Congress that the program baseline for reprogramming funds reflects all approved adjustment actions: the initial appropriation as well as any rescissions, supplemental appropriations, and approved Department of Defense Form 1415 reprogrammings. The Secretary of Defense is directed to ensure that financial management regulations incorporate approved reprogramming actions as an adjustment to the base for reprogramming value.

The Committee directs the Department of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2006 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (H.R. 109–119). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or twenty percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. The percentage change limitation applies to both program increases and decreases. Additionally, this percentage change applies to the program base value at the time the below threshold movement of funds is executed. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustment action. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Department of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the statement of the managers accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006.

FUNDING INCREASES

The Committee directs that the funding increases outlined in these tables shall be provided only for the specific purposes indicated in the tables.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

Adjustments to the classified programs are addressed in a classified annex accompanying this report.

AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$5,254,791,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	7,061,381,000
Committee recommendation .....	6,487,481,000
Change from budget request .....	–573,900,000

This appropriation finances acquisition of tactical and utility airplanes and helicopters, including associated electronics, electronic warfare equipment for in-service aircraft, ground support equipment, components and parts such as spare engines, transmission gear boxes, and sensor equipment. It also funds related training devices such as combat flight simulators and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
AIRCRAFT						
FIXED WING						
UTILITY F/W CARGO AIRCRAFT.....	---	14,572	---	14,572	---	---
AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP).....	18	539,574	---	15,674	-18	-523,900
MQ-1 UAV.....	36	658,798	36	658,798	---	---
RQ-11 (RAVEN).....	1,272	70,762	1,272	70,762	---	---
ROTARY						
HELICOPTER, LIGHT UTILITY (LUH).....	39	250,415	39	250,415	---	---
AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN.....	19	411,005	19	411,005	---	---
AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIA REMAN (AP-CY).....	---	192,764	---	192,764	---	---
AH-64 APACHE BLOCK IIIB NEW BUILD.....	---	104,263	---	104,263	---	---
UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP).....	71	1,325,666	71	1,325,666	---	---
UH-60 BLACKHAWK (MYP) (AP-CY).....	---	199,781	---	199,781	---	---
CH-47 HELICOPTER.....	47	1,305,360	47	1,305,360	---	---
CH-47 HELICOPTER (AP-CY).....	---	54,956	---	54,956	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT.....		5,127,916		4,604,016		-523,900
-----						
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS.....	---	136,183	---	136,183	---	---
GUARDRAIL MODS (MIP).....	---	27,575	---	27,575	---	---
MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP).....	---	8,362	---	8,362	---	---
AH-64 MODS.....	---	331,230	---	331,230	---	---
CH-47 CARGO HELICOPTER MODS.....	---	79,712	---	79,712	---	---
UTILITY/CARGO AIRPLANE MODS.....	---	22,107	---	22,107	---	---
UTILITY HELICOPTER MODS.....	---	80,745	---	80,745	---	---
KIOWA WARRIOR.....	---	162,052	---	162,052	---	---
NETWORK AND MISSION PLAN.....	---	138,832	---	138,832	---	---
COMMS, NAV SURVEILLANCE.....	---	132,855	---	132,855	---	---
GATH ROLLUP.....	---	105,519	---	105,519	---	---
RQ-7 UAV MODS.....	---	126,239	---	76,239	---	-50,000
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT.....		1,351,411		1,301,411		-50,000
-----						

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
GROUND SUPPORT AVIONICS						
AIRCRAFT SURVIVABILITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	35,993	---	35,993	---	---
CMWS.....	---	162,811	---	162,811	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
AVIONICS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,840	---	4,840	---	---
COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT.....	---	176,212	---	176,212	---	---
AIRCREW INTEGRATED SYSTEMS.....	---	82,883	---	82,883	---	---
AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	---	114,844	---	114,844	---	---
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	1,593	---	1,593	---	---
LAUNCHER, 2.75 ROCKET.....	464	2,878	464	2,878	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES.....		582,054		582,054		---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....		7,061,381		6,487,481		-573,900
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR (ACS) (MIP) Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System (EMARSS) schedule delay	539,574	15,674	-523,900
33 RQ-7 UAV MODS Funding ahead of need	126,239	76,239	-50,000

ENHANCED MEDIUM ALTITUDE RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM

The budget request includes \$539,574,000 for the procurement of 18 Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance Systems. However, the Committee is aware of delays in this program that have resulted in a planned Milestone C decision and Low Rate Initial Production award very late in the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012. The Committee understands that the press of events needed to be completed in advance of a Milestone C Low Rate Initial Production decision could very likely slip the contract award to fiscal year 2013. The Committee supports this surveillance and reconnaissance program and the capability that it brings to the operating forces to detect, locate, identify, and track surface targets in day or night and in most weather; however, due to the significant schedule slip the Committee recommends funding of \$15,674,000 for the Enhanced Medium Altitude Reconnaissance and Surveillance System. The recommendation is a reduction of \$523,900,000 below the request.

MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,570,108,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,478,718,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,464,223,000
Change from budget request .....	- 14,495,000

This appropriation finances the acquisition of surface-to-air, surface-to-surface, air-to-surface, and anti-tank/assault missile systems. Also included are major components, modifications, targets, test equipment, and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
OTHER MISSILES						
SURFACE-TO-AIR MISSILE SYSTEM						
PATRIOT SYSTEM SUMMARY.....	88	662,231	88	662,231	---	---
MSE MISSILE.....	---	74,953	---	74,953	---	---
AIR-TO-SURFACE MISSILE SYSTEM						
HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY.....	---	1,410	---	1,410	---	---
ANTI-TANK/ASSAULT MISSILE SYSTEM						
JAVELIN (AAMS-H) SYSTEM SUMMARY.....	710	160,767	710	160,767	---	---
TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY.....	802	61,676	802	61,676	---	---
TOW 2 SYSTEM SUMMARY (AP-CY).....	---	19,886	---	19,886	---	---
GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS).....	2,784	314,167	2,784	314,167	---	---
MLRS REDUCED RANGE PRACTICE ROCKETS (RRPR).....	2,370	18,175	2,370	18,175	---	---
HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM.....	---	31,674	---	31,674	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES.....		1,344,939		1,344,939		---
-----						
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
MODIFICATIONS						
PATRIOT MODS.....	---	66,925	---	66,925	---	---
STINGER MODS.....	---	14,495	---	---	---	-14,495
ITAS/TOW MODS.....	---	13,577	---	13,577	---	---
MLRS MODS.....	---	8,236	---	8,236	---	---
HIMARS MODIFICATIONS.....	---	11,670	---	11,670	---	---
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF MISSILES.....		114,903		100,408		-14,495
-----						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	8,700	---	8,700	---	---
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
AIR DEFENSE TARGETS.....	---	3,674	---	3,674	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MISSILES).....	---	1,459	---	1,459	---	---
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT.....	---	5,043	---	5,043	---	---
TOTAL, SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES.....		10,176		10,176		---
-----						
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....		1,478,718		1,464,223		-14,495
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
13 STINGER MODS	14,495	0	-14,495
Army requested transfer to RDTE line 169		-14,495	

PROCUREMENT OF WEAPONS AND TRACKED COMBAT  
VEHICLES, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,461,086,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,933,512,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,178,886,000
Change from budget request .....	245,374,000

This appropriation finances the acquisition of tanks, personnel and cargo carriers, fighting vehicles, tracked recovery vehicles, self-propelled and towed howitzers, machine guns, mortars, modification of in-service equipment, initial spares, and production base support.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY						
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
STRYKER VEHICLE.....	100	632,994	100	632,994	---	---
MODIFICATION OF TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
STRYKER (MOD).....	---	52,797	---	52,797	---	---
FIST VEHICLE (MOD).....	---	43,962	---	35,082	---	-8,880
BRADLEY PROGRAM (MOD).....	---	250,710	---	250,710	---	---
HOWITZER, MED SP FT 155MM M109A6 (MOD).....	---	46,876	---	46,876	---	---
IMPROVED RECOVERY VEHICLE (M88A2 HERCULES).....	---	10,452	---	10,452	---	---
ARMORED BREACHER VEHICLE.....	19	99,904	19	99,904	---	---
M88 FOV MODS.....	---	32,483	---	32,483	---	---
M1 ABRAMS TANK (MOD).....	---	160,578	---	160,578	---	---
ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM.....	21	181,329	54	453,329	+33	+272,000
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (TCV-WTCV).....	---	1,073	---	1,073	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES.....		1,513,158		1,776,278		+263,120
WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES						
INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYS FAMILY.....	5	16,046	5	---	---	-16,046
MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL.....	4,700	65,102	4,700	31,102	---	-34,000
LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN.....	700	28,796	700	27,096	---	-1,700
MORTAR SYSTEMS.....	142	12,477	142	12,477	---	---
XM320 GRENADE LAUNCHER MODULE (GLM).....	2,873	12,055	2,873	12,055	---	---
M4 CARBINE.....	19,409	35,015	19,409	35,015	---	---
SHOTGUN, MODULAR ACCESSORY SYSTEM (MASS).....	3,038	6,707	3,038	6,707	---	---
HOWITZER LT WT 155MM (T).....	---	13,066	---	13,066	---	---
MOD OF WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEH						
M4 CARBINE MODS.....	---	25,092	---	25,092	---	---
M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS.....	---	14,856	---	48,856	---	+34,000
M249 SAW MACHINE GUN MODS.....	---	8,480	---	8,480	---	---
M240 MEDIUM MACHINE GUN MODS.....	---	15,718	---	15,718	---	---
SNIPER RIFLES MODIFICATIONS.....	---	1,994	---	1,994	---	---
M119 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	38,701	---	38,701	---	---
M16 RIFLE MODS.....	---	3,476	---	3,476	---	---
MODIFICATIONS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MOCV-WTCV).....	---	2,973	---	2,973	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (WOCV-WTCV).....	---	10,080	---	10,080	---	---
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	---	424	---	424	---	---
SMALL ARMS EQUIPMENT (SOLDIER ENH PROG).....	---	2,453	---	2,453	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, WEAPONS AND OTHER COMBAT VEHICLES.....		313,511		295,765		-17,746
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (WTCV).....	---	106,843	---	106,843	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF W&TCV, ARMY.....		1,933,512		2,178,886		+245,374
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
6 FIST VEHICLE (MOD) Funding ahead of need	43,962	35,082 -8,880	-8,880
14 ABRAMS UPGRADE PROGRAM Program change	181,329	453,329 272,000	272,000
17 INTEGRATED AIR BURST WEAPON SYSTEM FAMILY Army requested transfer to RDTE line 84 due to program delays	16,046	0 -16,046	-16,046
19 MACHINE GUN, CAL .50 M2 ROLL Army requested transfer to line 34 for correction of safety issue	65,102	31,102 -34,000	-34,000
20 LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN Army requested transfer to RDTE line 84 due to program delays	28,796	27,096 -1,700	-1,700
34 M2 50 CAL MACHINE GUN MODS Army requested transfer from line 19 for correction of safety issue	14,856	48,856 34,000	34,000

## M1 TANK

The Committee is aware that the Army has been producing two variants of the M1 Abrams Tank. The production of M1A1SA (Situational Awareness) Tanks is scheduled to end in July 2011. M1A2 SEP (System Enhancement Package) production ends in June 2013. At that point in time, the Army would have 17 active component Brigade Combat Teams with M1A2 SEP Tanks and Bradley A3 Infantry Fighting Vehicles. All but one Army National Guard brigade would continue with M1A1SA Tanks and M2 ODS (Operation Desert Storm) SA Bradleys. The Army would have 1,547 M1A2SEP Tanks in active component units plus one Army National Guard brigade, and 791 M1A1 Tanks, all in Army National Guard units. The production lines would be shut down and the workforce would disperse and relocate.

From that time, the Army would not have access to a warm tank assembly line. The capability to respond quickly to unexpected requirements would be limited. The process for the Army and contractors to hire the necessary workers that are skilled in the production of heavy armored equipment would be arduous. Additional challenges would arise in re-establishing the supply chain that would provide parts and components.

The Committee understands that the Army intends to restart a tank line in 2016, in order to again modernize the tank fleet. The Committee recognizes that the Army is comparing the costs and benefits of keeping the tank line in operation at a minimum sustaining rate versus the costs of shutting down the production facility, performing sustaining maintenance, and restarting the line in order to produce a new tank beginning in approximately three years.

The Committee is aware that the Army and the prime contractor differ significantly in their estimates of the costs to shut down and restart the tank line. Estimates also vary regarding the minimum production rate that would be needed for the continued production of tanks to be less costly than shut down. The Committee understands that the Army and the prime contractor are reviewing their cost estimates in order to make an informed decision.

The Committee believes that in addition to the analysis of production costs, the Army must consider the benefit of equipping the tank units of both the active duty Army units and Army National Guard units with the same, most capable tank. Recent deployment requirements for National Guard units have shown that in the force generation and rotation process, there may be very little time to issue new equipment and train on individual and collective skills. The M1A1SA is a very good tank. The M1A2SEP is a better tank. Some key upgrades in the M1A2SEP are: a Commander's Independent Thermal Viewer, crew and equipment cooling, digital technology, Integrated Battle Command System, and improved armor. The Committee notes that the Army has in recent years worked to reshape Army units into modular organizations which could be reassigned quickly based on the needs of commanders in the area of combat operations. Having all tank units operating the same tank would facilitate the organization of units for combat. Training, logistics, and communications would be improved.

The Committee is aware that the Army is evaluating alternative courses of action to determine the optimal course of action in order to receive the best value in return for spending a significant amount of appropriated funds. The Committee understands that the issue is complex and worthy of a detailed analysis. Accordingly, the Committee recommends additional funding in the amount of \$272,000,000 for the continued procurement of M1A2SEP Tanks in fiscal year 2012.

The Committee directs the Secretary of the Army to provide a report on the Army’s plan for the additional funds to include the distribution plan for the additional tanks that will be procured and the plan for sustainment of the tank production line going forward. The report should be submitted to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act.

**PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY**

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,847,066,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,992,625,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,952,625,000
Change from budget request .....	- 40,000,000

This appropriation finances the acquisition of ammunition, modification of in-service stock, and related production base support including the maintenance, expansion, and modernization of industrial facilities and equipment.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY						
AMMUNITION						
SMALL/MEDIUM CAL AMMUNITION						
CTG, 5.56MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	210,758	---	210,758	---	---
CTG, 7.62MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	83,730	---	83,730	---	---
CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES.....	---	9,064	---	7,064	---	-2,000
CTG, .50 CAL, ALL TYPES.....	---	131,775	---	131,775	---	---
CTG, 25MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	14,894	---	14,894	---	---
OBJECTIVE FAMILY OF WEAPONS AMMO, ALL T.....	---	3,399	---	3,399	---	---
CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	118,966	---	105,966	---	-13,000
CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	84,799	---	84,799	---	---
MORTAR AMMUNITION						
60MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	---	31,287	---	31,287	---	---
81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	---	12,187	---	12,187	---	---
120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES.....	---	108,416	---	108,416	---	---
TANK AMMUNITION						
CTG TANK 105MM AND 120MM: ALL TYPES.....	---	105,704	---	105,704	---	---
ARTILLERY AMMUNITION						
CTG, ARTY, 75MM AND 105MM: ALL TYPES.....	---	103,227	---	103,227	---	---
ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	32,887	---	32,887	---	---
PROJ 155MM EXTENDED RANGE XM982.....	---	69,074	---	69,074	---	---
MODULAR ARTILLERY CHARGE SYSTEM (MACS), ALL T.....	---	48,205	---	48,205	---	---
MINES						
MINES AND CLEARING CHARGE, ALL TYPES.....	---	2,518	---	2,518	---	---
NETWORKED MUNITIONS						
ANTIPERSONNEL LANDMINE ALTERNATIVES.....	---	43,123	---	43,123	---	---
ROCKETS						
SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	---	19,254	---	19,254	---	---
ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES.....	---	127,265	---	127,265	---	---
OTHER AMMUNITION						
DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	---	53,685	---	28,685	---	-25,000
GRENADES, ALL TYPES.....	---	42,558	---	42,558	---	---
SIGNALS, ALL TYPES.....	---	26,173	---	26,173	---	---
SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES.....	---	14,108	---	14,108	---	---
ALL OTHER (AMMO).....	---	50	---	50	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
MISCELLANEOUS						
AMMO COMPONENTS, ALL TYPES.....	---	18,296	---	18,296	---	---
NON-LETHAL AMMUNITION, ALL TYPES.....	---	14,864	---	14,864	---	---
CAD/PAD ALL TYPES.....	---	5,449	---	5,449	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	11,009	---	11,009	---	---
AMMUNITION PECULIAR EQUIPMENT.....	---	24,200	---	24,200	---	---
FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION (AMMO).....	---	13,711	---	13,711	---	---
CLOSEOUT LIABILITIES.....	---	103	---	103	---	---
TOTAL, AMMUNITION.....		1,584,738		1,544,738		-40,000
AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT						
PROVISION OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	199,841	---	199,841	---	---
LAYAWAY OF INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	9,451	---	9,451	---	---
MAINTENANCE OF INACTIVE FACILITIES.....	---	5,533	---	5,533	---	---
CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS DEMILITARIZATION, ALL.....	---	189,789	---	189,789	---	---
ARMS INITIATIVE.....	---	3,273	---	3,273	---	---
TOTAL, AMMUNITION PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT.....		407,887		407,887		---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY.....		1,992,625		1,952,625		-40,000

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>4 CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES</b>	9,064	7,064	-2,000
Funding ahead of need		-2,000	
<b>9 CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES</b>	118,966	105,966	-13,000
Program growth adjustment		-13,000	
<b>29 DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES</b>	53,685	28,685	-25,000
Program growth adjustment		-25,000	

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$8,145,665,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	9,682,592,000
Committee recommendation .....	9,371,952,000
Change from budget request .....	-310,640,000

This appropriation finances the acquisition of tactical and commercial vehicles, including trucks, semi-trailers, and trailers of all types to provide mobility and utility support to field forces and the worldwide logistical system; communications and electronic equipment of all types to provide fixed, semi-fixed, and mobile strategic and tactical communications; and other support equipment, generators and power units, material handling equipment, medical support equipment, special equipment for user testing, and non-system training devices. In each of these activities, funds are also included for the modification of in-service equipment, investment spares and repair parts, and production base support.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY						
TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES						
TACTICAL VEHICLES						
SEMITRAILERS, FLATBED:.....	102	13,496	102	13,496	---	---
FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV).....	2,390	432,936	2,390	432,936	---	---
FIRETRUCKS & ASSOCIATED FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMEN.....	---	21,930	---	21,930	---	---
FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (FHTV).....	---	627,294	---	627,294	---	---
PLS ESP.....	---	251,667	---	251,667	---	---
MINE PROTECTION VEHICLE FAMILY.....	---	56,671	---	56,671	---	---
TRUCK, TRACTOR, LINE HAUL, M915/M916.....	6	1,461	6	1,461	---	---
HVY EXPANDED MOBILE TACTICAL TRUCK EXT SERV.....	412	156,747	412	156,747	---	---
HMMV RECAPITALIZATION PROGRAM.....	---	161,631	---	161,631	---	---
TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLE PROTECTION KITS.....	---	39,908	---	39,908	---	---
MODIFICATION OF IN SVC EQUIP.....	---	362,672	---	362,672	---	---
MINE-RESISTANT AMBUSH-PROTECTED MODS.....	---	142,862	---	142,862	---	---
AMC CRITICAL ITEMS, OPA1.....	---	20,156	---	20,156	---	---
NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES						
HEAVY ARMORED SEDAN.....	6	1,161	6	1,161	---	---
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES.....	---	3,222	---	3,222	---	---
NONTACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER.....	---	19,869	---	19,869	---	---
TOTAL, TACTICAL AND SUPPORT VEHICLES.....		2,313,683		2,313,683	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
COMM - JOINT COMMUNICATIONS						
JOINT COMBAT IDENTIFICATION MARKING SYSTEM.....	---	9,984	---	9,984	---	---
WIN-T - GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK.....	3,931	974,186	3,931	974,186	---	---
JCSE EQUIPMENT (USREDCOM).....	---	4,826	---	4,826	---	---
COMM - SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS						
DEFENSE ENTERPRISE WIDEBAND SATCOM SYSTEMS.....	3	123,859	3	123,859	---	---
SHF TERM.....	2	8,910	2	8,910	---	---
NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE).....	6,312	29,568	6,312	29,568	---	---
SMART-T (SPACE).....	---	49,704	---	49,704	---	---
SCAMP (SPACE).....	---	2,415	---	2,415	---	---
GLOBAL BRDCST SVC - GBS.....	---	73,374	---	73,374	---	---
MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (TAC SAT).....	140	31,799	140	31,799	---	---
COMM - COMBAT SUPPORT						
MOD-IN-SERVICE PROFILER.....	---	969	---	969	---	---
COMM - C3 SYSTEM						
ARMY GLOBAL CMD & CONTROL SYS (AGCCS).....	---	18,788	---	18,788	---	---
COMM - COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS						
ARMY DATA DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (DATA RADIO).....	---	3,994	---	3,994	---	---
JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM.....	17,120	775,832	17,120	737,932	---	-37,900
RADIO TERMINAL SET, MIDS LVT(2).....	---	8,336	---	8,336	---	---
SINCGARS FAMILY.....	---	4,992	---	4,992	---	---
MULTI-PURPOSE INFORMATION OPERATIONS SYSTEMS.....	---	10,827	---	10,827	---	---
SPIDER APLA REMOTE CONTROL UNIT.....	---	36,224	---	36,224	---	---
SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM COMM/ELECTRONICS.....	---	1,843	---	1,843	---	---
GUNSHOT DETECTION SYSTEM (GDS).....	87	3,939	87	3,939	---	---
RADIO, IMPROVED HF (COTS) FAMILY.....	550	38,535	550	38,535	---	---
MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (MC4).....	957	26,232	957	26,232	---	---
COMM - INTELLIGENCE COMM						
CI AUTOMATION ARCHITECTURE (HIP).....	---	1,547	---	1,547	---	---
RESERVE CA/MISO GPF EQUIPMENT.....	---	28,266	---	28,266	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
INFORMATION SECURITY						
TSEC - ARMY KEY MGT SYS (AKMS).....	499	12,541	499	12,541	---	---
INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM-ISSP.....	---	39,349	---	39,349	---	---
COMM - LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS						
TERRESTRIAL TRANSMISSION.....	---	2,232	---	2,232	---	---
BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	37,780	---	37,780	---	---
WW TECH CON IMP PROG (WMTICIP).....	---	12,805	---	12,805	---	---
COMM - BASE COMMUNICATIONS						
INFORMATION SYSTEMS.....	164	187,227	164	187,227	---	---
DEFENSE MESSAGE SYSTEM (DMS).....	---	4,393	---	4,393	---	---
INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD PROGRAM.....	---	310,761	---	310,761	---	---
PENTAGON INFORMATION MGT AND TELECOM.....	---	4,992	---	4,992	---	---
ELECT EQUIP						
ELECT EQUIP - TACT INT REL ACT (TIARA)						
JTT/CIBS-M (MIP).....	---	4,657	---	4,657	---	---
PROPHET GROUND (MIP).....	23	72,041	23	72,041	---	---
DCGS-A (MIP).....	---	144,548	---	144,548	---	---
JOINT TACTICAL GROUND STATION (JTAGS).....	5	1,199	5	1,199	---	---
TROJAN (MIP).....	---	32,707	---	32,707	---	---
MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (INTEL SPT) (MIP).....	---	9,163	---	9,163	---	---
CI HUMINT AUTO REPRTING AND COLL(CHARCS)(MIP).....	---	3,493	---	3,493	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MIP).....	---	802	---	802	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW)						
LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR.....	10	33,810	10	33,810	---	---
WARLOCK.....	---	24,104	---	24,104	---	---
COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	1,252	---	1,252	---	---
CI MODERNIZATION (MIP).....	---	1,332	---	1,332	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL SURV. (TAC SURV)						
FAAD GBS.....	---	7,958	---	7,958	---	---
SENTINEL MODS.....	47	41,657	47	41,657	---	---
SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW).....	5,831	47,498	5,831	47,498	---	---
NIGHT VISION DEVICES.....	8,793	156,204	8,793	156,204	---	---
LONG RANGE ADVANCED SCOUT SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	118	102,334	118	102,334	---	---
NIGHT VISION, THERMAL WPN SIGHT.....	---	186,859	---	186,859	---	---
SMALL TACTICAL OPTICAL RIFLE MOUNTED MLRF.....	---	10,227	---	10,227	---	---
COUNTER-ROCKET, ARTILLERY & MORTAR.....	7	15,774	7	15,774	---	---
GREEN LASER INTERDICTION SYSTEM.....	---	25,356	---	25,356	---	---
PROFILER.....	1	3,312	1	3,312	---	---
MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS).....	---	3,005	---	3,005	---	---
JOINT BATTLE COMMAND - PLATFORM (JBC-P).....	---	69,514	---	69,514	---	---
LIGHTWEIGHT LASER DESIGNATOR/RANGEFINDER (LLD).....	171	58,042	171	58,042	---	---
MORTAR FIRE CONTROL SYSTEM.....	---	21,022	---	21,022	---	---
COUNTERFIRE RADARS.....	16	227,629	16	227,629	---	---
ENHANCED SENSOR & MONITORING SYSTEM.....	---	2,226	---	2,226	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - TACTICAL C2 SYSTEMS						
TACTICAL OPERATIONS CENTERS.....	80	54,907	80	54,907	---	---
FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY.....	898	54,223	898	54,223	---	---
BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	612	12,454	612	12,454	---	---
FAAD C2.....	---	5,030	---	5,030	---	---
AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYS (AMD).....	9	62,710	9	62,710	---	---
KNIGHT FAMILY.....	12	51,488	12	32,202	---	-19,286
LIFE CYCLE SOFTWARE SUPPORT (LCSS).....	---	1,807	---	1,807	---	---
AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY.....	---	28,924	---	28,924	---	---
MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (MCS).....	498	34,031	498	34,031	---	---
SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE).....	26,660	210,312	15,722	124,026	-10,938	-86,286
RECONNAISSANCE AND SURVEYING INSTRUMENT SET.....	---	19,113	---	19,113	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ELECT EQUIP - AUTOMATION						
GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM.....	---	23,664	---	25,459	---	+1,795
ARMY TRAINING MODERNIZATION.....	---	11,192	---	11,192	---	---
AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIPMENT.....	---	220,250	---	220,250	---	---
CSS COMMUNICATIONS.....	452	39,310	452	39,310	---	---
RESERVE COMPONENT AUTOMATION SYS (RCAS).....	---	41,248	---	41,248	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - AUDIO VISUAL SYS (A/V)						
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (A/V).....	---	10,437	---	10,437	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SURVEYING EQUIPMENT).....	168	7,480	168	7,480	---	---
ELECT EQUIP - SUPPORT						
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (C-E).....	---	571	---	571	---	---
BCT NETWORK.....	---	---	---	15,334	---	+15,334
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.		5,077,905		4,951,562		-126,343
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
CHEMICAL DEFENSIVE EQUIPMENT						
FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (FNLE).....	---	8,636	---	8,636	---	---
BASE DEFENSE SYSTEMS (BDS).....	---	41,204	---	41,204	---	---
CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION.....	---	10,700	---	10,700	---	---
SMOKE & OBSCURANT FAMILY: SOF (NON AAO ITEM).....	---	362	---	362	---	---
BRIDGING EQUIPMENT						
TACTICAL BRIDGING.....	---	77,428	---	77,428	---	---
TACTICAL BRIDGE, FLOAT-RIBBON.....	---	49,154	---	49,154	---	---
ENGINEER (NON-CONSTRUCTION) EQUIPMENT						
HANDHELD STANDOFF MINEFIELD DETECTION SYS-HST.....	---	39,263	---	39,263	---	---
GROUND STANDOFF MINE DETECTION SYSTEM (GSTAMIDS)...	---	20,678	---	20,678	---	---
ROBOTIC COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	---	30,297	---	30,297	---	---
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQPMT (EOD EQPMT).....	---	17,626	---	17,626	---	---
REMOTE DEMOLITION SYSTEMS.....	---	14,672	---	14,672	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M, COUNTERMINE EQUIPMENT.....	---	7,352	---	7,352	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	REQUEST AMOUNT
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT		
COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
HEATERS AND ECU'S.....	---	10,109	---	10,109	---	---
SOLDIER ENHANCEMENT.....	---	9,591	---	9,591	---	---
PERSONNEL RECOVERY SUPPORT SYSTEM (PRSS).....	---	8,509	---	8,509	---	---
GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM.....	---	184,072	---	156,072	---	-28,000
MOUNTED SOLDIER SYSTEM.....	---	43,419	---	43,419	---	---
FIELD FEEDING EQUIPMENT.....	---	26,860	---	26,860	---	---
CARGO AERIAL DEL & PERSONNEL PARACHUTE SYSTEM.....	---	68,392	---	68,392	---	---
MOBILE INTEGRATED REMAINS COLLECTION SYSTEM.....	---	7,384	---	7,384	---	---
FAMILY OF ENGR COMBAT AND CONSTRUCTION SETS.....	---	54,190	---	54,190	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (ENG SPT).....	---	12,482	---	12,482	---	---
PETROLEUM EQUIPMENT						
DISTRIBUTION SYSTEMS, PETROLEUM & WATER.....	---	75,457	---	75,457	---	---
MEDICAL EQUIPMENT						
COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL.....	---	53,460	---	53,460	---	---
MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT						
MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS.....	---	16,572	---	16,572	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (MAINT EQ).....	---	3,852	---	3,852	---	---
CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT						
GRADER, ROAD MTZD, HVY, 6X4 (CCE).....	---	2,201	---	2,201	---	---
SKID STEER LOADER (SSL) FAMILY OF SYSTEM.....	54	8,584	54	8,584	---	---
SCRAPERS, EARTHMOVING.....	30	21,031	30	21,031	---	---
MISSION MODULES - ENGINEERING.....	---	43,432	---	43,432	---	---
COMPACTOR.....	---	2,859	---	2,859	---	---
TRACTOR, FULL TRACKED.....	171	59,534	171	59,534	---	---
PLANT, ASPHALT MIXING.....	4	8,314	4	8,314	---	---
HIGH MOBILITY ENGINEER EXCAVATOR (HMEE) FOS.....	---	18,974	---	18,974	---	---
ENHANCED RAPID AIRFIELD CONSTRUCTION.....	---	15,833	---	15,833	---	---
CONST EQUIP ESP.....	---	9,771	---	9,771	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (CONST EQUIP).....	---	12,654	---	12,654	---	---
RAIL FLOAT CONTAINERIZATION EQUIPMENT						
JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV).....	1	223,845	1	223,845	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5.0M (FLOAT/RAIL).....	---	10,175	---	10,175	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
GENERATORS						
GENERATORS AND ASSOCIATED EQUIPMENT.....	---	31,897	---	31,897	---	---
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
FAMILY OF FORKLIFTS.....	101	10,944	101	10,944	---	---
ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM.....	135	21,859	135	21,859	---	---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
COMBAT TRAINING CENTERS SUPPORT.....	---	133,178	---	133,178	---	---
TRAINING DEVICES, NONSYSTEM.....	---	168,392	---	168,392	---	---
CLOSE COMBAT TACTICAL TRAINER.....	---	17,760	---	17,760	---	---
AVIATION COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (AVCA).....	---	9,413	---	9,413	---	---
TEST MEASURE AND DIG EQUIPMENT (TMD)						
CALIBRATION SETS EQUIPMENT.....	---	13,618	---	13,618	---	---
INTEGRATED FAMILY OF TEST EQUIPMENT (IFTE).....	---	49,437	---	49,437	---	---
TEST EQUIPMENT MODERNIZATION (TEMOD).....	---	30,451	---	30,451	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,923	---	4,923	---	---
PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3).....	---	69,316	---	69,316	---	---
BASE LEVEL COM'L EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,591	---	1,591	---	---
MODIFICATION OF IN-SVC EQUIPMENT (OPA-3).....	---	72,271	---	72,271	---	---
PRODUCTION BASE SUPPORT (OTH).....	---	2,325	---	2,325	---	---
SPECIAL EQUIPMENT FOR USER TESTING.....	---	17,411	---	17,411	---	---
AMC CRITICAL ITEMS OPA3.....	---	34,500	---	34,500	---	---
TRACTOR YARD.....	---	3,740	---	3,740	---	---
BCT UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE.....	---	24,805	---	60,832	---	+36,027
BCT TRAINING/LOGISTICS/MANAGEMENT.....	---	149,308	---	26,011	---	-123,297
BCT TRAINING/LOGISTICS/MANAGEMENT INC 2.....	---	57,103	---	---	---	-57,103
BCT UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE INC 2.....	---	11,924	---	---	---	-11,924
TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		2,265,084		2,080,787		-184,297
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
INITIAL SPARES - C&E.....	33	21,647	33	21,647	---	---
TOTAL, SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS.....		21,647		21,647		---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	4,273	---	4,273	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY.....		9,682,592		9,371,952		-310,640
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
39	<b>JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM</b> Schedule delay in Maritime/Fixed Station Radio Program	775,832	737,932 -37,900	-37,900
109	<b>KNIGHT FAMILY</b> Program growth adjustment	51,488	32,202 -19,286	-19,286
116	<b>SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE)</b> Army requested transfer	210,312	124,026 -86,286	-86,286
119	<b>GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM</b> Army requested transfer for GFEBBS from line 116	23,664	25,459 1,795	1,795
127	<b>BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM NETWORK</b> Army requested adjustment for network fielding support for brigade combat teams Program adjustment	0	15,334 20,334 -5,000	15,334
147	<b>GROUND SOLDIER SYSTEM</b> Army requested adjustment - schedule delay	184,072	156,072 -28,000	-28,000
197	<b>BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE</b> Army requested adjustment for fielding support for brigade combat teams Program adjustment	24,805	60,832 69,027 -33,000	36,027
198	<b>BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM TRAINING/LOGISTICS/MANAGEMENT</b> Army requested adjustment for brigade combat team software support	149,308	26,011 -123,297	-123,297
199	<b>BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM TRAINING/LOGISTICS/MANAGEMENT INC 2</b> Army requested adjustment for brigade combat team software support	57,103	0 -57,103	-57,103
200	<b>BRIGADE COMBAT TEAM UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLE INC 2</b> Army requested adjustment for brigade combat team fielding support	11,924	0 -11,924	-11,924

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$16,170,868,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	18,587,033,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,804,750,000
Change from budget request .....	-782,283,000

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of aircraft and related support equipment and programs; flight simulators; equipment to modify in-service aircraft to extend their service life, eliminate safety hazards and improve aircraft operational effectiveness; and spare parts and ground support equipment for all end items procured by this appropriation.

The total program recommended in this bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE QTY	FROM REQUEST AMOUNT
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT		
-----						
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
EA-18G	12	1,079,364	12	1,001,596	---	-77,768
EA-18G (AP-CY)	---	28,119	---	28,119	---	---
F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)	28	2,366,752	28	2,303,264	---	-63,488
F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP) (AP-CY)	---	64,962	---	64,962	---	---
JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER	7	1,503,096	7	1,448,096	---	-55,000
JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER ADVANCE PROCUREMENT (CY)	---	217,666	---	217,666	---	---
JSF STOVL	6	1,141,933	6	1,141,933	---	---
JSF STOVL (AP-CY)	---	117,229	---	117,229	---	---
V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)	30	2,224,817	30	2,209,817	---	-15,000
V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)	---	84,008	---	63,768	---	-20,240
UH-1Y/AH-1Z	25	700,306	25	680,686	---	-19,620
UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)	---	68,310	---	56,750	---	-11,560
MH-60S (MYP)	18	408,921	18	400,621	---	-8,300
MH-60S (MYP) (AP-CY)	---	74,040	---	74,040	---	---
MH-60R	24	791,025	24	779,725	---	-11,300
MH-60R (AP-CY)	---	209,431	---	209,431	---	---
P-8A POSEIDON	11	2,018,851	11	2,008,851	---	-10,000
P-8A POSEIDON (ADVANCED PROCUREMENT)	---	256,594	---	244,894	---	-11,700
E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)	5	914,892	5	906,892	---	-8,000
E-2C (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP) (AP-CY)	---	157,942	---	157,942	---	---
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT		14,428,258		14,116,282		-311,976

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
JPATS.....	36	266,906	36	266,906	---	---
	-----		-----			
TOTAL, TRAINER AIRCRAFT.....		266,906		266,906		---
-----						
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
KC-130J (AP-CY).....	1	87,288	1	87,288	---	---
MQ-8 UAV.....	12	191,986	---	76,516	-12	-115,470
STUASLO UAV.....	8	12,772	8	12,772	---	---
	-----		-----			
TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT.....		292,046		176,576		-115,470
-----						
MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT						
EA-6 SERIES.....	---	27,734	---	27,734	---	---
AEA SYSTEMS.....	---	34,065	---	31,765	---	-2,300
AV-8 SERIES.....	---	30,762	---	27,462	---	-3,300
F-18 SERIES.....	---	499,597	---	436,767	---	-62,830
H-46 SERIES.....	---	27,112	---	24,612	---	-2,500
AH-1W SERIES.....	---	15,828	---	15,828	---	---
H-53 SERIES.....	---	62,820	---	56,920	---	-5,900
SH-60 SERIES.....	---	83,394	---	83,394	---	---
H-1 SERIES.....	---	11,012	---	8,412	---	-2,600
EP-3 SERIES.....	---	83,181	---	75,381	---	-7,800
P-3 SERIES.....	---	171,466	---	159,266	---	-12,200
E-2 SERIES.....	---	29,215	---	29,215	---	---
TRAINER A/C SERIES.....	---	22,090	---	22,090	---	---
C-2A.....	---	16,302	---	16,302	---	---
C-130 SERIES.....	---	27,139	---	27,139	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
FEWSG.....	---	2,773	---	2,773	---	---
CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES.....	---	16,463	---	16,463	---	---
E-6 SERIES.....	---	165,253	---	162,253	---	-3,000
EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES.....	---	58,011	---	53,511	---	-4,500
SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT.....	---	12,248	---	12,248	---	---
T-45 SERIES.....	---	57,779	---	57,779	---	---
POWER PLANT CHANGES.....	---	21,847	---	21,847	---	---
JPATS SERIES.....	---	1,524	---	1,524	---	---
AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT MODS.....	---	1,069	---	1,069	---	---
COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT.....	---	92,072	---	41,072	---	-51,000
COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES.....	---	147,093	---	143,093	---	-4,000
ID SYSTEMS.....	---	37,330	---	34,330	---	-3,000
P-8 SERIES.....	---	2,930	---	---	---	-2,930
MAGTF EW FOR AVIATION.....	---	489	---	489	---	---
RQ-7 SERIES.....	---	11,419	---	---	---	-11,419
V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY.....	---	60,264	---	60,264	---	---
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF AIRCRAFT.....		1,830,281		1,651,002		-179,279
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	1,331,961	---	1,156,403	---	-175,558
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT.....	---	351,685	---	351,685	---	---
AIRCRAFT INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	22,358	---	22,358	---	---
WAR CONSUMABLES.....	---	27,300	---	27,300	---	---
OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES.....	---	10,124	---	10,124	---	---
SPECIAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	24,395	---	24,395	---	---
FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	1,719	---	1,719	---	---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT & FACILITIES..		437,581		437,581		---
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....		18,587,033		17,804,750		-782,283

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	<b>EA-18G</b>	1,079,364	1,001,596	-77,768
	CFE Electronics cost growth		-26,600	
	Engine cost growth		-9,168	
	Avionics PGSE cost growth		-36,000	
	Other ILS cost growth		-6,000	
3	<b>F/A-18E/F (FIGHTER) HORNET (MYP)</b>	2,366,762	2,303,264	-63,488
	Engine cost growth		-29,120	
	CFE Electronics cost growth		-15,540	
	GFE Electronics cost growth		-4,480	
	Armament cost growth		-2,548	
	ECO increase		-11,800	
5	<b>JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER CV</b>	1,503,096	1,448,096	-55,000
	Engineering change order carryover		-20,000	
	Peculiar ground support equipment growth		-30,000	
	Logistic support growth		-5,000	
9	<b>V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT)</b>	2,224,817	2,209,817	-15,000
	Support funding carryover		-15,000	
10	<b>V-22 (MEDIUM LIFT) (AP-CY)</b>	84,008	63,768	-20,240
	Advance procurement equipment cost growth		-20,240	
11	<b>UH-1Y/AH-1Z</b>	700,306	680,686	-19,620
	UH-1Y GFE Electronics cost growth		-2,475	
	UH-1Y ECO increase		-1,400	
	AH-1Z (remanufacture) airframe cost growth		-9,400	
	AH-1Z (New Build) GFE Electronics cost growth		-2,345	
	Support funding carryover		-4,000	
12	<b>UH-1Y/AH-1Z (AP-CY)</b>	68,310	56,750	-11,560
	Excess advance procurement		-11,560	
13	<b>MH-60S (MYP)</b>	408,921	400,621	-8,300
	Support funding carryover		-8,300	
15	<b>MH-60R</b>	791,025	779,725	-11,300
	Support funding carryover		-11,300	
17	<b>P-8A POSEIDON</b>	2,018,851	2,008,851	-10,000
	Support funding increase		-10,000	
18	<b>P-8A POSEIDON (ADVANCED PROCUREMENT)</b>	256,594	244,894	-11,700
	Excess advance procurement		-11,700	
19	<b>E-2D (EARLY WARNING) HAWKEYE (MYP)</b>	914,892	906,892	-8,000
	Support funding carryover		-8,000	
26	<b>MQ-8 UAV</b>	191,986	76,516	-115,470
	Air vehicles excess to requirement		-115,470	
30	<b>AEA SYSTEMS</b>	34,065	31,765	-2,300
	Air launched decoy jammer		-2,300	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>31 AV-8 SERIES</b>	<b>30,762</b>	<b>27,462</b>	<b>-3,300</b>
Non-recurring installation funding unjustified increase		-3,300	
<b>32 F-18 SERIES</b>	<b>499,597</b>	<b>436,767</b>	<b>-62,830</b>
Excess prior year ILS funding carryover		-18,300	
ECP 536 cost growth		-1,300	
OSIP 011-84 installation funds savings		-9,300	
ECP 904 Part I cost growth		-6,930	
OSIP 11-99 ILS unjustified growth		-2,500	
OSIP 11-99 installation funding ahead of need		-7,000	
ECP 904 Part 1 procurement ahead of need		-16,500	
OSIP 001-10 ANAV installation kits cost growth		-1,000	
<b>33 H-46 SERIES</b>	<b>27,112</b>	<b>24,612</b>	<b>-2,500</b>
OSIP 018-07 ECO growth		-2,500	
<b>35 H-53 SERIES</b>	<b>62,820</b>	<b>56,920</b>	<b>-5,900</b>
IMDS installation kit procurement ahead of need		-4,400	
Kapton wiring installation kit cost growth		-1,500	
<b>37 H-1 SERIES</b>	<b>11,012</b>	<b>8,412</b>	<b>-2,600</b>
Obsolescence ECP installation funding unjustified growth		-2,600	
<b>38 EP-3 SERIES</b>	<b>83,181</b>	<b>75,381</b>	<b>-7,800</b>
OSIP 11-01 JMOD obsolescence carryover		-5,100	
Obsolescence ECP installation funding growth		-2,700	
<b>39 P-3 SERIES</b>	<b>171,466</b>	<b>159,266</b>	<b>-12,200</b>
HFIP modification kit procurement ahead of need		-1,000	
Center Box Replacement kit cost growth		-1,000	
Outer Wing Replacement kit cost growth		-10,200	
<b>46 E-6 SERIES</b>	<b>165,253</b>	<b>162,253</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
OSIP 008-10 support funding growth		-2,000	
OSIP 013-10 support funding growth		-1,000	
<b>47 EXECUTIVE HELICOPTERS SERIES</b>	<b>58,011</b>	<b>53,511</b>	<b>-4,500</b>
OSIP 009-02 excess installation funding		-4,500	
<b>53 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>92,072</b>	<b>41,072</b>	<b>-51,000</b>
IDECM Block IV concurrency		-51,000	
<b>54 COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES</b>	<b>147,093</b>	<b>143,093</b>	<b>-4,000</b>
OSIP 01-02 other support growth		-4,000	
<b>56 ID SYSTEMS</b>	<b>37,330</b>	<b>34,330</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
OSSIP 015-03 support growth		-3,000	
<b>57 P-8 SERIES</b>	<b>2,930</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-2,930</b>
P-8 modifications ahead of need		-2,930	

<b>P-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>59 RQ-7 SERIES</b>	<b>11,419</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>-11,419</b>
TCDL contract delay		-11,419	
<b>61 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>	<b>1,331,961</b>	<b>1,156,403</b>	<b>-175,558</b>
F/A-18E/F initial spares cost growth		-23,967	
F-35 initial spares execution		-100,000	
P-8A initial spares execution		-36,000	
E-2D initial spares cost growth		-8,700	
MQ-8 UAV reduced buy		-6,891	

## V-22 OSPREY

Fiscal year 2012 marks the final year of the successful V-22 multiyear procurement effort conducted by the Navy and Air Force. Multiyear procurements are advantageous in that they provide savings and program stability to platforms when compared to annual procurements. The drawback is that they reduce available budgetary flexibility. The Committee believes that if a platform meets the established criteria for a multiyear procurement and there is a high probability that the platform will be purchased for the period of the multiyear procurement, a multiyear procurement provides the best value for the taxpayer. The Committee believes that the performance of the V-22 Osprey aircraft has laid to rest all doubts about its operational effectiveness. The aircraft has been successfully deployed to forward operating areas since 2007 and most recently was instrumental in the recovery of a downed Air Force pilot during the Libya conflict. In view of the continuing need for sustained procurement of the V-22, the Committee urges the Department of Defense to consider a request for authority for a new multiyear procurement contract in the fiscal year 2013 budget.

## FIRESOULT

The vision for the MQ-8B Firescout vertical take-off and landing unmanned aerial vehicle (UAV) is to provide intelligence, surveillance, and reconnaissance data to tactical users. The original primary mission of the Firescout was to be an air asset for the Littoral Combat Ship (LCS). The Navy chose this platform for the LCS largely to take advantage of possible synergies with the Army's Future Combat Systems (FCS) program, despite the aircraft's relatively short range. After Firescout was chosen as the UAV for the LCS, the Army terminated the UAV portion of the FCS, thus negating any possible synergies (as well as cost efficiencies) between the two Services. Since that time, the Navy has taken delivery of fourteen aircraft, placed another twelve aircraft under contract, and is in negotiations for yet another three aircraft. Ironically, included in this total are eight aircraft originally purchased for the Army as part of the FCS program. All told, the Navy possesses (or will possess) 29 Firescout aircraft. Although the Navy is actively searching for other roles and missions for this program, its primary mission remains as an asset for the LCS program, which has delivered a total of two ships and has another six under contract. Because of the relatively short range of the MQ-8B and the desired expansion of its roles and missions, the Navy is considering the procurement of an extended range maritime unmanned aerial vehicle and is also requesting funding to develop a medium range maritime unmanned aerial system that is projected to become operational later this decade.

The Committee supports the Navy's plan to move to a longer range maritime unmanned aerial vehicle, and the recommendation fully funds the Navy's request for development funding for this effort. Additionally, the Committee believes the current and projected inventory of MQ-8B Firescout vehicles is sufficient to meet the near term Navy requirements for the LCS and any additional near term roles and missions that may be generated by the Navy until

the longer range variant is available. Therefore, the recommendation provides \$76,516,000 for the Firescout procurement program, a reduction of \$115,470,000 and twelve aircraft.

#### WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$3,221,957,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	3,408,478,000
Committee recommendation .....	2,975,749,000
Change from budget request .....	-432,729,000

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of strategic and tactical missiles, target drones, torpedoes, guns, associated support equipment, and modification of in-service missiles, torpedoes, and guns.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
BALLISTIC MISSILES						
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
TRIDENT II MODS.....	24	1,309,102	24	1,306,102	---	-3,000
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
MISSILE INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	3,492	---	3,492	---	---
TOTAL, BALLISTIC MISSILES.....		1,312,594		1,309,594		-3,000
-----						
OTHER MISSILES						
STRATEGIC MISSILES						
TOMAHAWK.....	196	303,306	196	297,606	---	-5,700
TACTICAL MISSILES						
AMRAAM.....	161	188,494	---	16,136	-161	-172,358
SIDEWINDER.....	132	47,098	132	41,098	---	-6,000
JSDW.....	266	137,722	266	125,722	---	-12,000
STANDARD MISSILE.....	89	420,324	89	413,924	---	-6,400
RAM.....	61	66,197	61	66,197	---	---
HELLFIRE.....	281	22,703	281	22,703	---	---
AERIAL TARGETS.....	---	46,359	---	46,359	---	---
OTHER MISSILE SUPPORT.....	---	3,561	---	3,561	---	---
MODIFICATION OF MISSILES						
ESSM.....	35	48,486	35	46,340	---	-2,146
HARM MODS.....	72	73,061	72	70,061	---	-3,000
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
WEAPONS INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES.....	---	1,979	---	1,979	---	---
FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON.....	---	238,215	---	33,215	---	-205,000
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	52,255	---	52,255	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES.....		1,649,760		1,237,156		-412,604
-----						

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT						
TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
ASW TARGETS.....	---	31,803	---	31,803	---	---
MOD OF TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIP						
MK-46 TORPEDO MODS.....	45	78,045	45	76,605	---	-1,440
MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS.....	48	42,493	48	41,493	---	-1,000
QUICKSTRIKE MINE.....	---	5,770	---	5,770	---	---
SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
TORPEDO SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	43,003	---	43,003	---	---
ASW RANGE SUPPORT.....	---	9,219	---	9,219	---	---
DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION						
FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	3,553	---	3,553	---	---
TOTAL, TORPEDOES AND RELATED EQUIPMENT.....		213,886		211,446		-2,440
OTHER WEAPONS						
GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS.....	---	15,037	---	15,037	---	---
MODIFICATION OF GUNS AND GUN MOUNTS						
CIWS MODS.....	---	37,550	---	37,550	---	---
COAST GUARD WEAPONS.....	---	17,525	---	9,179	---	-8,346
GUN MOUNT MODS.....	---	43,957	---	43,957	---	---
CRUISER MODERNIZATION WEAPONS.....	---	50,013	---	50,013	---	---
AIRBORNE MINE NEUTRALIZATION SYSTEMS.....	---	12,203	---	12,203	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER WEAPONS.....		176,285		167,939		-8,346
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	55,953	---	49,614	---	-6,339
TOTAL, WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....		3,408,478		2,975,749		-432,729
		=====		=====		=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
[In thousands of dollars]

<b>P-1</b>		<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>1</b>	<b>TRIDENT II MODS</b>	<b>1,309,102</b>	<b>1,306,102</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
	Support funding carryover		-10,000	
	Post Boost Control Systems cost growth		-3,000	
	Program increase - Solid rocket motor industrial base sustainment		10,000	
<b>3</b>	<b>TOMAHAWK</b>	<b>303,306</b>	<b>297,606</b>	<b>-5,700</b>
	Submarine capsules cost growth		-5,700	
<b>4</b>	<b>AMRAAM</b>	<b>188,494</b>	<b>16,136</b>	<b>-172,358</b>
	All Up Round Missile contract delay		-172,358	
<b>5</b>	<b>SIDEWINDER</b>	<b>47,098</b>	<b>41,098</b>	<b>-6,000</b>
	All Up Round Missile cost growth		-1,100	
	Excess Block II support		-4,900	
<b>6</b>	<b>JSOW</b>	<b>137,722</b>	<b>125,722</b>	<b>-12,000</b>
	All Up Round Missile cost growth		-12,000	
<b>7</b>	<b>STANDARD MISSILE</b>	<b>420,324</b>	<b>413,924</b>	<b>-6,400</b>
	Support funding growth		-3,500	
	Installation and check out funding growth		-2,900	
<b>13</b>	<b>ESSM</b>	<b>48,486</b>	<b>46,340</b>	<b>-2,146</b>
	All Up Round Missile cost growth		-2,146	
<b>14</b>	<b>HARM MODS</b>	<b>73,061</b>	<b>70,061</b>	<b>-3,000</b>
	Production support growth		-3,000	
<b>17</b>	<b>FLEET SATELLITE COMM FOLLOW-ON</b>	<b>238,215</b>	<b>33,215</b>	<b>-205,000</b>
	Launch delay		-205,000	
<b>21</b>	<b>MK-54 TORPEDO MODS</b>	<b>78,045</b>	<b>76,605</b>	<b>-1,440</b>
	MK-54 array cost growth		-1,440	
<b>22</b>	<b>MK-48 TORPEDO ADCAP MODS</b>	<b>42,493</b>	<b>41,493</b>	<b>-1,000</b>
	ECO/Engineering Services growth		-1,000	
<b>29</b>	<b>COAST GUARD WEAPONS</b>	<b>17,525</b>	<b>9,179</b>	<b>-8,346</b>
	MK-110 57MM contract delay		-8,346	
<b>35</b>	<b>SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>	<b>55,953</b>	<b>49,614</b>	<b>-6,339</b>
	CIWS replenishment spares execution		-6,339	

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, NAVY AND MARINE  
CORPS

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$790,527,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	719,952,000
Committee recommendation .....	633,048,000
Change from budget request .....	- 86,904,000

This appropriation finances the acquisition of ammunition, ammunition modernization, and ammunition-related material for the Navy and Marine Corps.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS						
PROC AMMO, NAVY						
NAVY AMMUNITION						
GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS.....	---	64,766	---	63,666	---	-1,100
AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES.....	---	38,264	---	26,764	---	-11,500
MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION.....	---	17,788	---	17,788	---	---
PRACTICE BOMBS.....	---	35,289	---	35,289	---	---
CARTRIDGES & CART ACTUATED DEVICES.....	---	49,416	---	49,416	---	---
AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	60,677	---	60,677	---	---
JATOS.....	---	2,766	---	2,766	---	---
5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION.....	---	19,006	---	10,901	---	-8,105
INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION.....	---	19,320	---	1,112	---	-18,208
OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION.....	---	21,938	---	19,018	---	-2,920
SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO.....	---	51,819	---	46,039	---	-5,780
PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION.....	---	10,199	---	10,199	---	---
AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	4,107	---	4,107	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROC AMMO, NAVY.....		395,355		347,742		-47,613

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS						
MARINE CORPS AMMUNITION						
SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION.....	---	58,812	---	58,812	---	---
LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES.....	---	21,434	---	17,660	---	-3,774
40 MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	84,864	---	80,664	---	-4,200
60MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	937	---	937	---	---
81MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	26,324	---	18,100	---	-8,224
120MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	9,387	---	9,387	---	---
CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES.....	---	3,889	---	3,889	---	---
GRENADERS, ALL TYPES.....	---	13,452	---	13,452	---	---
ROCKETS, ALL TYPES.....	---	15,556	---	12,463	---	-3,093
ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES.....	---	42,526	---	22,526	---	-20,000
DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES.....	---	22,786	---	22,786	---	---
FUZE, ALL TYPES.....	---	9,266	---	9,266	---	---
NON LETHALS.....	---	2,927	---	2,927	---	---
AMMO MODERNIZATION.....	---	8,557	---	8,557	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	3,880	---	3,880	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROC AMMO, MARINE CORPS.....		324,597		285,306		-39,291
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY & MARINE CORPS.		719,952		633,048		-86,904
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1	<b>GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS</b>	64,766	63,666	-1,100
	BLU-109 cost growth		-1,100	
3	<b>AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES</b>	38,264	26,764	-11,500
	MK-66 rocket motor cost growth		-10,500	
	Support funding carryover		-1,000	
9	<b>5 INCH/54 GUN AMMUNITION</b>	19,006	10,901	-8,105
	Excess prior year multi-option fuze support funding		-7,105	
	Support funding carryover		-1,000	
10	<b>INTERMEDIATE CALIBER GUN AMMUNITION</b>	19,320	1,112	-18,208
	MK295 cartridge contract delay		-18,208	
11	<b>OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION</b>	21,938	19,018	-2,920
	30MM x 173 linked cartridge contract delay		-2,920	
12	<b>SMALL ARMS &amp; LANDING PARTY AMMO</b>	51,819	46,039	-5,780
	Production engineering growth		-1,200	
	A131 complete rounds cost growth		-2,500	
	A576 LAP kit cost growth		-2,080	
16	<b>LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES</b>	21,434	17,660	-3,774
	M913 LAP kit contract delay		-3,774	
17	<b>40 MM, ALL TYPES</b>	84,864	80,664	-4,200
	B542 LAP kit cost growth		-4,200	
19	<b>81MM, ALL TYPES</b>	26,324	18,100	-8,224
	M913 LAP kit contract delay		-8,224	
23	<b>ROCKETS, ALL TYPES</b>	15,556	12,463	-3,093
	C995 termination		-3,093	
24	<b>ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES</b>	42,526	22,526	-20,000
	TNT flake cost growth		-20,000	

## SHIPBUILDING AND CONVERSION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$15,366,658,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	14,928,921,000
Committee recommendation .....	14,725,493,000
Change from budget request .....	-203,428,000

This appropriation provides funds for the construction of new ships and the purchase and conversion of existing ships, including hull, mechanical and electrical equipment, electronics, guns, torpedo and missile launching systems, and communication systems.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY						
OTHER WARSHIPS						
CARRIER REPLACEMENT PROGRAM (AP-CY)	---	554,798	---	554,798	---	---
VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE	2	3,232,215	2	3,221,314	---	-10,901
VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)	---	1,524,761	---	1,461,361	---	-63,400
CVN REFUELING OVERHAULS (AP-CY)	---	529,652	---	529,652	---	---
DDG 1000	---	453,727	---	453,727	---	---
DDG-51	1	1,980,709	1	1,978,314	---	-2,395
DDG-51 (AP-CY)	---	100,723	---	100,723	---	---
LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP	4	1,802,093	4	1,755,093	---	-47,000
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, OTHER WARSHIPS		10,178,678		10,054,982		-123,696
AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS						
LPD-17	1	1,847,444	1	1,833,444	---	-14,000
LHA REPLACEMENT (AP-CY)	---	2,018,691	---	1,999,191	---	-19,500
INTRATHEATER CONNECTOR	1	185,106	1	185,106	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, AMPHIBIOUS SHIPS		4,051,241		4,017,741		-33,500
AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRAM COSTS						
OCEANOGRAPHIC SHIPS	1	89,000	1	89,000	---	---
MOORED TRAINING SHIP	---	155,200	---	131,200	---	-24,000
OUTFITTING	---	292,871	---	270,639	---	-22,232
SERVICE CRAFT	---	3,863	---	3,863	---	---
LCAC SLEP	4	84,076	4	84,076	---	---
COMPLETION OF PY SHIPBUILDING PROGRAMS	---	73,992	---	73,992	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, AUXILIARIES, CRAFT, AND PRIOR-YEAR PROGRA		699,002		652,770		-46,232
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, SHIPBUILDING & CONVERSION, NAVY		14,928,921		14,725,493		-203,428
		=====		=====		=====

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
 [In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>3 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE</b>	<b>3,232,215</b>	<b>3,221,314</b>	<b>-10,901</b>
Sonar hardware pricing cost growth		-4,363	
Exterior Communications System other cost unjustified growth		-1,000	
Propulsor cost growth		-5,538	
<b>4 VIRGINIA CLASS SUBMARINE (AP-CY)</b>	<b>1,524,761</b>	<b>1,461,361</b>	<b>-63,400</b>
Nuclear long lead CFE advance procurement cost growth		-63,400	
<b>9 DDG-51</b>	<b>1,980,709</b>	<b>1,978,314</b>	<b>-2,395</b>
Excomm hardware cost growth		-2,395	
<b>11 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP</b>	<b>1,802,093</b>	<b>1,755,093</b>	<b>-47,000</b>
Basic construction cost growth		-47,000	
<b>13 LPD-17</b>	<b>1,847,444</b>	<b>1,833,444</b>	<b>-14,000</b>
Excess ECO funding		-14,000	
<b>15 LHA REPLACEMENT</b>	<b>2,018,691</b>	<b>1,999,191</b>	<b>-19,500</b>
SLQ-32(V)2 pricing		-5,000	
SSDS support pricing		-5,000	
MK-12 IFF pricing		-1,000	
SPS-48 radar pricing		-2,000	
SPQ-9B radar pricing		-1,000	
RAM logistics pricing		-5,500	
<b>19 MOORED TRAINING SHIP (AP)</b>	<b>155,200</b>	<b>131,200</b>	<b>-24,000</b>
Excess advance procurement		-24,000	
<b>20 OUTFITTING</b>	<b>292,871</b>	<b>270,639</b>	<b>-22,232</b>
LCS-5 outfitting phasing		-2,000	
LCS-6 outfitting phasing		-2,000	
LCS-7 outfitting phasing		-782	
DDG-1001 and 1002 outfitting phasing		-1,750	
SSN-785 outfitting phasing		-6,000	
CVN-71 outfitting phasing		-5,000	
SSN-782 post delivery phasing		-4,700	

## LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP

The Navy has requested funding in the fiscal year 2012 budget for the construction of four Littoral Combat Ships. The Navy recently negotiated a five-year 20 ship contract with industry for this platform. The contract achieved extremely attractive pricing for the Navy and demonstrated the positive effect that competition can have on contract negotiations. Following the award of the contract, the Navy adjusted the Littoral Combat Ship budget to account for the new pricing that will be achieved on the program. However, the fiscal year 2012 request contains funding that is in excess to what is required for ship construction. Therefore, the recommendation reduces the request by \$47,000,000 to properly price the Littoral Combat Ship construction program.

## SHIPBUILDING OVERSIGHT

The Committee understands that a number of issues related to quality have recently been identified on Navy ships. Most recently, a failed weld joint caused structural damage to a mast mounted antenna on an Arleigh Burke-class destroyer. Incorrect installation of key subsystems on several Virginia-class submarines required corrections to avoid jeopardizing the mission performance of the submarines. Faulty welds were identified on a number of ship classes, including at least four aircraft carriers. Additionally, several issues have arisen regarding the LPD-17 class of amphibious transport dock ships. These issues were severe enough to cause the USS San Antonio to miss a scheduled deployment.

The Committee directs the Comptroller General to review the Navy's process for quality assurance in shipbuilding. This review should identify the extent to which quality assurance processes identified known quality problems, including an examination of what analyses the Navy has performed and what actions have been taken to address identified problems. The review should also examine the extent to which the American Bureau of Shipbuilding plays a role in quality assurance in Navy shipbuilding and how this role complements or duplicates reviews conducted by Navy Supervisor of Shipbuilding and Conversion personnel. As part of this analysis, a comparison should be made between the Navy, commercial shipbuilders, and commercial ship buyers' approaches to quality assurance. The results of this review should be provided to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$5,804,963,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	6,285,451,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,996,459,000
Change from budget request .....	-288,992,000

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement of major equipment and weapons other than ships, aircraft, missiles, and torpedoes. Such equipment ranges from the latest electronic sensors for updates of naval forces, to trucks, training equipment, and spare parts.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY						
SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP PROPULSION EQUIPMENT						
LM-2500 GAS TURBINE.....	---	13,794	---	13,794	---	---
ALLISON 501K GAS TURBINE.....	---	8,643	---	8,643	---	---
NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT						
OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT.....	---	22,982	---	20,582	---	-2,400
PERISCOPES						
SUB PERISCOPES & IMAGING EQUIP.....	---	60,860	---	57,033	---	-3,827
OTHER SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT						
DDG MOD.....	---	119,522	---	117,522	---	-2,000
FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT.....	---	17,637	---	14,935	---	-2,702
COMMAND AND CONTROL SWITCHBOARD.....	---	3,049	---	3,049	---	---
POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT.....	---	22,266	---	22,266	---	---
SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	15,892	---	14,122	---	-1,770
VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	100,693	---	93,487	---	-7,206
SUBMARINE BATTERIES.....	---	42,296	---	42,296	---	---
STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP.....	---	25,228	---	25,228	---	---
DSSP EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,600	---	2,600	---	---
CG-MODERNIZATION.....	---	590,349	---	566,942	---	-23,407
UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS.....	---	18,499	---	17,499	---	-1,000
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	113,809	---	93,401	---	-20,408
CHEMICAL WARFARE DETECTORS.....	---	5,508	---	5,508	---	---
SUBMARINE LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	---	13,397	---	13,397	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM QTY	REQUEST AMOUNT
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT		
-----						
REACTOR PLANT EQUIPMENT						
REACTOR POWER UNITS.....	---	436,838	---	436,838	---	---
REACTOR COMPONENTS.....	---	271,600	---	271,600	---	---
OCEAN ENGINEERING						
DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT.....	---	11,244	---	9,644	---	-1,600
SMALL BOATS						
STANDARD BOATS.....	---	39,793	---	33,653	---	-6,140
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
OTHER SHIPS TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	29,913	---	29,913	---	---
PRODUCTION FACILITIES EQUIPMENT						
OPERATING FORCES IPE.....	---	54,642	---	53,642	---	-1,000
OTHER SHIP SUPPORT						
NUCLEAR ALTERATIONS.....	---	144,175	---	144,175	---	---
LCS MODULES.....	---	79,583	---	72,868	---	-6,715
LOGISTICS SUPPORT						
LSD MIDLIFE.....	---	143,483	---	121,783	---	-21,700
TOTAL, SHIPS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		2,408,295		2,306,420		-101,875
-----						
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
SHIP RADARS						
RADAR SUPPORT.....	---	18,818	---	10,618	---	-8,200
SHIP SONARS						
SPQ-9B RADAR.....	---	24,613	---	5,482	---	-19,131
AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM.....	---	73,829	---	63,118	---	-10,711
SSN ACOUSTICS.....	---	212,913	---	212,913	---	---
UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	29,686	---	22,186	---	-7,500
SONAR SWITCHES AND TRANSDUCERS.....	---	13,537	---	13,537	---	---
ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC.....	---	18,141	---	15,541	---	-2,600
ASW ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE SYSTEM.....	---	20,554	---	20,554	---	---
SSTD.....	---	2,257	---	1,257	---	-1,000
FIXED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	---	60,141	---	60,141	---	---
SURTASS.....	---	29,247	---	25,547	---	-3,700
TACTICAL SUPPORT CENTER.....	---	13,453	---	13,453	---	---
ELECTRONIC WARFARE EQUIPMENT						
AN/SLQ-32.....	---	43,096	---	43,096	---	---
RECONNAISSANCE EQUIPMENT						
SHIPBOARD IW EXPLOIT.....	---	103,645	---	103,645	---	---
AUTOMATED IDENTIFICATION SYSTEM (AIS).....	---	1,364	---	1,364	---	---
SUBMARINE SURVEILLANCE EQUIPMENT						
SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG.....	---	100,793	---	89,241	---	-11,552

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER SHIP ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY.....	---	23,332	---	20,332	---	-3,000
TRUSTED INFORMATION SYSTEM (TIS).....	---	426	---	426	---	---
NAVAL TACTICAL COMMAND SUPPORT SYSTEM (NTCSS).....	---	33,017	---	33,017	---	---
ATDLS.....	---	942	---	942	---	---
NAVY COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (NCCS).....	---	7,896	---	7,896	---	---
MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT.....	---	27,868	---	26,868	---	-1,000
SHALLOW WATER MCM.....	---	1,048	---	1,048	---	---
NAVSTAR GPS RECEIVERS (SPACE).....	---	9,926	---	9,926	---	---
ARMED FORCES RADIO AND TV.....	---	4,370	---	4,370	---	---
STRATEGIC PLATFORM SUPPORT EQUIP.....	---	4,143	---	4,143	---	---
TRAINING EQUIPMENT						
OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	45,989	---	35,189	---	-10,800
AVIATION ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
MATCAL.....	---	8,136	---	13,368	---	+5,232
SHIPBOARD AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	---	7,394	---	7,394	---	---
AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM.....	---	18,518	---	17,018	---	-1,500
NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM.....	---	26,054	---	24,581	---	-1,473
AIR STATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	7,213	---	7,213	---	---
MICROWAVE LANDING SYSTEM.....	---	7,138	---	7,138	---	---
ID SYSTEMS.....	---	33,170	---	29,920	---	-3,250
TAC A/C MISSION PLANNING SYS(TAMPS).....	---	8,941	---	8,941	---	---
OTHER SHORE ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT						
DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONT.....	---	8,994	---	8,994	---	---
TADIX-B.....	---	13,529	---	13,529	---	---
GCCS-M EQUIPMENT TACTICAL/MOBILE.....	---	12,776	---	12,776	---	---
DCGS-N.....	---	11,201	---	11,201	---	---
CANES.....	---	195,141	---	185,688	---	-9,453
RADIAC.....	---	6,201	---	6,201	---	---
CANES-INTELL.....	---	75,084	---	72,313	---	-2,771
GPETE.....	---	6,010	---	6,010	---	---
INTEG COMBAT SYSTEM TEST FACILITY.....	---	4,441	---	4,441	---	---
EMI CONTROL INSTRUMENTATION.....	---	4,741	---	4,741	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	51,716	---	42,416	---	-9,300
SHIPBOARD COMMUNICATIONS						
SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	26,197	---	1,494	---	-24,703
SHIP COMMUNICATIONS AUTOMATION.....	---	177,510	---	177,510	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	
MARITIME DOMAIN AWARENESS (MDA).....	---	24,022	---	24,022	---
COMMUNICATIONS ITEMS UNDER \$5M.....	---	33,644	---	33,644	---
SUBMARINE COMMUNICATIONS					
SUBMARINE BROADCAST SUPPORT.....	---	10,357	---	10,357	---
SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT.....	---	75,447	---	74,047	-1,400
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS					
SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	---	25,522	---	25,522	---
NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT).....	---	109,022	---	107,242	-1,780
SHORE COMMUNICATIONS					
JCS COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,186	---	2,186	---
ELECTRICAL POWER SYSTEMS.....	---	1,329	---	1,329	---
NAVAL SHORE COMMUNICATIONS.....	---	2,418	---	2,418	---
CRYPTOLOGIC EQUIPMENT					
INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP).....	---	119,857	---	111,456	-8,401
CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT					
CRYPTOLOGIC COMMUNICATIONS EQUIP.....	---	14,820	---	14,820	---
OTHER ELECTRONIC SUPPORT					
COAST GUARD EQUIPMENT.....	---	6,848	---	6,848	---
OTHER DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT.....	---	2,290	---	2,290	---
DRUG INTERDICTION SUPPORT					
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.....		2,062,911		1,924,918	-137,993
AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
SONOBUOYS					
SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES.....	---	96,314	---	94,814	-1,500
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT					
WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	40,697	---	37,697	-3,000
EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS.....	---	8,561	---	8,561	---
AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT.....	---	8,941	---	5,587	-3,354
AIRCRAFT LAUNCH & RECOVERY EQUIPMENT.....	---	19,777	---	19,777	---
METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	22,003	---	19,478	-2,525
OTHER PHOTOGRAPHIC EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,595	---	1,595	---
AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT.....	---	66,031	---	60,919	-5,112
AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	49,668	---	22,765	-26,903
LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT.....	---	18,471	---	10,682	-7,789
PORTABLE ELECTRONIC MAINTENANCE AIDS.....	---	7,875	---	7,875	---
OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	12,553	---	12,553	---
TOTAL, AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		352,486		302,303	-50,183

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SHIP GUN SYSTEM EQUIPMENT						
NAVAL FIRES CONTROL SYSTEM.....	---	2,049	---	2,049	---	---
GUN FIRE CONTROL EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,488	---	4,488	---	---
SHIP MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIPMENT						
NATO SEASPARROW.....	---	8,926	---	8,926	---	---
RAM GMLS.....	---	4,321	---	3,128	---	-1,193
SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM.....	---	60,700	---	54,324	---	-6,376
AEGIS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	43,148	---	43,148	---	---
TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	72,861	---	70,261	---	-2,600
VERTICAL LAUNCH SYSTEMS.....	---	732	---	732	---	---
MARITIME INTEGRATED PLANNING SYSTEM-MIPS.....	---	4,823	---	4,823	---	---
FBM SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
STRATEGIC MISSILE SYSTEMS EQUIP.....	---	187,807	---	187,807	---	---
ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	---	81,596	---	89,096	---	+7,500
SUBMARINE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,241	---	5,241	---	---
SURFACE ASW SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,816	---	5,816	---	---
ASW RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	7,842	---	7,842	---	---
OTHER ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP.....	---	98,847	---	96,947	---	-1,900
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	4,073	---	4,073	---	---
OTHER EXPENDABLE ORDNANCE						
ANTI-SHIP MISSILE DECOY SYSTEM.....	---	32,716	---	32,716	---	---
SURFACE TRAINING DEVICE MODS.....	---	5,814	---	5,814	---	---
SUBMARINE TRAINING DEVICE MODS.....	---	36,777	---	36,777	---	---
TOTAL, ORDNANCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		668,577		664,008		-4,569
CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES.....	---	6,271	---	6,271	---	---
GENERAL PURPOSE TRUCKS.....	---	3,202	---	3,202	---	---
CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP.....	---	9,850	---	9,850	---	---
FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT.....	---	14,315	---	14,315	---	---
TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	---	16,502	---	16,502	---	---
AMPHIBIOUS EQUIPMENT.....	---	3,235	---	3,235	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT.....	---	7,175	---	7,175	---	---
ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION.....	---	20,727	---	20,727	---	---
PHYSICAL SECURITY VEHICLES.....	---	1,142	---	1,142	---	---
TOTAL, CIVIL ENGINEERING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		82,419		82,419		---
SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT.....	---	14,972	---	14,972	---	---
OTHER SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	4,453	---	4,453	---	---
FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	6,416	---	6,416	---	---
SPECIAL PURPOSE SUPPLY SYSTEMS.....	---	51,894	---	51,894	---	---
TOTAL, SUPPLY SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....		77,735		77,735		---
PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
TRAINING DEVICES						
TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,353	---	16,353	---	---
COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	28,693	---	27,321	---	-1,372
EDUCATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,197	---	2,197	---	---
MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	7,175	---	14,175	---	+7,000
NAVAL MIP SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,457	---	1,457	---	---
OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	15,330	---	15,330	---	---
C4ISR EQUIPMENT.....	---	136	---	136	---	---
ENVIRONMENTAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	18,639	---	18,639	---	---
PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	177,240	---	177,240	---	---
ENTERPRISE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	---	143,022	---	143,022	---	---
TOTAL, PERSONNEL AND COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT..		410,242		415,870		+5,628
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	208,384	---	208,384	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	14,402	---	14,402	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY.....		6,285,451		5,996,459		-288,992
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3	<b>OTHER NAVIGATION EQUIPMENT</b>	22,982	20,582	-2,400
	ECDis-N installation funding carryover		-1,000	
	Support funding carryover		-1,400	
4	<b>SUB PERISCOPES &amp; IMAGING EQUIP</b>	60,860	57,033	-3,827
	ISIS capability insertion procurement ahead of need		-3,827	
5	<b>DDG MOD</b>	119,522	117,522	-2,000
	Engineering services carryover		-2,000	
6	<b>FIREFIGHTING EQUIPMENT</b>	17,637	14,935	-2,702
	Emergency escape breathing device cost growth		-1,040	
	Self contained breathing apparatus cost growth		-1,662	
9	<b>SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	15,892	14,122	-1,770
	SSTG governor procurement ahead of need		-1,770	
10	<b>VIRGINIA CLASS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	100,693	93,487	-7,206
	ISEA labs growth		-2,100	
	SCS modernization backfit funding ahead of need		-2,106	
	Technology insertion/technology refresh growth		-3,000	
14	<b>CG-MODERNIZATION</b>	590,349	566,942	-23,407
	ISC equipment procurement ahead of need		-6,407	
	Shore site upgrade growth		-11,000	
	Engineering services carryover		-6,000	
16	<b>UNDERWATER EOD PROGRAMS</b>	18,499	17,499	-1,000
	Support funding carryover		-1,000	
17	<b>ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION</b>	113,809	93,401	-20,408
	Machalts growth		-2,700	
	Voltage regulator procurement ahead of need		-3,480	
	LCS impellers/impeller assembly procurement ahead of need		-10,859	
	AS-39 modernization travelling crane funding previously appropriated		-3,369	
22	<b>DIVING AND SALVAGE EQUIPMENT</b>	11,244	9,644	-1,600
	Outfitting equipment package cost growth		-1,600	
23	<b>STANDARD BOATS</b>	39,793	33,653	-6,140
	Medium sized force protection boats cost growth		-2,000	
	7M RIB contract delay		-4,140	
25	<b>OPERATING FORCES IPE</b>	54,642	53,642	-1,000
	Defueling complex design		-1,000	
27	<b>LCS MODULES</b>	79,583	72,868	-6,715
	Engineering change proposal growth		-6,715	
28	<b>LSD MIDLIFE</b>	143,483	121,783	-21,700
	Air conditioner plant upgrades installation ahead of need		-4,100	
	RO desalinator units installation funding ahead of need		-13,500	
	Steering control upgrade installation funding ahead of need		-4,100	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
29	<b>RADAR SUPPORT</b>	18,818	10,618	-8,200
	Excess ECO funding		-1,800	
	Radar procurement ahead of need		-6,400	
30	<b>SPQ-9B RADAR</b>	24,613	5,482	-19,131
	Radar procurement ahead of need		-19,131	
31	<b>AN/SQQ-89 SURF ASW COMBAT SYSTEM</b>	73,829	63,118	-10,711
	Sonar upgrade cost growth		-1,372	
	Sonar upgrade procurement ahead of need		-9,339	
33	<b>UNDERSEA WARFARE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	29,686	22,186	-7,500
	Data link modification installation funding ahead of need		-3,500	
	Mission integration installation funding ahead of need		-4,000	
35	<b>ELECTRONIC WARFARE MILDEC</b>	18,141	15,541	-2,600
	ICADS cost growth		-2,600	
37	<b>SSTD</b>	2,257	1,257	-1,000
	Excess support funding		-1,000	
39	<b>SURTASS</b>	29,247	25,547	-3,700
	ICP installation funding ahead of need		-1,500	
	ICP procurement ahead of need		-2,200	
44	<b>SUBMARINE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT PROG</b>	100,793	89,241	-11,552
	Support funding carryover		-2,000	
	ICADF antenna installation delay		-7,286	
	Tech and capability insertion procurement ahead of need		-2,266	
45	<b>COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT CAPABILITY</b>	23,332	20,332	-3,000
	Signal data processor backfit kit procurement ahead of need		-3,000	
50	<b>MINESWEEPING SYSTEM REPLACEMENT</b>	27,868	26,868	-1,000
	Magnetic silencing facility production support growth		-1,000	
55	<b>OTHER TRAINING EQUIPMENT</b>	45,989	35,189	-10,800
	COTS obsolescence excessive growth		-10,800	
56	<b>MATCALs</b>	8,136	13,368	5,232
	Support funding carryover		-2,000	
	Radar upgrade transfer from title IX		7,232	
58	<b>AUTOMATIC CARRIER LANDING SYSTEM</b>	18,518	17,018	-1,500
	ECO growth		-1,500	
59	<b>NATIONAL AIR SPACE SYSTEM</b>	26,054	24,581	-1,473
	Digital Airport Surveillance Radar cost growth		-1,473	
62	<b>ID SYSTEMS</b>	33,170	29,920	-3,250
	IFF upgrade kit procurement ahead of need		-3,250	
68	<b>CANES</b>	195,141	185,688	-9,453
	Installation ahead of need		-7,153	
	Support funding carryover		-2,300	
70	<b>CANES-INTELL</b>	75,084	72,313	-2,771
	Installation ahead of need		-2,771	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
74	<b>ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION</b>	51,716	42,416	-9,300
	SPS-48 radar cost growth		-2,500	
	SPS-48 radar upgrade procurement ahead of need		-6,800	
75	<b>SHIPBOARD TACTICAL COMMUNICATIONS</b>	26,197	1,494	-24,703
	Fixed station JTRS suite procurement ahead of need		-24,703	
80	<b>SUBMARINE COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT</b>	75,447	74,047	-1,400
	Support funding carryover		-1,400	
82	<b>NAVY MULTIBAND TERMINAL (NMT)</b>	109,022	107,242	-1,780
	Submarine terminal cost growth		-1,780	
86	<b>INFO SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)</b>	119,857	111,456	-8,401
	Computer network defense upgrade kit cost growth		-2,538	
	Excess installation funding		-3,789	
	125 KMI kit procurement ahead of need		-2,074	
90	<b>SONOBUOYS - ALL TYPES</b>	96,314	94,814	-1,500
	AN/SSQ-110 cost growth		-1,500	
91	<b>WEAPONS RANGE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	40,697	37,697	-3,000
	Threat presentation program growth		-3,000	
93	<b>AIRCRAFT REARMING EQUIPMENT</b>	8,941	5,587	-3,354
	Munitions trailer contract delay		-2,354	
	Ordnance trailer contract delay		-1,000	
95	<b>METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT</b>	22,003	19,478	-2,525
	Meteorological Mobile Facility (Replacement) Next Generation contract delay		-2,525	
97	<b>AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT</b>	66,031	60,919	-5,112
	Flight deck cranial cost growth		-5,112	
98	<b>AIRBORNE MINE COUNTERMEASURES</b>	49,668	22,765	-26,903
	MK-105 sweep system procurement ahead of need		-20,000	
	AQS-20A contract delay		-6,903	
99	<b>LAMPS MK III SHIPBOARD EQUIPMENT</b>	18,471	10,682	-7,789
	Modification kit procurement ahead of need		-7,789	
105	<b>RAM GMLS</b>	4,321	3,128	-1,193
	Installation funding ahead of need		-1,193	
106	<b>SHIP SELF DEFENSE SYSTEM</b>	60,700	54,324	-6,376
	SSDS modification kit procurement ahead of need		-6,376	
108	<b>TOMAHAWK SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	72,861	70,261	-2,600
	Support funding carryover		-2,600	
112	<b>SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS</b>	81,596	89,096	7,500
	Naval Intelligence Fusion Tool transfer from title IX		7,500	
116	<b>EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP</b>	98,847	96,947	-1,900
	Product improvement funding growth		-1,900	

<b>P-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>135 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>28,693</b>	<b>27,321</b>	<b>-1,372</b>
US Fleet Forces equipment growth		-1,372	
<b>137 MEDICAL SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>7,175</b>	<b>14,175</b>	<b>7,000</b>
Medical and dental outfitting kit cost growth		-3,000	
Improved T-AH tender boats		10,000	

PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,236,436,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,391,602,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,453,602,000
Change from budget request .....	62,000,000

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement, production, and modification of equipment, supplies, materials, and spare parts.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS						
WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES						
TRACKED COMBAT VEHICLES						
AAV7A1 PIP.....	---	9,894	---	9,894	---	---
LAV PIP.....	---	147,051	---	147,051	---	---
ARTILLERY AND OTHER WEAPONS						
EXPEDITIONARY FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....						
	7	11,961	7	11,961	---	---
155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER.....	---	5,552	---	5,552	---	---
HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM.....	---	14,695	---	14,695	---	---
WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 MILLION.....	---	14,868	---	14,868	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
MODIFICATION KITS.....						
	---	53,932	---	53,932	---	---
WEAPONS ENHANCEMENT PROGRAM.....	---	13,795	---	13,795	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, WEAPONS AND COMBAT VEHICLES.....		271,748		271,748		---
GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT						
GUIDED MISSILES						
GROUND BASED AIR DEFENSE.....						
	---	12,287	---	12,287	---	---
FOLLOW ON TO SMAW.....	---	46,563	---	46,563	---	---
ANTI-ARMOR WEAPONS SYSTEM-HEAVY (AAWS-H).....	---	19,606	---	19,606	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
MODIFICATION KITS.....						
	---	4,140	---	4,140	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, GUIDED MISSILES AND EQUIPMENT.....		82,596		82,596		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT						
COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEMS						
COMBAT OPERATIONS CENTER.....	---	16,755	---	16,755	---	---
REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT						
REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT.....	---	24,071	---	24,071	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT (TEL)						
COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	---	25,461	---	25,461	---	---
COMMAND AND CONTROL						
ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC).....	---	5,926	---	5,926	---	---
AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS.....	---	44,152	---	44,152	---	---
RADAR + EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
RADAR SYSTEMS.....	---	40,352	---	40,352	---	---
INTELL/COMM EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	---	8,793	---	8,793	---	---
INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	64,276	---	64,276	---	---
RQ-11 UAV.....	---	2,104	---	2,104	---	---
DCGS-MC.....	---	10,789	---	10,789	---	---
OTHER COMM/ELEC EQUIPMENT (NON-TEL)						
NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT.....	---	6,847	---	6,847	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT (NON-TEL)						
COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES.....	---	218,869	---	218,869	---	---
COMMAND POST SYSTEMS.....	---	84,856	---	84,856	---	---
RADIO SYSTEMS.....	---	89,479	---	134,479	---	+45,000
COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	---	16,598	---	16,598	---	---
COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT.....	---	47,505	---	47,505	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	1,606	---	1,606	---	---
TOTAL, COMMUNICATIONS AND ELECTRONICS EQUIPMENT.		708,439		753,439		+45,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SUPPORT VEHICLES						
ADMINISTRATIVE VEHICLES						
COMMERCIAL PASSENGER VEHICLES.....	---	894	---	894	---	---
COMMERCIAL CARGO VEHICLES.....	---	14,231	---	14,231	---	---
TACTICAL VEHICLES						
MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS.....	---	8,389	---	25,389	---	+17,000
MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT.....	12	5,833	12	5,833	---	---
LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP.....	---	972	---	972	---	---
FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS.....	---	21,848	---	21,848	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	4,503	---	4,503	---	---
TOTAL, SUPPORT VEHICLES.....		56,670		73,670		+17,000
ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT						
ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT.....	---	2,599	---	2,599	---	---
BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,255	---	16,255	---	---
TACTICAL FUEL SYSTEMS.....	---	26,853	---	26,853	---	---
POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED.....	---	27,247	---	27,247	---	---
AMPHIBIOUS SUPPORT EQUIPMENT.....	---	5,533	---	5,533	---	---
EOD SYSTEMS.....	---	61,753	---	61,753	---	---
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,627	---	16,627	---	---
GARRISON MOBILE ENGR EQUIP.....	---	10,827	---	10,827	---	---
MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP.....	---	37,055	---	37,055	---	---
FIRST DESTINATION TRANSPORTATION.....	---	1,462	---	1,462	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
GENERAL PROPERTY						
FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	24,079	---	24,079	---	---
TRAINING DEVICES.....	---	10,277	---	10,277	---	---
CONTAINER FAMILY.....	---	3,123	---	3,123	---	---
FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT.....	---	18,137	---	18,137	---	---
RAPID DEPLOYABLE KITCHEN.....	---	5,026	---	5,026	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION.....	---	5,206	---	5,206	---	---
TOTAL, ENGINEER AND OTHER EQUIPMENT.....		272,059		272,059		---
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	90	---	90	---	---
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS.....		1,391,602		1,453,602		+62,000

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>31 RADIO SYSTEMS</b>	<b>89,479</b>	<b>134,479</b>	<b>45,000</b>
Enterprise - Land Mobile Radios infrastructure		45,000	
<b>37 MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS</b>	<b>8,389</b>	<b>25,389</b>	<b>17,000</b>
Secondary Fire Suppression Systems		17,000	

## AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$13,483,739,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	14,082,527,000
Committee recommendation .....	13,987,613,000
Change from budget request .....	- 94,914,000

This appropriation provides for the procurement of aircraft, and for modification of in-service aircraft to improve safety and enhance operational effectiveness. It also provides for initial spares and other support equipment to include aerospace ground equipment and industrial facilities. In addition, funds are provided for the procurement of flight training simulators to increase combat readiness and to provide for more economical training.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
COMBAT AIRCRAFT						
TACTICAL FORCES						
F-35.....	19	3,340,615	19	3,340,615	---	---
F-35 (AP-CY).....	---	323,477	---	323,477	---	---
F-22A.....	---	104,118	---	104,118	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, COMBAT AIRCRAFT.....		3,768,210		3,768,210		---
AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT						
TACTICAL AIRLIFT						
C-17A (MYP).....	---	---	1	225,000	+1	+225,000
OTHER AIRLIFT						
C-130J.....	1	72,879	1	72,879	---	---
HC-130J.....	3	332,899	3	332,899	---	---
MC-130J.....	6	582,466	6	582,466	---	---
JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT.....	9	479,896	9	479,896	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT.....		1,468,140		1,693,140		+225,000
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
TRAINER AIRCRAFT						
USAFA POWERED FLIGHT PROGRAM.....	---	1,060	---	1,060	---	---
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
HELICOPTERS						
COMV VERT LIFT SPT PLATFORM (UH-1N).....	2	52,800	2	52,800	---	---
V-22 OSPREY.....	5	339,865	5	339,865	---	---
V-22 OSPREY (AP-CY).....	---	20,000	---	11,000	---	-9,000
MISSION SUPPORT AIRCRAFT						
CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C.....						
CIVIL AIR PATROL A/C.....	---	2,190	---	2,190	---	---
HH-60M.....	3	104,711	3	104,711	---	---
LIGHT ATTACK ARMED RECON AIRCRAFT.....	9	158,549	9	158,549	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
<b>OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>						
TARGET DRONES.....	---	64,268	---	49,268	---	-15,000
C-37A.....	3	77,842	3	77,842	---	---
RQ-4 UAV.....	3	323,964	3	323,964	---	---
RQ-4 UAV (AP-CY).....	---	71,500	---	71,500	---	---
MC 130 IN BA 04.....	1	108,470	1	108,470	---	---
MQ-9.....	48	813,092	48	699,012	---	-114,080
<b>TOTAL, OTHER AIRCRAFT.....</b>		<b>2,137,251</b>		<b>1,999,171</b>		<b>-138,080</b>
<b>MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT</b>						
<b>STRATEGIC AIRCRAFT</b>						
B-2A.....	---	41,315	---	31,015	---	-10,300
B-1B.....	---	198,007	---	198,007	---	---
B-52.....	---	93,897	---	93,897	---	---
<b>TACTICAL AIRCRAFT</b>						
A-10.....	---	153,128	---	195,628	---	+42,500
F-15.....	---	222,386	---	208,386	---	-14,000
F-16.....	---	73,346	---	56,746	---	-16,600
F-22A.....	---	232,032	---	232,032	---	---
<b>AIRLIFT AIRCRAFT</b>						
C-5.....	---	11,741	---	11,741	---	---
C-5M.....	---	851,859	---	851,859	---	---
C-5M (AP-CY).....	---	112,200	---	112,200	---	---
C-9C.....	---	9	---	9	---	---
C-17A.....	---	202,179	---	202,179	---	---
C-21.....	---	328	---	328	---	---
C-32A.....	---	12,157	---	1,757	---	-10,400
C-37A.....	---	21,986	---	486	---	-21,500
C-130 AMP.....	---	235,635	---	192,435	---	-43,200
<b>TRAINER AIRCRAFT</b>						
<b>GLIDER MODS.....</b>						
T6.....	---	15,086	---	15,086	---	---
T-1.....	---	238	---	238	---	---
T-38.....	---	31,032	---	31,032	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER AIRCRAFT						
KC-10A (ATCA)	---	27,220	---	9,820	---	-17,400
C-12	---	1,777	---	1,777	---	---
MC-12W	---	16,767	---	16,767	---	---
C-20 MODS	---	241	---	241	---	---
VC-25A MOD	---	387	---	387	---	---
C-40	---	206	---	206	---	---
C-130	---	45,876	---	56,276	---	+10,400
C-130 MODS INTEL	---	3,593	---	3,593	---	---
C130J MODS	---	38,174	---	38,174	---	---
C-135	---	62,210	---	62,210	---	---
COMPASS CALL MODS	---	256,624	---	290,324	---	+33,700
RC-135	---	162,211	---	162,211	---	---
E-3	---	135,031	---	135,031	---	---
E-4	---	57,829	---	57,829	---	---
E-8	---	29,058	---	26,058	---	-3,000
H-1	---	5,280	---	5,280	---	---
H-60	---	34,371	---	58,971	---	+24,600
RQ-4 UAV MODS	---	89,177	---	89,177	---	---
HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS	---	431	---	431	---	---
OTHER AIRCRAFT	---	115,338	---	115,338	---	---
MQ-1 MODS	---	158,446	---	158,446	---	---
MQ-9 MODS	---	181,302	---	149,744	---	-31,558
MQ-9 PAYLOAD - UAS	---	74,866	---	74,866	---	---
CV-22 MODS	---	14,715	---	14,715	---	---
OTHER MODIFICATIONS						
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE AIRCRAFT		4,019,814		3,963,056		-56,758
AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	---	1,030,364	---	927,364	---	-103,000
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS		1,030,364		927,364		-103,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES						
COMMON SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIP.....	---	92,394	---	90,318	---	-2,076
POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT						
B-1.....	---	4,743	---	4,743	---	---
B-2A.....	---	101	---	101	---	---
B-2A.....	---	49,319	---	49,319	---	---
C-5.....	---	521	---	521	---	---
KC-10A (ATCA).....	---	5,691	---	5,691	---	---
C-17A.....	---	183,696	---	183,696	---	---
C-130.....	---	25,646	---	25,646	---	---
C-135.....	---	2,434	---	2,434	---	---
F-15 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT.....	---	2,076	---	2,076	---	---
F-16 POST PRODUCTION SUPPORT.....	---	4,537	---	4,537	---	---
OTHER AIRCRAFT.....	---	40,025	---	20,025	---	-20,000
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....						
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	---	21,050	---	21,050	---	---
WAR CONSUMABLES						
WAR CONSUMABLES.....	---	87,220	---	87,220	---	---
OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES						
OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES.....	---	1,072,858	---	1,072,858	---	---
DARP						
DARP.....	---	48,875	---	48,875	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT AND FACILITIES		1,641,186		1,619,110		-22,076
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	16,502	---	16,502	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		14,082,527		13,987,613		-94,914
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
4 C-17A (MYP) Operational loss replacement of one aircraft	0	225,000 225,000	225,000
20 V-22 OSPREY (AP-CY) Unjustified increase	20,000	11,000 -9,000	-9,000
29 TARGET DRONES Slow execution	64,268	49,268 -15,000	-15,000
34 MQ-9 Block 5 to Block 1 adjustment ASIP-2C sensors early to need	813,092	699,012 -84,600 -29,480	-114,080
35 B-2A Program reduction - excess to need	41,315	31,015 -10,300	-10,300
38 A-10 PATS-70 maintenance testers and spares	153,128	195,628 42,500	42,500
39 F-15 Mode 5/IFF early to need	222,386	208,386 -14,000	-14,000
40 F-16 Mode 5/IFF early to need	73,346	56,746 -16,600	-16,600
50 C-32A Comm Mod - Air Force requested transfer to RDTE	12,157	1,757 -10,400	-10,400
51 C-37A Comm Mod - Air Force requested transfer to RDTE	21,986	486 -21,500	-21,500
52 C-130 AMP Program reduction - kits early to need Install kits early to need	235,635	192,435 -19,600 -23,600	-43,200
57 KC-10A (ATCA) CNS/ATM early to need	27,220	9,820 -17,400	-17,400
63 C-130 Air Force requested transfers from RDTE: HC-130 T-1 modification integration HC-130 Loadmaster crashworthy seats HC-130 Low cost modifications	45,876	56,276 2,500 6,000 1,900	10,400
67 COMPASS CALL MODS Avionics modernization phase I	256,624	290,324 33,700	33,700
71 E-8 (JSTARS) PME - DMS not required	29,058	26,058 -3,000	-3,000

<b>P-1</b>	<b>Budget Request</b>	<b>Committee Recommended</b>	<b>Change from Request</b>
<b>73 H-60</b>	<b>34,371</b>	<b>58,971</b>	<b>24,600</b>
Air Force requested transfers from RDTE:			
H-60 Support Stand		2,000	
HH-60G SATCOM antenna		1,800	
HH-60G Obsolete Equipment Replacement		15,800	
HH-60G Cockpit reconfiguration/re-wiring		5,000	
<b>78 MQ-9 MODS</b>	<b>181,302</b>	<b>149,744</b>	<b>-31,558</b>
Block 5 fielding early to need		-31,558	
<b>81 INITIAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS</b>	<b>1,030,364</b>	<b>927,364</b>	<b>-103,000</b>
General reduction for low execution rate		-103,000	
<b>82 AIRCRAFT REPLACEMENT SUPPORT EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>92,394</b>	<b>90,318</b>	<b>-2,076</b>
F-15 ESTS contract delay		-2,076	
<b>97 OTHER AIRCRAFT</b>	<b>40,025</b>	<b>20,025</b>	<b>-20,000</b>
F-16 Block 40/50 MTC location TBD		-20,000	

## MQ-9 REAPER UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE

The Air Force requested \$813,092,000 for the procurement of 48 MQ-9 Reaper unmanned aerial vehicles and associated equipment and \$181,302,000 for MQ-9 modifications, in furtherance of the Secretary of Defense's goal to achieve 65 MQ-class combat air patrols (CAP) in theater by the end of fiscal year 2013. The Committee's recommendation supports the request with the following adjustments. First, the Air Force's funding request assumed a transition to the Block 5 configuration with a high-definition upgrade and increased power generation. The Committee finds that Block 5 initial operational testing and evaluation will be delayed from fiscal year 2013 to 2014, with a resultant slip in the full rate production decision. Given the present stage of Block 5 development and the fact that Block 1 aircraft will satisfy the Secretary of Defense's CAP goal, the Committee has adjusted the request by \$84,600,000 to the Block 1 estimated pricing. Second, the Committee has reduced funding by \$29,480,000 for procurement of ASIP-2C sensors, due to an unrealistically compressed and concurrent schedule that envisions proceeding from a Milestone B decision (delayed from fiscal year 2011) through a Milestone C and Low Rate Initial Production decision within fiscal year 2012, even as flight testing continues into fiscal year 2013. Third, the Committee has eliminated \$31,558,000 for Block 5 field modification funds from the MQ-9 modifications line as early to need. These adjustments will allow Block 5 development to proceed while ensuring that the CAP objective is met to support operations in theater.

## INITIAL SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS

The Committee notes the Air Force's slow execution of funds for initial spares and repair parts. Recent information indicates that the present obligation rate for fiscal year 2010 funds is below sixty percent, even though the current fiscal year 2010 funding level of approximately \$413,000,000 is far below the fiscal year 2012 request of \$1,030,364,000, indicating that a substantial portion of this program is being funded ahead of need. The Committee's recommendation therefore reduces the funding for initial spares and repair parts by approximately ten percent, or \$103,000,000, to account for this low execution rate. The Committee directs the Air Force to apply this reduction as necessary to individual systems.

## MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$5,424,764,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	6,074,017,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,689,998,000
Change from budget request .....	-384,019,000

This appropriation provides for procurement, installation, and checkout of strategic ballistic and other missiles, modification of in-service missiles, and initial spares for missile systems. It also provides for operational space systems, boosters, payloads, drones, associated ground equipment, non-recurring maintenance of industrial facilities, machine tool modernization, and special program support.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
BALLISTIC MISSILES						
MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQUIPMENT - BALLISTIC						
MISSILE REPLACEMENT EQ-BALLISTIC.....	---	67.745	---	67.745	---	---
OTHER MISSILES						
TACTICAL						
JASSM.....	142	236,193	142	195,193	---	-41,000
SIDEWINDER (AIM-9X).....	240	88,769	240	88,769	---	---
AMRAAM.....	218	309,561	---	47,319	-218	-262,242
PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE.....	416	46,830	416	46,830	---	---
SMALL DIAMETER BOMB.....	---	7,523	---	7,523	---	---
INDUSTRIAL FACILITIES						
INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS/POLLUTION PREVENTION.....						
---	---	726	---	726	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, OTHER MISSILES.....		689,602		386,360		-303,242
MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES						
CLASS IV						
ADVANCED CRUISE MISSILE.....						
---	---	39	---	39	---	---
MM III MODIFICATIONS.....						
---	---	125,953	---	125,953	---	---
AGM-65D MAVERICK.....						
---	---	266	---	266	---	---
AGM-88A HARM.....						
---	---	25,642	---	25,642	---	---
AIR LAUNCH CRUISE MISSILE.....						
---	---	14,987	---	14,987	---	---
-----						
TOTAL, MODIFICATION OF INSERVICE MISSILES.....		166,887		166,887		---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS						
INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS.....	---	43,241	---	43,241	---	---
OTHER SUPPORT						
SPACE PROGRAMS						
ADVANCED EHF.....	2	552,833	2	552,833	---	---
WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SATELLITES.....	1	468,745	2	803,745	+1	+335,000
GPS III SPACE SEGMENT.....	2	433,526	2	433,526	---	---
GPS III SPACE SEGMENT (AP-CY).....	---	81,811	---	81,811	---	---
SPACEBORNE EQUIP (COMSEC).....	---	21,568	---	21,568	---	---
GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE).....	---	67,689	---	107,689	---	+40,000
DEF METEOROLOGICAL SAT PROG (SPACE).....	---	101,397	---	101,397	---	---
EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEH (SPACE).....	4	1,740,222	4	1,566,200	---	-174,022
SBIR HIGH (SPACE).....	---	81,389	---	81,389	---	---
SBIR HIGH (SPACE) (AP-CY).....	---	243,500	---	243,500	---	---
SPECIAL PROGRAMS						
SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAMS.....	---	154,727	---	154,727	---	---
TOTAL, OTHER SUPPORT.....		3,947,407		4,148,385		+200,978
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	1,159,135	---	877,380	---	-281,755
TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		6,074,017		5,689,998		-384,019

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
2 JASSM Projected fiscal year 2011 carryover	236,193	195,193 -41,000	-41,000
4 AMRAAM Production delays	309,561	47,319 -262,242	-262,242
16 WIDEBAND GAFILLER SATELLITE Transfer from Procurement, Defense-Wide for WGS-9	468,745	803,745 335,000	335,000
21 GLOBAL POSITIONING (SPACE) GPS IIF production support	67,689	107,689 40,000	40,000
23 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE (SPACE) Excess to need due to efficiencies	1,740,222	1,566,200 -174,022	-174,022
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	1,159,135	877,380 -281,755	-281,755

## SPACE ACQUISITION AND THE EVOLUTIONARY ACQUISITION FOR SPACE EFFICIENCY PROPOSAL

After two decades of troubled space acquisition, the national security space portfolio seems to be emerging from a period of programmatic excuses based on flawed acquisition strategies, poor cost estimating, and reliance on immature technologies. A myriad of reasons has contributed to the decline of space acquisition, not the least of which was the Department of Defense turning over space program management to contractors in an effort to reduce cost and improve efficiency. The United States has been fortunate that the legacy space systems have been robust enough to survive the numerous acquisition delays of the past decade. Additionally, when new systems have actually become operational they have, for the most part, been successful on orbit despite problems that may have occurred in the development phase.

Over the past decade, various attempts for alternative systems have been suggested and in some cases funded. Several of those attempts included the parallel development of alternative systems or technologies. These systems were advertised as being less expensive, more capable, and less risky. In each case, these alternative systems were terminated due to cost or complexity. The Department believed space acquisition would improve because they wanted it to improve. The development of systems to operate in the harsh environment of space is a non-trivial matter and cannot be made less complex simply because one wishes it so. Unfortunately, the Department once again appears to be headed in this direction.

Over the past five years, the Congress has urged the Department to consider block buys of satellites that were evolved from previous designs. This year the request includes a new proposal for space acquisition called the Evolutionary Acquisition for Space Efficiency (EASE). The Committee is disappointed that the Executive branch developed this concept without input from the Legislative branch. This is especially alarming since the entire space acquisition budget assumes the approval of this latest funding scheme. As a proposed course of action, the theory of EASE has merit, but the implementation details are woefully lacking. There are three main issues that disturb the Committee: the use of advance appropriations, the lack of detail with regards to the Capabilities/Affordability Insertion Program (CAIP), and the lack of vision for what lies beyond the current block buy of Advanced Extremely High Frequency (AEHF) satellites and the Space Based Infrared System (SBIRS) satellites.

The Committee does not approve the acquisition plan using the advance appropriations concept. The Committee understands the funding dilemma but is disappointed that the Department will not dedicate resources to fully fund its space programs, and instead is willing to rely on a budgeting gimmick. Further, there is no clear definition for the funds associated with the CAIP. The Committee is concerned that the concept for evolution of capabilities will be hijacked by the technology enthusiasts within the Department. Therefore, none of the funds appropriated to the CAIP are to be obligated until the Secretary of Defense delivers a technology insertion development plan for the technologies to be pursued for evo-

lution onto future increments of the AEHF protected military satellite communications system and the SBIRS missile warning system. This plan should include information regarding cost, schedule, performance, and current technology readiness level details for each technology. In addition, the Secretary of Defense is directed that any funding appropriated for the CAIP will use the following funding structure for the capabilities pursued: No more than three percent of the total funding may be used for studies, no more than seven percent may be used for parts obsolescence, no more than five percent may be used for the development of technology that has a technology readiness level less than or equal to three, no more than fifteen percent may be used for technology that has a technology readiness level between four and six, and the remaining seventy percent will be used on technology that has a technology readiness level greater than six. The Committee expects that the technology being pursued in the CAIP be specific efforts and not dedicated to common subsystems (for example, battery enhancements, solar arrays, and micro-electronics). Finally, none of the funds appropriated for either the CAIP or the procurement of AEHF or SBIRS shall be obligated until the Secretary of Defense delivers the 15-year space strategic plan to the congressional defense committees and has received in writing from each of the committees that it has reviewed the plan.

The Committee fully supports the idea of reinvestment of savings accrued from the incremental funding of the AEHF 5/6 satellites and the SBIRS 5/6 satellites into the evolution of the next generation of satellite systems. However, the Committee will not support a repeat of the acquisition failures and associated problems of the last two decades. Therefore, if more than thirty percent of design changes are proposed for the follow-on blocks of AEHF and SBIRS satellites (exempting parts obsolescence), the Secretary of Defense will consider that program a new start program and shall have the Director, Office of the Secretary of Defense, Cost Assessment and Program Evaluation perform a full cost estimate to include both recurring and non-recurring costs as well as total life-cycle costs. Additionally, a performance assessment and a technology readiness assessment that compares the risk of the new alternative program to the legacy program shall be accomplished.

PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$731,487,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	539,065,000
Committee recommendation .....	522,565,000
Change from budget request .....	- 16,500,000

This appropriation finances the acquisition of ammunition, modifications, spares, weapons, and other ammunition-related items for the Air Force.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE						
PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE						
ROCKETS .....	---	23,919	---	23,919	---	---
CARTRIDGES .....	---	89,771	---	89,771	---	---
BOMBS						
PRACTICE BOMBS .....	---	38,756	---	38,756	---	---
GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS .....	---	168,557	---	168,557	---	---
JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION .....	3,250	76,649	2,313	60,149	-937	-16,500
FLARE, IR MJU-7B						
CAD/PAD .....	---	42,410	---	42,410	---	---
EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (EOD) .....	---	3,119	---	3,119	---	---
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS .....	---	998	---	998	---	---
MODIFICATIONS .....	---	1,132	---	1,132	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 .....	---	5,075	---	5,075	---	---
FUZES						
FLARES .....	---	46,749	---	46,749	---	---
FUZES .....	---	34,735	---	34,735	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, AIR FORCE .....		531,870		515,370		-16,500
WEAPONS						
SMALL ARMS .....	---	7,195	---	7,195	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE .....		539,065		522,565		-16,500
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
5 JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION (JDAM) Adjustment to match actual programmed quantity	76,649	60,149 -16,500	-16,500

## OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$17,568,091,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	17,602,036,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,260,619,000
Change from budget request .....	- 341,417,000

This appropriation provides for the procurement of weapon systems and equipment other than aircraft and missiles. Included are vehicles, electronic and telecommunications systems for command and control of operational forces, and ground support equipment for weapon systems and supporting structure.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE						
VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT						
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES						
PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLE.....	---	5,621	---	5,621	---	---
CARGO + UTILITY VEHICLES						
FAMILY MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE.....	---	18,411	---	18,411	---	---
CAP VEHICLES.....	---	917	---	917	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO).....	---	18,694	---	18,694	---	---
SPECIAL PURPOSE VEHICLES						
SECURITY AND TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	---	5,982	---	5,982	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPECIAL).....	---	20,677	---	20,677	---	---
FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT						
FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES.....	---	22,881	---	22,881	---	---
MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT						
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000.....	---	14,978	---	14,978	---	---
BASE MAINTENANCE SUPPORT						
RUNWAY SNOW REMOVAL & CLEANING EQUIP.....	---	16,556	---	16,556	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M.....	---	30,225	---	30,225	---	---
TOTAL, VEHICULAR EQUIPMENT.....		154,942		154,942		---
ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP						
COMM SECURITY EQUIPMENT(COMSEC)						
COMSEC EQUIPMENT.....	---	135,169	---	135,169	---	---
MODIFICATIONS (COMSEC).....	---	1,263	---	1,263	---	---
INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS						
INTELLIGENCE TRAINING EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,645	---	2,645	---	---
INTELLIGENCE COMM EQUIP.....	---	21,762	---	21,762	---	---
ADVANCE TECH SENSORS.....	---	899	---	899	---	---
MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS.....	---	18,529	---	18,529	---	---
ELECTRONICS PROGRAMS						
TRAFFIC CONTROL/LANDING.....	---	32,473	---	32,473	---	---
NATIONAL AIRSPACE SYSTEM.....	---	51,426	---	51,426	---	---
BATTLE CONTROL SYSTEM - FIXED.....	---	32,468	---	32,468	---	---
THEATER AIR CONTROL SYS IMPRO.....	---	22,813	---	22,813	---	---
WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST.....	---	14,619	---	14,619	---	---
STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	---	39,144	---	37,144	---	-2,000
CHEYENNE MOUNTAIN COMPLEX.....	---	25,992	---	25,992	---	---
TAC SIGNIT SPT.....	---	217	---	217	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
SPECIAL COMM-ELECTRONICS PROJECTS						
GENERAL INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY.....	---	52,263	---	52,263	---	---
AF GLOBAL COMMAND & CONTROL SYSTEM.....	---	16,951	---	16,951	---	---
MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	---	26,433	---	22,867	---	-3,566
AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM.....	---	90,015	---	90,015	---	---
COMBAT TRAINING RANGES.....	---	23,955	---	23,955	---	---
C3 COUNTERMEASURES.....	---	7,518	---	7,518	---	---
GCSS-AF FOS.....	---	72,641	---	72,641	---	---
THEATER BATTLE MGT C2 SYS.....	---	22,301	---	22,301	---	---
AIR OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC).....	---	15,525	---	15,525	---	---
AIR FORCE COMMUNICATIONS						
INFORMATION TRANSPORT SYSTEMS.....	---	49,377	---	49,377	---	---
BASE INFORMATION INFRASTRUCTURE.....	---	41,239	---	41,239	---	---
AFNET.....	---	228,978	---	228,978	---	---
VOICE SYSTEMS.....	---	43,603	---	43,603	---	---
USCENTCOM.....	---	30,983	---	30,983	---	---
DISA PROGRAMS						
SPACE BASED IR SENSOR PROG SPACE.....	---	49,570	---	49,570	---	---
NAVSTAR GPS SPACE.....	---	2,008	---	2,008	---	---
NUDET DETECTION SYS (NDS) SPACE.....	---	4,863	---	4,863	---	---
AF SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK SPACE.....	---	61,386	---	61,386	---	---
SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM SPACE.....	---	125,947	---	125,947	---	---
MILSATCOM SPACE.....	---	104,720	---	104,720	---	---
SPACE MODS SPACE.....	---	28,075	---	28,075	---	---
COUNTERSPACE SYSTEM.....	---	20,718	---	20,718	---	---
ORGANIZATION AND BASE						
TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT.....	---	227,866	---	160,766	---	-67,100
COMBAT SURVIVOR EVADER LOCATER.....	---	22,184	---	22,184	---	---
RADIO EQUIPMENT.....	---	11,408	---	11,408	---	---
CCTV/AUDIOVISUAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	11,559	---	11,559	---	---
BASE COMM INFRASTRUCTURE.....	---	105,977	---	105,977	---	---
MODIFICATIONS						
COMM ELECT MODS.....	---	76,810	---	76,810	---	---
TOTAL, ELECTRONICS AND TELECOMMUNICATIONS EQUIP.		1,974,292		1,901,626		-72,666

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP						
PERSONAL SAFETY AND RESCUE EQUIP						
NIGHT VISION GOGGLES.....	---	20,008	---	20,008	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (SAFETY).....	---	25,499	---	25,499	---	---
DEPOT PLANT + MATERIALS HANDLING EQ						
MECHANIZED MATERIAL HANDLING.....	---	37,829	---	37,829	---	---
BASE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT						
BASE PROCURED EQUIPMENT.....	---	16,483	---	16,483	---	---
CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS.....	---	16,754	---	16,754	---	---
PRODUCTIVITY CAPITAL INVESTMENT.....	---	3,653	---	3,653	---	---
MOBILITY EQUIPMENT.....	---	30,345	---	30,345	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (BASE SUPPORT).....	---	2,819	---	2,819	---	---
SPECIAL SUPPORT PROJECTS						
DARP RC135.....	---	23,341	---	23,341	---	---
DISTRIBUTED GROUND SYSTEMS.....	---	212,146	---	212,146	---	---
SPECIAL UPDATE PROGRAM.....	---	410,069	---	410,069	---	---
DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROGRAM.....	---	41,066	---	41,066	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, OTHER BASE MAINTENANCE AND SUPPORT EQUIP.		840,012		840,012		---
SPARE AND REPAIR PARTS						
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	14,630	---	14,630	---	---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	14,618,160	---	14,349,409	---	-268,751
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE.....		17,602,036		17,260,619		-341,417
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>23 STRATEGIC COMMAND AND CONTROL</b>	<b>39,144</b>	<b>37,144</b>	<b>-2,000</b>
JFHQ equipment - unjustified request		-2,000	
<b>29 MOBILITY COMMAND AND CONTROL</b>	<b>26,433</b>	<b>22,867</b>	<b>-3,566</b>
Wing LAN infrastructure - slow execution		-3,566	
<b>49 TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT</b>	<b>227,866</b>	<b>160,766</b>	<b>-67,100</b>
JTRS Handhelds/Manpacks - pricing		-44,500	
JTRS AMF - Milestone C slip		-12,600	
Theater Deployable Comms - delayed execution		-10,000	
<b>999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	<b>14,618,160</b>	<b>14,349,409</b>	<b>-268,751</b>
Classified adjustment		-268,751	

## PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$4,009,321,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	5,365,248,000
Committee recommendation .....	5,046,447,000
Change from budget request .....	-318,801,000

This appropriation provides funds for the procurement, production, and modification of equipment, supplies, materials, and spare parts.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
-----						
PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCAA						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M.....	---	1,473	---	1,473	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DCMA						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	---	2,076	---	2,076	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DHRA						
PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION.....	---	11,019	---	11,019	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DISA						
INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY.....	---	19,952	---	19,952	---	---
GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYS.....	---	5,324	---	5,324	---	---
GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	---	2,955	---	2,955	---	---
TELEPORT PROGRAM.....	---	54,743	---	54,743	---	---
ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M.....	---	174,805	---	174,805	---	---
NET CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES).....	---	3,429	---	3,429	---	---
DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK.....	---	500,932	---	84,932	---	-416,000
PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE.....	---	1,788	---	1,788	---	---
CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	---	24,085	---	24,085	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DLA						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	---	11,537	---	11,537	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DMACT						
A - WEAPON SYSTEM COST.....	5	14,542	5	14,542	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DODEA						
AUTOMATION/EDUCATIONAL SUPPORT & LOGISTICS.....	---	1,444	---	1,444	---	---
EQUIPMENT.....	---	971	---	971	---	---
OTHER CAPITAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	974	---	974	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY						
VEHICLES.....	4	200	4	200	---	---
OTHER MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	3	12,806	3	12,806	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, D TSA						
MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....	---	447	---	447	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, MDA						
THAAD SYSTEM.....	68	833,150	68	833,150	---	---
AEGIS BMD.....	46	565,393	46	565,393	---	---
BMDs AN/TPY-2 RADARS.....	2	380,195	2	380,195	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, NSA INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP).....	---	5,787	---	5,787	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD MAJOR EQUIPMENT, OSD.....	---	47,123	---	47,123	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE.....	---	20,176	---	20,176	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, TJS.....	---	29,729	---	29,729	---	---
MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS MAJOR EQUIPMENT, WHS.....	---	31,974	---	31,974	---	---
TOTAL, MAJOR EQUIPMENT.....		2,759,029		2,343,029		-416,000
SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND						
AVIATION PROGRAMS						
SOF ROTARY WING UPGRADES AND SUSTAINMENT.....	---	41,411	---	41,411	---	---
MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	---	171,456	---	171,456	---	---
NON-STANDARD AVIATION.....	15	272,623	15	192,637	---	-79,986
SOF U-28.....	---	5,100	---	5,100	---	---
MH-47 CHINOOK.....	---	142,783	---	142,783	---	---
RQ-11 UAV.....	---	486	---	486	---	---
CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION.....	27	118,002	27	118,002	---	---
HQ-1 UAV.....	---	3,025	---	3,025	---	---
HQ-9 UAV.....	---	3,024	---	3,024	---	---
RQ-7 UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLE.....	---	450	---	450	---	---
STUASLO.....	---	12,276	---	12,276	---	---
AC-130J.....	---	74,891	---	74,891	---	---
C-130 MODIFICATIONS.....	---	19,665	---	23,165	---	+3,500
AIRCRAFT SUPPORT.....	---	6,207	---	6,207	---	---
SHIPBUILDING						
UNDERWATER SYSTEMS.....	---	6,999	---	6,999	---	---
AMMUNITION PROGRAMS						
SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT.....	---	116,009	---	116,009	---	---
SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION.....	---	28,281	---	28,281	---	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	
OTHER PROCUREMENT PROGRAMS					
COMM EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS.....	---	87,489	---	164,489	+77,000
SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS.....	---	74,702	---	74,702	---
SMALL ARMS & WEAPONS.....	---	9,196	---	9,196	---
DCGS-SOF.....	---	15,621	---	15,621	---
SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS.....	---	6,899	---	70,899	+64,000
SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS.....	---	594	---	594	---
TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	---	33,915	---	33,915	---
MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATIONS SYSTEMS.....	---	46,242	---	46,242	---
COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS.....	---	50,000	---	20,000	-30,000
MILCON COLLATERAL EQUIPMENT.....	---	18,723	---	18,723	---
SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS.....	---	51,232	---	51,232	---
SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES.....	---	7,782	---	7,782	---
SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE.....	---	22,960	---	22,960	---
SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS.....	---	362	---	362	---
SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS AND SENSOR SY.....	---	15,758	---	15,758	---
SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS.....	---	76,459	---	148,459	+72,000
MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT.....	---	1,895	---	1,895	---
SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS.....	---	246,893	---	249,893	+3,000
MILITARY INFORMATION SUPPORT OPERATIONS.....	---	4,142	---	4,142	---
TOTAL, SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND.....		1,793,552		1,903,066	+109,514

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST		COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED		CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT	QTY	AMOUNT
CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE						
INSTALLATION FORCE PROTECTION.....	---	15,900	---	15,900	---	---
INDIVIDUAL PROTECTION.....	---	71,376	---	71,376	---	---
DECONTAMINATION.....	---	6,466	---	6,466	---	---
JOINT BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	---	11,143	---	11,143	---	---
COLLECTIVE PROTECTION.....	---	9,414	---	9,414	---	---
CONTAMINATION AVOIDANCE.....	---	139,948	---	139,948	---	---
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, CHEMICAL/BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE.....		254,247		254,247		---
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	---	558,420	---	546,105	---	-12,315
		-----		-----		-----
TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE.....		5,365,248		5,046,447		-318,801
		=====		=====		=====

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
20 DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS NETWORK Commercial Satellite - Transfer to Aircraft Procurement, Air Force line 16	500,932	84,932 -416,000	-416,000
52 NON-STANDARD AVIATION Aviation Foreign Internal Defense - excess to need	272,623	192,637 -79,986	-79,986
63 C-130 MODIFICATIONS Program Increase - unfunded requirement	19,665	23,165 3,500	3,500
69 COMMUNICATION EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS Program Increase - SOF Deployable Nodes unfunded requirement	87,489	164,489 77,000	77,000
76 SOF COMBATANT CRAFT SYSTEMS Program Increase - HSAC unfunded requirement	6,899	70,899 64,000	64,000
81 COMBAT MISSION REQUIREMENTS Excess to need	50,000	20,000 -30,000	-30,000
90 SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS Program Increase - unfunded requirement	76,459	148,459 72,000	72,000
94 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS Program Increase - Electronic Warfare unfunded requirement	246,893	249,893 3,000	3,000
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	558,420	546,105 -12,315	-12,315

SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND AVIATION FOREIGN INTERNAL  
DEFENSE PROGRAM

The Committee is concerned with the new Aviation Foreign Internal Defense program under the Special Operations Command, and recommends reducing the operation and maintenance request by \$17,607,000 and the procurement request by \$79,986,000. Further, the Committee prohibits the Special Operations Command from obligating any funds available for fiscal year 2012 until 30 days after the required report has been submitted to the congressional defense committees. The Committee directs the Commander of the Special Operations Command to provide a report not later than January 15, 2012 to the congressional defense committees on the program, strategies, and goals of the Aviation Foreign Internal Defense program. The report shall include an overall description of the program including its goals and proposed metrics of performance successes; the results of an analysis of alternatives and efficiencies review conducted prior to fiscal year 2012 with respect to a contract awarded for the Aviation Foreign Internal Defense program; an explanation of plans or business case analyses justifying new procurements rather than leased platforms, including an explanation of any efficiencies and savings; and a comprehensive strategy outlining and justifying the overall projected growth of the Aviation Foreign Internal Defense program to satisfy the increased requirements of the commanders of the geographic combatant commands.

DEFENSE PRODUCTION ACT PURCHASES

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$34,346,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	19,964,000
Committee recommendation .....	29,964,000
Change from budget request .....	10,000,000

The Committee recommendation shall be distributed as follows:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Defense Production Act:			
Gallium nitride radar and electronic warfare monolithic microwave integrated circuits .....	8,373	8,373	
Gallium nitride advanced electronic warfare monolithic microwave integrated circuits .....	2,321	2,321	
Lithium ION (LI ION) battery production for space .....	770	770	
Cadmium zinc telluride substrate production .....	1,900	1,900	
Read out integrated circuit foundry improvement and sustainability .....	1,200	1,200	
Space qualified solar cell supply chain .....	600	600	
Traveling wave tube amplifiers .....	1,310	1,310	
Complementary metal oxide semiconductor focal plan arrays for visible sensors for star trackers .....	1,800	1,800	
Advanced projects .....	1,690	1,690	
Program increase .....	—	10,000	10,000
<b>Total, Defense Production Act .....</b>	<b>19,964</b>	<b>29,964</b>	<b>10,000</b>

## RARE EARTH MATERIALS

The Committee recognizes the criticality of rare earth materials in numerous advanced weapons systems and equipment and understands the importance of having a domestic supply of these critical elements. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to rebuild a rare earth materials supply chain within the United States that includes the production of rare earth minerals, oxides, metals, alloys, and permanent magnets.



## TITLE IV

### RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The fiscal year 2012 Department of Defense Research, Development, Test and Evaluation budget request totals \$75,325,082,000. The accompanying bill recommends \$73,009,469,000. The table below summarizes the budget estimate and the Committee's recommendations.

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RECAPITULATION			
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY.....	9,683,980	9,381,166	-302,814
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY.....	17,956,431	17,798,950	-157,481
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, AIR FORCE.	27,737,701	26,313,196	-1,424,505
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE-WIDE.....	19,755,678	19,324,865	-430,813
OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE.....	191,292	191,292	---
GRAND TOTAL, RDT&E.....	75,325,082	73,009,469	-2,315,613
	=====	=====	=====

## MEDIUM EXTENDED AIR DEFENSE SYSTEM

The budget request proposes \$406,605,000 for the Medium Extended Air Defense System (MEADS) program. The Committee recommends funding of \$257,105,000, which represents a reduction of \$149,500,000. The Committee is aware that MEADS is a tri-national co-development program with Germany and Italy. MEADS was designed to provide joint and coalition forces, critical assets, and defended area protection against multiple and simultaneous attacks by short to medium range ballistic missiles, cruise missiles, unmanned aerial vehicles, and tactical air-to-surface missiles. The Committee is aware that in February 2011 the Secretary of Defense decided not to procure the MEADS system citing cost, schedule, and execution issues. The Committee understands that efforts are ongoing to determine the various program options including continued development, unilateral termination, and mutual termination. The Committee supports these efforts. The Committee recommendation is based on the Army implementation of a well organized plan with close cooperation between the tri-national members and a spending plan that avoids worst case funding situations.

## HISTORICALLY BLACK COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND MINORITY INSTITUTIONS

The Committee is concerned that the planned transfer of the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions (HBCU/MI) program from the Office of the Secretary of Defense to the Department of the Army will dramatically diminish the effectiveness and scope of the program. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to maintain the HBCU/MI program within the Office of the Secretary of Defense in future budget submissions. Further, the Secretary of Defense is directed to submit a plan of action to the congressional defense committees, not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act, detailing renewed efforts to strengthen and expand defense related research activities with the Historically Black Colleges and Universities and Minority Institutions.

## LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES

The Army began fielding High Mobility Multi-Purpose Wheeled Vehicles (HMMWV) in the mid 1980s. The vehicle was a significant improvement over the Quarter Ton Truck. The HMMWV featured increased ground clearance, greater maneuverability, and more load carrying capacity. The Committee is aware that the HMMWV fleet was used for base operations support and for rear area support in combat zones. HMMWVs were not armored until the beginning of Operation Iraqi Freedom. As the tactics in Iraq evolved to include extensive combat patrolling, often in congested urban areas, HMMWVs were employed as patrol vehicles or scout vehicles, and a series of progressively better, heavier armor kits were installed on HMMWVs. Generally, the kits were shipped to the combat theater and installed there. Eventually, the assembly line began to produce armored HMMWVs. These armored patrol vehicles provided greatly improved force protection as compared to unarmored HMMWVs. However, the increase in protection afforded

by the additional armor was limited and the additional weight reduced vehicle performance and displaced critical payloads.

The Committee notes that the Army operates a fleet of approximately 150,000 HMMWVs. The Marine Corps has 24,000 HMMWVs. The Navy and Air Force have smaller numbers. Based on the expected service life of the vehicles, the Services will continue to operate significant numbers of HMMWVs for at least another 20 years. The Army and Marine Corps perform a maintenance reset on their HMMWVs when the vehicles return from deployment, restoring the HMMWVs to a fully operational capability. In addition to post-deployment reset, 46,000 of the Army's older, unarmored HMMWVs have been recapitalized through a program of rebuilds, repairs, and upgrades that restored those vehicles to a zero hours, zero miles status. The Army continues the recapitalization program with attention focused on the armored HMMWVs. Additionally, the Army is researching the feasibility and affordability of modernizing armored HMMWVs to achieve an increased level of crew protection, through an effort known as the Competitive Recapitalization program.

As combat continued in Iraq, the numbers of Soldiers and Marines wounded and maimed by Improvised Explosive Devices (IEDs) increased. Based on urgent needs statements from Marine Corps and Army commanders in Iraq, a joint program office was established to qualify and field armored transports that were larger and more survivable than the armored HMMWVs. Designated as Mine Resistant Ambush Protected (MRAP) vehicles, nearly 27,000 have been produced, including over 8,000 MRAP All Terrain Vehicles (MATV). The all terrain variants are designed to provide better off-road performance in Afghanistan, while providing excellent survivability and significant ground clearance. The MATVs provide a level of armor protection that is approximately equivalent to the protection found in the smaller of the original MRAPs, which is a significant increase in protection above that of an armored HMMWV. The Committee commends the Department for continuing to improve, test, and field survivability enhancements for all of the HMMWVs, MRAPs, and MATVs.

The Committee is aware that in a separate effort, the Army, Marine Corps, and Special Operations Command began a program to produce a Joint Light Tactical Vehicle (JLTV) to eventually replace the HMMWV. The JLTV has been designed and developed as an armored vehicle from the inception of the program. The JLTV is expected to provide MRAP-like armor protection, good off-road maneuverability, and substantial payload capability.

The Committee notes that the JLTV program is intended to begin fielding in 2016. The Committee is aware that while the JLTV program continues development, the Services operate thousands of HMMWVs and MRAPs. Any calculation regarding how many, when, and at what price the Services would purchase JLTVs should consider the worth of the battle-tested vehicles that have been bought and paid for and on which the Soldiers and Marines have trained and fought. Additionally, the military Services and manufacturers continue to improve the survivability of the MRAPs, MATVs, and HMMWVs. The Committee understands that HMMWVs have been made more survivable, but have grown in

weight, and efforts continue to make MATVs lighter and more maneuverable while sustaining survivability. The Committee notes that the operational niche to be filled by the JLTV appears to be shrinking.

The Committee believes that the Department of Defense should continue to develop, test, and field survivability upgrades to the HMMWV, MRAP, and MATV fleets to counter the challenges presented by small arms, improvised explosive devices, and other weapons. The Committee recommends that the Department of Defense continue to evaluate the roles and requirements of the JLTV in the tactical wheeled vehicle fleet, seeking advances in technology for armor, propulsion, off-road maneuverability, and other areas, until such time as it becomes clear that there is a threat to be countered for which the JLTV is better suited than HMMWVs, MRAPs, or MATVs, or the current fleets of HMMWVs and MRAPs are judged to be not economically repairable.

The fiscal year 2012 budget request for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army includes \$172,093,000 for development of the JLTV. The Committee recommendation is \$147,093,000, a reduction of \$25,000,000. For the Marine Corps, the budget request in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy includes \$39,954,000 for JLTV development. The Committee recommendation is \$14,954,000, a reduction of \$25,000,000.

Additionally, the Committee recommendation includes an increase of \$50,000,000 in Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, to support continued development and testing for HMMWV survivability enhancements. The Committee is aware that significant improvements in survivability appear to be feasible by the application of blast venting technology, such as the so-called blast chimney. These improvements could lead to a HMMWV with survivability equal to or better than the MRAP, weight considerably less than predicted for the JLTV, and at a cost significantly less than either.

The Committee expects that future requests for funding for the HMMWV and JLTV programs, and the accompanying budget justification material, will describe the capabilities to be provided by the various light tactical vehicles.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE AND SERVICE CYBER ACTIVITIES AND  
VIRTUAL MAJOR FORCE PROGRAM

The threat to and from the cyber realm has been well documented; however, the resources being expended against the threat have not. In order to better evaluate the planning and resourcing for Department of Defense cyber activities, the Committee directs the Commander, United States Cyber Command, in coordination with the Secretary of Defense and each of the Service Secretaries, to provide to the congressional defense committees a report that details the following: the goals of the cyber initiative, including cyberspace operations, computer network operations, information assurance, and full spectrum cyber operations for the Department of Defense and the Services; the organizational structure and responsibilities for each of the participants; the various programs and initiatives in the Department of Defense and the Services that are supporting the cyber goals outlined with descriptions of how they

achieve the goals; and the year-to-year funding over the future years defense program, cost to complete, and the schedules for each of the various programs and initiatives detailed above. Finally, of the funds appropriated for the Information Systems Security Program no more than twenty-five percent shall be obligated until this report is delivered to the congressional defense committees and the committees have acknowledged in writing to the Department of Defense the receipt of the report.

The Committee also suggests that the Department establish a virtual Major Force Program (MFP) to better coordinate and track the budgets related to cyber activities. Further, the Committee believes this virtual MFP will provide greater transparency and clarity to achieve the goals of a more secure cyber realm for the national security apparatus.

#### INFORMATION SECURITY

The Committee is concerned that the Department of Defense is not doing enough to support secure communication and collaboration among businesses throughout the entire Defense Industrial Base that face potential threats to operations and supply chains. The Committee understands that a large portion of companies in the Defense Industrial Base that lack security clearances are not being served by current Department of Defense efforts to provide threat information, and this could affect their abilities to deliver to the warfighter. The Defense Industrial Base is vulnerable to natural and man-made events that can adversely affect their ability to conduct business, develop products, and support the warfighter. The Committee is aware of existing, secure, commercial services that currently provide the capability to distribute sensitive but unclassified threat information to all companies in the Defense Industrial Base, including companies without security clearances. Therefore, the Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on the collaboration and sharing of sensitive but unclassified threat information across the entire Defense Industrial Base, including any plans to leverage commercially available services that meet federally mandated security requirements.

#### CORROSION PREVENTION AND CONTROL

The Committee remains concerned that the Department of Defense continues to underfund its corrosion mitigation and prevention requirements. Corrosion can reduce mission readiness by limiting asset availability and can also impact the safety of the Nation's servicemembers. The Committee believes the Department should invest more in corrosion prevention and mitigation projects in order to better protect the Nation's investment in its military assets and to control maintenance and replacement costs of weapon systems and infrastructure. This investment requires a better understanding of how to prevent, reduce, and treat corrosion by applying the latest research and best practices to the military. The Committee urges the Department to utilize the best available data and expertise for researching, understanding, controlling, preventing, predicting, and solving corrosion-related problems to minimize the impact that corrosion has on its platforms and assets.

This data and expertise should be available to all Services and agencies within the Department of Defense.

INTEGRATED WEAPONS AND ARMAMENT SPECIALTY SITE FOR GUNS  
AND AMMUNITION

The Committee is aware that the Base Realignment and Closure (BRAC) Act, 2005, directed the realignment and consolidation of those guns and ammunition facilities that work in weapons and armaments research, development, and acquisition. The Committee understands that the realignment established an Integrated Weapons and Armaments Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition that is a joint center of excellence for guns and ammunition research, development, and acquisition. Furthermore, BRAC 2005 directed the movement of the Services' guns and ammunition work to the center of excellence in weapons and armaments research, development, and acquisition. This consolidation action regarding guns and ammunition research, development, and acquisition activities in the Army and Navy promotes jointness and leverages technical synergy. The Committee is aware of the complex challenges that must be overcome in order to accomplish the realignments, consolidations, and closures that are directed in the BRAC process. However, the Committee expects the Department of Defense to proceed aggressively to accomplish the directed realignments, consolidations, and closures. The Committee directs that not later than 90 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of the Army shall submit to the congressional defense committees a report on the status of implementing the provisions of the BRAC 2005 law regarding the consolidation of guns and ammunition facilities that work in weapons and armament research, development, and acquisition. The report shall include the following: staffing requirements and status, facilities requirements and status, cross service integration achieved, and plans for future integration. Further, the Secretary of the Army shall, not fewer than 120 days prior to moving any mission from the Integrated Weapons and Armament Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition, notify the congressional defense committees in writing of the details for such a move, and an assessment of the impact of any such move on the Integrated Weapons and Armament Specialty Site for Guns and Ammunition.

TEST FACILITIES

The Committee recognizes the critical importance of state of the art research and development testing facilities within the Department of Defense, including hypersonic wind tunnel facilities. The Committee encourages the Department to continue the use and support of these facilities, including improvements to infrastructure and capacity as needed.

SPECIAL INTEREST ITEMS

Items for which additional funds have been provided as shown in the project level tables or in paragraphs using the phrase "only for" or "only to" in this report are congressional special interest items for the purpose of the Base for Reprogramming (DD Form 1414). Each of these items must be carried on the DD Form 1414 at the

stated amount, specifically addressed in the committee report. These items remain special interest items whether or not they are repeated in a subsequent conference report.

REPROGRAMMING GUIDANCE FOR ACQUISITION ACCOUNTS

It is the intent of the Congress that the program baseline for reprogramming funds reflects all approved adjustment actions: the initial appropriation as well as any rescissions, supplemental appropriations, and approved Department of Defense Form 1415 reprogrammings. The Secretary of Defense is directed to ensure that financial management regulations incorporate approved reprogramming actions as an adjustment to the base for reprogramming value.

The Committee directs the Department of Defense to continue to follow the reprogramming guidance specified in the report accompanying the House version of the fiscal year 2006 Department of Defense Appropriations Act (H.R. 109–119). Specifically, the dollar threshold for reprogramming funds will remain at \$20,000,000 for procurement and \$10,000,000 for research, development, test and evaluation. The Department shall continue to follow the limitation that prior approval reprogrammings are set at either the specified dollar threshold or twenty percent of the procurement or research, development, test and evaluation line, whichever is less. The percentage change limitation applies to both program increases and decreases. Additionally, this percentage change applies to the program base value at the time the below threshold movement of funds is executed. These thresholds are cumulative from the base for reprogramming value as modified by any adjustment action. Therefore, if the combined value of transfers into or out of a procurement (P–1) or research, development, test and evaluation (R–1) line exceeds the identified threshold, the Department of Defense must submit a prior approval reprogramming to the congressional defense committees. In addition, guidelines on the application of prior approval reprogramming procedures for congressional special interest items are established elsewhere in this report.

REPROGRAMMING REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Under Secretary of Defense (Comptroller) to continue to provide the congressional defense committees quarterly, spreadsheet-based DD Form 1416 reports for Service and defense-wide accounts in titles III and IV of this Act as required in the statement of the managers accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2006.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, ARMY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$9,710,998,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	9,683,980,000
Committee recommendation .....	9,381,166,000
Change from budget request .....	– 302,814,000

This appropriation finances the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Army. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL., ARMY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.....	21,064	21,064	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	213,942	213,942	---
3	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	80,977	80,977	---
4	UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS.....	120,937	140,937	+20,000
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	436,920	456,920	+20,000
APPLIED RESEARCH				
5	MATERIALS TECHNOLOGY.....	30,258	30,258	---
6	SENSORS AND ELECTRONIC SURVIVABILITY.....	43,521	43,521	---
7	TRACTOR HIP.....	14,230	14,230	---
8	AVIATION TECHNOLOGY.....	44,610	44,610	---
9	ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	15,790	15,790	---
10	MISSILE TECHNOLOGY.....	50,685	50,685	---
11	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	20,034	20,034	---
12	ADVANCED CONCEPTS AND SIMULATION.....	20,933	20,933	---
13	COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE TECHNOLOGY.....	64,306	64,306	---
14	BALLISTICS TECHNOLOGY.....	59,214	59,214	---
15	CHEMICAL, SMOKE AND EQUIPMENT DEFEATING TECHNOLOGY.....	4,877	4,877	---
16	JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM.....	8,244	8,244	---
17	WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	39,813	54,813	+15,000
18	ELECTRONICS AND ELECTRONIC DEVICES.....	62,962	62,962	---
19	NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY.....	57,203	49,203	-8,000
20	COUNTERMINE SYSTEMS.....	20,280	20,280	---
21	HUMAN FACTORS ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY.....	21,801	21,801	---
22	ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY.....	20,837	20,837	---
23	COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	26,116	26,116	---
24	COMPUTER AND SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGY.....	8,591	8,591	---
25	MILITARY ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY.....	80,317	80,317	---
26	MANPOWER/PERSONNEL/TRAINING TECHNOLOGY.....	18,946	18,946	---
27	WARFIGHTER TECHNOLOGY.....	29,835	29,835	---
28	MEDICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	105,929	105,929	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	869,332	876,332	+7,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
29 WARFIGHTER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	52,979	52,979	---
30 MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	68,171	96,971	+28,800
31 AVIATION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	62,193	62,193	---
32 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	77,077	77,077	---
33 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	106,145	95,145	-11,000
34 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY..	5,312	5,312	---
35 MANPOWER, PERSONNEL AND TRAINING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY..	10,298	10,298	---
36 ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	57,963	53,963	-4,000
37 TRACTOR HIKE.....	8,155	8,155	---
38 NEXT GENERATION TRAINING & SIMULATION SYSTEMS.....	17,936	17,936	---
39 TRACTOR ROSE.....	12,597	12,597	---
40 MILITARY HIV RESEARCH.....	6,796	22,796	+16,000
41 COMBATING TERRORISM, TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	12,191	12,191	---
42 TRACTOR NAIL.....	4,278	4,278	---
43 TRACTOR EGGS.....	2,261	2,261	---
44 ELECTRONIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	23,677	23,677	---
45 MISSILE AND ROCKET ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	90,602	90,602	---
46 TRACTOR CAGE.....	10,315	5,315	-5,000
47 HIGH PERFORMANCE COMPUTING MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	183,150	183,150	---
48 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	31,541	31,541	---
49 JOINT SERVICE SMALL ARMS PROGRAM.....	7,686	7,686	---
50 NIGHT VISION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	42,414	42,414	---
51 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	15,959	15,959	---
52 MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	36,516	28,516	-8,000
53 ADVANCED TACTICAL COMPUTER SCIENCE & SENSOR TECHNOLOGY	30,600	30,600	---
-----			
TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	976,812	993,612	+16,800

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
55 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	36,009	24,455	-11,554
56 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION (SPACE).....	9,612	9,612	---
58 LANDMINE WARFARE AND BARRIER - ADV DEV.....	35,383	35,383	---
59 SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYS-ADV DEV.....	9,501	4,501	-5,000
60 TANK AND MEDIUM CALIBER AMMUNITION.....	39,693	39,693	---
61 ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS).....	101,408	64,408	-37,000
62 SOLDIER SUPPORT AND SURVIVABILITY.....	9,747	9,747	---
63 TACTICAL ELECTRONIC SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM - AD.....	5,766	5,766	---
65 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY.....	4,946	4,946	---
66 WARFIGHTER INFORMATION NETWORK-TACTICAL.....	297,955	297,955	---
67 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	4,765	4,765	---
68 AVIATION - ADV DEV.....	7,107	7,107	---
69 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - ADV DEV.....	19,509	19,509	---
70 COMBAT SERVICE SUPPORT CONTROL SYSTEM EVALUATION.....	5,258	5,258	---
71 MEDICAL SYSTEMS - ADV DEV.....	34,997	34,997	---
72 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	19,598	19,598	---
73 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE.....	1,496	1,496	---
74 TECHNOLOGY MATURATION INITIATIVES.....	10,181	10,181	---
75 TRACTOR JUTE.....	15,609	15,609	---
76 JOINT COOPERATIVE TARGET IDENTIFICATION - GROUND (JCTI	41,652	41,652	---
77 ENDURANCE UAVS.....	42,892	42,892	---
-----			
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	753,084	699,530	-53,554

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
78 AIRCRAFT AVIONICS.....	144,687	144,687	---
79 ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D.....	166,132	131,132	-35,000
80 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	101,265	101,265	---
82 ALL SOURCE ANALYSIS SYSTEM.....	17,412	17,412	---
83 TRACTOR CAGE.....	26,577	26,577	---
84 INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS.....	73,728	91,474	+17,746
85 MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	3,961	3,961	---
87 JAVELIN.....	17,340	17,340	---
88 FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	5,478	5,478	---
89 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL.....	22,922	22,922	---
90 LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES.....	---	50,000	+50,000
93 FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR & PROGRAM MGMT.....	383,872	311,872	-72,000
95 FCS UNMANNED GROUND VEHICLES.....	143,840	143,840	---
96 FCS UNATTENDED GROUND SENSORS.....	499	499	---
97 FCS SUSTAINMENT & TRAINING R&D.....	---	52,693	+52,693
98 NIGHT VISION SYSTEMS - SDD.....	59,265	59,265	---
99 COMBAT FEEDING, CLOTHING, AND EQUIPMENT.....	2,075	2,075	---
100 NON-SYSTEM TRAINING DEVICES - SDD.....	30,021	30,021	---
101 TERRAIN INFORMATION - SDD.....	1,596	1,596	---
102 AIR DEFENSE COMMAND, CONTROL AND INTELLIGENCE -SDD....	83,010	83,010	---
103 CONSTRUCTIVE SIMULATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	28,305	28,305	---
104 AUTOMATIC TEST EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT.....	14,375	14,375	---
105 DISTRIBUTIVE INTERACTIVE SIMULATIONS (DIS) - SDD.....	15,803	15,803	---
107 COMBINED ARMS TACTICAL TRAINER (CATT) CORE.....	22,226	22,226	---
108 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS - SDD.....	13,828	13,828	---
109 LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD.....	251,104	226,104	-25,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
110 COMMAND, CONTROL, COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS - SDD.....	137,811	137,811	---
111 MEDICAL MATERIEL/MEDICAL BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE EQUIPMENT..	27,160	27,160	---
112 LANDMINE WARFARE/BARRIER - SDD.....	87,426	87,426	---
113 ARTILLERY MUNITIONS.....	42,627	35,627	-7,000
115 ARMY TACTICAL COMMAND & CONTROL HARDWARE & SOFTWARE...	123,935	123,935	---
116 RADAR DEVELOPMENT.....	2,890	2,890	---
117 GENERAL FUND ENTERPRISE BUSINESS SYSTEM (GFEBS).....	794	794	---
118 FIREFINDER.....	10,358	10,358	---
119 SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL.....	48,309	40,709	-7,600
120 ARTILLERY SYSTEMS.....	120,146	70,146	-50,000
121 PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM (CAP).....	406,605	257,105	-149,500
122 NUCLEAR ARMS CONTROL MONITORING SENSOR NETWORK.....	7,398	7,398	---
123 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	37,098	37,098	---
124 ARMY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM (A-IMH	68,693	68,693	---
125 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM).....	127,095	127,095	---
126 SLAMRAAM.....	19,931	19,931	---
127 PAC-2/MSE MISSILE.....	88,993	88,993	---
128 ARMY INTEGRATED AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE (AIAMD).....	270,607	270,607	---
129 MANNED GROUND VEHICLE.....	884,387	768,053	-116,334
130 AERIAL COMMON SENSOR.....	31,465	31,465	---
131 TROJAN - RH12.....	3,920	3,920	---
132 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	13,819	13,819	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	4,190,788	3,848,793	-341,995

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
133 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	16,992	16,992	---
134 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	11,247	11,247	---
135 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	49,437	49,437	---
136 RAND ARROYO CENTER.....	20,384	20,384	---
137 ARMY KWAJALEIN ATOLL.....	145,606	145,606	---
138 CONCEPTS EXPERIMENTATION PROGRAM.....	28,800	28,800	---
140 ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES.....	262,456	262,456	---
141 ARMY TECHNICAL TEST INSTRUMENTATION AND TARGETS.....	70,227	70,227	---
142 SURVIVABILITY/LETHALITY ANALYSIS.....	43,483	43,483	---
143 DOD HIGH ENERGY LASER TEST FACILITY.....	18	18	---
144 AIRCRAFT CERTIFICATION.....	5,630	5,630	---
145 METEOROLOGICAL SUPPORT TO RDT&E ACTIVITIES.....	7,182	7,182	---
146 MATERIEL SYSTEMS ANALYSIS.....	19,669	19,669	---
147 EXPLOITATION OF FOREIGN ITEMS.....	5,445	5,445	---
148 SUPPORT OF OPERATIONAL TESTING.....	68,786	68,786	---
149 ARMY EVALUATION CENTER.....	63,302	63,302	---
150 SIMULATION & MODELING FOR ACQ, RQTS, & TNG (SMART)....	3,420	3,420	---
151 PROGRAMWIDE ACTIVITIES.....	83,054	83,054	---
152 TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES.....	63,872	54,872	-9,000
153 MUNITIONS STANDARDIZATION, EFFECTIVENESS AND SAFETY...	57,142	57,142	---
154 ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY MGMT SUPPORT.....	4,961	4,961	---
155 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT)....	17,558	17,558	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	1,048,671	1,039,671	-9,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
158 MLRS PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	66,641	66,641	---
159 WEAPONS CAPABILITY MODIFICATIONS UAV.....	24,142	24,142	---
160 AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE.....	344,655	327,855	-16,800
162 ADV FIELD ARTILLERY TACTICAL DATA SYSTEM.....	29,546	29,546	---
163 COMBAT VEHICLE IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS.....	53,307	53,307	---
164 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM.....	65,002	65,002	---
165 AIRCRAFT MODIFICATIONS/PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS...	163,205	163,205	---
166 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	823	823	---
167 DIGITIZATION.....	8,029	8,029	---
169 MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	44,560	59,055	+14,495
171 TRACTOR CARD.....	42,554	42,554	---
172 JOINT TACTICAL GROUND SYSTEM.....	27,630	27,630	---
173 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV).....	3,044	3,044	---
175 SECURITY AND INTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.....	2,854	2,854	---
176 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	61,220	61,220	---
177 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	100,505	160,745	+60,240
178 SATCOM GROUND ENVIRONMENT (SPACE).....	12,104	12,104	---
179 WMCSS/GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	23,937	23,937	---
181 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	40,650	40,650	---
182 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	44,198	44,198	---
183 MQ-1 SKY WARRIOR A UAV.....	137,038	137,038	---
184 RQ-11 UAV.....	1,938	1,938	---
185 RQ-7 UAV.....	31,940	31,940	---
187 BIOMETRICS ENABLED INTELLIGENCE.....	15,018	15,018	---
188 END ITEM INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS ACTIVITIES.....	59,297	59,297	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	1,403,837	1,461,772	+57,935
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	4,536	4,536	---
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, ARMY.....	9,683,980	9,381,166	-302,814

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL TABLES  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>4 UNIVERSITY AND INDUSTRY RESEARCH CENTERS</b>	<b>120,937</b>	<b>140,937</b>	<b>20,000</b>
Historically Black Colleges and Universities		20,000	
<b>17 WEAPONS AND MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>39,813</b>	<b>54,813</b>	<b>15,000</b>
Program increase		15,000	
<b>19 NIGHT VISION TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>57,203</b>	<b>49,203</b>	<b>-8,000</b>
Program growth adjustment		-8,000	
<b>30 MEDICAL ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>68,171</b>	<b>96,971</b>	<b>28,800</b>
Peer-Reviewed Neurotoxin Exposure Treatment			
Parkinsons Research Program		16,000	
Neurofibromatosis Research Program		12,800	
<b>33 COMBAT VEHICLE AND AUTOMOTIVE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>106,145</b>	<b>95,145</b>	<b>-11,000</b>
Program growth adjustment		-11,000	
<b>36 ELECTRONIC WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>57,963</b>	<b>53,963</b>	<b>-4,000</b>
Program growth adjustment		-4,000	
<b>40 MILITARY HIV RESEARCH</b>	<b>6,796</b>	<b>22,796</b>	<b>16,000</b>
Program increase		16,000	
<b>46 TRACTOR CAGE</b>	<b>10,315</b>	<b>5,315</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
Classified adjustment		-5,000	
<b>52 MILITARY ENGINEERING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>36,516</b>	<b>28,516</b>	<b>-8,000</b>
Program growth adjustment		-8,000	
<b>55 ARMY MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEMS INTEGRATION</b>	<b>36,009</b>	<b>24,455</b>	<b>-11,554</b>
Program growth adjustment		-11,554	
<b>59 SMOKE, OBSCURANT AND TARGET DEFEATING SYSTEM-ADVANCE DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>9,501</b>	<b>4,501</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
Program growth adjustment		-5,000	
<b>61 ADVANCED TANK ARMAMENT SYSTEM (ATAS)</b>	<b>101,408</b>	<b>64,408</b>	<b>-37,000</b>
Program growth adjustment		-37,000	
<b>79 ARMED, DEPLOYABLE OH-58D</b>	<b>166,132</b>	<b>131,132</b>	<b>-35,000</b>
OH-58D support for continued service		-20,000	
New armed reconnaissance helicopter analysis		-15,000	
<b>84 INFANTRY SUPPORT WEAPONS</b>	<b>73,728</b>	<b>91,474</b>	<b>17,746</b>
Army requested transfer for Engineering and Manufacturing Development testing from WTCV line 17		16,046	
Army requested transfer for re-testing following a parts failure from WTCV line 20		1,700	
<b>90 LIGHT TACTICAL WHEELED VEHICLES</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50,000</b>	<b>50,000</b>
Armored HMMVV survivability enhancements		50,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>FCS SYSTEMS OF SYSTEMS ENGR AND PROGRAM</b>			
93 <b>MANAGEMENT</b>	383,872	311,872	-72,000
Unjustified requirement		-72,000	
97 <b>FCS SUSTAINMENT AND TRAINING Research and Develc</b>	0	52,693	52,693
Army requested adjustment for Special Termination Liability		102,693	
Program adjustment		-50,000	
109 <b>LOGISTICS AND ENGINEER EQUIPMENT - SDD</b>	251,104	226,104	-25,000
Joint Light Tactical Vehicle program delay		-25,000	
113 <b>ARTILLERY MUNITIONS</b>	42,627	35,627	-7,000
Program growth adjustment		-7,000	
119 <b>SOLDIER SYSTEMS - WARRIOR DEM/VAL</b>	48,309	40,709	-7,600
Schedule delays		-7,600	
120 <b>ARTILLERY SYSTEMS</b>	120,146	70,146	-50,000
Funding excess to need		-50,000	
121 <b>PATRIOT/MEADS COMBINED AGGREGATE PROGRAM</b>	406,605	257,105	-149,500
Program decrease		-149,500	
129 <b>MANNED GROUND VEHICLE</b>	884,387	768,053	-116,334
Program delay		-116,334	
152 <b>TECHNICAL INFORMATION ACTIVITIES</b>	63,872	54,872	-9,000
Program growth adjustment		-9,000	
160 <b>AEROSTAT JOINT PROJECT OFFICE</b>	344,655	327,855	-16,800
Program growth adjustment - Joint Land Attack Cruise			
Missile Defense Elevated Netted Sensor System		-16,800	
<b>MISSILE/AIR DEFENSE PRODUCT IMPROVEMENT</b>			
169 <b>PROGRAM</b>	44,560	59,055	14,495
Army requested transfer from Missile Procurement, Army line 13		14,495	
177 <b>GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM</b>	100,505	160,745	60,240
Army requested transfer for GCSSA from Other Procurement, Army line 116		47,240	
Army requested transfer for Army Enterprise System Integration from Other Procurement, Army Line 116		13,000	

## GROUND COMBAT VEHICLE

The fiscal year 2012 budget request proposes \$884,387,000 for the Ground Combat Vehicle program. The Committee is aware that uncertainty regarding program requirements caused the Army to recall, revise, and reissue the request for proposals. The Committee believes that the action taken to recall and reissue the request for proposals was prudent and will strengthen the program going forward. The Committee notes that various program activities have been delayed by approximately eight months, and it is anticipated that contract awards originally planned for the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2012 will be delayed into fiscal year 2013. The Committee's recommendation provides \$768,053,000, which is a reduction of \$116,334,000. The Committee continues its strong support for the Ground Combat Vehicle program.

## SUSTAINABLE MANUFACTURING

The Committee encourages acceleration of the development of innovative sustainable manufacturing technologies to enable the Army and its industrial suppliers to meet the regulatory and policy requirements for energy consumption and avoidance of hazardous materials in the manufacture and maintenance of its weapon systems.

## ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY TECHNOLOGY

The Committee is advised that the Army's Environmental Quality Technology program demonstrates and validates technologies in energy, environment, and sustainability, for adoption by users across the Department of Defense. Technology must be validated before potential users will consider adoption, and the Army must carefully manage resources in this and other critical mission areas, including implementing sustainability plans for military installations in the continental United States. Such plans must meet the requirements established for federal agencies to reduce energy consumption, and address priority Department of Defense energy security challenges and net zero energy/water/waste initiatives. The Committee appreciates the aggressive approach the Army has demonstrated in addressing environmental quality matters and encourages the Army to allocate the necessary resources to ensure continued progress.

## HELICOPTER SITUATIONAL AWARENESS

The Committee is aware of the high percentage of Army helicopter combat theater losses that are not related to enemy action. Instead, the accidents occur due to loss of situational awareness during takeoff and landing or other operations in dusty or brown-out conditions near the ground. In the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2011, the President's budget request included \$39,912,000 for Night Vision Advanced Technology. An additional amount of \$23,100,000 was provided in fiscal year 2011 in order to support advances in technology to assist aircrews with improved situational awareness. However, progress remains slow and therefore the Committee's concerns regarding the loss of aircraft, aircrew members, and passengers are undiminished. The Committee

recommends full funding for the President’s fiscal year 2012 request of \$42,414,000. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of the Army to seek advanced technology for improved situational awareness for aircrews through full and open competition.

M1 ABRAMS TANK ENGINE IMPROVEMENTS

The Committee is aware that the turbine engine that powers the M1 Abrams tank today is much the same engine as when the Abrams was first fielded thirty years ago. During that same time period modifications for survivability and lethality have increased the weight of the tank by ten tons, pushing the tank to its limits for space, weight and power. The Committee understands that M1 series tanks are expected to remain the center piece for ground combat formations for decades. The Committee understands that the Secretary of the Army is committed to improving fuel efficiency in Army equipment, including combat vehicles. The Committee recommendation for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army, line 163, Combat Vehicle Improvement Programs, is \$53,307,000, the full amount proposed in the President’s request. The Committee strongly encourages the Secretary of the Army to pursue improvements to the M1 Abrams tank engine, including improvements for reliability and fuel efficiency, using funds available in this line, and by providing additional funding as the Secretary may determine to be necessary by the normal reprogramming process.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION, NAVY

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$17,736,303,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	17,956,431,000
Committee recommendation .....	17,798,950,000
Change from budget request .....	- 157,481,000

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Navy, which includes the Marine Corps. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	113,157	133,157	+20,000
2	IN-HOUSE LABORATORY INDEPENDENT RESEARCH.....	18,092	18,092	---
3	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	446,123	446,123	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	577,372	597,372	+20,000
APPLIED RESEARCH				
4	POWER PROJECTION APPLIED RESEARCH.....	104,804	104,804	---
5	FORCE PROTECTION APPLIED RESEARCH.....	156,901	156,901	---
6	MARINE CORPS LANDING FORCE TECHNOLOGY.....	44,845	44,845	---
8	COMMON PICTURE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	65,448	65,448	---
9	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.....	101,205	101,205	---
10	RF SYSTEMS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	108,329	108,329	---
11	OCEAN WARFIGHTING ENVIRONMENT APPLIED RESEARCH.....	50,076	50,076	---
12	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	5,937	5,937	---
13	UNDERSEA WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	108,666	108,666	---
14	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE APPLIED RESEARCH.....	37,583	37,583	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	783,794	783,794	---
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
15	POWER PROJECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	114,270	114,270	---
16	FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	64,057	45,234	-18,823
17	COMMON PICTURE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	49,068	49,068	---
18	WARFIGHTER SUSTAINMENT ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	71,232	71,232	---
19	ELECTROMAGNETIC SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	102,535	102,535	---
20	MARINE CORPS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATION (ATD)...	124,324	124,324	---
21	JOINT NON-LETHAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	11,286	11,286	---
22	WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	18,119	56,819	+38,700
23	UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	37,121	42,121	+5,000
24	NAVY WARFIGHTING EXPERIMENTS AND DEMONSTRATIONS.....	50,157	50,157	---
25	MINE AND EXPEDITIONARY WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY....	6,048	6,048	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	648,217	673,094	+24,877

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION				
26	AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS.....	94,972	84,972	-10,000
27	AVIATION SURVIVABILITY.....	10,893	10,893	---
28	DEPLOYABLE JOINT COMMAND AND CONTROL.....	3,702	3,702	---
29	AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS.....	10,497	10,497	---
30	ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	7,915	7,915	---
31	TACTICAL AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE.....	5,978	5,978	---
32	ADVANCED COMBAT SYSTEMS TECHNOLOGY.....	1,418	1,418	---
33	SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEASURES.....	142,657	121,657	-21,000
34	SURFACE SHIP TORPEDO DEFENSE.....	118,764	118,764	---
35	CARRIER SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	54,072	54,072	---
37	PILOT FISH.....	96,012	96,012	---
38	RETRACT LARCH.....	73,421	73,421	---
39	RETRACT JUNIPER.....	130,267	130,267	---
40	RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL.....	1,338	1,338	---
41	SURFACE ASW.....	29,797	29,797	---
42	ADVANCED SUBMARINE SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT.....	856,326	856,326	---
43	SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEMS.....	9,253	9,253	---
44	SHIP CONCEPT ADVANCED DESIGN.....	14,308	14,308	---
45	SHIP PRELIMINARY DESIGN & FEASIBILITY STUDIES.....	22,213	22,213	---
46	ADVANCED NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS.....	463,683	463,683	---
47	ADVANCED SURFACE MACHINERY SYSTEMS.....	18,249	18,249	---
48	CHALK EAGLE.....	584,159	584,159	---
49	LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS).....	286,784	296,784	+10,000
50	COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION.....	34,157	34,157	---
51	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS.....	4,753	4,753	---
52	MARINE CORPS ASSAULT VEHICLES.....	12,000	12,000	---
53	MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	79,858	79,858	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
54 JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	33,654	33,654	---
55 COOPERATIVE ENGAGEMENT.....	54,783	54,783	---
56 OCEAN ENGINEERING TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	9,996	9,996	---
57 ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION.....	21,714	21,714	---
58 NAVY ENERGY PROGRAM.....	70,538	70,538	---
59 FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT.....	3,754	3,754	---
60 CHALK CORAL.....	79,415	79,415	---
61 NAVY LOGISTIC PRODUCTIVITY.....	4,137	4,137	---
62 RETRACT MAPLE.....	276,383	276,383	---
63 LINK PLUMERIA.....	52,721	52,721	---
64 RETRACT ELM.....	160,964	160,964	---
66 LINK EVERGREEN.....	144,985	144,985	---
67 SPECIAL PROCESSES.....	43,704	43,704	---
68 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	9,140	9,140	---
69 LAND ATTACK TECHNOLOGY.....	421	421	---
70 NONLETHAL WEAPONS.....	40,992	40,992	---
71 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS.....	121,455	118,255	-3,200
75 TACTICAL AIR DIRECTIONAL INFRARED COUNTERMEASURES.....	64,107	64,107	---
76 ASE SELF-PROTECTION OPTIMIZATION.....	711	711	---
77 JOINT COUNTER RADIO CONTROLLED IED ELECTRONIC WARFARE..	62,044	62,044	---
78 PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM.....	22,665	3,450	-19,215
79 SPACE & ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) ARCHITECTURE/ENGINE..	33,621	33,621	---
80 ASW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT - MIP.....	1,078	1,078	---
82 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT - MIP.....	625	625	---
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	4,481,053	4,437,638	-43,415

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
83 OTHER HELO DEVELOPMENT.....	35,651	35,651	---
84 AV-8B AIRCRAFT - ENG DEV.....	30,676	30,676	---
85 STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT.....	51,191	49,491	-1,700
86 MULTI-MISSION HELICOPTER UPGRADE DEVELOPMENT.....	17,673	17,673	---
87 AIR/OCEAN EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING.....	5,922	5,922	---
88 P-3 MODERNIZATION PROGRAM.....	3,417	3,417	---
89 WARFARE SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	9,944	9,944	---
90 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM.....	81,257	81,257	---
91 ADVANCED HAWKEYE.....	110,994	110,994	---
92 H-1 UPGRADES.....	72,569	67,569	-5,000
93 ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS.....	56,509	48,898	-7,611
94 V-22A.....	84,477	84,477	---
95 AIR CREW SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	3,249	3,249	---
96 EA-18.....	17,100	17,100	---
97 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	89,418	89,418	---
98 VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT.....	180,070	160,070	-20,000
99 NEXT GENERATION JAMMER (NGJ).....	189,919	189,919	---
100 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY).....	688,146	635,146	-53,000
101 SURFACE COMBATANT COMBAT SYSTEM ENGINEERING.....	223,283	223,283	---
102 LPD-17 CLASS SYSTEMS INTEGRATION.....	884	884	---
103 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB).....	47,635	47,635	---
104 STANDARD MISSILE IMPROVEMENTS.....	46,705	46,705	---
105 AIRBORNE MCM.....	41,142	41,142	---
106 NAVAL INTEGRATED FIRE CONTROL-COUNTER AIR SYSTEMS ENG.	24,898	24,898	---
107 FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM.....	121,150	81,150	-40,000
108 ADVANCED ABOVE WATER SENSORS.....	227,358	227,358	---
109 SSN-688 AND TRIDENT MODERNIZATION.....	100,591	100,591	---
110 AIR CONTROL.....	5,521	5,521	---
111 SHIPBOARD AVIATION SYSTEMS.....	45,445	45,445	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
112 COMBAT INFORMATION CENTER CONVERSION.....	3,400	3,400	---
113 NEW DESIGN SSN.....	97,235	112,235	+15,000
114 SUBMARINE TACTICAL WARFARE SYSTEM.....	48,466	48,466	---
115 SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E.....	161,099	121,099	-40,000
116 NAVY TACTICAL COMPUTER RESOURCES.....	3,848	3,848	---
117 MINE DEVELOPMENT.....	3,933	3,933	---
118 LIGHTWEIGHT TORPEDO DEVELOPMENT.....	32,592	32,592	---
119 JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	9,960	9,960	---
120 PERSONNEL, TRAINING, SIMULATION, AND HUMAN FACTORS.....	12,992	12,992	---
121 JOINT STANDOFF WEAPON SYSTEMS.....	7,506	7,506	---
122 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (DETECT & CONTROL).....	71,222	71,222	---
123 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: HARD KILL).....	6,631	6,631	---
124 SHIP SELF DEFENSE (ENGAGE: SOFT KILL/EW).....	184,095	184,095	---
125 INTELLIGENCE ENGINEERING.....	2,217	2,217	---
126 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT.....	12,984	31,084	+18,100
127 NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM.....	50,178	35,178	-15,000
128 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD.....	670,723	651,786	-18,937
129 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF).....	677,486	658,549	-18,937
130 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	27,461	27,461	---
131 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	58,764	58,764	---
132 NAVY INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM.....	55,050	55,050	---
133 CH-53K.....	629,461	624,461	-5,000
135 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM).....	118,395	108,395	-10,000
136 MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA).....	622,713	632,713	+10,000
138 DDG-1000.....	261,604	257,604	-4,000
139 TACTICAL COMMAND SYSTEM - MIP.....	979	979	---
141 TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC SYSTEMS.....	31,740	31,740	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	6,475,528	6,279,443	-196,085

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
142 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	28,318	28,318	---
143 TARGET SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	44,700	44,700	---
144 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	37,957	37,957	---
145 JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION....	2,970	2,970	---
146 STUDIES AND ANALYSIS SUPPORT - NAVY.....	23,454	23,454	---
147 CENTER FOR NAVAL ANALYSES.....	47,127	47,127	---
148 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATIVE RESEARCH.....	10	10	---
149 TECHNICAL INFORMATION SERVICES.....	571	571	---
150 MANAGEMENT, TECHNICAL & INTERNATIONAL SUPPORT.....	68,301	68,301	---
151 STRATEGIC TECHNICAL SUPPORT.....	3,277	3,277	---
152 RDT&E SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY MANAGEMENT.....	73,917	73,917	---
153 RDT&E SHIP AND AIRCRAFT SUPPORT.....	136,531	136,531	---
154 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.....	335,367	335,367	---
155 OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION CAPABILITY.....	16,634	16,634	---
156 NAVY SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE (SEW) SUPPORT.....	4,228	4,228	---
157 SEW SURVEILLANCE/RECONNAISSANCE SUPPORT.....	7,642	7,642	---
158 MARINE CORPS PROGRAM WIDE SUPPORT.....	25,655	25,655	---
159 TACTICAL CRYPTOLOGIC ACTIVITIES.....	2,764	2,764	---
-----			
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	859,423	859,423	---
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
164 UNMANNED COMBAT AIR VEHICLE (UCAV) ADVANCED COMPONENT..	198,298	198,298	---
165 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT.....	400	400	---
166 MARINE CORPS DATA SYSTEMS.....	1,650	1,650	---
167 STRATEGIC SUB & WEAPONS SYSTEM SUPPORT.....	88,873	88,873	---
168 SSBN SECURITY TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.....	33,553	33,553	---
169 SUBMARINE ACOUSTIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	6,360	6,360	---
170 NAVY STRATEGIC COMMUNICATIONS.....	23,208	23,208	---
171 RAPID TECHNOLOGY TRANSITION (RTT).....	30,021	30,021	---
172 F/A-18 SQUADRONS.....	151,030	145,161	-5,869
173 E-2 SQUADRONS.....	6,696	6,696	---
174 FLEET TELECOMMUNICATIONS (TACTICAL).....	1,739	1,739	---
175 SURFACE SUPPORT.....	3,377	3,377	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
176 TOMAHAWK AND TOMAHAWK MISSION PLANNING CENTER (TMPC)...	8,819	8,819	---
177 INTEGRATED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM.....	21,259	21,259	---
178 AMPHIBIOUS TACTICAL SUPPORT UNITS.....	5,214	5,214	---
179 CONSOLIDATED TRAINING SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	42,244	42,244	---
180 CRYPTOLOGIC DIRECT SUPPORT.....	1,447	1,447	---
181 ELECTRONIC WARFARE (EW) READINESS SUPPORT.....	18,142	18,142	---
182 HARM IMPROVEMENT.....	11,147	11,147	---
183 TACTICAL DATA LINKS.....	69,224	69,224	---
184 SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION.....	22,010	29,510	+7,500
185 MK-48 ADCAP.....	39,288	46,788	+7,500
186 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS.....	123,012	100,423	-22,589
187 NAVY SCIENCE ASSISTANCE PROGRAM.....	1,957	1,957	---
188 OPERATIONAL NUCLEAR POWER SYSTEMS.....	82,705	82,705	---
189 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	320,864	320,864	---
190 MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS SYSTEMS....	209,396	184,396	-25,000
191 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT.....	45,172	27,072	-18,100
192 USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS (MIP)....	14,101	14,101	---
193 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES.....	8,765	8,765	---
194 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM)....	2,913	2,913	---
195 JOINT HIGH SPEED VESSEL (JHSV).....	4,108	4,108	---
200 SATELLITE COMMUNICATIONS (SPACE).....	263,712	263,712	---
201 CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES.....	12,906	12,906	---
202 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	25,229	25,229	---
203 WMMCCS/Global Command and Control System.....	1,250	1,250	---
204 CONSOLIDATED AFLOAT NETWORK ENTERPRISE SERVICES (MIP) .	6,602	6,602	---
206 COBRA JUDY.....	40,605	40,605	---
207 NAVY METEOROLOGICAL AND OCEAN SENSORS-SPACE (METOC)...	904	904	---
208 JOINT MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS.....	4,099	4,099	---
209 TACTICAL UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	9,353	9,353	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
212 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	23,785	23,785	---
213 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND SYSTEMS/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	25,487	25,487	---
214 RQ-4 UAV.....	548,482	548,482	---
215 MQ-8 UAV.....	108,248	108,248	---
216 RQ-11 UAV.....	979	979	---
217 RQ-7 UAV.....	872	872	---
219 SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASLO).....	22,698	21,398	-1,300
220 MEDIUM RANGE MARITIME UAS.....	15,000	15,000	---
221 RQ-21A.....	26,301	21,301	-5,000
223 MODELING AND SIMULATION SUPPORT.....	8,292	8,292	---
224 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF).....	21,609	21,609	---
226 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	54,031	54,031	---
227 MARITIME TECHNOLOGY (MARITECH).....	5,000	5,000	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	2,822,436	2,759,578	-62,858
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	1,308,608	1,408,608	+100,000
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, NAVY.....	17,956,431	17,798,950	-157,481

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>1 UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES</b>	<b>113,157</b>	<b>133,157</b>	<b>20,000</b>
Program increase - competitive based university research program		20,000	
<b>16 FORCE PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>64,057</b>	<b>45,234</b>	<b>-18,823</b>
Excess MRMJAS funding		-18,823	
<b>22 WARFIGHTER PROTECTION ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>18,119</b>	<b>56,819</b>	<b>38,700</b>
Program increase - Bone Marrow Registry Program		31,500	
Program increase - tactical athlete program		7,200	
<b>23 UNDERSEA WARFARE ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>37,121</b>	<b>42,121</b>	<b>5,000</b>
Program increase - ASW research		5,000	
<b>26 AIR/OCEAN TACTICAL APPLICATIONS</b>	<b>94,972</b>	<b>84,972</b>	<b>-10,000</b>
JMAPS program delay		-10,000	
<b>33 SURFACE AND SHALLOW WATER MINE COUNTERMEAS</b>	<b>142,657</b>	<b>121,657</b>	<b>-21,000</b>
UUV program delay		-6,000	
Program execution		-15,000	
<b>49 LITTORAL COMBAT SHIP (LCS)</b>	<b>286,784</b>	<b>296,784</b>	<b>10,000</b>
Program increase - Small business technology insertion (mission modules)		10,000	
<b>71 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS</b>	<b>121,455</b>	<b>118,255</b>	<b>-3,200</b>
Excess management services funding		-3,200	
<b>78 PRECISION STRIKE WEAPONS DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM</b>	<b>22,665</b>	<b>3,450</b>	<b>-19,215</b>
Excess support funding		-1,000	
FMU-164 fuze program termination		-18,215	
<b>85 STANDARDS DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>51,191</b>	<b>49,491</b>	<b>-1,700</b>
Collision avoidance safety program delay		-1,700	
<b>92 H-1 UPGRADES</b>	<b>72,569</b>	<b>67,569</b>	<b>-5,000</b>
Development support funding growth		-5,000	
<b>93 ACOUSTIC SEARCH SENSORS</b>	<b>56,509</b>	<b>48,898</b>	<b>-7,611</b>
Management services funding growth		-6,000	
High Altitude ASW program delay		-1,611	
<b>98 VH-71A EXECUTIVE HELO DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>180,070</b>	<b>160,070</b>	<b>-20,000</b>
Program delay		-20,000	
<b>100 JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM - NAVY (JTRS-NAVY)</b>	<b>688,146</b>	<b>635,146</b>	<b>-53,000</b>
Management services funding growth		-3,000	
Army deferred requirements		-50,000	
<b>107 FUTURE UNMANNED CARRIER-BASED STRIKE SYSTEM</b>	<b>121,150</b>	<b>81,150</b>	<b>-40,000</b>
Program growth		-40,000	
<b>113 NEW DESIGN SSN</b>	<b>97,235</b>	<b>112,235</b>	<b>15,000</b>
Program increase - Small business technology insertion		15,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
115 SHIP CONTRACT DESIGN/LIVE FIRE T&E Ship to shore connector program delay	161,099	121,099 -40,000	-40,000
126 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT Program increase - wound care research Program increase - military dental research	12,984	31,084 13,000 5,100	18,100
127 NAVIGATION/ID SYSTEM Mode 5 program delay	50,178	35,178 -15,000	-15,000
128 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) - EMD Block IV development ahead of need	670,723	651,786 -18,937	-18,937
129 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF) Block IV development ahead of need	677,486	658,549 -18,937	-18,937
133 CH-53K Management services funding growth	629,461	624,461 -5,000	-5,000
135 JOINT AIR-TO-GROUND MISSILE (JAGM) Program delay	118,395	108,395 -10,000	-10,000
136 MULTI-MISSION MARITIME AIRCRAFT (MMA) Program increase - Small business technology insertion	622,713	632,713 10,000	10,000
138 DDG-1000 Government technical services growth	261,604	257,604 -4,000	-4,000
172 F/A-18 SQUADRONS Radar upgrade program delay	151,030	145,161 -5,869	-5,869
184 SURFACE ASW COMBAT SYSTEM INTEGRATION Program increase - Small business technology insertion	22,010	29,510 7,500	7,500
185 MK-48 ADCAP Program increase - Small business technology insertion	39,288	46,788 7,500	7,500
186 AVIATION IMPROVEMENTS Multi purpose bomb rack program termination	123,012	100,423 -22,589	-22,589
190 MARINE CORPS GROUND COMBAT/SUPPORTING ARMS Joint Light Tactical Vehicle program delay	209,396	184,396 -25,000	-25,000
191 MARINE CORPS COMBAT SERVICES SUPPORT Program execution	45,172	27,072 -18,100	-18,100
219 SMALL (LEVEL 0) TACTICAL UAS (STUASL0) Excess support funding	22,698	21,398 -1,300	-1,300
221 RQ-21A Program delays	26,301	21,301 -5,000	-5,000
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	1,308,608	1,408,608 100,000	100,000

## SURFACE COMBATANT TOPSIDE SUPERSTRUCTURE CRACKING

The aluminum superstructures in the Navy's surface combatant ships have experienced cracking, resulting in damage and degraded platform readiness. The USS Gravelly recently experienced structural damage to a mast mounted antenna due to a failed weld on the stub mast foundation while the ship was at sea. The Secretary of the Navy is directed to submit a report to the congressional defense committees on the severity and impact of aluminum superstructure cracking not later than March 2, 2012. This report should include steps the Navy is taking to prevent or mitigate topside structure cracking, the practicality of using composite materials that will not fatigue or crack, the estimated cost to pursue the use of composite topside structures, the benefits and drawbacks (such as weight, cost, strength, etc.) of using composite materials as a replacement for topside structures, and a rough order of magnitude schedule the Navy believes is possible to incorporate the use of composite materials for topside structures on the majority of the surface combatant fleet.

## BONE MARROW REGISTRY

The Committee provides \$31,500,000 for the Department of the Navy, to be administered by the Bone Marrow Registry, also known as and referred to within the Naval Medical Research Center as the C.W. Bill Young Marrow Donor Recruitment and Research Program. Funds appropriated for the Bone Marrow Registry shall remain available only for the purposes for which they were appropriated and may only be obligated for the Bone Marrow Registry. This Department of Defense donor center has recruited more than 650,000 Department of Defense volunteers and provides more marrow donors per week than any other donor center in the Nation. More than 4,100 servicemembers and other Department volunteers from this donor center have provided marrow to save the lives of patients. The success of this national and international life-saving program for military and civilian patients, which now includes more than 9,200,000 potential volunteer donors, is admirable. Further, the agencies involved in contingency planning are encouraged to continue to include the Bone Marrow Registry in the development and testing of their contingency plans. The Department of Defense Form 1414 Base for Reprogramming Actions (DD Form 1414) shall show this as a congressional special interest item. The Department is further directed to release all funds appropriated for this purpose to the Bone Marrow Registry not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act.

## CRITICAL ARCHIVED DATA

The Committee understands that the Department of the Navy's critical archived data may not comply with the recommended security controls for federal systems established by the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). The Committee directs the Secretary of the Navy to review the state of the Navy's critical archived data and ensure the data meets the NIST security standards. Further, the Secretary of the Navy is directed to report the

results of this review to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION,  
AIR FORCE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$26,517,405,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	27,737,701,000
Committee recommendation .....	26,313,196,000
Change from budget request .....	-1,424,505,000

This appropriation finances the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Air Force. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	364,328	364,328	---
2	UNIVERSITY RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	140,273	140,273	---
3	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	14,258	14,258	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	518,859	518,859	---
APPLIED RESEARCH				
4	MATERIALS.....	136,230	136,230	---
5	AEROSPACE VEHICLE TECHNOLOGIES.....	147,628	147,628	---
6	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS APPLIED RESEARCH.....	86,663	86,663	---
7	AEROSPACE PROPULSION.....	207,508	207,508	---
8	AEROSPACE SENSORS.....	134,787	134,787	---
9	SPACE TECHNOLOGY.....	115,285	70,785	-44,500
10	CONVENTIONAL MUNITIONS.....	60,692	60,692	---
11	DIRECTED ENERGY TECHNOLOGY.....	111,156	111,156	---
12	DOMINANT INFORMATION SCIENCES AND METHODS.....	127,866	127,866	---
13	HIGH ENERGY LASER RESEARCH.....	54,059	54,059	---
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	1,181,874	1,137,374	-44,500
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT				
14	ADVANCED MATERIALS FOR WEAPON SYSTEMS.....	39,738	39,738	---
15	SUSTAINMENT SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY (S&T).....	5,780	5,780	---
16	ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS.....	53,075	63,075	+10,000
17	AEROSPACE TECHNOLOGY DEV/DEMO.....	67,474	67,474	---
18	AEROSPACE PROPULSION AND POWER TECHNOLOGY.....	120,953	120,953	---
19	ELECTRONIC COMBAT TECHNOLOGY.....	22,268	22,268	---
20	ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY.....	74,636	39,236	-35,400
21	MAUI SPACE SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM (MSSS).....	13,555	13,555	---
22	HUMAN EFFECTIVENESS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	25,319	25,319	---
23	CONVENTIONAL WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	54,042	54,042	---
24	ADVANCED WEAPONS TECHNOLOGY.....	28,683	28,683	---
25	MANUFACTURING TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.....	40,103	40,103	---
26	BATTLESPACE KNOWLEDGE DEVELOPMENT & DEMONSTRATION.....	38,656	38,656	---
27	HIGH ENERGY LASER ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROGRAM.....	1,122	1,122	---
	TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	585,404	560,004	-25,400

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT			
28 INTELLIGENCE ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	4,013	4,013	---
29 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	3,586	3,586	---
31 ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE).....	421,687	354,487	-67,200
32 POLAR MILSATCOM (SPACE).....	122,991	122,991	---
33 SPACE CONTROL TECHNOLOGY.....	45,755	45,755	---
34 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY.....	38,496	28,496	-10,000
35 NATO RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.....	4,424	4,424	---
36 INTERNATIONAL SPACE COOPERATIVE R&D.....	642	642	---
37 SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP).....	9,819	4,819	-5,000
38 INTEGRATED BROADCAST SERVICE.....	20,046	20,046	---
39 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	67,202	67,202	---
40 WIDEBAND GAPFILLER SYSTEM RDT&E (SPACE).....	12,804	12,804	---
41 POLLUTION PREVENTION (DEM/VAL).....	2,075	2,075	---
42 JOINT PRECISION APPROACH AND LANDING SYSTEMS.....	20,112	20,112	---
43 NEXT GENERATION BOMBER.....	197,023	297,023	+100,000
44 BATTLE MGMT COM & CTRL SENSOR DEVELOPMENT.....	60,250	60,250	---
45 TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER.....	2,553	2,553	---
46 HARD AND DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM.....	38,248	28,248	-10,000
47 JOINT DUAL ROLE AIR DOMINANCE MISSILE.....	29,759	29,759	---
48 REQUIREMENTS ANALYSIS AND MATURATION.....	24,217	24,217	---
50 GROUND ATTACK WEAPONS FUZE DEVELOPMENT.....	24,467	24,467	---
53 OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE.....	86,543	29,543	-57,000
54 TECH TRANSITION PROGRAM.....	2,773	2,773	---
55 NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OPERATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL SAT.....	444,900	225,000	-219,900
-----			
TOTAL, ADVANCED COMPONENT DEVELOPMENT.....	1,684,385	1,415,285	-269,100

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
56 GLOBAL BROADCAST SERVICE (GBS).....	5,680	5,680	---
57 NUCLEAR WEAPONS SUPPORT.....	18,538	18,538	---
58 SPECIALIZED UNDERGRADUATE FLIGHT TRAINING.....	21,780	21,780	---
59 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT.....	26,880	26,880	---
61 TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE.....	52,355	51,355	-1,000
62 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT.....	51	51	---
63 SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (SDB).....	132,891	132,891	---
64 COUNTERSPACE SYSTEMS.....	31,913	31,913	---
65 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS.....	273,689	221,089	-52,600
66 AIRBORNE ELECTRONIC ATTACK.....	47,100	47,100	---
67 SPACE BASED INFRARED SYSTEM (SBIRS) HIGH EMD.....	621,629	621,629	---
69 ARMAMENT/ORDNANCE DEVELOPMENT.....	10,055	10,055	---
70 SUBMUNITIONS.....	2,427	2,427	---
71 AGILE COMBAT SUPPORT.....	11,878	11,878	---
73 LIFE SUPPORT SYSTEMS.....	11,280	11,280	---
74 COMBAT TRAINING RANGES.....	28,106	28,106	---
75 INTEGRATED COMMAND & CONTROL APPLICATIONS (IC2A).....	10	10	---
76 INTELLIGENCE EQUIPMENT.....	995	995	---
77 JOINT STRIKE FIGHTER (JSF).....	1,387,926	1,387,926	---
78 INTERCONTINENTAL BALLISTIC MISSILE.....	158,477	158,477	---
79 EVOLVED EXPENDABLE LAUNCH VEHICLE PROGRAM (SPACE).....	20,028	20,028	---
80 NEXT GENERATION AERIAL REFUELING AIRCRAFT.....	877,084	877,084	---
81 CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION.....	94,113	11,113	-83,000
83 HC/MC-130 RECAP RDT&E.....	27,071	27,071	---
85 NUCLEAR WEAPONS MODERNIZATION.....	93,867	93,867	---
86 LIGHT ATTACK ARMED RECONNAISSANCE (LAAR) SQUADRONS.....	23,721	23,721	---
88 FULL COMBAT MISSION TRAINING.....	39,826	39,826	---
89 JOINT CARGO AIRCRAFT (JCA).....	27,089	27,089	---
90 CV-22.....	20,723	15,723	-5,000
91 AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S).....	12,535	34,435	+21,900
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	4,079,717	3,960,017	-119,700

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
92 THREAT SIMULATOR DEVELOPMENT.....	22,420	22,420	---
93 MAJOR T&E INVESTMENT.....	62,206	62,206	---
94 RAND PROJECT AIR FORCE.....	27,579	27,579	---
96 INITIAL OPERATIONAL TEST & EVALUATION.....	17,767	17,767	---
97 TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT.....	654,475	654,475	---
98 ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE).....	158,096	33,596	-124,500
99 SPACE TEST PROGRAM (STP).....	47,926	47,926	---
100 FACILITIES RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION - TEST & EVAL..	44,547	44,547	---
101 FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT - TEST AND EVALUATION SUPPORT..	27,953	27,953	---
102 MULTI-SERVICE SYSTEMS ENGINEERING INITIATIVE.....	13,953	13,953	---
103 ACQUISITION AND MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	31,966	31,966	---
104 GENERAL SKILL TRAINING.....	1,510	1,510	---
106 INTERNATIONAL ACTIVITIES.....	3,798	3,798	---
-----			
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	1,114,196	989,696	-124,500
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
107 GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT.....	390,889	342,889	-48,000
108 COMMON VERTICAL LIFT SUPPORT PLATFORM.....	5,365	5,365	---
109 AIR FORCE INTEGRATED MILITARY HUMAN RESOURCES SYSTEM..	91,866	91,866	---
110 ANTI-TAMPER TECHNOLOGY EXECUTIVE AGENCY.....	35,467	35,467	---
112 B-52 SQUADRONS.....	133,261	133,261	---
113 AIR-LAUNCHED CRUISE MISSILE (ALCM).....	803	803	---
114 B-1B SQUADRONS.....	33,011	33,011	---
115 B-2 SQUADRONS.....	340,819	362,819	+22,000
116 STRAT WAR PLANNING SYSTEM - USSTRATCOM.....	23,072	23,072	---
117 NIGHT FIST - USSTRATCOM.....	5,421	5,421	---
119 ATMOSPHERIC EARLY WARNING SYSTEM.....	4,485	4,485	---
120 REGION/SECTOR OPERATION CONTROL CENTER MODERNIZATION..	12,672	12,672	---
121 STRATEGIC AEROSPACE INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES....	14	14	---
122 WARFIGHTER RAPID ACQUISITION PROCESS (WRAP) RAPID TRAN	19,934	19,934	---
123 MQ-9 UAV.....	146,824	146,824	---
125 A-10 SQUADRONS.....	11,051	11,051	---
126 F-16 SQUADRONS.....	143,869	143,869	---
127 F-15E SQUADRONS.....	207,531	207,531	---
128 MANNED DESTRUCTIVE SUPPRESSION.....	13,253	13,253	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
129 F-22 SQUADRONS.....	718,432	658,432	-60,000
130 F-35 SQUADRONS.....	47,841	9,967	-37,874
131 TACTICAL AIM MISSILES.....	8,023	8,023	---
132 ADVANCED MEDIUM RANGE AIR-TO-AIR MISSILE (AMRAAM).....	77,830	77,830	---
133 JOINT HELMET MOUNTED CUEING SYSTEM (JHMCS).....	1,436	1,436	---
134 COMBAT RESCUE AND RECOVERY.....	2,292	2,292	---
135 COMBAT RESCUE - PARARESCUE.....	927	927	---
136 AF TENCAP.....	20,727	20,727	---
137 PRECISION ATTACK SYSTEMS PROCUREMENT.....	3,128	3,128	---
138 COMPASS CALL.....	18,509	18,509	---
139 AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM.....	182,967	164,878	-18,089
141 JOINT AIR-TO-SURFACE STANDOFF MISSILE (JASSM).....	5,796	5,796	---
142 AIR AND SPACE OPERATIONS CENTER (AOC).....	121,880	121,880	---
143 CONTROL AND REPORTING CENTER (CRC).....	3,954	3,954	---
144 AIRBORNE WARNING AND CONTROL SYSTEM (AWACS).....	135,961	135,961	---
145 TACTICAL AIRBORNE CONTROL SYSTEMS.....	8,309	8,309	---
146 ADVANCED COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	90,083	90,083	---
148 COMBAT AIR INTELLIGENCE SYSTEM ACTIVITIES.....	5,428	5,428	---
149 THEATER BATTLE MANAGEMENT (TBM) C4I.....	15,528	15,528	---
150 TACTICAL AIR CONTROL PARTY--MOD.....	15,978	15,978	---
152 C2ISR TACTICAL DATA LINK.....	1,536	1,536	---
153 COMMAND AND CONTROL (C2) CONSTELLATION.....	18,102	18,102	---
154 JOINT SURVEILLANCE AND TARGET ATTACK RADAR SYSTEM.....	121,610	121,610	---
155 SEEK EAGLE.....	18,599	18,599	---
156 USAF MODELING AND SIMULATION.....	23,091	23,091	---
157 WARGAMING AND SIMULATION CENTERS.....	5,779	5,779	---
158 DISTRIBUTED TRAINING AND EXERCISES.....	5,264	5,264	---
159 MISSION PLANNING SYSTEMS.....	69,918	69,918	---
160 INFORMATION WARFARE SUPPORT.....	2,322	2,322	---
161 CYBER COMMAND ACTIVITIES.....	702	702	---
168 SPACE SUPERIORITY INTELLIGENCE.....	11,866	11,866	---
169 E-4B NATIONAL AIRBORNE OPERATIONS CENTER (NAOC).....	5,845	5,845	---
170 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK.....	43,811	43,811	---
171 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	101,788	101,788	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
172 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	449	449	---
173 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	3,854	3,854	---
175 MILSATCOM TERMINALS.....	238,729	138,729	-100,000
175A FAB-T ALTERNATIVE.....	---	50,000	+50,000
177 AIRBORNE SIGINT ENTERPRISE.....	121,748	121,748	---
180 GLOBAL AIR TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT (GATM).....	4,604	4,604	---
181 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	2,026	2,026	---
182 DOD CYBER CRIME CENTER.....	282	282	---
183 SATELLITE CONTROL NETWORK (SPACE).....	18,337	18,337	---
184 WEATHER SERVICE.....	31,084	31,084	---
185 AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL, APPROACH, & LANDING SYSTEM (ATC).....	63,367	21,367	-42,000
186 AERIAL TARGETS.....	50,620	50,620	---
189 SECURITY AND INVESTIGATIVE ACTIVITIES.....	366	366	---
190 DEFENSE JOINT COUNTERINTELLIGENCE ACTIVITIES.....	39	39	---
192 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (USER EQUIPMENT).....	133,601	133,601	---
193 NAVSTAR GLOBAL POSITIONING SYSTEM (SPACE AND CONTROL).....	17,893	17,893	---
195 SPACE AND MISSILE TEST AND EVALUATION CENTER.....	196,254	171,254	-25,000
196 SPACE WARFARE CENTER.....	2,961	2,961	---
197 SPACELIFT RANGE SYSTEM (SPACE).....	9,940	9,940	---
198 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS.....	1,271	1,271	---
200 ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES.....	52,425	52,425	---
201 AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS.....	106,877	90,830	-16,047
202 MANNED RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS.....	13,049	13,049	---
203 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	90,724	90,724	---
204 PREDATOR UAV (JMIP).....	14,112	14,112	---
205 RQ-4 UAV.....	423,462	423,462	---
206 NETWORK-CENTRIC COLLABORATIVE TARGET (TIARA).....	7,348	7,348	---
207 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT.....	463,081	413,081	-50,000
208 JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM.....	118,950	78,950	-40,000
209 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION WARFARE.....	14,736	14,736	---
210 NUDET DETECTION SYSTEM (SPACE).....	81,989	81,989	---
212 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS OPERATIONS.....	31,956	31,956	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
213 INFORMATION OPS TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATION & TOOL DEVELOP.	23,931	23,931	---
214 SHARED EARLY WARNING (SEW).....	1,663	1,663	---
215 C-130 AIRLIFT SQUADRON.....	24,509	24,509	---
216 C-5 AIRLIFT SQUADRONS.....	24,941	24,941	---
217 C-17 AIRCRAFT.....	128,169	128,169	---
218 C-130J PROGRAM.....	39,537	39,537	---
219 LARGE AIRCRAFT IR COUNTERMEASURES (LAIRCM).....	7,438	7,438	---
220 LIGHT MOBILITY AIRCRAFT (LIMA).....	1,308	1,308	---
221 KC-135S.....	6,161	6,161	---
222 KC-10S.....	30,868	30,868	---
223 OPERATIONAL SUPPORT AIRLIFT.....	82,591	82,591	---
225 SPECIAL TACTICS / COMBAT CONTROL.....	7,118	7,118	---
226 DEPOT MAINTENANCE (NON-IF).....	1,531	1,531	---
228 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	944	944	---
229 LOGISTICS INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY (LOGIT).....	140,284	140,284	---
230 SUPPORT SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	10,990	10,990	---
232 OTHER FLIGHT TRAINING.....	322	322	---
233 JOINT NATIONAL TRAINING CENTER.....	11	11	---
235 OTHER PERSONNEL ACTIVITIES.....	113	113	---
236 JOINT PERSONNEL RECOVERY AGENCY.....	2,483	2,483	---
237 CIVILIAN COMPENSATION PROGRAM.....	1,508	1,508	---
238 PERSONNEL ADMINISTRATION.....	8,041	8,041	---
239 AIR FORCE STUDIES AND ANALYSIS AGENCY.....	928	928	---
240 FACILITIES OPERATION--ADMINISTRATION.....	12,118	12,118	---
241 FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT..	101,317	101,317	---
242 MANAGEMENT HQ--ADP SUPPORT (AF).....	299	299	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	6,510,126	6,145,116	-365,010
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	12,063,140	11,586,845	-476,295
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, AIR FORCE	27,737,701	26,313,196	-1,424,505

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
9 SPACE TECHNOLOGY Excess to need - duplicative efforts	115,285	70,785 -44,500	-44,500
16 ADVANCED AEROSPACE SENSORS Program increase	53,075	63,075 10,000	10,000
20 ADVANCED SPACECRAFT TECHNOLOGY Excess to need - duplicative efforts	74,636	39,236 -35,400	-35,400
31 ADVANCED EHF MILSATCOM (SPACE) Excess to need - poor justification	421,687	354,487 -67,200	-67,200
34 COMBAT IDENTIFICATION TECHNOLOGY ADS-B integration into APX-119 - excess funds	38,496	28,496 -10,000	-10,000
37 SPACE PROTECTION PROGRAM (SPP) Excess to need	9,819	4,819 -5,000	-5,000
43 NEXT GENERATION BOMBER Program increase	197,023	297,023 100,000	100,000
46 HARD & DEEPLY BURIED TARGET DEFEAT SYSTEM MOP development	38,248	28,248 -10,000	-10,000
53 OPERATIONALLY RESPONSIVE SPACE Excess to need - duplicative efforts	86,543	29,543 -57,000	-57,000
55 NATIONAL POLAR-ORBITING OP ENV SATELLITE Excess to need - poor justification	444,900	225,000 -219,900	-219,900
61 TACTICAL DATA NETWORKS ENTERPRISE Carryover from Network Enabled Weapons termination	52,355	51,355 -1,000	-1,000
65 SPACE SITUATION AWARENESS SYSTEMS Space Fence - poor justification Space Based Space Surveillance - excess to need	273,689	221,089 -40,000 -12,600	-52,600
81 CSAR HH-60 RECAPITALIZATION Excess to need	94,113	11,113 -83,000	-83,000
90 CV-22 Slow execution	20,723	15,723 -5,000	-5,000
91 AIRBORNE SENIOR LEADER C3 (SLC3S) Air Force requested transfer from Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	12,535	34,435 21,900	21,900
98 ROCKET SYSTEMS LAUNCH PROGRAM (SPACE) Excess to need	158,096	33,596 -124,500	-124,500
107 GPS III - OPERATIONAL CONTROL SEGMENT Slow execution	390,889	342,889 -48,000	-48,000

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>115 B-2 SQUADRONS</b>	<b>340,819</b>	<b>362,819</b>	<b>22,000</b>
Mixed loads capability		22,000	
<b>129 F-22 SQUADRONS</b>	<b>718,432</b>	<b>658,432</b>	<b>-60,000</b>
Advanced Technology Development		-20,000	
Projected fiscal year 2011 carryover (MADL)		-35,000	
Laboratory Test and Operations - efficiencies		-5,000	
<b>130 F-35 SQUADRONS</b>	<b>47,841</b>	<b>9,967</b>	<b>-37,874</b>
Block 4 development early to need		-37,874	
<b>AIRCRAFT ENGINE COMPONENT IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (CIP)</b>			
<b>139 PROGRAM (CIP)</b>	<b>182,967</b>	<b>164,878</b>	<b>-18,089</b>
F-135 Engine testing early to need		-18,089	
<b>175 MILSATCOM TERMINALS</b>	<b>238,729</b>	<b>138,729</b>	<b>-100,000</b>
FAB-T program execution		-100,000	
<b>175a FAB-T ALTERNATIVE</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>50,000</b>	<b>50,000</b>
FAB-T alternative		50,000	
<b>AIR TRAFFIC CONTROL/APPROACH/LANDING SYSTEM (ATCAL)</b>			
<b>185 (ATCAL)</b>	<b>63,367</b>	<b>21,367</b>	<b>-42,000</b>
D-RAPCON test articles early to need		-42,000	
<b>195 SPACE AND MISSILE T&amp;E CENTER</b>	<b>196,254</b>	<b>171,254</b>	<b>-25,000</b>
Excess to need		-25,000	
<b>201 AIRBORNE RECONNAISSANCE SYSTEMS</b>	<b>106,877</b>	<b>90,830</b>	<b>-16,047</b>
Gorgon Stare Increment 3 early to need		-16,047	
<b>207 GPS III SPACE SEGMENT</b>	<b>463,081</b>	<b>413,081</b>	<b>-50,000</b>
GPS IIIB development - excess to need		-50,000	
<b>208 JSPOC MISSION SYSTEM</b>	<b>118,950</b>	<b>78,950</b>	<b>-40,000</b>
Excess to need		-40,000	
<b>999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS</b>	<b>12,063,140</b>	<b>11,586,845</b>	<b>-476,295</b>
Classified adjustment		-476,295	

KC-46A CHANGE REPORTING

The award for the Air Force’s KC-46A aerial refueling tanker was announced on February 24, 2011. The Committee’s recommendation fully funds the request for this vital program. Air Force leadership testified before the Committee that efforts would be made to ensure that the new tanker will be delivered within cost and on schedule. In order to further this approach, the Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to report any authorized contract modifications with a cost greater than or equal to \$5,000,000 to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days following the authorization of such change.

RAPID ATTACK, IDENTIFICATION, DETECTION, AND REPORTING SYSTEM

The Committee recognizes the current and emerging threats from jammers to critical satellite communication systems. The Rapid Attack, Identification, Detection, and Reporting System (RAIDRS) is an integral part of the counterspace capabilities being developed by the Air Force for identification and responding to satellite communication interference. The Committee urges the Department of Defense to continue investment in this capability and directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act on the evolutionary upgrades planned for future blocks of this capability.

SMALL AND DISADVANTAGED BUSINESSES

The Committee notes the efforts of the Air Force’s Small and Disadvantaged Business Utilization program to reach out to the small and disadvantaged business community and broaden the base of available suppliers, increasing government access to innovative solutions and technologies. The Committee encourages the Air Force to continue to strengthen its small and disadvantaged business outreach efforts.

RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION,  
DEFENSE-WIDE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$20,797,412,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	19,755,678,000
Committee recommendation .....	19,324,865,000
Change from budget request .....	- 430,813,000

This appropriation provides funds for the research, development, test and evaluation activities of the Department of Defense for defense-wide activities. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE-WIDE				
BASIC RESEARCH				
1	DTRA UNIVERSITY STRATEGIC PARTNERSHIP BASIC RESEARCH..	47,737	47,737	---
2	DEFENSE RESEARCH SCIENCES.....	290,773	290,773	---
3	BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES.....	14,731	9,731	-5,000
5	BASIC OPERATIONAL MEDICAL RESEARCH SCIENCE.....	37,870	37,870	---
6	NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM.....	101,591	86,591	-15,000
7	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	52,617	52,617	---
	TOTAL, BASIC RESEARCH.....	545,319	525,319	-20,000
APPLIED RESEARCH				
8	JOINT MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	21,592	21,592	---
9	BIOMEDICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	110,000	110,000	---
11	LINCOLN LABORATORY RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	37,916	37,916	---
12	SYSTEMS 2020 APPLIED RESEARCH.....	4,381	4,381	---
13	INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY.....	400,499	400,499	---
14	COGNITIVE COMPUTING SYSTEMS.....	49,365	49,365	---
15	MACHINE INTELLIGENCE.....	61,351	61,351	---
16	BIOLOGICAL WARFARE DEFENSE.....	30,421	30,421	---
17	CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	219,873	219,873	---
18	JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	9,235	5,235	-4,000
19	CYBER SECURITY RESEARCH.....	9,735	9,735	---
20	HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APP	14,923	10,923	-4,000
21	TACTICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	206,422	206,422	---
22	MATERIALS AND BIOLOGICAL TECHNOLOGY.....	237,837	237,837	---
23	ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGY.....	215,178	215,178	---
24	WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT TECHNOLOGIES.....	196,954	196,954	---
25	SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	26,591	41,591	+15,000
	TOTAL, APPLIED RESEARCH.....	1,852,273	1,859,273	+7,000

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT			
27 JOINT MUNITIONS ADVANCED TECH INSENSITIVE MUNITIONS AD	24,771	24,771	---
28 SO/LIC ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT.....	45,028	45,028	---
29 COMBATING TERRORISM TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT.....	77,019	77,019	---
30 COUNTERPROLIFERATION INITIATIVES--PROLIF PREV & DEFEAT	283,073	283,073	---
31 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY.....	75,003	75,003	---
32 JOINT ADVANCED CONCEPTS.....	7,903	7,903	---
33 JOINT DOD-DOE MUNITIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	20,372	20,372	---
34 SYSTEMS 202 ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	4,381	4,381	---
35 AGILE TRANSPO FOR THE 21ST CENTURY (AT21) - THEATER CA	998	998	---
36 SPECIAL PROGRAM--MDA TECHNOLOGY.....	61,458	61,458	---
37 ADVANCED AEROSPACE SYSTEMS.....	98,878	98,878	---
38 SPACE PROGRAMS AND TECHNOLOGY.....	97,541	97,541	---
39 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM - ADVANCED DEV	229,235	229,235	---
40 JOINT ELECTRONIC ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY.....	7,287	7,287	---
41 JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	187,707	175,707	-12,000
42 NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS CAPABILITIES.....	23,890	23,890	---
43 JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT RESEARCH.....	9,235	5,235	-4,000
44 BIOMETRICS SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY.....	10,762	10,762	---
45 CYBER SECURITY ADVANCED RESEARCH.....	10,709	10,709	---
46 HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADV	18,179	14,179	-4,000
47 DEFENSE-WIDE MANUFACTURING SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY PROG	17,888	17,888	---
48 EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	26,972	26,972	---
49 JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM/AUTONOMOUS SYSTEMS.....	9,756	9,756	---
50 GENERIC LOGISTICS R&D TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS.....	23,887	23,887	---
51 DEPLOYMENT AND DISTRIBUTION ENTERPRISE TECHNOLOGY.....	41,976	41,976	---
52 STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM.....	66,409	56,409	-10,000
53 MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT....	91,132	69,132	-22,000
54 JOINT WARFIGHTING PROGRAM.....	10,547	10,547	---
55 ADVANCED ELECTRONICS TECHNOLOGIES.....	160,286	160,286	---
58 COMMAND, CONTROL AND COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS.....	296,537	296,537	---
59 CLASSIFIED DARPA PROGRAMS.....	107,226	107,226	---
60 NETWORK-CENTRIC WARFARE TECHNOLOGY.....	235,245	235,245	---
61 SENSOR TECHNOLOGY.....	271,802	271,802	---
63 DISTRIBUTED LEARNING ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT..	13,579	13,579	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
64 SOFTWARE ENGINEERING INSTITUTE.....	30,424	30,424	---
65 QUICK REACTION SPECIAL PROJECTS.....	89,925	89,925	---
66 JOINT EXPERIMENTATION.....	58,130	48,130	-10,000
67 MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE.....	37,029	31,029	-6,000
68 DIRECTED ENERGY RESEARCH.....	96,329	96,329	---
69 NEXT GENERATION AEGIS MISSILE.....	123,456	123,456	---
70 TEST & EVALUATION SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY.....	99,593	99,593	---
72 OPERATIONAL ENERGY CAPABILITY IMPROVEMENT.....	20,444	20,444	---
73 CWMD SYSTEMS.....	7,788	7,788	---
74 SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT....	35,242	30,242	-5,000
75 AVIATION ENGINEERING ANALYSIS.....	837	837	---
76 SOF INFORMATION AND BROADCAST SYSTEMS ADVANCED TECH...	4,924	4,924	---
TOTAL, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	3,270,792	3,197,792	-73,000
DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION			
77 NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT..	36,798	36,798	---
78 RETRACT LARCH.....	21,040	21,040	---
79 WALKOFF.....	112,142	112,142	---
80 JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM.....	11,129	11,129	---
81 ADVANCE SENSOR APPLICATIONS PROGRAM.....	18,408	18,408	---
82 ENVIRONMENTAL SECURITY TECHNICAL CERTIFICATION PROGRAM	63,606	63,606	---
83 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TERMINAL DEFENSE SEGMENT....	290,452	290,452	---
84 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE MIDCOURSE DEFENSE SEGMENT...	1,161,001	1,161,001	---
86 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	261,143	261,143	---
87 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SENSORS.....	222,374	222,374	---
88 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE TEST & TARGETS.....	1,071,039	1,071,039	---
89 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE ENABLING PROGRAMS.....	373,563	373,563	---
90 SPECIAL PROGRAMS - MDA.....	296,554	296,554	---
91 AEGIS BMD.....	960,267	960,267	---
92 SPACE SURVEILLANCE & TRACKING SYSTEM.....	96,353	96,353	---
93 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE SYSTEM SPACE PROGRAMS.....	7,951	7,951	---
94 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE C2BMC.....	364,103	364,103	---
96 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE JOINT WARFIGHTER SUPPORT....	41,225	41,225	---
91 BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE INTERGRATION AND OPERATIONS 97 CENTER (MDIOC).....	69,325	69,325	---
98 REGARDING TRENCH.....	15,797	15,797	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
99 SEA BASED X-BAND RADAR (SBX).....	177,058	177,058	---
101 ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS.....	106,100	235,700	+129,600
102 HUMANITARIAN DEMINING.....	14,996	14,996	---
103 COALITION WARFARE.....	12,743	12,743	---
104 DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CORROSION PROGRAM.....	3,221	3,221	---
105 DOD UNMANNED AIRCRAFT SYSTEM (UAS) COMMON DEVELOPMENT.....	25,120	25,120	---
107 HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) RES.....	10,309	10,309	---
108 JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC).....	13,024	5,024	-8,000
109 JOINT FIRES INTEGRATION & INTEROPERABILITY TEAM.....	9,290	9,290	---
110 LAND-BASED SM-3 (LBSM3).....	306,595	306,595	---
111 AEGIS SM-3 BLOCK IIA CO-DEVELOPMENT.....	424,454	424,454	---
112 PRECISION TRACKING SPACE SYSTEM RDT&E.....	160,818	---	-160,818
113 AIRBORNE INFRARED (ABIR).....	46,877	46,877	---
115 JOINT ELECTROMAGNETIC TECHNOLOGY (JET) PROGRAM.....	3,358	3,358	---
117 NUCLEAR AND CONVENTIONAL PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT ..	7,220	7,220	---
118 PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT.....	204,824	104,824	-100,000
TOTAL, DEMONSTRATION & VALIDATION.....	7,020,277	6,881,059	-139,218
ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT			
119 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	400,608	400,608	---
120 JOINT ROBOTICS PROGRAM.....	2,782	2,782	---
121 ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO)..	49,198	24,198	-25,000
122 JOINT TACTICAL INFORMATION DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM (JTIDS)	17,395	17,395	---
123 WEAPONS OF MASS DESTRUCTION DEFEAT CAPABILITIES.....	5,888	5,888	---
124 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT.....	12,228	12,228	---
127 HOMELAND PERSONNEL SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	389	389	---
128 DEFENSE EXPORTABILITY PROGRAM.....	1,929	1,929	---
129 OUSD(C) IT DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES.....	4,993	4,993	---
130 DOD ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT AND DEMONSTRATION..	134,285	134,285	---
131 DCMO POLICY AND INTEGRATION.....	41,808	41,808	---
133 DEFENSE-WIDE ELECTRONIC PROCUREMENT CAPABILITY.....	14,950	14,950	---
135 GLOBAL COMBAT SUPPORT SYSTEM.....	19,837	19,837	---
TOTAL, ENGINEERING & MANUFACTURING DEVELOPMENT.....	706,290	681,290	-25,000
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT			
137 DEFENSE READINESS REPORTING SYSTEM (DRRS).....	6,658	6,658	---
138 JOINT SYSTEMS ARCHITECTURE DEVELOPMENT.....	4,731	4,731	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
139 CENTRAL TEST AND EVALUATION INVESTMENT DEVELOPMENT....	140,231	140,231	---
140 ASSESSMENTS AND EVALUATIONS.....	2,757	2,757	---
141 THERMAL VICAR.....	7,827	7,827	---
142 JOINT MISSION ENVIRONMENT TEST CAPABILITY (JMETC).....	10,479	10,479	---
143 TECHNICAL STUDIES, SUPPORT AND ANALYSIS.....	34,213	34,213	---
144 USD(A&T)--CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT.....	1,486	---	-1,486
145 FOREIGN MATERIAL ACQUISITION AND EXPLOITATION.....	64,524	64,524	---
146 JOINT THEATER AIR AND MISSILE DEFENSE ORGANIZATION....	79,859	79,859	---
147 CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P).....	---	106,000	+106,000
148 FOREIGN COMPARATIVE TESTING.....	19,080	19,080	---
149 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING.....	41,884	41,884	---
150 NUCLEAR MATTERS - PHYSICAL SECURITY.....	4,261	4,261	---
151 SUPPORT TO NETWORKS AND INFORMATION INTEGRATION.....	9,437	9,437	---
152 GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (INTELLIGENCE).....	6,549	6,549	---
153 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE PROGRAM.....	92,806	92,806	---
160 SMALL BUSINESS INNOVATION RESEARCH/CHALLENGE ADMINISTR	1,924	1,924	---
161 DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY ANALYSIS.....	16,135	16,135	---
163 DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC).....	56,269	56,269	---
164 R&D IN SUPPORT OF DOD ENLISTMENT, TESTING & EVALUATION	49,810	49,810	---
165 DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION.....	15,805	15,805	---
166 DARPA AGENCY RELOCATION.....	1,000	1,000	---
167 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (RESEARCH & DEVELOPMENT).....	66,689	66,689	---
168 BUDGET AND PROGRAM ASSESSMENTS.....	4,528	4,528	---
169 AVIATION SAFETY TECHNOLOGIES.....	6,925	6,925	---
170 OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC).....	1,777	1,777	---
171 JOINT STAFF ANALYTICAL SUPPORT.....	18	18	---
174 SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO) CAPABILITIES...	12,209	12,209	---
175 INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY RAPID ACQUISITION.....	4,288	4,288	---
176 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	10,000	10,000	---
177 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT TO INFORMATION OPERATIONS (IO)...	15,002	15,002	---
179 WARFIGHTING AND INTELLIGENCE-RELATED SUPPORT.....	861	861	---
180 COCOM EXERCISE ENGAGEMENT AND TRAINING TRANSFORMATION.	59,958	59,958	---
182 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS - MDA.....	28,908	28,908	---
183 IT SOFTWARE DEV INITIATIVES.....	167	167	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	82,627	82,627	---
TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	961,682	1,066,196	+104,514
OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT			
185 DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITY (DISS).....	8,706	8,706	---
186 REGIONAL INTERNATIONAL OUTREACH & PARTNERSHIP FOR PEAC	2,165	2,165	---
187 OVERSEAS HUMANITARIAN ASSISTANCE SHARED INFORMATION SY	288	288	---
188 CHEMICAL AND BIOLOGICAL DEFENSE (OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS D	15,956	15,956	---
189 JOINT INTEGRATION AND INTEROPERABILITY.....	29,880	29,880	---
190 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	2,402	2,402	---
191 C4I INTEROPERABILITY.....	72,403	72,403	---
193 JOINT/ALLIED COALITION INFORMATION SHARING.....	7,093	7,093	---
200 NATIONAL MILITARY COMMAND SYSTEM-WIDE SUPPORT.....	481	481	---
201 DEFENSE INFO INFRASTRUCTURE ENGINEERING & INTEGRATION.	8,366	8,366	---
202 LONG HAUL COMMUNICATIONS (DCS).....	11,324	11,324	---
203 MINIMUM ESSENTIAL EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS NETWORK....	12,514	12,514	---
204 PUBLIC KEY INFRASTRUCTURE (PKI).....	6,548	6,548	---
205 KEY MANAGEMENT INFRASTRUCTURE (KMI).....	33,751	33,751	---
206 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	11,753	11,753	---
207 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	348,593	348,593	---
208 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM.....	5,500	5,500	---
211 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM.....	54,739	54,739	---
212 JOINT SPECTRUM CENTER.....	29,154	29,154	---
213 NET-CENTRIC ENTERPRISE SERVICES (NCES).....	1,830	1,830	---
214 JOINT MILITARY DECEPTION INITIATIVE.....	1,241	1,241	---
215 TELEPORT PROGRAM.....	6,418	6,418	---
217 SPECIAL APPLICATIONS FOR CONTINGENCIES.....	5,045	5,045	---
220 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	411	411	---
222 CYBER SECURITY INITIATIVE.....	4,341	4,341	---
223 CRITICAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROTECTION (CIP).....	13,008	13,008	---
227 POLICY R&D PROGRAMS.....	6,603	6,603	---
229 NET CENTRICITY.....	14,926	14,926	---
232 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	4,303	4,303	---
235 DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS.....	3,154	3,154	---
237 MQ-1 PREDATOR A UAV.....	2,499	2,499	---
239 HOMELAND DEFENSE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM.....	2,660	2,660	---

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
240 INT'L INTELLIGENCE TECHNOLOGY ASSESSMENT, ADVANCEMENT.	1,444	1,444	---
248 INDUSTRIAL PREPAREDNESS.....	23,103	23,103	---
249 LOGISTICS SUPPORT ACTIVITIES.....	2,466	2,466	---
250 MANAGEMENT HEADQUARTERS (JCS).....	2,730	2,730	---
252 MQ-9 UAV.....	2,499	2,499	---
253 RQ-11 UAV.....	3,000	3,000	---
254 RQ-7 UAV.....	450	450	---
256 SPECIAL OPERATIONS AVIATION SYSTEMS ADVANCED DEV.....	89,382	89,382	---
257 SPECIAL OPERATIONS TACTICAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	799	799	---
258 SPECIAL OPERATIONS INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT....	27,916	27,916	---
259 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS.....	60,915	65,415	+4,500
260 SPECIAL OPERATIONS CV-22 DEVELOPMENT.....	10,775	10,775	---
263 MISSION TRAINING AND PREPARATION SYSTEMS (MTPS).....	4,617	4,617	---
265 MC130J SOF TANKER RECAPITALIZATION.....	18,571	18,571	---
266 SOF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT AND ELECTRONICS SYSTEMS..	1,392	1,392	---
268 SOF WEAPONS SYSTEMS.....	2,610	2,610	---
269 SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS.....	2,971	2,971	---
270 SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS & SENSOR SYSTEMS.....	3,000	3,000	---
271 SOF TACTICAL VEHICLES.....	3,522	3,522	---
272 SOF MUNITIONS.....	1,500	1,500	---
273 SOF ROTARY WING AVIATION.....	51,123	51,123	---
274 SOF UNDERWATER SYSTEMS.....	92,424	92,424	---
275 SOF SURFACE CRAFT.....	14,475	14,475	---
276 SOF PSYOP.....	2,990	2,990	---
277 SOF GLOBAL VIDEO SURVEILLANCE ACTIVITIES.....	8,923	8,923	---
278 SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE.....	9,473	9,473	---
TOTAL, OPERATIONAL SYSTEMS DEVELOPMENT.....	1,171,125	1,175,625	+4,500
DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION.....	---	-100,000	-100,000
999 CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS.....	4,227,920	4,038,311	-189,609
TOTAL, RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVAL, DEF-WIDE.	19,755,678	19,324,865	-430,813

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
3 BASIC RESEARCH INITIATIVES Excessive growth	14,731	9,731 -5,000	-5,000
6 NATIONAL DEFENSE EDUCATION PROGRAM Excessive growth	101,591	86,591 -15,000	-15,000
18 JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT ADVANCED DEVELOPMENT Excessive growth	9,235	5,235 -4,000	-4,000
20 HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) APPLIED RESEARCH Excessive growth	14,923	10,923 -4,000	-4,000
25 SPECIAL OPERATIONS TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT Program Increase - unfunded requirement	26,591	41,591 15,000	15,000
41 JOINT CAPABILITY TECHNOLOGY DEMONSTRATIONS Excessive growth	187,707	175,707 -12,000	-12,000
43 JOINT DATA MANAGEMENT RESEARCH Excessive growth	9,235	5,235 -4,000	-4,000
46 HUMAN, SOCIAL AND CULTURE BEHAVIOR MODELING (HSCB) ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY Excessive growth	18,179	14,179 -4,000	-4,000
52 STRATEGIC ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH PROGRAM Excessive growth	66,409	56,409 -10,000	-10,000
53 MICROELECTRONIC TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT AND SUPPORT Excessive growth	91,132	69,132 -22,000	-22,000
66 JOINT EXPERIMENTATION Excessive growth	58,130	48,130 -10,000	-10,000
67 MODELING AND SIMULATION MANAGEMENT OFFICE Excessive growth	37,029	31,029 -6,000	-6,000
74 SPECIAL OPERATIONS ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT Excess to need	35,242	30,242 -5,000	-5,000
101 ISRAELI COOPERATIVE PROGRAMS Arrow 3 David's Sling	106,100	235,700 60,200 69,400	129,600
108 JOINT SYSTEMS INTEGRATION COMMAND (JSIC) Excessive growth	13,024	5,024 -8,000	-8,000

R-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
112	PRECISION TRACKING SPACE SYSTEM RDT&E Unjustified program	160,818	0 -160,818	-160,818
118	PROMPT GLOBAL STRIKE CAPABILITY DEVELOPMENT Program adjustment	204,824	104,824 -100,000	-100,000
121	ADVANCED IT SERVICES JOINT PROGRAM OFFICE (AITS-JPO) Excessive growth	49,198	24,198 -25,000	-25,000
144	USD(A&T)--CRITICAL TECHNOLOGY SUPPORT Unjustified program	1,486	0 -1,486	-1,486
147	CLASSIFIED PROGRAM USD(P) Classified program USD(P)	0	106,000 106,000	106,000
259	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS Program Increase - Electronic Warfare unfunded requirements	60,915	65,415 4,500	4,500
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS Classified adjustment	4,227,920	4,038,311 -189,609	-189,609
	DARPA UNDISTRIBUTED REDUCTION DARPA Undistributed Reduction		-100,000 -100,000	

## DEFENSE ADVANCED RESEARCH PROJECTS AGENCY

The Committee supports the leadership initiatives at the Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) to better manage the execution of funds. DARPA's mission is to maintain the technological superiority of the U.S. military and prevent technological surprise from harming our national security by sponsoring revolutionary, high-payoff research bridging the gap between fundamental discoveries and their military use. DARPA's ability to research and develop innovative and generation advancing capabilities for the Department of Defense helps the United States maintain technical superiority. Corporate strategies have greatly improved the efficiency of DARPA's financial execution and ability to obligate funds. The Committee has determined that these efficiencies will result in cost reductions of \$100,000,000 in fiscal year 2012.

Therefore, the Director of DARPA shall provide to the congressional defense committees, not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, a report detailing by program element and project the application of each detailed reduction.

## U.S.-ISRAELI SHORT-RANGE BALLISTIC MISSILE DEFENSE

The fiscal year 2012 budget request includes \$106,100,000 for United States and Israel cooperative ballistic missile defense programs. The United States is co-managing the development of the programs to ensure compatibility with U.S. missile defense systems. The Committee recognizes that the threat to Israel from such short-range missiles and rockets continues to increase. Therefore, the Committee recommends an additional \$129,600,000 to accelerate the development of the Israeli Cooperative Program.

## EMERGING CAPABILITIES TECHNOLOGY DEVELOPMENT

The Committee strongly supports the development of emerging capabilities through innovative research and development activities, often involving other agencies outside the Department of Defense. Accordingly, the Committee supports the budget request to advance technical capabilities in mutual areas of interest through partnerships with other federal departments and agencies. Specifically, the Committee supports the use of funds included in the fiscal year 2012 request to address mid-term irregular warfare needs that are aligned with the needs of the interagency partners.

## OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION, DEFENSE

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$194,910,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	191,292,000
Committee recommendation .....	191,292,000
Change from budget request .....	--

This appropriation provides funds for the operational test and evaluation activities of the Department of the Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

-----				
	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST	
OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE				
RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT				
1	OPERATIONAL TEST AND EVALUATION.....	60,444	60,444	---
2	LIVE FIRE TESTING.....	12,126	12,126	---
3	OPERATIONAL TEST ACTIVITIES AND ANALYSES.....	118,722	118,722	---
-----				
	TOTAL, RDT&E MANAGEMENT SUPPORT.....	191,292	191,292	---
-----				
	TOTAL, OPERATIONAL TEST & EVAL, DEFENSE.....	191,292	191,292	---
=====				

TITLE V  
 REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS  
 DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,434,536,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,575,010,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,575,010,000
Change from budget request .....	---

This appropriation finances, through the receipt of funded reimbursable orders, the operation of industrial, commercial, and support-type activities such as depot maintenance, supply operations, distribution depots, transportation services, Navy research, finance and accounting services, information systems, and telecommunication services. Working capital fund accounts use cost accounting and business management techniques to provide managers with information that can be used to monitor, control, and minimize costs of operations.

NATIONAL DEFENSE SEALIFT FUND

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,474,866,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,126,384,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,100,519,000
Change from budget request .....	- 25,865,000

This appropriation provides funds for the lease, operation, and supply of pre-positioning ships, operation of the Ready Reserve Force, and acquisition of ships for the Military Sealift Command, the Ready Reserve Force, and the Marine Corps.

The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
Strategic Ship Acquisition .....	450,026	424,161	- 25,865
Revised Mobile Landing Platform Acquisition Strategy .....	—	- 25,865	
DoD Mobilization Assets .....	318,645	318,645	
Sealift Research and Development .....	48,443	48,443	
Ready Reserve Force Operations and Maintenance .....	309,270	309,270	
Total NDSF .....	1,126,384	1,100,519	- 25,865



TITLE VI  
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS  
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$31,382,198,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	32,198,770,000
Committee recommendation .....	32,317,459,000
Change from the budget request .....	118,689,000

This appropriation funds the Defense Health Program of the Department of Defense. The total amount recommended in the bill will provide the following program in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE			
IN-HOUSE CARE.....	8,148,856	8,143,356	-5,500
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE.....	16,377,272	16,092,272	-285,000
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT.....	2,193,821	2,084,821	-109,000
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT.....	1,422,697	1,422,697	---
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES.....	312,102	312,102	---
EDUCATION AND TRAINING.....	705,347	705,347	---
BASE OPERATIONS/COMMUNICATIONS.....	1,742,451	1,727,140	-15,311
WOUNDED WARRIOR MILITARY ADAPTIVE SPORTS PROGRAM.....	---	10,000	+10,000
-----			
SUBTOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	30,902,546	30,497,735	-404,811
PROCUREMENT			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	632,518	632,518	---
RESEARCH DEVELOPMENT TEST AND EVALUATION			
DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	663,706	1,187,206	+523,500
-----			
TOTAL, DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM.....	32,198,770	32,317,459	+118,689
=====			

**EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS**  
[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>	<b>30,902,546</b>	<b>30,497,735</b>	<b>-404,811</b>
<b>IN-HOUSE CARE</b>	<b>8,148,856</b>	<b>8,143,356</b>	<b>-5,500</b>
Unexecutable Growth		-5,500	
<b>PRIVATE SECTOR CARE</b>	<b>16,377,272</b>	<b>16,092,272</b>	<b>-285,000</b>
TRICARE Historical Underexecution		-330,000	
Authorized prohibition of TRICARE Prime Increase for one year		45,000	
<b>CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT</b>	<b>2,193,821</b>	<b>2,084,821</b>	<b>-109,000</b>
Historical Underexecution		-109,000	
<b>INFORMATION MANAGEMENT</b>	<b>1,422,697</b>	<b>1,422,697</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>312,102</b>	<b>312,102</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>EDUCATION AND TRAINING</b>	<b>705,347</b>	<b>705,347</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS</b>	<b>1,742,451</b>	<b>1,727,140</b>	<b>-15,311</b>
Unjustified Growth for Travel		-11,700	
Adjustment for Civilian Pay Error		-3,611	
<b>WOUNDED WARRIOR MILITARY ADAPTIVE SPORTS PROGRAM</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>10,000</b>	<b>10,000</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT</b>	<b>632,518</b>	<b>632,518</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT</b>	<b>663,706</b>	<b>1,187,206</b>	<b>523,500</b>
Alcohol and Substance Abuse Disorders Research Program		4,500	
ALS Research Program		6,400	
Autism Research Program		5,100	
Bone Marrow Failure Disease Research Program		3,200	
Duchenne Muscular Dystrophy Research Program		3,200	
Global HIV/AIDS Prevention		8,000	
Hemorrhage Control Research Program		10,000	
Joint Warfighter Medical Research Program		50,000	
Multiple Sclerosis Research Program		3,800	
Peer-Reviewed Alzheimer Research Program		12,000	
Peer-Reviewed Breast Cancer Research Program		120,000	
Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program		12,800	
Peer-Reviewed Gulf War Illness Research Program		6,400	
Peer-Reviewed Lung Cancer Research Program		10,200	
Peer-Reviewed Orthopedic Research Program		30,000	
Peer-Reviewed Ovarian Cancer Research Program		16,000	
Peer-Reviewed Prostate Cancer Research Program		64,000	
Peer-Reviewed Spinal Cord Research Program		9,600	
Peer-Reviewed Vision Research Program		3,200	
Restorative Transplantation Research Program		15,000	
Traumatic Brain Injury and Psychological Health		125,000	
Tuberous Sclerosis Complex Research Program		5,100	

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM REPROGRAMMING PROCEDURES

The Committee continues to monitor the transfer or reprogramming of funds from In-house Care to other budget activities within operation and maintenance. To limit transfers and continue oversight within the Defense Health Program operation and maintenance account, the Committee has included bill language which limits the funds available for Private Sector Care under the TRICARE program subject to prior approval reprogramming procedures. In addition, the Committee also designates the funding for the In-house Care System as a congressional special interest item, as defined elsewhere in this report. Any transfer of funds from the In-house Care budget activity into the Private Sector Care budget activity or any other budget activity will require the Department of Defense to follow prior approval reprogramming procedures. The bill language and accompanying report language included by the Committee should not be interpreted by the Department as limiting the amount of funds that may be transferred to the direct care system from other budget activities within the Defense Health Program.

In addition, the Committee directs the Department of Defense to review budget execution data for all of the Defense Health Program accounts and to adequately reflect changes to the budget activities requested by the Services in future budget submissions.

## CARRYOVER

The Committee provides one percent carryover authority for the operation and maintenance funding for fiscal year 2012 and directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to submit a detailed spend plan for fiscal year 2011 designated carryover funds to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act. Given the complex nature of the Defense Health Program, the Committee expects the Department of Defense and the Office of Management and Budget to be fiscally responsible in budgeting for the Defense Health Program and to coordinate required justification material submitted to Congress.

## PEER-REVIEWED CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee provides \$12,800,000 for a Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program that would research cancers not addressed in the breast, prostate, ovarian, and lung cancer research programs currently executed by the Department of Defense, and specifically by the U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command.

The funds provided are directed to be used to conduct research in the following areas: melanoma and other skin cancers, pediatric brain tumors within the field of childhood cancer research, genetic cancer research, pancreatic cancer, kidney cancer, blood cancer, colorectal cancer, mesothelioma, and listeria vaccine for infectious disease and cancer.

The funds provided under the Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research Program shall only be used for the purposes listed above. The Department of Defense is directed to provide a report not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees on the status of the Peer-Reviewed Cancer Research

Program. For each research area, the report should include the funding amount awarded, the progress of the research, and the relevance of the research for servicemembers and their families.

#### PRESCRIPTION MEDICATION

The Committee is concerned that the growing use of pain management prescription medication leads to dependency among servicemembers. According to Army reports, the prescription of pain management drugs is handled inconsistently at military medical treatment facilities, particularly in theater, where prescription data is not always transmitted to the Department of Defense Pharmacy Data Transaction Service. The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to examine the feasibility of electronically transmitting such data from theater to the Department's central repository which aims to monitor and track patient usage and physician prescribing patterns. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act on the required steps and potential obstacles toward electronic transmission of prescription drug data. The report should include the current status of transmitting records of prescription medication from theater, the logistical obstacles that may exist, the resources required to ameliorate the problem, and a plan of action for establishing a more consistent electronic transmission process. Furthermore, the report should include what efforts the Department is taking to track prescription drugs that servicemembers obtain in the private sector and the status of cooperation with state controlled substance monitoring programs.

#### PEER-REVIEWED LUNG CANCER RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee provides \$10,200,000 for a Peer-Reviewed Lung Cancer Research Program. The Committee is concerned by the high rate of lung cancer among military personnel and veterans. Lung cancer continues to be the most lethal of all cancers, taking more lives annually than the next four most prevalent cancers combined. The five year survival rate is only fifteen percent. A major contributor to the low survival rate is that more than seventy percent of lung cancer diagnoses occur at a late stage. Furthermore, military personnel have increased exposure to lung cancer carcinogens and are thus more susceptible to lung cancer than the general population. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to continue research and early detection programs, including community-based translational research, pertaining to lung cancer among military personnel and veterans.

#### TRI-SERVICE PATIENT ACUITY STAFF SCHEDULING PROGRAM

The Committee is concerned with the ever-increasing Defense Health Program operation and maintenance costs and believes that the Defense Health Program needs efficiency improvements. Operation and maintenance costs, rising at six to eight percent per year, far outpace inflation and are unsustainable in the current budget environment. Of particular concern, the Department of Defense has delayed implementation of the Tri-Service Patient Acuity Staff

Scheduling (TS PASS) Program. TS PASS would use new technologies to optimize care delivery, resulting in the most efficient use of resources. Therefore, the Committee encourages the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to establish a ten site pilot program under TS PASS to improve patient care workload balancing and to mobilize existing healthcare partners with the goal of improving the quality and efficiency of the Defense Health Program.

#### JOINT WARFIGHTER MEDICAL RESEARCH PROGRAM

The Committee provides \$50,000,000 for the Defense Health Program to continue funding projects that enhance combat readiness and warfighter relevant medical research. The funding shall not be used for new projects or for basic research. The funding shall be used to continue projects that have shown promise and that will potentially yield further medical breakthroughs. The funding shall be awarded at the Department's discretion following a review of medical research and development gaps, as well as unfinanced medical requirements of the Services. Further, the Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 120 days after enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees, listing the projects that receive funding. The report should include the amount of funding provided to each project and a thorough description of each project's research.

#### INTEGRATION OF HEALTH SERVICES WITH DEPARTMENT OF VETERANS AFFAIRS

The Committee is concerned about the integration of health services of our servicemembers, particularly those serving in the National Guard and reserve components, upon their return from the overseas contingency operations in Iraq and Afghanistan. Many regions of the country support Guard and reserve units and yet do not have a military base, lack military medical treatment facilities, and lack access to specialized health care, particularly behavioral and mental health services. The Committee encourages the Secretary of Defense to develop, in conjunction with the Services, the National Guard, the reserve components, and the Department of Veterans Affairs, a command structure down to individual units that will integrate those units and their commanders into regional assets of the Department of Veterans Affairs as servicemembers transition from the military to the Veterans Affairs healthcare system. Every unit should have a designated health integration services coordinator that would serve as the bridge between the military, TRICARE, Department of Veterans Affairs, and the servicemembers. The Secretary of Defense is also encouraged to develop plans for the integration of health records, service records, and referral services between the health system providers. The Committee directs the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Health Affairs) to provide a report not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act to the congressional defense committees, detailing the steps the Department of Defense is taking to provide healthcare services to our National Guard and reserve components and what

can be done to improve the access to healthcare in remote areas of the country.

**CHEMICAL AGENTS AND MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION,  
DEFENSE**

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,467,307,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,554,422,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,554,422,000
Change from budget request .....	--

This appropriation funds the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction activities of the Department of Defense.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$1,554,422,000 for the Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense program.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
CHEMICAL AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE			
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	1,147,691	1,147,691	---
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - PROCUREMENT.....	---	---	---
CHEM DEMILITARIZATION - RESEARCH, DEV, TEST & EVAL....	406,731	406,731	---
-----			
TOTAL, CHEMICAL AGENTS & MUNITIONS DESTRUCTION, DEFENSE.....	1,554,422	1,554,422	---
	=====	=====	=====

## TOOELE CHEMICAL AGENT DISPOSAL FACILITY

The chemical agent stockpile at Tooele consists of blister and nerve agents in several munitions configurations. Disposal operations began in August 1996 and are scheduled for completion in fiscal year 2012. As operations near completion, the Committee wants to ensure proper planning is occurring for the closure and potential reuse of the Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility. The Committee therefore directs the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of the Act detailing the closure requirements and potential reuse of the Tooele Chemical Agent Disposal Facility. The Committee encourages the Department to include input and participation from government, contractor, and community stakeholders in order to fully ascertain the optimal reuse of the facility. In addition, the Committee believes the Department should thoroughly analyze the skill sets that have been developed, examine what level of reuse is appropriate and lawful, and identify options for future government or commercial use of the site.

**DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES,  
DEFENSE**

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$1,156,957,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	1,156,282,000
Committee recommendation .....	1,208,147,000
Change from the budget request .....	51,865,000

This appropriation provides funds for Military Personnel; Operation and Maintenance; Procurement; and Research, Development, Test, and Evaluation for drug interdiction and counter-drug activities of the Department of Defense to include activities related to narcoterrorism.

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>DRUG INTERDICTION &amp; COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES</b>	1,156,282	1,208,147	51,865
<b>PC</b>			
2307 OPBAT	2,135	0	-2,135
7403 National Guard Counter-Drug Program State Plans	179,718	229,718	50,000
9380 Young Marines - Drug Demand Reduction	1,319	5,319	4,000

## NATIONAL GUARD COUNTER-DRUG PROGRAM

The Committee's recommendation includes an additional \$50,000,000 to fund State-level plans for National Guard activities under Section 112 of Title 32, United States Code, and directs the Secretary of Defense to submit an expenditure and execution plan for the additional funds not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act and prior to transfer or obligation. The Department of Defense consistently has failed to provide adequate resources for State plans in its budget requests. Congress repeatedly has demonstrated its recognition of the value that National Guard capabilities bring to counter-drug efforts while simultaneously providing training and operational experience for Guard personnel. The Committee also notes that the Department has underfunded the five regional counter-drug schools, in the expectation that Congress will fund the difference via directed spending items. The Committee urges the Secretary of Defense to budget adequate resources for both State plans and counter-drug schools to ensure that federal counter-drug policy goals are met.

## PRESCRIPTION DRUG TESTING

The Department of Defense's request includes \$23,000,000 to expand prescription drug testing to include hydrocodone and benzodiazepine, two drugs commonly prescribed to servicemembers. The Department proposes to incrementally increase testing to a 100 percent rate for these medications and check positive results against the TRICARE prescription drug database to identify cases of illicit use. The Committee is greatly concerned by survey data that indicate a sharp rise in prescription drug abuse within the military. At the same time, the Committee notes certain weaknesses within the proposed program. First, the testing will only provide a binary positive or negative result, without the ability to determine the level of usage. Testing will therefore not identify servicemembers who may be abusing medications but have obtained the necessary prescriptions. Second, the TRICARE prescription drug database is not fully reliable, particularly for medications prescribed in theater.

The Committee also believes that the utmost care must be taken to ensure that the information derived from testing is used properly. While the Department's drug testing program already covers some prescription pharmaceuticals, the program focuses primarily on testing for illicit drugs for the purpose of measuring personnel readiness and pursuing disciplinary action when necessary. The upward trend in prescription drug abuse is, first and foremost, a direct consequence of the extraordinary burdens placed upon the force under the high operations tempo of the last decade. Due diligence must be exercised to ensure that cases of prescription drug abuse are handled properly by the chain of command, and that wounded warriors are not unduly punished for prescription drug abuse that may arise from improper medical care.

The Committee therefore includes language prohibiting the obligation of \$23,000,000 for expanded prescription drug testing until the Secretary of Defense submits an implementation plan including, but not limited to, the following elements: an outreach and

training program to ensure that commanders will properly utilize the information derived from prescription drug testing, including awareness of treatment alternatives, the circumstances under which disciplinary action is appropriate, and necessary measures to safeguard medical privacy; an outreach program to make servicemembers aware of the dangers of abusing prescription drugs and encouraging them to seek appropriate avenues for treatment; and a funding profile for fiscal years 2013 through 2016.

**JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND**

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	--
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	\$220,634,000
Committee recommendation .....	220,634,000
Change from budget request .....	--

This fund provides for the staff and infrastructure of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$220,634,000 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund. The recommendation is the same as the request.

**JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND**

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	--
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	\$100,000,000
Committee Recommended .....	--
Change from budget request .....	- 100,000,000

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

**OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL**

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$306,794,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	289,519,000
Committee recommendation .....	346,919,000
Change from budget request .....	57,400,000

The Committee recommends an appropriation of \$346,919,000 for the Office of the Inspector General. The recommendation is an increase of \$57,400,000 above the amount requested and will allow the Inspector General to provide additional oversight of Department of Defense contracted services.

The total program recommended in the bill will provide the following in fiscal year 2012:

(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	BUDGET REQUEST	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDED	CHANGE FROM REQUEST
-----			
OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL			
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE.....	286,919	341,419	+54,500
PROCUREMENT.....	1,000	1,000	---
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION.....	1,600	4,500	+2,900
	-----		
TOTAL, OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL.....	289,519	346,919	+57,400
	=====	=====	=====



TITLE VII

RELATED AGENCIES

NATIONAL AND MILITARY INTELLIGENCE PROGRAMS

The National Intelligence Program and the Military Intelligence Program funded in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act consist primarily of resources for the Director of National Intelligence, including the Intelligence Community Management Staff, the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA), the Defense Intelligence Agency, the National Reconnaissance Office, the National Security Agency, the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency, the intelligence services of the Army, Navy, Air Force, and Marine Corps, and the CIA Retirement and Disability fund.

CLASSIFIED ANNEX

The Committee's budget reviews are published in a separate, detailed and comprehensive classified annex. The intelligence community, Department of Defense, and other organizations are expected to fully comply with the recommendations and directions in the classified annex accompanying the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2012.

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY RETIREMENT AND  
DISABILITY SYSTEM FUND

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$292,000,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	513,700,000
Committee recommendation .....	513,700,000
Change from request .....	--

This appropriation provides payments of benefits to qualified beneficiaries in accordance with the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement Act of 1964 for Certain Employees (P.L. 88-643), as amended by Public Law 94-522. This statute authorized the establishment of the CIA Retirement and Disability System for certain employees and authorized the establishment and maintenance of a fund from which benefits would be paid to those beneficiaries.

The Committee recommends the budget request of \$513,700,000 for the Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System fund. This is a mandatory account.

INTELLIGENCE COMMUNITY MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT

Fiscal year 2011 appropriation .....	\$649,732,000
Fiscal year 2012 budget request .....	592,213,000
Committee recommendation .....	458,225,000
Change from request .....	- 133,988,000

The Committee recommends \$458,225,000 for the Intelligence Community Management Account. Of the amount appropriated

under this heading, \$22,000,000 may be transferred to the Executive Office of the President for the Program Manager for Information Sharing.

## TITLE VIII

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

The accompanying bill includes 125 general provisions. Most of these provisions were included in the Department of Defense Appropriations Act for fiscal year 2011 and many have been included in Defense Appropriations Acts for a number of years. A description of each provision follows.

Section 8001 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Section 8002 has been amended and provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Section 8003 provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless expressly provided for a greater period of availability elsewhere in the Act.

Section 8004 provides a twenty percent limitation on the obligation of funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Section 8005 has been amended and provides for the general transfer authority of working capital funds to other military functions.

Section 8006 provides that the tables titled "Explanation of Project Level Adjustments" in the Committee report shall be carried out in the manner provided by the tables to the same extent as if the tables were included in the text of this Act.

Section 8007 has been amended and provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the current fiscal year.

Section 8008 has been amended and provides for limitations on the use and transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Section 8009 provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8010 has been amended and provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multiyear contracts.

Section 8011 provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under chapter 20 of Title 10, United States Code.

Section 8012 has been amended and provides that civilian personnel of the Department may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Section 8013 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Section 8014 prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Section 8015 has been amended and prohibits the conversion of any activity or function performed by civilian employees of the Department of Defense to contractor performance with certain exceptions.

Section 8016 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Section 8017 provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Section 8018 has been amended and makes permanent the prohibition of funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of certain surplus firearms and small arms ammunition or ammunition components.

Section 8019 provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Section 8020 provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Section 8021 provides that no funds made available in this Act for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Section 8022 provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of Title 10, United States Code, in anticipation of receipt of contributions from the Government of Kuwait.

Section 8023 has been amended and provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Section 8024 has been amended and prohibits funding to establish new Department of Defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC) and places certain limitations on funding provided.

Section 8025 provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy, or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Section 8026 defines the congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House and Senate.

Section 8027 provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles and vessels as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Section 8028 has been amended and provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act upon a finding that a

country has violated a reciprocal trade agreement by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Section 8029 provides for the availability of funds contained in the Department of Defense Overseas Military Facility Investment Recovery Account for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act.

Section 8030 provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units that are excess to the needs of the Air Force located at Grand Forks Air Force Base, Malmstrom Air Force Base, Mountain Home Air Force Base, Ellsworth Air Force Base, and Minot Air Force Base to Indian Tribes located in the states of Nevada, Idaho, North Dakota, South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington.

Section 8031 provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Section 8032 has been amended and prohibits the use of Working Capital Funds to purchase specified investment items.

Section 8033 has been amended and provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, Working Capital Funds, or other certain programs authorized under section 503 of the National Security Act.

Section 8034 provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for intelligence communications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commands.

Section 8035 provides for the availability of funds for the mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands resulting from Department of Defense activities.

Section 8036 provides for the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act (chapter 83 of title 41, United States Code).

Section 8037 provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses, or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Section 8038 provides for the limitations of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Section 8039 provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

(RESCISSIONS)

Section 8040 has been amended and provides for the rescission of \$1,080,105,000 from the following programs:

2002 Appropriations:		
National Defense Sealift Fund:		
Ready Reserve Force .....		\$20,444,000
2003 Appropriations:		
National Defense Sealift Fund:		
Ready Reserve Force .....		8,500,000
2004 Appropriations:		
National Defense Sealift Fund:		
Ready Reserve Force .....		6,500,000

2010 Appropriations:		
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:		
P-8A .....		90,000,000
2011 Appropriations:		
Aircraft Procurement, Navy:		
P-8A .....		55,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy:		
Standard Missile Mods .....		35,427,000
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps:		
General Purpose Bombs .....		8,612,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy:		
Littoral Combat Ship AP .....		110,351,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force:		
C-130J AP .....		30,000,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force:		
GPS III Space Segment .....		122,500,000
Other Procurement, Air Force:		
Classified Program .....		90,000,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide:		
Classified Program .....		45,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy:		
Common Mobile Aircrew Restraint System .....		5,100,000
Multi-Purpose Bomb Rack .....		10,000,000
FMU-164 Fuze .....		19,671,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force:		
JSpOC Mission System .....		50,000,000
Classified Program .....		55,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide:		
Classified Program .....		268,000,000
DARPA Undistributed Rescission .....		50,000,000

Section 8041 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military (civilian) technicians of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve, and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Section 8042 provides that none of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless appropriated for that purpose.

Section 8043 provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and reserve when members of the National Guard and reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies, and Joint Intelligence Activities.

Section 8044 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level unless the Service Surgeons General certify to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Section 8045 prohibits the transfer of Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies' drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds to other agencies unless specifically provided for in an appropriations law.

Section 8046 prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Section 8047 prohibits funding for the Department of Defense to purchase supercomputers which are not manufactured in the United States.

Section 8048 prohibits the use of funds made available in this or any other Act to pay the salary of anyone who approves or implements a transfer of administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to

the jurisdiction of another federal agency not financed by this Act without expressed authorization of the Congress.

Section 8049 provides for prior Congressional notification of article transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Section 8050 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for contractor bonuses from being paid due to business restructuring.

Section 8051 provides for the transfer of funds to be used to support personnel supporting approved non-traditional defense activities.

Section 8052 provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Section 8053 provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Section 8054 provides for the availability of funds provided by this Act to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Germany.

Section 8055 provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use, or inventory requirements.

Section 8056 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act from being used to approve or license the sale of the F-22A advanced tactical fighter to any foreign government.

Section 8057 provides for a waiver of the "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Section 8058 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Section 8059 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Section 8060 provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8061 provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Section 8062 prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Section 8063 provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Section 8064 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any nongovernmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Section 8065 provides for a waiver by the Chief, National Guard Bureau, or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Section 8066 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to purchase alcoholic beverages.

Section 8067 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the federal government for classified purposes.

Section 8068 has been amended and provides for the forced matching of disbursement and obligations made by the Department of Defense in the current fiscal year.

Section 8069 provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Section 8070 has been amended and provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Section 8071 prohibits funding from being obligated to modify command and control relationships to give Fleet Forces Command administrative and operational control of U.S. Navy forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Section 8072 is a new provision that provides for the funding of prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Section 8073 provides for the noncompetitive appointments of certain medical occupational specialties, as prescribed by section 7403(g) of Title 38, United States Code.

Section 8074 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this Act for intelligence activities are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947 until the enactment of the Intelligence Authorization Act for the current fiscal year.

Section 8075 prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Section 8076 has been amended and provides that the budget of the President for the subsequent fiscal year shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in contingency operations for the military personnel, operation and maintenance, and procurement accounts.

Section 8077 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement, or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Section 8078 has been amended and provides the Secretary of Defense with the authority to make grants in the amounts specified.

Section 8079 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Section 8080 prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Section 8081 provides that at the time members of reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Section 8082 provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation under certain conditions to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Section 8083 provides for the use of current and expired Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Section 8084 prohibits funding from being used to transfer program authority relating to current tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army and requires the Army to retain responsibility for and operational control of the MQ-1C Sky Warrior Unmanned Aerial Vehicle.

Section 8085 provides funding under certain conditions for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program for the purpose of enabling the Pacific Command to execute certain Theater Security Cooperation activities.

Section 8086 has been amended and prohibits funding provided for the Director of National Intelligence beyond the current fiscal year, except for funds appropriated for research and technology, which shall remain available for the current and the following fiscal years.

Section 8087 provides for the adjustment of obligations within the Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy appropriation.

Section 8088 provides that not more than thirty-five percent of the funds made available in this Act for environmental remediation may be obligated under indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts with a total contract value of \$130,000,000 or higher.

Section 8089 has been amended and requires the Director of National Intelligence to include certain budget exhibits as described in the Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation with congressional budget justification books.

Section 8090 has been amended and provides for the creation of a major force program category for space for the Future Year Defense Program of the Department of Defense.

Section 8091 has been amended and provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for the Office of the Director of National Intelligence for the current fiscal year.

Section 8092 is a new provision that places limitations on funding provided for the National Intelligence Program to be available for obligation or expenditure through a reprogramming or transfer of funds in accordance with section 102A(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 (50 U.S.C. 403-1(d)).

Section 8093 directs the Director of National Intelligence to follow the Department of Defense format for yearly submissions of congressional budget documentation.

Section 8094 provides a definition of congressional intelligence committees.

Section 8095 directs that the Department continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation New Dawn and Operation Enduring Freedom on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution Report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation.

Section 8096 provides the authority to transfer funding from operation and maintenance accounts for the Army, Navy, and Air Force to the Fisher Houses and Suites.

Section 8097 has been amended and provides for the transfer of funds by the Director of National Intelligence to other departments and agencies for purposes of Government-wide information sharing activities.

Section 8098 provides that operation and maintenance funds may be available for the purpose of making remittances to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund.

Section 8099 provides that any agency receiving funds made available in this Act shall post on a public website any report required to be submitted by Congress.

Section 8100 has been amended and prohibits contractors receiving any federal contract in excess of \$1,000,000 from requiring, as a condition of employment, that employees or independent contractors agree to resolve through arbitration any claim under title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 or tort related to, or arising out of, sexual assault or harassment, including assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, false imprisonment, or negligent hiring, supervision, or retention and to certify that each covered subcontractor do the same.

Section 8101 prohibits the use of funds to award to a contractor or convert to performance by a contractor any functions performed by federal employees pursuant to a study conducted under OMB Circular A-76.

Section 8102 has been amended and prohibits any national intelligence program funds to be used for a mission critical or mission essential business management information technology system that is not registered with the Director of National Intelligence.

Section 8103 is a new provision to prohibit funding to the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries.

Section 8104 provides funds for transfer to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Section 8105 has been amended and requires a report to the congressional defense committees on a plan for documenting the number of full-time contractor employees.

Section 8106 has been amended and extends the period of time during which claims for retroactive stop-loss special pay may be submitted.

Section 8107 has been amended and provides a limitation on the number of Senior Executive and General Schedule 15 equivalent employees in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence and directs that individuals will be selected for Senior Executive positions in a manner consistent with all requirements established in statute and all Office of Personnel Management regulations, guidance, and procedures.

Section 8108 prohibits funding to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a senior mentor advising the Department of Defense unless such retired officer files a Standard Form 278 or successor form.

Section 8109 is a new provision to restrict the cost of heavy and light armored vehicles for the physical security of personnel or for force protection purposes to \$250,000 per vehicle.

Section 8110 is a new provision to provide the Department of Defense with the authority to obligate up to one percent of the amounts appropriated for military personnel accounts under title I from one to two fiscal years.

Section 8111 is a new provision to provide grants to assist the civilian population of Guam in response to the military buildup of Guam.

Section 8112 is a new provision to prohibit the Secretary of Defense to operate more than 1,000 parking spaces provided by the combination of spaces provided by the BRAC 133 project and the lease of spaces in the immediate vicinity.

Section 8113 is a new provision to prohibit the Secretary of the Air Force from transferring Air Force Material Command functions until after the Secretary transmits a report to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8114 is a new provision to require monthly reporting of the civilian personnel end strength by appropriation account to the congressional defense committees.

Section 8115 is a new provision to provide an additional amount for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army to conduct research on alternative energy resources for the deployed forces.

Section 8116 is a new provision to prohibit funding for the National Intelligence Program or the Military Intelligence Program to establish a new Federally Funded Research and Development Center (FFRDC) and places certain limitations on funding provided.

Section 8117 is a new provision to require the Department to report to the congressional defense committees on the feasibility of using commercially available telecommunications expense management solutions.

Section 8118 is a new provision to prohibit funding to separate the National Intelligence Program budget from the Department of Defense budget.

Section 8119 is a new provision to prohibit funding to be used for Information Operations/Military Information Support Operations activities.

Section 8120 is a new provision to provide the Director of National Intelligence with general transfer authority with certain limitations.

Section 8121 has been amended to reflect current savings from revised economic assumptions.

Section 8122 provides authority to make grants to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations to address capacity or facility condition deficiencies.

Section 8123 prohibits funding to transfer or release any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba into the United States,

its territories, or possessions. This language is identical to language enacted in Public Law 112–10.

Section 8124 prohibits funding to transfer any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba to a country of origin or other foreign country or entity unless the Secretary makes certain certifications. This language is similar to language enacted in Public Law 112–10.

Section 8125 prohibits funding to modify any United States facility (other than the facility at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba) to house any individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. This language is similar to language enacted in Public Law 112–10.

Section 8126 is a new provision to provide funding to conduct an assessment of the current and prospective situation on the ground in Afghanistan and Pakistan and provide a report on its findings.

Section 8127 is a new provision to limit the amount of funding that may be expended for military musical units.

Section 8128 is a new provision to require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report regarding the efficiency savings identified by the military departments in the defense budget covering fiscal years 2012 through 2016 that are to be reinvested in the priorities of the military departments.

Section 8129 is a new provision to prohibit funds from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to any corporation with an unpaid federal tax liability.

Section 8130 is a new provision to prohibit funds from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to any corporation that was convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law in the past 24 months.

TITLE IX  
OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS

COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

In title IX, the Committee recommends total new appropriations of \$118,684,277,000. A detailed review of the Committee's recommendations for programs funded in this title is provided in the following pages.

REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The Committee directs the Secretary of Defense to provide a report to the congressional defense committees not later than 30 days after enactment of this Act on the allocation of the funds within the accounts listed in this title. The Secretary shall submit updated reports 30 days after the end of each fiscal quarter until funds listed in this title are no longer available for obligation. The Committee directs that these reports shall include: a detailed accounting of obligations and expenditures of appropriations provided in this title by program and subactivity group for the continuation of military operations in Iraq and Afghanistan and a listing of equipment procured using funds provided in this title. The Committee expects that, in order to meet unanticipated requirements, the Department of Defense may need to transfer funds within these appropriations accounts for purposes other than those specified in this report. The Committee directs the Department of Defense to follow normal prior approval reprogramming procedures should it be necessary to transfer funding between different appropriations accounts in this title.

Additionally, the Committee directs the Department to continue to report incremental contingency operations costs for Operation Iraqi Freedom and Operation Enduring Freedom on a monthly basis in the Cost of War Execution report as required by Department of Defense Financial Management Regulation, Chapter 23, Volume 12. The Department is encouraged to do supplementary reporting via the Contingency Operations Status of Funds report but shall not terminate or replace the Cost of War Execution report.

Further, the Committee directs that the reporting requirements of section 9010 of Public Law 109-289, the Department of Defense Appropriations Act, 2007, regarding military operations and stability in Iraq shall apply to the funds appropriated in this Act. Further, section 609 of Division L of Public Law 110-161 shall also apply to the funds appropriated in this Act.

BASE BUDGETING FOR CONTINGENCIES

The Committee notes that the Department has made progress identifying programs and activities previously requested in the

Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) budget which are more appropriately requested in the base budget. Last year, the Department moved \$1.4 billion from the OCO budget to the base budget, and this year, the Department moved an additional \$4.5 billion from the OCO budget to the base budget.

The Committee is concerned, however, that after nearly ten years of continuing operations in Afghanistan, such a large and broad OCO request remains designated as emergency. The Committee urges the Department to continue to thoroughly scrutinize the OCO budget and to report to the congressional defense committees not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act on further programs or activities which should move to the base budget, focusing specifically on those programs and activities that have been in the OCO budget for more than two years. The Committee urges the Department to continue to move OCO funding into the base budget request, with a goal of funding only unforeseen emergency and incremental costs incurred in direct support of contingency operations in the OCO budget.

#### AFGHANISTAN REPORT

Not later than 180 days after enactment of this Act, the Secretary of Defense, in coordination with the Secretary of State, is directed to provide a report to the congressional defense committees on the war in Afghanistan. This report shall outline the strategic and operational objectives of the United States and discuss progress to meet those objectives in terms of governance, security, and economic perspectives. The report may be classified if necessary.

#### HUMAN RIGHTS ABUSES

The Committee is deeply concerned about the prospect of human rights abuses in connection with continuing operations in and around Afghanistan, Pakistan, and elsewhere in connection with Operation Enduring Freedom. Accordingly, the Committee reminds the Department of Defense of the applicability of Section 8058 of this bill to funds made available in title ix to include funds made available for Coalition Support and funds made available through the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund. The Committee directs that the Secretary of Defense provide a report to the congressional defense committees within 60 days of enactment of this Act, and on a quarterly basis thereafter, on any suspected incidents of human rights abuses. The report may be submitted in classified form, if necessary.

#### MILITARY PERSONNEL

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$10,813,624,000 for Military Personnel.

The Committee's recommendations for each military personnel account are shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>			
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
BASIC PAY	783,035	783,035	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	205,290	205,290	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	221,322	221,322	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	27,183	27,183	
INCENTIVE PAYS	7,381	7,381	
SPECIAL PAYS	64,012	64,012	
ALLOWANCES	31,498	31,498	
SEPARATION PAY	850	850	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	59,901	59,901	
TOTAL, BA-1	1,400,472	1,400,472	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
BASIC PAY	1,820,510	1,820,510	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	478,020	478,020	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	694,535	694,535	
INCENTIVE PAYS	15,145	15,145	
SPECIAL PAYS	313,753	313,753	
ALLOWANCES	182,153	182,153	
SEPARATION PAY	6,192	6,192	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	139,271	139,271	
TOTAL, BA-2	3,649,579	3,649,579	
<b>BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	305,468	305,468	
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	1,155,870	1,155,870	
TOTAL, BA-4	1,461,338	1,461,338	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
ACCESSION TRAVEL			
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	56,308	56,308	
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	29,861	29,861	
SEPARATION TRAVEL	23,685	23,685	
TOTAL, BA-5	109,854	109,854	
<b>BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
INTEREST ON UNIFORMED SERVICES SAVINGS	9,674	9,674	
DEATH GRATUITIES	29,000	29,000	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	289,232	289,232	
RESERVE INCOME REPLACEMENT PROGRAM	472	472	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	125,924	125,924	
TRAMATIC INJURY PROTECTION COVERAGE	29,790	29,790	
TOTAL, BA-6	484,092	484,092	
UNDISTRIBUTED ADJUSTMENTS		-282,700	-282,700
Undistributed transfer to title I		-282,700	
<b>TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, ARMY</b>	<b>7,105,335</b>	<b>6,822,635</b>	<b>-282,700</b>

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY</b>			
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
BASIC PAY	133,868	133,868	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	32,533	32,533	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	41,612	41,612	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	4,334	4,334	
INCENTIVE PAYS	2,993	2,993	
SPECIAL PAYS	27,504	27,504	
ALLOWANCES	13,898	13,898	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	10,242	10,242	
TOTAL, BA-1	266,984	266,984	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
BASIC PAY	171,419	171,419	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	41,655	41,655	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	83,688	83,688	
INCENTIVE PAYS	940	940	
SPECIAL PAYS	102,127	102,127	
ALLOWANCES	29,854	29,854	
SEPARATION PAY	61	61	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	13,113	13,113	
TOTAL, BA-2	442,857	442,857	
<b>BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	19,651	19,651	
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	15,845	15,845	
TOTAL, BA-4	35,496	35,496	
<b>BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL</b>			
ACCESSION TRAVEL	5,123	5,123	
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	23,490	23,490	
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	29,573	29,573	
SEPARATION TRAVEL	3,077	3,077	
TOTAL, BA-5	61,263	61,263	
<b>BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS</b>			
DEATH GRATUITIES	1,300	1,300	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	50,111	50,111	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	61,023	61,023	
TOTAL, BA-6	112,434	112,434	
<b>TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, NAVY</b>	<b>919,034</b>	<b>919,034</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS</b>			
<b>BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS</b>			
BASIC PAY	80,579	80,579	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	19,581	19,581	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	25,949	25,949	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	2,513	2,513	
SPECIAL PAYS	10,799	10,799	
ALLOWANCES	6,607	6,607	
SEPARATION PAY	7,427	7,427	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	6,164	6,164	
TOTAL, BA-1	159,619	159,619	
<b>BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL</b>			

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
BASIC PAY	149,515	149,515	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	36,331	36,331	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	83,814	83,814	
SPECIAL PAYS	82,196	82,196	
ALLOWANCES	36,520	36,520	
SEPARATION PAY	7,085	7,085	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	11,438	11,438	
TOTAL, BA-2	406,899	406,899	
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	20,286	20,286	
TOTAL, BA-4	20,286	20,286	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
ROTATIONAL TRAVEL	16,142	16,142	
TOTAL, BA-5	16,142	16,142	
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
DEATH GRATUITIES	14,100	14,100	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	19,942	19,942	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	38,372	38,372	
TOTAL, BA-6	72,414	72,414	
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	675,360	675,360	0
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE</b>			
BA-1: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF OFFICERS			
BASIC PAY	209,858	209,858	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	51,095	51,095	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	62,658	62,658	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	7,105	7,105	
SPECIAL PAYS	19,631	19,631	
ALLOWANCES	9,952	9,952	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	16,049	16,049	
TOTAL, BA-1	376,348	376,348	
BA-2: PAY AND ALLOWANCES OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC PAY	425,491	425,491	
RETIRED PAY ACCRUAL	103,567	103,567	
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR HOUSING	178,846	178,846	
SPECIAL PAYS	82,061	82,061	
ALLOWANCES	29,422	29,422	
SOCIAL SECURITY TAX	32,550	32,550	
TOTAL, BA-2	851,937	851,937	
BA-4: SUBSISTENCE OF ENLISTED PERSONNEL			
BASIC ALLOWANCE FOR SUBSISTENCE	43,965	43,965	
SUBSISTENCE-IN-KIND	71,506	71,506	
TOTAL, BA-4	115,471	115,471	
BA-5: PERMANENT CHANGE OF STATION TRAVEL			
OPERATIONAL TRAVEL	6,096	6,096	
TOTAL, BA-5	6,096	6,096	
BA-6: OTHER MILITARY PERSONNEL COSTS			
DEATH GRATUITIES	2,000	2,000	
UNEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS	19,924	19,924	
SGLI EXTRA HAZARD PAYMENTS	64,577	64,577	

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
TOTAL, BA-6	86,501	86,501	
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	1,436,353	1,436,353	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	77,212	77,212	
SCHOOL TRAINING	7,591	7,591	
SPECIAL TRAINING	122,359	122,359	
TOTAL, BA-1	207,162	207,162	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, ARMY	207,162	207,162	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SCHOOL TRAINING	4,144	4,144	
SPECIAL TRAINING	37,508	37,508	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	2,878	2,878	
TOTAL, BA-1	44,530	44,530	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, NAVY	44,530	44,530	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SCHOOL TRAINING	5,582	5,582	
SPECIAL TRAINING	19,466	19,466	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	373	373	
TOTAL, BA-1	25,421	25,421	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, MARINE CORPS	25,421	25,421	0
RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
SPECIAL TRAINING	26,815	26,815	
TOTAL, BA-1	26,815	26,815	
TOTAL, RESERVE PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	26,815	26,815	0
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING			
PAY GROUP A TRAINING (15 DAYS and DRILLS 24/48)	139,341	139,341	
SCHOOL TRAINING	20,557	20,557	
SPECIAL TRAINING	422,107	422,107	
ADMINISTRATION AND SUPPORT	79,874	64,874	-15,000
Unjustified growth		-15,000	
TOTAL, BA-1	661,879	646,879	
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, ARMY	661,879	646,879	-15,000

M-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE			
BA-1: UNIT AND INDIVIDUAL TRAINING	9,435	9,435	
SPECIAL TRAINING			
TOTAL, BA-1	9,435	9,435	
TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD PERSONNEL, AIR FORCE	9,435	9,435	0
TOTAL, MILITARY PERSONNEL	11,111,324	10,813,624	-297,700

OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$89,815,293,000 for Operation and Maintenance.

The Committee's recommendations for each operation and maintenance account are shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>			
114	3,424,314	3,424,314	
115	1,534,886	1,534,886	
116	87,166	87,166	
121	2,675,821	2,675,821	
122	579,000	579,000	
123	1,000,000	1,000,000	
131	951,371	951,371	
132	250,000	250,000	
135	22,998,441	20,513,872	-2,484,569
		-75,325	
		-1,865,000	
		-544,244	
136	425,000	400,000	-25,000
		-25,000	
137	3,955,429	3,012,429	-943,000
		-943,000	
411	2,476,766	2,476,766	
421	3,507,186	1,859,430	-1,647,756
		-1,647,756	
422	50,740	50,740	
424	84,427	84,427	
432	66,275	40,075	-26,200
		-26,200	
434	143,391	143,391	
435	92,067	92,067	
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY</b>	<b>44,302,280</b>	<b>39,175,755</b>	<b>-5,126,525</b>
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY</b>			
1A1A	1,058,114	1,058,114	
1A2A	7,700	7,700	
1A3A	9,200	9,200	
1A4A	12,934	12,934	
1A4N	39,566	39,566	
1A5A	174,052	174,052	
1A6A	1,586	1,586	

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1A9A	AVIATION LOGISTICS	50,852	50,852	
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	1,132,948	1,132,948	
1B2B	SHIP OPERATIONS SUPPORT & TRAINING	26,822	26,822	
1B4B	SHIP DEPOT MAINTENANCE	998,172	998,172	
1C1C	COMBAT COMMUNICATIONS	26,533	26,533	
1C4C	WARFARE TACTICS	22,657	22,657	
1C5C	OCEANOGRAPHY	28,141	28,141	
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	1,932,640	1,932,640	
1C7C	EQUIPMENT MAINTENANCE	19,891	19,891	
1CCH	COMBATANT COMMANDERS CORE OPERATIONS	5,465	5,465	
1CCM	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION SUPPORT	2,093	3,293	1,200
	Military Information Support Operations		1,200	
1D3D	IN-SERVICE WEAPONS SYSTEMS SUPPORT	125,460	125,460	
1D4D	WEAPONS MAINTENANCE	201,083	201,083	
1D7D	OTHER WEAPON SYSTEMS SUPPORT	1,457	1,457	
BSIT	ENTERPRISE INFORMATION	5,095	5,095	
BSM1	FACILITIES SUSTAINMENT, RESTORATION & MODERNIZATION	26,793	26,793	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	352,210	352,210	
2A1F	SHIP PREPOSITIONING AND SURGE	29,010	29,010	
2C1H	FLEET HOSPITAL PROGRAM	34,300	34,300	
2C3H	COAST GUARD SUPPORT	258,278	0	-258,278
	Direct Appropriation to Department of Homeland Security		-258,278	
3B1K	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	69,961	69,961	
3B4K	TRAINING SUPPORT	5,400	5,400	
4A1M	ADMINISTRATION	2,348	2,348	
4A4M	MILITARY MANPOWER AND PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT	6,142	6,142	
4A5M	OTHER PERSONNEL SUPPORT	5,849	5,849	
4A6M	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	28,511	28,511	
4B1N	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	263,593	263,593	
4B3N	ACQUISITION AND PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	17,414	17,414	
4B7N	SPACE AND ELECTRONIC WARFARE SYSTEMS	1,075	1,075	
4C1P	NAVAL INVESTIGATIVE SERVICE	6,564	6,564	
999	OTHER PROGRAMS	16,658	16,658	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY		7,006,567	6,749,489	-257,078
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS				
1A1A	OPERATIONAL FORCES	2,069,485	2,069,485	
1A2A	FIELD LOGISTICS	575,843	575,843	
1A3A	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	251,100	251,100	
1B1B	MARITIME PREPOSITIONING	0	0	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	82,514	82,514	
3B4D	TRAINING SUPPORT	209,784	209,784	
4A3G	SERVICEWIDE TRANSPORTATION	376,495	376,495	
4A4G	ADMINISTRATION	5,989	5,989	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS		3,571,210	3,571,210	0

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE				
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	2,115,901	2,115,901	
011C	COMBAT ENHANCEMENT FORCES	2,033,929	2,033,929	
011D	AIR OPERATIONS TRAINING	46,844	46,844	
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	312,361	312,361	
011R	MODERNIZATION	334,950	334,950	
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	641,404	641,404	
012A	GLOBAL C3I AND EARLY WARNING	69,330	69,330	
012C	OTHER COMBAT OPERATIONS SUPPORT	297,015	297,015	
013A	LAUNCH FACILITIES	0	0	
013C	SPACE CONTROL SYSTEMS	16,833	16,833	
015A	COMBATANT COMMANDERS DIRECT MISSION	46,390	66,790	20,400
	Military Information Support Operations		20,400	
021A	AIRLIFT OPERATIONS	3,533,338	3,533,338	
021D	MOBILIZATION PREPAREDNESS	85,416	85,416	
021M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	161,678	161,678	
021R	MODERNIZATION	9,485	9,485	
021Z	BASE SUPPORT	30,033	30,033	
031R	MODERNIZATION	908	908	
031Z	BASE SUPPORT	2,280	2,280	
032A	SPECIALIZED SKILL TRAINING	29,592	29,592	
032B	FLIGHT TRAINING	154	154	
032C	PROFESSIONAL DEVELOPMENT EDUCATION	691	691	
032D	TRAINING SUPPORT	753	753	
041A	LOGISTICS OPERATIONS	155,121	155,121	
041R	MODERNIZATION	0	0	
041Z	BASE SUPPORT	20,677	20,677	
042A	ADMINISTRATION	3,320	3,320	
042B	SERVICEWIDE COMMUNICATIONS	111,561	111,561	
042G	OTHER SERVICEWIDE ACTIVITIES	605,223	605,223	
043A	SECURITY PROGRAMS	54,000	54,000	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE		10,719,187	10,739,587	20,400
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE				
1PLT1	JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF	2,000	2,000	
1PL2	SPECIAL OPERATIONS COMMAND	3,269,939	3,320,239	50,300
	Military Information Support Operations		50,300	
ES18	DEFENSE MEDIA ACTIVITY	15,457	15,457	
4GT6	DEFENSE CONTRACT AUDIT AGENCY	23,478	23,478	
4GT9	DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY	164,520	164,520	
4GT0	DEFENSE CONTRACT MANAGEMENT AGENCY	87,925	87,925	
4GTA	DEFENSE LEGAL SERVICES AGENCY	102,322	102,322	
46TJ	DEFENSE DEPENDENTS EDUCATION	194,100	194,100	
4GTD	DEFENSE SECURITY COOPERATION AGENCY	2,200,000	2,200,000	
4GTI	DEFENSE THREAT REDUCTION AGENCY	0	0	
4GTN	OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE	143,870	143,870	
9999	OTHER PROGRAMS	3,065,800	3,058,965	-6,835
	Classified Adjustment		-6,835	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, DEFENSE-WIDE		9,269,411	9,312,876	43,465

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE				
113	ECHELONS ABOVE BRIGADE	84,200	84,200	
115	LAND FORCES OPERATIONS SUPPORT	28,100	28,100	
121	FORCES READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	20,700	20,700	
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	84,500	84,500	
135	ADDITIONAL ACTIVITIES			
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY RESERVE		217,500	217,500	0
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE				
1A1A	MISSION AND OTHER FLIGHT OPERATIONS	38,402	38,402	
1A3A	INTERMEDIATE MAINTENANCE	400	400	
1A5A	AIRCRAFT DEPOT MAINTENANCE	11,330	11,330	
1B1B	MISSION AND OTHER SHIP OPERATIONS	10,137	10,137	
1C6C	COMBAT SUPPORT FORCES	13,827	13,827	
BSSR	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	52	52	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, NAVY RESERVE		74,148	74,148	0
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE				
1A1A	OPERATING FORCES	31,284	31,284	
BSS1	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	4,800	4,800	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE		36,084	36,084	0
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, MARINE CORPS RESERVE				
011A	PRIMARY COMBAT FORCES	4,800	4,800	
011M	DEPOT MAINTENANCE	131,000	131,000	
011Z	BASE OPERATING SUPPORT	6,250	6,250	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR FORCE RESERVE		142,050	142,050	0
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD				
111	MANEUVER UNITS	89,930	89,930	
116	AVIATION ASSETS	130,848	130,848	
121	FORCE READINESS OPERATIONS SUPPORT	110,011	110,011	
131	BASE OPERATIONS SUPPORT	34,788	34,788	
133	MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONAL HEADQUARTERS	21,967	21,967	
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, ARMY NATIONAL GUARD		387,544	387,544	0

O-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>				
011G	MISSION SUPPORT OPERATIONS	34,050	34,050	
<b>TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE, AIR NATIONAL GUARD</b>		<b>34,050</b>	<b>34,050</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT</b>				
<b>OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER FUND</b>				
		0	5,000,000	5,000,000
	Program Increase - Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Army		1,865,000	
	Program Increase - Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Army		544,244	
	Program Increase - Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Army		943,000	
	Program Increase - Transfer from Operation and Maintenance, Army		1,647,756	
<b>TOTAL, OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS TRANSFER ACCOUNT</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>5,000,000</b>	<b>5,000,000</b>
<b>AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>				
	POWER	300,000	300,000	
	TRANSPORTATION	100,000	100,000	
	WATER	50,000	50,000	
	OTHER RELATED ACTIVITIES	25,000	25,000	
<b>TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN INFRASTRUCTURE FUND</b>		<b>475,000</b>	<b>475,000</b>	
<b>AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND</b>				
	<b>AFGHAN NATIONAL ARMY</b>	<b>7,055,102</b>	<b>7,055,102</b>	
	Infrastructure	1,304,350	1,304,350	
	Equipment and Transportation	1,667,905	1,667,905	
	Training and Operations	751,073	751,073	
	Sustainment	3,331,774	3,331,774	
	<b>AFGHAN NATIONAL POLICE</b>	<b>5,700,149</b>	<b>5,700,149</b>	
	Infrastructure	1,128,584	1,128,584	
	Equipment and Transportation	1,530,420	1,530,420	
	Training and Operations	1,102,430	1,102,430	
	Sustainment	1,938,715	1,938,715	
	<b>RELATED ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>44,749</b>	<b>44,749</b>	
	Detainee Operations -- Sustainment	21,187	21,187	
	Detainee Operations -- Training and Operations	7,344	7,344	
	Detainee Operations -- Infrastructure	15,000	15,000	
	COIN Activities	1,218	1,218	
<b>TOTAL, AFGHANISTAN SECURITY FORCES FUND</b>		<b>12,800,000</b>	<b>12,800,000</b>	<b>0</b>

O-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
PAKISTAN COUNTERINSURGENCY FUND			
PAKISTAN COUNTERINSURGENCY FUND	0	1,100,000	1,100,000
TOTAL, PAKISTAN COUNTERINSURGENCY FUND	0	1,100,000	1,100,000
TOTAL, OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	89,035,031	89,815,293	780,262

## OVERSTATEMENT OF FISCAL YEAR 2012 REQUIREMENTS

The Committee is concerned that the Army's fiscal year 2012 operation and maintenance request for overseas contingency operations may be significantly overstated in three main areas: transportation, equipment reset, and Logistics Civil Augmentation Program (LOGCAP). Since 2002, the Army's operation and maintenance request has been largely built from a contingency operations cost model. The costing factors and other variables contained in the model have evolved and improved over the years. Consequently, it can be used to quite accurately estimate the cost of contingency operations and maintenance, if realistic planning assumptions are used.

The Army's request for transportation funding appears predicated on the erroneous assumption that one hundred percent of the supplies transported into Afghanistan would be airlifted. Officials in the Department have since acknowledged that this assumption is unrealistic. In fact, approximately twenty percent of supplies have been, and will continue to be, transported into Afghanistan via air. In addition, air transportation is ten times more expensive than surface transportation.

Similarly, equipment reset costs may be significantly overstated in the 2012 budget request. Equipment reset is the rebuilding and repair of equipment such that the equipment is restored to a zero hours, zero miles status, or in other words, as good as new. During fiscal year 2010, U.S. Army officials in Camp Arifjan, Kuwait established and instituted significant improvements in the business processes and methods for the reset of equipment which resulted in significant time savings and substantial cost reductions. In fiscal year 2011, the Army revised the reset requirement once the impact of the improved business processes was determined and identified \$1,600,000,000 excess in the fiscal year 2011 Overseas Contingency Operations (OCO) request. However, the fiscal year 2012 OCO request was never adjusted for the impact of the business improvements. Instead, the standard Army Material Command costing factors were used, the same assumptions which led to the overstated fiscal year 2011 budget request.

Finally, LOGCAP costs also appear overstated in the 2012 budget request. LOGCAP provides contingency support such as the delivery of food, water, fuel, and spare parts; the operation of billeting facilities; and engineering and construction via contract with private companies. The reason that LOGCAP costs are overstated appears to be a faulty assumption as to the number of U.S. contractors in Afghanistan who require LOGCAP services. In Afghanistan, about eighty percent of the contractors are Afghans and therefore do not require billeting. The Army's budget request did not account for the number of Afghani contractors who do not need billeting.

The Committee remains uncertain of the justification for much of the Army's operation and maintenance budget request, and therefore the Committee recommendation transfers \$5,000,000,000 from the Army operation and maintenance account to the Overseas Contingency Operation Transfer Fund, providing the Secretary of De-

fense flexibility to move these funds to requirements which emerge during fiscal year 2012.

#### COMMANDER'S EMERGENCY RESPONSE PROGRAM

The bill provides \$400,000,000 of the \$425,000,000 requested for the Commander's Emergency Response Program (CERP), only for Afghanistan. The Department requested \$25,000,000 to fund CERP projects in Iraq based on a quarterly division of the fiscal year 2011 appropriation. Funds to deliver CERP projects in Iraq in the first fiscal quarter of fiscal year 2012 were not justified to the Committee. The bill also requires the Secretary of Defense to notify the congressional defense committees 15 days prior to making CERP funds available for any project with an expected total cost of \$25,000,000 or more. With the development of the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, the Committee is encouraged that CERP funds will again be focused on small-scale humanitarian and reconstruction projects rather than large-scale construction and development projects.

#### PROCUREMENT

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$13,375,288,000 for Procurement. The Committee's recommendations for each procurement account are shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>				
2	C-12 CARGO AIRPLANE (OCO)	10,500	10,500	
8	AH-64 BLOCK II/WRA (OCO) Funding ahead of need	35,500	0	-35,500
12	UH-60 BLACKHAWK (OCO)	72,000	72,000	
17	KIOWA WARRIOR UPGRADE (OH-58 D)/WRA(OCO)	145,500	145,500	
19	MQ-1 PAYLOAD - UAS (OCO)	10,800	10,800	
22	MULTI SENSOR ABN RECON (MIP) (OCO)	54,500	54,500	
33	RQ-7 UAV MODS (OCO)	94,600	94,600	
<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		<b>423,400</b>	<b>387,900</b>	<b>-35,500</b>
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>				
4	HELLFIRE SYS SUMMARY (OCO) Program growth adjustment	107,556	99,412	-8,144
9	GUIDED MLRS ROCKET (GMLRS)	19,000	19,000	
<b>TOTAL MISSILE PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>		<b>126,556</b>	<b>118,412</b>	<b>-8,144</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>				
20	LIGHTWEIGHT .50 CALIBER MACHINE GUN (OCO)	5,427	5,427	
<b>COMMON REMOTELY OPERATED WEAPONS</b>				
29	STATION (OCO)	14,890	14,890	
33	M4 CARBINE MODS (OCO)	16,800	16,800	
<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF W&amp;TCV, ARMY</b>		<b>37,117</b>	<b>37,117</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY</b>				
4	CTG, HANDGUN, ALL TYPES (OCO)	1,200	1,200	
9	CTG, 30MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	4,800	4,800	
10	CTG, 40MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	38,000	38,000	
13	81MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES (OCO)	8,000	8,000	
14	120MM MORTAR, ALL TYPES (OCO)	49,140	49,140	
19	ARTILLERY PROJECTILE, 155MM, ALL TYPES	10,000	10,000	
22	ARTILLERY FUZES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	5,000	5,000	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
27	SHOULDER LAUNCHED MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	5,000	5,000
28	ROCKET, HYDRA 70, ALL TYPES (OCO)	53,841	53,841
29	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	16,000	16,000
31	SIGNALS, ALL TYPES	7,000	7,000
32	SIMULATORS, ALL TYPES	8,000	8,000
36	CAD/PAD ALL TYPES	2,000	2,000
37	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION	400	400
TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, ARMY		208,381	208,381
OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY			
5	FAMILY OF MEDIUM TACTICAL VEH (FMTV) (OCO)	11,094	11,094
7	FAMILY OF HEAVY TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	47,214	47,214
23	NON-TACTICAL VEHICLES, OTHER	3,600	3,600
25	WIN-T -GROUND FORCES TACTICAL NETWORK (OCO)	547	547
39	JOINT TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEM	450	450
42	AMC CRITICAL ITEMS - OPA2 (OCO)	8,141	8,141
49	GUNSHOT DETECTION SYSTEM (GDS) (OCO)	44,100	44,100
51	MEDICAL COMM FOR CBT CASUALTY CARE (OCO)	6,443	6,443
56	INFORMATION SYSTEM SECURITY PROGRAM (OCO)	54,730	54,730
58	BASE SUPPORT COMMUNICATIONS (OCO)	5,000	5,000
62	INSTALLATION INFO INFRASTRUCTURE MOD (OCO)	169,500	169,500
70	DCGS-A (MIP) (OCO)	83,000	83,000
72	TROJAN (MIP) (OCO)	61,100	61,100
76	LIGHTWEIGHT COUNTER MORTAR RADAR (OCO)	54,100	54,100
79	FAMILY OF PERSISTENT SURVEILLANCE CAPABILITIES	53,000	53,000
80	COUNTERINTELLIGENCE/SECURITY COUNTERMEASUR	48,600	48,600
84	SENSE THROUGH THE WALL (STTW)	10,000	10,000
95	PROFILER	2,000	2,000
96	MOD OF IN-SVC EQUIP (FIREFINDER RADARS) (OCO)	30,400	30,400
98	JOINT BATTLE COMMAND--PLATFORM (JBC-P)	148,335	148,335
102	COUNTERFIRE RADARS (OCO)	110,548	110,548

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
105 FIRE SUPPORT C2 FAMILY (OCO)	15,081	15,081	
106 BATTLE COMMAND SUSTAINMENT SUPPORT SYS (OCO)	10,000	10,000	
108 AIR & MSL DEFENSE PLANNING & CONTROL SYSTEM	28,000	28,000	
109 KNIGHT FAMILY (OCO)	42,000	42,000	
114 NETWORK MANAGEMENT INITIALIZATION & SERVICE	32,800	32,800	
115 MANEUVER CONTROL SYSTEM (OCO)	44,000	44,000	
116 SINGLE ARMY LOGISTICS ENTERPRISE (SALE) (OCO)	18,000	18,000	
121 AUTOMATED DATA PROCESSING EQUIP (OCO)	10,000	10,000	
128 PROTECTIVE SYSTEMS (OCO)	11,472	11,472	
129 FAMILY OF NON-LETHAL EQUIPMENT (OCO)	30,000	30,000	
131 CBRN SOLDIER PROTECTION (OCO)	1,200	1,200	
133 TACTICAL BRIDGING (OCO)	15,000	15,000	
134 TACTICAL BRIDGING, FLOAT RIBBON (OCO)	26,900	26,900	
138 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP (OCO)	3,205	3,205	
149 FORCE PROVIDER (OCO)	68,000	68,000	
158 COMBAT SUPPORT MEDICAL (OCO)	15,011	15,011	
159 MOBILE MAINTENANCE EQUIPMENT SYSTEMS (OCO)	25,129	25,129	
180 ALL TERRAIN LIFTING ARMY SYSTEM (OCO)	1,800	1,800	
189 RAPID EQUIPPING SOLDIER SUPPORT EQUIPMENT	43,000	43,000	
190 PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEMS (OPA3) (OCO)	4,900	4,900	
CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS (OCO)	795	795	
<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, ARMY</b>	<b>1,398,195</b>	<b>1,398,195</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
11 UH-1Y/AH-1Z (OCO) AH-1Z pricing	30,000	28,600 -1,400	-1,400
19 E-2D ADV HAWKEYE (OCO) Combat loss aircraft funded in fiscal year 2011	163,500	0 -163,500	-163,500
28 OTHER SUPPORT AIRCRAFT (OCO) Aircraft excess to requirement	21,882	0 -21,882	-21,882
30 AEA SYSTEMS (OCO) Intrepid Tiger	53,100	37,900 -15,200	-15,200
31 AV-8 SERIES (OCO)	53,485	53,485	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
32 F-18 SERIES (OCO)	46,992	46,992	
34 AH-1W SERIES ANVIS HUD install kit pricing	39,418	37,918 -1,500	-1,500
35 H-53 SERIES (OCO) Excess Hot Day PPC modification hardware support Excess non-recurring engineering for Blue Force Tracker modification	70,747	63,747 -2,000 -5,000	-7,000
37 H-1 SERIES (OCO) Top-owl modification funding	6,420	0 -6,420	-6,420
38 EP-3 SERIES (OCO)	20,800	20,800	
43 C-130 SERIES (OCO) Targeting Sight Systems excess to requirement LAIRCM installation funding ahead of need	59,625	44,225 -10,200 -5,200	-15,400
45 CARGO/TRANSPORT A/C SERIES	25,880	25,880	
48 SPECIAL PROJECT AIRCRAFT (OCO)	11,184	11,184	
53 COMMON ECM EQUIPMENT (OCO)	27,200	27,200	
54 COMMON AVIONICS CHANGES (OCO) OSIP 10-11 other support growth	13,467	11,467 -2,000	-2,000
55 COMMON DEFENSIVE WEAPON SYSTEM (OCO)	3,300	3,300	
60 V-22 (TILT/ROTOR ACFT) OSPREY (OCO)	30,000	30,000	
61 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (OCO) Other Support Aircraft spares MQ-8 spares excess to requirement	39,060	34,462 -967 -3,631	-4,598
62 COMMON GROUND EQUIPMENT (OCO)	10,800	10,800	
65 OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES (OCO)	4,100	4,100	
<b>TOTAL AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>	<b>730,960</b>	<b>492,060</b>	<b>-238,900</b>
<b>WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
9 HELLFIRE (OCO)	14,000	14,000	
10 STAND OFF PRECISION GUIDED MUNITIONS (OCO)	20,000	20,000	
27 SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS (OCO)	7,070	7,070	
<b>TOTAL WEAPONS PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>	<b>41,070</b>	<b>41,070</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MARINE CORPS</b>			
3 AIRBORNE ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	80,200	80,200	
4 MACHINE GUN AMMUNITION (OCO)	22,400	22,400	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
7	AIR EXPENDABLE COUNTERMEASURES (OCO)	20,000	20,000
11	OTHER SHIP GUN AMMUNITION (OCO)	182	182
12	SMALL ARMS & LANDING PARTY AMMO (OCO)	4,545	4,545
13	PYROTECHNIC AND DEMOLITION (OCO)	1,656	1,656
14	AMMUNITION LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (OCO)	6,000	6,000
	SMALL ARMS AMMUNITION (OCO)	19,575	19,575
16	LINEAR CHARGES, ALL TYPES (OCO)	6,691	6,691
17	40 MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	12,184	12,184
18	60MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	10,988	10,988
19	81MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	24,515	24,515
20	120MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	11,227	11,227
21	CTG 25MM, ALL TYPES (OCO)	802	802
22	GRENADERS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	5,911	5,911
23	ROCKETS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	18,871	18,871
24	ARTILLERY, ALL TYPES (OCO)	57,003	57,003
25	DEMOLITION MUNITIONS, ALL TYPES (OCO)	7,831	7,831
26	FUZE, ALL TYPES (OCO)	5,177	5,177
27	NON LETHALS (OCO)	712	712
29	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (OCO)	630	630
<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT OF AMMO, NAVY &amp; MARINE</b>		<b>317,100</b>	<b>317,100</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>			
23	STANDARD BOATS (OCO) Coastal force protection boats contract delay	13,729	0 -13,729
56	MATCALs (OCO) Radar upgrade transfer to title III	7,232	0 -7,232
66	TACTICAL/MOBILE C41 SYSTEMS (OCO) Unjustified request for tech refresh upgrades	4,000	0 -4,000
92	EXPEDITIONARY AIRFIELDS (OCO)	47,000	47,000
96	METEOROLOGICAL EQUIPMENT (OCO)	10,800	10,800
97	AVIATION LIFE SUPPORT (OCO)	14,000	14,000
101	OTHER AVIATION SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	18,226	18,226

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
112 SSN COMBAT CONTROL SYSTEMS (OCO) Naval Intelligence Fusion Tool transfer to title III	7,500	0	-7,500
116 EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE DISPOSAL EQUIP (OCO)	15,700	15,700	
121 PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES (OCO)	2,628	2,628	
123 CONSTRUCTION & MAINTENANCE EQUIP (OCO)	13,290	13,290	
124 FIRE FIGHTING EQUIPMENT (OCO)	3,672	3,672	
128 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (OCO)	1,002	1,002	
130 MATERIALS HANDLING EQUIPMENT (OCO)	3,644	3,644	
134 TRAINING SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	5,789	5,789	
135 COMMAND SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	3,310	3,310	
140 OPERATING FORCES SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	6,977	6,977	
141 C4ISR EQUIPMENT (OCO)	24,762	24,762	
143 PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	78,241	78,241	
149 SPARES AND REPAIR PARTS (OCO)	473	473	
<b>TOTAL OTHER PROCUREMENT, NAVY</b>	<b>281,975</b>	<b>249,514</b>	<b>-32,461</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b>			
2 LAV PIP (OCO)	23,962	23,962	
4 155MM LIGHTWEIGHT TOWED HOWITZER (OCO)	16,000	16,000	
5 HIGH MOBILITY ARTILLERY ROCKET SYSTEM (OCO)	10,488	10,488	
6 WEAPONS & COMBAT VEHICLES UNDER \$5 M (OCO)	27,373	27,373	
10 JAVELIN (OCO)	2,527	2,527	
13 MODIFICATION KITS (OCO)	59,730	59,730	
15 REPAIR AND TEST EQUIPMENT (OCO)	19,040	19,040	
17 MODIFICATION KITS (OCO)	2,331	2,331	
18 ITEMS UNDER \$5 MILLION (COMM & ELEC) (OCO)	3,090	3,090	
19 AIR OPERATIONS C2 SYSTEMS (OCO)	5,236	5,236	
20 RADAR SYSTEMS (OCO)	26,506	26,506	
21 FIRE SUPPORT SYSTEM (OCO)	35	35	
24 INTELLIGENCE SUPPORT EQUIPMENT (OCO)	47,132	47,132	
28 NIGHT VISION EQUIPMENT (OCO)	9,850	9,850	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request	
29	COMMON COMPUTER RESOURCES (OCO)	18,629	18,629	
30	COMMAND POST SYSTEMS (OCO)	31,491	31,491	
31	RADIO SYSTEMS (OCO)	87,027	87,027	
32	COMM SWITCHING & CONTROL SYSTEMS (OCO)	54,177	124,177	70,000
	Marine Corps requested transfer from line 38 for Data Distribution System	50,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer from line 38 for Digital Technical Control System	20,000		
33	COMM & ELEC INFRASTRUCTURE SUPPORT (OCO)	2,200	2,200	
37	MOTOR TRANSPORT MODIFICATIONS (OCO)	95,800	95,800	
38	MEDIUM TACTICAL VEHICLE REPLACEMENT (OCO)	392,391	42,391	-350,000
	Marine Corps requested transfer to line 32 for Data Distribution System	-50,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer to line 32 for Digital Technical Control System	-20,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer to line 39 for LVSR	-148,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer to line 46 for Advanced Medium Mobile Power Sources	-20,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer to line 46 for Mobile Electric Power Distribution System	-35,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer to Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps, line 1A1A, for Family of Shelters	-27,000		
	Program adjustment	-50,000		
39	LOGISTICS VEHICLE SYSTEM REP (OCO)	38,382	186,382	148,000
	Marine Corps requested transfer from line 38	148,000		
40	FAMILY OF TACTICAL TRAILERS (OCO)	24,826	24,826	
43	ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL EQUIP ASSORT (OCO)	18,775	18,775	
44	BULK LIQUID EQUIPMENT (OCO)	7,361	7,361	
46	POWER EQUIPMENT ASSORTED (OCO)	51,895	106,895	55,000
	Marine Corps requested transfer from line 38 for Advanced Medium Mobile Power Sources	20,000		
	Marine Corps requested transfer from line 38 for Mobile Electric Power Distribution System	35,000		
48	EOD SYSTEMS (OCO)	57,237	57,237	
49	PHYSICAL SECURITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	42,900	42,900	
51	MATERIAL HANDLING EQUIP (OCO)	42,553	42,553	
53	FIELD MEDICAL EQUIPMENT (OCO)	8,307	8,307	
54	TRAINING DEVICES (OCO)	5,200	5,200	
55	CONTAINER FAMILY (OCO)	12	12	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
56 FAMILY OF CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT (OCO)	28,533	28,533	
<b>TOTAL PROCUREMENT, MARINE CORPS</b>	<b>1,260,996</b>	<b>1,183,996</b>	<b>-77,000</b>
<b>AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>			
19 V22 OSPREY (OCO) Combat loss replaced in fiscal year 2011	70,000	0 -70,000	-70,000
24 HH-60M OPERATIONAL LOSS REPLACEMENT (OCO)	39,300	39,300	
27 STUASLO (OCO)	2,472	2,472	
43 C-5 (OCO)	59,299	59,299	
59 MC-12W (OCO)	17,300	17,300	
63 C-130 (OCO)	164,041	164,041	
63 C-130 INTEL (OCO)	4,600	4,600	
65 C-130J MODS INTEL (OCO)	27,983	27,983	
67 COMPASS CALL MODS	12,000	12,000	
75 HC/MC-130 MODIFICATIONS (OCO)	34,000	34,000	
76 OTHER AIRCRAFT (OCO)	15,000	15,000	
77 MQ-1 MODS (OCO)	2,800	2,800	
81 INITIAL SPARES/REPAIR PARTS	2,800	2,800	
90 C-17A (OCO)	10,970	10,970	
99 WAR CONSUMABLES (OCO) ALE-50 Operation Odyssey Dawn replenishment	0	11,300 11,300	11,300
100 OTHER PRODUCTION CHARGES (OCO)	23,000	23,000	
104 DARP (OCO) Excess to need	42,300	13,400 -28,900	-28,900
<b>TOTAL, AIRCRAFT PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>	<b>527,865</b>	<b>440,265</b>	<b>-87,600</b>

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>				
5	<b>PREDATOR HELLFIRE MISSILE (OCO)</b>	16,120	29,420	13,300
	Operation Odyssey Dawn replenishment		500	
	Increase in OEF usage rates		12,800	
6	<b>SMALL DIAMETER BOMB (OCO)</b>	12,300	12,300	
10	<b>AGM-65D MAVERICK</b>	0	2,800	2,800
	Operation Odyssey Dawn replenishment		2,800	
11	<b>AGM-88A HARM</b>	0	2,400	2,400
	Operation Odyssey Dawn replenishment		2,400	
<b>TOTAL, MISSILE PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>28,420</b>	<b>46,920</b>	<b>18,500</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>				
1	<b>ROCKETS (OCO)</b>	329	329	
2	<b>CARTRIDGES (OCO)</b>	8,014	8,014	
4	<b>GENERAL PURPOSE BOMBS (OCO)</b>	17,385	22,385	5,000
	GBU-12 Operation Odyssey Dawn replenishment		5,000	
5	<b>JOINT DIRECT ATTACK MUNITION (OCO)</b>	34,100	76,100	42,000
	Operation Odyssey Dawn replenishment		25,500	
	Increase in OEF usage rates		16,500	
7	<b>EXPLOSIVE ORDINANCE DISPOSAL (OCO)</b>	1,200	1,200	
11	<b>FLARES (OCO)</b>	11,217	11,217	
12	<b>FUZES (OCO)</b>	8,765	8,765	
13	<b>SMALL ARMS (OCO)</b>	11,500	11,500	
<b>TOTAL, PROCUREMENT OF AMMUNITION, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>92,510</b>	<b>139,510</b>	<b>47,000</b>
<b>OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>				
1	<b>PASSENGER CARRYING VEHICLES (OCO)</b>	2,658	0	-2,658
	Unjustified request		-2,658	
4	<b>ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (CARGO) (OCO)</b>	32,824	0	-32,824
	Unjustified request		-32,824	
6	<b>ITEMS LESS THAN \$5M (SPECIAL)(OCO)</b>	110	110	
7	<b>FIRE FIGHTING/CRASH RESCUE VEHICLES (OCO)</b>	1,662	1,662	
8	<b>ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000 (OCO)</b>	772	772	
10	<b>ITEMS LESS THAN \$5,000,000(VEHICLES)(OCO)</b>	13,983	13,983	
13	<b>AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY (OCO)</b>	500	500	
22	<b>WEATHER OBSERVATION FORECAST (OCO)</b>	1,800	1,800	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
25	TAC SIGNIT SPT (OCO)	7,020	7,020	
30	AIR FORCE PHYSICAL SECURITY SYSTEM (OCO)	25,920	25,920	
49	TACTICAL C-E EQUIPMENT (OCO)	9,445	9,445	
55	NIGHT VISION GOGGLES (OCO)	12,900	12,900	
59	CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS (OCO)	18,100	18,100	
61	MOBILITY EQUIPMENT (OCO)	9,800	9,800	
62	ITEMS LESS THAN \$5 MILLION (BASE S) (OCO)	8,400	8,400	
65	DCGS-AF (OCO)	3,000	3,000	
68	DEFENSE SPACE RECONNAISSANCE PROG (OCO)	64,400	64,400	
	OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	2,991,347	3,035,198	43,851
	Classified adjustment		43,851	
<b>TOTAL, OTHER PROCUREMENT, AIR FORCE</b>		<b>3,204,641</b>	<b>3,213,010</b>	<b>8,369</b>
<b>PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>				
17	TELEPORT PROGRAM (OCO)	3,307	3,307	
	INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (ISSP)			
43	(OCO)	3,000	3,000	
46	MAJOR EQUIPMENT, INTELLIGENCE (OCO)	8,300	8,300	
50	MH-47 SERVICE LIFE EXTENSION PROGRAM (OCO)	40,500	0	
	Funded in fiscal year 2011		-40,500	
51	MH-60 SOF MODERNIZATION (OCO)	7,800	0	
	Funded in fiscal year 2011		-7,800	
52	NON-STANDARD AVIATION (OCO)	8,500	8,500	
57	CV-22 SOF MODIFICATION (OCO)	15,000	0	
	Funded in fiscal year 2011		-15,000	
63	C-130 MODIFICATIONS (OCO)	4,800	4,800	
67	SOF ORDNANCE REPLENISHMENT (OCO)	71,659	71,659	
68	SOF ORDNANCE ACQUISITION (OCO)	25,400	25,400	
	COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT & ELECTRONICS			
69	(OCO)	2,325	2,325	
70	SOF INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS (OCO)	43,558	43,558	
71	SMALL ARMS AND WEAPONS (OCO)	6,488	6,488	
	DISTRIBUTED COMMON GROUND/SURFACE SYSTEMS			
72	(OCO)	2,601	2,601	

P-1		Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
78	TACTICAL VEHICLES (OCO)	15,818	15,818	
85	SOF AUTOMATION SYSTEMS (OCO)	13,387	13,387	
87	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS INTELLIGENCE (OCO)	5,800	5,800	
88	SOF SOLDIER PROTECTION AND SURVIVAL SYSTEMS (OCO)	34,900	34,900	
89	SOF VISUAL AUGMENTATION, LASERS & SENSOR SYSTEMS (OCO)	3,531	3,531	
90	SOF TACTICAL RADIO SYSTEMS (OCO)	2,894	2,894	
93	MISCELLANEOUS EQUIPMENT (OCO)	7,220	7,220	
94	SOF OPERATIONAL ENHANCEMENTS (OCO)	41,632	41,632	
	CLASSIFIED PROGRAMS	101,548	101,548	
<b>TOTAL, PROCUREMENT, DEFENSE-WIDE</b>		<b>469,968</b>	<b>406,668</b>	<b>0</b>
<b>NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>				
	<b>RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>			
	ARMY RESERVE	0	220,000	220,000
	Miscellaneous Equipment (OCO)		220,000	
	NAVY RESERVE	0	105,000	105,000
	Miscellaneous Equipment (OCO)		105,000	
	MARINE CORPS RESERVE	0	90,000	90,000
	Miscellaneous Equipment (OCO)		90,000	
	AIR FORCE RESERVE	0	105,000	105,000
	Miscellaneous Equipment (OCO)		105,000	
	<b>TOTAL, RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>		<b>520,000</b>	
	<b>NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT</b>			
	ARMY NATIONAL GUARD	0	490,000	490,000
	Miscellaneous Equipment (OCO)		490,000	
	AIR NATIONAL GUARD	0	490,000	490,000
	Miscellaneous Equipment (OCO)		490,000	
	<b>TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD EQUIPMENT</b>		<b>980,000</b>	
<b>TOTAL, NATIONAL GUARD &amp; RESERVE EQUIPMENT</b>			<b>1,500,000</b>	
<b>MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND</b>				
1	MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND (OCO)	3,195,170	3,195,170	
<b>TOTAL, MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED VEHICLE FUND</b>			<b>3,195,170</b>	

P-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND</b>			
1	ATTACK THE NETWORK (OCO)	1,368,800	1,368,800
2	DEFEAT THE DEVICE (OCO)	961,200	961,200
3	TRAIN THE FORCE (OCO)	247,500	247,500
<b>TOTAL, JOINT IED DEFEAT FUND</b>		<b>2,577,500</b>	<b>2,577,500</b>
<b>JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND</b>			
1	JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND (OCO)	100,000	0
			-100,000

## NATIONAL GUARD AND RESERVE EQUIPMENT

The National Guard and reserve forces traditionally receive less than a proportionate share of funding to resource the equipment needs of each. As a result, the Committee recommends funding for the National Guard and reserve forces as follows.

The Committee recommendation for the National Guard and Reserve Equipment Account is \$1,500,000,000. Of that amount, \$490,000,000 is for the Army National Guard; \$490,000,000 for the Air National Guard; \$220,000,000 for the U.S. Army Reserve; \$105,000,000 for the Navy Reserve; \$90,000,000 for the Marine Corps Reserve; and \$105,000,000 for the Air Force Reserve to meet urgent equipment needs that may arise this fiscal year.

This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority equipment that may be used by these units for both their combat missions and their missions in support of State governors. This funding will allow the Guard and reserve components to procure high priority items such as: Generation 4 Advanced Targeting Pods, Reduced Size Crashworthy External and Extended Range Fuel Systems (RCEFS) for Apaches and Chinooks, civil support radios, lightweight airborne recovery systems, simulation training systems, tactical radios, tactical trailers, and field engineering, logistics, and maintenance equipment.

MINE RESISTANT AMBUSH PROTECTED (MRAP) AND MINE RESISTANT  
AMBUSH PROTECTED ALL TERRAIN VEHICLES (M-ATV)

The recommendation provides \$3,195,170,000, equal to the request, to address MRAP and M-ATV requirements, as identified by the Department. The Department shall continue to adhere to the execution and reporting requirements.

## RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$436,758,000 for Research, Development, Test and Evaluation. The Committee's recommendations for each research, development, test and evaluation account are shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[In thousands of dollars]

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, ARMY</b>			
140 ARMY TEST RANGES AND FACILITIES	8,513	8,513	
<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, ARMY</b>	<b>8,513</b>	<b>8,513</b>	
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, NAVY</b>			
JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE 54 DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	1,500	1,500	
97 ELECTRONIC WARFARE DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	5,600	5,600	
JOINT SERVICE EXPLOSIVE ORDNANCE 119 DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	3,500	3,500	
126 MEDICAL DEVELOPMENT (OCO)	1,950	1,950	
172 F/A-18 SQUADRONS (OCO)	2,000	2,000	
189 MARINE CORPS COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS (OCO)	1,500	1,500	
USMC INTELLIGENCE/ELECTRONIC WARFARE 192 SYSTEMS (OCO)	4,050	4,050	
999 OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	33,784	33,784	
<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, NAVY</b>	<b>53,884</b>	<b>53,884</b>	
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, AIR FORCE</b>			
145 ISR INNOVATIONS Program continuation	0	50,000 50,000	50,000
200 ENDURANCE UNMANNED AERIAL VEHICLES (OCO) Excess to need	73,000	63,000 -10,000	-10,000
999 OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	69,000	69,000	
<b>TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, AIR FORCE</b>	<b>142,000</b>	<b>182,000</b>	<b>40,000</b>
<b>RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST &amp; EVALUATION, DEFENSE WIDE</b>			
152 GENERAL SUPPORT TO USD (OCO)	9,200	9,200	
202 LONG-HAUL COMMUNICATIONS DCS (OCO)	10,500	10,500	
207 INFORMATION SYSTEMS SECURITY PROGRAM (OCO)	32,850	32,850	
211 GLOBAL COMMAND AND CONTROL SYSTEM (OCO)	2,000	2,000	

R-1	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
254 RQ-7 UAV (OCO)	2,450	2,450	
999 OTHER PROGRAMS (OCO)	135,361	135,361	
TOTAL RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST & EVALUATION, DEFENSE WIDE	192,361	192,361	

## ISR INNOVATIONS

The Committee's recommendation includes \$50,000,000 for the Intelligence, Surveillance, and Reconnaissance (ISR) Pilot Program initiated in fiscal year 2011. These funds are intended to provide a means by which the Secretary of the Air Force may fund projects with the potential to provide "game-changing" capabilities to the war fighter in both current and future operations at a sustainable cost. The Committee directs the Secretary of the Air Force to provide the House and Senate Appropriations Committees a spending and execution plan for these funds not later than 60 days after enactment of this Act, and prior to obligation of funds.

## REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

## DEFENSE WORKING CAPITAL FUNDS

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$435,013,000 for the Defense Working Capital Fund accounts.

## OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS

## DEFENSE HEALTH PROGRAM

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$1,228,288,000 for the Defense Health Program.

The Committee's recommendations for operation and maintenance, procurement, and research, development, test and evaluation are shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
 [In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE	1,228,288	1,228,288	0
IN-HOUSE CARE	641,996	641,996	0
PRIVATE SECTOR CARE	464,869	464,869	0
CONSOLIDATED HEALTH SUPPORT	95,994	95,994	0
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	5,548	5,548	0
MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	751	751	0
EDUCATION AND TRAINING	16,859	16,859	0
BASE OPERATIONS AND COMMUNICATIONS	2,271	2,271	0

DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES,  
DEFENSE

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$469,458,000 for Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities.

The Committee's recommendations for the counter-drug account are shown below:

EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS  
[in thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
AFGHANISTAN AIR MOBILITY	149,000	149,000	
COUNTER NARCOTICS POLICE AFGHANISTAN TRAINING	46,250	46,250	
COUNTER NARCOTICS POLICE AFGHANISTAN FACILITIES	7,000	7,000	
AFGHANISTAN BORDER POLICE FACILITIES	40,000	40,000	
AFGHANISTAN BORDER POLICE TRAINING	32,000	32,000	
AFGHANISTAN BORDER POLICE EQUIPMENT	2,500	2,500	
OTHER PROGRAM SUPPORT - AFGHANISTAN	7,000	7,000	
INTELLIGENCE AND TECHNOLOGY	49,509	49,509	
PAKISTAN	40,650	40,650	
TAJIKISTAN	27,425	27,425	
TURKMENISTAN	23,800	23,800	
KYRGYZSTAN	33,598	33,598	
KAZAKHSTAN	9,976	9,976	
UZBEKISTAN	14,750	14,750	
OTHER REGIONAL PROGRAMS	3,000	3,000	
PROGRAM ADJUSTMENT		-17,000	-17,000
TOTAL, DRUG INTERDICTION AND COUNTER-DRUG ACTIVITIES (GWOT)	486,458	469,458	-17,000

### JOINT IMPROVISED EXPLOSIVE DEVICE DEFEAT FUND

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$2,577,500,000 for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund. The Committee's recommendations for the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund are shown below:

#### EXPLANATION OF PROJECT LEVEL ADJUSTMENTS

[In thousands of dollars]

	Budget Request	Committee Recommended	Change from Request
1 Attack the Network .....	1,368,800	1,368,800	0
2 Defeat the Device .....	961,200	961,200	0
3 Train the Force .....	247,500	247,500	0
Total, Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund .....	2,577,500	2,577,500	0

### OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL

The Committee recommends an additional appropriation of \$11,055,000 for the Office of the Inspector General.

### JOINT URGENT OPERATIONAL NEEDS FUND

The Committee recommends no appropriation for the Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.

### GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title IX contains several general provisions, many of which extend or modify war-related authorities included in previous Acts. A brief description of the recommended provisions follows:

Section 9001 has been amended and provides that funds made available in this title are in addition to funds appropriated or otherwise made available for the Department of Defense for the current fiscal year.

Section 9002 has been amended and provides for general transfer authority within title IX.

Section 9003 has been amended and provides that supervision and administration costs associated with a construction project funded with appropriations available for operation and maintenance, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, or Afghanistan Security Forces Fund may be obligated at the time a construction contract is awarded.

Section 9004 has been amended and provides for the procurement of passenger motor vehicles and heavy and light armored vehicles for use by military and civilian employees of the Department of Defense in the U.S. Central Command area.

Section 9005 has been amended and provides for the Commander's Emergency Response Program, with certain limitations.

Section 9006 provides lift and sustainment to coalition forces supporting military and stability operations in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Section 9007 bans the establishment of permanent bases in Iraq and Afghanistan, and United States control over oil resources.

Section 9008 prohibits the use of funding in contravention of the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Section 9009 has been amended and provides for a quarterly report on the proposed use of funds for the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, and Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund on a project-by-project basis.

Section 9010 is a new provision to provide additional funding for outreach and reintegration services under the Yellow Ribbon Reintegration Program.

Section 9011 provides the authority to use funds made available for operation and maintenance to purchase items with a certain investment unit cost.

Section 9012 has been amended and provides authority to the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan.

Section 9013 has been amended and provides authority to establish Office of Security Cooperation locations in Iraq.

Section 9014 limits the obligation or expenditure of funds provided for operation and maintenance until the Secretary of Defense submits a report on the number of planned contractor employees in the U.S. Central Command.

Section 9015 is a new provision to require the Secretary of Defense to submit a report related to the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund.

Section 9016 is a new provision to place limitations on funding used for information operations or military support operations.

Section 9017 is a new provision to provide for the rescission of \$595,000,000 from the following account:

---

2011 Appropriations:	
Mine Resistant Ambush Protection Vehicle Fund .....	\$595,000,000

---



## TITLE X

### ADDITIONAL GENERAL PROVISIONS

Title X contains one new general provision. A brief description of the recommended provision follows:

Section 10001 is a new provision stating that the applicable allocation of new budget authority recommended by the Committee does not exceed the amount of proposed new budget authority.

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

The following items are included in accordance with various requirements of the Rules of the House of Representatives:

#### CHANGES IN THE APPLICATION OF EXISTING LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following statements are submitted describing the effect of provisions in the accompanying bill which directly or indirectly change the application of existing law.

Language is included in various parts of the bill to continue ongoing activities which require annual authorization or additional legislation, which to date has not been enacted.

The bill includes a number of provisions which place limitations on the use of funds in the bill or change existing limitations and which might, under some circumstances, be construed as changing the application of law.

The bill includes a number of provisions, which have been virtually unchanged for many years, that are technically considered legislation.

The bill provides that appropriations shall remain available for more than one year for some programs for which the basic authorizing legislation does not presently authorize each extended availability.

In various places in the bill, the Committee has allocated funds within appropriation accounts in order to fund specific programs.

Changes in the application of existing law found within appropriations headings:

Language is included that provides not more than \$47,026,000 for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund.

Language is included that provides not less than \$34,311,000 for the Procurement Technical Assistance Cooperative Agreement Program, of which not less than \$3,600,000 shall be available for centers.

Language is included that provides that any transfer authority provided under the heading "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be in addition to any other transfer authority provided in this Act.

Language is included for the various Environmental Restoration accounts that provides that the Service Secretaries may transfer such funds for the purposes of the funds provided under such appropriations headings.

Language is included that provides for specific construction, acquisition or conversion of vessels under the heading "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy".

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds provided under "National Defense Sealift Fund" to award new contracts that provide for the acquisition of major components unless such components are made in the United States.

Language is included that provides that the exercise of an option in a contract award through the obligation of previously appropriated funds shall not be considered to be the award of a new contract.

Language is included that provides waiver authority of the Buy America provisions under "National Defense Sealift Fund" under certain circumstances.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$8,000,000 of funds provided under "Defense Health Program" shall be available for HIV/AIDS prevention education activities.

Language is included that provides for the carry-over of one percent of the Operation and Maintenance account under the "Defense Health Program".

Language is included that provides for the transfer of Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities. Such transfer authority shall be in addition to other transfer authority provided elsewhere in the Act.

Language is included that requires submission of a plan related to prescription drug testing.

Language is included that allows the Director of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization to undertake certain activities.

Language is included that requires that within 60 days of enactment of this Act, a plan for the intended management and use of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund is to be provided to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report to the congressional defense committees providing assessments of the evolving threats, service requirements to counter threats, pre-deployment training strategy and funds execution of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.

Language is included under the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund to transfer funds. Such transfer authority shall be in addition to other transfer authority provided elsewhere in the Act.

Language is included under the Office of the Inspector General providing for the allocation of certain funds.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be used for publicity or propaganda purposes not authorized by Congress.

Language is included that provides for conditions and limitations on the payment of compensation to, or employment of, foreign nationals.

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act may be obligated beyond the end of the fiscal year unless express provision for a greater period of availability is provided elsewhere in this Act.

Language is included that provides a 20 percent limitation on the obligation of funds provided in this Act during the last two months of the fiscal year.

Language is included that provides for the general transfer authority.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2012 and prohibits certain reprogrammings until after submission of a report.

Language is included that provides for limitations on the use and transfer authority of working capital fund cash balances.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds appropriated in this Act may be used to initiate a special access program without prior notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides limitations and conditions on the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate multi-year contracts.

Language is included that provides for the use and obligation of funds for humanitarian and civic assistance costs under Chapter 20 of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides that civilian personnel of the Department may not be managed on the basis of end strength or be subject to end strength limitations.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to influence congressional action on any matters pending before the Congress.

Language is included that prohibits compensation from being paid to any member of the Army who is participating as a full-time student and who receives benefits from the Education Benefits Fund when time spent as a full-time student is counted toward that member's service commitment.

Language is included that provides for the limitations on the conversion of any activity or function of the Department of Defense to contractor performance.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds appropriated in title III of this Act for the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase anchor and mooring chains manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available to the Department of Defense from being used to demilitarize or dispose of surplus firearms.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds being used for the relocation of any Department of Defense entity into or within the National Capital Region.

Language is included that provides for incentive payments authorized by section 504 of the Indian Financing Act of 1974 (25 U.S.C. 1544).

Language is included that provides that no funds made available in this Act for the Defense Media Activity may be used for national or international political or psychological activities.

Language is included that provides for the obligation of funds for purposes specified in section 2350j(c) of title 10, United States Code.

Language is included that provides funding for the Civil Air Patrol Corporation.

Language is included that provides for the number of staff years of technical effort that may be funded for defense Federally Funded Research and Development Centers (FFRDC), excluding national and military intelligence programs.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to procure carbon, alloy or armor steel plate melted and rolled only in the United States and Canada.

Language is included that defines congressional defense committees as being the Armed Services Committees and the Subcommittees on Defense of the Committees on Appropriations of the House of Representatives and Senate.

Language is included that provides for competitions between private firms and Department of Defense Depot Maintenance Activities for modification, depot maintenance, and repair of aircraft, vehicles and vessels as well as the production of components and other Defense-related articles.

Language is included that provides for revocation of blanket waivers of the Buy American Act upon a finding that a country has violated a reciprocal trade agreement by discriminating against products produced in the United States that are covered by the agreement.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds for purposes specified in section 2921(c)(2) of the 1991 National Defense Authorization Act, namely facility maintenance and repair and environmental restoration at military installations in the United States.

Language is included that provides for the conveyance, without consideration, of relocatable housing units located at Grand Forks and Minot Air Force Bases to Indian Tribes located in Nevada, Idaho, North and South Dakota, Montana, Oregon, Minnesota, and Washington.

Language is included that provides authority to use operation and maintenance appropriations to purchase items having an investment item unit cost of not more than \$250,000.

Language is included that prohibits the purchase of specified investment items within the Working Capital Fund.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds appropriated for the Central Intelligence Agency shall remain available for obligation beyond the current fiscal year except for funds appropriated for the Reserve for Contingencies, the Working Capital Fund, or other certain programs authorized under section 503 of the National Security Act.

Language is included that provides that funds available for the Defense Intelligence Agency may be used for intelligence communications and intelligence information systems for the Services, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the component commands.

Language is included that provides that not less than \$12,000,000 within "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" shall be for mitigation of environmental impacts on Indian lands.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to comply with the Buy American Act as defined in chapter 83 of Title 41.

Language is included that provides conditions under which contracts for studies, analyses or consulting services may be entered into without competition on the basis of an unsolicited proposal.

Language is included that provides for the limitations of funds made available in this Act to establish Field Operating Agencies.

Language is included that provides grant authorities for the Department of Defense acting through the Office of Economic Adjustment.

Language is included that provides for the rescission of previously appropriated funds.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce authorized positions for military (civilian) technicians of the Army National Guard, Air National Guard, Army Reserve and Air Force Reserve unless such reductions are a direct result of a reduction in military force structure.

Language is included that provides that none of the funds made available in this Act may be obligated or expended for assistance to the Democratic People's Republic of Korea unless appropriated for that purpose.

Language is included that provides for reimbursement to the National Guard and Reserve when members of the National Guard and Reserve provide intelligence or counterintelligence support to the Combatant Commands, Defense Agencies and Joint Intelligence Activities.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce civilian medical and medical support personnel assigned to military treatment facilities below the September 30, 2003, level unless the Service Surgeons General certifies to the congressional defense committees that it is a responsible stewardship of resources to do so.

Language is included that provides that Defense and Central Intelligence Agencies' drug interdiction and counter-drug activity funds may not be transferred to other agencies unless specifically provided in an appropriations law.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds appropriated by this Act for the procurement of ball and roller bearings other than those produced by a domestic source and of domestic origin.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to purchase supercomputers manufactured only in the United States.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this or any other Act to transfer administrative responsibilities or budgetary resources of any program, project, or activity financed by this Act to the jurisdiction of another Federal agency not financed by this Act without expressed authorization of the Congress.

Language is included that provides for prior Congressional notification of article transfers to international peacekeeping organizations.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for contractor bonuses from being paid due to business restructuring.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to be used to support personnel supporting approved non-traditional defense activities.

Language is included that provides for the Department of Defense to dispose of negative unliquidated or unexpended balances for expired or closed accounts.

Language is included that provides conditions for the use of equipment of the National Guard Distance Learning Project on a space-available, reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds provided by this Act to implement cost-effective agreements for required heating facility modernization in the Kaiserslautern Military Community, Germany.

Language is included that provides for the limitation on the use of funds appropriated in title IV to procure end-items for delivery to military forces for operational training, operational use or inventory requirements.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to approve or license the sale of the F-22A advanced tactical fighter to any foreign government.

Language is included that provides for a waiver of the "Buy America" provisions for certain cooperative programs.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to support the training of members of foreign security forces who have engaged in gross violations of human rights.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act for repairs or maintenance to military family housing units.

Language is included that provides obligation authority for new starts for advanced concept technology demonstration projects only after notification to the congressional defense committees.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense shall provide a classified quarterly report on certain matters as directed in the classified annex accompanying this Act.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available to the Department of Defense to provide support to an agency that is more than 90 days in arrears in making payments to the Department of Defense for goods or services provided on a reimbursable basis.

Language is included that provides for the use of National Guard personnel to support ground-based elements of the National Ballistic Missile Defense System.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to transfer to any non-governmental entity ammunition held by the Department of Defense that has a center-fire cartridge and is designated as "armor piercing" except for demilitarization purposes.

Language is included that provides for a waiver by the Chief, National Guard Bureau or his designee for all or part of consideration in cases of personal property leases of less than one year.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to purchase alcoholic beverages.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds made available in this Act under "Operation and Maintenance, Army" to other activities of the Federal Government.

Language is included that has been amended and provides for the forced matching of disbursement and obligations made by the Department of Defense in fiscal year 2012.

Language is included that provides grant authority for the construction and furnishing of additional Fisher Houses to meet the needs of military family members when confronted with the illness or hospitalization of an eligible military beneficiary.

Language is included that provides funding and transfer authority for the Israeli cooperative program.

Language is included that provides none of the funds available to the Department of Defense may be obligated to modify the command and control relationship to give the Fleet Forces Command Administration and Operations Control of U.S. Naval Forces assigned to the Pacific Fleet.

Language is included that provides for the transfer of funds to properly complete prior year shipbuilding programs.

Language is included that provides for the noncompetitive appointments of certain medical occupational specialties, as prescribed by section 7403(g) of title 38, U.S.C.

Language is included that provides that funds made available in this Act are deemed to be specifically authorized by Congress for purposes of section 504 of the National Security Act of 1947.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to initiate a new start program without prior written notification.

Language is included that provides that the budget request for fiscal year 2013 shall include separate budget justification documents for costs of the United States Armed Forces' participation in named operations.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the research, development, test, evaluation, procurement or deployment of nuclear armed interceptors of a missile defense system.

Language is included that provides the Secretary of Defense the authority to allocate certain funds if he determines it is in the national interest.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to reduce or disestablish the operation of the 53rd Weather Reconnaissance Squadron of the Air Force Reserve.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used for the integration of foreign intelligence information unless the information has been lawfully collected and processed during conduct of authorized foreign intelligence activities.

Language is included that provides that at the time members of Reserve components of the Armed Forces are called or ordered to

active duty, each member shall be notified in writing of the expected period during which the member will be mobilized.

Language is included that provides that the Secretary of Defense may transfer funds from any available Department of the Navy appropriation to any available Navy ship construction appropriation to liquidate costs caused by rate adjustments or other economic factors.

Language is included that provides for the use of current and expired "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" subdivisions to reimburse the Judgment Fund.

Language is included that prohibits the transfer of program authorities related to tactical unmanned aerial vehicles from the Army.

Language is included that provides for the availability of funds for the Asia Pacific Regional Initiative Program.

Language is included that limits the obligation authority of funds provided for the Director of National Intelligence to the current fiscal year except for research and technology which shall remain available for two fiscal years.

Language is included that provides for the adjustment of obligations within the "Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy" appropriation.

Language is included that provides that not more than 35 percent of the funds made available in this Act for environmental remediation may be obligated under indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity contracts with a total contract value of \$130,000,000 or higher.

Language is included that requires the Director of National Intelligence to submit certain information in the congressional budget justification books for procurement programs and research, development, test and evaluation projects.

Language is included that provides for the creation of a major force program category for space for the Future Year Defense Program of the Department of Defense.

Language is included that provides for the establishment of a baseline for application of reprogramming and transfer authorities for fiscal year 2012 for the National Intelligence Program and prohibits certain reprogrammings until after submission of a report.

Language is included that provides authority for the reprogramming and transfer of National Intelligence Program funds.

Language is included that directs the Director of National Intelligence to follow the Department of Defense format for yearly submissions of congressional budget documentation.

Language is included that defines the congressional intelligence committees.

Language is included that directs the Department of Defense to report on the Cost of War Execution Report on a monthly basis.

Language is included that provides for authority to transfer certain funds for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language is included that provides for authority to transfer certain funds from the Intelligence Community Management Account to other departments and agencies to facilitate information sharing activities.

Language is included that provides authority to make remittances to the Defense Acquisition Workforce Development Fund in accordance with certain statutory requirements.

Language is included that requires agencies receiving funds in the Act to post reports to the Congress on the public website.

Language is included that provides limitations on the use of funds made available in this Act for contracts in excess of \$1,000,000 for contractors and subcontractors that mandate, as a condition of employment, the use of arbitration to resolve certain discrimination claims.

Language is included that provides limitations on the conversion of functions performed by federal employees to contractors with certain exceptions.

Language is included that provides limitations on National Intelligence Program funds for certain information technology systems until certain conditions are met.

Language is included that prohibits funding for the Association of Community Organizations for Reform Now (ACORN) or its subsidiaries.

Language is included that provides authority to transfer funds to the Joint Department of Defense-Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund in accordance with section 1704 of Public Law 111-84.

Language is included that requires submission of a report documenting the number of full-time contractor employees (or its equivalent) as required by law.

Language is included that provides for the extension of the authority for Stop Loss payments.

Language is included that provides limitations on the total number of Senior Executive and General Schedule 15 equivalent employees in the Office of the Director of National Intelligence and requires such employees to be selected in accordance with certain statutes and Office of Personnel Management procedures.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funding to pay a retired general or flag officer to serve as a mentor to the Department of Defense unless certain public financial disclosure forms are filed.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase heavy and light armored vehicles notwithstanding price or other limitations on the purchase of passenger carrying vehicles.

Language is included that provides authority to obligate up to 1 percent of Military Personnel funding for two fiscal years.

Language is included that provides authority to use funds under the Operations and Maintenance, Defense-Wide account for activities to assist the civilian population of Guam to prepare for the relocation of military personnel and activities.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funding to operate more than 1,000 parking spaces for the BRAC 113 project.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funding to relocate Air Force Material Command until submission of a report.

Language is included that requires the Department of Defense to resume monthly reporting of civilian personnel end strength levels.

Language is included that provides for the number of staff years of technical effort that may be funded for Federally Funded Re-

search and Development Centers (FFRDC) under the national and military intelligence programs.

Language is included that requires the Department of Defense to study and report on the feasibility of using commercially available telecommunications expense management solutions.

Language is included that provides transfer authority for the Director of National Intelligence of up to \$1,000,000,000 subject to certain reprogramming and transfer procedures set forth in this Act.

Language is included that reduces funds appropriated in titles II, III and IV of this Act based on revised economic assumptions with such reductions to be applied on a proportionate basis.

Language is included that provides authority to make available funds through the Office of Economic Adjustment or by transfer to the Department of Education for construction, renovation, repair, and expansion of public schools on military facilities.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds in this or any other Act to transfer, release, or assist in the transfer or release of certain detainees held at United States Naval Station, Guantanamo Bay, Cuba to or within the United States, its territories, or possessions.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds in this or any other Act to transfer an individual detained at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba to the custody or effective control of the individual's country of origin or any other foreign country or entity until the Secretary of Defense submits to the Congress certain certifications.

Language is included that provides a limitation on funds in this or any other Act to modify any facility (other than Guantanamo Bay, Cuba) in the United States, its territories, or possession for the purposes of detention or imprisonment of any individual currently held at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba.

Language is included under various accounts designating funds available in title IX of this Act as being for the global war on terrorism pursuant to section 301 of H. Con. Res. 34 (112th Congress).

Language is included that provides limitations on funds under Operations and Maintenance, Defense-Wide for the Combatant Commander Initiative Fund and certain reimbursements for cooperating nations.

Language is included that provides authority for an Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund.

Language is included that provides authority for the establishment, operation, and allowable uses of the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund.

Language is included that provides authority for the operation and allowable uses of the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund.

Language is included that provides authority for the operation and allowable uses of the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund.

Language is included under the National Guard and Reserve Equipment account in title IX that requires submission of modernization priority assessments.

Language is included that provides authority to procure mine resistant ambush protected vehicles.

Language is included that provides authority to use funds to conduct activities to defeat improvised explosive devices.

Language is included that provides authority to transfer up to \$3,000,000,000 made available in title IX of this Act.

Language is included that provides authority to obligate supervision and administration costs associated with certain construction projects in direct support of overseas contingency operations in Afghanistan at the time of contract award.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase certain vehicles, notwithstanding price or other limitations, for use by military and civilian Department of Defense employees in the U.S. Central Command area of responsibility.

Language is included that provides authority and limitations on the use of funds for the Commander's Emergency Response Program.

Language is included that provides authority to use operations and maintenance funding to provide transportation, logistical and other support to coalition forces supporting military and stability operation in Iraq and Afghanistan.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to establish any permanent military installation or base in Iraq or Afghanistan.

Language is included that prohibits the use of funds made available in this Act to contravene laws enacted or regulations promulgated to implement the United Nations Convention Against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment.

Language is included that requires the Department of Defense to submit quarterly reports regarding the expenditure of funds, on a project-by-project basis, provided under the Afghanistan Security Forces Fund, the Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund, and the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund.

Language is included that provides authority to purchase items having an investment unit cost of not more than \$250,000 with certain exceptions.

Language is included that provides authority for the use of funds for the Task Force for Business and Stability Operations in Afghanistan.

Language is included that provides authority for the use of funds for facilities renovation and construction associated with establishing Office of Security Cooperation locations in Iraq.

Language is included that limits the obligation or expenditure of operation and maintenance funds in title IX of this Act until the Secretary of Defense submits a report on contractor employees in the United States Central Command.

Language is included that provides a limitation on the obligation or expenditure of funds in the Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund until the Secretary of Defense submits a report on the strategy for utilization of the Fund and the metrics used to measure progress.

Language is included that provides a limitation on the amount of funding provided in this Act for information operations or military support operations activities.

Language is included that provides funding to conduct an assessment of the current and prospective situation on the ground in Afghanistan and Pakistan and provide a report on its findings.

Language is included that limits the amount of funding that may be expended for military musical units.

Language is included that requires the Secretary of Defense to submit a report regarding the efficiency savings identified by the military departments in the defense budget covering fiscal years 2012 through 2016 that are to be reinvested in the priorities of the military departments.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to any corporation with an unpaid federal tax liability.

Language is included that prohibits funds made available in this Act from being used to enter into a contract, memorandum of understanding or cooperative agreement with, make a grant to, or provide a loan or loan guarantee to any corporation that was convicted of a felony criminal violation under any federal law in the past 24 months.

APPROPRIATIONS NOT AUTHORIZED BY LAW

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(1) to rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table lists the appropriations in the accompanying bill which are not authorized by law.

[In thousands of dollars]

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization Level	Appropriations		2012 compared to 2010	2012 compared to 2011
			in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill 2012		
<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE</b>						
Military Personnel, Army	2011	(1)	41,042,653	43,859,709	2,854,097	2,817,056
Military Personnel, Navy	2011	(1)	25,912,449	27,141,334	1,852,285	1,228,885
Military Personnel, Marine Corps	2011	(1)	13,210,161	13,480,436	680,446	270,275
Military Personnel, Air Force	2011	(1)	27,105,755	28,264,646	2,090,510	1,158,891
Reserve Personnel, Army	2011	(1)	4,333,165	4,333,507	28,794	342
Reserve Personnel, Navy	2011	(1)	1,940,191	1,948,544	39,243	8,353
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps	2011	(1)	612,191	645,422	31,922	33,231
Reserve Personnel, Air Force	2011	(1)	1,650,797	1,711,653	122,241	60,856
National Guard Personnel, Army	2011	(1)	7,511,296	7,507,345	60,440	96,049
National Guard Personnel, Air Force	2011	(1)	3,060,098	3,099,629	161,400	39,531
Operation and Maintenance, Army	2011	33,921,165	33,306,117	34,581,321	3,646,771	1,275,204
Operation and Maintenance, Navy	2011	38,232,943	37,809,239	39,385,685	4,671,289	1,576,446
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps	2011	5,590,340	5,539,740	6,036,996	497,879	497,256
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force	2011	36,822,516	36,062,989	36,065,107	2,587,991	2,118
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	2011	30,562,619	30,210,810	30,682,265	2,566,472	471,455
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve	2011	2,879,077	2,840,427	3,047,033	429,537	206,606
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve	2011	1,367,764	1,344,264	1,323,134	49,433	(21,130)
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve	2011	285,234	275,484	271,443	48,288	(4,041)
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve	2011	3,403,827	3,291,027	3,310,459	179,259	19,432
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard	2011	6,621,704	6,454,624	6,979,232	789,519	524,608
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard	2011	6,042,239	5,963,839	6,094,380	212,129	130,541
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces	2011	14,068	14,068	13,861	(71)	(207)
Environmental Restoration, Army	2011	444,581	464,581	346,031	(77,333)	(118,550)
Environmental Restoration, Navy	2011	304,867	304,867	308,668	22,799	3,801
Environmental Restoration, Air Force	2011	502,653	502,653	525,453	31,177	22,800
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide	2011	10,744	10,744	10,716	(384)	(28)
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid	2011	296,546	316,546	276,495	(16,205)	(40,051)
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account	2011	0	108,032	107,662	(2,207)	(370)
Aircraft Procurement, Army	2011	5,908,384	5,254,791	6,487,481	1,393,659	1,232,690
Missile Procurement, Army	2011	1,670,463	1,570,108	1,464,223	213,170	(105,885)
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army	2011	1,656,263	1,461,086	2,178,886	(156,921)	717,800
Procurement of Ammunition, Army	2011	1,953,194	1,847,066	1,952,625	(103,490)	105,559
Other Procurement, Army	2011	9,758,965	8,145,665	9,371,952	789,292	1,226,287
Aircraft Procurement, Navy	2011	18,877,139	16,170,868	17,804,750	(838,471)	1,633,882
Weapons Procurement, Navy	2011	3,358,264	3,221,957	2,975,749	(381,823)	(246,208)
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps	2011	817,991	790,527	833,048	(167,603)	(157,479)
Shipyards and Conversion, Navy	2011	15,724,520	15,366,658	14,725,493	843,961	(641,165)
Other Procurement, Navy	2011	6,381,815	5,804,963	5,996,459	555,225	191,496
Procurement Marine Corps	2011	1,296,838	1,236,436	1,453,602	(67,903)	217,166
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force	2011	14,668,408	13,483,739	13,987,613	692,139	503,874
Missile Procurement, Air Force	2011	5,444,464	5,424,764	5,689,998	(305,546)	265,234
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force	2011	672,420	731,487	522,565	(278,985)	(208,922)
Other Procurement, Air Force	2011	17,845,342	17,568,091	17,260,619	122,360	(307,472)
Procurement, Defense-Wide	2011	4,398,168	4,009,321	5,046,447	995,910	1,037,126
Defense Production Act Purchases	2011	28,746	34,346	29,964	(120,762)	(4,382)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army	2011	10,093,704	9,710,998	9,381,166	(2,093,014)	(329,832)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy	2011	17,881,008	17,736,303	17,798,950	(2,204,513)	62,647
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force	2011	27,319,627	26,517,405	26,313,196	(1,808,789)	(204,209)
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide	2011	21,097,666	20,797,412	19,324,865	(1,422,216)	(1,472,547)
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense	2011	194,910	194,910	191,292	522	(3,618)
Defense Working Capital Funds	2011	160,983	1,434,536	1,575,010	120,006	140,474
National Defense Sealift Fund	2011	934,866	1,474,866	1,100,519	(572,239)	(374,347)

Agency/Program	Last year of authorization	Authorization Level	Appropriations in last year of authorization	Appropriations in this bill 2012	2012 compared to 2010	2012 compared to 2011
Defense Health Program .....	2011	30,959,611	31,382,198	32,317,459	3,074,031	935,261
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense..	2011	1,467,307	1,467,307	1,554,422	(6,338)	87,115
Drug Interdiction and Counter Drug Activities, Defense..	2011	1,160,851	1,156,957	1,208,147	49,921	51,190
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund.....	2011	0	0	220,634	99,084	220,634
Office of the Inspector General.....	2011	317,154	306,794	346,919	58,819	40,125
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund.....	2011	N/A	292,000	513,700	222,800	221,700
Intelligence Community Management Account.....	2011	N/A	649,732	458,225	(249,687)	(191,507)
	2011				0	0
Title IX - Overseas Deployments and Other Activities	2011	158,749,960	157,680,120	118,567,277	(9,679,462)	(39,112,843)
National Guard and Reserve Equipment.....	2011	700,000	850,000	1,500,000	550,000	650,000
					0	0

1/ The FY 2011 National Defense Authorization Act authorizes \$138,540,700,000 for military personnel  
Note: The bill includes several transfers of funds which may or may not be specifically authorized in law.

## TRANSFER OF FUNDS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is submitted describing the transfer of funds provided in the accompanying bill.

Language has been included in "Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for certain classified activities.

Language has been included in "Environmental Restoration, Army" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included in "Environmental Restoration, Navy" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included in "Environmental Restoration, Air Force" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included in "Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included in "Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites" which provides for the transfer of funds for environmental restoration, reduction and recycling of hazardous waste, removal of unsafe buildings and debris, or for similar purposes.

Language has been included in "Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense" which provides for the transfer of funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense for military personnel of the reserve components, for operation and maintenance, for procurement, and for research, development, test and evaluation.

Language has been included in "Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund" which provides for the transfer of funds for operation and maintenance, procurement, research, development, test and evaluation, and Defense Working Capital Funds to accomplish the inherent mission of the Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Organization.

Language has been included in "General Provisions, Sec. 8005" which provides for the transfer of working capital funds to other appropriations accounts of the Department of Defense for military functions between appropriations.

Language has been included in "General Provisions, Sec. 8008" which provides for the transfer of funds between working capital funds and the "Foreign Currency Fluctuations, Defense" appropriation accounts.

Language has been included in "General Provisions, Sec. 8016" which provides for the transfer of funds from the Department of Defense Pilot Mentor-Protégé Program to any other appropriation for the purposes of implementing the Mentor-Protégé Program development assistance agreement.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8051” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide” to appropriations available for the pay of military personnel to be used in support of eligible organizations and activities outside the Department of Defense.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8067” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Army” for the acquisition of real property, construction, personal services, and operations related to purposes of this section.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8070” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Procurement, Defense-Wide” and “Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide” for the Israeli Cooperative Programs.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8072” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy” to fund prior year shipbuilding cost increases.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8078” which provides the Secretary of Defense with the authority to make grants in amounts specified.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8082” which provides for the transfer of funds for Navy ship construction appropriations for the purpose of liquidating necessary changes resulting from inflation, market fluctuations, and rate adjustments.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8096” which provides for the transfer of funds for “Operation and Maintenance, Army”, “Operation and Maintenance, Navy”, and “Operation and Maintenance, Air Force” for Fisher Houses and Suites.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8097” which provides for the transfer of funds for the National Intelligence Program.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8104” which provides for the transfer of funds to the Joint Department of Defense—Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Facility Demonstration Fund.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8120” which provides for the transfer of funds to the intelligence community and associated agencies for intelligence functions.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 8122” which provides for the transfer of funds from “Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide” to construct, renovate, repair, or expand elementary and secondary public schools on military installations to address capacity or facility condition deficiencies.

Language has been included in “Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to the Department of State for purposes of undertaking infrastructure projects in Afghanistan.

Language has been included in “Afghanistan Security Forces Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to provide assistance to the security forces of Afghanistan.

Language has been included in “Pakistan Counterinsurgency Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to provide assistance to the security forces of Pakistan.

Language has been included in “Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds for operation and maintenance, procurement, research, development, test and evaluation, and defense working capital funds to procure, sustain, transport, and field Mine Resistant Ambush Protected vehicles.

Language has been included in “Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund” which provides for the transfer of funds to investigate, develop and provide equipment, supplies, services, training, facilities, personnel and funds to assist United States forces in the defeat of improvised explosive devices.

Language has been included in “General Provisions, Sec. 9002” which provides for the authority to transfer funds in title IX.

RESCISSIONS

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following table is submitted describing the rescissions recommended in the accompanying bill:

National Defense Sealift Fund, 2002/20XX .....	\$20,444,000
National Defense Sealift Fund, 2003/20XX .....	8,500,000
National Defense Sealift Fund, 2004/20XX .....	6,500,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2010/2012 .....	90,000,000
Aircraft Procurement, Navy, 2011/2013 .....	55,000,000
Weapons Procurement, Navy, 2011/2013 .....	35,427,000
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps, 2011/2013 .....	8,612,000
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy, 2011/2015 .....	110,351,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force, 2011/2013 .....	30,000,000
Missile Procurement, Air Force, 2011/2013 .....	122,500,000
Other Procurement, Air Force, 2011/2013 .....	90,000,000
Procurement, Defense-Wide, 2011/2013 .....	45,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy, 2011/2012 .....	34,771,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force, 2011/2012 .....	105,000,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide, 2011/2012 .....	318,000,000
Mine Resistant Ambush Protection Vehicle Fund, 2011/2013 .....	595,000,000

TRANSFER OF UNEXPENDED BALANCES

Pursuant to clause 3(f)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the bill contains a general provisions which allows for the transfer of unexpended balances with the Operation and Maintenance and Military Personnel accounts to the “Foreign Currency Fluctuation, Defense” account to address shortfalls due to foreign currency fluctuation.

STATEMENT OF GENERAL PERFORMANCE GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

Pursuant to clause 3(c)(4) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, the following is a statement of general performance goals and objectives for which this measure authorizes funding:

The Committee on Appropriations considers program performance, including a program’s success in developing and attaining outcome-related goals and objectives, in developing funding recommendations.

## CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY

The Committee on Appropriations bases its authority to report this legislation from Clause 7 of Section 9 of Article I of the Constitution of the United States of America which states:

No money shall be drawn from the Treasury but in consequence of Appropriations made by law.

Appropriations contained in this Act are made pursuant to this specific power granted by the Constitution.

## COMPLIANCE WITH RULE XIII, CL. 3(e) (RAMSEYER RULE)

In compliance with clause 3(e) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives, changes in existing law made by the bill, as reported, are shown as follows (existing law proposed to be omitted is enclosed in black brackets, new matter is printed in italics, existing law in which no change is proposed is shown in roman):

SECTION 310 OF THE SUPPLEMENTAL APPROPRIATIONS  
ACT, 2009

(INCLUDING TRANSFER OF FUNDS)

SEC. 310. (a) \* \* \*

(b) CLAIMS SUBMISSION REQUIRED.—Claims for retroactive Stop-Loss Special Pay compensation under this section shall be submitted to the Secretary of the Military Department concerned not later than **【2 years】** *3 years* after the date on which the implementing rules of subsection (d) take effect. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretaries of the military departments may not pay claims that are submitted more than **【2 years】** *3 years* after the date on which the implementing rules of subsection (d) take effect.

\* \* \* \* \*

## EARMARK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Neither the bill nor the report contains any Congressional earmarks, limited tax benefits, or limited tariff benefits as defined in clause 9 of rule XXI.

## COMPARISON WITH THE BUDGET RESOLUTION

Clause 3(c)(2) of rule XIII of the Rules of the House of Representatives requires an explanation of compliance with section 308(a)(1)(A) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93–344), as amended requires that the report accompanying a bill providing new budget authority contain a statement detailing how that authority compares with the reports submitted under section 302 of the Act for the most recently agreed to concurrent resolution on the budget for the fiscal year from the Committee's section 302(a) allocation. This information follows:

[In millions of dollars]

	302(b) Allocation		This bill	
	Budget authority	Outlays	Budget authority	Outlays
Comparison of amounts in the bill with Committee allocations to its subcommittees of amounts in the Budget Resolution for 2012:				
Discretionary .....	648,709	654,698	648,709	<sup>1</sup> 654,698
Mandatory .....	514	514	514	514

<sup>1</sup> Includes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

**FIVE-YEAR OUTLAY PROJECTIONS**

In compliance with section 308(a)(1)(B) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, the following table contains five-year projections associated with the budget authority provided in the accompanying bill.

[In millions of dollars]

Projection of outlays associated with the recommendation:	
2012 .....	<sup>1</sup> 405,257
2013 .....	157,077
2014 .....	51,297
2015 .....	18,670
2016 and future years .....	11,733

<sup>1</sup> Excludes outlays from prior-year budget authority.

**FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS**

In accordance with section 308(a)(1)(C) of the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Act of 1974 (Public Law 93-344), as amended, no new budget or outlays are provided by the accompanying bill for financial assistance to State and local governments.

**FULL COMMITTEE VOTES**

Pursuant to the provisions of clause 3(b) of rule XIII of the House of Representatives, the results of each roll call on an amendment or on the motion to report, together with the names of those voting for and those voting against, are printed below:

No record votes were ordered during consideration of the bill in Committee.

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE I</b>					
<b>MILITARY PERSONNEL</b>					
Military Personnel, Army.....	41,403,653	43,596,949	43,859,709	+2,456,056	+262,760
Military Personnel, Navy.....	25,912,449	27,134,384	27,141,334	+1,228,885	-13,050
Military Personnel, Marine Corps.....	13,210,161	13,573,546	13,480,436	+270,275	-93,110
Military Personnel, Air Force.....	27,105,755	28,304,432	28,264,646	+1,158,891	-39,786
Reserve Personnel, Army.....	4,333,165	4,386,077	4,333,507	+342	-52,570
Reserve Personnel, Navy.....	1,940,191	1,960,634	1,948,544	+8,353	-12,090
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps.....	612,191	653,212	645,422	+33,231	-7,790
Reserve Personnel, Air Force.....	1,650,797	1,729,823	1,711,653	+60,856	-18,170
National Guard Personnel, Army.....	7,511,296	7,623,335	7,607,345	+96,049	-15,990
National Guard Personnel, Air Force.....	3,060,098	3,114,149	3,099,629	+39,531	-14,520
<b>Total, title I, Military Personnel.....</b>	<b>126,739,756</b>	<b>132,096,541</b>	<b>132,092,225</b>	<b>+5,352,469</b>	<b>-4,316</b>

=====

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE II</b>					
<b>OPERATION AND MAINTENANCE</b>					
Operation and Maintenance, Army.....	33,306,117	34,735,216	34,581,321	+1,275,204	-153,895
Operation and Maintenance, Navy.....	37,809,239	39,364,688	39,385,685	+1,576,446	+20,997
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps.....	5,539,740	5,960,437	6,036,996	+497,256	+76,559
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force.....	36,062,989	36,195,133	36,065,107	+2,118	-130,026
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide	30,210,810	30,940,409	30,682,265	+471,455	-258,144
Operation and Maintenance, Army Reserve.....	2,840,427	3,109,176	3,047,033	+206,606	-62,143
Operation and Maintenance, Navy Reserve.....	1,344,264	1,323,134	1,323,134	-21,130	---

=====

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Operation and Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve.....	275,484	271,443	271,443	-4,041	---
Operation and Maintenance, Air Force Reserve.....	3,291,027	3,274,359	3,310,459	+19,432	+36,100
Operation and Maintenance, Army National Guard.....	6,454,624	7,041,432	6,979,232	+524,608	-62,200
Operation and Maintenance, Air National Guard.....	5,963,839	6,136,280	6,094,380	+130,541	-41,900
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Account.....	---	5,000	---	---	-5,000
United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces...	14,068	13,861	13,861	-207	---
Environmental Restoration, Army.....	464,581	346,031	346,031	-118,550	---
Environmental Restoration, Navy.....	304,867	308,668	308,668	+3,801	---
Environmental Restoration, Air Force.....	502,653	525,453	525,453	+22,800	---
Environmental Restoration, Defense-Wide.....	10,744	10,716	10,716	-28	---
Environmental Restoration, Formerly Used Defense Sites	316,546	276,495	276,495	-40,051	---
Overseas Humanitarian, Disaster, and Civic Aid.....	108,032	107,662	107,662	-370	---
Cooperative Threat Reduction Account.....	522,512	508,219	508,219	-14,293	---
Department of Defense Acquisition Workforce					
Development Fund.....	217,561	305,501	105,501	-112,060	-200,000
-----					
Total, title II, Operation and maintenance.....	165,560,124	170,759,313	169,979,661	+4,419,537	-779,652
=====					
TITLE III					
PROCUREMENT					
Aircraft Procurement, Army.....	5,254,791	7,061,381	6,487,481	+1,232,690	-573,900
Missile Procurement, Army.....	1,570,108	1,478,718	1,464,223	-105,885	-14,495
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army.....	1,461,086	1,933,512	2,178,886	+717,800	+245,374
Procurement of Ammunition, Army.....	1,847,066	1,992,625	1,952,625	+105,559	-40,000
Other Procurement, Army.....	8,145,665	9,682,592	9,371,952	+1,226,287	-310,640
Aircraft Procurement, Navy.....	16,170,868	18,587,033	17,804,750	+1,633,882	-782,283

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Weapons Procurement, Navy.....	3,221,957	3,408,478	2,975,749	-246,208	-432,729
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps.....	790,527	719,952	633,048	-157,479	-86,904
Shipbuilding and Conversion, Navy.....	15,366,658	14,928,921	14,725,493	-641,165	-203,428
Other Procurement, Navy.....	5,804,963	6,285,451	5,996,459	+191,496	-288,992
Procurement, Marine Corps.....	1,236,436	1,391,602	1,453,602	+217,166	+62,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force.....	13,483,739	14,082,527	13,987,613	+503,874	-94,914
Missile Procurement, Air Force.....	5,424,764	6,074,017	5,689,998	+265,234	-384,019
Advanced Extremely High Frequency Communications Satellites, Advanced appropriation FY 2013.....	---	803,417	---	---	-803,417
Advanced appropriation FY 2014.....	---	699,611	---	---	-699,611
Advanced appropriation FY 2015 through FY 2017.....	---	1,709,467	---	---	-1,709,467
<b>Total, Advanced appropriations</b>	---	3,212,495	---	---	-3,212,495
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force.....	731,487	539,065	522,565	-208,922	-16,500
Other Procurement, Air Force.....	17,568,091	17,602,036	17,260,619	-307,472	-341,417
Procurement, Defense-Wide.....	4,009,321	5,365,248	5,046,447	+1,037,126	-318,801
Defense Production Act Purchases.....	34,346	19,964	29,964	-4,382	+10,000
<b>Total, title III, Procurement.....</b>	102,121,873	114,365,617	107,581,474	+5,459,601	-6,784,143
<b>FY 2012.....</b>	<b>(102,121,873)</b>	<b>(111,153,122)</b>	<b>(107,581,474)</b>	<b>(+5,459,601)</b>	<b>(-3,571,648)</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE IV					
RESEARCH, DEVELOPMENT, TEST AND EVALUATION					
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Army.....	9,710,998	9,683,980	9,381,166	-329,832	-302,814
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Navy.....	17,736,303	17,956,431	17,798,950	+62,647	-157,481
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Air Force..	26,517,405	27,737,701	26,313,196	-204,209	-1,424,505
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide .....	20,797,412	19,755,678	19,324,865	-1,472,547	-430,813
Operational Test and Evaluation, Defense.....	194,910	191,292	191,292	-3,618	---
-----					
Total, title IV, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	74,957,028	75,325,082	73,009,469	-1,947,559	-2,315,613
=====					

TITLE V  
REVOLVING AND MANAGEMENT FUNDS

Defense Working Capital Funds.....	1,434,536	1,575,010	1,575,010	+140,474	---
National Defense Sealift Fund.....	1,474,866	1,126,384	1,100,519	-374,347	-25,865
-----					
Total, title V, Revolving and Management Funds...	2,909,402	2,701,394	2,675,529	-233,873	-25,865
=====					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
TITLE VI					
OTHER DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE PROGRAMS					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance.....	29,671,764	30,902,546	30,497,735	+825,971	-404,811
Procurement.....	534,921	632,518	632,518	+97,597	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	1,175,513	663,706	1,187,206	+11,693	+523,500
Total, Defense Health Program 1/.....	31,382,198	32,198,770	32,317,459	+935,261	+118,689
Chemical Agents and Munitions Destruction, Defense:					
Operation and maintenance.....	1,067,364	1,147,691	1,147,691	+80,327	---
Procurement.....	7,132	---	---	-7,132	---
Research, development, test and evaluation.....	392,811	406,731	406,731	+13,920	---
Total, Chemical Agents 2/.....	1,467,307	1,554,422	1,554,422	+87,115	---
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense					
Joint Improvised Explosive Device Defeat Fund 2/.....	1,156,957	1,156,282	1,208,147	+51,190	+51,865
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund.....	---	220,634	220,634	+220,634	---
Office of the Inspector General 1/.....	306,794	289,519	346,919	+40,125	+57,400
Total, title VI, Other Department of Defense Programs.....	34,313,256	35,519,627	35,647,581	+1,334,325	+127,954

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>TITLE VII</b>					
<b>RELATED AGENCIES</b>					
Central Intelligence Agency Retirement and Disability System Fund.....	292,000	513,700	513,700	+221,700	---
Intelligence Community Management Account (ICMA).....	649,732	592,213	458,225	-191,507	-133,988
<b>Total, title VII, Related agencies.....</b>	<b>941,732</b>	<b>1,105,913</b>	<b>971,925</b>	<b>+30,193</b>	<b>-133,988</b>
<b>TITLE VIII</b>					
<b>GENERAL PROVISIONS</b>					
Additional transfer authority (Sec. 8005).....	(4,000,000)	(5,000,000)	(4,000,000)	---	(-1,000,000)
Indian Financing Act incentives (Sec. 8020).....	15,000	---	15,000	---	+15,000
FFRDC (Sec. 8024).....	-125,000	---	-125,000	---	-125,000
Overseas Military Facility Invest Recovery (Sec. 8029)	1,000	1,000	1,000	---	---
Rescissions (Sec. 8040).....	-2,013,536	---	-1,080,105	+933,431	-1,080,105
O&M, Defense-wide transfer authority (Sec.8051).....	(30,000)	(30,000)	(30,000)	---	---
O&M, Def-wide to HUD transfer authority.....	---	(22,930)	---	---	(-22,930)
O&M, DW to Interior transfer authority.....	---	(10,070)	---	---	(-10,070)
Fisher House Foundation (Sec. 8069).....	4,000	---	4,000	---	+4,000
National grants (Sec. 8078).....	65,200	---	44,000	-21,200	+44,000
Shipbuilding reappropriation (Sec. 8082).....	---	20,000	---	---	-20,000
Shipbuilding & conversion funds, Navy (Sec. 8083).....	10,000	8,000	8,000	-2,000	---
Working Capital Fund excess cash.....	-1,983,000	---	---	+1,983,000	---
Fisher House transfer authority (Sec. 8096).....	(11,000)	(11,000)	(11,000)	---	---
ICMA transfer authority (Sec. 8097).....	(24,000)	(20,000)	(22,000)	(-2,000)	(+2,000)
Business Transformation (transfer authority).....	(50,000)	---	---	(-50,000)	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Tanker Replacement Transfer Fund .....	538,875	---	---	-538,875	---
Alternative Energy Resources for Deployed Forces (Sec. 8115) .....	---	---	10,000	+10,000	+10,000
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide .....	300,000	---	---	-300,000	---
Energy Security Pilot Projects .....	20,000	---	---	-20,000	---
Revised economic assumptions (Sec. 8121) .....	-1,477,000	---	-1,310,100	+166,900	-1,310,100
Operation and Maintenance, Defense-Wide (Sec. 8122) .....	250,000	---	250,000	---	+250,000
Civilian pay freeze reduction .....	-723,000	---	---	+723,000	---
Total, Title VIII, General Provisions .....	-5,117,461	29,000	-2,183,205	+2,934,256	-2,212,205

TITLE IX

OVERSEAS CONTINGENCY OPERATIONS 3/

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Military Personnel					
Military Personnel, Army (GWOT) .....	11,107,033	7,105,335	6,822,635	-4,284,398	-282,700
Military Personnel, Navy (GWOT) .....	1,308,719	919,034	919,034	-389,685	---
Military Personnel, Marine Corps (GWOT) .....	732,920	675,360	675,360	-57,560	---
Military Personnel, Air Force (GWOT) .....	1,843,442	1,436,353	1,436,353	-407,089	---
Reserve Personnel, Army (GWOT) .....	268,031	207,162	207,162	-60,869	---
Reserve Personnel, Navy (GWOT) .....	48,912	44,530	44,530	-4,382	---
Reserve Personnel, Marine Corps (GWOT) .....	45,437	25,421	25,421	-20,016	---
Reserve Personnel, Air Force (GWOT) .....	27,002	26,815	26,815	-187	---
National Guard Personnel, Army (GWOT) .....	853,022	661,879	646,879	-206,143	-15,000
National Guard Personnel, Air Force (GWOT) .....	16,860	9,435	9,435	-7,425	---
Total, Military Personnel .....	16,251,378	11,111,324	10,813,624	-5,437,754	-297,700

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
<b>Operation and Maintenance</b>					
Operation & Maintenance, Army (GWOT).....	59,162,782	44,302,280	39,175,755	-19,987,027	-5,126,525
Operation & Maintenance, Navy (GWOT).....	8,970,724	7,006,567	6,749,489	-2,221,235	-257,078
Coast Guard (by transfer) (OC0) 3/.....	---	(258,278)	---	---	(-258,278)
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps (GWOT).....	4,008,022	3,571,210	3,571,210	-436,812	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force (GWOT).....	12,969,643	10,719,187	10,739,587	-2,230,056	+20,400
Operation & Maintenance, Defense-Wide (GWOT).....	9,276,990	9,269,411	9,312,876	+35,886	+43,465
Coalition support funds (GWOT).....	(1,600,000)	---	---	(-1,600,000)	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army Reserve (GWOT).....	206,784	217,500	217,500	+10,716	---
Operation & Maintenance, Navy Reserve (GWOT).....	93,559	74,148	74,148	-19,411	---
Operation & Maintenance, Marine Corps Reserve (GWOT).....	29,685	36,084	36,084	+6,399	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air Force Reserve (GWOT).....	188,807	142,050	142,050	-46,757	---
Operation & Maintenance, Army National Guard (GWOT).....	497,849	387,544	387,544	-110,305	---
Operation & Maintenance, Air National Guard (GWOT).....	402,983	34,050	34,050	-368,933	---
Overseas Contingency Operations Transfer Fund (GWOT).....	---	---	5,000,000	+5,000,000	+5,000,000
Subtotal, Operation and Maintenance.....	95,807,828	75,760,031	75,440,293	-20,367,535	-319,738
Afghanistan Infrastructure Fund (GWOT).....	400,000	475,000	475,000	+75,000	---
Afghanistan Security Forces Fund (GWOT).....	11,619,283	12,800,000	12,800,000	+1,180,717	---
Iraq Security Forces Fund (GWOT).....	1,500,000	---	---	-1,500,000	---
Pakistan Counterinsurgency Capability Fund (GWOT).....	800,000	---	1,100,000	+300,000	+1,100,000
Total, Operation and Maintenance.....	110,127,111	89,035,031	89,815,293	-20,311,818	+780,262

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Procurement					
Aircraft Procurement, Army (GWOT).....	2,720,138	423,400	387,900	-2,332,238	-35,500
Missile Procurement, Army (GWOT).....	343,828	126,556	118,412	-225,416	-8,144
Procurement of Weapons and Tracked Combat Vehicles, Army (GWOT).....	896,996	37,117	37,117	-859,879	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Army (GWOT).....	369,885	208,381	208,381	-161,504	---
Other Procurement, Army (GWOT).....	6,401,832	1,398,195	1,398,195	-5,003,637	---
Aircraft Procurement, Navy (GWOT).....	1,169,549	730,960	492,060	-677,489	-238,900
Weapons Procurement, Navy (GWOT).....	90,502	41,070	41,070	-49,432	---
Procurement of Ammunition, Navy and Marine Corps (GWOT).....	558,024	317,100	317,100	-240,924	---
Other Procurement, Navy (GWOT).....	316,835	281,975	249,514	-67,321	-32,461
Procurement, Marine Corps (GWOT).....	1,589,119	1,260,996	1,183,996	-405,123	-77,000
Aircraft Procurement, Air Force (GWOT).....	1,991,955	527,865	440,265	-1,551,690	-87,600
Missile Procurement, Air Force (GWOT).....	56,621	28,420	46,920	-9,701	+18,500
Procurement of Ammunition, Air Force (GWOT).....	292,959	92,510	139,510	-153,449	+47,000
Other Procurement, Air Force (GWOT).....	2,868,593	3,204,641	3,213,010	+344,417	+8,369
Procurement, Defense-Wide (GWOT).....	1,262,499	469,968	406,668	-855,831	-63,300
National Guard and Reserve Equipment (GWOT).....	850,000	---	1,500,000	+650,000	+1,500,000
Mine Resistant Ambush Protected Vehicle Fund (GWOT).....	3,415,000	3,195,170	3,195,170	-219,830	---
<b>Total, Procurement.....</b>	<b>25,194,335</b>	<b>12,344,324</b>	<b>13,375,288</b>	<b>-11,819,047</b>	<b>+1,030,964</b>

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
 AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
 (Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation					
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Army (GWOT).....	143,234	8,513	8,513	-134,721	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Navy (GWOT).....	104,781	53,884	53,884	-50,897	---
Research, Development, Test & Evaluation, Air Force (GWOT).....	484,382	142,000	182,000	-302,382	+40,000
Research, Development, Test and Evaluation, Defense-Wide (GWOT).....	222,616	192,361	192,361	-30,255	---
Total, Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	955,013	396,758	436,758	-518,255	+40,000
Revolving and Management Funds					
Defense Working Capital Funds (GWOT).....	485,384	435,013	435,013	-50,371	---
Total, Revolving and Management Funds.....	485,384	435,013	435,013	-50,371	---
Other Department of Defense Programs					
Defense Health Program:					
Operation and maintenance (GWOT).....	1,398,092	1,228,288	1,228,288	-169,804	---
Research, development, test & evaluation (GWOT).....	24,000	---	---	-24,000	---
Total, Defense Health Program 1/.....	1,422,092	1,228,288	1,228,288	-193,804	---

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
Drug Interdiction and Counter-Drug Activities, Defense (GWOT).....	440,510	486,458	469,458	+28,948	-17,000
Joint IED Defeat Fund (GWOT).....	2,793,768	2,577,500	2,577,500	-216,268	---
Joint Urgent Operational Needs Fund (GWOT) 3/.....	---	100,000	---	---	-100,000
Office of the Inspector General (GWOT).....	10,529	11,055	11,055	+526	---
Total, Other Department of Defense Programs.....	4,666,899	4,403,301	4,286,301	-380,598	-117,000
TITLE IX General Provisions					
Additional transfer authority (GWOT) (Sec. 9002).....	(4,000,000)	(4,000,000)	(3,000,000)	(-1,000,000)	(-1,000,000)
National Intelligence for Overseas Contingency Operations (transfer authority)(GWOT)(Sec.9xxx).....	(3,375)	---	---	(-3,375)	---
Rescissions (GWOT) (Sec.9017) 3/.....	---	---	-595,000	-595,000	-595,000
Total, General Provisions.....	---	---	-595,000	-595,000	-595,000
Total, Title IX .....	157,680,120	117,725,751	118,567,277	-39,112,843	+841,526
=====					
Total for the bill (net).....	660,105,830	649,628,238	638,341,936	-21,763,894	-11,286,302
Less appropriations for subsequent years.....	---	-3,212,495	---	---	+3,212,495
Net grand total (including other appropriations)	660,105,830	646,415,743	638,341,936	-21,763,894	-8,073,807
=====					

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
CONGRESSIONAL BUDGET RECAP					
Scorekeeping adjustments:					
Lease of defense real property (permanent).....	8,884	22,000	22,000	+13,116	---
Disposal of defense real property (permanent).....	10,317	9,000	9,000	-1,317	---
O&M, Defense-wide transfer to HUD:					
Defense function.....	---	-22,930	---	---	+22,930
Non-defense function.....	---	22,930	---	---	-22,930
O&M, Defense-wide transfer to Interior Department:					
Defense function.....	---	-10,070	---	---	+10,070
Non-defense function.....	---	10,070	---	---	-10,070
Tricare accrual (permanent, indefinite auth.) 4/...	10,872,070	10,733,000	10,733,000	-139,070	---
(GWOT) 3/.....	143,000	117,000	117,000	-26,000	---
Total, scorekeeping adjustments.....	11,034,271	10,881,000	10,881,000	-153,271	---
Adjusted total (incl. scorekeeping adjustments)	671,140,101	657,296,743	649,222,936	-21,917,165	-8,073,807
Appropriations.....	(673,153,637)	(657,296,743)	(650,303,041)	(-22,850,596)	(-6,993,702)
Rescissions.....	(-2,013,536)	---	(-1,080,105)	(+933,431)	(-1,080,105)
Total (including scorekeeping adjustments).....	671,140,101	657,296,743	649,222,936	-21,917,165	-8,073,807
Amount in this bill.....	(660,105,830)	(646,415,743)	(638,341,936)	(-21,763,894)	(-8,073,807)
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	(11,034,271)	(10,881,000)	(10,881,000)	(-153,271)	---
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	671,140,101	657,296,743	649,222,936	-21,917,165	-8,073,807
Mandatory.....	292,000	513,700	513,700	+221,700	---
Discretionary.....	670,848,101	656,783,043	648,709,236	-22,138,865	-8,073,807

COMPARATIVE STATEMENT OF NEW BUDGET (OBLIGATIONAL) AUTHORITY FOR 2011  
AND BUDGET REQUESTS AND AMOUNTS RECOMMENDED IN THE BILL FOR 2012  
(Amounts in thousands)

	FY 2011 Enacted	FY 2012 Request	Bill	Bill vs. Enacted	Bill vs. Request
RECAPITULATION					
Title I - Military Personnel.....	126,739,756	132,096,541	132,092,225	+5,352,469	-4,316
Title II - Operation and Maintenance.....	165,560,124	170,759,313	169,979,661	+4,419,537	-779,652
Title III - Procurement.....	102,121,873	114,365,617	107,581,474	+5,459,601	-6,784,143
Title IV - Research, Development, Test and Evaluation.....	74,957,028	75,325,082	73,009,469	-1,947,559	-2,315,613
Title V - Revolving and Management Funds.....	2,909,402	2,701,394	2,675,529	-233,873	-25,865
Title VI - Other Department of Defense Programs.....	34,313,256	35,519,627	35,647,581	+1,334,325	+127,954
Title VII - Related Agencies.....	941,732	1,105,913	971,925	+30,193	-133,988
Title VIII - General Provisions (net).....	-5,117,461	29,000	-2,183,205	+2,934,256	-2,212,205
Title IX - Overseas Contingency Operations (GWOT).....	157,680,120	117,725,751	118,567,277	-39,112,843	+841,526
Total, Department of Defense.....	660,105,830	649,628,238	638,341,936	-21,763,894	-11,286,302
Total funding available (net).....	660,105,830	649,628,238	638,341,936	-21,763,894	-11,286,302
Scorekeeping adjustments.....	11,034,271	10,881,000	10,881,000	-153,271	---
Less appropriations for subsequent years.....	---	-3,212,495	---	---	+3,212,495
Total mandatory and discretionary.....	671,140,101	657,296,743	649,222,936	-21,917,165	-8,073,807

FOOTNOTES:

- 1/ Included in Budget under Operation and Maintenance
- 2/ Included in Budget under Procurement
- 3/ Global War on Terrorism and other activities (GWOT) pursuant to FY 2012 budget resolution (H.Con.Res. 34).
- 4/ Contributions to Department of Defense Retiree Health Care Fund (Sec. 725, P.L. 108-375)(CBO est)

ADDITIONAL VIEWS  
FY 2012 DOD APPROPRIATIONS BILL

In this bill, the Committee carried out its Constitutional responsibility to recommend the appropriations necessary to provide for the common defense, and I am pleased to say that this work was accomplished consistent with the Committee's longstanding tradition of conducting its work on a collegial and bipartisan basis.

As we look ahead at the very real budgetary pressures confronting the Nation in coming years and the near term, it seems inevitable to me that Congress must review more critically the continuing deployment of U.S. military forces in Afghanistan and attendant military activities in Pakistan. I believe we must reassess the extent of U.S. military involvement, and the objectives of U.S. foreign policy in Afghanistan and Pakistan, questioning whether U.S. national security requires a continued deployment of over 100,000 U.S. service personnel.

After a serious review of our security situation, and as we are currently confronting the fiscal reality that compels us to seek reductions throughout our budget to reduce the deficit, I have concluded that our Nation should take measures to significantly accelerate the withdrawal of U.S. forces.

It is clear that the nation has become weary and frustrated by the length and cost of the war in Afghanistan. A decade of deployments has cost our Nation dearly; we have suffered the loss of more than 1,600 military personnel, and prosecuting the war has taken hundreds of billions of dollars from our Treasury.

This frustration is reflected in waning public support; fewer than half of the American people now believe the fight in Afghanistan is worth continuing. They recognize the economic burden of the war and they understand that a significant cause of the current debt crisis can be attributed to the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq. This will impact the military as pressure mounts to significantly reduce defense spending. This is already reflected in the \$9 billion reduction to the defense allocation for fiscal year 2012. In future years, as the defense budget continues on a likely path of decline, the resources may not be available to maintain the force structure, and concurrently develop and field the technologies needed to address emerging strategic threats to U.S. security. The current leadership of the Defense Department acknowledges as much, given the efficiencies initiative announced in January 2011 (as part of the fiscal year 2012 budget request) which assumes troop reductions and associated savings beginning in fiscal years 2015 and 2016.

According to the Lisbon Summit Declaration of November 2010, the withdrawal of NATO forces and process of transition to full Afghan security responsibility and leadership in some provinces and districts is likely to begin in 2011, following a joint Afghan NATO/ISAF assessment. The NATO Declaration also projects that, toward

the end of 2014, Afghan forces should assume full responsibility for security across Afghanistan. However, the NATO Declaration also stipulates that this process will be “condition-based, not calendar-driven, and will not equate to withdrawal of ISAF-troops.”

While the NATO Summit suggests the path toward withdrawal, events of the past several weeks in Afghanistan and Pakistan call for swifter action. Operation Enduring Freedom was initiated to destroy Al Qaeda operations in Afghanistan, and ensure that the Taliban government could not provide a safe haven for future operations. The death of Osama bin Laden at the hands of U.S. Special Forces in May 2011 clearly alters the underlying reason for the deployment of U.S. forces. More recently, the reported death of Ilyas Kashmiri, a high level Al Qaeda operative, underscores that conditions in Afghanistan have changed. In addition to accomplishing some of the Nation’s most fundamental objectives, the types of operations that led to these successes call into question the need to continue deployments at the current levels.

And while recent events have changed the context of U.S. operations, there are enduring problems with both the attitude and actions of Afghanistan and Pakistan. In both cases, there is cause to question the reliability of these partnerships.

In Afghanistan, there have always been the problems of government corruption and ineffectiveness outside of Kabul. At the highest levels of government, President Karzai’s re-election in 2009 and the Parliamentary election of 2010 were both tainted by fraudulent ballots and other irregularities. Elections aside, Afghanistan’s government ranks as one of the most corrupt anywhere in the world. Bribery, extortion and embezzlement are the normal state of affairs. A case in point is the Kabul Bank crisis, which began in August 2010. By January of 2011, it was estimated that the bank’s reckless practices resulted in losses of about \$900 million which threatened to collapse the emerging commercial financial system. It has also been reported that the Kabul Bank was used to enrich Afghanistan’s political elite. The problem of corruption also extends to the Afghan military and police. The performance of the Afghan National Security Forces, especially the police, is troubling given reports of graft and widespread drug use.

In Pakistan, the fact that Osama bin Laden was ultimately found in Abbottabad, where he had been hiding for years in a compound in the immediate proximity of senior Pakistani military officials, calls into question either the extent of Pakistani commitment to partnership with the U.S. or the competence of Pakistani officials. More recently, it has been reported that Pakistani officials may have tipped off insurgents about possible raids to be conducted by the Pakistani military. It has also been widely reported that Pakistan’s Inter-service Intelligence (ISI) Directorate has extensive links to drug dealers and Islamic extremists and has been an active source of instability in Pakistan, Afghanistan and throughout the immediate region. The ISI helped the Taliban rise to power in the 1990’s, and the Pakistani government is suspected of turning a blind eye to Taliban operating out of Quetta. Although Pakistan has received billions of dollars in U.S. military assistance, and has assigned a considerable portion of its forces to the Federally Administered Tribal Areas, it has failed to demonstrate in funda-

mental ways a real commitment to partnership with the U.S. in pursuing threats to both of our Nations.

The confluence of circumstances and timing argues strongly, in my judgment, for a more expeditious reduction of our presence in the region. We should accomplish this objective with some degree of caution, guarding against a vacuum similar to the one that occurred at the end of the Soviet occupation in 1989.

To this end, I believe that the U.S. should pursue its goals in Afghanistan through political means. This has apparently been initiated in the form of talks reported to be taking place between Taliban representatives and U.S. officials under sponsorship of the German government. As negotiations continue, they must take into account the interests of surrounding nations such as Pakistan and others to ensure that countries neighboring Afghanistan do not fight with one another along sectarian or tribal divides within Afghanistan. Such negotiations should also be backed up by the prospect of either U.S. or international forces to ensure that Afghanistan does not revert back to conditions prior to the Taliban's defeat.

While a political solution will require a deliberate and patient approach, we should nevertheless begin work within the Congress, and with the Administration, to bring deployed forces to a level more consistent with a realistic assessment of our national security interests and a more realistic assessment of the intentions of Afghanistan and Pakistan.

NORMAN D. DICKS.

